

Strategies to improve the performance of learners in a nursing college

Part I: Issues pertaining to nursing education

E Waterson,

Assistant Director; Ann Latsky Nursing College

E Harms,

Deputy Director; Ann Latsky Nursing College

L Qupe,

Assistant Director; Ann Latsky Nursing College

J Maritz,

Lecturer; Ann Latsky Nursing College

M Manning,

Lecturer; Ann Latsky Nursing College

K Makobe,

Lecturer; Ann Latsky Nursing College

M Chabeli,

Associate Professor; University Of Johannesburg

Keywords:

Learners; learning performance; nursing colleges

Abstract: Curationis 29(2): 56-65

The aim of this contextual, exploratory, descriptive and qualitative study was to describe strategies to improve the performance of learners in a nursing college. The article seeks to deal with factors relating to nursing education that contribute to the poor performance of learners and to outline related strategies to improve the situation.

Three focus group interviews were conducted. One group was formed by seven tutors, and the other two groups were formed by fourth-year learners following a four-year comprehensive diploma course. All participants voluntarily took part in the study. Data was analyzed using the descriptive method of open coding by Tesch (in Creswell, 1994:154-156). Trustworthiness was ensured in accordance with Lincoln and Guba's (1985:290-326) principles of credibility, conformability, transferability and dependability.

The findings were categorized into issues pertaining to nursing education as follows: curriculum overload; lack of theory and practice integration; teaching and assessment methods that do not promote critical thinking; tutors' lack of skills and experience; inadequate preparation of tutors for lectures; insufficient knowledge of tutors regarding outcomes-based education approach to teaching and learning; inadequate process of remedial teaching; discrepancies between tutors' marking; lack of clinical role-models and high expectations from the affiliated university as regards standards of nursing education in a nursing college. Strategies to improve the learners' performance were described. It is recommended that these strategies be incorporated in the staff

Correspondence address:

Prof mm chabeli
School of nursing
PO Box 524
University of Johannesburg
Auckland Park
2006

Tel : (011) 489-2655

Fax : (011) 489-2257

E-mail : Mch@edcur.rau.ac.az

development programme by the staff development committee of the nursing college under study for implementation. Future research should focus on the effectiveness of the described strategies to improve the learners' performance. It is also recommended that similar studies be conducted or replicated in other nursing colleges to address the problem of poor performance of learners engaged in a four-year comprehensive diploma course.

Introduction

This research is part of the initiatives of a research sub-committee of the College Senate of a specific nursing college in Gauteng. The research was conducted for the purpose of identifying the reasons for the poor performance of learners from a broader perspective with the aim of describing strategies to improve the situation.

The goal of any educational programme is to facilitate the learners' critical thinking skills and problem-solving abilities (South African Qualifications Authority Act, Act no 58 of 1995). When education in South Africa was placed under the spotlight on national to local levels, it became clear that many problems were directly related to the curriculum (Carl 2002:24). Becker, Viljoen, Botma and Bester (2003:57) maintain that the poor performance of nursing students is due to an overloaded curriculum, emphasis being placed on coverage of the content, fragmented and artificial learning, duplication among disciplines or subjects and the behavioural-objective model with its authoritarian stance. Becker et al. (2003:58) argue that although the scientific nursing process approach replaced the task-centred approach to a large extent, learning was still fragmented, as subjects in the curricula were divided by traditional subject boundaries. This resulted in students demonstrating a lack of retention and integration of knowledge – in particular, basic sciences with the clinical context (Fichardt in Becker et al 2003:58).

The educational changes in South Africa brought about the outcomes-based education (OBE) approach, which aimed to develop learners' critical thinking powers and their problem-solving abilities. The OBE learning approach requires that learners take greater responsibility for participating actively in the learning process and working hard,

while facilitators take full responsibility for the careful planning and management of a conducive learning environment (Van der Horst & McDonald 1997:5). Becker et al. (2003:58) and Mtshali (2005:10) argue that for the education and training system in the country to meet the objectives of OBE, the use of the integrated and process-oriented curriculum model is advocated with the emphasis on community-based education and a philosophy of problem-based learning.

Problem statement

Despite the collaborative efforts to improve the curriculum and the involvement of the students in committees such as the senate and other related committees to address academic issues, for the past two years the nursing college under study has been experiencing poor performance by learners. A study conducted by Morolong and Chabeli (2005:44) revealed that newly qualified registered nurses from a nursing college were not competent in the application of clinical knowledge and skills to practice. Newly qualified registered nurses were defined as nurses who had recently completed final examination and had practised as registered nurses for six months prior to the time at which the data was collected. The following research questions emerged to give direction to the study. What are the issues that contribute to the poor performance of learners in a particular nursing college in Gauteng? What strategies can be employed to improve the situation?

Aim and objectives

The aim of the study was to describe strategies to improve the performance of learners in a specific nursing college in Gauteng. This aim was realized through the following objectives:

- To explore and describe the perceptions of learners and tutors with regard to the factors that contribute to the poor performance of learners in a nursing college in Gauteng.
- To re-contextualize the findings for the purpose of describing strategies to improve the situation.

Definitions of key concepts

Learners

Fourth-year learners studying towards a

comprehensive diploma (general, psychiatric and community) and midwife registered with the South African Nursing Council constitute learners in this study.

Nursing Education

Nursing education is the process whereby learners are guided, assisted and provided with means which enable them to learn the art and science of nursing so that they can apply it to the nursing care of people who need such care (Mellish, Brink & Paton, 1998:7).

