

Edinburgh Research Explorer

On- vs. off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting in a heterogeneous patient population

Citation for published version:

Lerman, DA 2018, 'On- vs. off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting in a heterogeneous patient population', *Annals of Translational Medicine*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 73-73. https://doi.org/10.21037/atm.2017.12.09

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.21037/atm.2017.12.09

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Annals of Translational Medicine

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh Has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh Research Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh Research Research Research Research Research Research content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



On- vs. off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting in a heterogeneous patient population

Daniel Alejandro Lerman

Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Royal Infirmary Hospital of Edinburgh (NHS Lothian), The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK *Correspondence to*: Daniel Alejandro Lerman. Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Royal Infirmary Hospital of Edinburgh (NHS Lothian), The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. Email: lerman_daniel@yahoo.com.

Response to: King N. On vs. off pump coronary artery bypass grafting: the next chapter. Ann Transl Med 2017;5:116.

Submitted Nov 25, 2017. Accepted for publication Nov 28, 2017. doi: 10.21037/atm.2017.12.09

View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm.2017.12.09

Regarding the article published in the *Ann Transl Med* (Nicola King. "On *vs.* off pump coronary artery bypass grafting: the next chapter". 2017;5:116). The author concluded that no significant difference in hard clinical outcomes following either off- or on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) at 30 days, 1 year and 5 years post-operation.

Certainly, our study is not a new topic, and we do agree that comparisons like this and more sophisticated ones exist in the literature since the introduction of off-pump surgery 2 decades ago. A broad spectrum of modern cardiac surgery still needs cardioplegia and the clinical data in this area still remain uncertain, thus the need of studying this issue is continuously evident.

As you had already noticed the main issue in our study was to clarify whether the off-pump surgery is actually superior to on-pump surgery. Even our study is retrospective in its nature and the patients were unevenly distributed, we reviewed a huge amount of well documented data from our institutional data base and we used the proper statistical methods to improve our interpretation of data.

This study consisted in 1,461 patients retrospectively analyzed off-pump coronary artery bypass (OPCAB) (n=684), off and on pump (n=773). Patients were comparable (P>0.05) in terms of age, gender, body mass

Cite this article as: Lerman DA. On- vs. off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting in a heterogeneous patient population. Ann Transl Med 2018;6(3):73. doi: 10.21037/atm.2017.12.09

index and logistic EuroSCORE. However, OPCAB patients had better preserved left ventricular (LV) function and were less likely to have three-vessel disease (P<0.001) or undergo an urgent procedure (P<0.001). These patients attended at the Royal Infirmary Hospital of Edinburgh between April 2006 and December 2008. Due to the retrospective nature of our study, randomization of patients was not possible. We have found that following operation, OPCAB patients benefited with respect to blood requirement (mean =0.69 vs. 1.03, P<0.001), cerebrovascular accident (CVA) (n=0 vs. 9, P=0.005), sternal wound infection (n=9 vs. 22, P=0.04) and hospital stay (mean =7.4 vs. 8.9, P<0.001).

In our experience, OPCAB is a valuable technique for a large number of patients in lower risk categories. Only large appropriately powered randomized studies will determine whether this approach is justifiable.

Acknowledgements

None.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.