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#### Abstract

On compact balanced Hermitian manifolds we obtain obstructions to the existence of harmonic 1-forms, $\partial$-harmonic (1,0)-forms and holomorphic (1,0)-forms in terms of the Ricci tensors with respect to the Riemannian curvature and the Hermitian curvature. Vanishing of the first Dolbeault cohomology groups of the twistor space of a compact irreducible hyper Kähler manifold is shown. A necessary and sufficient condition the (1,0)-part of a harmonic 1-form to be holomorphic and vice versa, a real 1-form with a holomorphic ( 1,0 )-part to be harmonic are found.
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## 1 Introduction

The well-known vanishing theorem of Bochner says that if the Ricci tensor of a compact Riemannian manifold is nonnegative, then every harmonic 1-form is parallel; moreover, if the Ricci tensor is nonnegative and positive at least at one point, then there are no nonzero harmonic 1 -forms and the first Betti number $b_{1}=0$.

It is also well known that on a compact Kähler manifold the (1,0)-part of a harmonic 1form is holomorphic, i.e. it is $\bar{\partial}$-closed; conversely, every holomorphic ( 1,0 )-form is $\partial$-closed or equivalently, the corresponding real 1-form is harmonic [29]. Certainly, the Bochner theorem is true for compact Kähler manifolds and could be expressed also in terms of holomorphic forms.

However, the (1,0)-part of a harmonic 1-form may not be holomorphic on a compact Hermitian manifold. Nevertheless, there exists a Bochner type theorem for holomorphic (1,0)-forms on a compact Hermitian manifold. This theorem is formulated in terms of the Chern connection and its mean curvature. In fact, on a compact Hermitian manifold with nonnegative mean curvature every holomorphic ( 1,0 )-form is parallel with respect to the Chern connection; if in addition the mean curvature is positive at least at one point, then there are no nonzero holomorphic (1,0)-forms [21, 14, 27, 20] and the Hodge number $h^{1,0}=0$. We note that this is a part of the general result for the nonexistence of holomorphic sections of a holomorphic vector bundle over a compact Hermitian manifold [21, 14] (see also [27, 20]).

In the present paper we consider questions of existence of harmonic 1-forms, holomorphic ( 1,0 )-forms and find relations between them on compact balanced Hermitian manifolds.

Balanced Hermitian manifolds are Hermitian manifolds with a co-closed fundamental form or equivalently with a zero Lee form. They have been studied intensively in [22, 1, 2, 3]; in [14] they are called semi-Kähler of special type. This class of manifolds includes the class of Kähler manifolds but also many important classes of non-Kähler manifolds, such as: complex solvmanifolds, twistor spaces of oriented Riemannian 4-manifolds, 1-dimensional families of Kähler manifolds (see [22]), some compact Hermitian manifolds with a flat Chern connection (see [16]), twistor spaces of oriented distinguished Weyl structure on compact self-dual 4-manifolds [15], twistor spaces of quaternionic Kähler manifolds [25, 4], manifolds obtained as modification of compact Kähler manifolds [1] and of compact balanced manifolds [2] (see also [3]).

On a balanced Hermitian manifold $(M, g, J)$ there are two Ricci tensors $\rho$ and $\rho^{*}$ associated with the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ of the metric $g$ and two Ricci tensors $k$ and $k^{*}$ associated with the canonical Chern connection $D$ generated by the metric $g$ and the complex structure $J$. We note that the $(1,1)$-form corresponding to the tensor $k$ represents the first Chern class of $M$ and the $(1,1)$-form corresponding to the tensor $k^{*}$ is the mean curvature. All these Ricci tensors coincide on a Kähler manifold.

