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#### Abstract

Two-dimensional hyporeductive triple algebras (h.t.a) are investigated. Using the K. Yamaguti's approach for the classification of two-dimensional Lie triple systems (L.t.s), a classification of two-dimensional h.t.a is suggested.
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## 1 Introduction

Hyporeductive algebras were introduced (see [5],[6]) as an infinitesimal tool for the study of smooth hyporeductive loops which are a generalization both of smooth Bol loops and smooth reductive loops (i.e. smooth A-loops with monoalternative property [7]). By way of fact it is shown that the fundamental vector fields of any smooth hyporeductive loop constitute an algebra called a hyporeductive algebra of vector fields. Further (see [2],[3]) this notion has been extended to the one of abstract hyporeductive triple algebras (h.t.a for brevity) meaning a finite-dimensional linear space with two binary and one ternary operations satisfying some specific identities. It turns out that hyporeductive algebras generalize Bol algebras and Lie triple algebras (see [7],[9] about Bol and Lie triple algebras).
In this paper we consider 2-dimensional h.t.a and the prospecting of explicit expressions of operations for such algebras led us to their classification. In section 2 some specifications of hyporeductive algebras are given and the classification theorem is stated. Section 3 is devoted to its proof (this proof gives the classification process). As a consequence it is pointed out that there is no proper 2-dimensional Lie triple algebra. We conclude with some remarks in section 4.

## 2 Background and results.

Hyporeductive algebras were originally introduced ([5],[6]) as algebras of vector fields on a smooth finite-dimensional manifold, satisfying a specific condition. More exactly it was given the following
Definition 1 [5],[6]. A linear space $V$ of vector fields on an $n$-dimensional manifold with a singled out point e, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
[X,[Y, Z]]=[X, a(Y, Z)]+r(X ; Y, Z) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called a hyporeductive algebra of vector fields with determining operations a and $r$, if $\operatorname{dim}\{X(e)$ : $X \in V\}=n$.

Obviously $a(Y, Z)$ is a bilinear operation and $r(X ; Y, Z)$ a trilinear one on $V$ and $a(Y, Y)=0$, $r(X ; Y, Y)=0$. The relation (1) we called (see [2],[3]) the hyporeductive condition for algebras of vector fields. Considering a hyporeductive algebra as a tangent algebra at the identity $e$ of a smooth hyporeductive loop it is shown ([5]) that a hyporeductive algebra may be viewed as an algebra with two binary operations $a(X, Y), T_{e}(X, Y)=[X, Y](e)$ and one ternary operation $r(Z ; X, Y)$ and then working out the Jacobi identities in the corresponding enveloping Lie algebra, one can get the whole system of identities linking the operations $a, T_{e}, r$. A similar construction is carried out in [2],[3], where instead of $T_{e}(X, Y)$ the operation $b(X, Y)=[X, Y](e)-a(X, Y)$ is introduced (this is made in connection with a more suitable differential geometric interpretation of a hyporeductive algebra of vector fields and then the system of identities above mentioned constitutes the integratibility criteria of the structure equations of the affinely connected smooth manifold associated with a local smooth hyporeductive loop). This led us to introduce the notion of (abstract) hyporeductive triple algebras (h.t.a):

