Comparison of the eating behaviour and dietary consumption in older 1

- adults with and without visual impairment 2
- 3 4

Comparing the dietary consumption of older adults with and without VI 5

- Nabila Jones¹ 6
- 7 Affiliations Ophthalmic Research Group, School of Life & Health Sciences, Aston University,
- Birmingham, B4 7ET, UK¹ 8
- 9 Correspondence; Corresponding author. Vision Sciences Department, Aston University, Aston
- Triangle, Birmingham, B4 7ET, UK. Tel.: +44 0121 204 4135 Fax: +44 0121 204 4048. 10
- 11 n.jones5@aston.ac.uk
- 12
- 13 Hannah Elizabeth Bartlett¹
- 14 Affiliations Ophthalmic Research Group, School of Life & Health Sciences, Aston University,
- 15 Birmingham, B4 7ET, UK¹
- 16 h.e.bartlett@aston.ac.uk
- 17

Key words 18

Dietary consumption, Eating behaviours, Visual Impairment, Activities of Daily Living 19

20 **Abbreviations**

- 21 Black Asian Ethnic Minority (BAME)
- 22 BMI (Body Mass Index)
- 23 Do Not Drive (DND)
- Sight Impaired (SI) 24
- 25 Severely Sight Impaired (SSI)
- Royal National Institute for the Blind (RNIB) 26
- United Kingdom (UK) 27
- Visual Impairment (VI) 28
- 29
- 30
- 31

32 Abstract

Globally a high prevalence of obesity and under-nutrition has been reported in people with 33 visual impairment (VI), who have reported multifactorial obstacles that prevent them from 34 35 achieving a healthy diet, such as having restricted shopping and cooking abilities. This study is the first to investigate the relationship between VI and dietary consumption using a 36 37 representative sample size, standardised methods to categorise VI, and a detailed analysis of dietary consumption. Ninety-six participants with VI and an age-matched control group of 50 38 participants were recruited from across the UK. All participants were aged 50 years or over. 39 Participants completed a 24 hour food recall for a period of three days. Participants also 40 answered questions about their abilities to shop for and cook food as well as their knowledge 41 of healthy eating. Participants with VI in this sample consumed significantly fewer calories 42 and other nutrients than is recommended for their age group and when compared to an age-43 matched control group. Participants with VI mainly made food choices irrespective of 44 45 nutritional value. The results of this study highlight for the first time, that a large proportion of older adults with VI in the UK are undernourished. These results suggest local and government 46 led initiatives should be implemented to support the diets of older adults in the UK, these 47 initiatives could include healthy eating workshops, café clubs or skills training and 48 49 rehabilitation.

50

51 Introduction

Previous studies have reported that people with visual impairment (VI) do not consume enough 52 dairy products, meats and wholegrains ⁽¹⁾ and do not consider the nutritional value of food 53 before purchase ^(2, 3). It has been reported that people with macular degeneration in the United 54 Kingdom (UK) do not consume the recommended daily amounts of nutrients for their age 55 group⁽³⁾. It has also been reported that those with ocular conditions such as macular 56 degeneration and glaucoma do not have nutritious diets and are unsure about what foods they 57 should consume to maintain optimal eye health ⁽³⁻¹³⁾. The cost of malnutrition in the UK is 58 £19.6 billion annually $^{(14)}$, with £16 billion being related to being overweight or obese $^{(14)}$. It is 59 reported that malnourished adults account for 30% of hospital admissions and 35% of care 60 home admissions in the UK ⁽¹⁴⁾. 61

62 Studies that have investigated the impact of VI on nutritional status have concluded that 63 interventions are required to improve the diets and dietary habits of people with VI ⁽¹⁵⁻¹⁷⁾. These studies have suggested that the interventions could take the form of skills training ⁽¹⁵⁾,
development training packages for the young ⁽¹⁶⁾ or rehabilitation packages for the elderly ⁽¹⁷⁾.
It has been reported that nutritional interventions save the National Health Service 172.2-229.2
million pounds due to reduced health care use ⁽¹⁴⁾.

Systematic review of the literature demonstrates that VI significantly impacts on nutritional 68 status ⁽¹⁸⁾. Previous studies have used a variety of methods to assess nutritional status, such as 69 nutritional screening tools to assess whether a person is at risk of undernutrition ⁽¹⁹⁾, measuring 70 BMI (Body Mass Index)⁽²⁰⁻²²⁾ and qualitative and quantitative analysis on the ability to acquire, 71 cook and eat food ^(2, 15-17, 23-26). Some of these studies did not use representative sample size ^{(1,} 72 15, 16, 21, 24-27) and some used non-standardised methods to categorise participants as visually 73 impaired ^(19, 20). Two studies conducted a dietary consumption assessment; one carried out a 74 gross categorisation assessment of foods eaten into meat products, wheats and grains ⁽¹⁾; the 75 other carried out a detailed analysis of dietary consumption but the dietary consumption 76 assessment was conducted for school children and was not done in the UK⁽²⁰⁾. 77

This study is the first to investigate the impact of VI on nutritional status in older adults andwhether dietary consumption is affected by shopping and cooking abilities.

80

81 Materials and Methods

82 Survey design

Following a systematic review of the literature ⁽¹⁸⁾ a 37 question, cross-sectional questionnaire
was designed to evaluate the impact of VI on dietary consumption, vision related quality of
life and activities of daily living ⁽²⁸⁾. The questionnaire was piloted and validated prior to the
start of the study. Full details of the validation process and questionnaire design are reported
elsewhere ⁽²⁸⁾.

88 Sample size

89 Using previously reported nutritional analysis data ⁽³⁾, sample sizes were calculated for

90 individual nutrients. The effect sizes chosen for each nutrient were based on published mean

91 and standard deviation data $^{(3)}$. The minimum sample size (n) required for a two tailed t-test

92 at an alpha error level of 0.05 and a power $(1-\beta)$ of 80% was calculated (see Table 1).

