

## A SIMPLE WAY TO GENERATE HIGH ORDER VACUUM GRAPHS

K. Kajantie<sup>a,1</sup>, M. Laine<sup>b,2</sup>, Y. Schröder<sup>a,3</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Physics, P.O.Box 9, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland*

<sup>b</sup>*Theory Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*

We describe an efficient practical procedure for enumerating and regrouping vacuum Feynman graphs of a given order in perturbation theory. The method is based on a combination of Schwinger-Dyson equations and the two-particle-irreducible (“skeleton”) expansion. The regrouping leads to skeletons containing only free propagators, together with “ring diagrams” containing all the self-energy insertions. As a consequence, relatively few diagrams need to be drawn and integrations carried out at any single stage of the computation and, in low dimensions, overlapping ultraviolet/infrared subdivergences can be cleanly isolated. As an illustration we enumerate the graphs contributing to the 4-loop free energy in QCD, explicitly in a continuum and more compactly in a lattice regularization.

CERN-TH/2001-248  
September 2001

---

<sup>1</sup>keijo.kajantie@helsinki.fi

<sup>2</sup>mikko.laine@cern.ch

<sup>3</sup>york.schroder@helsinki.fi

## 1. Introduction

There are many physics contexts where multiloop Feynman diagram computations are carried out. In QED one goes up to 4-loop level (for reviews see, e.g., [1]), because experiments are so precise. In particle physics phenomenology, particularly QCD, one goes up to 4-loop level (see, e.g., [2]), because the coupling constant is not small. In studying critical phenomena in the simplest  $O(N)$  condensed matter systems, one goes up to 5-loop level (see, e.g., [3]), because the effective expansion parameter is not small.

Studies of QCD at a finite temperature  $T$  are faced with a similar challenge. Indeed, the coupling constant expansion converges even worse than at zero temperature, requiring at least  $T \gg 10^3 \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$  to make any sense at all [4, 5]. So far, though, only resummed 3-loop level has been reached for the simplest physical observable, the free energy [6], because a broken Lorentz symmetry makes the analysis much more complicated than in the cases mentioned above. In fact, even in principle only one more order is (partly) computable, and then the expansion breaks down completely [7]. Multiloop computations are not useless, though: the infrared problems can be isolated to a simple three-dimensional (3d) effective field theory [8] and studied non-perturbatively there [9], but to convert the results to physical units from lattice regularization still necessitates a number of fixed-order perturbative computations [10, 11, 12].

As the loop order increases, so does the computational effort. The sheer enumeration of various diagrams and their symmetry factors becomes non-trivial. The group theoretic and Lorentz structures of single graphs are involved. Finally, the scalar integrals remaining are hard to evaluate analytically. It is therefore clear that ideally, one would like to automatise the whole procedure (for a review of the current status see, e.g., [13]).

In this paper we concentrate on the first step of any multiloop computation, the enumeration of various Feynman diagrams. This step should be the easiest to automatise, since all one needs is a straightforward evaluation of Wick contractions. Indeed, various packages, such as `FeynArts` [14] and `QGRAF` [15], are available for determining  $n$ -point functions in a given particle physics model.

For vacuum graphs in condensed matter systems a similar approach is possible. For the simplest  $O(N)$  scalar model the combinatorics is not yet too hard, but variants thereof already require some work. Consequently, graphical algorithms have been developed at 4-loop order and beyond for a number of simple models [16].

In many cases, though, a straightforward generation of the full set of diagrams of a given loop order may not be the ideal way to go. In realistic theories there are very many graphs, and all integrals would have to be evaluated on the same footing. This is almost impossible, particularly if many different masses appear.

Here we wish to present what would seem to us to be a maximally manageable setup. All vacuum graphs are generated, but they are cleanly separated into two groups: one, of two-particle-irreducible (2PI) “skeletons” with free propagators; the other, of “ring diagrams” with various self-energy insertions. The self-energies, in turn, are directly obtained from lower order skeletons. We find that this setup economises the generation of the various graphs quite significantly. We also point out that in low dimensions, relevant for statistical physics applications, the integrations remaining are qualitatively different in the two sets.

As an illustration of the setup, we enumerate the diagrams contributing to the 4-loop

free energy of finite temperature QCD (as well as QED and the symmetric phases of the electroweak theory and scalar electrodynamics). We hope, though, that the setup may be applicable to some other cases as well. That is why we wish to separate it from the evaluation of the integrals arising in the finite  $T$  context [17], specific for that physical situation.

Our plan is the following. We summarise our basic notation in Sec. 2, reorganise the standard skeleton expansion in Sec. 3, review the Schwinger-Dyson equations for  $n$ -point and vacuum graphs in Sec. 4, and combine them with the modified skeleton expansion to obtain a generating formula for skeleton diagrams in Sec. 5. The corresponding results are given for a lattice regularization of a generic model in Sec. 6. As an illustration, we show the loop expansion for the free energy of QCD and related models in Sec. 7. We discuss some basic properties of our setup and conclude in Sec. 8.

## 2. Notation

Let us start by introducing a concise notation. While the method is valid for any theory, we explicitly give all equations for a generic  $\varphi^3 + \varphi^4$  model. Later on we discuss more specific examples within this class, in particular the QCD, as well as some extensions of this class. The generic class also includes the electroweak sector of the Standard Model, both in its symmetric and its spontaneously broken phase.

The partition function is defined as

$$Z[J] = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi e^{S[\varphi] + J\varphi}, \quad (1)$$

where  $S[\varphi]$  is the action,

$$S[\varphi] = -\frac{1}{2} \varphi_i \Delta_{ij}^{-1} \varphi_j + \frac{1}{3!} \gamma_{ijk} \varphi_i \varphi_j \varphi_k + \frac{1}{4!} \gamma_{ijkl} \varphi_i \varphi_j \varphi_k \varphi_l, \quad (2)$$

and summations over various indices, numbering (real scalar) fields and their internal and spacetime structures, are implied. Two comments are in order. First, we will for the moment not display fermions explicitly. As far as vacuum graphs are concerned, they do not introduce any complications apart from the usual overall minus sign for each closed loop, and can thus be introduced only at the end [18]. Second, one should notice that the sign conventions in Eqs. (1), (2) are such that in the case of Euclidean actions,  $\gamma_{ijkl}$  is typically negative.

For a theory with a broken symmetry, the inverse free propagator  $\Delta^{-1}$  and the couplings  $\gamma_{ijk\dots}$  are functions of the order parameter, but otherwise there are no essential complications. We return to this point in Sec. 4.1.

