

RECEIVED: April 4, 2001, ACCEPTED: May 3, 2001

Cosmological evolution on self-tuned branes and the cosmological constant

Philippe Brax

Theoretical Physics Division, CERN CH-1211 Geneva 23* E-mail: philippe.brax@cern.ch

Anne C. Davis

DAMTP, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge University Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WA, UK E-mail: a.c.davis@damtp.cam.ac.uk

ABSTRACT: We consider the cosmological evolution of a bulk scalar field and ordinary matter living on the brane world in the light of the constraints imposed by the matter dominated cosmological evolution and a small cosmological constant now. We rule out models with a self-tuned minimum of the four dimensional potential as they would lead to rapid oscillations of the Hubble parameter now. A more natural framework is provided by supergravity in singular spaces where the brane coupling and the bulk potential are related by supersymmetry, leading to a four dimensional run-away potential. For late times we obtain an accelerating universe due to the breaking of supersymmetry on the brane with an acceleration parameter of $q_0 = -4/7$ and associated equation of state $\omega = -5/7$.

KEYWORDS: Extra Large Dimensions, Supergravity Models, Cosmology of Theories beyond the SM, Physics of the Early Universe.

^{*}On leave of absence from Service de Physique Théorique, CEA-Saclay F-91191 Gif/Yvette Cedex, France.

Contents

1.	Introduction Brane cosmology		1
2.			3
3.	Cosmological dynamics		7
	3.1	No matter on the brane	7
	3.2	Including matter	8
4.	Self-tuned brane cosmology		10
	4.1	The early universe	10
	4.2	Radiation dominated era	10
	4.3	Matter dominated era	11
	4.4	Oscillating universe	14
5.	Supergravity in singular spaces		14
	5.1	No matter on the brane	15
	5.2	Breaking supersymmetry	15
6.	Supergravity cosmological evolution		18
	6.1	Radiation dominated era	18
	6.2	Matter dominated era	18
	6.3	Broken supergravity	19
7.	Conclusions		21

1. Introduction

Recent experimental results seem to indicate that the universe undergoes a phase of late inflation [1]. Such an accelerating universe can be described from the four dimensional point of view using quintessence models [2]. However, one of the drawbacks of this approach is that the cosmological constant problem is assumed to be solved by an as yet unknown mechanism. Lately it has been advocated that a vanishing cosmological constant can be easily obtained using five dimensional brane-world models [3]. In that context the vacuum energy on the brane curves the fifth dimension while preserving a flat brane-world. In particular this situation is typical in singular space supergravity where flat brane-worlds are a consequence of the BPS equations[4]. It is a challenge to produce an accelerated universe in brane-world scenarios. This has been recently achieved by breaking supersymmetry on the brane-world [5]. The cosmological solutions obtained along these lines correspond to an accelerating universe with a deceleration parameter $q_0 = -4/7$, within the experimental ballpark, and a corresponding equation of state, $\omega = -5/7$. It is therefore highly relevant to couple the previous matter-free scenarios to matter on the brane-world.

The five dimensional brane cosmology is commonly described using the ansatz for the metric

$$ds^{2} = -N^{2}(t, x_{5})dt^{2} + A^{2}(t, x_{5})dx^{i}dx_{i} + B^{2}(x_{5}, t)dx_{5}^{2}.$$
(1.1)

When the three function N, A and B are both time and x_5 -dependent this leads to the violation of Lorentz invariance in the bulk [6]. In that context it is unlikely that a four dimensional effective action can be obtained as a consistent truncation of the five dimensional degrees of freedom. In particular the meaning of the four dimensional Planck mass is not clear if one cannot obtain a Poincaré invariant description of the four dimensional physics.

Moreover it is often argued that one should also impose that the radius of the fifth dimension is stabilized. This is to comply with the five-dimensional rephrasing of the hierarchy problem in the Randall-Sundrum scenario [7]. This amounts to imposing that B is time independent. From the cosmological point of view this does not seem to be compulsory as explicit solutions with a time-varying fifth dimension exist in singular space supergravity and lead to an accelerated universe on the braneworld [5]. In the following we shall use a particular case of (1.1) with two special features. With this choice we will be able to recover the cosmological solutions of supergravity in singular spaces. Similarly the four dimensional dynamics involving the scale factor a, the matter density ρ_m and the restriction to the brane-world of the bulk scalar fields ϕ , is closed in the case of bulk supergravity. We do not require that such a four dimensional description of the brane-world follows from a four dimensional effective action for a and ϕ . We shall adopt a phenomenological attitude and compare the closed dynamics issued from the five dimensional model with the usual four dimensional Klein-Gordon, Friedmann and conservation equations. This will lead to the identification of an effective Newton constant which appears to be dependent on the scalar field ϕ , analogous to Brans-Dicke theory. In the radiation dominated era this dependence disappears and the effective Newton constant is time independent. In the matter dominated era the effective Newton constant is slowly varying in time.

We will examine two contrasting situations. As already mentioned the broken supergravity case yields a phase of late acceleration. From the four dimensional point of view it is a model with an exponential runaway potential. Another interesting possibility is that the potential possesses a self-tuned minimum, i.e. for each value of the brane tension there exists a value of the scalar field representating the vacuum state. These two situations are typical and will be investigated in depth.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we set up brane world cosmology, describing the coupling between ordinary matter on the brane and the bulk scalar field. The cosmological dynamics is considered in section 3 where we derive the full Friedmann and Klein-Gordon equations, showing the special limits of the Randall-Sundrum model [7] and the self-tuned cosmological constant on a brane [3], for recent work see [8, 9, 10]. This is applied to the cosmological evolution of braneworlds with a self-tuned vacuum in section 4. All periods of the evolution of the universe are considered in this section. In the matter dominated phase, our solution gives rise to an oscillating universe. Requiring that the scalar field energy on the brane-world becomes of the same order of magnitude as the matter energy in the recent past, i.e. in order to accommodate a non-negligible dark energy component, we find that the four dimensional mass of the scalar field has to be tuned. In turn this leads to very rapid oscillations of the Hubble parameter now, ruling out the model. In section 5 we consider supergravity in singular spaces [4], with no matter on the brane. We consider the case of supersymmetry breaking on the brane and recover the accelerating universe of [5]. The cosmological evolution in the presence of brane world matter in considered in section 6. In the matter dominated era the Newton constant is time dependent, though it is strictly constant in the radiation era. Since other couplings are likely to evolve with time in a similar manner, this may well evade detection. Finally we show that the universe can be in a phase of late acceleration where the scalar field energy dominates now. Imposing that the scalar field energy is subdominant in the radiation and matter era we find that the amount of supersymmetry breaking on the brane has to be fine-tuned and corresponds to the critical energy density now. This leads to a reformulation of the cosmological constant problem in brane-world scenarios.

