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 ested mathematician to test new algorithms and software, see e.g. [5]. In particular, boundary problems as considered here continue to challenge the numerically interapply in most situations. On the other hand, due to their practical relevance, free conditions and differential equations. Thus standard techniques from PDE fail to ties originate in the nonlinearities and the complicated coupling between boundary compare e.g. [4], [23], [25], [26] and the literature cited there. The arising difficulsatisfactory theory has reached only limited success; for diverse existence results

 ible flows with fixed boundaries, see [15], strong mathematical results on the fluid

 in a large number of contributions $[1],[2],[3],[17],[18],[22],[27]$ further types of varivariational characterization of free boundaries in steady potential flows. Since then, under various boundary conditions. Later on, K.O. Friedrichs in [7] recognized the a general formulation of Hamilton's principle for the motion of compressible fluids L. Lichtenstein, in his classical textbook [14], seemingly was the first, who gave frequency exterior exitations are analyzed.
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flows with partially free boundaries has been pursued in the textbook [18]; ordinary differential equations including the geometric aspects of the underlying variational principle are treated in [29].

Our paper, in Section 1, is aimed at formulating diverse variational principles governing the evolution of a compressible potential flow driven by volume forces as well as by surface tension and acoustic loading along the free and fixed (container-) boundary parts, respectively. The computation of the Hamilton action in Theorem 1.1 requires the solution of a time-dependent family of Neumann problems for an elliptic equation. On the other hand, this preliminary step may be avoided at the expense of introducing additional state variables. This is performed in detail in Theorems 1.2, 1.3.

When acoustic loading is included, the behaviour of the system may change in an unexpected way. During high-frequency exitation the time-averaged free surface can take a position far from the corresponding capillary shape, cf. [8], [16], [30] for a review on experimental work on this subject. We draw attention also to [13] where the related problem of acoustic flattening of a rotating liquid drop has been treated. Having in mind the mechanical analogy in nonlinear pendulum theory [11], in [28] the last author raised the question whether the mean surface position is determined by some kind of "vibrocapillary" force and a corresponding principle of minimal potential energy which, at the same time, would allow to distinguish between stability and instability of an averaged surface shape.

Section 2 adresses the mathematical background of this question. By transformation to nondimensional variables and introduction of a small parameter characterizing the high-frequency contributions of the exitation we construct via truncating the Hamilton action a class of oscillating solutions. Their time-averaged free boundaries turn out to be critical points of a time-independent "quasi-potential". This is outlined in Theorems 2.2, 2.3. To get further information about the principal symbol and the mapping properties of the corresponding Jacobi operator, in Section 3, Theorem 3.3 we compute the second variation of that potential.

In a forthcoming second part of this paper we apply the results developed below to a numerical study of the experimentally observed vibrocapillary phenomena.

## 1 Variational principles

In the following let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ euclidean coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and let $t$ denote the time. We consider the unsteady motion of a compressible fluid occupying a time-dependent bounded domain $Q(t)$ which is part of a rigid container $\widetilde{Q}=\{x \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{3} \mid \eta(x)<0\right\}$ with a smooth function $\eta$. Let $\partial Q(t)=S_{1}(t) \cup S_{2} \cup \Sigma(t)$ with $S_{1}, S_{2} \subseteq \partial \widetilde{Q}$, where $S_{2}$ denotes the (time-independent) location of an acoustic source and $\Sigma(t)=\{x \in \widetilde{Q} \mid \xi(x, t)=0\}$ is the moving free boundary. In the following $\nabla \xi$ is assumed to point to the exterior of $Q(t)$ always. If $\varphi=\varphi(x, t), p=p(x, t)$ and $\rho=\rho(x, t)$ are velocity potential, pressure and density of the liquid, then the free boundary problem considered here reads as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho \nabla\left(\dot{\varphi}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+U\right)=-\nabla p \quad \text { in } \quad Q(t),  \tag{1.1}\\
\dot{\rho}+\operatorname{div}(\rho \nabla \varphi)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad Q(t) \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{n} \varphi=0 \text { on } S_{1}(t), \quad \partial_{n} \varphi=-|\nabla \xi|^{-1} \dot{\xi} \text { on } \Sigma(t), \quad \rho \partial_{n} \varphi=V \text { on } S_{2} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $U=U(x, t)$ is the potential of volume forces and $V=V(x, t)$ measures the normal component of velocity of the acoustic source. Throughout the paper $\partial_{n}$ is the derivative relative to the outer normal $n=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)$ of $\partial Q(t)$ and a dot denotes differentiation with respect to time. On $\Sigma(t)$ and $\partial \Sigma(t)$, respectively, the free boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
p-2 \sigma H=p_{0} \quad \text { on } \quad \Sigma(t),  \tag{1.4}\\
-\nabla \eta \nabla \xi=\beta|\nabla \eta||\nabla \xi| \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Sigma(t) \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

have to be fulfilled. Here $H$ denotes the mean curvature of $\Sigma$ and $p_{0}$ is the outer atmospheric pressure which we assume to be constant. $\sigma$ is the coefficient of surface tension, $\beta$ the relative adhesion coefficient between the fluid and the bounding walls. In our setting the system above is completed by a barotropic pressure-density relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\rho(p) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Additionally, to guarantee mass conservation we impose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{2}} V d S=0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as an constraint on $V$.
To establish Hamilton's principle for (1.1)-(1.6) we introduce the following notation: For given $\xi$ and $\rho$ let $\varphi$ be the solution (defined up to a constant) of the Neumann problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{div}(\rho \nabla \varphi)=-\dot{\rho} \quad \text { in } \quad Q(t),  \tag{1.8}\\
\partial_{n} \varphi=0 \text { on } S_{1}(t), \quad \rho \partial_{n} \varphi=V \text { on } S_{2}, \quad \partial_{n} \varphi=-|\nabla \xi|^{-1} \dot{\xi} \text { on } \Sigma(t) . \tag{1.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (1.8) is uniformly elliptic as long as $\rho$ is bounded positively against zero. To guarantee the solvability of the Neumann problem (1.8), (1.9), in addition to (1.7), we have to restrict $\xi$ and $\rho$, which we choose as state variables in the following, to satisfy the constraint