The partition function  $Z[J]$  in Eq. (1) is the generating functional for full Green's functions,  $\Gamma_n^{\text{full}} = \delta_J^n Z[J]|_{J=0}$ . As usual we define

$$W[J] = \ln Z[J], \quad (3)$$

the generating functional of connected Green's functions,  $\Gamma_n^{\text{conn}} = \delta_J^n W[J]|_{J=0}$ . Finally, one can define the effective action via

$$S_{\text{eff}}[\phi] = W[J] - \phi J, \quad \phi = \delta_J W[J], \quad (4)$$

which generates one-particle-irreducible (1PI) Green's functions,  $\Gamma_n^{\text{1PI}} = \delta_\phi^n S_{\text{eff}}[\phi]|_{\phi=0}$ . Note, in particular, that  $\delta_\phi S_{\text{eff}}[\phi] = -J$ . The vacuum, or free energy  $F$  (made dimensionless by a division with the temperature  $T$ ), can be obtained from any of the generating functionals as

$$F = -\ln Z[0] = -W[0] = -S_{\text{eff}}[0]. \quad (5)$$

From the basic relations  $\phi = \delta_J W[J]$ ,  $\delta_\phi S_{\text{eff}}[\phi] = -J$  it follows that

$$\delta_J^2 W[J] \delta_\phi^2 S_{\text{eff}}[\phi] = -1. \quad (6)$$

Defining, as usual, the ‘‘proper’’ self-energy by

$$\delta_\phi^2 S_{\text{eff}}[\phi] \equiv -\Delta^{-1} + \Pi, \quad (7)$$

we see from Eq. (6) that  $\delta_J^2 W[J]$  is the full propagator:

$$\delta_J^2 W[J] \equiv D[\phi] = \frac{1}{\Delta^{-1} - \Pi} \equiv \Delta + \Delta \Pi \Delta + \Delta \Pi \Delta \Pi \Delta + \dots \quad (8)$$

We shall use here the following notation for free and full propagators, the proper self-energy, as well as general 1PI vertices:

$$\Delta = \text{---} \quad (\text{free propagator}), \quad (9)$$

$$D = \text{—} = \text{---} + \text{---} \circlearrowleft + \text{---} \circlearrowleft \circlearrowleft + \dots \quad (\text{full propagator}), \quad (10)$$

$$\Pi = \text{---} \circlearrowleft \text{---} \quad (\text{proper self-energy, with legs ‘‘amputated’’}), \quad (11)$$

$$\Delta^{-1} = \text{---} \text{---} \quad (\text{inverse free propagator, with legs amputated}), \quad (12)$$

$$\delta_\phi^n S_{\text{eff}} = \text{---} \circlearrowleft \text{---} \quad (\text{general amputated 1PI vertex}). \quad (13)$$

### 3. Skeleton expansion with free propagators

We next review the skeleton expansion for the free energy  $F$  [19, 20], and modify it such that full propagators can be replaced with free propagators. By a skeleton we mean a 2PI vacuum diagram: one that remains connected even if any two lines are cut.

It can be shown [19, 20] that the loop expansion for Eq. (5) can be written as

$$F[D] = \sum_i c_i \left( \text{Tr} \ln D_i^{-1} + \text{Tr} \Pi_i[D] D_i \right) - \Phi[D], \quad (14)$$

where  $i = \{\text{bosons, fermions}\}$ ,  $c_{\text{boson}} = 1/2$ , and  $c_{\text{fermion}} = -1$ . Here  $\Phi[D]$  collects all 2PI vacuum diagrams and the other terms account for overcounting. The full propagators  $D_i$  are

related to their corresponding self-energies by  $D^{-1} = \Delta^{-1} - \Pi$  (cf. Eq. (8)), where  $\Delta$  are the free propagators. Both  $F$ ,  $\Pi$  and  $\Phi$  can be regarded as functionals of the full propagators. The partition function has an extremal property, such that the variation of  $F$  with respect to any of the full propagators vanishes [19, 20, 21], giving a relation between skeletons and self-energies:

$$\delta_{D_i} \Phi[D] = c_i \Pi[D]. \quad (15)$$

Here, we have introduced the implicit notation that whenever a term is multiplied by  $c_i$ , the  $\Pi$ 's and  $D$ 's following it are assumed to carry the same subscript. Pictorially, Eq. (15) corresponds to getting a self-energy by ‘‘cutting a propagator’’ in all possible ways in the set of vacuum skeletons. Hence, knowing the skeletons alone provides full information.

In Eqs. (14), (15), it is the full propagators  $D$  which appear in the skeleton graphs and self-energies. We would instead like to obtain skeletons with free propagators. As a first step in this direction, we expand  $D$  in terms of the self-energy insertions  $\Pi[D]$ ,  $D = \Delta \sum_{n \geq 0} (\Pi \Delta)^n$ , to get

$$F = \sum_i c_i \text{Tr} \left[ \ln \Delta^{-1} + \sum_{n \geq 2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) (\Pi \Delta)^n \right] - \Phi \left[ \Delta \sum_{n \geq 0} (\Pi \Delta)^n \right]. \quad (16)$$

We then have to evaluate  $\Pi[D]$ .

To go forward more explicitly, we restrict ourselves to 5-loop level here. Let the subscript  $n$  denote the loop order, and write  $\Pi = \sum_{n \geq 1} \Pi_n$ . It turns out that we need at most  $\Pi_3$ . In a straightforward way, we obtain

$$\Pi_1 = \Pi_1[\Delta] \equiv \Pi_1^{\text{irr}}[\Delta], \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_2 &= \Pi_2^{\text{irr}}[\Delta] + \left( \Pi_1^{\text{irr}}[\Delta + \Delta \Pi_1^{\text{irr}} \Delta] \right)_2 \\ &\equiv \Pi_2^{\text{irr}}[\Delta] + \Pi_2^{\text{red}(1)}[\Delta], \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_3 &= \Pi_3^{\text{irr}}[\Delta] + \left( \Pi_2^{\text{irr}}[\Delta + \Delta \Pi_1^{\text{irr}} \Delta] \right)_3 + \left( \Pi_1^{\text{irr}}[\Delta + \Delta \Pi \Delta + \Delta \Pi_1^{\text{irr}} \Delta \Pi_1^{\text{irr}} \Delta] \right)_3 \\ &\equiv \Pi_3^{\text{irr}}[\Delta] + \Pi_3^{\text{red}(1)}[\Delta] + \Pi_3^{\text{red}(2)}[\Delta], \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where  $\Pi_n^{\text{irr}}$  are  $n$ -loop 1PI graphs, while  $\Pi_n^{\text{red}(m)}$  are obtained by cutting  $m$  lines in a lower order  $\Pi_n^{\text{irr}}[\Delta]$ , and dressing them appropriately:

$$\Pi_2^{\text{red}(1)}[\Delta] = (\Delta \Pi_1^{\text{irr}} \Delta)_j \delta_{\Delta_j} \Pi_1^{\text{irr}}[\Delta], \quad (20)$$

$$\Pi_3^{\text{red}(1)}[\Delta] = (\Delta \Pi_1^{\text{irr}} \Delta)_j \delta_{\Delta_j} \Pi_2^{\text{irr}}[\Delta] + (\Delta \Pi_2 \Delta + \Delta \Pi_1^{\text{irr}} \Delta \Pi_1^{\text{irr}} \Delta)_j \delta_{\Delta_j} \Pi_1^{\text{irr}}[\Delta], \quad (21)$$

$$\Pi_3^{\text{red}(2)}[\Delta] = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta \Pi_1^{\text{irr}} \Delta)_j (\Delta \Pi_1^{\text{irr}} \Delta)_k \delta_{\Delta_j} \delta_{\Delta_k} \Pi_1^{\text{irr}}[\Delta]. \quad (22)$$

For the explicit diagrammatic characteristics of  $\Pi_2^{\text{red}(1)}$ , see Sec. 5.2.