Definition 2 [2],[3]. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finite-dimensional linear space. Assume that on $\mathcal{V}$ are defined two binary anticommutative operations (.), (*) and one ternary operation $\langle-;-,->$ skewsymmetric with respect to the two last variables. We say that the algebra $(\mathcal{V}, ., *,<-;-,->)$ is an abstract h.t.a if, for any $\xi, \eta, \zeta, \kappa, \chi, \theta$ in $\mathcal{V}$ the following identities hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma\{\xi \cdot(\eta \cdot \zeta)-\langle\xi ; \eta, \zeta\rangle\}=0  \tag{2}\\
\sigma\{\zeta *(\xi \cdot \eta)\}=0  \tag{3}\\
\sigma\{\langle\theta ; \zeta, \xi \cdot \eta\rangle\}=0 \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa .<\zeta ; \xi, \eta> & -\zeta .\langle\kappa ; \xi, \eta>+\langle\zeta \cdot \kappa ; \xi, \eta>= \\
& <\xi * \eta ; \zeta, \kappa\rangle-<\zeta * \kappa ; \xi, \eta> \\
& +\zeta *<\kappa ; \xi, \eta>-\kappa *\langle\zeta ; \xi, \eta> \\
& +(\xi * \eta) *(\zeta * \kappa)+(\xi * \eta) \cdot(\zeta * \kappa) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi \cdot(\kappa .\langle\zeta ; \xi, \eta\rangle \quad-\zeta .\langle\kappa ; \xi, \eta\rangle+\langle\zeta . \kappa ; \xi, \eta\rangle) \\
&+\langle\langle\chi ; \xi, \eta\rangle ; \zeta, \kappa\rangle-\langle\langle\chi ; \zeta, \kappa\rangle ; \xi, \eta\rangle \\
&+\langle\chi ; \zeta,\langle\kappa ; \xi, \eta \gg-\langle\chi ; \kappa,\langle\zeta ; \xi, \eta\rangle>=0  \tag{6}\\
& \chi *(\kappa .\langle\zeta ; \xi, \eta\rangle-\zeta .\langle\kappa ; \xi, \eta\rangle+\langle\zeta . \kappa ; \xi, \eta\rangle)=0  \tag{7}\\
&<\theta ; \chi, \kappa .\langle\zeta ; \xi, \eta\rangle-\zeta .\langle\kappa ; \xi, \eta\rangle+\langle\zeta . \kappa ; \xi, \eta \gg=0 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma$ denotes the cyclic sum with respect to $\xi, \eta, \zeta$.

The study of h.t.a is more handy if they are given in terms of identities as in the definition above. For instance, we notice that if in (2)-(8) we set $\xi \cdot \eta=0$ for any $\xi, \eta$ of $\mathcal{V}$ then we get the determining identities of Bol algebras. On the other hand, setting $\xi * \eta=0$, we get Lie triple algebras (i.e. generalized Lie triple systems) and if, moreover, we put $\xi \cdot \eta=0$ then we obtain Lie triple systems (L.t.s).

The question naturally arises whether there exist proper abstract h.t.a. The answer to this problem is easier to seek among low-dimensional h.t.a because of the specific properties of operations (.), (*) and $\langle-;-$,$\rangle . Thus we are led to the study of two-dimensional h.t.a, that$ is to find the explicit expressions for their determining operations. Our investigations led us to the following classification theorem for such h.t.a.
Theorem. There exist proper 2-dimensional h.t.a. Moreover any 2-dimensional h.t.a is isomorphic to one of the algebras described in the table below:

| type | $x_{1} * x_{2}$ | $x_{1} \cdot x_{2}$ | $\left\langle x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle$ | $\left\langle x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle$ | observations |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $\delta x_{1}+\epsilon x_{2}$ | 0 | $\sigma x_{2}$ | $\rho x_{1}$ |  |
| II | $\delta x_{1}+\epsilon x_{2}$ | 0 | $\lambda\left(x_{1}+\sigma x_{2}\right)$ | $\lambda\left(\rho x_{1}-x_{2}\right)$ | $\lambda \neq 0$ |
| III | $\delta x_{1}$ | $\alpha x_{1}+\beta x_{2}$ | 0 | $\rho x_{1}+\sigma x_{2}$ | $\alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0$, <br> $\delta \neq 0, \sigma \neq 0$, <br> $\alpha \sigma-\beta \rho \neq 0$ |
| IV | $\delta x_{1}$ | $\beta x_{2}$ | 0 |  | $\rho x_{1}+\sigma x_{2}$ |
| V | $-\alpha x_{1}$ | $\alpha x_{1}$ | 0 | $\beta \neq 0, \delta \neq 0$, <br> $\rho \neq 0, \sigma \neq 0$ |  |
| VI | $\epsilon x_{2}$ | $\alpha x_{1}+\beta x_{2}$ | $\rho x_{1}+\sigma x_{2}$ | 0 | $\alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0$, <br> $\epsilon \neq 0, \rho \neq 0$ <br>  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\alpha \sigma-\beta \rho \neq 0$ |
| VII | $\epsilon x_{2}$ | $\alpha x_{1}$ | $\rho x_{1}+\sigma x_{2}$ | 0 | $\alpha \neq 0, \epsilon \neq 0$, <br> $\rho \neq 0, \sigma \neq 0$ |
| VIII | $-\beta x_{2}$ | $\beta x_{2}$ | $\lambda\left(x_{1}+\sigma x_{2}\right)$ | 0 | $\beta \neq 0, \lambda \neq 0$ |