$$
\int_{Q(t)} \dot{\rho} d Q-\int_{\Sigma(t)} \rho|\nabla \xi|^{-1} \dot{\xi} d \Sigma=0
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q(t)} \rho d Q=\text { const } \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. conservation of total mass. Letting $W=W(\rho)$ the inner energy density of the fluid, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\rho^{2} W^{\prime}(\rho) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives the inverse funtion to (1.6). With this notation

$$
\begin{align*}
L(t, \xi, \rho, \dot{\xi}, \dot{\rho})=\int_{Q(t)} & \rho\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}-W(\rho)-U\right) d Q  \tag{1.12}\\
& -\sigma\left(|\Sigma(t)|-\beta\left|S_{1}(t)\right|\right)-p_{0}|Q(t)|
\end{align*}
$$

defines the Lagrangian of (1.1)-(1.6). In (1.12) the term $\sigma|\Sigma(t)|$ corresponds to the free surface energy and $\beta\left|S_{1}(t)\right|$ measures the wetting energy. Due to compressibility we have to include the work $p_{0}|Q(t)|$ of the outer pressure.

Theorem 1.1. For a fixed time intervall $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\xi, \rho)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} L d t \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the action corresonding to (1.12), considered under the restriction (1.10), and subject to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\delta \xi\right|_{t_{1}, t_{2}}=0,\left.\quad \delta \rho\right|_{t_{1}, t_{2}}=0 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then any sufficiently regular solution $\xi, \rho$ of the variational equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\xi} A(\xi, \rho)\{\delta \xi\}+\delta_{\rho} A(\xi, \rho)\{\delta \rho\}=0 \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

- for all variations $\delta \xi, \delta \rho$ compatible with (1.10), (1.14) - satisfies the equations of motion (1.1)-(1.6) in $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ (with velocity potential $\varphi$ computed from (1.8), (1.9) and pressure given by (1.11)).

Proof. Our starting point is the weak formulation of the Neumann problem (1.8) defining $\varphi$ in dependence of $\xi, \rho$ :

$$
\int_{Q(t)} \dot{\rho} \psi d Q=\int_{Q(t)} \rho \nabla \varphi \nabla \psi d Q-\int_{S_{2}} V \psi d S+\int_{\Sigma(t)} \rho \dot{\xi} \psi|\nabla \xi|^{-1} d \Sigma
$$

for all sufficiently smooth functions $\psi=\psi(\cdot, t)$ on $Q(t)$. Remembering the general differentiation rule for integrals over a time-dependent domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{Q(t)} f d Q=-\int_{\Sigma(t)} f \dot{\xi}|\nabla \xi|^{-1} d \Sigma+\int_{Q(t)} \dot{f} d Q \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(note that $\nabla \xi$ is directed towards the exterior of $Q(t)$ ) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{Q(t)} \rho \psi d Q-\int_{Q(t)} \rho \dot{\psi} d Q=\int_{Q(t)} \rho \nabla \varphi \nabla \psi d Q-\int_{S_{2}} V \psi d S \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functional (1.12) is defined under the constraint (1.10) only. Therefore, computing its derivative requires

$$
\int_{Q(t)} \delta \rho d Q-\int_{\Sigma(t)} \rho \delta \xi|\nabla \xi|^{-1} d \Sigma=0
$$

for the variations $\delta \xi, \delta \rho$. In the following we compute the partial derivatives $\delta_{\xi} A, \delta_{\rho} A$ under that assumption. We start with the variation of $A$ with respect to $\rho$. From the definition of $L$ and $A$ we get immediately

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\rho} A=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{Q(t)} \delta \rho\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}-\frac{d}{d \rho}(\rho W)-U\right)+\rho \nabla \varphi \nabla \delta \varphi d Q d t \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, setting $\psi=\varphi$ in the $\rho$-variation of equation (1.17) and using (1.14) yields

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{Q(t)} \rho \nabla \varphi \nabla \delta \varphi d Q d t=-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{Q(t)} \delta \rho\left(\dot{\varphi}+|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right) d Q d t
$$

Substituting this into (1.18) finally gives

$$
\delta_{\rho} A=-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{Q(t)} \delta \rho\left(\dot{\varphi}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+\frac{d}{d \rho}(\rho W)+U\right) d Q
$$

Similarly, by computing the variation of $A$ with respect to $\xi$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{\xi} A= & \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\{\int_{Q(t)} \rho \nabla \varphi \nabla \delta \varphi d Q\right. \\
& -\int_{\Sigma(t)} \delta \xi|\nabla \xi|^{-1}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}-\rho W-\rho U-p_{0}-2 \sigma H\right) d \Sigma  \tag{1.19}\\
& \left.+\sigma \int_{\partial \Sigma} \delta \xi\left(|\nabla \xi|^{-1}|\nabla \eta|^{-1} \nabla \eta \nabla \xi+\beta\right) d l\right\} d t
\end{align*}
$$

(concerning the variation of the surface area see e.g. [9]). Furthermore, variation of (1.17) with respect to $\xi$ implies

