## The problem of large leptonic mixing
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Abstract: Unlike in the quark sector where simple $S_{3}$ permutation symmetries can generate the general features of quark masses and mixings, we find it impossible (under conditions of hierarchy for the charged leptons and without considering the see-saw mechanism or a more elaborate extension of the SM) to guarantee large leptonic mixing angles with any general symmetry or transformation of only known particles. If such symmetries exist, they must be realized in more extended scenarios.
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[^0]Recent neutrino data []] have not only provided clear evidence pointing towards neutrino oscillations with very large mixing angles and non-zero neutrino masses, but have also added more questions to one of the most intriguing puzzles in particle physics: the flavour problem. We do not know of any fundamental theory of flavour, but several specific patterns for the fermion mass matrices have been proposed that account for the data. Our hope is to find some pattern that may point towards the existence of some family symmetry at a higher energy scale [2]. For instance, in the quark sector, an $S_{3 \mathrm{~L}}^{q} \times S_{3 \mathrm{R}}^{u} \times S_{3 \mathrm{R}}^{d}$ family permutation symmetry (acting on the left-handed quark doublets, the right-handed up quarks and the right-handed down quarks) automatically leads to quark mass matrices $M_{u}, M_{d}$ proportional to the so-called democratic mass matrix [3], which has all elements equal to unity. In the democratic limit, only the third generation acquires mass and the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix is the unit matrix. This is a remarkable result. Experimentally, one knows that there is a strong hierarchy in the value of the quark masses. The first two generations of quarks are much lighter than the third one, and the observed CKM matrix is close to the unit matrix. When the permutation symmetry is broken, the first two generations acquire non-vanishing masses, and a non-trivial CKM matrix is generated.

Inspired by this, one may be tempted to try and find some symmetry for the lepton sector. However, one is then confronted with the problem of generating the large leptonic mixing. Let us assume, e.g. as in [4], that the large mass difference between the neutrinos and the other leptons comes from a Majorana Yukawa term in the Lagrangian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathcal{L}=\frac{\lambda_{i j}^{\nu}}{M} \phi^{\dagger} \phi^{\dagger} L_{i} L_{j}+\lambda_{i j}^{e} \bar{L}_{i} \phi e_{j R}+\text { h.c. }, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $L_{i}$ are the left-handed doublets, $\phi$ the Higgs field, $e_{j R}$ the right-handed charged lepton singlets and $M$ a large mass. As in the case for the quarks, an $S_{3 \mathrm{~L}} \times S_{3 \mathrm{R}}$ symmetry, acting on the left-handed lepton doublets and the right-handed charged lepton singlets, leads to a charged lepton mass matrix proportional to the democratic matrix, ${ }^{1}$ denoted by $\Delta$. However, the most general mass matrix obtained from the Majorana term in eq. (1) form $\lambda \Delta+\mu \mathbb{I}$. As was pointed out [14, there is no reason to expect that $\lambda$ and $\mu$ should not be of the same order of magnitude. As a result, both the charged lepton mass matrix and the neutrino mass matrix are, in leading order, diagonalized by the same unitary matrix, and the leptonic mixing matrix will just be the unit matrix. It is clear, unless one puts $\lambda=0$ by hand, that no large angles can be generated by a small breaking of the $S_{3 \mathrm{~L}} \times S_{3 \mathrm{R}}$ symmetry. By making the ad-hoc assumption that the coefficient $\lambda$ vanishes, one can, of course, obtain the required large lepton mixing 司, but this is not dictated by the symmetry. More precisely, the Lagrangian does not acquire any new symmetry in the limit where $\lambda$ vanishes. Therefore, setting $\lambda=0$ clearly violates 't Hooft's naturalness principle [7].

In this Letter we shall prove that this problem for the leptons is, indeed, much more general. In particular, one could imagine that some other symmetry (or representation of

[^1]the leptons) might exist that would require the charged lepton mass matrix to be proportional to $\Delta$, while at the same time preventing the neutrino Majorana mass from acquiring a similar term. We shall prove that this is impossible. Unlike in the quark sector, where small mixing results from a (permutation) symmetry, in the lepton sector, large mixing does not explicitly follow from any symmetry.