Strategy

A strategy refers to a broad plan of action with a view to achieving the aim. A strategy is really a plan of attack. It outlines the approach you intend to take in order to achieve the purpose (Van der Horst & McDonald, 1997:124).

Poor performance

In this study, poor performance entails individual learner performance of less than 50%.

The research design and method

To get the best, informed results from this research, a qualitative, explorative, descriptive and contextual research design was employed in order to explore the perceptions of learners and tutors concerning the factors that contribute to the poor performance of learners in a nursing college in Gauteng (Mouton & Marais, 1992:45; Burns & Grove, 1997:67).

Data gathering

Three focus group interviews were conducted by an expert interviewer who has a doctorate in psychiatric nursing and is also an expert in qualitative research. An independent person, purposively selected, collected the field notes during the interview to enrich the data collected. One group was formed by seven tutors and the other two groups were formed by seven fourth-year learners in each group, undertaking a four-year comprehensive diploma course. All participants engaged in the research voluntarily.

The tutors who volunteered were all involved in the teaching and learning of learners studying towards a four-year comprehensive diploma course at the nursing college. A member of the research committee conducted a briefing session with the learners and the tutors on the

purpose, objectives and benefits of the study. All the learners and tutors realized the need for the study to be conducted since every learner and tutor would inevitably benefit from the described strategies focusing on the improvement of the learners' performance. Participants were assured of anonymity and confidentiality during the process of the study and were also assured that the interview tapes would be destroyed upon completion of the study. Participants were further assured that there were no risks involved in their participation in the study and that they were under no obligation to participate in the study if they did not want to. They were also informed that they were allowed to withdraw their participation at any stage of the research process.

Permission was granted by the deputy director of the nursing college. Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study and permission for the use of a tape recorder to collect accurate data during the focus group interview. In each group there were seven participants. Krueger (1994:6) defines a focus group as a carefully planned discussion designed to obtain perceptions on a defined area of interest in a relaxed, non-threatening environment. The three focus group interviews were conducted in one day. Each lasted approximately 45 minutes, and each was conducted in a conducive environment free from disturbances. Learner participants were at the college at the time the research was conducted and were thus easily accessible. The participants in the three focus groups were asked two central research questions:

- What do you think are the issues contributing to the poor performance of learners in this nursing college?
- What strategies can be employed to improve the situation?

Interviews continued until saturation of data was reached, approximately 45 minutes.

Data analysis

Focus group interviews were audio-taped and transcribed verbatim to ensure the accuracy of data collected. Field notes, which added value to the richness of the data, were also analyzed by way of the descriptive method of open coding

(Tesch in Creswell, 1994:154-156). Two external coders, independent of each other and purposely selected because of their expertise in qualitative data analysis, were employed to analyze the data separately following the protocol as described by Tesch (in Creswell 1994: 154-156). Thereafter, a consensus discussion meeting was held between the independent coders with the aim of agreeing upon final categories and sub-categories with regard to the factors that contribute to poor performance of learners in a nursing college (Lincoln & Guba, 1985:290-326). The identified categories and sub-categories were verified with the participants to determine the truth value of the findings. The findings were re-contextualized within credible existing literature (Mouton 1998:109). Through deductive and inductive reasoning and inference-making, analysis, synthesis and derivation thinking processes, strategies to improve the performance of the learners were formulated.

Trustworthiness

The four principles to ensure trustworthiness of the study were used, that is credibility, transferability, dependability and conformability (Lincoln & Guba 1985:290-326).

Credibility

The following measures increased the credibility of the study: the guidance provided by a member of the research committee in possession of a doctorate with knowledge and experience in qualitative research approach; the engagement of two experts in qualitative research who also hold doctoral qualifications in the collection and the analysis of data; the triangulation of credible primary and secondary sources to re-contextualize the findings for the purpose of describing the strategies to improve the performance of learners; the involvement of tutors who were at the time responsible for the teaching and learning of learners (prolonged engagement); participants verifying the findings; the use of the tape recorder to obtain accurate information and contextual validation; and the maintenance of structural coherence by consistently asking about the factors that contribute to the poor performance of learners in a nursing college.

Transferability

Although the study is contextual in

nature, the nature of the problem is of concern to all nurse educators responsible for the four-year comprehensive course in the country. The authors assume that the strategies described will be of assistance to nurse educators having a similar problem in improving the performance of learners undertaking this course. The dense description of the method used for the study will make replication of the study by other researchers possible.

Dependability and conformability

The study was consistent across the qualitative methods of research, congruent with and guided by the research question (dependability audit). The use of external independent coders to reach consensus agreement on the categories and sub-categories, the code-recode procedure and the triangulation of sources increased the dependability and conformability of the study.

Findings

The findings of the study, as well as the related strategies to improve the performance of learners will be described (Table 1). Recommendations will be made. The main category pertained to issues in nursing education, as indicated in Table 1. The related sub-categories were curriculum overload; lack of theory and practice integration; teaching and assessment methods that do not facilitate critical thinking; tutors' lack of skills and experience; inadequate preparation of tutors for lectures; insufficient knowledge of tutors with regard to outcomes-based education; inadequacies in the remedial teaching process; discrepancies between the marking by tutors; lack of clinical role-models and high expectations from the affiliated university regarding standards of nursing education in a nursing college.

Discussion of findings and strategies to improve the learner's performance in a nursing college

A discussion of the findings will be undertaken in accordance with Table 1. Direct quotations will be rendered in italics.