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{Q(t)} \rho \nabla \varphi \nabla \delta \varphi d Q d t=-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{\Sigma(t)} \rho\left(\dot{\varphi}+|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right) \delta \xi|\nabla \xi|^{-1} d \Sigma d t
$$

hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{\xi} A=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\{ & \int_{\Sigma(t)}\left(\rho\left(\dot{\varphi}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+W+U\right)+p_{0}+2 \sigma H\right) \delta \xi|\nabla \xi|^{-1} d \Sigma \\
& \left.+\sigma \int_{\partial \Sigma} \delta \xi\left(|\nabla \eta|^{-1}|\nabla \xi|^{-1} \nabla \eta \nabla \xi+\beta\right) d l\right\} d t \tag{1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously, the integrals on the right-hand sides of (1.19), (1.20) can be thought of as linear functionals also without any restriction on the variables $\delta \xi, \delta \rho$. Adopting this
point of view comparision of (1.19), (1.20) with (1.15) via the Lagrange multiplier rule leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\rho} A\{\delta \rho\}=-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \lambda \int_{Q(t)} \delta \rho d Q d t \\
& \delta_{\xi} A\{\delta \xi\}=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \lambda \int_{\Sigma(t)} \rho \delta \xi|\nabla \xi|^{-1} d \Sigma d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\delta \xi, \delta \rho$ with a time-dependent Lagrange multiplier $\lambda=\lambda(t)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{\varphi}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+\frac{d}{d \rho}(\rho W)+U=\lambda \quad \text { in } \quad Q(t)  \tag{1.21}\\
\rho\left(\dot{\varphi}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+U+W\right)+p_{0}+2 \sigma H=\lambda \rho \quad \text { on } \quad \Sigma(t)
\end{gather*}
$$

and, as a result of variation along $\partial \Sigma$ :

$$
|\nabla \eta|^{-1}|\nabla \xi|^{-1} \nabla \eta \nabla \xi+\beta=0
$$

Computing the pressure $p$ from (1.11) this implies (1.1)-(1.6).
Remark. From (1.11) and (1.21) it follows

$$
\rho\left(\dot{\varphi}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+U+W\right)+p=\lambda \rho
$$

along any extremal. By adding a suitable time-dependent constant to $\varphi$ we can assume $\lambda=0$ without loss of generality. With this normalization of $\varphi$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\{\int_{Q(t)}\left(p-p_{0}\right) d Q-\sigma\left(|\Sigma(t)|-\beta\left|S_{1}(t)\right|\right)\right\} d t \\
& +\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{S_{2}} V \varphi d S d t+\left.\int_{Q(t)} \rho \varphi d Q\right|_{t_{1}} ^{t_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for the action along an extremal. Here the kinetic energy is expressed as an integral over the pressure, cf. also [10].

In Theorem 1.1 the computation of the velocity potential requires the solution of a Neumann problem. In particular for numerical purposes it is desirable to avoid this preliminary step. As in [2], [17] and [20] we introduce $\varphi$ as an additional independent variable. In this case (1.8) as well as the boundary conditions (1.9) turn into natural optimality conditions. Our starting point is the observation that the $\varphi$-variation of the functional

$$
J(\xi, \rho, \varphi)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\{\int_{Q(t)}-\rho\left(\dot{\varphi}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right) d Q+\int_{S_{2}} V \varphi d S\right\} d t
$$

leads to (1.8), (1.9). In fact,

$$
\delta_{\varphi} J=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\{\int_{Q(t)}-\rho(\delta \dot{\varphi}+\nabla \varphi \nabla \delta \varphi) d Q+\int_{S_{2}} V \delta \varphi d S\right\} d t
$$

and, after integration by parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\varphi} J= & \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\{\int_{Q(t)}(\dot{\rho}+\operatorname{div}(\rho \nabla \varphi)) \delta \varphi d Q\right. \\
& -\int_{S_{1}(t)} \rho \partial_{n} \varphi \delta \varphi d S-\int_{S_{2}}\left(\rho \partial_{n} \varphi-V\right) \delta \varphi d S \\
& \left.-\int_{\Sigma(t)} \rho\left(\partial_{n} \varphi-\dot{\xi}|\nabla \xi|^{-1}\right) \delta \varphi d S\right\} d t-\left.\int_{Q(t)} \rho \delta \varphi d Q\right|_{t_{1}} ^{t_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

in view of (1.16). Hence, $\delta_{\varphi} J(\xi, \rho, \varphi)\{\delta \varphi\}=0$ for all $\delta \varphi$ with $\left.\delta \varphi\right|_{t_{1}, t_{2}}=0$ implies $\varphi$ to be a solution of (1.8), (1.9); note that in this situation the solvability condition for $(1.8),(1.9)$ is met automatically. With this velocity potential $\varphi$ we obtain

$$
J=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{Q(t)} \frac{1}{2} \rho|\nabla \varphi|^{2} d Q d t+\left.\int_{Q(t)} \rho \varphi d Q\right|_{t_{1}} ^{t_{2}}
$$

The $\xi, \rho$-variations of the second term on the right-hand side vanishes if $\delta \xi, \delta \rho$ satisfies (1.14). Thus we get after comparision with (1.12) and Theorem 1.1:

Theorem 1.2. Any sufficiently regular critical point $(\xi, \rho, \varphi)$ of the functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(\xi, \rho, \varphi)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} & \left\{\int_{Q(t)}-\rho\left(\dot{\varphi}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+U+W(\rho)\right) d Q\right. \\
& \left.-\sigma\left(|\Sigma(t)|-\beta\left|S_{1}(t)\right|\right)+\int_{S_{2}} V \varphi d S-p_{0}|Q(t)|\right\} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

subject to

$$
\left.\delta \xi\right|_{t_{1}, t_{2}}=0,\left.\quad \delta \rho\right|_{t_{1}, t_{2}}=0,\left.\quad \delta \varphi\right|_{t_{1}, t_{2}}=0
$$

satisfies (1.1)-(1.6).
The following Theorem 1.3, where $\xi$ and $\varphi$ have been introduced as independent variables, can be viewed as a counterpart to Theorem 1.2. Let $P$ be a primitive of $1 / \rho$ :

$$
P(\tau)=\int \frac{d \tau}{\rho(\tau)}+\text { const. }
$$

where the constant is choosen such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \rho}(\rho W(\rho))=P\left(\rho^{2} W^{\prime}(\rho)\right) \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P$ is strictly monotone the inverse function $P^{-1}$ exists. With this function we have

Theorem 1.3. Under the constraints

$$
\left.\delta \xi\right|_{t_{1}, t_{2}}=0,\left.\quad \delta \varphi\right|_{t_{1}, t_{2}}=0
$$

any sufficiently regular critical point $(\xi, \varphi)$ of the functional $C=C(\xi, \varphi)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(\xi, \varphi)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\{ & \int_{Q(t)} P^{-1}\left(-\dot{\varphi}-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}-U\right) d Q \\
& \left.-\sigma\left(|\Sigma(t)|-\beta\left|S_{1}(t)\right|\right)+\int_{S_{2}} V \varphi d S-p_{0}|Q(t)|\right\} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfies (1.1)-(1.6).