Let $(M, g, J)$ be a Hermitian manifold. If $X$ is an arbitrary $C^{\infty}$ vector field on $M$, we denote by $\omega_{X}$ its corresponding 1-form with respect to the metric $g$ and use the decomposition
$\omega_{X}=\omega_{X}^{1,0}+\omega_{X}^{0,1}$ with respect to the complex structure $J$. We find obstructions to the existence of harmonic and holomorphic 1-forms in terms of the Ricci tensors of the Levi-Civita and Chern connection. The aim of the paper is to prove the following

Theorem 1.1 Let $(M, g, J)$ be a compact balanced Hermitian manifold.
i) If the *-Ricci tensor $\rho^{*}$ is nonnegative on $M$, then:
a) every holomorphic (1,0)-form $\omega_{X}^{1,0}$ is $\partial$-harmonic ( $\omega_{X}$ is harmonic);
b) every $\partial$-harmonic (1,0)-form $\omega_{X}^{1,0}$ satisfies the conditions

$$
\rho^{*}(X, X)=0, \quad \nabla^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}=0
$$

where $\nabla^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}$ is the (2,0)-part of $\nabla \omega_{X}$.
ii) If the tensor $\rho^{*}$ is nonnegative on $M$ and positive at least at one point in $M$, then there are neither holomorphic (1,0)-forms, nor $\partial$-harmonic (1,0)-forms other than zero. Consequently, the Hodge numbers $h^{1,0}(M)=h^{0,1}(M)=0$ and the first Betti number $b_{1}(M)=0$.
iii) If the tensor $c \rho+(1-c) \rho^{*}$ is nonnegative on $M$ for some constant $c \geq 0$, then any harmonic 1-form $\omega_{X}$ is $\nabla$-parallel and satisfies the conditions

$$
\rho(X, X)=\rho^{*}(X, X)=0
$$

iv) If the tensor $c \rho+(1-c) \rho^{*}$ is nonnegative on $M$ and positive at least at one point in $M$, then there are no harmonic 1-forms other than zero and $b_{1}=0$.

Note that these conditions agree with the classical Bochner conditions on Kähler manifolds.
In Example 1 we apply Theorem 1.1 to the complex twistor space $(\mathbf{Z}, J)$ of a compact hyper Kähler manifold which holonomy group is exactly $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathrm{n})$ to show the vanishing of the cohomology group $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Z}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Z}}\right)$ (see Theorem 5.1 in the last section).

On a compact balanced Hermitian manifold we find necessary and sufficient conditions for a $\partial$-harmonic $(1,0)$-form to be $\bar{\partial}$-harmonic (holomorphic) in terms of the Ricci tensors of the Levi-Civita and Chern connections and show that it is also necessary and sufficient condition for a $\bar{\partial}$-harmonic (1,0)-form to be $\partial$-harmonic. Constructing the tensor $H=2 \rho^{*}-k-k^{*}$ we prove

Theorem 1.2 On a compact balanced Hermitian manifold the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) The (1,0)-part of a harmonic 1-form $\omega_{X}$ is holomorphic;
(ii) A real 1-form $\omega_{X}$ with a holomorphic (1,0)-part is harmonic;
(iii) $\int_{M} H(X, X) d v=0$.

We note that the tensor $H$ vanishes identically on a Kähler manifold and measures the deviation of a balanced Hermitian manifold from a Kähler one (see section 3 below).

Finally, in Example 2 we show that the third condition of Theorem 1.2 is essential.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $(M, g, J)$ be a 2 n-dimensional Hermitian manifold with metric $g$ and complex structure $J$. The algebra of all $C^{\infty}$ vector fields on $M$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{X} M$. The Kähler form $\Omega$ of the Hermitian structure $(g, J)$ is defined by $\Omega(X, Y)=g(J X, Y) ; \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X} M$. The associated Lee form $\theta$ is given by $\theta=-\delta \Omega \circ J$.

We denote by $\nabla$ and $R=[\nabla, \nabla]-\nabla_{[,]}$the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $g$ and the Riemannian curvature tensor, respectively. The corresponding curvature tensor of type (0,4) is given by the equality $R(X, Y, Z, V)=g(R(X, Y) Z, V), \quad X, Y, Z, V \in \mathcal{X} M$.