Issues pertaining to nursing education

Curriculum overload

Both tutors and learner participants

Table 1: Issues pertaining to nursing education that contributes to the poor performance of learners in a nursing college

Category	Sub-category
Factors pertaining to education	1. Curriculum overload.
	2. Lack of theory and practice integration.
	3. Teaching and learning factors <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Teaching and assessment methods that do not facilitate critical thinking • Tutors' lack of skills and experience. • Inadequate preparation of tutors for lectures. • Insufficient knowledge of tutors with regard to OBE. • Inadequacies in the remedial teaching process. • Discrepancies between tutors' marking. • Lack of clinical role-models.
	4. High expectations from the affiliated university regarding standards of nursing education in a nursing college.

raised their concerns about problems related to the curriculum such as curriculum overload, the curriculum being unrealistic, and repetition of the content at different levels of training: *The curriculum is overfull and unrealistic. Is it really necessary for learners to work out the IQ of a mentally retarded person? I mean*, and tutor participants stated, *the content that these learners have to master do not necessarily make them better nurse than me. They are really overloaded with unrealistic things.* Concerning repetition of the content, the learner participants stated: *You do something like a community profile in your first year and when you get to your third year, you are asked to do the very same profile again . . . this doesn't make sense...*

Fraser, Loubser and Van Rooyen (1993:97) argue that the inherent nature and subject-specific characteristics of the learning content make didactic demands different from other subjects. The authors maintain that an important general feature of contemporary subject content is the rapid rate at which knowledge increases and new approaches to subject content emerge. Content expands and certain elements of

knowledge and information become outdated, which in turn requires continuous revision of the curriculum content by teachers. As a strategy to improve the situation, the participants suggested a curriculum revision to make the curriculum relevant, realistic, valid and reliable to the learner and the society it serves.

To reiterate the point, Carl (2002:93) is of the opinion that the curriculum must be comprehensive and take all the learners' needs into account. It must contribute to the realization of the broader educational objectives to prepare learners for a constructive and productive life. It should reflect the realities of life and be relevant to them, as the following citation from Carl's work clearly illustrates: "The learner is filled with facts and figures which only accidentally and infrequently have anything to do with the problems and conflicts of modern life or his own inner concerns". Yet the citation from the participants in this study states: *Is it really necessary for learners to work out the IQ of a mentally retarded person? I mean ... Some of the content that learners have to master do not necessarily make them better nurses, they are really overloaded with*

unrealistic things. The content of the curriculum must be relevant with regard to the developmental level of the learners, the learners' interest, the degree of difficulty and the motivational aspects. The inter-disciplinary nature of the curriculum design must be acknowledged (Carl 2002:93).

According to Spady (in Van der Horst & McDonald, 1999:145) outcomes-based education is an approach that will overcome some of the curriculum-related problems in that one will develop the curriculum from the outcomes that one wishes learners to demonstrate, rather than write objectives for the curriculum one already has. Because it is the desire of all teachers that learners be successful, it becomes the prerogative of the teacher to determine what content is presented to the learners based on the formulated learning outcomes; what learning experiences are made available to them; how they are assessed; how long they will be engaged in learning the importance of participation to gain knowledge and skills; and, above all, what is valued in the educational process. The underlying concern is for the learners to learn through innovative and creative methods of teaching and helping learners to achieve their goals (Van der Horst & McDonald, 1997:145).

If teachers can be aware of and focus their teaching on the significant and important aspects of the curriculum, problems such as repetition of the content, which add to the overloading of the curriculum, could be overcome (Van der Horst & McDonald, 1997:145). In addition, with regard to the overloading of the curriculum, Scanlan and Chernomas (1997:1142) argue that nursing curricula are still 'mired' in content: "are we still too content orientated?" The authors believe that incorporation of frequent reflection on the existing curriculum as a process in nursing education activities will address the issues of content-laden curricula. The participants also stated as one of the recommendations to solve the problem of curriculum overload the importance of curriculum revision in order to meet current challenges in the health care services. Scanlan and Chernomas (1997:1142) further assert that knowledge explosion has resulted in adding content to nursing curricula without any deletion since it is painful to take anything out of "nursing courses". Nurse educators do

not realize that learners become bored with some of the routine – especially if it is repeated in other classes. Scanlan and Chernomas (1997:1142) suggest that the reflection process be encouraged to enable us to move our teaching beyond content and to a more process-oriented approach.

Various curriculum authors such as Walters, Hills, and Steyn (in Carl, 2002:92,120) made the following contributions in connection with the criteria for selection of the curriculum content to prepare the learner for the real working world: the selected content should serve the realization of aims such as the subject sciences, philosophy of life orientation, broad educational goals, particular didactic and subject didactic aims. The curriculum content should be relevant and realistic, manageable, accessible and viable, stimulate and motivate the learners, take the learners' existing knowledge and needs into account, offer opportunities for self-discovery. It should empower learners to develop their full potential and promote their cognitive thinking skills, their affective and psychomotor skills. It should be practically achievable, offer possibilities for learner input and choices, have a balance with regard to the extent and depth of the study, consider the needs of the learner, school, local community, country and the world, promote integration of contents within a specific subject with a view to forming a meaningful whole.

Pertaining to teaching and learning

Lack of theory and practice integration

The lack of the integration of theory and practice emerged as a major problem: One day you are a psychiatric nurse in practice and the next day you walk into a midwifery or pharmacology class. There is a lot of curriculum fragmentation which renders confusion to learners and contributes to a high failure rate.