It is easy now to unfold the loop expansion also for  $\Phi[D] = \sum_{n \geq 2} \Phi_n$ , the last term in Eq. (16). Up to 5-loop level, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Phi_2[D]\right)_{n \leq 5} &= \left(\Phi_2[\Delta + \Delta(\Pi_1 + \Pi_2 + \Pi_3)\Delta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Delta(\Pi_1 + \Pi_2)\Delta(\Pi_1 + \Pi_2)\Delta + \Delta\Pi_1\Delta\Pi_1\Delta\Pi_1\Delta]\right)_{n \leq 5}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\left(\Phi_3[D]\right)_{n \leq 5} = \left(\Phi_3[\Delta + \Delta(\Pi_1 + \Pi_2)\Delta + \Delta\Pi_1\Delta\Pi_1\Delta]\right)_{n \leq 5}, \quad (24)$$

$$\left(\Phi_4[D]\right)_{n \leq 5} = \left(\Phi_4[\Delta + \Delta\Pi_1\Delta]\right)_{n \leq 5}, \quad (25)$$

$$\left(\Phi_5[D]\right)_{n \leq 5} = \Phi_5[\Delta], \quad (26)$$

where the arguments are to be Taylor expanded, with first derivatives obeying (cf. Eq. (15))

$$\delta_{\Delta_i} \Phi_n[\Delta] = c_i \Pi_{n-1}^{\text{irr}}[\Delta], \quad (27)$$

and higher ones bringing back reducible self-energies, defined in Eqs. (20)–(22).

Inserting these expansions into Eq. (16) we finally get, up to 5-loop level,

$$\begin{aligned} -F &= -\sum_i c_i \text{Tr} \ln \Delta^{-1} + \Phi_2[\Delta] \\ &+ \Phi_3[\Delta] + \sum_i c_i \text{Tr} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\Delta\Pi_1)^2 \right] \\ &+ \Phi_4[\Delta] + \sum_i c_i \text{Tr} \left[ \frac{1}{3} (\Delta\Pi_1)^3 + \Delta\Pi_1\Delta \left( \Pi_2^{\text{irr}} + \frac{1}{2} \Pi_2^{\text{red}(1)} \right) \right] \\ &+ \Phi_5[\Delta] + \sum_i c_i \text{Tr} \left[ \frac{1}{4} (\Delta\Pi_1)^4 + (\Delta\Pi_1)^2 \Delta \left( \Pi_2^{\text{irr}} + \frac{1}{2} \Pi_2^{\text{red}(1)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \Delta\Pi_2^{\text{irr}} \Delta \left( \Pi_2^{\text{irr}} + \Pi_2^{\text{red}(1)} \right) + \Delta\Pi_1\Delta \left( \Pi_3^{\text{irr}} + \frac{1}{2} \Pi_3^{\text{red}(1)} + \frac{1}{3} \Pi_3^{\text{red}(2)} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

or, written diagrammatically (and denoting by  $F_0$  the non-interacting result),

$$\begin{aligned} -F &= -F_0 + \Phi_2[\Delta] \\ &+ \left( \Phi_3[\Delta] + \sum_i c_i \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{circle}(1) \right) \right) \\ &+ \left( \Phi_4[\Delta] + \sum_i c_i \left( \frac{1}{3} \text{circle}(1) + \text{circle}(2) + \frac{1}{2} \text{circle}(2) \right) \right) \\ &+ \left( \Phi_5[\Delta] + \sum_i c_i \left( \frac{1}{4} \text{circle}(1) + \text{circle}(2) + \frac{1}{2} \text{circle}(2) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} \text{circle}(2) + \frac{1}{2} \text{circle}(2) + \text{circle}(3) + \frac{1}{2} \text{circle}(3) + \frac{1}{3} \text{circle}(3) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Here a circle with  $n$  inside denotes  $\Pi_n^{\text{irr}}$ , a square  $\Pi_n^{\text{red}(1)}$ , and a double square  $\Pi_n^{\text{red}(2)}$ . We will term the skeletons with free propagators,  $\Phi_n[\Delta]$ , *irreducible*.

Eq. (29) is the starting point of our setup. It expresses the free energy in an economic way in terms of the irreducible skeletons  $\Phi_n[\Delta]$ : either as direct contributions, or as self-energy insertions, obtained from the same skeletons via Eqs. (27), (20)–(22). We note that at  $n$ -loop level, one needs  $\Phi_n[\Delta]$  but only  $\Pi_{n-2}[\Delta]$ .

#### 4. Schwinger-Dyson equations with full propagators

Next, we need to generate the skeletons  $\Phi_n[\Delta]$ , needed in Sec. 3. To do that, we first review briefly the general setup of Schwinger-Dyson (SD) equations, converted to our notation. The SD equations will then play a central role in our main result, Eq. (49), which is an explicit formula allowing for a systematic generation of all skeletons  $\Phi_n[\Delta]$  — in principle to any order. In this section, we follow closely the very enjoyable presentation by Cvitanović [18].

##### 4.1. General $n$ -point functions

The basic SD equation for the generating functional  $Z[J]$  of full Green's functions, derives from the trivial fact that the integral of a total derivative vanishes:

$$0 = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \delta\varphi e^{S[\varphi]+J\varphi} = (S'[\delta_J] + J) Z[J]. \quad (30)$$

For the generating functional of the connected Green's functions, Eq. (3), one gets

$$0 = S'[W'[J] + \delta_J] + J. \quad (31)$$

Finally, for the effective action, Eq. (4), we use from Sec. 2 that  $W'[J] = \phi$ ,  $\delta_J = (\delta\phi/\delta J)\delta_\phi = W''[J]\delta_\phi = D[\phi]\delta_\phi$ , and  $J = -S'_{\text{eff}}[\phi]$  to obtain

$$S'_{\text{eff}}[\phi] = S'[\phi + D[\phi]\delta_\phi]. \quad (32)$$

Putting  $\phi \rightarrow 0$  on the right-hand-side, this gives the SD equation for the 1-point function, while taking derivatives with respect to  $\phi$  on both sides of Eq. (32) and putting  $\phi \rightarrow 0$  only afterwards, generates SD equations for higher-point Green's functions,

$$\Gamma_n^{\text{1PI}} = \delta_\phi^{n-1} S'[\phi + D[\phi]\delta_\phi] \Big|_{\phi=0}. \quad (33)$$