Further $\rho$ and $\rho^{*}$ will stand for the Ricci tensor and ${ }^{*}$-Ricci tensor, respectively. We have

$$
\rho^{*}(X, Y)=\sum_{j=1}^{2 n} R\left(e_{j}, X, J Y, J e_{j}\right), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X} M
$$

Henceforth $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 n}\right\}$ will denote an orthonormal frame.
We denote by $D, T$ and $K$ the canonical Chern (Hermitian) connection of the Hermitian structure, its torsion tensor and its curvature tensor (Hermitian curvature tensor), respectively. We recall that the Chern connection $D$ is the unique linear connection preserving the metric $g$ and the complex structure $J$, so that the torsion tensor $T$ of $D$ has the property $T(J X, Y)=$ $T(X, J Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X} M$. This implies (e.g. [5]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(J X, Y)=J T(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X} M . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding torsion tensor of type $(0,3)$ is defined by the equality

$$
T(X, Y, Z)=g(T(X, Y), Z), \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X} M
$$

The curvature tensor $K$ of $D$ has the following properties:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(J X, J Y) Z=K(X, Y) Z, \quad K(X, Y) J Z=J K(X, Y) Z, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X} M \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Ricci identity for the Chern connection is expressed in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{X} D_{Y} Z-D_{Y} D_{X} Z=K(X, Y) Z-D_{T(X, Y)} Z, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X} M . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two connections $\nabla$ and $D$ are related by the following identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)=g\left(D_{X} Y, Z\right)+\frac{1}{2} d \Omega(J X, Y, Z), \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X} M . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equality implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(X, Y, Z)=-\frac{1}{2} d \Omega(J X, Y, Z)-\frac{1}{2} d \Omega(X, J Y, Z), \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X} M . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are three Ricci-type tensors $k, k^{*}$ and $s$ associated with the curvature tensor $K$ defined by

$$
k(X, Y)=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2 n} g\left(K(X, J Y) e_{j}, J e_{j}\right) ; \quad k^{*}(X, Y)=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2 n} g\left(K\left(e_{j}, J e_{j}\right) X, J Y\right) ;
$$

$$
s(X, Y)=\sum_{j=1}^{2 n} g\left(K\left(e_{j}, X\right) Y, e_{j}\right), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X} M
$$

The corresponding scalar curvatures are defined by $\tau=\operatorname{tr} \rho, \tau^{*}=\operatorname{tr} \rho^{*}, u=\operatorname{tr} k=\operatorname{tr} k^{*}$, $v=t r s$.

The (1, 1)-form $\kappa$ corresponding to the tensor $k$ represents the first Chern class of $\mathbf{M}$ (further we shall call it the Chern form) and the (1,1)-form $\kappa^{*}$ corresponding to the tensor $k^{*}$ is the mean curvature of the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0} M$ with the hermitian metric induced by $g$.

For an arbitrary vector field $X$ in $\mathcal{X} M$ we denote by $\omega_{X}$ its dual 1-form defined by $\omega_{X}(Y)=$ $g(X, Y), \quad Y \in \mathcal{X} M$. From (2.4) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \omega_{X}=-\sum_{i=1}^{2 n}\left(D_{e_{i}} \omega_{X}\right) e_{i}-\theta(X) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Balanced Hermitian manifolds

We recall the definition of a balanced Hermitian manifold and some equivalent conditions given in $[14,22]$ for completeness:

Definition: A Hermitian manifold $(M, g, J)$ is said to be balanced if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:
i) $\delta \Omega=0 \quad(\theta=0)$;
ii) $d \Omega^{n-1}=0$;
iii) $\Delta_{\partial} f=\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} f=\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{d} f$ for every smooth function $f$ on $M$, where $\Delta_{\partial}, \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$ and $\Delta_{d}$ denote the Laplacians with respect to the operators $\partial, \bar{\partial}$ and $d$, respectively.

