

## ETIO-PREVALENCE OF SUB CLINICAL MASTITIS IN HOLSTEIN X HARYANA CROSSBRED CATTLE

Anirban Guha<sup>1</sup> and Sandeep Gera<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT :** The purpose of the investigation was to evaluate the efficacy of Somatic cell count (SCC), California mastitis test (CMT) and Chloride test in detecting SCM and study its etioprevalence in Holstein X Haryana cattle. SCC prevalence for SCM, latent infected quarters and non-specific infected quarters were found to be 28.63%, 8.63% and 6.67%, respectively, when divided on the basis of International Dairy Federation criteria. Staphylococcus sp. (47.37%) and Streptococcus sp. (33.68%) was most prevalent bacterial agent. The present study revealed that CMT in conjunction with SCC is better to diagnose SCM than alone.

**Key words :** Sub clinical mastitis, etio-prevalence, Somatic cell count, California mastitis test and Chloride test.

### INTRODUCTION

Mastitis is a multifaceted disease with varied etiology, damaging the dairy economy, worldwide. Hence, the importance of timely diagnosis of this disease is represented well in saying, "A day lost is quarter lost"! Focus was to investigate the etioprevalence of sub clinical mastitis (SCM) in Holstein x Haryana crossbred cattle. The relationship between somatic cell count (SCC) and cultural examination and efficiency of California mastitis test (CMT) and Chloride test in detecting SCM under field condition were also assessed.

*1= Assistant Professor, Deptt. Of Vety. Physiology & Biochemistry, B.S. College of Veterinary Medicine & Research Centre, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan,*

*2= , Professor and Head, Deptt. Of Vety. Biochemistry, College of Vety Sciences, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana*

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Milk samples were collected aseptically from 176 apparently healthy Holstein x Haryana crossbred (F1) cattle with no history of mastitis from organized dairy farms. Initial screening of crossbred cattle was performed by CMT and Chloride test. CMT was performed by the method of Pandit and Mehta (1969) and Chloride test by the procedure described in the laboratory manual of milk industry foundation (2005). The animals showing either CMT or Chloride test positive for a single udder was examined culturally in 5% ovine blood agar media for all the udders. The percent sensitivity, specificity were calculated by the formulae of Thrusfield (2005) and percent accuracy by the formula of Reddy et al. (2001). The identification of bacteria was done on the basis of colony characteristics, morphology and Gram's reaction (Tuteja et al., 2001). The SCC was done microscopically (Schalm et al. 1971).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From 702 milk samples from 176 cows, 255

quarters of 64 cows were put to cultural examination. The prevalence of SCM on the basis of cultural examination was found to be 57.81%, which was lower than (67.94%) as reported by Shukla et al. (2005). The dissimilarity might be due to the differences in the managerial practices, breeds of the animal, genetic divergence, immune response and climatic conditions (Ramprabhu and Rajeswar, 2007).

Amongst different mastotogenic agents isolated (Table 1), most prevalent etiological agent was *Staphylococcus* sp. (47.37%) followed by *Streptococcus* sp. (33.68%). Ten mixed infections were detected in the study, of which, 3 *Staphylococcus* sp. and *Streptococcus* sp., 1 *Staphylococcus* sp., *Streptococcus* sp., *Escherichia*

threshold limit into account no. of false negative cases was high. Hence, for India the IDF threshold for SCC should be followed. The per cent accuracy calculated in the present study for SCC was 84.7 (Table 3), which is in consonance with the report of Bhatnagar and Malhotra (1969). Though, elevation of somatic cells reflected inflammation yet with SCC the infected milk samples detected were less as compared to cultural examination. From Table 2, we can infer that the possible reasons for this could be recent latent infections (8.63 %). Latent infections may be due to colonization of teat canals by mastitogenic agents (Nickerson 1986). The non-specific infections observed was 6.67%. Comparable figure was reported by Chahar et al. (2001.). Failure to detect pathogens in such cases

Table 1: Frequency of isolation of different bacteria from culturally positive quarters of Holstein x Haryana crossbred cows.

Genus	No. of cases	Percentage
<i>Staphylococcus</i> spp.	45	47.37
<i>Streptococcus</i> spp.	32	33.68
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	8	8.43
Mixed infection	10	10.52
Total	95	100

*coli* and *Bacillus* sp. 4 *Streptococcus* sp., *E.coli* and *Bacillus* sp. and 2 *Staphylococcus* sp. and *Corynebacterium* sp. *Bacillus* sp. was isolated. The high frequency of the *Staphylococcus* sp. and *Streptococcus* sp. causing SCM was probably due to their abundance in the atmosphere. (Chavan et al. 2007).

Taking into account International Dairy federation (IDF, 1991) criteria of SCC alone, 54.69% of the cows (35.29% of the quarters) were found SCM positive. Chahar et al. (2001) reported comparatively same figures. Apparao et al. (2009) reported a threshold limit of 2 to 2.5 lacs cell/ml as optimal for occidental field condition. But taking this

might be due to intermittent excretion of the organism or their disappearance because of spontaneous recovery (Tolle, 1975). Seasonal effects, diurnal variations, physiological stress and environmental heat stress were reported to increase SCC without any inflammatory reaction (Wanger et al. 1976).