Here  $D[\phi]$  is in Eq. (8), and we note that

$$\delta_\phi D[\phi] = D[\phi] \left( \delta_\phi^3 S_{\text{eff}}[\phi] \right) D[\phi]. \quad (34)$$

A note may be in order here, concerning theories with spontaneously broken symmetries. In that case,  $\phi$  corresponds to the fluctuating field around some reference value  $v$ , typically  $v \equiv \langle \varphi \rangle$ . The quantity we should ultimately be computing is the free energy density as a function of  $v$ , i.e. the effective potential  $V(v) = F/(\text{volume})$ . Then everything goes as before: we still put  $\phi \rightarrow 0$  in the equations above after differentiation, while the condensate  $v$  appears as a parameter in the free propagators as well as in the cubic and quartic couplings in Eq. (2)

(the term  $J\varphi$  linear in  $\varphi$  in Eq. (1) need not be changed [22]). The graphs also remain the same: only 1PI graphs, generated by the loop expansion in Eq. (29), are to be included [22]. Tadpole type graphs often associated with broken symmetries would only be generated if we want to re-expand the value of  $V(v)$  at the broken minimum in a strict loop expansion: writing  $V = \sum_{n \geq 0} V_n$ ,  $v = \sum_{n \geq 0} v_n$ , such that  $V'_0(v_0) = 0$ , implies

$$\begin{aligned}
V(v)|_{V'(v)=0} &= V_0(v_0) + V_1(v_0) + \left[ V_2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(V'_1)^2}{V''_0} \right]_{v=v_0} \\
&+ \left[ V_3 - \frac{V'_1 V'_2}{V''_0} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(V'_1)^2 V''_1}{(V''_0)^2} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{(V'_1)^3 V'''_0}{(V''_0)^3} \right]_{v=v_0} \\
&+ \left[ V_4 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(V'_2)^2}{V''_0} + \frac{2V'_1 V'_3}{V''_0} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2V'_1 V'_2 V''_1 + (V'_1)^2 V''_2}{(V''_0)^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{6} \frac{3(V'_1)^2 (V''_1)^2 + 3(V'_1)^2 V'_2 V'''_0 + (V'_1)^3 V'''_1}{(V''_0)^3} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{24} \frac{12(V'_1)^3 V''_1 V'''_0 + (V'_1)^4 V''''_0}{(V''_0)^4} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{(V'_1)^4 (V''_0)^2}{(V''_0)^5} \right]_{v=v_0} + \dots, \quad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

where the latter terms inside the square brackets correspond to various tadpole graphs, with obvious notation:  $1/V''_0$  is the free propagator of the Higgs particle with a vanishing momentum,  $V'_1$  ( $V''_1$ ) is a 1-loop diagram with one leg (two legs),  $V'''_0$  is a three-vertex, etc.

Let us now illustrate the structure of Eq. (33) for the generic model in Eq. (2). Starting from Eq. (2), writing down indices, and employing Eq. (34), we obtain for the right-hand-side of Eq. (32),

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\phi_i} S &= -\Delta_{ij}^{-1} \phi_j + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ijk} (\phi_j \phi_k + D_{jk}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{6} \gamma_{ijkl} (\phi_j \phi_k \phi_l + D_{jk} \phi_l + D_{kl} \phi_j + D_{lj} \phi_k + D_{jm} D_{kn} D_{lo} \delta_{\phi_m} \delta_{\phi_n} \delta_{\phi_o} S_{\text{eff}}[\phi]). \quad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

We now take further derivatives according to Eq. (33). Putting  $\phi = 0$  after each differentiation, we thus obtain the standard equations (written in the notation of Eqs. (9)–(13))

$$-\bullet = \frac{1}{2} \circ + \frac{1}{6} \text{---}\circ\text{---}, \quad (37)$$

$$-\bullet\text{---} = \text{---}\bullet\text{---} + \frac{1}{2} \text{---}\circ\text{---} + \frac{1}{2} \circ\text{---} + \frac{1}{2} \text{---}\circ\text{---} + \frac{1}{6} \text{---}\circ\text{---} \quad (38)$$

$$= \text{---}\bullet\text{---} + \text{---}\pi\text{---}, \quad (39)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{---}\overset{3}{\bullet}\text{---} &= \text{---}\overset{1}{\circ}\text{---} + \frac{1}{2} \text{---}\overset{1}{\circ}\text{---} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \overset{1}{\circ}\text{---} + \overset{1}{\circ}\text{---} + \overset{1}{\circ}\text{---} + \text{cyclic}(2, 3) \right) \\
&+ \text{---}\overset{1}{\circ}\text{---} + \frac{1}{2} \text{---}\overset{1}{\circ}\text{---} + \frac{1}{6} \text{---}\overset{1}{\circ}\text{---}, \quad (40)
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\text{4-point vertex} &= \text{tree-level cross} + \left( \text{1-loop diagrams} + \frac{1}{2} \text{1-loop diagram} + \text{cyclic}(2, 3, 4) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{1-loop diagram} \\
&+ \{2\text{-loop terms}\} , \tag{41}
\end{aligned}$$

where “cyclic( $n_1, n_2, \dots$ )” denotes cyclic permutations of the legs numbered. We have not written down the 2-loop terms in Eq. (41), since they are not needed in our explicit 4-loop demonstration below. Likewise, all higher point 1PI functions  $\Gamma_n^{\text{1PI}}$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , start with 1-loop graphs in the model of Eq. (2), and will again not contribute at this order; they will for  $\Phi_5$ , as well as in the model of Sec. 6.

Let us stress that in a local theory the manipulations needed in Eq. (33) can essentially be made using regular derivatives, and can thus easily be implemented algebraically. Introducing furthermore  $\hbar$  as a loop counting parameter [23], allows for an iterative solution of the corresponding SD equations.

## 4.2. Vacuum diagrams

The SD formalism above provides equations relating  $n$ -point Green’s functions. To incorporate vacuum diagrams, one can use another simple trick: scaling. Noting that, e.g.,  $Z[J]$  is a functional of all interaction parameters present in the action,  $Z[J, \gamma_{ij}, \gamma_{ijk}, \dots]$ , one can derive hosts of relations by varying any of these parameters.