93 Table 1 Sample size calculations for each nutrient*

Nutrients	unit	Mean	Difference	Standard	Effect size	Sample size for		
			to Detect	deviation (Cohens d)		each group; (n)		
			(DD)	(SD)	ES=(DD/SD)	(two tailed test,		
						power (1-β)		
						80%, α error		
						level of 0.05)		
						(16/(ES) ²)		
Calories	kcal	2074	687	±870	0.8	27		
Carbohydrates	g	257	82	±86	0.95	19		
Of which	g	62	14	±27.8	0.5	63		
Sugars								
Protein	g	82	27.2	±28.8	0.94	19		
Fat	g	82.3	18	±46	0.39	105		
Saturated Fat	g	30.5	3.6	±18	0.25	394		
Fibre	g	22.4	5.8	±6.2	0.94	31		
Cholesterol	g	407	148	±348	0.42	88		
Vitamin C	mg	82.2	25	±73	0.35	136		
Vitamin D	IU	143	32	±153.8	0.20	364		
Vitamin E	mg	6	1	±3.6	0.27	205		
Calcium	mg	980	306	±496	0.61	43		
Iron	mg	20.4	5.1	± 8.8	0.57	48		

94

⁹⁵ *Mean Values for effect size calculations taken from STEVENS R., B. H., and COOKE R.

96 2015. Dietary Analysis and nutritional behaviour in people with and without age-related

97 Macular disease. *Clinical Nutrition*, Vol. 10 p. e112–e117

98

In total, 146 participants were recruited for this study. Ninety-six participants were recruitedfor the VI group and 50 participants for the control group.

101 For fats, saturated fats, cholesterol, vitamins C, D and E the sample size required to detect the

102 desired effect sizes was large. This study was therefore underpowered for these nutrients at

powers $(1-\beta)$ 0.6, 0.3, 0.6, 0.5, 0.2, and 0.4 respectively. It would have been time consuming

and impractical to collect data for these nutrients in order to detect the desired effect sizes.

105

106 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

107 For both the VI and the control participants, exclusion criteria were dietary restrictions

relating to conditions such as coeliac disease, inability to communicate in English, or

109 inability to hear well over the telephone.

Following the criteria for the certification of visual impairment (CVI), proposed by the RoyalNational Institute for the Blind (RNIB) participants were categorised:

- Registered severely sight impaired (SSI) or sight impaired (SI)
- Eligible for SSI or SI registration but not actually registered
- Not eligible for SSI or SI registration, but experiencing a level of VI that precludes
 driving. Or in other words, a reduction in vision that significantly impairs day to day
 activities (RNIB, 2016b)

For the control group, participants were aged 50 years or over, and had to demonstrate binocular visual acuity of at least better than 6/9.5; i.e. a visual acuity that would meet the level of sight required to be able to drive legally.

120 Participant recruitment and setting

121 In all, 109 participants with VI were recruited from across the United Kingdom from October 2017 to July 2018. Advertisements were placed with the Macular Society, the Royal National 122 Institute for the Blind (RNIB), and Visionary a membership organisation for VI charities. 123 Participants were also recruited by being directly approached by the researcher at Focus and 124 Aston, low vision clinics in Birmingham. They were also approached by the researcher at Sight 125 Concern, a support group for those with VI in Worcestershire, New Outlook, a sheltered 126 accommodation in Birmingham, designed specifically for people with VI and at local macular 127 128 society support groups.

Participants responded to the advertisements in the Macular Society Sideview magazine. In all written information the Macular Society use at least a size 16 font. They also produce 'accessible' versions of their publications in PDF form, which can be read aloud by screen readers. There are other types of text processing and screen readers available as apps as well, which people may use a mixture of. Additionally the Macular Society offer the option for people to receive audio versions of publications – they provide this as a CD for their Sideview magazine and their leaflets are available on their website as mp3 files. The study was also advertised through RNIB Connect (radio) whereby participants provided their contact details to the researcher via email and telephone. The researcher then called the participants and read out the participant information sheet and arranged a convenient time and date to deliver a structured telephone interview.

140 Of the 109 VI participants recruited, only 13 were aged under 50 years, and so although their 141 data was included in the qualitative analysis ⁽²⁸⁾; a decision was made to restrict the dietary 142 analysis to a subgroup of VI participants aged 50 years and over.

In all, 50 control group participants without VI were recruited from December 2018 to January 2019. The records of patients at the Aston University Eye Clinic who had given consent for their records to be accessed and to be contacted for research and teaching purposes were reviewed. Those that met the inclusion criteria were contacted by telephone and invited to take part.

148 **Procedure for 24 hr food recall**

Participants were asked to recall over the telephone all the food and drink they had eaten overthe previous 24 hours for three days in the same week.

Studies using telephone interviews for 24-hr recalls have reported that they are comparable to the standard in-person method ^(29, 30). Concerns about this method in the literature pertain to non-covering bias i.e. excluding those unable to use a telephone or those without a telephone ⁽³¹⁾ however studies have also reported that the dietary intake reported over the telephone is comparable for participants of different ages, gender and BMI ⁽³²⁾.

The 24-hr food recall is a methodological tool often used in dietary consumption studies, but presents advantages and limitations ⁽³³⁾. Advantages include short administration time, high precision when performed three or more times and low literacy requirements ^(30, 33-35). Among the limitations falls the cooperation of the interviewee and their memory, in the case of the elderly this can be compromised⁽³⁶⁾. In addition, difficulty of estimating the size of portions⁽³⁷⁾ and recall bias can lead to over and under-reporting ^(33, 38).

162 Method

163 Materials

- A password protected file of the participant's names and contact details.
- A list of predefined questions for dietary analysis.
- A telephone equipped with a headset.
- Quiet surroundings.
- A digital voice recorder to collect verbal informed consent.