Nursing is a hands-on profession that draws its satisfaction from the clinical practice setting where specialized skills and the acceptance of a patient as an autonomous being with his/her own inherent rights must be a norm (Reilly & Oerman, 1985:1). Clinical nursing education, according to Chabeli (2001:150), is a dynamic, multi-purpose

environment in which the theoretical component is integrated into practice. It provides the learner with a meaningful, authentic and humane experience and therefore the teacher as a facilitator of learning should create the environment that will promote the integration of knowledge, skills, attitudes and values in order to render a holistic and comprehensive care to patients. Becker, Viljoen, Botma and Bester (2003:58) believe that a strategy such as problem-based learning seems appropriate as it seems to have overcome student passivity, integrating basic sciences with clinical courses and promoting lifelong learning on the part of learners. Problem-based learning stimulates critical thinking and causes discipline boundaries to dissolve. Learner participants were of the opinion that *tutors must create a culture of learning conducive to lifelong learning*.

The integration of knowledge, skills, attitudes and values in a specified context is one of the cornerstones advocated by the outcomes-based approach to teaching and learning. It is stated that teachers are charged with the responsibility of creating a learning environment and learning opportunities that are inviting, challenging and motivating, and that promote critical thinking and problem-solving skills. The environment should be characterized by the emphasis on active participation, and collaborative and cooperative learning with learners taking responsibility for, and ownership of, their own learning (Van der Horst & McDonald, 1997:7). Approaches such as problem-based learning, community-based education, experiential learning, reflective learning and a constructivist approach to learning serve as the basis for education and training that is learner-friendly, learner-centred and result-oriented. It is important for teachers to realize that learners in nursing are adult learners and, according to Knowles's theory, they possess the characteristics of self-concept with plenty of experience to connect new knowledge for meaningful understanding and interpretation of thoughts and feelings.

The learning approaches indicated are appropriate to facilitate learning and critical thinking. Carl (2002:122) asserts that it is, inter alia, through the integration of these contents that the learner gets the opportunity to understand reality

and the world better. It becomes important that the tutors use a variety of the stated learning approaches to improve the performance of the learners. The involvement of learners in planning their learning experiences is also of vital importance. Mellish et al. (1998:67) assert that the teaching-learning transaction should be a mutual responsibility between the nurse educator and learners since, according to Knowles's andragogic theory (1980), adult learners need to know why they must learn something and how learning should take place. Mellish et al. (1998:75) further state that teachers should use teaching strategies that are congruent with the learners' needs and encourage learners to use their initiatives relevantly and appropriately, based on their previous conception. The South African Nursing Council advocates the use of learner-centred, problem-based learning and community-based education and partnership, community outreach research projects and recognition of the principles of NQF in the nursing programme (SANC, 1999:1-8). It is recommended that tutors receive continuous staff development programmes for capacity-building. Such programmes will update them on the use of the teaching and learning approaches that foster the integration of theory into practice as well as the contemporary legislation that influences quality in nursing education.

Teaching strategies and assessment methods that do not promote critical thinking

Teaching strategies and assessment methods were discussed at length by learner participants as some of the factors contributing to the poor performance of learners: *Sometimes there are tutors who just come and talk. They don't give examples. They just read the book and don't share any of their own experiences . . . we would like to debate more and discuss situations we experience in practice to promote critical thinking.*

Learner participants voiced a desperate need for a more exciting and dynamic classroom atmosphere to be able to share their experiences, thoughts and ideas: *The context should be more conducive for interaction of learners. We need to be involved and get more practical examples from experience, more relevant case studies and debates.* This is a challenging situation for the teachers

since interaction is the underlying dynamic in the facilitation of critical thinking and reflective learning (Chabeli, 2001:226). The notion of interaction is in keeping with the constructivist epistemology, which challenges traditional pedagogy in that it does take into account individual learners' previous learning experience as the foundation upon which to modify, build and expand new knowledge. Implicit within the constructivist framework is the development of metacognitive skills that are an important facet of active and self-directed learning (Peters, 2000:166; Bereiter, 1994:7). Metacognition, according to Darry and Murphy (1986:1-39), refers to the learners' awareness and knowledge of their own learning processes as well as their abilities and tendencies to control those processes during learning. It refers to the active, self-managing, conscious act of thinking about thinking and learning.

Slabbert (in Fraser et al, 1993:51) suggests that the method for facilitating meta-cognitive and meta-learning in the classroom is as follows: learners must become aware of their own existing ways of learning, and they should develop a deep approach to learning, which depends on the intrinsic motivation of the learner to commit him- or herself to learning. Learners have to develop the skills to exploit their existing knowledge in order to solve the posed problems. All experience, with specific reference to the learning experience, must be content-related because it is impossible to develop any higher-order thinking skills (problem identification, problem-solving, analysis, synthesis, application, integration, interpretation, classification, etc.) without working with or being subjected to subject content. Co-operative learning should be encouraged to give learners the opportunity to reflect on their own learning and that of others. Learners will develop co-operative skills such as interpersonal and communication skills, conflict management, decision-making, leadership, recognition, respect and trust-building. Co-operative learning has to teach learners how to engage in helping, assisting, supporting and encouraging each other's efforts. Learners develop individual accountability, taking ownership of their learning, and they develop positive interdependence depending on the quality of the contribution of each member of the group.