Proof. For given $(\xi, \varphi)$ we determine $\rho=\rho[\xi, \varphi]$ with

$$
\dot{\varphi}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+U+W(\rho)+\rho W^{\prime}(\rho)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad Q(t)
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\rho} B(\xi, \rho, \varphi)\{\delta \rho\}=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad \delta \rho \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the functional $B=B(\xi, \rho, \varphi)$ from Theorem 1.2. In view of (1.22) this means

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left(\dot{\varphi}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+U\right) & =\frac{d}{d \rho}(\rho W(\rho))=P\left(\rho^{2} W^{\prime}(\rho)\right) \\
& =P\left(-\rho\left(\dot{\varphi}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+U+W\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $C(\xi, \varphi)=B(\xi, \rho, \varphi[\xi, \varphi])$ and Theorem 1.2 gives the assertion.

## 2 High-frequency exitations

In this section the free boundary problem (1.1)-(1.6) is considered with a timedependent high-frequency potential

$$
U(x, t)=-g x_{3}-\omega^{2} a_{i} x_{i} \sin (\omega t)
$$

Here the usual summation convention over repeated indices is used. In the following we study the behaviour of the system if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \rightarrow \infty, \quad \omega|a|=\text { const. } \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. under the influence of a time-periodic volume force with an amplitude increasing proportionally to the frequency. We disregard any additional acoustic source at the boundary, hence we may set $V=0$ and $S(t)=S_{1}(t)+S_{2}$. In addition, (1.6) is specified to the adiabatic pressure-density relation

$$
\rho=\rho_{0}\left(p / p_{0}\right)^{1 / \gamma} \quad(\gamma>1)
$$

For our purposes it is advantageous to rewrite the system (1.1)-(1.6) in nondimensional form. Letting $l$ be a representative length, we replace the original domains and variables according to

$$
Q_{\text {new }}(t)=l^{-1} Q(t), \quad \Sigma_{\text {new }}(t)=l^{-1} \Sigma(t), \quad x_{\text {new }}=l^{-1} x, \quad t_{\text {new }}=\omega t
$$

as well as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi_{\text {new }}=\varphi / l^{2} \omega, \quad p_{\text {new }}=p / \rho_{0} l^{2} \omega^{2}, \quad \rho_{\text {new }}=\rho / \rho_{0} \\
p_{0, \text { new }}=p_{0} / \rho_{0} l^{2} \omega^{2}, \quad a_{\text {new }}=a /|a| .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, introducing the nondimensional parameters

$$
\varepsilon=\left|a_{\text {orig }}\right| / l, \quad \mu=\sigma / \omega^{2}\left|a_{\text {orig }}\right|^{2} l \rho_{0}, \quad b=g l^{2} \rho_{0} / \sigma \text { ("Bond number"), }
$$

and retaining the original notation, the system (1.1)-(1.6) takes the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho \nabla\left(\dot{\varphi}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+\mu \varepsilon^{2} b x_{3}+\varepsilon a_{i} x_{i} \sin t\right)=-\nabla p,  \tag{2.2}\\
\dot{\rho}+\operatorname{div}(\rho \nabla \varphi)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad Q(t), \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{n} \varphi & =0 \text { on } S(t), \quad \partial_{n} \varphi=-|\nabla \xi|^{-1} \dot{\xi} \text { on } \Sigma(t),  \tag{2.4}\\
p-2 \mu \varepsilon^{2} H & =p_{0} \text { on } \Sigma(t), \quad-\nabla \eta \nabla \xi=\beta|\nabla \eta||\nabla \xi| \text { on } \partial \Sigma(t) . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

With respect to the new variables the pressure-density relation reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\left(p / p_{0}\right)^{1 / \gamma} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (2.1) we consider (2.2)-(2.6) under the hypothesis $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and $\mu, b$ fixed. We restrict out attention to a cylindrical container $\widetilde{Q}=B \times[0, \infty)$ over a fixed bottom $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$. The free surface is assumed to be a graph over $B$ :

$$
\Sigma(t)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid x_{3}=\zeta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right),\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in B\right\},
$$

i.e. $\xi=x_{3}-\zeta$. In this situation, according to Theorem 1.1, which is referred to in the following exclusively, we obtain (2.2)-(2.6) as Euler-Lagrange equations of the action-functional

$$
\begin{align*}
A(\zeta, \rho ; \varepsilon)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} & \left\{\int_{Q(t)} \rho\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}-W-\mu \varepsilon^{2} b x_{3}-\varepsilon a_{i} x_{i} \sin t\right) d Q\right.  \tag{2.7}\\
& \left.-\mu \varepsilon^{2}(|\Sigma(t)|-\beta|S(t)|)-p_{0}|Q(t)|\right\} d t
\end{align*}
$$

under the constraint of mass conservation. As a consequence of the adiabatic pressure-density relation the inner energy density is given by

$$
W(\rho)=\text { const. }+p_{0} \rho^{\gamma-1} /(\gamma-1) .
$$

In the following we construct approximate solutions (in the sense explained below) to the variational equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta A(\zeta, \rho ; \varepsilon)=0 \quad \text { subject to } \quad \delta \int_{Q(t)} \rho d x=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

within the class of $2 \pi$-periodic functions in time. Accordingly, time varies in $S^{1}=\mathbb{R} / 2 \pi$. Choosing $t_{1}=0, t_{2}=2 \pi$, we have to replace (1.14) by the periodicity conditions

$$
\zeta(\cdot, 0)=\zeta(\cdot, 2 \pi), \quad \rho(\cdot, 0)=\rho(\cdot, 2 \pi)
$$

