



Patent litigations as a barrier to innovation: the case of eco-innovations in the LED sector

Cédric Gossart, Altay Seref Ozaygen, Müge Özman Gossart

► To cite this version:

Cédric Gossart, Altay Seref Ozaygen, Müge Özman Gossart. Patent litigations as a barrier to innovation: the case of eco-innovations in the LED sector. Globelics 2015: 13th Globelics International Conference, Sep 2015, Havana, Cuba. 2015. hal-02387204

HAL Id: hal-02387204

<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02387204>

Submitted on 29 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Patent Litigations as a Barrier to Innovation: The Case of LEDs

Authors:

Cédric Gossart
Altay Özaygen
Müge Özman


cycLED members (EU FP7 project)



www.cyc-led.eu

- | | |
|---|---------------------|
| 1. Fraunhofer IZM (DE, Coordination) | Research & Academic |
| 2. EcoDesign Center Wales (UK) | |
| 3. Institut Mines-Télécom (FR) | |
| 4. Nottingham Trent University (UK) | |
| 5. OUT (DE) | |
| 6. Sirris (BE) | |
| 7. ELPRO Elektronik-Produkt Recycling GmbH (DE) | SMEs |
| 8. Braun Lighting Solutions (DE) | |
| 9. ONA (ES) | |
| 10. Riva GmbH Lighting (DE) | Larger Companies |
| 11. ETAP Lighting (BE) | |
| 12. Philips Lighting (NL) | |
| 13. Umicore (BE) | |

The efficiency of LEDs is rapidly surpassing that of other technologies



Source: The Climate Group (2012). Lighting the clean revolution: The rise of LEDs and what it means for cities. <http://theclimategroup.org/publications/lighting-the-clean-revolution-the-rise-of-leds-and-what-it-means-for-cities>.

Research aims

- Do patent litigations deter innovations in Light Emitting Diodes?
- Are there structural differences between litigated and non-litigated patents in the LED sector?

Data and Methodology

- Patent data: PATSTAT 2014
- Litigation data: MAXWAL litigation databases
- Discriminant function analysis: performed for 1990-2000 and 2000-2010.

Patent descriptive statistics

Application filing year	1951-1980	1980-1990	1990-2000	2000-2010	2010-2014	TOTAL
Patent count (H01 L33)	842	751	2571	10507	3435	18106
Litigated patent count	0	15	53	97	3	187
Litigated patent count (H01 L33)	0	3	28	58	2	98

Group statistics for the H01L 33 patents (n = 18106)

	Mean	Std.dev.
LITIGATION	0.01	0.07
PAT_CIT	23.23	32.22
FWD_CIT	2.79	5.66
SCI_CIT	4.63	12.64
CLAIMS	15.51	12.18
IPC	5.52	3.89


Group statistics for the H01L 33 litigated patents (n = 98)

	Mean	Std.dev.
LITIGATION	1.0	0.0
PAT_CIT	43.46	52.00
FWD_CIT	9.49	11.07
SCI_CIT	14.52	25.47
CLAIMS	22.08	14.29
IPC	7.47	6.22

Coefficient of linear discriminants

	LD1 (1980-2010)	LD1 (1990-2000)	LD1 (2000-2010)
PAT_CIT	0.005493129	0.006347935	0.002548592
FWD_CIT	0.092404235	0.080323561	0.167974538
SCI_CIT	0.011774441	0.040219856	0.005432954
CLAIMS	0.012741730	-0.010253949	0.023555925
IPC	-0.021956102	-0.110886475	-0.017744943

Network of patent litigations



Results

- Litigated patents are significantly different in terms of their scientific basis, and their potential use in later innovations.
- Litigated LED patents are highly scientific (i.e. they cite many scientific publications) => good quality => hard to invalidate => more law suits for infringement => barrier for SMEs & high costs (=> less money for innovation).