2. Brane cosmology

We consider our universe to be one of the boundaries of five dimensional space-time. The embedding is chosen such that our brane-world sits at the origin of the fifth dimension. We impose a Z_2 symmetry along the fifth dimension and identify x_5 with $-x_5$. Our brane-world carries two types of matter, the standard model fields at sufficiently large energy and ordinary matter and radiation at lower energy. We also assume that gravity propagates in the bulk where a scalar field ϕ lives. This scalar field couples to the standard model fields living on the brane-world. At low energy when the standard model fields have condensed and the electro-weak and hadronic phase transitions have taken place, the coupling of the scalar field to the brane-world realizes the mechanism proposed in [3] with a self-tuning of the brane tension. In this section we derive the brane cosmology equations describing the coupling between ordinary matter on the brane and a scalar field in the bulk. Our derivation is similar to [11].

Consider the bulk action

$$S_{\text{bulk}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_5^2} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g_5} \left(R - \frac{3}{4} ((\partial \phi)^2 + U(\phi)) \right), \qquad (2.1)$$

where $\kappa_5^2=1/M_5^3$ and the boundary action

$$S_B = -\frac{3}{2\kappa_5^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_4} U_B(\phi_0) \,, \qquad (2.2)$$

where ϕ_0 is the boundary value of the scalar field. The Einstein equations read

$$G_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} + \delta_{x_5} T^B_{\mu\nu} , \qquad (2.3)$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the bulk energy-momentum tensor and $T^B_{\mu\nu}$ is the boundary contribution. The bulk term is

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{3}{4} (\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(\partial\phi)^2) - \frac{3}{8}g_{\mu\nu}U$$
(2.4)

and the boundary term

$$T_{ab}^{B} = -\frac{3}{2}g_{ab}U_{B}(\phi)$$
 (2.5)

with a, b = 0...3. Following the self-tuning proposal we interpret U_B as arising from a direct coupling U_B^0 to the brane degrees of freedom, i.e. the standard model fields Φ^i . The vacuum energy generated by the Φ^i 's yields the effective coupling

$$\frac{3U_B}{2\kappa_5^2} = \langle V(\Phi) \rangle U_B^0 \,, \tag{2.6}$$

where the dimension four potential $V(\Phi)$ represents all the contributions due the fields Φ^i after inclusion of condensations, phase transitions and radiative corrections.

We also consider that ordinary matter lives on the brane with a diagonal energy momentum tensor

$$T_b^{a \text{ matter}} = \text{diag}(-\rho_m, p_m, p_m, p_m)$$
(2.7)

and an equation of state $p_m = \omega_m \rho_m$. We consider the metric

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(t, x_{5})b^{2}(x_{5})(-dt^{2} + dx_{5}^{2}) + a^{2}(t, x_{5})dx_{i}dx^{i}.$$
(2.8)

This is a particular subset of (1.1) motivated by the possibility of retrieving conformally flat metrics when matter is not present on the brane. Notice that $\dot{b} = 0$ and the only time dependence in the metric appears in a.

Einstein's equations are

$$\frac{\partial_t^2 a}{a} - 2\frac{(\partial_{x_5} a)^2}{a^2} - \frac{\partial_{x_5} a \partial_{x_5} b}{ab} = -\frac{a^2 b^2}{3} T_5^5 \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$-\frac{\partial_t \partial_{x_5} a}{a} + 2\frac{\partial_{x_5} a \partial_{x_5} a}{a^2} + \frac{\partial_t a \partial_{x_5} b}{ab} = -\frac{a^2 b^2}{3} T_5^0 \tag{2.10}$$

along the normal direction. The other components of Einstein's equations lead to the jump conditions on the brane-world. In the following we will only be interested in the dynamics of the brane-world. This is achieved by restricting the equations of motions to the brane-world. The particular choice (2.8) for the metric allows us to write down three independent equations for ϕ , ρ_m and a on the brane-world. These equations are the analogues of the usual four dimensional Friedmann, Klein-Gordon and conservation equations. It is convenient to use proper time and distances on the brane. The proper time is defined by

$$d\tau = ab|_0 dt \tag{2.11}$$

and the normal vector to the brane

$$\partial_n = \frac{1}{ab}|_0 \partial_{x_5} \,. \tag{2.12}$$

We will denote the normal derivative by prime and by dot the proper time derivative. From now on all the identities will be explicitly taken to be on the brane-world at $x_5 = 0$.

The Israel conditions on the extrinsic curvature lead to the following boundary conditions

$$\frac{a'}{a} = -\frac{1}{6}\rho, \qquad \frac{b'}{b} = \frac{1}{2}(\rho+p), \qquad (2.13)$$

where ρ and p are the total energy density and pressure on the brane

$$\rho = \rho_m + \frac{3}{2}U_B, \qquad p = p_m - \frac{3}{2}U_B.$$
(2.14)

The combination $\rho + p$ does not involve the scalar field ϕ . In the absence of matter b can be chosen to be constant in the brane vicinity. Similarly the ϕ boundary condition reads

$$\phi' = \frac{\partial U_B}{\partial \phi} \,. \tag{2.15}$$

These boundary conditions have been obtained using the Z_2 symmetry in an explicit way. They will allow us to eliminate spatial derivatives from the dynamical equations.

The derivation of the conservation equation requires

$$r \equiv -T_5^0 = \frac{3}{4} \phi' \dot{\phi} \,. \tag{2.16}$$

Using the boundary conditions we get

$$r = \frac{3}{4} \dot{U}_B \,. \tag{2.17}$$

Restricting (2.10) to the brane and using the boundary conditions (2.13) we get the conservation equation

$$\dot{\rho} = -3H(p+\rho) + 2r$$
, (2.18)

where

$$H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \tag{2.19}$$

is the Hubble parameter of the brane-world. The last term comes from the coupling with the bulk and involves the time derivative of the scalar field. It cancels the time variation of the scalar energy density $3U_B(\phi)/2$ on the brane. Finally we obtain

$$\dot{\rho}_m = -3H(\rho_m + p_m) \tag{2.20}$$

as in four dimensional cosmology implying that

$$\rho_m = a^{-3(1+\omega_m)} \,. \tag{2.21}$$

Notice that matter does not leak out of the brane-world.