If $\varepsilon=0$, then any pair $\left(\zeta_{0}, 1\right)$ with a time-independent shape $\zeta_{0}=\zeta_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ of the free surface is a solution of (2.8), which simply reflects the fact that an isolated fluid at rest is in neutral equilibrium. Let

$$
Q_{0}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in B, 0<x_{3}<\zeta_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\zeta_{0}, 1 ; 0\right)=-2 \pi\left(p_{0}+W(1)\right)\left|Q_{0}\right|=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the inner energy density $W$ is suitably normalized: $W(1)+W^{\prime}(1)=0$. A closer look at (2.7) shows, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta A\left(\zeta_{0}, 1 ; 0\right)=0 \quad \text { for arbitrary variations } \delta \zeta, \delta \rho \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

under this normalization. Therefore it is reasonable to choose the ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{\varepsilon}=\zeta_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\varepsilon \zeta_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right), \quad \rho_{\varepsilon}=1+\varepsilon \rho_{1}(x, t) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the starting point for our construction. Here $\zeta_{1}$ is normalized by mean value zero in time:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \zeta_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right) d t=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The side condition in (2.8) requires

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|Q_{0}\right|=\int_{B} \zeta_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d B=\text { const. }  \tag{2.13}\\
\int_{Q_{0}} \rho_{1}(x, t) d Q+\int_{B} \zeta_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right) d B=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

In view of (2.9), (2.10) we get by inserting (2.11) into (2.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\zeta_{\varepsilon}, \rho_{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon\right)=\varepsilon^{2} \tilde{A}\left(\zeta_{0}, \zeta_{1}, \rho_{1}\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{A}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} & \left\{\int_{Q_{0}} \frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla \varphi_{1}\right|^{2}-\frac{\rho_{1}^{2}}{2 k^{2}}-\mu b x_{3}-a_{i} x_{i} \rho_{1} \sin t d Q\right.  \tag{2.16}\\
& \left.-\int_{\Sigma_{0}} a_{i} x_{i} \zeta_{1} \sin t d B\right\} d t-2 \pi \mu\left(\left|\Sigma_{0}\right|-\beta\left|S_{0}\right|\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the "wave number" $k=\left(\gamma p_{0}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ has been introduced, $\Sigma_{0}, S_{0}$ denote the free and wetting parts of $\partial Q_{0}$, respectively, and $\varphi_{1}$ is the first order term in the expansion

$$
\varphi\left(\zeta_{\varepsilon}, \rho_{\varepsilon}\right)=\varepsilon \varphi_{1}\left(\zeta_{0}, \zeta_{1}, \rho_{1}\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

As may be read off (2.3), (2.4) the potential $\varphi_{1}$ solves the Neumann problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \varphi_{1}=-\dot{\rho}_{1} \text { in } Q_{0} ; \quad \partial_{n} \varphi_{1}=0 \text { on } S_{0}, \quad \partial_{n} \varphi_{1}=\left(1+\left|\nabla \zeta_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \dot{\zeta}_{0} \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{0} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expansion (2.15) motivates the definition to call the pair $\left(\zeta_{\varepsilon}, \rho_{\varepsilon}\right)$ an $\varepsilon$-approximate solution of (2.8) if $\left(\zeta_{0}, \zeta_{1}, \rho_{1}\right)$ is a critical point of the truncated action, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \widetilde{A}\left(\zeta_{0}, \zeta_{1}, \rho_{1}\right)=0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all variations $\delta \zeta_{0}, \delta \zeta_{1}, \delta \rho_{1}$ compatible with (2.12)-(2.14). To determine solutions of (2.18), firstly, we have to compute the $\zeta_{1}, \rho_{1}$-variations of $\widetilde{A}$.

Proposition 2.1. For fixed $\zeta_{0}$ the solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$
\delta_{\zeta_{1}} \tilde{A}\left(\zeta_{0}, \zeta_{1}, \rho_{1}\right)\left\{\delta \zeta_{1}\right\}+\delta_{\rho_{1}} \tilde{A}\left(\zeta_{0}, \zeta_{1}, \rho_{1}\right)\left\{\delta \rho_{1}\right\}=0
$$

- for all variations $\delta \zeta_{1}, \delta \rho_{1}$ compatible with (2.12), (2.14) - leads to a time-periodic boundary value problem for an inhomogeneous wave equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ddot{\varphi}_{1}-k^{-2} \Delta \varphi_{1}=-a_{i} x_{i} \cos t \text { in } Q_{0} \times S^{1},  \tag{2.19}\\
\partial_{n} \varphi_{1}=0 \text { on } S_{0} \times S^{1}, \quad \dot{\varphi}_{1}=-a_{i} x_{i} \sin t \text { on } \Sigma_{0} \times S^{1},  \tag{2.20}\\
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \partial_{n} \varphi_{1}(\cdot, t) d t=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{0} . \tag{2.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

After solving (2.19)-(2.21) we get $\zeta_{1}, \rho_{1}$ from

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\dot{\zeta}_{1}=\left(1+\left|\nabla \zeta_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \partial_{n} \varphi_{1} & \text { on } & \Sigma_{0} \times S^{1} \\
\rho_{1}=-k^{2}\left(\dot{\varphi}_{1}+a_{i} x_{i} \sin t\right) & \text { in } & Q_{0} \times S^{1} \tag{2.23}
\end{array}
$$