A spreadsheet to record dietary information (separated into morning, afternoon, evening andsnacks).

171 The interviewer received training on how to conduct the interview and input data into the172 dietary analysis software A la calc by the project lead.

173 A telephone protocol was used in order to remain neutral and not react adversely to any 174 responses given. The interviewer had a list of predefined questions. These questions were 175 screened for clarity and wording by a focus group of six people with VI prior to the start of the 176 study. The same interviewer conducted the interview for each participant.

Participants quantified the portions of foods consumed using the Zimbabwe Hand Method ⁽³⁹⁻⁴²⁾, this method has been shown to be more accurate than using household measures when measuring portion sizes ⁽⁴³⁾. The method was explained to participants at the start of the first telephone call and they were reminded of how to quantify each food as they recalled each food item. This step was then repeated at each telephone call. This 24 hr food recall exercise was carried out on two week days and one weekend day of the same week to ensure precision and validity of reporting ⁽⁴⁴⁾.

- 184
- To aid co-operation verbal digitally recorded consent was taken at the start of each food
 diary; participants were reminded they could withdraw at any time if they wished.
- The participants were first asked to recall foods eaten for breakfast, lunch, and supper as well as any snacks consumed. They were asked about fluids they drank (alcohol, coffees, fruit juice, teas, milk)
- To aid participants recall they were probed to check if they had missed any
 information i.e. vitamin, supplements, or other foods.
- They were then asked to provide a detailed description of the food items. Examples of

- the questions asked include; what type of milk (full fat, semi-skimmed, and
 skimmed), whether milk, sugar and sweeteners were added to drinks, whether bread
 was white, seeded, and wholemeal, whether cereal was fortified or unfortified and if
 vegetables were fresh or frozen.
- Food quality was assessed where possible, participants were asked if spreads were
 cholesterol reducing and low in and fat, as well as whether foods were baked or fried,
 shop bought or homemade.
- To further support participant's recall, they were asked one final time if they might
 have missed any other foods or drinks.
- 202

203 Recommended Daily Allowance (RDA) analysis

204 The three day 24 hour food recalls were analysed using nutritional software called A La Calc (Red Hot Rails LLP, Doncaster, UK.). This software provided a detailed nutritional analysis 205 for each participant based on their self-reported food and drink consumption. This software has 206 been used in previous research ⁽³⁾ and has been designed to be used by nutritionists, schools, 207 consultants, manufacturers, and for research purposes. The software uses McCance and 208 209 Widdowson's composition of foods dataset to ensure an accurate breakdown of the nutrients contained within each food item entered⁽⁴⁵⁾. This UK nutrient database is maintained by the 210 211 Food Standards Agency, and contains the nutritional information of foods commonly consumed in the UK. All calculations are also compliant to the EC Directive 90/496/EEC ⁽⁴⁶⁾. 212 For each participant the mean dietary consumption across the three reported days was 213 214 calculated.

215

216 **Data analysis**

Statistical processing was performed using Microsoft Excel and exported to SPSS Software
version 23.0 (IBM UK Ltd, Portsmouth Hampshire). The descriptive analysis is demonstrated

219 in mean, standard deviation, median, and interquartile range.

Normally distributed data that had two independent variables and a continuous variable was analysed using an independent t test p<0.05. The t test was used to analyse if dietary intake was influenced by gender both the control and VI group and living arrangements for the control group (living with family/living on own). A one-way between groups ANOVA was used for normally distributed data that included one independent variable (grouping variable) that had three or more levels and one dependent continuous variable p<0.05. Post hoc analysis was 226 performed using a Tukey's test. The one way between groups ANOVA test was used to analyse dietary intake was influenced by shopping abilities (myself/myself with support/do not shop) 227 and cooking abilities (do not cook/cook with support/ cook myself), level of VI (DND/SI/SSI) 228 if level of VI was influenced by living (sheltered 229 and arrangements 230 accommodation/family/living alone)

231

Where data was not normally distributed the non-parametric equivalents the Mann-Whitney U test p<0.05 and Kruskal Wallis test with Bonferroni corrections for multiple comparisons was used p<0.02. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to determine if there was a significant difference between the ages of the two groups of this sample and the analysis of the dietary intake for males and females. The Kruskal Wallis test was used to determine if living arrangements, shopping and cooking abilities and level of VI influenced dietary intake for nutrients that were not normally distributed.

239

Fishers exact test was used to determine if there was a relationship between level of VI andability to shop and cook p<0.05.

242

243 **Results**

244

245 **Demographics**

Three-day 24-hour recalls were analysed for 64 females and 32 males with VI. Ages of those with VI ranged from 51-96 years. The mean age was 76 ± 11.7 years. The majority of the participants sampled were living with family members or on their own, were retired and were Caucasian.

VI in this sample was caused by multiple factors. For example, participants had congenital blindness due to measles, or lost sight due to neurological conditions such as stroke. They also reported VI due to ocular trauma and retinal diseases such as diabetic retinopathy and macular degeneration. Genetic causes were reported such as; ocular albinism, macular dystrophies, and retinitis pigmentosa as well as corneal degenerations and optic nerve head disease i.e. glaucoma.