Let us assume that the left-handed doublets and right-handed charged lepton singlets transform under some general symmetry as

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{i} & \rightarrow P_{i j} L_{j} \\
e_{i R} & \rightarrow Q_{i j} e_{j R} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The charged lepton and neutrino mass matrices must then be invariant under

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\dagger} \cdot M_{e} \cdot Q=M_{e} ; \quad P^{T} \cdot M_{\nu} \cdot P=M_{\nu} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume from this relation that $M_{e}$ is some general rank 1 matrix. This is to be expected because of the hierarchy of the charged leptons. Two cases are possible:

1. There is no correlation between the symmetry and the mixing; $M_{e}$ is not completely determined, but the sum of (more then one unique) rank 1 matrices. This is the case, e.g. if $P=\mathbb{I}$, $Q=\operatorname{diag}(-1,-1,1)$, or $P=\operatorname{diag}(i, 1,1), Q=\operatorname{diag}(-1,-1,1)$. For these special cases, the mixing can be large but is arbitrary. As an example, take $P=\operatorname{diag}(i, 1,1), Q=\operatorname{diag}(-1,-1,1)$, then

$$
M_{e}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0  \tag{4}\\
0 & 0 & b_{e} \\
0 & 0 & c_{e}
\end{array}\right] ; \quad M_{\nu}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{\nu} & b_{\nu} \\
0 & b_{\nu} & c_{\nu}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

It is clear that the mixing dependends (also) on the arbitrary ratio $b_{e} / c_{e}$. Thus, e.g. maximal (atmospheric) mixing is not explicitly guarranteed by the symmetry but has to be put by hand, by fine-tunning several (unrelated) parameters from the charged lepton and neutrino sector.
2. The mixing is small. This is the case when eq. (3) implies that $M_{e}$ is proportional to just one unique rank 1 matrix, ${ }^{2} M_{e} \sim \Delta$. Then, in particular, one must have $P^{\dagger} \cdot \Delta \cdot Q=\Delta$. Squaring and using $\Delta^{2}=3 \Delta$, one finds $P^{\dagger} \cdot \Delta \cdot P=\Delta$, which means that $P \cdot \Delta=\Delta \cdot P$ and thus $P^{T} \cdot \Delta=\Delta \cdot P^{T}$. Using again eq. (3), one can now also find extra relations for $M_{\nu}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{T} \cdot \Delta M_{\nu} \cdot P & =\Delta \cdot P^{T} \cdot M_{\nu} \cdot P=\Delta M_{\nu} \\
P^{T} \cdot M_{\nu} \Delta \cdot P & =P^{T} \cdot M_{\nu} \cdot P \cdot \Delta=M_{\nu} \Delta \\
P^{T} \cdot \Delta M_{\nu} \Delta \cdot P & =\Delta \cdot P^{T} \cdot M_{\nu} \cdot P \cdot \Delta=\Delta M_{\nu} \Delta . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

[^2]Therefore, whatever the neutrino mass matrix is, nothing prevents it from having additional large parts which can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\nu}+\lambda^{\prime}\left(\Delta M_{\nu}+M_{\nu} \Delta\right)+\lambda \Delta M_{\nu} \Delta \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, for any matrix $X$, one has $\Delta X \Delta=x \Delta$, where $x=\sum X_{i j}$. Thus, $M_{\nu}$ can have a large part proportional to $\Delta$. As a consequence, there exists no symmetry (be it discrete or not, but realized in the form given in eq. (2) ), that, as in ref. [5], would force the neutrino mass matrix to be strictly proportional to $\mathbb{I}$. It will also have a part proportional to $\Delta$. In general, writing the neutrino mass matrix as $M_{\nu}=A+\lambda \Delta$, where $A$ and $\lambda$ are of the same order, the symmetry cannot guarantee the existence of large mixing angles necessary to solve the atmospheric neutrino problem, because the term with $\Delta$ will be under no restriction from the symmetry.