The Friedmann equation is obtained from (2.9). The component of the energy momentum tensor that is needed

$$q \equiv T_5^5 = \frac{3}{8}(\dot{\phi}^2 + \phi'^2 - U) \tag{2.22}$$

involves the pressure due to the scalar field in the bulk. Using (2.15) this reads

$$q = \frac{3}{8}(\dot{\phi}^2 + \nabla U_B^2 - U).$$
 (2.23)

Similarly from (2.9) and (2.13) we get

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = -\frac{1}{36}\rho(\rho + 3p) - \frac{q}{3}.$$
(2.24)

The Friedmann equation is a first integral obtained by putting $a = e^c$. Substituting in (2.24) we obtain

$$\frac{d(e^{4c}H^2)}{dc} = -\frac{2e^{4c}}{3} \left(\frac{\rho(\rho+3p)}{12} + q\right).$$
(2.25)

Using the conservation equation this can be written as

$$\frac{d(e^{4c}H^2)}{dc} = \frac{1}{36}\frac{d(\rho^2 e^{4c})}{dc} - \frac{e^{4c}}{9}\frac{r\rho}{H} - \frac{2q}{3}$$
(2.26)

which can be integrated to yield the Friedmann equation

$$H^{2} = \frac{\rho^{2}}{36} - \frac{2}{3}Q - \frac{1}{9}E + \frac{\mathcal{A}}{a^{4}}, \qquad (2.27)$$

where \mathcal{A} is a constant. The functions Q and E satisfy the differential equations

$$\dot{Q} + 4HQ = Hq \tag{2.28}$$

and

$$\dot{E} + 4HE = \rho r \,. \tag{2.29}$$

Notice that the non-conventional ρ^2 term is retrieved. The functions Q and E capture the whole dynamics induced by the coupling of the bulk scalar field to the brane [11].

3. Cosmological dynamics

In this section we will give the general form of the Friedmann and Klein-Gordon equations when the scalar field in the bulk couples to the brane-world. In particular this will enable us to discuss some of the non-conventional effects of the brane-world cosmology. More details will be given in the ensuing sections where we apply the formalism to the case of a brane with a self-tuned vacuum and to supergravity in singular spaces.

3.1 No matter on the brane

Let us first study the matter-less situation $\rho_m = 0$. We need to solve the differential equations for Q and E. The differential equation for E involves

$$\rho r = \frac{9}{16} \frac{dU_B^2}{d\tau} \tag{3.1}$$

implying that

$$-\frac{E}{9} = -\frac{1}{16a^4} \int d\tau a^4 \frac{dU_B^2}{d\tau} \,. \tag{3.2}$$

Similarly we find that

$$-\frac{2}{3}Q = -\frac{1}{16}(\dot{\phi}^2 + (\nabla U_B)^2 - U) + \frac{1}{16a^4}\int d\tau a^4 \frac{d}{d\tau}(\dot{\phi}^2 + (\nabla U_B)^2 - U).$$
(3.3)

This leads to

$$H^{2} = -\frac{1}{16a^{4}} \int d\tau \frac{da^{4}}{d\tau} (\dot{\phi}^{2} - 2V) + \frac{\mathcal{A}}{a^{4}}, \qquad (3.4)$$

where we have identified the effective four dimensional potential

$$V = \frac{U_B^2 - (\nabla U_B)^2 + U}{2}$$
(3.5)

combining the bulk potential and the brane coupling. The dynamics is completely specified once the Klein-Gordon is written, [12]

 $\ddot{\phi} + 4H\dot{\phi} = -\nabla V + \Delta\Phi_2, \qquad (3.6)$

where

$$\Delta \Phi_2 \equiv \phi'' - \nabla^2 U_B \nabla U_B \tag{3.7}$$

is the contribution due to the five-dimensional dynamics of the scalar field and measures the scalar energy momentum loss from the brane to the bulk. This contribution cannot be derived from the four-dimensional point of view only. It necessitates a global analysis of the equations of motion in five dimensions.

In the following we shall encounter two typical situations. First of all in the cases where a constant scalar field is a solution on the brane, as in the Randall-Sundrum and self-tuned brane scenarios, the loss parameter $\Delta \Phi_2$ vanishes. Secondly in the matter-less supergravity and broken supergravity cases the loss parameter vanishes altogether too. This is due to the global validity of the boundary condition (2.15) throughout the bulk. In the following we will assume that the loss parameter vanishes

$$\Delta \Phi_2 = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Physically this may be interpreted by considering that the brane-world is not an infinitely thin boundary wall but possesses an infinitesimal extension in the x_5 direction over which the boundary condition (2.15) should be valid. In that case one can take derivatives of (2.15) in the x_5 direction and obtain $\Delta \Phi_2 = 0$. Notice that this sets the time evolution of ϕ on the brane-world. It is by no means obvious that a global solution with this boundary evolution exists throughout the bulk. It is the case in supergravity and we shall assume that this also stands more generally.

The equation (3.4) differs greatly from the four dimensional Friedmann equation

$$H^{2} = \frac{8\pi G_{N}}{3} \left(\frac{\phi^{2}}{2} + V\right)$$
(3.9)

as it is an integral equation. In the slow-roll approximation where the time variation of ϕ is negligible compared to the time variation of a one can rewrite (3.4) as

$$H^{2} = -\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}^{2}}{2} - V \right), \qquad (3.10)$$

where we have assumed that the dark radiation term vanishes. It is immediately apparent that the brane-world dynamics does not mimick the four dimensional case as it is the pressure $\dot{\phi}^2/2 - V$ which appears in the brane-world case. This springs from the fact that T_5^5 is the bulk pressure and not the bulk energy density.

3.2 Including matter

The effect of including matter on the brane is twofold. First there is a direct contribution coming from $\rho^2/36$. Then there is a new contribution to E from $r\rho_m$. This leads to the complete Friedmann equation

$$H^{2} = \frac{\rho_{m}^{2}}{36} + \frac{1}{12}U_{B}\rho_{m} - \frac{1}{16a^{4}}\int d\tau \frac{da^{4}}{d\tau}(\dot{\phi}^{2} - 2V) - \frac{1}{12a^{4}}\int d\tau a^{4}\rho_{m}\frac{dU_{B}}{d\tau}.$$
 (3.11)

The first term is responsible for the non-conventional cosmology in the early universe. The linear term in ρ_m will lead to the matter and radiation dominated eras. It involves an effective Newton constant

$$\frac{8\pi G_N}{3} \equiv \frac{\kappa_5^2 U_B}{12},$$
 (3.12)

where we have reintroduced the dimensionful parameter κ_5^2 . The dynamical contribution of the scalar field is encapsulated in the two remaining integrals. The first integral involves the pressure of the scalar field. The last integral is only relevant when the effective Newton constant is time dependent.

In the same fashion the Klein-Gordon equation is modified due to the boundary conditions (2.13)

$$\ddot{\phi} + 4H\dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{3} - \omega_m\right)\rho_m\nabla U_B = -\nabla V.$$
(3.13)

Notice that the new contribution vanishes for the radiation fluid, and we have assumed the loss parameter vanishes, as discussed previously.