- Those that were classified as SSI had been affected for longer compared to the other VI participants (H 17.2) p<0.01. In all 81% of the participants were registered SSI or SI with most
- being SSI, see Table 2.
- 259 *Table 2 Demographic characteristics of participants with and without visual impairment*
- 260 * These participants may have been eligible for SI registration #Not Applicable

Characteristic		Proportion of	Proportion of		
		participants with	participants in		
		visual impairment	the control		
		(%)	group (%)		
Living Arrangement	on own	48	40		
	with family	48	60		
	sheltered accommodation	4	0		
Level of visual	Severely sight impaired	46	#		
impairment	(blind)				
	Sight impaired	35	#		
	(partially sighted)				
	Not driving due to poor	19	#		
	sight when fully				
	corrected*				
Employment status	Employed	8	20		
	Unemployed	6	0		
	Voluntary Employed	18	0		
	Retired	68	80		
Ethnicity	South Asian	4	0		
	Caucasian	96	100		

262

In all, 26 females and 24 males were recruited as part of the control group. The mean age was 75.4 \pm 7.2 years old. All the control participants were Caucasian and either lived with their

- family or on their own. In comparison to the VI group a larger proportion of the control werein paid employment; either fulltime, part time or ad hoc, see Table 2.
- 267 The mean age of females with and without VI was 77.0 \pm 12 years and 75.1 \pm 6.4 years
- respectively with no significant difference between groups (U 1033), p = 0.07. The mean age
- for males with and without VI was 74.9 ± 11.5 years and 75.5 ± 8.3 years respectively with no
- significant difference between groups, (U 299), p = 0.1.

271 Dietary consumption analysis

272 Dietary consumption compared to RDA

Table 3 displays the three-day, mean and median results for macro and micro nutrients for the females and males in each group. These are compared to the RDA for each constituent for those aged over 74 years as reported by Public Health England⁽⁴⁷⁾.

Similar amounts of macro and micronutrients to RDA were found for the dietary consumption
of participants with and without VI. Both groups were consuming fewer amounts of
carbohydrates, dietary fibre, fats and vitamin D as recommended for their age group.
Both groups were consuming sugars, iron, protein, vitamin C and calcium in excess. The
control group exceeded the recommended daily amounts of saturated fat intake.

281 Dietary consumption of participants with and without VI

- 282 Females with VI consumed significantly fewer nutrients compared to their age-matched
- 283 counterparts, including, calories, fats, saturated fats, protein, salt, calcium, cholesterol and
- vitamin C; see Table 3. Despite consuming fewer calories, the amounts of vitamin d (U 704),
- p= 0.29, fibre (t 1.4), p= 0.10 and sugars (U 707), p=0.26 they consumed did not significantly
- a differ from the control group.
- 287 Males with VI consumed significantly lower amounts of most nutrients compared to males
- from the control group see Table 3. The amounts of vitamin C (U 307), p =0.20, vitamin D (U
- 289 304), p= 0.18, vitamin E (t 1.2), p=0.20, and cholesterol (U 313), p=0.24 they consumed was
- 290 not significantly different from that consumed by males without VI.

291 Table 3 Mean and Standard deviations and median and interquartile ranges of nutrients consumed by females and males with and without visual impairment

aged over 50 years (VI) compared to the recommended UK government guidelines

293 (https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/618167/government_dietary_recommendations.pdf)

	Unit	Female VI n=64	STD/IQR	Female without VI n=26	STD/IQR	Test statistic and significance p<0.05 value	Male VI n=32	STD/IQR	Male without VI n=24	STD/IQR	Test statistic and significance p<0.05 value	RDA Females >74 years	RDA Males >74 years
Energy	Kcal	1384	±391	1673	±360	(t 3.2) p=<0.01	1600	±369	2023	±31	(t4.5) p=<0.01	1840	2294
Fat	g	50	±20.6	67	±28	(t 3) p=<0.01	58	±19	78	±23	(t3.6) p<0.01	72	89
Of which saturates	g	18	±7	25.6	±9	(t 4.1) p=<0.01	17.5	10.7 IQR	34	12 IQR	(U 139) p=<0.01	<23	<29
Carbohydrates	g	160	±55	187	±52	(t 2.1) p=<0.01	197	±56	235	±53	(t2.6) p= 0.01	245	306
Of which sugars	g	63	38 IQR	67	40 IQR	(U 707) p= 0.26	58	±27	77	±40	(t 2.1) p =0.03	25	31
Protein	g	59	±17	70	±14	(t 2.8) p=<0.01	65	±14	81	±17	(t3.6) p=<0.01	46.5	53.5
Fibre	g	16	±7	18	±6	(t 1.4) p= 0.10	15	±6	20.2	±7	(t2.7) p=<0.01	30	30
Salt	g	4	2 IQR	4.	2 IQR	(U 565) p =<0.01	4.4	±1	6	±2	(t-4) p=<0.01	<6	<6
Cholesterol	mg	155	134.9IQR	262	220 IQR	(U 442) p= <0.01	190.6	207 IQR	202	202IQR	(U 313) p=0.24	**	**
Calcium	mg	652	±214.2	850	154.3	(t 3.6) p=0.01	788	±325	1085	±661	(t2.2) p=0.03	700	700
Iron	mg	8	4.75 IQR	10	5 IQR	(U 624) p= <0.01	8.6	5 IQR	12	6 IQR	(U 212) p =<0.01	8.7	8.7
Vitamin D	μg	2	3 IQR	3	4 IQR	(U 704) p= 0.29	1.58	2 IQR	4	2IQR	(U 304) p= 0.18	10	10
Vitamin E	mg	5	5.26 IQR	7	5 IQR	(U 605) p= <0.01	4.9	±3	6.0	±3	(t1.2) p=0.20	**	**
Vitamin C	mg	59	62IQR	89	89 IQR	(U 519) p= <0.01	43	51IQR	49.2	69 IQR	(U 307) p =0.20	40	40