In view of (2.12) $\zeta_{1}$ is determined uniquely by (2.22).
Proof. Any stationary point of $\delta \widetilde{A}=0$ subject to (2.12), (2.14) satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{\varphi}_{1}+k^{-2} \rho_{1}=-a_{i} x_{i} \sin t+\lambda(t) \quad \text { in } \quad Q_{0} \times S^{1},  \tag{2.24}\\
\dot{\varphi}_{1}=-a_{i} x_{i} \sin t-\lambda(t)+c(x) \quad \text { on } \quad \Sigma_{0} \times S^{1}, \tag{2.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

with two Lagrangian multipliers $\lambda$ and $c$. Since

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \lambda(t) d t=2 \pi c(x)
$$

by (2.25), it follows $c(x)=c=$ const. After normalizing $\varphi_{1}$ suitably we may assume $\lambda=$ const.$=c$. In this case integration of (2.24) yields

$$
2 k^{2} \pi c\left|Q_{0}\right|=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{Q_{0}} \rho_{1} d Q d t=-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{B} \zeta_{1} d B d t=0
$$

because of (2.12), hence $c=0$. Now, after differentiation with respect to $t$, (2.24), (2.25) imply (2.19), (2.20).

To outline the solvability of the boundary value problem (2.19)-(2.21), let $\Lambda\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ denote the spectrum of the Neumann-Dirichlet problem for the Laplace equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u+\lambda u=0 \text { in } Q_{0} ; \quad \partial_{n} u=0 \text { on } S_{0}, \quad u=0 \text { on } \Sigma_{0} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under mild regularity assumptions on $\Sigma_{0}$ and $\partial B$ the embedding of the Sobolev space $H^{1}\left(Q_{0}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(Q_{0}\right)$ is compact and the trace operator $\left.u \mapsto u\right|_{\Sigma_{0}}$ maps $H^{1}\left(Q_{0}\right)$ into $H^{1 / 2}\left(\Sigma_{0}\right)$ continuously, see e.g. [24]. Then the set $\Lambda\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ consists of a countable number of positive reals with the unique limit point $+\infty$. For $k^{2} \notin \Lambda\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}(x, t)=\psi(x) \cos t \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of (2.19)-(2.20) if $\psi$ is chosen according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \psi+k^{2} \psi=k^{2} a_{i} x_{i} \text { in } Q_{0} ; \quad \partial_{n} \psi=0 \text { on } S_{0}, \quad \psi=a_{i} x_{i} \text { on } \Sigma_{0} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, in view of (2.27) we get via (2.22), (2.23)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=\zeta_{1}^{\star}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \sin t, \quad \rho_{1}(x, t)=\rho_{1}^{\star}(x) \sin t \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{1}^{\star}=\left(1+\left|\nabla \zeta_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \partial_{n} \psi, \quad \rho_{1}^{\star}=k^{2}\left(\psi-a_{i} x_{i}\right) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $n^{2} k^{2} \notin \Lambda\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then (2.27) is the unique solution up to a constant, as is easily seen by the Fourier separation method, see e.g. [12].

Concerning the remaining derivative of $\tilde{A}$ with respect to $\zeta_{0}$ we get, after a calculation along the lines followed in the proof of Theorem 1.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\zeta_{0}} \widetilde{A}= & \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{0}}\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla \varphi_{1}\right|^{2}+\dot{\zeta}_{1} \partial_{3} \varphi_{1}-\dot{\rho}_{1} \varphi_{1}-\frac{\rho_{1}^{2}}{2 k^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.-\mu \varepsilon^{2} b x_{3}-\left(a_{i} x_{i} \rho_{1}+a_{3} \zeta_{1}\right) \sin t\right\} \delta \zeta_{0} d B d t \\
& +2 \pi \mu \int_{B} \operatorname{div} \mathrm{~T} \zeta_{0} \delta \zeta_{0} d B-2 \pi \mu \int_{\partial B}\left(\nu \cdot \mathrm{~T} \zeta_{0}-\beta\right) \delta \zeta_{0} d l
\end{aligned}
$$

if the variation $\delta \zeta_{0}$ is compatible with the side conditions (2.13), (2.14). To shorten the notation, the nonlinear operator

$$
\mathrm{T} \zeta_{0}=\left(1+\left|\nabla \zeta_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \nabla \zeta_{0}
$$

and the outer normal $\nu$ to $\partial B$ have been introduced. Evaluating $\delta_{\zeta_{0}} \widetilde{A}$ at the extremal $\zeta_{1}, \rho_{1}$ of Proposition 2.1 leads to

Theorem 2.2. If $k^{2} \notin \Lambda\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ and $\psi, \zeta_{1}^{\star}$, $\rho_{1}^{\star}$ are taken from (2.28), (2.30) then the pair

$$
\left(\zeta_{\varepsilon}, \rho_{\varepsilon}\right)=\left(\zeta_{0}+\varepsilon \zeta_{1}^{\star} \sin t, 1+\varepsilon \rho_{1}^{\star} \sin t\right)
$$

defines an $\varepsilon$-approximate solution of $\delta A=0$ if

$$
\begin{gather*}
4 \mu H+\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla\left(\psi-a_{i} x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}-2 \mu b x_{3}=\lambda \quad \text { on } \quad \Sigma_{0},  \tag{2.31}\\
\nu \cdot \mathbf{T} \zeta_{0}=\beta \quad \text { on } \quad \partial B \tag{2.32}
\end{gather*}
$$

with a Lagrange multiplier $\lambda=$ const.
The principal part $H=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \mathrm{~T} \zeta_{0}$ of (2.31) is the mean curvature of the surface $\Sigma_{0}$; hence (2.31) generalizes the equilibrium condition for a fluid-air interface in a vertical gravity field known from capillary theory, cf. [6]. In our setting, due to vibration, an additional nonlinear first-order pseudo-differential operator is to be included in the equilibrium condition. Alternatively (2.31) can be viewed as a counterpart to Bernoulli's equation for incompressible fluid flows. In the sequel we call any surface $\Sigma_{0}$ given by a solution $\zeta_{0}$ of (2.31), (2.32) a vibrocapillary equilibrium shape.