Let us comment on some particular cases. First of all when

$$U_B = \lambda, \qquad U = -\Lambda \tag{3.14}$$

together with

$$\Lambda = \lambda^2 \tag{3.15}$$

one retrieves the Randall-Sundrum case [7] with no scalar field in the bulk and a vanishing four-dimensional potential

$$V_{RS} = 0. (3.16)$$

The effective Newton constant is

$$\frac{8\pi G_N}{3} = \frac{\kappa_5^2 \lambda}{12} \,, \tag{3.17}$$

where we have reintroduced the five dimensional scale. The tuned Randall-Sundrum scenario has been used as a paradigm for theories with a non-compact extra dimension; it has been demonstrated to reproduce the usual gravitational interactions, with any deviations being undetectable to present levels of accuracy. Indeed the Randall-Sundrum model has initiated a wealth of studies on the cosmology of extra dimensional theories. In [13] it was shown that there are modifications to the Friedmann equation with extra expansion at very early times, as in (3.11). However, the RS model relies on the bulk cosmological constant and the brane tension being tuned as above. Hence it does not solve the long-standing problem of the cosmological constant. However, see [14] for various suggestions.

Similarly the self-tuned brane scenario of [3] corresponds to

$$U_B = T e^{\pm \phi}, \qquad U = 0,$$
 (3.18)

where T comes from $\langle V(\Phi) \rangle$. This model leads to an identically vanishing fourdimensional potential

$$V_{ST} = 0.$$
 (3.19)

Despite the presence of a varying tension the effective potential vanishes altogether. It is well-known that this model has been proposed as a paradigm for a solution of the cosmological constant problem. Indeed whatever the tension of the brane, i.e. the vacuum energy of our brane-world, one find flat solutions

$$\phi = \phi_0 \pm \ln\left(1 - \frac{|y|}{|y_c|}\right)$$
$$a = \left(1 - \frac{|y|}{|y_c|}\right)^{1/4}$$

where $dy = adx_5$. The fact that the brane-world is flat leads to a vanishing four dimensional cosmological constant. As such, the cosmological constant problem is solved in these models, but they do not explain the observations of a small cosmological constant. They also lead to singularities in the bulk, see for example [8, 9, 15]. We will comment on the coupling of this model to matter in the following.

4. Self-tuned brane cosmology

4.1 The early universe

In the most general case U_B and the bulk potential, U, are not functionally related. We will first describe some of the features of this more general case. Generically the Friedmann equation in (3.11) results in there being four different eras. In the very early universe the density satisfies

$$\rho_m \gg \frac{2U_B}{\kappa_5^2} \,. \tag{4.1}$$

This means that the matter energy density dominates over the brane tension due to the scalar field, and thus the first term in (3.11) is the most relevant.

In that extreme case (3.11) gives

$$\rho_m = \rho_0 a^{-3(1+\omega_m)} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$a(\tau) = \tau^{1/3(1+\omega_m)}.$$
(4.3)

As ρ_m decreases the linear term becomes dominant. This will be followed by the usual radiation and matter dominated eras. Finally the presence of a scalar field with a non-vanishing potential V can lead to a phase of late acceleration in a way reminiscent of quintessence models. Let us examine in detail the radiation and matter dominated eras.

4.2 Radiation dominated era

As long as one can neglect the potential V, the dynamical equations are satisfied by

$$\phi = \phi_0. \tag{4.4}$$

From (3.11) this is a valid approximation as long as

$$\frac{V(\phi_0)}{U_B(\phi_0)} \ll \frac{2}{3} \kappa_5^2 \rho_e \,, \tag{4.5}$$

where ρ_e is the density at equality. For natural values of $\kappa_5^2 \approx 10^{-9} \text{ GeV}^{-3}$ the ratio of the brane potential to the brane coupling must be 10^{-48} GeV. This is an extreme fine-tuning. Note that, if we had assumed a larger five-dimensional Planck mass, then an even more extreme fine-tuning would be required.

In the following we will examine three possible situations. In the first one the potential on the brane-world vanishes altogether. We have already seen that this is the case of the RS scenario [7] and of the self-tuned brane model [3]. This is also what happens in five dimensional supergravity in singular spaces, where the coupling to the brane is determined by the bulk superpotential. The second case we will be interested in is that of broken supergravity, where the supersymmetry is broken on the brane-world. This will lead to exponentially decreasing potentials in a way reminiscent of quintessence models. We discuss this case in detail in the next section. Another natural possibility, to which we devote the rest of this section, is to assume that ϕ_0 tunes itself to be at the bottom of the potential

$$V(\phi_0) = 0. (4.6)$$

This leads to an exact solution of our dynamical equations provided that

$$\nabla V(\phi_0) = 0. \tag{4.7}$$

Variations of the potential are assumed to lead to an adjustable minimum. In the matter dominated era this equilibrium is disturbed leading to oscillations around the minimum, which we will discuss.

4.3 Matter dominated era

If we perturb ϕ around the minimum of the potential such that,

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \delta\phi \tag{4.8}$$

to leading order the perturbed dynamics around the minimum of the potential is described by the Friedmann equation

$$H^{2} = \frac{U_{B}(\phi)\rho_{m}}{12} - \frac{1}{12a^{4}}\int d\tau a^{4}\rho_{m}\frac{dU_{B}(\phi)}{d\tau}, \qquad (4.9)$$

where the integrated terms involving the kinetic energy and the potential are neglected because they are second order in $\delta\phi$. The Klein-Gordon equation possesses a crucial destabilizing term

$$\ddot{\phi} + 4H\dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{6}\rho_m\nabla U_B = -\nabla V. \qquad (4.10)$$

The term proportional to ρ_m implies that ϕ cannot remain at the bottom of the potential. In the following we assume for simplicity that the variation of U_B with ϕ can be neglected. It can be easily checked that this assumption is satisfied by the following solution.