With regard to the assessment, learner participants mentioned the inconsistency in marking by the teachers: *You find that what you are taught by the ward sisters is not the same as what the tutor expects on evaluation and you end up failing your clinical work* Participants further stated: *The things you are taught here in the college you never find in the hospital and some tutors are marking very strictly and won't even give you a half mark, while others will give you marks.* Inconsistency in marking frustrates and discourages learners, and this has a negative impact on their performance.

Assessment is said to be the lifeblood of all educational activities in that it is one of the teacher's most important activities in the OBE approach to teaching and learning (Van der Horst & McDonald, 1997:12). Inconsistency in marking, and incongruent teaching at colleges by tutors and teaching in the wards by professional nurses has been a long-standing problem in nursing education. In some cases, learners undermine the ward professional nurses – as indicated in Chabeli's study (1998:42) where learners called a professional nurse a 'bar one' sister, referring to the fact that the professional nurse has only one qualification and thus lacks knowledge. This leads to professional nurses losing their confidence as clinical facilitators and developing a negative attitude to clinical nursing education. To improve the situation, it is suggested that the professional nurses should be involved in the planning of the criteria for the assessment and be made second evaluators in order to attain inter-rater reliability, where more than one person is involved in assessing the learner. Thereafter consensus has to be reached on the mark to be allocated to the learner (Chabeli, 1998:41; Ewan & White, 1984:216). It is important for the ward professional nurses to be trained as assessors and in the development and use of evaluation instruments (Ewan & White, 1984:217). According to Knowles (1980:44), nursing learners, as adult learners, and in keeping with their need to know and do things in terms of their real-life concerns, should be involved in the formation of criteria against which they will be assessed. This can be done during a pre-assessment conference (Ewan & White, 1984:217).

The use of alternative, authentic

assessment methods is advocated since learners become active partners in the assessment process. Although the teacher serves as the expert partner in the assessment providing appropriate feedback, learners involved in alternative authentic assessment activities learn to judge their own work and adopt goals for self-improvement (Van der Horst & McDonald, 1997:188). Self-assessment is also advocated in OBE, especially when large classes are taught, as is the case with nursing colleges. Universal criteria for an effective assessment are provided by Van der Horst and McDonald (1997:178); Mellish, Brink and Paton (1998:228) and Klopper (2000/01:122) as indicated. The assessment should be fair, valid, reliable, relevant, objective, balanced and propedious. Propedious means that the assessment must lead to the acquisition of new knowledge.

Tutors' lack of skills and experience

The learner participants raised concerns about the lack of skills and experience of some tutors, and suggested that this contributed to the poor performance of learners. They claim that some tutors are not specialized enough in their fields of knowledge, and that this results in a drop in learners' motivational levels: The quality of some tutors is equal to that of the ward sister who are not specialized in their field. On the other hand, tutor participants voiced their need to specialize, but there was no support from management: We do not get any study leave or long leave and we cannot even attend course. No wonder we are not motivated. The tutors' apparent lack of expert knowledge and experience is the result of many factors such as the lack of motivation, and the shortage of staff, resulting in a rotation system where a tutor has to teach a subject on the basis of knowledge obtained during training in a comprehensive course, but never practised after completion of the course. This creates a problem since the teacher has to rely on book knowledge and adapt to the situation, which cannot take place in a short period.

However, Doll (in Carl, 2002:258) endeavours to overcome this problem by advocating strategies such as effective support by subject specialists and subject heads to help the teacher plan effectively; providing the necessary facilities and administrative help; and sufficient opportunities for teacher development.

Carl (2002:9,13) adds the following suggestions to empower the teacher with curriculum development: management should show confidence in teachers; maintain a healthy working relationship among teachers; provide managerial support; and be patient and realistic. Teachers should build a base of knowledge in the subject in order to be able to take informed decisions and develop their subject-related expertise. Management should develop good communication channels and provide a democratic climate where teachers will feel free to bring forth their thoughts and feelings without fear of intimidation.

Gore (in Carl, 2002:8,9,10) adds the following suggestions: management should empower teachers to develop greater professionalism, which goes with the granting of more authority over the subject, status and individual growth. They should encourage teamwork and team teaching as part of this empowerment of the teacher in a specific subject. Oppression, inequalities and injustices should be rectified. Teachers should also be encouraged to reflect on their practice.

With regard to teachers reflecting on their practice, Scanlan and Chernomas (1997:1141) are of the opinion that teachers should engage in personal reflective activities on a regular basis. Teachers can practise this by keeping reflective journals about their teaching, and review what has happened in a teaching situation in comparison with other teaching situations, and what this means. This exercise helps teachers to understand their approaches to teaching and improve their teaching skills. Scanlan and Chernomas (1997:1142) warn that personal reflection alone is limited by one's own understanding and knowledge. The authors maintain that by talking about our teaching experiences with others, we can benefit from their insights and perspectives. Informal opportunities may arise in discussion during coffee and lunch. By talking to someone, we are forced to bring our teaching to consciousness as we need to describe the situation. By simply verbalizing our thinking, the other person may lead us to our own understanding of the issue.

In the same vein, Scanlan and Chernomas are of the opinion that research seminars, teaching seminars, forming a discussion

group of interested teachers in a specific subject and meeting regularly to discuss their 'stories' about their teaching experiences would be an acceptable approach to improve individual teaching performance. Through this interchange, new understandings of teaching would emerge since we have rich experiences as teachers that would be useful to share with others so that we can learn from one another. These strategies would be of great help in the empowerment of a tutor with little experience in the subject.