294 **STD Standard Deviation, IQR interquartile range*

295 **data not provided

296 Dietary consumption and living arrangements

- 297 Living arrangements influenced the dietary consumption of participants with VI.
- 298 Those who lived with family members (M=1559 kcal \pm 406) or in sheltered accommodation
- 299 (M=1759 kcal \pm 385) had a higher intake of calories (F (2, 93) =5.7), p<0.01 compared to
- those living on their own (M=1327 kcal \pm 345). Those living independently were found to be
- ating an average of 332 kcal less than those who lived in sheltered accommodation or with
- 302 family. Post hoc Tukey's test did not reveal any significant difference between those living
- 303 with family and sheltered accommodation. Those living with family were found to be eating
- 16g more fat (H 11.35), p <0.01 and 25g more carbohydrates (H 11.52), p<0.01 compared to
- those living in their own home.
- Among the control group, those living with family members showed no difference (t 1.8), p = 0.08 than those living on their own.
- 308
- 309 Dietary consumption and level of VI
- Participants classified as SSI consumed an average of 25.7 mg less vitamin c than other VI
 participants (H 12), p< 0.01.
- Ability to cook was affected by level of VI with more SSI participants being unable to cook than other VI participants (Fishers Exact test: 25.9), p<0.01.
- A one-way between-groups ANOVA revealed VI participants that cooked with support
- $(M=1826 \text{ kcal } \pm 396)$ consumed significantly p<0.05 more calories (F (2, 93) 8.8), p<0.01
- than those who did not cook (M=1504kcal \pm 396) or cooked for themselves (M=1327kcal
- 317 ±334).
- Post-hoc comparisons using the Tukey's HSD test revealed those that cooked with support
- consumed an average of 411 kcal more calories, than the other groups. Cooking with support
- also resulted in a higher dietary intake of carbohydrates (M= $200g \pm 85$), (F (2, 93) 4.8),
- 321 p=0.01 when compared to not cooking (M=185g ±54) and when people with VI cooked by
- themselves (M=154g \pm 47). The dietary intake of fats (F (2, 93) 3.8) p=0.03 for those cooking
- 323 with support was higher (M=64.8g \pm 14) than those that did not cook (M=54g \pm 23) or cooked
- independently (M= $48g \pm 17$).
- 325 Kruskal-wallis with Bonferroni corrections revealed that those that received support
- 326 consumed 6.7 mg more vitamin E (H 10.7), p<0.01, and 93.6 mg more vitamin C (H 23.89),

- p<0.01 than those who cooked by themselves or sourced ready meals.
- 328

329 Eating behaviours of participants with and without VI

330

331 *Meal preparation and shopping*

All participants without VI stated they had no difficulty cooking and could cook a hot meal if they were required to. The control group mainly reported no difficulty shopping, with 96% stating they shopped independently. The 4% that required support reported that physical limitations, such as arthritis, left them unable to lift heavy goods.

- In contrast, 50% of the participants with VI in this sample could not cook food by
- themselves. They required support, relied on a family member or purchased ready meals.

Only 29% of participants with VI shopped independently, 42% required support and 29% did

not shop but relied on family members or used meal delivery services. Level of VI affected

ability to shop with more participants that were SSI or SI being unable to do so or requiring

341 support (Fishers Exact test: 11.5), p=0.02. However, no relationship was found between

342 reported shopping ability and dietary consumption.

When asked about food choices, participants with VI stated preference as the primary factor. Those without VI stated that perceived impact of foods on their health determined what they

345 purchased (see figure 1).



Figure 1 Main factors deciding the choice of foods purchased in a sample of participants with
and without visual impairment (VI).

347

351 Attitudes towards diet and knowledge of healthy eating

In all, 59% of participants with VI and 94% without VI stated they were satisfied with their 352 353 current health. In all, 61% of participants with VI stated they were happy with their diet, giving this as the reason for why they would not change it. The 39% that stated they would change 354 their diets provided a variety of reasons. The main reasons given were "eat more fresh fruits, 355 vegetables" "have a diet that was varied and be aware of foods available", and "improve 356 knowledge of healthy eating". Similarly 62% of the control group stated they would not change 357 358 their current diet. Of these 50% believed they had already adopted healthy eating behaviours and 12% stated they would not change their diet because they were happy with it. The 38% of 359 participants without VI who reported they would like to change their diets stated they would 360 mainly like to "eat healthier foods" or "be more disciplined with sugary foods". Other reasons 361 given were they would like to eat "more expensive foods like caviar" and would consider 362 changing their diets if "healthier foods tasted nicer". 363

Participants were asked "can you name the five food groups for a balanced diet". More of the control group were able to name the food groups compared to those with VI (see figure 2). The participants without VI strongly agreed that the foods we eat affect our health. Of the 367 participants with VI, 18% stated that they believed that our health is not affected by the foods we eat. 368







371

372 Discussion

This study is the first to report that older adults with and without VI are not meeting the 373 recommended daily requirements as recommended by Public Health England⁽⁴⁷⁾. This finding 374 suggests additional factors other than VI could play a role in the undernourishment of 375 376 participants in this study. Factors reported in previous studies that cause a compromised nutritional status in older adults include physical changes associated with aging, as well as 377 cognitive, psychological, and social factors such as dementia, depression, isolation, and limited 378 income⁽⁴⁸⁾. Researchers have also found that older adults' have smaller appetites and feel that 379 portion sizes of foods in shops are inappropriately large ⁽⁴⁹⁾. 380

For the first time using detailed dietary analysis, this study reports that people with VI are 381 consuming significantly fewer nutrients than age-matched controls. This study supports the 382 view that there are multifactorial obstacles that make it difficult for people with VI to maintain 383 healthy feeding, including difficulties shopping for, preparing and cooking food ^(2, 3, 15, 27). 384 People with VI have reported having an aversion to cooking ⁽¹⁵⁾ and report that meals could 385 take up to two hours to cook ⁽²⁾. It has also been reported that people with VI eat more intuitively 386 and the loss of visual cues may drive a reduced appetite in people with VI (50-53). 387