We shall use local holomorphic coordinates $\left\{z^{\alpha}\right\}, \alpha=1, \ldots, n$ and the corresponding frame field

$$
\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\bar{\alpha}}}=\overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha}}}\right\}, \quad \alpha=1, \ldots, n ; \quad \bar{\alpha}=\overline{1}, \ldots, \bar{n}
$$

for some calculations. The first Bianchi identity for the Hermitian curvature $K$ with respect to local holomorphic coordinates gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\lambda}}-K_{\gamma \bar{\beta} \alpha \bar{\lambda}}=-D_{\bar{\beta}} T_{\alpha \gamma \bar{\lambda}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the condition $\delta \Omega=0$ from (3.7) it follows that [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(X, Y)=s(Y, X)=k(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X} M \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is immediate from (2.6) that on a balanced Hermitian manifold we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \omega=-\sum_{i=1}^{2 n}\left(D_{e_{i}} \omega\right) e_{i} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $a$ be a tensor of type $(0,2)$ and denote by $a^{t}$ the tensor of type $(0,2)$ defined by $a^{t}(X, Y)=a(Y, X), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X} M$. The symmetric part and the skew-symmetric part of the
tensor $a$ are given by

$$
\operatorname{Sym}(a)=\frac{1}{2}\left(a+a^{t}\right), \quad \operatorname{Skew}(a)=\frac{1}{2}\left(a-a^{t}\right)
$$

respectively. The induced by the metric $g$ scalar product in the vector space of $(0,2)$-tensors will be denoted by the same letter. For two tensors $a, b$ of type $(0,2)$ we have

$$
g(a, b)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{2 n} a\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) b\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) ; \quad g\left(a^{t}, b\right)=g\left(a, b^{t}\right)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{2 n} a\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) b\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right)
$$

For a fixed vector field $X$ we obtain the following $(0,2)$-tensors $i_{X} T$ and $j_{X} T$ from the torsion tensor $T$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{X} T(Y, Z)=T(X, Y, Z) ; \quad j_{X} T(Y, Z)=T(Y, Z, X), \quad Y, Z \in \mathcal{X} M \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equalities (2.1) and (3.10) imply that the tensor $i_{X} T$ is $J$-invariant while the tensor $j_{X} T$ is $J$-antiinvariant, i.e.

$$
\left(i_{X} T\right)(J X, J Y)=\left(i_{X} T\right)(Y, Z), \quad\left(j_{X} T\right)(J Y, J Z)=-\left(j_{X} T\right)(Y, Z)
$$

The next statement, proved in [13], gives relations between the tensors $\rho$ and $\rho^{*}$.
Proposition 3.1 [13] Let $(M, g, J)$ be a balanced Hermitian manifold. Then the Ricci tensors of the Riemannian and Hermitian curvature satisfy the following identities

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho^{*}(X, Y)=\rho^{*}(J X, J Y)=\rho^{*}(Y, X), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X} M  \tag{3.11}\\
\rho(X, Y)-\rho(J X, J Y)=-g\left(i_{X} T,\left(i_{Y} T\right)^{t}\right), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X} M  \tag{3.12}\\
k(X, Y)-\rho^{*}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{4} g\left(j_{X} T, j_{Y} T\right)  \tag{3.13}\\
k(X, Y)+k^{*}(X, Y)-\frac{1}{2}(\rho(X, Y)+\rho(J X, J Y))-\rho^{*}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2} g\left(i_{X} T, i_{Y} T\right)  \tag{3.14}\\
k(X, X)+k^{*}(X, X)-\rho(X, X)-\rho^{*}(X, X)=\left\|\operatorname{Sym}\left(i_{X} T\right)\right\|^{2} \tag{3.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $X, Y \in \mathcal{X} M$, and $\|\cdot\|^{2}$ is the usual tensor norm.
We have

Corollary 3.2 Let $(M, g, J)$ be a balanced Hermitian manifold. Then
i) $\tau=\tau^{*}$;
ii) $(M, g, J)$ is Kähler iff $\tau=u$.