Inadequate preparation of tutors for lectures

Not only did the learners voice their concern about specialization, but they also commented on the inadequate preparation of some tutors for classes: *Some tutors come to class, gives you a topic, divides you into groups for discussion and never come back to give you feedback. I can just as well go to my room and read the work myself and I don't really think they prepare, they just take the headings of a textbook and put them on a transparency. We don't have time to ask questions because we are too busy writing what is on the transparency.* When learners were asked how the problem could be overcome, they stated: *The context should be more conducive for learning. We need to get more involved, get more practical examples from their experience, more relevant case studies and more debates to stimulate our thinking.*

To address the problem of poor preparation of the teacher for lectures, Van der Horst and McDonald (1997:149) argue that "no teacher can face a class for long without having carefully thought out what the learners are to learn from the lesson and how they are to learn it." You need to know what the lesson's intended learning outcomes are, what the content is, what the procedure will be and how it will be executed. Van der Horst and McDonald argue that even the most experienced teachers must give careful thought to their lesson preparation if they are to be successful for long. Careful planning ensures familiarity with the content. It helps to give you confidence that come from knowing what you are doing, and it shows the learners that you are prepared. It gives the lesson structure, organization and sequence, and it helps to ensure optimum time on task. Van der Horst and McDonald (1997:149) state that no matter what

approach you take to lesson preparation, the general principles of planning apply. Alcorn, Kinder and Schunert (in Fraser et al, 1993:79) assert that planning is the foundation of good teaching. Neither ingenuity nor experience can serve as a substitute for thorough planning.

Insufficient knowledge about the outcomes-based education (OBE) approach to teaching and learning

Learner participants voiced their opinion that tutors lack knowledge of OBE and that this results in their inability to facilitate the related principles: *In most cases we are meant to believe that the college is teaching according to OBE, but we sit there and don't really have a clear explanation on what the outcomes-based education is.* This opinion has serious implications as the OBE approach to teaching and learning is a regulatory mandate to be implemented in the country to facilitate learners' critical thinking. However, problems existed in the implementation, and this resulted in teachers' reluctance to use it. The South African Nursing Council and the education-related legislation place emphasis on the implementation of the OBE approach on education and training in the country. Nurse educators have to consider the use of the OBE approach seriously to facilitate teaching and learning and to prepare learners for the real working world.

According to Van der Horst and McDonald (1997:7), outcomes-based education is a learner-centered, results-oriented approach to learning, which is based on the following underlying beliefs: All individual learners must be allowed to learn to their full potential. Success breeds further success, that is, every success a learner experiences builds his or her self-esteem and the motivation and willingness to strive for further success. Therefore, learners should be granted learning opportunities to reach their full potential. The learning environment is responsible for creating and controlling the conditions under which learners succeed. Teachers are charged with the responsibility of creating learning environments that are inviting, challenging, creative, analytical and motivating. The classroom atmosphere should be positive and thereby promote a culture of learning. Active learning should be a norm.

All the different stakeholders in education – such as the community, teachers, learners, parents and the service – share the responsibility for learning. Different stakeholders must be co-operating partners – in curriculum development, implementation and evaluation alike. The stakeholders must communicate regularly on problems, special needs, the progress of the learners, etc. Educational change is required to provide equity in terms of educational provision and to promote a more balanced view, by developing learners' critical thinking powers and their problem-solving abilities. Van der Horst and McDonald (1997:5,144) maintain that this is indeed the heart of OBE. Teaching can no longer be defined as the mere transmission of knowledge. It is the process of helping learners to understand information and to transform it into their own personal knowledge. The question may be asked: "What does this mean to the teacher?"

Carl (2002:266) is of the opinion that within the outcome-based education context, teachers are now facilitators and not "the source of all knowledge". They must encourage discussion and participation in the classroom. They should create an atmosphere which will promote critical thinking, discovery of knowledge, debate and reflection. They should facilitate learning opportunities that meet the needs of the learners and encourage the development of problem-solving and decision-making skills. Their teaching should link with the world of work and everyday life. It should not be restricted to the classroom. They should be able to focus on the portability of one learning experience to another. Previous knowledge and experience of learners should be taken into consideration and classroom management should facilitate collaborative and cooperative learning.

From the discussion of the OBE approach to teaching and learning, it is clear that the support of the nursing college management and other instructional leaders becomes important to teacher empowerment. Management should provide continuous staff development programmes with regard to the implementation of the contemporary legislations that has an impact on the education and training of learners in this country. Similarly, this empowerment requires commitment, a receptive attitude, enthusiasm, dedication, passion for

one's subject and the willingness to be a lifelong learner by the teacher (Carl, 2002:268). Teachers must attend workshops conducted by experts in OBE. Attending seminars, conferences, in-service education and networks nationally and internationally with other nurse teacher colleagues through e-mails and the internet to gain more knowledge on the implementation of the OBE approach to teaching and learning would empower teachers.

Remedial teaching process inadequate to meet the needs of poor learners

Learners experienced the remedial teaching provided by teachers as inadequate. These remedial sessions are meant to improve the performance of learners who failed tests, but the learner participants are not satisfied because the sessions are arranged for times at which learners are either in class or doing practical work. Learners often only become aware of the remedial programme after they have failed the test or when they have lodged an appeal to the appeals committee for their cases to be considered: *To come to a remedial programme is impossible because you are either working or you are in class.*

According to Fraser et al. (1993:87), the learner as a totality should be involved in the planning of how remedial sessions should be conducted. Making use of willing and able or gifted learners in remedial sessions to improve the performance of weaker learners would be beneficial since, according to Fraser et al. (1993:86), with peer group tutoring there is a very close interaction between peers because they are always in very close contact with each other. This contact provides ideal opportunities for teaching and learning experiences for learners. Fraser et al. (1993:157) maintain that peer tutoring provides a relaxed atmosphere and is often helpful when learners use it as a form of revision.