The field $\delta \phi$ acquires an initial velocity and acceleration according to

$$\ddot{\phi}|_{\tau_e} + 4H_e \delta \dot{\phi}|_{\tau_e} = -2\alpha H_e^2, \qquad (4.11)$$

where

$$\alpha = \nabla \ln U_B|_{\phi_0} \tag{4.12}$$

and H_e is the Hubble constant at equal matter and radiation. The motion comprises two components, there are free oscillations due to the mass

$$m^{2}(\phi_{0}) = \nabla^{2} V(\phi_{0}) \tag{4.13}$$

and a forced motion due to the ρ_m term. The solution reads

$$\delta\phi = 2\alpha \frac{H_e^2}{m^2(\phi_0)} \Big(\cos(m(\phi_0)(\tau - \tau_e)) - \left(\frac{\tau_e}{\tau}\right)^2\Big) - \alpha \frac{H_e^3}{m(\phi_0)^3}\sin(m(\phi_0)(\tau - \tau_e)), \quad (4.14)$$

where we have neglected the damping as we assume that the mass $m(\phi_0)$ is much larger than the Hubble parameter at equality. This leads to

$$\delta\phi = 2\alpha \frac{H_e^2}{m^2(\phi_0)} \cos(m(\phi_0)(\tau - \tau_e))$$
(4.15)

soon after equality. As a result of the oscillations in the perturbation, the potential energy oscillates in time

$$V(\phi) = 2 \frac{\alpha^2 H_e^4}{m^2(\phi_0)} \cos^2(m(\phi_0)(\tau - \tau_e)).$$
(4.16)

Similarly, the kinetic energy is also oscillating

$$\dot{\phi}^2 = 4 \frac{\alpha^2 H_e^4}{m^2(\phi_0)} \sin^2(m(\phi_0)(\tau - \tau_e)) \,. \tag{4.17}$$

Now the scalar contribution to the Hubble parameter is

$$-\frac{1}{16a^4} \int_{\tau_e}^{\tau} d\tau \frac{da^4}{d\tau} (\dot{\phi}^2 - 2V) = \frac{2\alpha^2 H_e^4}{3m^2(\phi_0)\tau^{8/3}} \int_{\tau_e}^{\tau} d\tau \tau^{5/3} \cos(2m(\phi_0)(\tau - \tau_e)) \quad (4.18)$$

whose order of magnitude is bounded by $\alpha^2 H_e^4/4m^2(\phi_0)$. At early times in the matter dominated era this term in the Friedmann equation will be subdominant and we will obtain the usual matter domination. However, as in quintessence models, there could be a time when the scalar field dynamics dominate. Coincidence will happen when this is of the order of H^2 , implying that

$$\frac{\tau_c}{\tau_e} = O\left(\frac{2m(\phi_0)}{\alpha H_e}\right). \tag{4.19}$$

If $m(\phi_0) = 10^3$ GeV, this implies that the scalar field will be subdominant even in the very far future. To obtain coincidence now, and thus an accelerating universe, we have to fine-tune $m(\phi_0) = O(10^{-28})$ GeV. Of course we could always keep $m(\phi_0)$ large, the resulting universe would remain in the matter dominated era even in the very far future. As this seems to contradict current experiments we will only consider scenarios where the amount of dark energy due to the scalar field is not negligible now.

Is this scenario realistic? Let us examine the oscillations of the fundamental coupling constants such as the fine structure constant and Newton's constant. Due to the oscillation of ϕ we find that

$$\frac{\delta G_N}{G_N} = \alpha \delta \phi \tag{4.20}$$

during the matter dominated era. The amplitude of the oscillations is

$$\frac{\delta G_N}{G_N} = O\left(\frac{\alpha^2 H_e^2}{m^2(\phi_0)}\right). \tag{4.21}$$

This is very small. When $m(\phi_0) = 10^{-28}$ GeV and $H_e \sim 10^{-33}$ GeV we find that

$$\frac{\delta G_N}{G_N} = O(10^{-10}) \tag{4.22}$$

which is of course negligible.

Similarly the coupling of the field ϕ to fields living on the brane springs from

$$\frac{3}{2\kappa_5^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g^{(4)}} U_B(\phi) \left(1 + \frac{V(\psi)}{\langle V(\Phi) \rangle}\right), \qquad (4.23)$$

where ψ are the fluctuations of the brane-world matter fields. We find that the variation of the coupling constants λ to the standard model fields is

$$\frac{\delta\lambda}{\lambda} = \alpha\delta\phi\,.\tag{4.24}$$

This is the same as for Newton's constant. So we obtain that the oscillations of the scalar fields in the matter dominated era do not lead to a significant time variation of the fundamental coupling constants.

4.4 Oscillating universe

The late evolution of the universe will be driven by

$$H^{2} = \frac{U_{B}(\phi_{0})\rho_{m}}{12} - \frac{1}{16a^{4}} \int_{\tau_{e}}^{\tau} d\tau \frac{da^{4}}{d\tau} (\dot{\phi}^{2} - 2V)$$

$$\ddot{\phi} = -\nabla V, \qquad (4.25)$$

where the damping term is negligible due to the large value of the mass $m(\phi_0)$. The scalar field oscillates freely, as before coincidence

$$\delta\phi = 2\frac{\alpha H_e^2}{m^2(\phi_0)}\cos(m(\phi_0)(\tau - \tau_e)).$$
(4.26)

The scale factor will be a solution of the differential equation

$$\ddot{y} - H_e^2 y \left(\frac{1}{y^{3/2}} - \frac{4\alpha^2 H_e^2}{m^2(\phi_0)} \cos(2m(\phi_0)(\tau - \tau_e)) \right) = 0, \qquad (4.27)$$

where $y = a^2$. We retrieve the matter dominated era before coincidence when the oscillatory term is negligible. Putting

$$y = \left(\frac{3H_e\tau}{2}\right)^{4/3} (1+\epsilon(\tau)) \tag{4.28}$$

we find that $\epsilon(\tau)$ oscillates in time. Indeed it is given by

$$\epsilon(\tau) = \frac{\alpha^2 H_e^4}{m^4(\phi_0)} (\cos(2m(\phi_0)(\tau - \tau_e)) - 1).$$
(4.29)

The resulting Hubble parameter is also oscillating. This should have drastic consequences for our present universe where the matter density is still noticeable. In particular we should observe oscillations of the Hubble parameter with an inverse period of $m(\phi_0) = O(10^{-28})$ GeV, which is of the order 400 seconds. This is excluded experimentally, thus ruling out this class of models. In the next section we shall examine a situation where the four-dimensional potential does not possess a minimum and therefore does not lead to an oscillating universe.

5. Supergravity in singular spaces

In this section we investigate the case of the recently constructed supergravity in singular spaces [4]. This differs from the usual five-dimensional supergravity theories since space-time boundaries are taken into account. In this case supersymmetry breaking on the brane world results in an accelerating universe [5]. We start by reviewing the case where there is no matter on the brane before including matter.

5.1 No matter on the brane

When supergravity in the bulk couples to the boundary in a supersymmetric way the Lagrangian is entirely specified by the superpotential

$$U_B = W \tag{5.1}$$

and the bulk potential

$$U = \nabla W^2 - W^2 \,. \tag{5.2}$$

If one considers vector supermultiplets then supersymmetry imposes that

$$W = \xi e^{\alpha \phi} \,, \tag{5.3}$$

where $\alpha = 1/\sqrt{3}$, $-1/\sqrt{12}$, these values arising from the parametrisation of the moduli space of the vector multiplets, and ξ is a characteristic scale related to the brane tension. It is easy to see that the potential vanishes

$$V_{\rm SUGRA} = 0 \tag{5.4}$$

leading to a static universe with

$$\phi = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln(1 - \alpha^2 \xi |y|)$$
$$a = (1 - \alpha^2 \xi |y|)^{1/4\alpha^2},$$

where we have defined $dy = adx_5$. Notice that b = 1 here. This is a flat solution corresponding to a vanishing cosmological constant on the brane-world.