An integration of (2.31) by parts yields

$$
2 \mu\left(\beta|\partial B|-b\left|Q_{0}\right|\right) \leq|B| \lambda,
$$

which means that the Lagrange multiplier $\lambda$ in (2.31) is bounded from below in terms of the given data. In the pure capillary case there holds equality.

As is clear from the above reasoning equations (2.31), (2.32) must appear as variational equations.

Theorem 2.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 any solution $\zeta_{0}$ of the equilibrium conditions (2.31), (2.32) is a critical point of the time-independent functional

$$
\Pi\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \widetilde{A}\left(\zeta_{0}, \zeta_{1}^{\star} \sin t, \rho_{1}^{\star} \sin t\right)
$$

under volume conserving variations. The explicit expression of $\Pi$ reads as

$$
\Pi\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=2 \mu\left(\left|\Sigma_{0}\right|-\beta\left|S_{0}\right|\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{0}}\left(|\nabla \psi|^{2}-k^{2}\left(\psi-a_{i} x_{i}\right)^{2}+4 \mu b x_{3}\right) d Q . \square
$$

$\Pi$ is henceforth referred to as the quasi-potential of vibrocapillarity.

## 3 The Jacobi operator

In this Section, to get further insight into diverse mapping properties of the quasipotential $\Pi$, we study its second variation $\delta^{2} \Pi$. This may be of particular interest in stability considerations as well as in various numerical approaches. In the following considerations we identify functions originally defined on $\Sigma_{0}$ by constant continuation along $x_{3}$-direction with functions on $B$.

Obviously the second variation of the capillary term $\Pi_{0}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=\left|\Sigma_{0}\right|-\beta\left|S_{0}\right|$ in $\Pi$ reads as:

$$
\delta^{2} \Pi_{0}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\{h, h\}=\int_{B}\left(|\nabla h|^{2}-\left(\mathrm{T} \zeta_{0} \cdot \nabla h\right)^{2}\right) n_{3} d B
$$

Since admissible variations $h$ must have mean value zero, this implies

$$
\delta^{2} \Pi_{0}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\{h, h\} \geq \text { pos. } C^{t e}\|h\|_{1}^{2}
$$

in view of Friedrich's inequality and $\left|\mathrm{T} \zeta_{0}\right|<1$. Here and in the following $\|\cdot\|_{s}$ denote the norms in the Sobolev spaces $H^{s}$. Introducing the tangential gradient $\nabla_{\Sigma_{0}}=\left(D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3}\right)$ and the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_{\Sigma_{0}}:$

$$
\nabla_{\Sigma_{0}}=\nabla-n \partial_{n}, \quad \Delta_{\Sigma_{0}}=D_{i} D_{i}
$$

there holds $|\nabla h|^{2}-\left(\mathrm{T} \zeta_{0} \cdot \nabla h\right)^{2}=\left|\nabla_{\Sigma_{0}} h\right|^{2}$ and an integration by parts implies

$$
\delta^{2} \Pi_{0}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\{h, h\}=-\int_{\Sigma_{0}} h \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma_{0}}\left(n_{3}^{2} \nabla_{\Sigma_{0}} h\right) d \Sigma
$$

if $h=0$ on $\partial B$. Remembering the relation

$$
\Delta_{\Sigma_{0}} n_{j}=-c^{2} n_{j}-2 D_{j} H
$$

where $c^{2}$ is the sum of the squares of the principal curvatures of $\Sigma_{0}$, see e.g. [9], we infer

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma_{0}}\left(n_{3}^{2} \nabla_{\Sigma_{0}}\right) & =\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma_{0}}\left(n_{3} \nabla_{\Sigma_{0}}\left(n_{3} h\right)\right)-\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma_{0}}\left(n_{3} h \nabla_{\Sigma_{0}}\left(n_{3}\right)\right) \\
& =n_{3}\left(\Delta_{\Sigma_{0}}\left(n_{3} h\right)+\left(2 D_{3} H+c^{2} n_{3}\right) h\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
L h=-n_{3} \Delta_{\Sigma_{0}}\left(n_{3} h\right)-n_{3}\left(2 D_{3} H+c^{2} n_{3}\right) h
$$

gives the Euler-Lagrange operator to $\delta^{2} \Pi_{0}$.
According to Theorem 2.2 the first variation of the nonlocal part

$$
\Pi_{1}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{0}}\left(|\nabla \psi|^{2}-k^{2}\left(\psi-a_{i} x_{i}\right)^{2}\right) d Q
$$

of $\Pi$ reads as

$$
\delta \Pi_{1}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\{h\}=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{0}}\left|\nabla\left(\psi-a_{i} x_{i}\right)\right|^{2} h d B
$$

This implies

$$
\delta^{2} \Pi_{1}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\{h, h\}=-\int_{\Sigma_{0}}\left(\nabla\left(\psi-a_{i} x_{i}\right) \nabla \delta \psi+h \nabla\left(\psi-a_{i} x_{i}\right) \nabla\left(\psi_{x_{3}}-a_{3}\right)\right) h d B
$$

Here $\delta \psi$ has to satisfy the Dirichlet-Neumann Problem

$$
\Delta \delta \psi+k^{2} \delta \psi=0 \text { in } Q_{0} ; \quad \partial_{n} \delta \psi=0 \text { on } S, \quad \delta \psi=\left(a_{3}-\psi_{x_{3}}\right) h \text { on } \Sigma_{0}
$$