Remedial sessions must be planned well and thoughtfully, and the process must be brought to the attention of learners in good time. Remedial action is a process taken by the teacher to improve the performance of the learner. It is, according to Carl (2002:263), diagnosing learning errors, taking appropriate action, and giving the necessary support and guidance to the learner.

Lack of clinical role-models

Both the learner and tutor participants felt unsupported from the clinical area in that there are no real role-models. Even those professional nurses that learners can look upon as role-models cannot cope with clinical nursing education: *Wait for the tutor. I cannot answer your question. I lack knowledge in that regard.* This lack of confidence in professional nurses results in tutors having to work in the clinical areas to provide guidance to learners, which sometimes becomes a heavy and almost impossible task. Role-modelling goes together with trust and confidence, which is a problem to learners because *You find that the system of education by the sisters in the wards is not the same as when the tutors teach and evaluate you and you end up failing your clinical work.*

Clinical nursing education is a major component of nursing education and provides learners with basic theoretical nursing knowledge and a variety of intellectual problem-solving and psychomotor skills. Professional nurses are in a good position to be role-models because of the hands-on nature of their work. They provide direct complex patient care on a daily basis. They are in the forefront of implementing any change in clinical practice. They must strive to be role-models because learners imitate what they do in the ward, good or bad. Hinchliff (1997:61) maintains that teaching by example can be one of the best ways of passing on skills provided that the examples are good. In a study conducted by Lopez (2003:54-56), undergraduate nursing learners perceived clinical teachers as caring mothers who support, negotiate, reinforce, transform and release learners throughout their clinical practice.

High expectations from the affiliated university regarding standards

Learner participants were of the opinion that the affiliated university interferes with the autonomy of the tutors: *The fact is that a lot of our learners fail the test and when we beg our tutors to do something about it or lift the marks, they will say no, because the university this ... and the university that.* Tutors are unable to make their decisions regarding changes of marks without the moderator's permission to do so. On the other hand,

tutor participants voiced their concern around the role of the university and mentioned that the moderators have very high expectations and do not understand the context of the college. They feel angry and frustrated: *What the moderators expect from us and the learner is not always fair, because remember, these are diploma learners.... and they went on to say: They expect certain standards from us, putting a lot of pressure on us ... they do not know!*

The decision of the National Health Policy Council, which was accepted by the Minister of Education and the Advisory Committee of Universities, made it clear that nursing colleges offering training at diploma level may be linked to universities selected by the authority financing the college (Searle, 1983:6). The nature of an academic affiliation between a university and a nursing college was stipulated in the memorandum advocating a system of linking. In the memorandum, one of the requirements for the affiliation is that the contribution of the university with which a college is academically linked will be determined by an agreement between the university and the college. In broad outline, this includes the following issues: the university shall maintain academic standards by means of further education of the college personnel, inter-library facilities, assistance with research, and acting as external examiners to college-based examinations (Searle, 1983:7). To facilitate the academic process, the university would have representatives on college committees such as the college council, the college senate, and the curriculum, subject, examination and research committees. It is also indicated as part of the fundamental principles of the nature of affiliation that all the courses offered by the nursing college must meet the academic requirements of the affiliated university as well as the minimum requirements prescribed by the South African Nursing Council (Searle, 1983:7). It was emphasized that this is an academic link and each partner remains autonomous and in control of its own budget, facilities and activities.

Taba and Kane (in Carl, 2002:264-265) argue that many teachers do not have the necessary knowledge and skills to carry out effective research and that training institutions such as training colleges and universities have a role to

play in this regard by way of in-service education. Carl (2002:264) argues that, as developers of the curriculum, teachers should be involved in research activities by starting with classroom research that deals with classroom issues such as handling slow learners or gifted learners, the effect of peer group teaching, the relevance of contents, testing the effectiveness of educational methods, etc. However, Tanner and Tanner (in Carl, 2002:264) warn that if this classroom research takes place in a haphazard manner and is not accurate and systematic, it is not of much value. It is therefore imperative that the affiliated university takes the initiative in guiding and building the capacity of the college personnel by conducting research projects on the college and utilizing the research findings to improve the situation in the college. Teacher quality and the nursing education quality offered to the learners is the prime responsibility of the affiliated university, which should use other developed countries to benchmark the nursing performance of our learners. Research will allow teachers and learners to evaluate both their academic strengths and weaknesses.

Mok (2000:168) is of the opinion that other measures to assess teaching quality that can be adopted are peer review and learner evaluations. In addition, it is urged that the university must recruit high-quality staff who are scientific and subject specialists that can act as role-models to the college teachers. It is important that tutors bring to the notice of learners the role of the affiliated university in maintaining standards. Once learners understand that the role played by the university is to their advantage, they will appreciate the affiliation.

Recommendations

The recommendations made by the participants indicated frequent curriculum revision to meet the current challenges of the health care delivery system. Curriculum should be responsive, flexible and relevant. Mentoring, capacity-building and tutor support through staff development programmes on issues such as the implementation of the outcomes-based education approach to teaching and learning, adult learning principles and the use of learning approaches that promote interaction such as the constructivist approach, problem-based learning, co-

operative learning and community-based education should be used. Participants also recommended that the theory/practice gap be closed, that tutors to be consistent in their marking, that adequate resources be provided to facilitate learning and that tutors be available for learners to consult when they encounter problems. Since the findings of a qualitative, contextual research cannot be generalized to other situations, it is recommended that studies related to nursing education be conducted by research committees of other nursing colleges to identify problems leading to poor performance of learners and to improve the level of nursing education in the country.