A most useful example is to rescale the entire action as  $S[\varphi] \rightarrow \frac{1}{\hbar} S[\varphi]$ , and then vary  $\hbar$ :

$$-\hbar \partial_{\hbar} \ln Z[J] = \left\langle \frac{1}{\hbar} S[\varphi] \right\rangle = \frac{1}{Z[J]} \frac{1}{\hbar} S[\delta_J] Z[J] . \tag{42}$$

Rewriting this in the “connected” language (recall  $W = \ln Z$ ),

$$-\hbar \partial_{\hbar} W[J] = \frac{1}{\hbar} S[W'[J] + \delta_J] , \tag{43}$$

allows to finally go over to 1PI functions ( $\partial_{\hbar} W = \partial_{\hbar} S_{\text{eff}} + S'_{\text{eff}} \partial_{\hbar} \phi + J \partial_{\hbar} \phi = \partial_{\hbar} S_{\text{eff}}$ ,  $W' = \phi$  and  $\delta_J = W'' \delta_{\phi} = D[\phi] \delta_{\phi}$ ):

$$-\hbar \partial_{\hbar} S_{\text{eff}}[\phi] = \left\langle \frac{1}{\hbar} S[\varphi] \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\hbar} S[\phi + D[\phi] \delta_{\phi}] . \tag{44}$$

The free energy  $F = -S_{\text{eff}}[0]$  can now be obtained by setting  $\phi = 0$  and integrating over  $\hbar$ .

Noting again that after a rescaling of the integration variables, an expansion in  $\hbar$  is equivalent to the loop expansion [23], one can integrate the left-hand-side of Eq. (44) by  $\int_{\hbar} (1/\hbar)[\dots]$ , but on the right-hand-side one integrates over the loop number. Writing

$$-S_{\text{eff}}[0] = F = F_0 + F_{\text{int}} = F_0 + \sum_{n \geq 2} F_n^{\text{int}} , \tag{45}$$

where  $n$  counts the number of loops, it follows that

$$F_n^{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{n-1} \left\{ S[\phi + D[\phi] \delta_{\phi}] \Big|_{\phi=0} \right\}_n , \quad n \geq 2 . \tag{46}$$

Illustrating Eq. (46) for our generic theory in Eq. (2), we get

$$F_n^{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{n-1} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{circle} + \frac{1}{6} \text{circle with horizontal line} + \frac{1}{8} \text{two circles} + \frac{1}{8} \text{V diagram} + \frac{1}{24} \text{two overlapping circles} \right\}_n, \quad (47)$$

where we again use the notation of Eqs. (9)–(13).

In principle the whole loop expansion can now be generated from Eq. (47), using Eqs. (38)–(41). The  $n$ -loop vacuum diagrams are expressed in terms of 1PI  $n$ -point functions, which in turn are governed by a set of SD equations. Looking closer at it though, it is somewhat of a mess: one has to expand full propagators in terms of free ones and the  $\Pi$ 's, use SD equations to iterate loops for  $\Pi$ 's, which brings back full propagators, etc. Fortunately, none of this is necessary for Eq. (29), as we now explain.

## 5. Generating the irreducible skeletons $\Phi[\Delta]$

The key observation for combining Schwinger-Dyson equations and the skeleton notation in a useful way is that we need to extract from Eq. (47) only a specific part,  $\Phi[\Delta]$ : we already know, by Eq. (29), what all the rest combines into. But then, full propagators can be replaced by free propagators in all but the first term in Eq. (47)! Indeed, any self-energy insertion within one of the other graphs leads to a two-particle-reducible (2PR) diagram. For the same reason, the 1PI vertices in Eq. (47) can be iterated by using the SD equations of the form in Eqs. (40),(41), but with free propagators! More precisely, it goes as follows.

To generate the *irreducible* skeletons  $\Phi[\Delta]$  from Eq. (47), it is sufficient to expand the first term as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{circle} &= \text{circle} + \text{circle with } \Pi &+ \{2\text{PR}\} \\ &= \text{Tr } 1 + \frac{1}{2} \text{circle with horizontal line} + \frac{1}{2} \text{two circles} + \frac{1}{2} \text{V diagram} + \frac{1}{6} \text{two overlapping circles} + \{2\text{PR}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where in the second step Eq. (38) was used. Taking into account the minus sign in the relation of  $F$  and  $\Phi[\Delta]$ , cf. Eq. (29), and writing again the loop expansion as  $\Phi = \sum_{n \geq 2} \Phi_n$ , one finally obtains a closed exact equation:

$$\Phi_n[\Delta] = \frac{1}{n-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{12} \text{circle with horizontal line} + \frac{1}{8} \text{two circles} + \frac{1}{8} \text{V diagram} + \frac{1}{24} \text{two overlapping circles} \right\}_n, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (49)$$

Eq. (49) is our main result. It generates all skeletons of all orders in the theory of Eq. (2), once Eqs. (40), (41) are used (with free propagators). The skeletons, in turn, generate self-energies via Eq. (27) and the analogues of Eqs. (20)–(22). Inserted finally into Eq. (29), we obtain the free energy  $F$ .

### 5.1. Vacuum skeletons up to 5-loop level

The procedure of working out Eq. (49) is simple and mechanical and can, at least up to 4-loop level, even be carried out by hand, as we shall demonstrate. The only complication arising

is the identification of equivalent topologies: the same graph can be written in very many different ways. In order to deal with this situation, it appears easiest to assign an algebraic notation for the different topologies, rather than a mere graphical one. For example, one can count the numbers of 3-point and 4-point vertices appearing in the graph, and within those equivalence classes, one can use a matrix notation for how the vertices are connected. The significant entries of the matrix can be ordered to a single number, and by doing the same for all possible orderings of the vertices, a unique representative (say, the smallest of such numbers) can be assigned to each topology. For an explicit implementation of this kind of a procedure, see the 2nd paper in [16].

Let us now explicitly work out the diagram classes in Eq. (49) up to 4-loop level. For the first one, inserting Eq. (40) gives either a 2-loop graph, or 3-loop graphs to be iterated further on, or directly 4-loop graphs:

$$\left. \text{---} \circ \right|_4 = \text{---} \circ + \left[ \text{---} \circ \text{---} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} + \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) \right]_4 + \text{---} \circ \text{---} + \text{---} \circ \text{---} + \text{---} \circ + \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right). \quad (50)$$

Here the further iterations give

$$\left. \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right|_4 = \text{---} \circ \text{---} + 2 \left. \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right|_4 = \text{---} \circ \text{---} + 2 \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + 3 \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right), \quad (51)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left. \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right|_4 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + 2 \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right), \quad (52)$$

$$\left. \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right|_4 = \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right). \quad (53)$$

We have dropped 5-point functions each time they appear, since in the model of Eq. (2), they start with a 1-loop term, so that diagrams containing them generate higher loop orders.