The percent accuracy of CMT observed was 86.3, which is in agreement with the observation of Bhatnagar and Malhotra (1969). The percent accuracy of Chloride test observed was 58.8, which is in agreement with the finding of Chander and Baxi (1975). CMT was found to be more sensitive, specific and accurate than Chloride test in the

present investigation (Table 3). This might be due to quick immune response to foreign agents by the immune cells than alternation in the chloride concentration in the milk (Chander and Baxi, 1975).

Thus, it can be concluded that SCC alone is diagnostically insufficient sometimes, due to latent and non-specific infections. Hence, to substitute cultural examination, which is field inadaptable as it is cumbersome, time consuming and costly to screen a farm, the result of CMT supported by SCC is better for pinpoint diagnosis of SCM in crossbred cows, than alone.

**References :**

**1. Analysis of Milk and its Products, A laboratory manual.** (2005). 2nd ed., Milk Industry Foundation, Biotech Book, Delhi, Page 140 - 142.

**2. Apporao D, Oliviera L and Reugg PL.** (2009). Relationship between results of in-vitro susceptibility tests and outcomes following treatment with pirlimycin hydrochloride in cows with subclinical mastitis associated with gram positive pathogens, Journal of American Veterinary Medicine Association, 234: 1437-1446.

**3. Bhatnagar RN and Malhotra PN.** (1969). The evaluation of indirect tests to diagnose mastitis

Cows culturally positive	Quarters culturally positive	Cows showing SCC > 5 x 10 <sup>5</sup> cells/ml	Quarters showing SCC > 5 x 10 <sup>5</sup> cells/ml	Quarters showing SCC > 5 x 10 <sup>5</sup> cells/ml and culturally positive (SCM)	Quarters showing SCC < 5 x 10 <sup>5</sup> cells/ml and culturally positive (Latent)	Quarters showing SCC > 5 x 10 <sup>5</sup> cells/ml and culturally negative (Non-specific)
37/64 (57.81)	95/255 (37.25)	35/64 (54.69)	90/255 (35.29)	73/255 (28.63)	22/255 (8.63)	17/255 (6.67)

Name of the test	Total samples examined	Test positive samples	Test reaction as compared to cultural examination				Percent sensitivity	Percent specificity	Percent accuracy
			True positive	False positive	True negative	False negative			
CMT	255	100	80	20	140	15	84.2	87.5	86.3
Chloride test	255	120	55	65	95	40	57.9	59.4	58.8
SCC	255	90	73	17	143	22	76.8	89.4	84.7
Bacterial cultural examination	255	95	95	-	160	-	100	100	100

- in bovines. Indian Veterinary Journal, 46: 106-905.
- 4.Chahar A, Gahlot AK and Tanwar RK.** (2005). Prevalence of sub clinical mastitis in Bikaner city. Veterinary Practitioner, 6: 84-87.
- 5.Chander S and Baxi KK.** (1975). Diagnosis and treatment of sub clinical mastitis in cows. Indian Veterinary Journal, 52: 275-281.
- 6.Chavan VV, Digraskar SU, Dhonde SN and Hase PB.** (2007). Observation of bubaline sub clinical mastitis in and around Parbhani. Indian Journal of Field Veterinarian, 3:50.
- 7.International Dairy Federation.** (1991). Milk: Enumeration of Somatic cells. International IDF standard 148, Brussels, Page 23-27.
- 8.Nickerson SC.** (1986). Development of natural defence mechanisms, Dairy Research Reports, Hill Farm Research Station, Homer, Los Angeles, Pg. 192.
- 9.Pandit AV and Mehta ML.** (1969). Sodium lauryl sulphate as a substitute for CMT reagent (California mastitis test reagent) for diagnosis of subclinical mastitis in buffaloes. Indian Veterinary Journal, 46, 111-119.
- 10.Ramprabhu R and Rajeswar JJ.** (2007). A comparative diagnostics tests of sub clinical mastitis in buffaloes. Indian Veterinary Journal, 84, 290-291.
- 11.Reddy LV, Choudhuri PC and Hamza PA.** (2001). Comparative efficacy of different tests in the diagnosis of sub clinical mastitis in crossbred cows. Indian Veterinary Journal, 78, 903-905.
- 12.Schalm OW, Carrol EJ, Jain NC.** (1971). Bovine Mastitis. Lea Febiger, Philadelphia.
- 13.Shukla SK, Dixit VP and Chandra R.** (2005). An epidemiological study of mastitis in an organized dairy farm. Indian Journal of Veterinary Medicine, 25, 118-120.
- 14.Thrusfield, M.** (2005). Veterinary Epidemiology, 3rd ed. Blackwell Science, United Kingdom.
- 15.Tolle A.** (1975). Mastitis the disease in relation to control methods. Proceedings of the IDF Seminar on Mastitis Control, Reading University, Pg. 4.
- 16.Tuteja FC, Kapur MP, Sharma A and Manuja B.** (2001). Mastitis pathogens from apparently healthy buffaloes and their relationship to somatic cell count of milk. Indian Journal of Comparative Microbiology, 22, 162-163.
- 17.Wanger TN, Schuh JD, Nelson FE and Scott GH.** (1976). Effect of stress on blood leukocyte and milk somatic cell counts in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 59, 949-956.