Let us explicitly show that $\Delta \Phi_2 = 0$ in supergravity. Indeed the scalar field is a solution of the BPS equation springing from the requirement of bulk supersymmetry

$$\frac{d\phi}{dy} \equiv \phi' = \nabla W \,. \tag{5.5}$$

This equality is valid everywhere throughout the bulk. This implies that $\Delta \Phi_2 = 0$ in supergravity.

5.2 Breaking supersymmetry

Since supersymmetry is not observed in nature, one should incorporate supersymmetry breaking. A natural way to break supersymmetry is by coupling the bulk scalar field to brane fields fixed at their vevs. This leads to

$$U_B = TW \tag{5.6}$$

where T = 1 is the supersymmetric case. The new four dimensional potential becomes

$$V = \frac{(T^2 - 1)}{2} (W^2 - (\nabla W)^2).$$
(5.7)

The equations of motion are

$$H^{2} = -\frac{1}{16a^{4}} \int d\tau \frac{da^{4}}{d\tau} \left(\dot{\phi}^{2} - (T^{2} - 1)(W^{2} - (\nabla W)^{2}) \right)$$
(5.8)

and the Klein-Gordon equation becomes

$$\ddot{\phi} + 4H\dot{\phi} = -\frac{T^2 - 1}{2}\nabla(W^2 - (\nabla W)^2).$$
(5.9)

Unlike the case discussed in the previous section, this equation is valid throughout since the spatial derivatives of ϕ are related to derivatives of the superpotential by supersymmetry in the bulk. This follows from the fact that supersymmetry is only broken on the brane. In the bulk the BPS condition (5.5) is still valid. After a boost followed by a dilation the BPS condition becomes

$$\phi' = \nabla U_B \tag{5.10}$$

throughout the bulk and on the brane[5]. This implies that $\Delta \Phi_2 = 0$ when supersymmetry is broken on the brane.

Note that the breaking of supersymmetry on the brane leads to non-static solutions. The explicit solution to these equations is obtained from the static solution by going to conformal coordinates with b = 1

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(u)(-d\tau^{2} + du^{2} + dx^{i}dx_{i})$$
(5.11)

and performing a boost along the u axis

$$a(u,\tau) = a\left(u + h\tau, \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{1 - h^2}}\right)$$

$$\phi(u,\tau) = \phi\left(u + h\tau, \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{1 - h^2}}\right)$$
(5.12)

where we have displayed the explicit ξ dependence. On the brane this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{a} &= ha'\\ \dot{\phi} &= h\phi'. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Now for

$$h = \pm \frac{\sqrt{T^2 - 1}}{T} \tag{5.14}$$

we find that

$$\frac{da^4}{d\tau} \left(\dot{\phi}^2 - (T^2 - 1)(W^2 - (\nabla W)^2) \right) = -h^2 T^2 \frac{d(a^4 W^2)}{d\tau}$$
(5.15)

which solves (5.8). Similarly the Klein-Gordon equation is satisfied.

The resulting universe is characterized by the scale factor

$$a(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{\tau_0} \right)^{1/3 + 1/6\alpha^2}$$
(5.16)

with $\tau_0 = \frac{2}{3\alpha^2} \frac{1}{hT^{3/2}\xi}$. Note that there is always a singularity, either in the past or in the future. As the metric is conformally flat one can derive the four dimensional Planck mass obtained from dimensional reduction

$$m_{\rm p}^2 = \frac{4M_5^3}{(2\alpha^2 + 1)\xi} \,. \tag{5.17}$$

The scale factor corresponds to a solution of the four dimensional FRW equations with an acceleration parameter

$$q_0 = \frac{6\alpha^2}{1+2\alpha^2} - 1 \tag{5.18}$$

and an equation of state

$$\omega_{\rm SUGRA} = -1 + \frac{4\alpha^2}{1 + 2\alpha^2} \tag{5.19}$$

which never violates the dominant energy condition. Notice that the universe is accelerating provided that

$$-\frac{1}{2} \le \alpha \le \frac{1}{2} \,. \tag{5.20}$$

The solution with $\alpha = -1/\sqrt{12}$ is accelerating, and the singularity recedes from the brane world [5]. On the contrary the self-tuned model of [3] leads to a decelerating universe with the singularity converging towards the brane world. It is also remarkable that a purely static solution exists too in that case.

The limit $\alpha \to 0$ is interesting as it corresponds to a free scalar field in the bulk together with a bulk cosmological constant. The coupling to the brane-world is constant too. The solution becomes

$$a(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} e^{|h|T^{3/2}\xi\tau/4}$$
(5.21)

It is a pure exponential associated with a cosmological constant and $\omega_{SUGRA} = -1$. The cosmological constant is identified with $3m_p^2H^2$ leading to

$$\rho_{\Lambda} = 3T(T^2 - 1)\frac{\xi}{4\kappa_5^2} \tag{5.22}$$

It depends on the brane tension and the amount of supersymmetry breaking. As in the four dimensional case, an acceptable value of the cosmological constant requires a fine-tuning of these parameters.

6. Supergravity cosmological evolution

Including matter on the brane results in the full Friedmann equation displayed in (3.11) together with (5.8). In this section we examine the supergravity case in the linear regime where the ρ^2 is negligible in the Friedmann equation.

6.1 Radiation dominated era

We consider the supergravity case first with $V_{SUGRA} = 0$. In the radiation dominated era the Klein-Gordon equation reads

$$\ddot{\phi} + 4H\dot{\phi} = 0 \tag{6.1}$$

combined with

$$H^{2} = \frac{1}{12} W \rho_{m} - \frac{1}{16a^{4}} \int d\tau \frac{da^{4}}{d\tau} (\dot{\phi}^{2}) .$$
(6.2)

We find that

$$\phi = \phi_0 \tag{6.3}$$

is a solution leading to the usual expansion

$$a = a_0 \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}\right)^{1/2} \tag{6.4}$$

where t_0 is some initial time. The Newton constant is identified with

$$\frac{8\pi G_N}{3} = \frac{\kappa_5^2 W(\phi_0)}{12} \,. \tag{6.5}$$

The scalar field remains constant until the beginning of the matter dominated era.