The 2nd class in Eq. (49) only contributes to  $\Phi_2[\Delta]$ , and is trivial. For the 3rd class in Eq. (49),

$$\left. \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right|_4 = \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + 2 \left. \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right|_4 = \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + 2 \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + 2 \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right). \quad (54)$$

For the 4th class, we only need the 1-loop terms in Eq. (41),

$$\left. \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right|_4 = \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + 3 \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + 6 \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \frac{3}{2} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right). \quad (55)$$

Collecting finally these different contributions together with coefficients according to Eq. (49), we get

$$\Phi_2 = \frac{1}{12} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \frac{1}{8} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right), \quad (56)$$

$$\Phi_3 = \frac{1}{24} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \frac{1}{8} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \frac{1}{48} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right), \quad (57)$$

$$\Phi_4 = \frac{1}{72} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \frac{1}{8} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \frac{1}{8} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \frac{1}{8} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \frac{1}{16} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right) + \frac{1}{48} \left( \text{---} \circ \text{---} \right). \quad (58)$$

Proceeding to higher loop orders, an automatised treatment proves essential, for the reasons outlined above. Implementing our generic formulae as well as an ordering algorithm separating topologies in FORM [24], we obtain in a straightforward way the complete set of 5-loop skeletons,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_5 = & \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram 1]} + \frac{1}{48} \text{[diagram 2]} + \frac{1}{16} \text{[diagram 3]} + \frac{1}{12} \text{[diagram 4]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram 5]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram 6]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram 7]} \\
& + \frac{1}{8} \text{[diagram 8]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram 9]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram 10]} + \frac{1}{8} \text{[diagram 11]} + \frac{1}{8} \text{[diagram 12]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram 13]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram 14]} \\
& + \frac{1}{8} \text{[diagram 15]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram 16]} + \frac{1}{8} \text{[diagram 17]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram 18]} + \frac{1}{16} \text{[diagram 19]} + \frac{1}{8} \text{[diagram 20]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram 21]} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram 22]} + \frac{1}{16} \text{[diagram 23]} + \frac{1}{12} \text{[diagram 24]} + \frac{1}{16} \text{[diagram 25]} + \frac{1}{32} \text{[diagram 26]} + \frac{1}{16} \text{[diagram 27]} + \frac{1}{8} \text{[diagram 28]} \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram 29]} + \frac{1}{8} \text{[diagram 30]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram 31]} + \frac{1}{8} \text{[diagram 32]} + \frac{1}{12} \text{[diagram 33]} + \frac{1}{128} \text{[diagram 34]} + \frac{1}{32} \text{[diagram 35]} . \quad (59)
\end{aligned}$$

Note once more that these skeletons are all that is needed for generating the loop expansion for the full free energy, as discussed above.

## 5.2. Self-energies up to 2-loop level

Now that we have  $\Phi_n[\Delta]$  in Eqs. (56)–(59), irreducible as well as reducible self-energies can easily be obtained with Eqs. (27), (20). For bosonic particles, for instance ( $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ ), we get

$$\Pi_1^{\text{irr}} = \text{[diagram 1]} = \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram 2]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram 3]} , \quad (60)$$

$$\Pi_2^{\text{irr}} = \text{[diagram 4]} = \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram 5]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram 6]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram 7]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram 8]} + \frac{1}{6} \text{[diagram 9]} , \quad (61)$$

$$\Pi_2^{\text{red}(1)} = \text{[diagram 10]} = 1 \text{[diagram 11]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram 12]} , \quad (62)$$

etc. Note that the outcome of the derivative in Eq. (27) must be symmetric in all (bosonic) indices. The 3- and 4-loop self-energies could be derived from  $\Phi_4$  and  $\Phi_5$ , respectively, but we choose not to give them here, since they are not needed for the set of 4-loop vacuum diagrams that we will display explicitly in Sec. 7.

With Eqs. (60)–(62), the ring diagrams in Eq. (29) are readily written down.

## 6. Generic model on the lattice

So far we have considered the generic model in Eq. (2). However, in a lattice regularization of gauge theories, higher vertices appear as well, without spoiling renormalizability. At the generic level, it is straightforward to add such couplings to the theory in Eq. (2). We can include, e.g., terms up to  $\sim (1/8!) \gamma_{ijklmnop} \varphi_i \varphi_j \varphi_k \varphi_l \varphi_m \varphi_n \varphi_o \varphi_p$ , as would arise in lattice

perturbation theory for  $SU(N)$  gauge theories, if one keeps terms contributing to 4-loop vacuum graphs. Such computations would be needed when one converts results of three-dimensional numerical Monte Carlo studies from lattice to continuum regularization [10].

In this case, everything goes as before, except for the appearance of extra vertices in the SD equations, as well as in Eq. (49). We shall here simply spell out the final results, without rewriting explicitly the modified SD equations. We obtain the following additional skeletons,

$$\Phi_3 \Big|_{\text{lat}} = \frac{1}{12} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} + \frac{1}{48} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---}, \quad (63)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_4 \Big|_{\text{lat}} = & \frac{1}{8} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} + \frac{1}{12} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} + \frac{1}{240} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} + \frac{1}{12} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} + \frac{1}{8} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} + \frac{1}{16} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} \\ & + \frac{1}{48} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} + \frac{1}{72} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} + \frac{1}{48} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} + \frac{1}{48} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} + \frac{1}{384} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---}, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

as well as the additional irreducible self-energy,

$$\Pi_2^{\text{irr}} \Big|_{\text{lat}} = \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} \Big|_{\text{lat}} = +\frac{1}{4} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} + \frac{1}{4} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} + \frac{1}{6} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---} + \frac{1}{8} \text{---}\bigcirc\text{---}, \quad (65)$$

where we again assumed  $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

## 7. Applications: QCD, QED, SQED, electroweak theory

As an application of the generic formulae derived above, we consider in this section  $SU(N)$  gauge theory with fermions and a scalar field. This class includes QCD and QED (where graphs containing scalar propagators and, in the latter case, gauge field self-interactions, are to be dropped out), as well as the electroweak theory and scalar electrodynamics (SQED). For brevity, we display here only the vertices appearing in the symmetric phases of the latter theories. We mostly use the language of QCD, referring to the gauge fields as gluons, etc.

The Lagrangian is specified by giving Feynman rules for the free propagators and free vertices,

$$\text{---}\text{---}, \text{---}\text{---}, \text{---}, \text{---}, \text{---}, \text{---}, \text{---}, \text{---}, \text{---}, \quad (66)$$

where gluons/scalars are denoted by wavy/straight lines. Both quarks and ghosts are denoted here by dotted lines; the Feynman rules for them are different, but the symmetry factors agree — the only exception being diagrams with more than one closed fermion loop, in which case both ghosts and quarks can appear in the same diagram simultaneously, reducing the symmetry by an obvious factor.

We do not here write down counterterms explicitly. Coupling constant counterterms can be viewed as a part of the cubic and quartic couplings, while wave function and mass counterterms can be treated as a part of the *irreducible* self-energies  $\Pi_n^{\text{irr}}$ , making their appearance only in ring diagrams according to Eq. (29).