## 3 Proof.

First we shall prove the following two lemmas.
Lemma 1. If $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ is a basis of a 2 -dimensional h.t.a $\mathcal{V}$, then the determining identities (2)-(8) of abstract h.t.a has the following type:

$$
\begin{gather*}
J\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \quad-<x_{1} . x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}> \\
+x_{1} .<x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>-x_{2} \cdot<x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=0  \tag{9}\\
x_{i} . J\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \quad-<x_{i} ; x_{1},<x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2} \gg \\
+<x_{i} ; x_{2},<x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2} \gg=0  \tag{10}\\
x_{i} * J\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0  \tag{11}\\
<x_{j} ; x_{i}, J\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0 \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $J\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1} *<x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>-x_{2} *<x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>$ and $i, j=1,2$.
Proof. With respect to the basis $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\},(2),(3)$ and (4) are clearly satisfied identically. Next the left-hand side of (5) now reads $x_{i} .\left\langle x_{j} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>-x_{j} .\left\langle x_{i} ; x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle x_{j} . x_{i} ; x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle\right.$ while the right-hand side reads $<x_{1} * x_{2} ; x_{j}, x_{i}>-<x_{j} * x_{i} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>+x_{j} *<x_{i} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>-x_{i} *<$ $x_{j} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>+\left(x_{1} * x_{2}\right) *\left(x_{j} * x_{i}\right)+\left(x_{1} * x_{2}\right) \cdot\left(x_{j} * x_{i}\right)$, with $i, j=1,2$. Furthermore, appealing to the skew-symmetry of operations (.), $(*),(<-;-,->)$ one observes that the identity (5) gets the form $x_{1} *<x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>-x_{2} *<x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=<x_{1} . x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>-x_{1} .<x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>+x_{2} .<$ $x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>$ so that we obtain (9).
In view of (9), the identities (7) and (8) are straightforward transformed into (11) and (12) respectively.
Finally, and again appealing to (9), we work out the identity (6) as follows: we replace $\xi, \eta, \zeta, \kappa, \chi$ by $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{k}, x_{j}, x_{i}$ respectively where $i, j, k=1,2$ and then keeping in mind (9), we see that (6) gets the form
$x_{i} .\left(x_{1} *<x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>-x_{2} *<x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>\right)+<x_{i} ; x_{2},<x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2} \gg-<x_{i} ; x_{1}$, $<x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2} \gg=0$ that is, we get (10). $\diamond$
Lemma 2. If $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ is a basis of a 2-dimensional h.t.a with zero binary operations, then $<x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=\alpha x_{1}+\beta x_{2},<x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=\gamma x_{1}-\alpha x_{2}$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are real numbers.
Proof. If $x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=0$ and $x_{1} * x_{2}=0$ then (9)-(12) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
<x_{i} ; x_{1},<x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2} \gg-<x_{i} ; x_{2},<x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2} \gg=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$i=1,2$. Now let $\left\langle x_{s} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=r_{s}^{1} x_{1}+r_{s}^{2} x_{2}, s=1,2\right.$. Then, by the skew-symmetry, (13) reads $r_{2}^{2}<x_{i} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>-r_{1}^{1}<x_{i} ; x_{2}, x_{1}>=0$ that is $\left(r_{1}^{1}+r_{2}^{2}\right)<x_{i} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=0$. So that if $r_{1}^{1}+r_{2}^{2} \neq 0$, then $r_{i}^{1}=r_{i}^{2}=0$ i.e. $r_{1}^{1}=r_{1}^{2}=0, r_{2}^{1}=r_{2}^{2}=0$ and this leads to a contradiction. $\diamond$
Remark. Lemma 2 is actually another version of lemma 5.1 in [8]. This is expected since h.t.a with zero binary operations are precisely L.t.s. Therefore the Yamaguti's classification for 2dimensional L.t.s (see also [4], p.312) is included in 2-dimensional h.t.a of types I and II.
We now set ourself about the proof of the theorem.
Proof of the theorem. Since $J\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ seems to be conclusive for the system (9)-(12), it would be rational to work out this system according to whether $J\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is zero or not. Thus we shall consider the two cases $J\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0$ and $J\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq 0$.
A/ $J\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0$.
If we set $<x_{s} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=r_{s}^{1} x_{1}+r_{s}^{2} x_{2}, s=1,2$ then we get $J\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(r_{1}^{1}+r_{2}^{2}\right) x_{1} * x_{2}$ and $J\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0$ amounts to $r_{1}^{1}+r_{2}^{2}=0$ or $x_{1} * x_{2}=0$.
$1 /$. Let $r_{1}^{1}+r_{2}^{2}=0$ and $x_{1} * x_{2}$ be any.
a). If $r_{1}^{1}=r_{2}^{2}=0$, then $\left\langle x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle=\sigma x_{2}$ and $\left\langle x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle=\rho x_{1}$, where $\sigma$ and $\rho$ are some reals. Therefore from (9)-(12) we draw $<x_{1} . x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=0$ i.e. $x_{1} . x_{2}=0$. Hence we get the following first set of values for $\left\langle x_{s} ; x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle, x_{1} * x_{2}$ and $x_{1} . x_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1} * x_{2}=\delta x_{1}+\epsilon x_{2}, & <x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=\sigma x_{2}, \\
& <x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=\rho x_{1}, x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=0 \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