This study found that participants with VI who were living alone and cooking for themselves 389 consumed significantly less food sources of calories, fats, vitamin C, and vitamin E nutrients 390 than those with VI that lived with family or received support to cook. The reduction in calories 391 consumed by the participants with VI who were living alone (332kcal) almost equates to 392 missing an entire meal, such as breakfast (400kcal) as recommended by UK government 393 guidelines⁽⁵⁴⁾. The participants in the age-matched control group who were living alone also 394 consumed fewer calories (191kcal) than those living with family although this was not 395 significant. It has been previously documented that older adults living alone have less 396 favourable diets than those who live with family or receive support ^(55, 56). Bereavement has 397 been reported as a substantial change that has been linked to poor dietary intake and quality⁽⁵⁷⁾. 398 A recent Canadian study suggested eating alone might act as reminder of bereavement and 399 result in reduced pleasure from eating ⁽⁵⁸⁾. Another study reported British men who were 400 married and living with family had a better diet quality than those living alone⁽⁵⁷⁾. Lack of 401 motivation to cook has also been reported as a contributory factor in older women who had lost 402 their partner, who report preferring to cook less ⁽⁴⁹⁾. Other studies have reported that food 403 wastage when buying for one could play a role in participant food choices and food quality 404 with specific food groups being affected more so than others⁽⁵⁷⁾. Vegetables in particular were 405 reported as the food group that participants had the greatest difficulty with when buying for 406 one ⁽⁵⁷⁾. 407

Participants with VI in this study were less able to recall the five food groups for a balanced 408 409 diet. Those with VI were mainly making food choices irrespective of its nutritional value whereas those without VI made food choices based on how healthy foods were. To improve 410 dietary consumption knowledge of where to obtain healthy ready meals, support with cooking 411 and supporting the knowledge of the recommended portion sizes of food may therefore be 412 helpful for people with VI. The results of this study suggest that interventions are required to 413 improve the nutritional awareness of people with VI. These could take the form of skills 414 training or rehabilitation ⁽¹⁵⁾ to support activities of daily living. 415

416

417 Strengths

Participants from across the United Kingdom took part in this study and so the study was not
restricted by geographical location. The method of using 24-hr hour recalls has been reported

- to be affected by age and a trend of underreporting of foods consumed has been reported. In an
- 421 attempt to reduce this bias the 24 hr food recalls were collected for three non-consecutive days
- 422 as they have been reported to have precision and when multiple days are assessed validity ⁽⁴⁴⁾.
- 423 The 24 hr food recall was also the first question asked at the initial telephone call to attempt to
- 424 reduce this bias.

425 Limitations

The results of this study are subject to limitations. This study was performed over a three-day period of the same week. This method would significantly influence the dietary intake analysis, as this data was not representative of what participants ate throughout the year. Future studies should perform the dietary analysis on multiple days throughout the year to capture the macro and micronutrients consumed more completely.

The same interviewer collected the data for each participant the dietary analysis may therefore be subject to interviewer bias. Participants also required notice for the 24-hr food re-calls and therefore the recalls were not truly spontaneous; this time to prepare may have also influenced the results of this study.

The 37-question item survey was disseminated prior to the second and third telephone calls. The questions asked may have influenced the participants eating habits for the subsequent phone calls although the researchers did not find a significant variation in the dietary consumption reported at the follow up telephone calls.

Participants could not always report with accuracy about the quality of the food consumed, for
example, if they went to a pub or restaurant they could not report if the food was prepared with
heart healthy oil or not, this may have affected the accuracy of reporting and therefore the
dietary consumption analysis.

VI may have also affected the ability of participants to relay portion sizes accurately andtherefore have affected the dietary analysis for this group.

The aim of this study was to recruit participants from all ages and ethnicities however very few participants who were under the age of fifty years, identified as BAME, and were in employment participated.

448 Measurements such as BMI, waist circumference, and activity levels would be useful in future449 studies to evaluate the nutritional status of people with VI more completely.

450 Conclusion

This study is the first to highlight that older adults with VI in the UK are eating fewer nutrients when compared to their age matched counterparts. Both adults with and without VI are not meeting the recommended amounts nutrients according to government guidelines. These results suggest local and government led initiatives should be implemented to support the diets of older adults in the UK, these initiatives could include healthy eating workshops, café clubs or skills training and rehabilitation.

457

458 **Conflict of interests**

459 All authors declare they have no conflict of interest or financial interest.

460 Funding

461 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial,462 or not-for-profit sectors.

463 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the participants from Aston University Low Vision Clinic and
Eye Clinic, Focus Birmingham, New Outlook Northfield Birmingham, Macular Society, the

466 RNIB and Sight Concern, Worcestershire, for their contribution to this study.

467

468 Ethics

This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects/patients were approved by the Aston University School of Life and Health Sciences Ethics Committee, #1398. Verbal informed consent was obtained from all subjects/patients. Verbal consent was witnessed and formally digitally recorded by the first author.

474 **Consent for publication**

All participants gave verbal digitally voice recorded, informed consent for their data to bepublished.

477 Author's contributions

478 Nabila Jones contributed to the acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data. Hannah

Bartlett made substantial contributions to conception and design. Both authors participated in

480 drafting the article and revising it critically. Both authors gave final approval of the version to

481 be submitted for review.

482 **References**

4831.Roebothan BV. Preliminary assessment of nutritional status in a group of persons with visual484impairments. Nutrition Research. 1999;19(12):1731-40.

485 2. Kostyra E, Zakowska-Biemans S, Sniegocka K, Piotrowska A. Food shopping, sensory
486 determinants of food choice and meal preparation by visually impaired people. Obstacles and
487 expectations in daily food experiences. Appetite. 2017;113:14-22.

4883.Stevens R. BH, Cooke R. Dietary Analysis and nutritional behaviour in people with and without489age-related Macular disease. Clinical Nutrition. 2015;Vol. 10 (3):p. e112–e7

490

491 4. Braakhuis A, Raman R, Vaghefi E. The Association between Dietary Intake of Antioxidants and
492 Ocular Disease. Diseases. 2017;5(1):3.

493 5. Appleby PN, Allen NE, Key TJ. Diet, vegetarianism, and cataract risk. Am J Clin Nutr. 494 2011;93(5):1128-35.