Let us first note that once we write down the summation over the field content explicitly in Eq. (2), the “natural” symmetry factors in front of the vertices change. For instance,

writing the 4-point vertex in the case of two sets of fields,  $\{\varphi_i\} \rightarrow \{A_i\} + \{B_\alpha\}$ , and using the symmetry of  $\gamma_{ijkl}$ , one gets

$$\frac{1}{4!}\gamma_{ijkl}\varphi_i\varphi_j\varphi_k\varphi_l = \frac{1}{4!}\gamma_{ijkl}A_iA_jA_kA_l + \frac{1}{3!}\gamma_{ijk\alpha}A_iA_jA_kB_\alpha + \frac{1}{(2!)^2}\gamma_{ij\alpha\beta}A_iA_jB_\alpha B_\beta + \dots \quad (67)$$

Similarly, writing the 3-point vertex for three different fields,  $\{\varphi_i\} \rightarrow \{A_i\} + \{B_\alpha\} + \{C_M\}$ , one finds

$$\frac{1}{3!}\gamma_{ijk}\varphi_i\varphi_j\varphi_k = \frac{1}{3!}\gamma_{ijk}A_iA_jA_k + \frac{1}{2!}\gamma_{ij\alpha}A_iA_jB_\alpha + \gamma_{i\alpha M}A_iB_\alpha C_M + \dots \quad (68)$$

With these conventions, each tree-level vertex in the graphical notation corresponds just to  $\gamma_{ijkl}$ ,  $\gamma_{ijk\alpha}$ , etc, without any symmetry factors there: all of them are shown explicitly.

The only thing remaining is to write the summation over particle species explicitly also in the propagators of Eqs. (56)–(58),

$$\text{---} \equiv \text{~~~~~} + \text{--->} + \text{---<} + \text{---} \quad (69)$$

Only the vertices allowed by the Feynman rules are kept after this substitution. This generates all the graphs, with the correct symmetry factors.

### 7.1. Vacuum skeletons up to 4-loop level

The procedure outlined above can easily be carried out explicitly, and up to 4-loop level even by hand. The main complication is again the identification of various equivalent topologies, and for this a suitable algebraic notation may be more useful than a graphical one. As a result, for the field content in Eq. (66), we finally obtain

$$\Phi_2 = \frac{1}{8} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{12} \text{---} \text{---} - \frac{1}{2} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{4} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{4} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{8} \text{---} \text{---} \quad (70)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_3 = & \frac{1}{24} \text{---} \text{---} - \frac{1}{3} \text{---} \text{---} - \frac{1}{4} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{8} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{48} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{6} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{8} \text{---} \text{---} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{4} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{8} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{8} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{48} \text{---} \text{---} \quad (71) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_4 = & \frac{1}{72} \text{---} \text{---} - \frac{1}{4} \text{---} \text{---} - \frac{1}{6} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{12} \text{---} \text{---} - \frac{1}{2} \text{---} \text{---} - \frac{1}{2} \text{---} \text{---} \\ & - 1 \text{---} \text{---} - \frac{1}{3} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{6} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{6} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{8} \text{---} \text{---} - \frac{1}{4} \text{---} \text{---} \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \text{---} \text{---} - \frac{1}{2} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{8} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{8} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{16} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{48} \text{---} \text{---} \\ & + \frac{1}{8} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{12} \text{---} \text{---} - \frac{1}{3} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{4} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{4} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{2} \text{---} \text{---} \\ & + \frac{1}{6} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{12} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{2} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{2} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{2} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{8} \text{---} \text{---} + \frac{1}{4} \text{---} \text{---} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram]} - \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram]} + 1 \text{[diagram]} + 1 \text{[diagram]} \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{8} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{8} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram]} \\
& +\frac{1}{8} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{8} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{16} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{16} \text{[diagram]} \\
& +\frac{1}{16} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{6} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} \\
& +\frac{1}{8} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{16} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{8} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{16} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{48} \text{[diagram]} .
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

## 7.2. Self-energies up to 2-loop level

Using Eqs. (27), (20), the skeletons above immediately produce the self-energies of the model in Eq. (66). We obtain

$$\text{wavy line with 1 in a circle} = \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} - 1 \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} , \tag{73}$$

$$\text{arrow with 1 in a circle} = 1 \text{[diagram]} , \tag{74}$$

$$\text{line with 1 in a circle} = 1 \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} , \tag{75}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{wavy line with 2 in a circle} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} - 1 \text{[diagram]} - 1 \text{[diagram]} - 1 \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{6} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} \\
& + 1 \text{[diagram]} + 1 \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{4} \text{[diagram]} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

$$\text{arrow with 2 in a circle} = 1 \text{[diagram]} + 1 \text{[diagram]} , \tag{77}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{line with 2 in a circle} &= 1 \text{[diagram]} + 1 \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + 1 \text{[diagram]} + 1 \text{[diagram]} \\
& + 1 \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{6} \text{[diagram]} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

$$\text{wavy line with 2 in a square} = 1 \text{[diagram]} - 1 \text{[diagram]} - 1 \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} + 1 \text{[diagram]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{[diagram]} , \tag{79}$$

$$\text{arrow with 2 in a square} = 1 \text{[diagram]} + 1 \text{[diagram]} , \tag{80}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{2} \end{array} = 1 \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} + 1 \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} . \quad (81)$$

### 7.3. Ring diagrams up to 4-loop level

To be exhaustive up to 4-loop level, let us finally give the set of ring diagrams for the model of Eq. (66). While there are no ring diagrams up to 2-loop level, from Eq. (29) we get

$$(-F_{(\text{rings})})_3 = \frac{1}{4} \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} + \frac{1}{4} \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} , \quad (82)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(-F_{(\text{rings})})_4 &= \frac{1}{6} \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} + \frac{1}{4} \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} - \frac{1}{3} \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} - 1 \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \\
&+ \frac{1}{6} \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} + \frac{1}{4} \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} . \quad (83)
\end{aligned}$$

Note the extremely economic structure of the skeleton expansion of Eq. (29): the few ring diagrams above summarize 22 (276) 3-loop (4-loop) diagrams.

## 8. Discussion

In this paper we have described a simple practical procedure for systematically generating all vacuum diagrams of a given loop order in a generic field theory.

We have shown that the sum of vacuum diagrams can be written in the form of a modified skeleton expansion, Eq. (29). It contains 2-particle-irreducible ‘‘skeletons’’ with free propagators, as well as various self-energy insertions inside ‘‘ring diagrams’’. The self-energies are, in turn, determined by the skeletons. Thus, all one really needs is the skeletons.

The 2-particle-irreducible skeletons of a given order are, then, generated by Eq. (49). It contains a number of full 3-point and 4-point vertices, which can in turn be expanded using specific ‘‘irreducible’’ Schwinger-Dyson equations (Eqs. (40), (41), etc), where full propagators have been replaced with free propagators. In this way, all vacuum graphs are generated simultaneously, with the correct symmetry factors. Finally, the precise particle content of the theory one is interested in can be specified as discussed in Sec. 7. Our method is also directly applicable to theories with spontaneous symmetry breaking, as only free propagators and vertices are modified; tadpole graphs are generated by Eq. (35).