Conclusion

The study has attempted to identify factors relating to nursing education that contribute to the poor performance of student nurses following a basic comprehensive diploma leading to the registration with the South African Nursing Council as registered nurse (general, psychiatry, community nursing) and midwife. The factors identified were curriculum overload, lack of theory and practice integration, teaching and assessment methods that do not facilitate critical thinking, tutors' lack of skills and experience, inadequate preparation of tutors for lectures, insufficient knowledge of tutors with regard to the implementation of the outcomes-based education approach to teaching and learning, inadequate process of remedial teaching of poor learners, discrepancies between the marking of tutors, lack of clinical role-models and the high expectations from the affiliated university regarding the assurance of standards in nursing education. The re-contextualization of these findings within relevant literature helped the authors to describe strategies to improve the situation and add value to nursing education. The described strategies will be implemented through staff development organized by the staff development committee in a nursing college.

The freedom to learn is a basic human right. By expanding this freedom to learn, we strengthen democracy, encourage prosperity and inspire new intellectual thought and inquiry. Continued encouragement of teacher participation in research to improve nursing education should be mandatory. Teachers are

regarded as change agents who can make a real contribution to professional development. This professional development can, however, take place only if opportunities for it are created and the teacher realizes that the process of empowerment should eventually be strongly characterized by self-empowerment and mental liberation. The success in the performance of learners is a joint venture of the teacher and the learner. Once again, the importance of support should be strongly emphasized.

References

BEREITER, C 1994: Implications of postmodernism for science, or, science as progressive discourse. Educational Psychologist, 29 (1), 3-12.

DARRY, SJ & MURPHY, DA 1986: Designing systems that train learning ability: From theory to practice. Review of Educational Research, 56 (1), 1-39.

BECKER, S; VILJOEN, MJ; BOTMA, Y & BESTER, IJ 2003: Integration of study material in the problem-based learning method. Curationis, May 2003, vol. 26 (1):57-61.

BURNS, N & GROVE, SK 1997: The practice of nursing research: conduct, critique and utilizations. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Company.

CARL, AE 2002: Teacher empowerment through curriculum development, theory into practice. Juta & Co. Ltd. Lansdowne.

CRESWELL, JW 1994: Research design: Qualitative and quantitative approach. London: Sage Publication.

CHABELI, MM 2001: A model to facilitate reflective thinking in clinical nursing education. Rand Afrikaans University: Johannesburg (Unpublished DCur thesis).

CHABELI, MM 1998: Professional nurses as reflective clinical learning facilitators. Curationis, June, 39-44.

EWAN, C & WHITE, R 1984: Teaching nursing. A self-instructional handbook. London: Croom Helm.

FRASER, WL; LOUBSER, CP & VAN ROOY, MP 1993: Didactics for the undergraduate student. Durban: Butterworth Publishers.

HINCHLIFF, SM 1979: Teaching clinical nursing. London: Churchill Livingstone.

KLOPPER, HC 2000: Nursing Education: A reflection. Pretoria: Amabukhu Publications.

KRUEGER, RA 1994: Focus groups: A practical guide for applied research. London: Sage Publications.

KNOWLES, M 1980: The modern practice of adult education: From pedagogy to andragogy. New York: The Adult Company.

LINCOLN, YS & GUBA, EG 1985: Naturalistic inquiry. London: Sage Publication.

LOPEZ, V 2003: Clinical teachers as caring mothers from the perspectives of Jordanian nursing students. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 40: 51-60.

MELLISH, JM; BRINK, HIL. & PATON, F 1998: Teaching and learning the practice of nursing. Johannesburg: Heinemann.

MOK, KH 2000: Impact of globalization: A study of quality assurance system of higher education in Hong Kong and Singapore. Comparative Education Review, vol 44(2):148-174.

MOROLONG, B & CHABELI, MM 2005: Competency of newly qualified registered nurses from a nursing college. Curationis, vol 28 (2): 38-50.

MOUTON, J & MARAIS, HC 1992: Basic concepts in methodology of the social sciences. Pretoria: Human Science Research Council.

MOUTON, J 1998: Understanding social research; second impression. Pretoria: Van Schaik.

MTSHALI, NG 2005: Conceptualisation of community-based nursing education in South Africa: A grounded theory analysis. Curationis, vol 28 (2): 5-12.

PETERS, M 2000: Does constructivist epistemology have a place in nurse education? Journal of Nursing Education, April 2000, vol. 39 (4):166-172.

REILLY, DE & OERMAN, MH 1985: The clinical field. Its use in nursing education. Norwalk, Connecticut: Appleton.

SCANLAN, JM & CHERNOMAS, WM 1997: Developing the reflective teacher. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 25, 1138-1143.

SEARLE, C 1983: New dimensions – Nursing education in the post-secondary education system in the Republic of South Africa. Curationis, March, vol 6(1): 4-9.

SOUTH AFRICAN NURSING COUNCIL, 1999: Transformation of nursing education and training for basic and post-basic nursing programme. Document A.

VAN DER HORST, H & McDONALD, R 1997: Outcomes-based education. A teacher's manual. Pretoria: Kagiso.