495 6. Ersoy L, Ristau T, Lechanteur YT, Hahn M, Hoyng CB, Kirchhof B, et al. Nutritional risk factors
496 for age-related macular degeneration. BioMed research international. 2014;2014:413150.

497 7. Chong EW, Simpson JA, Robman LD, Hodge AM, Aung KZ, English DR, et al. Red meat and
498 chicken consumption and its association with age-related macular degeneration. American journal of
499 epidemiology. 2009;169(7):867-76.

5008.Theodoropoulou S, Samoli E, Theodossiadis PG, Papathanassiou M, Lagiou A, Lagiou P, et al.501Diet and cataract: a case-control study. International ophthalmology. 2014;34(1):59-68.

502 9. Chong ET, Robman LD, Simpson JA, et al. Fat consumption and its association with age-related 503 macular degeneration. Archives of Ophthalmology. 2009;127(5):674-80.

50410.S. S, A. D. Healthy ageing: the role of nutrition and lifestyle – a new British Nutrition505Foundation Task Force Report. Nutrition Bulletin. 2009;34(1):58-63.

506 11. Seddon JM, Rosner B, Sperduto RD, et al. Dietary fat and risk for advanced age-related macular
 507 degeneration. Archives of Ophthalmology. 2001;119(8):1191-9.

508 12. Cumming RG, Mitchell P, Smith W. Diet and cataract: the Blue Mountains Eye Study.
509 Ophthalmology. 2000;107(3):450-6.

510 13. Wong MYZ, Man REK, Fenwick EK, Gupta P, Li LJ, van Dam RM, et al. Dietary intake and diabetic
511 retinopathy: A systematic review. PloS one. 2018;13(1):e0186582.

512 14. University Hospital Southampton. The cost of malnutrition in the UK economic report 2017
 513 [Available from: <u>http://uhs.nhs.uk/ClinicalResearchinSouthampton-Biomedical-Research-</u>
 514 Centre/Ourresearchimpacts/impactcasestudies/ThecostofmalnutritionintheUKeconomicreport.aspx.

- 515 15. Bilyk MC, Sontrop JM, Chapman GE, Barr SI, Mamer L. Food Experiences and Eating Patterns 516 of Visually Impaired and Blind People. Canadian Journal of Dietetic Practice and Research. 517 2009;70(1):13-8.
- 518 16. Smyth CA, Spicer CL, Morgese ZL. Family Voices at Mealtime: Experiences With Young Children
 519 With Visual Impairment. Topics in Early Childhood Special Education. 2014;34(3):175-85.

520 17. Gopinath B, Liew G, Burlutsky G, Mitchell P. Age-related macular degeneration and 5-year 521 incidence of impaired activities of daily living. Maturitas. 2014;77(3):263-6.

522 18. Jones N, Bartlett H. The impact of visual impairment on nutritional status: A systematic review.
523 British Journal of Visual Impairment. 2018;36(1):17-30.

- Muurinen SM, Soini HH, Suominen MH, Saarela RKT, Savikko NM, Pitkala KH. Vision
 impairment and nutritional status among older assisted living residents. Archives of Gerontology and
 Geriatrics. 2014;58(3):384-7.
- 527 20. Montero P. Nutritional assessment and diet quality of visually impaired Spanish children.528 Annals of Human Biology. 2005;32(4):498-512.
- Acil D, Ayaz S. Screening of Visually Impaired Children for Health Problems. Asian Nursing
 Research. 2015;9(4):285-90.
- 531 22. Magdalena W, Urzedowicz B, Motylewski S, Zeman K, Pawlicki L. Body mass index and waist532 to-height ratio among schoolchildren with visual impairment A cross-sectional study. Medicine.
 533 2016;95(32).
- 534 23. De Faria MD, Da Silva JF, Ferreira JB. The visually impaired and consumption in restaurants.
 535 International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management. 2012;24(4-5):721-34.
- 536 24. Nakamura K, Otomo A, Maeda A, Kikuchi S, Motohashi Y, Tanaka M, et al. Evaluation of 537 complex activities in daily living of elderly Japanese with visual impairment. Aging-Clinical and 538 Experimental Research. 1999;11(2):123-9.
- 539 25. Gladstone M, McLinden M, Douglas G, Jolley E, Schmidt E, Chimoyo J, et al. 'Maybe I will give 540 some help.... maybe not to help the eyes but different help': an analysis of care and support of children 541 with visual impairment in community settings in Malawi. Child: Care, Health and Development. 542 2017:n/a-n/a.
- 543 26. Pardhan S, Latham K, Tabrett D, Timmis MA. Objective Analysis of Performance of Activities
 544 of Daily Living in People With Central Field Loss. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science.
 545 2015;56(12):7169-78.
- 546 27. Baker SM. Consumer normalcy: Understanding the value of shopping through narratives of 547 consumers with visual impairments. Journal of Retailing. 2006;82(1):37-50.
- 548 28. Jones N, Bartlett H, Cooke R. An analysis of the impact of visual impairment on activities of
 549 daily living and vision-related quality of life in a visually impaired adult population. British Journal of
 550 Visual Impairment. 2018.
- Yanek LR, Moy TF, RaqueÑO JV, Becker DM. Comparison of the Effectiveness of a Telephone
 24-hour Dietary Recall Method vs an In-person Method among Urban African-American Women.
 Journal of the American Dietetic Association. 2000;100(10):1172-7.
- 55430.Galasso R, Panico S, Celentano E, Del Pezzo M. Relative validity of multiple telephone versus555face-to-face 24-hour dietary recalls. Annals of Epidemiology. 1994;4(4):332-6.
- 556 31. Kyrø C, Skeie G, Dragsted LO, Christensen J, Overvad K, Hallmans G, et al. Intake of whole grain
 in Scandinavia: Intake, sources and compliance with new national recommendations. Scandinavian
 Journal of Public Health. 2011;40(1):76-84.
- 559 32. Bogle M, Stuff J, Davis L, Forrester I, Strickland E, Casey PH, et al. Validity of a Telephone-560 Administered 24-Hour Dietary Recall in Telephone and Non-Telephone Households in the Rural Lower 561 Mississippi Delta Region. Journal of the American Dietetic Association. 2001;101(2):216-22.
- 562 33. Castell GS, Serra-Majem L, Ribas-Barba L. What and how much do we eat? 24-hour dietary 563 recall method. Nutr Hosp. 2015;31:46-8.
- 56434.Foster E, Bradley J. Methodological considerations and future insights for 24-hour dietary565recall assessment in children. Nutrition Research. 2018;51:1-11.
- 566 35. Sharma M, Rao M, Jacob S, Jacob CK. Validation of 24-hour dietary recall: A study in 567 hemodialysis patients. Journal of Renal Nutrition. 1998;8(4):199-202.
- 56836.Caliendo MA. VALIDITY OF THE 24-HOUR RECALL TO DETERMINE DIETARY STATUS OF ELDERLY569IN AN EXTENDED CARE FACILITY. Journal of Nutrition For the Elderly. 1981;1(2):57-66.
- 570 37. Souverein OW, de Boer WJ, Geelen A, van der Voet H, de Vries JH, Feinberg M, et al.
 571 Uncertainty in Intake Due to Portion Size Estimation in 24-Hour Recalls Varies Between Food Groups.
 572 The Journal of Nutrition. 2011;141(7):1396-401.
- 573 38. Macdiarmid J, Blundell J. Assessing dietary intake: Who, what and why of under-reporting. 574 Nutrition research reviews. 1998;11(2):231-53.