This iterative procedure is very straightforward and can be automatised, but up to 4-loop level the computations are easily carried out even by hand, as we have demonstrated. Thus, we believe that our setup economises the generation of the set of high order vacuum diagrams, compared with techniques where all the types of graphs have to be dealt with on the same footing, without a separation into skeletons with free propagators, and ring diagrams.

Up to this point, we have not discussed at all the integrations remaining to be carried out, after the diagrams have been generated. Let us end by pointing out that our setup is beneficial as far as their structure is considered, as well, in dimensions lower than four.

The point is that low-dimensional field theories of the type in Eq. (2) are super-renormalizable. In fact, for  $d = 2, 3$ , only the 2-point function suffers from ultraviolet divergences,



as can be seen by simple power counting. Therefore the skeleton graphs which by definition do not have any 2-point functions inside them, do not contain any ultraviolet divergences in subdiagrams. The ring diagrams, on the other hand, do have ultraviolet divergences in subdiagrams. Note, in particular, that since  $\Pi_n^{\text{irr}}, \Pi_n^{\text{red}(m)}$  come with different symmetry factors in Eq. (29), the counterterms in  $\Pi_n^{\text{irr}}$  which make the whole  $\Pi_n$  finite, do not in general immediately cancel all the ultraviolet subdivergences of the ring diagrams.

Consequently, various ring diagram classes can contribute to the overall divergences of the vacuum graphs with potentially infrared sensitive coefficients, coming from the other parts of the final integration, while skeleton diagrams can not. Fortunately, the ring diagram integrations are simpler than those in the skeleton graphs, and this problem can thus be dealt with in a tractable setting [17].

## Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by the TMR network *Finite Temperature Phase Transitions in Particle Physics*, EU contract no. FMRX-CT97-0122, and by the RTN network *Supersymmetry and the Early Universe*, EU contract no. HPRN-CT-2000-00152.

## References

- [1] V.W. Hughes and T. Kinoshita, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71 (1999) S133; A. Czarnecki and W.J. Marciano, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 76 (1999) 245 [hep-ph/9810512].
- [2] T. van Ritbergen, J.A. Vermaseren and S.A. Larin, Phys. Lett. B 400 (1997) 379 [hep-ph/9701390]; K.G. Chetyrkin, Phys. Lett. B 404 (1997) 161 [hep-ph/9703278]; J.A. Vermaseren, S.A. Larin and T. van Ritbergen, Phys. Lett. B 405 (1997) 327 [hep-ph/9703284].
- [3] K.G. Chetyrkin, A.L. Kataev and F. V. Tkachov, Phys. Lett. B 99 (1981) 147; *ibid.* 101 (1981) 457 (E); K.G. Chetyrkin, S.G. Gorishnii, S.A. Larin and F.V. Tkachov, Phys. Lett. B 132 (1983) 351; H. Kleinert, J. Neu, V. Schulte-Frohlinde, K.G. Chetyrkin and S.A. Larin, Phys. Lett. B 272 (1991) 39; *ibid.* 319 (1991) 545 (E) [hep-th/9503230]; B. Kastening, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 3567 [hep-ph/9710346]; S.A. Larin, M. Monnigmann, M. Strosser and V. Dohm, Phys. Rev. B 58 (1998) 3394 [cond-mat/9805028].
- [4] E. Braaten and A. Nieto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 1417 [hep-ph/9508406].
- [5] K. Kajantie, M. Laine, K. Rummukainen and M. Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. B 503 (1997) 357 [hep-ph/9704416].
- [6] P. Arnold and C. Zhai, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 7603 [hep-ph/9408276]; *ibid.* 51 (1995) 1906 [hep-ph/9410360]; C. Zhai and B. Kastening, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 7232 [hep-ph/9507380]; E. Braaten and A. Nieto, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 3421 [hep-ph/9510408].
- [7] A.D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B 96 (1980) 289.

- [8] P. Ginsparg, Nucl. Phys. B 170 (1980) 388; T. Appelquist and R.D. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 2305.
- [9] K. Kajantie, M. Laine, K. Rummukainen and Y. Schröder, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 10 [hep-ph/0007109].
- [10] K. Farakos, K. Kajantie, K. Rummukainen and M. Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. B 442 (1995) 317 [hep-lat/9412091].
- [11] M. Laine, Nucl. Phys. B 451 (1995) 484 [hep-lat/9504001]; M. Laine and A. Rajantie, Nucl. Phys. B 513 (1998) 471 [hep-lat/9705003].
- [12] G.D. Moore, Nucl. Phys. B 493 (1997) 439 [hep-lat/9610013]; *ibid.* 523 (1998) 569 [hep-lat/9709053].
- [13] R. Harlander and M. Steinhauser, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 43 (1999) 167 [hep-ph/9812357].
- [14] J. Küblbeck, M. Böhm and A. Denner, Comput. Phys. Commun. 60 (1990) 165; T. Hahn, hep-ph/0012260; <http://www.feynarts.de/>.
- [15] P. Nogueira, J. Comput. Phys. 105 (1993) 279; <ftp://gtae2.ist.utl.pt/pub/qgraf/>.
- [16] M. Bachmann, H. Kleinert and A. Pelster, Phys. Rev. D 61 (2000) 085017 [hep-th/9907044]; H. Kleinert, A. Pelster, B. Kastening and M. Bachmann, Phys. Rev. E 62 (2000) 1537 [hep-th/9907168]; B. Kastening, Phys. Rev. E 61 (2000) 3501 [hep-th/9908172]; H. Kleinert, A. Pelster and B. Van den Bossche, hep-th/0107017; A. Pelster, H. Kleinert and M. Bachmann, hep-th/0109014.
- [17] K. Kajantie, M. Laine, K. Rummukainen and Y. Schröder, in preparation.
- [18] P. Cvitanović, *Field Theory*, Nordita Lecture Notes (Nordita, Copenhagen, 1983). See also P. Cvitanović, B. Lautrup and R.B. Pearson, Phys. Rev. D 18 (1978) 1939.
- [19] J.M. Luttinger and J.C. Ward, Phys. Rev. 118 (1960) 1417; G. Baym, Phys. Rev. 127 (1962) 1391; C. De Dominicis and P.C. Martin, J. Math. Phys. 5 (1964) 31.
- [20] J.M. Cornwall, R. Jackiw and E. Tomboulis, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 2428.
- [21] J. Reinbach and H. Schulz, Phys. Lett. B 404 (1997) 291 [hep-ph/9703414].
- [22] R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D 9 (1974) 1686; R. Fukuda and E. Kyriakopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B 85 (1975) 354.
- [23] S. Coleman and E. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D 7 (1973) 1888.
- [24] J.A.M. Vermaseren, math-ph/0010025; <http://www.nikhef.nl/~form/>.