6.2 Matter dominated era

In the matter dominated era the Klein-Gordon equation is modified

$$\ddot{\phi} + 4H\dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{6}\rho_m\nabla W = 0.$$
(6.6)

Similarly the Friedmann equation becomes

$$H^{2} = \frac{1}{12}W\rho_{m} - \frac{1}{16a^{4}}\int d\tau \frac{da^{4}}{d\tau}\dot{\phi}^{2} - \frac{1}{12a^{4}}\int d\tau a^{4}\rho_{m}\frac{dW}{d\tau}.$$
 (6.7)

The solution to these equations is

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \beta \ln \frac{\tau}{\tau_e}$$
$$a = a_e \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_e}\right)^{\gamma}, \qquad (6.8)$$

where τ_e and a_e are set at equality. We are interested in the small α case as it leads to an accelerating universe when no matter is present and small time deviations for Newton's constant.

For small α we get

$$\beta = -\frac{8}{15}\alpha \gamma = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{8}{45}\alpha^2.$$
(6.9)

In a phenomenological way we identify Newton's constant with the ratio

$$\frac{8\pi G_N(\tau)}{3} \equiv \frac{H^2}{\rho_m} \tag{6.10}$$

We deduce that

$$\frac{G_N(\tau)}{G_N(\tau_e)} = \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_e}\right)^{-8\alpha^2/15}.$$
(6.11)

In terms of the red-shift z this is

$$\frac{G_N(z)}{G_N(z_e)} = \left(\frac{z+1}{z_e+1}\right)^{4\alpha^2/5}.$$
(6.12)

For the supergravity case with $\alpha^2 = 1/12$ the exponent is 1/15. As $z_e \sim 10^3$ this leads to a decrease by 37% since equality. For the self-tuned case of ref [3] $\alpha^2 = 1$ the exponent is 4/5, leading to an even greater decrease since equality.

Notice that the Newton constant starts to decrease only from the time of matter and radiation equality and is strictly constant during the radiation dominated era. Nucleosynthesis constrains the variation to be less than 20%. This implies that $\alpha \leq 0.2$, see [16] and references therein. This leads to the upper bounds $q_0 \leq -0.77$ and $\omega_{\text{SUGRA}} \leq -0.85$. Whilst our supergravity solution gave α^2 to be 1/12 one can imagine more complicated theories giving smaller values of α .

However, in our model we would expect the couplings to standard model particles to also vary in a similar manner. This could lead to a variation in, for example, the proton and neutron masses since these arise from Yukawa couplings in the standard model. Since many of the tests for the variation of the Newton constant assume all other masses and couplings are constant [17] it is possible that our supergravity variation would evade detection. In order to ascertain this one would need to consider the detailed cosmological perturbations predicted by the model. This is currently in progress [18].

6.3 Broken supergravity

If we denote by H_{SUGRA} the Hubble parameter derived in the pure supergravity case, then the Friedmann equation in the broken supergravity case is

$$H^2 = H_{\rm SUGRA}^2 + \frac{V}{8} \,, \tag{6.13}$$

where we have used the fact that ϕ varies slowly compared to a. The evolution coincides with the one obtained from unbroken supergravity as long as the contribution from the potential does not dominate. In the radiation dominated era this requires

$$\frac{T^2 - 1}{T} \frac{W}{2\kappa_5^2} \ll \frac{2}{3} \frac{\rho_e}{1 - \alpha^2}, \qquad (6.14)$$

where ρ_e is the matter density at equality. This implies that the left-hand side is much smaller that 10^{-39} GeV⁴. Let us now denote the supersymmetric brane tension by

$$M_S^4 = \frac{3W}{2\kappa_5^2} \tag{6.15}$$

and the supersymmetry breaking contribution

$$M_{BS}^4 = (T-1)M_S^4. (6.16)$$

We find that

$$M_{BS}^4 \ll \frac{\rho_e}{1 - \alpha^2} \,.$$
 (6.17)

Now this is an extreme fine-tuning of the non-supersymmetric contribution to the brane tension.

In the matter dominated era the supergravity Hubble parameter decreases faster than the potential contribution. Coincidence between the matter dominated supergravity contribution H^2_{SUGRA} and the potential energy occurs at τ_c such that

$$\left(\frac{\tau_c}{\tau_e}\right)^{3\gamma+\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2} \frac{\rho_e}{M_{BS}^4} \,. \tag{6.18}$$

In terms of the red-shift this becomes

$$M_{BS}^4 = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^2} \left(\frac{z_c + 1}{z_e + 1} \right)^{3 + \alpha\beta/\gamma} \rho_e \,. \tag{6.19}$$

Imposing that coincidence has occurred only recently leads to

$$M_{BS}^4 \approx \rho_c \,, \tag{6.20}$$

where ρ_c is the critical density. It is relevant to reformulate this fine-tuning result in the $\alpha \to 0$ limit where the universe expands due to a pure cosmological constant on the brane-world, we find

$$\rho_{\Lambda} = M_{BS}^4 \,. \tag{6.21}$$

This is the usual extreme fine-tuning of the cosmological constant. Indeed it specifies that the energy density received by the brane-world from the non-supersymmetric sources, e.g. radiative corrections and phase transitions, cannot exceed the critical energy density of the universe.

Of course provided this fine-tuning is explained, which is not the case from our five-dimensional perspective, the late evolution of the universe is the one described in section 5 with a cosmic acceleration characterized by q_0 .

7. Conclusions

In this paper we have shown that a rich cosmology results from the scenario presented in [5]. We have shown that the inclusion of matter on the brane, bulk supergravity with supersymmetry breaking on the brane results in the modified brane world FRW dynamics. Our brane world universe undergoes the usual radiation dominated and matter dominated transitions. In the matter dominated era, there is a variation in the Newton constant on the brane, though this is probably accompanied by variation in the other fundamental constants such that it evades detection. At late times the Friedmann equation results in an accelerating universe for the bulk potential given by supergravity. To obtain acceleration today we are required to fine-tune the supersymmetry breaking parameter. This fine-tuning is not given by the five dimensional physics, but is no more than is usually required in four dimensions.

We also considered a self-tuned brane, and obtained an oscillating universe at late times. Our solution here required a very small mass for the scalar field. This is to comply with the requirement of a non-negligible dark energy component now. If this is not the case the universe remains in a matter dominated phase. The amplitude of the oscillations in Newton's constant and the couplings to standard model particles and the Hubble parameter are sufficiently small that it is unlikely they could be detected. Nevertheless this model is ruled out as it would lead to rapid oscillations of the Hubble parameter now.