57539.KinshuckD.Portionsize2017[updated2017.Availablefrom:576http://www.diabeticretinopathy.org.uk/prevention/portionsize.htm.

57740.The College of Family Physicians Canada. The Zimbabwe Hand Method, Portion control is in578yourhands2016[Availablefrom:579http://www.familyhealthopline.ca/fbo/diabetes/DL_ZimbabweHandlive_MDab15.acp

579 <u>http://www.familyhealthonline.ca/fho/diabetes/DI_ZimbabweHandJive_MDab15.asp</u>.

58041.University of Massachusets Medical School. The Zimbawe Hand Jive, A simple method of581portioncontrol[Availablefrom: https://www.umassmed.edu/dcoe/diabetes-582education/understanding-diabetes/nutrition/zimbabwe-hand-jive/.

42. Mash R. Diabetes education in primary care: A practical approach using the ADDIE model.584 Continuing Medical Education. 2010;28:485-7.

585 43. Gibson AA, Hsu MSH, Rangan AM, Seimon RV, Lee CMY, Das A, et al. Accuracy of hands v.
586 household measures as portion size estimation aids. J Nutr Sci. 2016;5:11.

44. Resnicow K, Odom E, Wang T, Dudley WN, Mitchell D, Vaughan R, et al. Validation of Three
Food Frequency Questionnaires and 24-Hour Recalls with Serum Carotenoid Levels in a Sample of
African-American Adults. American journal of epidemiology. 2000;152(11):1072-80.

590 45. Red Hot Rails LLP. A La Calc, Nutritional analysis made easy! 2011-2019 [Available from:
 591 <u>https://www.alacalc.com/?locale=en</u>.

592 46. А la Calc А Calc 2019 from: la users manual [Available 593 file:///C:/Users/jonesn5/AppData/Local/Packages/Microsoft.MicrosoftEdge_8wekyb3d8bbwe/Temp 594 State/Downloads/a%20la%20calc%20Users'%20Manual.pdf.

595 47. England PH. Government dietary recommendations 2016 [Available from:
 596 <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file</u>
 597 <u>/618167/government_dietary_recommendations.pdf</u>.

598 48. Mangels AR. CE: Malnutrition in Older Adults. AJN The American Journal of Nursing. 599 2018;118(3):34-41.

Bloom I, Lawrence W, Barker M, Baird J, Dennison E, Sayer AA, et al. What influences diet
quality in older people? A qualitative study among community-dwelling older adults from the
Hertfordshire Cohort Study, UK. Public health nutrition. 2017;20(15):2685-93.

50. Linne V, Barkeling B, Rossner S, Rooth P. Vision and eating behavior. Obesity Research.2002;10(2):92-5.

60551.Wadhera D, Capaldi-Phillips ED. A review of visual cues associated with food on food606acceptance and consumption. Eating Behaviors. 2014;15(1):132-43.

52. Boswell RG, Kober H. Food cue reactivity and craving predict eating and weight gain: a metaanalytic review. Obesity Reviews. 2016;17(2):159-77.

53. Steenhuis I, Poelman M. Portion Size: Latest Developments and Interventions. Current obesity
 reports. 2017;6(1):10-7.

611 54. England PH. Behind the headlines: calorie guidelines remain unchanged 2017.

55. Davis MA, Randall E, Lee ES, Forthofer RN, Margen S. Living Arrangements and Dietary
Patterns of Older Adults in the United States1. Journal of Gerontology. 1985;40(4):434-42.

614 56. Robinson CO, Ritchie CS, Roth DL, Locher JL, Burgio KL. The Effect of the Presence of Others
615 on Caloric Intake in Homebound Older Adults. The Journals of Gerontology: Series A.
616 2005;60(11):1475-8.

57. Whitelock E, Ensaff H. On Your Own: Older Adults' Food Choice and Dietary Habits. Nutrients.
2018;10(4):413.

58. Atkins JL, Ramsay SE, Whincup PH, Morris RW, Lennon LT, Wannamethee SG. Diet quality in
older age: the influence of childhood and adult socio-economic circumstances. Br J Nutr.
2015;113(9):1441-52.

622