Proof: Taking traces in (3.13) and (3.14) we find

$$
u-\tau^{*}=\frac{1}{4}\|T\|^{2}, \quad 2 u-\tau-\tau^{*}=\frac{1}{2}\|T\|^{2}
$$

Hence $\tau=\tau^{*}$ and $u-\tau=\frac{1}{4}\|T\|^{2}$. The last two equalities imply i) and ii).
QED

Let $\eta$ be a 1 -form. Further we denote by $d^{\prime} \eta, D^{\prime} \eta$ and $\nabla^{\prime} \eta$ the (1,1)-part (with respect to the complex structure $J$ ) of the exterior derivative $d \eta$, the covariant derivative $D \eta$ with respect to the Chern connection and the covariant derivative $\nabla \eta$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of $\eta$, respectively. For the $((2,0)+(0,2))$-parts of $d \eta, D \eta$ and $\nabla \eta$ we use the denotations $d^{\prime \prime} \eta, D^{\prime \prime} \eta$ and $\nabla^{\prime \prime} \eta$, respectively. For example,

$$
d^{\prime} \eta(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2}(d \eta(X, Y)+d \eta(J X, J Y)) ; \quad d^{\prime \prime} \eta(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2}(d \eta(X, Y)-d \eta(J X, J Y)) .
$$

The next integral formulas are essential for the proof of our main results.
Proposition 3.3 Let $(M, g, J)$ be a compact balanced Hermitian manifold. Then for any vector field $X \in \mathcal{X} M$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{M} 2\left\|\operatorname{Skew}\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)\right\|^{2} d v=\int_{M}\left\{\left\|D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}+k(X, X)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta \omega_{X}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta \omega_{J X}\right)^{2}\right\} d v ;  \tag{3.16}\\
\int_{M} 2\left\|\operatorname{Skew}\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)\right\|^{2} d v=  \tag{3.17}\\
\int_{M}\left\{\left\|D^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}+k(X, X)-k^{*}(X, X)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta \omega_{X}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta \omega_{J X}\right)^{2}\right\} d v .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let $\omega_{X}=\omega_{\alpha} d z^{\alpha}+\omega_{\bar{\alpha}} d z^{\bar{\alpha}}$. We consider the following real 1-form

$$
\varphi=D_{\alpha} \omega_{\beta} X^{\alpha} d z^{\beta}+D_{\bar{\alpha}} \omega_{\bar{\beta}} X^{\bar{\alpha}} d z^{\bar{\beta}}
$$

and compute its co-differential $\delta \varphi$. Here and further the summation convention is assumed.
Using (3.9) and taking into account the Ricci identity (2.3) for the Chern connection, (2.1), (2.2) and (3.8), we obtain

$$
-\delta \varphi=g\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X},\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)^{t}\right)+k(X, X)-\frac{1}{2} X \delta \omega_{X}-\frac{1}{2} J X \delta \omega_{J X} .
$$

Integrating this equality over $M$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left\{g\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X},\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)^{t}\right)+k(X, X)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta \omega_{X}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta \omega_{J X}\right)^{2}\right\} d v=0 . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand we have

$$
2\left\|\operatorname{Skew}\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)\right\|^{2}=-g\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X},\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)^{t}\right)+\left\|D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2} .
$$

Then the last equality and (3.18) imply (3.16).
By similar calculations for the real 1-form

$$
\left(D_{\alpha} \omega_{\beta} X^{\beta}-D_{\alpha} \omega_{\bar{\beta}} X^{\bar{\beta}}\right) d z^{\alpha}+\left(D_{\bar{\alpha}} \omega_{\bar{\beta}} X^{\bar{\beta}}+D_{\bar{\alpha}} \omega_{\beta} X^{\beta}\right) d z^{\bar{\alpha}}
$$

we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left\{\left\|D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}-\left\|D^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}+k^{*}(X, X)\right\} d v=0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (3.16) and (3.19) imply (3.17).