(with any reals $\delta, \epsilon, \sigma, \rho$ ).
b). If $r_{1}^{1}=-r_{2}^{2}$ with $r_{1}^{1} \neq 0, r_{2}^{2} \neq 0$, then from (9) one infers $<x_{1} . x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=0$, whence $x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=0$ so that lemma 2 gives the expressions for $\left\langle x_{s} ; x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle, s=1,2$. Thus we have the following set of operations values:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1} * x_{2}=\delta x_{1}+\epsilon x_{2}, & <x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=\lambda\left(x_{1}+\sigma x_{2}\right), \\
& <x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=\lambda\left(\rho x_{1}-x_{2}\right), x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=0 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

(with any reals $\delta, \epsilon, \sigma, \rho$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ ).
$2 /$. Now let $r_{1}^{1}+r_{2}^{2} \neq 0$ and $x_{1} * x_{2}=0$.
Under such assumptions the system (9)-(12) leads to the following system :

$$
\begin{equation*}
<x_{1} \cdot x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=\left(r_{1}^{1}+r_{2}^{2}\right) x_{1} \cdot x_{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
<x_{i} ; x_{1},<x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2} \gg-<x_{i} ; x_{2},<x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2} \gg=0 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Whence, from the proof of lemma 2 (since (17) is (13)), we get $<x_{i} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=0, i=1,2$ and then $x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=0$. Thus we obtain the trivial algebra (i.e. the algebra with zero operations) that is included in the algebras of type (14).
B/. $J\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq 0$.
Then $r_{1}^{1}+r_{2}^{2} \neq 0$ and $x_{1} * x_{2} \neq 0$. If we set $x_{1} * x_{2}=b_{1} x_{1}+b_{2} x_{2}$ and $x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=a_{1} x_{1}+a_{2} x_{2}$, one finds that the system (9)-(12) splits as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(r_{1}^{1}+r_{2}^{2}\right) x_{1} * x_{2}=\left(a_{2} r_{2}^{1}-a_{1} r_{2}^{2}\right) x_{1}+\left(a_{1} r_{1}^{2}-a_{2} r_{1}^{1}\right) x_{2}  \tag{18}\\
\left(r_{1}^{1}+r_{2}^{2}\right) x_{1} \cdot\left(x_{1} * x_{2}\right)=<x_{1} ; x_{1},<x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2} \gg- \\
-<x_{1} ; x_{2},<x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2} \gg  \tag{19}\\
x_{1} *\left(x_{1} * x_{2}\right)=0  \tag{20}\\
<x_{j} ; x_{1}, x_{1} * x_{2}>=0 \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

(for $i=1$ ), $j=1,2$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(r_{1}^{1}+r_{2}^{2}\right) x_{1} * x_{2}=\left(a_{2} r_{2}^{1}-a_{1} r_{2}^{2}\right) x_{1}+\left(a_{1} r_{1}^{2}-a_{2} r_{1}^{1}\right) x_{2}  \tag{22}\\
\left(r_{1}^{1}+r_{2}^{2}\right) x_{2} \cdot\left(x_{1} * x_{2}\right)=<x_{2} ; x_{1},<x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2} \gg- \\
-<x_{2} ; x_{2},<x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2} \gg  \tag{23}\\
x_{2} *\left(x_{1} * x_{2}\right)=0  \tag{24}\\
<x_{j} ; x_{2}, x_{1} * x_{2}>=0 \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