In conclusion we have presented two typical scenarios in order to tackle the dark energy problem from a five dimensional vantage. Both cases are reminiscent of quintessence models where a scalar field either rolls down a run-away potential or oscillates around a minimum. Only the former leads to relevant four dimensional cosmology, though we find that the fine-tuning of the cosmological constant has not been alleviated by going to five-dimensions. It seems difficult to foresee a likely alternative to this result, although some results along these lines have been presented recently [19].

Barring the fine-tuning of the supersymmetry breaking we expect that the model [5] coupled to matter deserve to be further studied. In particular the analysis of perturbations should be fruitful [18].

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank J. Barrow, C. van de Bruck, J.P. Uzan and C. Wetterich for discussions. PhB thanks DAMTP and ACD thanks CERN for hospitality whilst this work was in progress. This work was supported in part by PPARC.

References

[1] SUPERNOVA COSMOLOGY PROJECT collaboration, Measurements of omega and lambda from 42 high-redshift supernovae, Astrophys. J. 517 (1999) 565 [astro-ph/9812133];
P.M. Garnavich et al., Constraints on cosmological models from Hubble space telescope observations of high z supernovae, Astrophys. J. Lett. 493 (1998) L53, [astro-ph/9710123];
A.G. Riess et al., Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe

and a cosmological constant, Astron. J. 116 (1998) 1009 [astro-ph/9805201].

[2] B. Ratra and P. J. E. Peebles, Cosmological consequences of a rolling homogeneous scalar field, Phys. Rev. D 37 (1988) 3406;
P.G. Ferreira and M. Joyce, Cosmology with a primordial scaling field, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 023503 [astro-ph/9711102];
I. Zlatev, L. Min Wang and P.J. Steinhardt, Quintessence, cosmic coincidence and the cosmological constant, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 896 [astro-ph/9807002];
P.J. Steinhardt, L. Wang and I. Zlatev, Cosmological tracking solutions, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 123504 [astro-ph/9812313];
P. Binetruy, Models of dynamical supersymmetry breaking and quintessence, Phys.

Rev. D 60 (1999) 063502 [hep-ph/9810553];

P. Brax and J. Martin, *Quintessence and supergravity*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 468** (1999) 40 [astro-ph/9905040].

 [3] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, N. Kaloper and R. Sundrum, A small cosmological constant from a large extra dimension, Phys. Lett. B 480 (2000) 193 [hep-th/0001197];

S. Kachru, M. Schulz and E. Silverstein, Self-tuning flat domain walls in 5D gravity and string theory, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 045021 [hep-th/0001206].

- [4] E. Bergshoeff, R. Kallosh and A.V. Proeyen, Supersymmetry in singular spaces, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2000) 033 [hep-th/0007044];
 A. Falkowski, Z. Lalak and S. Pokorski, Five-dimensional gauged supergravities with universal hypermultiplet and warped brane worlds, hep-th/0009167.
- P. Brax and A.C. Davis, Cosmological solutions of supergravity in singular spaces, Phys. Lett. B 497 (2001) 289 [hep-th/0011045].
- [6] H. Ishihara, Causality of the brane universe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 381 [gr-qc/0007070].
- [7] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, An alternative to compactification, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 4690 [hep-th/9906064]; A large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 3370 [hep-ph/9905221].

- [8] C. Csáki, J. Erlich, C. Grojean and T. Hollowood, General properties of the self-tuning domain wall approach to the cosmological constant problem, Nucl. Phys. B 584 (2000) 359 [hep-th/0004133].
- [9] P. Binetruy, J.M. Cline and C. Grojean, Dynamical instability of brane solutions with a self-tuning cosmological constant, Phys. Lett. B 489 (2000) 403 [hep-th/0007029].
- [10] V. Barger, T. Han, T. Li, J.D. Lykken and D. Marfatia, Cosmology and hierarchy in stabilized warped brane models, Phys. Lett. B 488 (2000) 97 [hep-ph/0006275];
 J.E. Kim, B. Kyae and H.M. Lee, Self-tuning solution of the cosmological constant problem with antisymmetric tensor field hep-th/0101027; A model for self-tuning the cosmological constant, hep-th/0011118;
 A. Kehagias and K. Tamvakis, A self-tuning solution of the cosmological constant problem, hep-th/0011006;
 C. Kennedy and E.M. Prodanov, Standard cosmology on a self-tuning domain wall, hep-th/0010202.
- [11] C. van de Bruck, M. Dorca, C.J.A.P. Martins and M. Parry, Cosmological consequences of the brane/bulk interaction, Phys. Lett. B 495 (2000) 183 [hep-th/0009056].
- K. Maeda and D. Wands, Dilaton gravity on the brane, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2000) 124009;
 A. Mennim and R.A. Battye, Cosmological expansion on a dilatonic brane-world, hep-th/0008192.
- [13] P. Binetruy, C. Deffayet and D. Langlois, Non-conventional cosmology from a braneuniverse, Nucl. Phys. B 565 (2000) 269 [hep-th/9905012];
 J.M. Cline, C. Grojean and G. Servant, Cosmological expansion in the presence of extra dimensions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 4245 [hep-ph/9906523];
 C. Csáki, M. Graesser, C. Kolda and J. Terning, Cosmology of one extra dimension with localized gravity, Phys. Lett. B 462 (1999) 34 [hep-ph/9906513];
 P. Binetruy, C. Deffayet, U. Ellwanger and D. Langlois, Brane cosmological evolution in a bulk with cosmological constant, Phys. Lett. B 477 (2000) 285 [hep-th/9910219].
- [14] S.H.H. Tye and I. Wasserman, A brane world solution to the cosmological constant problem, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 1682 [hep-th/0006068];
 E. Flanagan, N. Jones, H. Stoica, S.H.H. Tye and I. Wasserman, A brane world perspective on the cosmological constant and the hierarchy problems, hep-th/0012129;
 N. Tetradis, On brane stabilization and the cosmological constant, hep-th/0012106;
 J.M. Cline and H. Firouzjahi, A small cosmological constant from warped compactification with branes, hep-ph/0012090.
- [15] S. Förste, Z. Lalak, S. Lavignac and H.P. Nilles, A comment on selftuning and vanishing cosmological constant in the brane world, Phys. Lett. B 481 (2000) 360, [hep-th/0002164]; The cosmological constant problem from a brane world perspective, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2000) 34 [hep-th/0006139].

- [16] J.D. Barrow and P. Parsons, The behaviour of cosmological models with varying-g, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 1906 [gr-qc/9607072].
- [17] C. Wetterich, Cosmology and the fate of dilatation symmetry, Nucl. Phys. B 302 (1988) 668.
- [18] Ph Brax, A.C. Davis and C. van de Bruck, in preparation
- [19] C. Csáki, J. Erlich and C. Grojean, Gravitational lorentz violations and adjustment of the cosmological constant in asymmetrically warped spacetimes, hep-th/0012143.