Considering the expression for the Laplacian relative to normal and tangential derivatives along $\Sigma_{0}$

$$
\Delta=\partial_{n}^{2}-2 H \partial_{n}+\Delta_{\Sigma_{0}}, \quad \partial_{n}^{2}=n_{i} n_{j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j}
$$

and $\psi-\left.a_{i} x_{i}\right|_{\Sigma_{0}}=0,\left.\Delta \psi\right|_{\Sigma_{0}}=0$ we get

$$
\partial_{n}^{2}\left(\psi-a_{i} x_{i}\right)=2 H \partial_{n}\left(\psi-a_{i} x_{i}\right) .
$$

In view of $\partial_{3}=n_{3} \partial_{n}+D_{3}$ there holds

$$
\partial_{n} \partial_{3}=n_{3} \partial_{n}^{2}+n_{i} D_{3} \partial_{i}=n_{3} \partial_{n}^{2}+D_{3} \partial_{n}-\left(D_{3} n_{i}\right) \partial_{i}
$$

and consequently

$$
\partial_{n}\left(\psi_{x_{3}}-a_{3}\right)=\left(2 n_{3} H+D_{3}\right) \partial_{n}\left(\psi-a_{i} x_{i}\right) .
$$

We have proved
Proposition 3.1. Under the assumption $k^{2} \notin \Lambda\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ let

$$
C_{\Sigma_{0}}: H^{1 / 2}\left(\Sigma_{0}\right) \rightarrow H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Sigma_{0}\right), \quad C_{\Sigma_{0}}(u)=\left.\partial_{n} \widetilde{u}\right|_{\Sigma_{0}}
$$

be the "capacity operator" where $\widetilde{u}$ denotes the solution of

$$
\Delta \widetilde{u}+k^{2} \widetilde{u}=0 \quad \text { in } Q_{0} ; \quad \partial_{n} \widetilde{u}=0 \text { on } S, \quad \widetilde{u}=u \text { on } \Sigma_{0} .
$$

Then there holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{2} \Pi_{1}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\{h, h\}= & \int_{\Sigma_{0}}\left(\psi_{x_{3}}-a_{3}\right) h C_{\Sigma_{0}}\left(\left(\psi_{x_{3}}-a_{3}\right) h\right) d \Sigma \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{0}} h^{2}\left(4 n_{3} H+D_{3}\right)\left|\nabla\left(\psi-a_{i} x_{i}\right)\right|^{2} d B
\end{aligned}
$$

for variations $h$ with mean value zero.
In view of

$$
\int_{\Sigma_{0}} u C_{\Sigma_{0}} u d \Sigma_{0}=\int_{Q_{0}}|\nabla \widetilde{u}|^{2}-k^{2} \widetilde{u}^{2} d Q
$$

the principal part of the capacity operator is positive, hence Proposition 3.1 implies
Corollary 3.2. If the data are sufficiently regular then $\delta^{2} \Pi_{1}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ is bounded on the Sobolev-space $H^{1 / 2}(B)$ :

$$
-C^{t e}\|h\|_{0}^{2} \leq \Pi_{1}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\{h, h\} \leq C^{t e}\|h\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2} .
$$

If additionally

$$
\left|\psi_{x_{3}}-a_{3}\right| \geq c>0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Sigma_{0},
$$

then $\delta^{2} \Pi_{1}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ satisfies the Garding-type inequality

$$
\Pi_{1}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\{h, h\} \geq \text { pos. } C^{t e}\|h\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2}-C^{t \epsilon}\|h\|_{0}^{2} . \square
$$

To collect the results of this section in a general statement we introduce the Jacobi operator $J\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ of $\Pi$ via

$$
\delta^{2} \Pi\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\{g, h\}=\int_{\Sigma_{0}} g J\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\{h\} d \Sigma_{0} \quad \text { if }\left.\quad g\right|_{\partial B}=\left.h\right|_{\partial B}=0
$$

Theorem 3.3. Assuming the data to be sufficiently regular, then: (i) The bilinear form $\delta^{2} \Pi\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ satisfies the Garding-type inequality

$$
\Pi\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\{h, h\} \geq \text { pos. } C^{t e}\|h\|_{1}^{2}-C^{t \epsilon}\|h\|_{0}^{2}
$$

(ii) $J\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ reads as

$$
J\left(\zeta_{0}\right) h=-2 \mu n_{3} \Delta_{\Sigma_{0}}\left(n_{3} h\right)+\left(\psi_{x_{3}}-a_{3}\right) C_{\Sigma_{0}}\left(\left(\psi_{x_{3}}-a_{3}\right) h\right)+m n_{3} h
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
m= & -2 \mu c^{2} n_{3}-2 n_{3} H\left|\nabla\left(\psi-a_{i} x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+2 b \mu n_{3}^{2} \\
& -D_{3}\left(4 \mu H+\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla\left(\psi-a_{i} x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}-2 b \mu x_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=-2 \mu c^{2} n_{3}-2 n_{3} H\left|\nabla\left(\psi-a_{i} x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}+2 b \mu n_{3}^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\zeta_{0}$ is a critical point of $\Pi$.
Note, that the nonlocal term in (3.1) may be replaced by

$$
2 n_{3} H\left|\nabla\left(\psi-a_{i} x_{i}\right)\right|^{2}=2 n_{3} H\left(2 \lambda+4 \mu b x_{3}-8 \mu H\right)
$$

Finally we draw attention to a simple stability criterium. Obviously, for sufficiently small wave numbers $k$ the capacity operator is nonnegative. Therefore, if $H \leq 0$ and $b n_{3} \geq c^{2}$, then a vibrocapillary equilibrium is stable in the sense that

$$
\delta^{2} \Pi\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\{h, h\} \geq \text { pos. } C^{t e}\|h\|_{1}^{2}
$$

for all "interior" variations $h$ with mean value zero. This indicates that for convex equilibrium shapes a "vibro-force" may cause a stabilizing effect.
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