(for $i=2$ ), $j=1,2$.
1/. Consider the system (18)-(21). From (20) and (18) we conclude that $a_{1} r_{1}^{2}-a_{2} r_{1}^{1}=0$ and $a_{2} r_{2}^{1}-a_{1} r_{2}^{2} \neq 0\left(\right.$ since $\left.x_{1} * x_{2} \neq 0\right)$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1} * x_{2}=\delta x_{1}(\delta \neq 0), & <x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=0(\text { from }(19)), \\
& <x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>\neq 0, x_{1} \cdot x_{2} \neq 0(\text { from }(18)) . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, discussing on the coefficients $a_{1}, a_{2}, r_{2}^{1}, r_{2}^{2}$ and their compatibility with respect to (18)-(21), (26), the set of values (26) splits as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1} * x_{2}=\delta x_{1}, \quad & <x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=0 \\
& <x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=\rho x_{1}+\sigma x_{2}, x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=\beta x_{2} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

(with $\delta \neq 0, \rho \neq 0, \sigma \neq 0, \beta \neq 0$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1} * x_{2}=-\alpha x_{1}, & <x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=0 \\
& <x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=\lambda\left(\rho x_{1}+x_{2}\right), x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=\alpha x_{1} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

(with $\alpha \neq 0, \lambda \neq 0$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1} * x_{2}=\delta x_{1}, & <x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=0, \\
& <x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=\rho x_{1}+\sigma x_{2}, x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=\alpha x_{1}+\beta x_{2}, \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

(with $\alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0 \quad \delta \neq 0, \sigma \neq 0$ and $\alpha \sigma-\beta \rho \neq 0$ ).
$2 /$. Consider now the system (22)-(25). One may notice that this system is "symmetric" to the preceeding (18)-(21). Therefore, proceeding as in $1 /$, we get the following three sets of operations values:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1} * x_{2}=\epsilon x_{2}, & <x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=\rho x_{1}+\sigma x_{2}, \\
& <x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=0, x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=\alpha x_{1}, \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

(with $\epsilon \neq 0, \rho \neq 0, \sigma \neq 0, \alpha \neq 0$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1} * x_{2}=-\beta x_{2}, & <x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=\lambda\left(x_{1}+\sigma x_{2}\right), \\
& <x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=0, x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=\beta x_{2}, \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

(with $\beta \neq 0, \lambda \neq 0$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1} * x_{2}=\epsilon x_{2}, & <x_{1} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=\rho x_{1}+\sigma x_{2}, \\
& <x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}>=0, x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=\alpha x_{1}+\beta x_{2}, \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

(with $\alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0, \rho \neq 0, \epsilon \neq 0$ and $\alpha \sigma-\beta \rho \neq 0$ ).
Hence, gathering the set of values (14), (15), (27)-(29) and (30)-(32), we get the table of the theorem. This completes our proof. $\diamond$
Corollary. There is no proper 2-dimensional Lie triple algebra; more precisely the only 2dimensional such algebras are L.t.s or the trivial algebra. Proof. As noticed in section 2, any h.t.a $\{\mathcal{V}, *, .,<-;-,->\}$ becomes a Lie triple algebra by setting $x * y=0$ for all $x, y$ in $\mathcal{V}$. So that 2-dimensional Lie triple algebras must be included in algebras of types I,II. But then $x . y=0$, for all $x, y$ in $\mathcal{V}$. This means that we get either the trivial algebra or L.t.s. $\diamond$

## 4 Concluding remarks.

1. The algebras of types I,II are 2-dimensional Bol algebras (proper or not). Those of types III-VIII are proper 2-dimensional h.t.a, and so the class of such algebras is rather wide.
2. Although the survey may be conjectured tedious and lengthy, the classification of 3-dimensional h.t.a is similar to the 2 -dimensional ones. For instance, one easily checks up that any 3dimensional h.t.a is completely determined by the given of 15 different binary and ternary operations on basis elements with respect to operations (*), (.) and ( $<-;-,-\rangle$ ). Moreover, for dimension 3 there are nontrivial identities of types (2)-(4). This latter circumstance obviously makes the classification more fastidious. However it may be helpful to observe that such a classification must include examples of 3-dimensional Bol algebras that could be drawn from [1].
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