In fact, we can even be more precise and find very severe constraints for the neutrino mass matrix and the mixing angles. From eq. (3) for the neutrino mass matrix one concludes that $M_{\nu}$ must also satisfy, $P^{\dagger} \cdot M_{\nu}^{\dagger} M_{\nu} \cdot P=M_{\nu}^{\dagger} M_{\nu}$. Combining this with $P^{\dagger} \cdot \Delta \cdot Q=\Delta$, we find the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\dagger} \cdot M_{\nu}^{\dagger} M_{\nu} \Delta \cdot Q=M_{\nu}^{\dagger} M_{\nu} \Delta \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This seems to be an equation for the neutrino mass matrix, but, actually, one must realize that it obeys the set of conditions that define the mass matrix of the charged leptons as formulated in eq. (3). Therefore, $M_{\nu}^{\dagger} M_{\nu} \Delta$ must be proportional to the charged lepton mass matrix i.e. to $\Delta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\nu}^{\dagger} M_{\nu} \Delta=p \Delta \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we conclude that, because of the symmetry, the mass matrices of the neutrinos and the charged leptons are intrinsically related. It is clear that this has very strong consequences for the lepton mixing. It follows that the matrix $F$ that diagonalizes $\Delta$ (i.e. the charged leptons on the right) must also partially diagonalize $M_{\nu}^{\dagger} M_{\nu}$. Using eq. (8), one finds that the matrix that diagonalizes $M_{\nu}^{\dagger} M_{\nu}$ can be written as $F \cdot U$, where $U$ is a simple unitary matrix with only significant elements in the $2 \times 2$ sector, i.e. $U_{13}=U_{23}=0$. As a result, the lepton mixing matrix will be just this $U$. No perturbation, breaking the symmetry and giving small contributions to $M_{\nu}$ and $M_{e}$, would be sufficient to obtain the large mixing angles needed to solve the atmospheric neutrino problem. ${ }^{3}$

One may try to avoid this difficulty and find less severe conditions, e.g. by requiring that the charged leptons mass matrix be, not strictly proportional to $\Delta$ but, only hierarchical: $M_{e}=V \Delta W$, where $V$ and $W$ are unitary matrices. But then, using analogous arguments,

[^3]we find, instead of eq. (8), the relation $M_{\nu}^{\dagger} M_{\nu} V \Delta V^{\dagger}=p V \Delta V^{\dagger}$ between the neutrino mass matrix and the charged lepton square mass matrix $H_{e}=M_{e} M_{e}^{\dagger}=3 V \Delta V^{\dagger}$. Clearly, this will just lead to the same result. It is equivalent to an irrelevant change of weak basis. Another possibility would be to state that neutrinos are of the Dirac type. ${ }^{4}$ The relation for the neutrino mass matrix in eq. (3) will then read $P^{\dagger} \cdot M_{\nu} \cdot R=M_{\nu}$, where $R$ is some transformation of the right-handed neutrinos. We will then find that $M_{\nu} M_{\nu}^{\dagger} \Delta=p \Delta$. Again, we are unable to guarantee large mixing angles from the symmetry or by a small breaking of it.

We find it, therefore, impossible (under the conditions stated with regard to the hierarchy and mass matrix of the charged leptons and without considering the see-saw mechanism) to guarantee large mixing angles in the leptonic sector with any general symmetry or transformation of only known leptons. If such symmetries exist, they must be realized in more extended scenarios [8]. One may also interpret this result as a requirement to go beyond the SM and it is indeed possible to construct a $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ permutation symmetry that, in the context of the see-saw model, can lead to large mixing angles [9].
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[^0]:    *On leave from Instituto Superior Técnico, Centro de Física das Interacções Fundamentais (CFIF), Lisbon, Portugal.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ It must be enphasized that there exist many other symmetries (which are not permutations and) that require the charged lepton mass matrix to be proportional to the democratic matrix, $M_{e}=\lambda \Delta$, e.g. a $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ symmetry as proposed in ref. (9).

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ We shall work here in a democratic weak basis. Obviously, our statement can be extended to any other convenient basis.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ There could be one exception to this result: if the symmetry could force $M_{\nu}$ to be exactly proportional to a unitary matrix. Then, the diagonalization of $M_{\nu}$ would not coincide with the diagonalization of $M_{\nu}^{\dagger} M_{\nu}$, e.g. $M_{\nu}=(\omega-1) \mathbb{I}+\Delta$, where $\omega=e^{2 \pi i / 3}$. It would be possible to get large mixing angles, because, in this specific case, small perturbations of the neutrino mass matrix would cause singular effects [ $\boldsymbol{6}]$. However, one must realize that unitarity can only be obtained with a very special combination of terms, like setting $\lambda=0$ in our previous $S_{3 \mathrm{~L}} \times S_{3 \mathrm{R}}$ symmetry example. As we have argued here, unitarity can never be forced by the symmetry, because it is always possible to add any (small or large) term proportional to $\Delta$ to $M_{\nu}$ and this would just lead to our small mixing angles result.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ For simplicity, we do not consider (heavy) mass terms for the right-handed neutrinos and the see-saw mechanism. In fact, in the latter context, the statement we make here is not necessarily true [6].