Proposition 3.4 Let $(M, g, J)$ be a compact balanced Hermitian manifold. Then for any vector field $X \in \mathcal{X} M$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{M} 2\left\|\operatorname{Skew}\left(D^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right)\right\|^{2} d v=  \tag{3.20}\\
\int_{M}\left\{\left\|D^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta \omega_{X}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta \omega_{J X}\right)^{2}+g\left(j_{X} T,\left(D^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right)^{t}\right)\right\} d v \\
\int_{M} g\left(i_{X} T, D^{\prime} \omega\right) d v=\int_{M}\left\{k(X, X)-k^{*}(X, X)+g\left(j_{X} T, D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)\right\} d v \tag{3.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let $\omega_{X}=\omega_{\alpha} d z^{\alpha}+\omega_{\bar{\alpha}} d z^{\bar{\alpha}}$. We consider the real 1-form

$$
D_{\bar{\alpha}} \omega_{\beta} X^{\bar{\alpha}} d z^{\beta}+D_{\alpha} \omega_{\bar{\beta}} X^{\alpha} d z^{\bar{\beta}}
$$

and compute its co-differential. Integrating over $M$ the obtained equality we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left\{g\left(D^{\prime} \omega_{X},\left(D^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right)^{t}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta \omega_{X}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta \omega_{J X}\right)^{2}+g\left(j_{X} T,\left(D^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right)^{t}\right)\right\} d v=0 . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
2\left\|S k e w\left(D^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right)\right\|^{2}=\left\|D^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}-g\left(D^{\prime} \omega_{X},\left(D^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right)^{t}\right)
$$

By virtue of the last equality and (3.22) we obtain (3.20).
To prove (3.21) we consider the real 1-form

$$
T_{\alpha \beta \bar{\gamma}} X^{\beta} X^{\bar{\gamma}} d z^{\alpha}+T_{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \gamma} X^{\bar{\beta}} X^{\gamma} d z^{\bar{\alpha}}
$$

and compute its co-differential. Taking into account (3.7) after an integration over $M$ we get (3.21).
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## 4 Proof of the theorems

Let $X$ be a real vector field in $\mathcal{X} M$ and $\omega_{X}=\omega_{\alpha} d z^{\alpha}+\omega_{\bar{\alpha}} d z^{\bar{\alpha}}=\omega_{X}^{(1,0)}+\omega_{X}^{(0,1)}$ be its dual 1-form.
The $(1,0)$-form $\omega_{X}^{1,0}=\omega_{\alpha} d z^{\alpha}$ is $\partial$-harmonic iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \omega_{\alpha \beta}=D_{\alpha} \omega_{\beta}-D_{\beta} \omega_{\alpha}+T_{\alpha \beta}^{\sigma} \omega_{\sigma}=0, \quad \delta \omega_{X}=\delta \omega_{J X}=0 \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The real 1-form $\omega_{X}$ is harmonic iff

$$
\begin{gather*}
d \omega_{\alpha \beta}=D_{\alpha} \omega_{\beta}-D_{\beta} \omega_{\alpha}+T_{\alpha \beta}^{\sigma} \omega_{\sigma}=0, \quad d \omega_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}=D_{\alpha} \omega_{\bar{\beta}}-D_{\bar{\beta}} \omega_{\alpha}=0  \tag{4.24}\\
\delta \omega_{X}=0 \tag{4.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

The second equality of (4.24) implies that $\delta \omega_{J X}=0$.
The (1,0)-form $\omega_{X}^{1,0}=\omega_{\alpha} d z^{\alpha}$ is holomorphic iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\bar{\alpha}} \omega_{\beta}=0 \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is immediate from this equality that $\delta \omega_{X}=\delta \omega_{J X}=0$.

### 4.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

i) Let $\omega_{X}^{1,0}=\omega_{\alpha} d z^{\alpha}$ be a holomorphic (1,0)-form. Taking into account the condition (4.26) from (3.19) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left\{\left\|D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}+k^{*}(X, X)\right\} d v=0 . \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}=D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}+\frac{1}{2} j_{X} T \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}=\left\|D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}+g\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}, j_{X} T\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left\|j_{X} T\right\|^{2} . \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the condition (4.26) the equality (3.21) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left\{g\left(j_{X} T, D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)+k(X, X)-k^{*}(X, X)\right\} d v=0 \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (4.29), (4.27), (4.30) and (3.13) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left\{\left\|\nabla^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}+\rho^{*}(X, X)\right\} d v=0 \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula proves a).
In order to prove b) we shall show that (4.31) is also true for any $\partial$-harmonic ( 1,0 )-form. Indeed, let $\omega_{X}^{1,0}=\omega_{\alpha} d z^{\alpha}$ be $\partial$-harmonic. Then (4.23) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Skew}\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} j_{X} T \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this equality and (3.13) we find

$$
\left\|\operatorname{Skew}\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left\|j_{X} T\right\|^{2}=k(X, X)-\rho^{*}(X, X) .
$$

The last equality, (4.23) and (3.16) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left\|D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2} d v=\int_{M}\left(k(X, X)-2 \rho^{*}(X, X)\right) d v \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the tensor $j_{X} T$ is skew-symmetric, then (4.32) leads to

$$
g\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}, j_{X} T\right)=g\left(\operatorname{Skew}\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right), j_{X} T\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left\|j_{X} T\right\|^{2}
$$

We obtain from (4.29) that

$$
\left\|\nabla^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}=\left\|D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left\|j_{X} T\right\|^{2}=\left\|D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}-k(X, X)+\rho^{*}(X, X) .
$$

Integrating the last equality and taking into account (4.33), we obtain (4.31) which proves b).
The statement ii) follows ifrom (4.31) by applying the Dolbeault theory to the $\bar{\partial}$-operator and the well known inequality (see e.g.[17], Section 3.5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}(M) \leq h^{1,0}(M)+h^{0,1}(M) \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove iii) and iv) let $\omega_{X}=\omega_{\alpha} d z^{\alpha}+\omega_{\bar{\alpha}} d z^{\bar{\alpha}}$ be a harmonic 1-form. From (4.24) and (3.13) we have

$$
g\left(i_{X} T, D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left\|i_{X} T\right\|^{2}=2 \rho^{*}(X, X)-2 k(X, X)
$$

Combining this equality with (3.21) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} g\left(j_{X} T, D^{\prime} \omega\right) d v=\int_{M}\left\{2 \rho^{*}(X, X)-k(X, X)-k^{*}(X, X)\right\} d v \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last equality, (4.25) and (3.20) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left\{\left\|D^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}+2 \rho^{*}(X, X)-k(X, X)-k^{*}(X, X)\right\} d v=0 \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand we have

$$
\nabla^{\prime} \omega_{X}=D^{\prime} \omega_{X}+\operatorname{Sym}\left(i_{X} T\right)
$$

and

$$
\left\|\nabla^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}=\left\|D^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}+2 g\left(D^{\prime} \omega_{X}, \operatorname{Sym}\left(i_{X} T\right)\right)+\left\|\operatorname{Sym}\left(i_{X} T\right)\right\|^{2}
$$

Integrating the last equality and taking into account (4.35), (4.36) and (3.15) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left\{\left\|\nabla^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}+\rho(X, X)-\rho^{*}(X, X)\right\} d v=0 \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $c$ be a positive constant. Combining (4.37) with (4.31) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left\{c\left\|\nabla^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}+c \rho(X, X)+(1-c) \rho^{*}(X, X)\right\} d v=0 \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula implies immediately iii). The statement iv) also follows from (4.38) by using the Hodge theory.

QED

### 4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We define the tensor $H$ of type $(0,2)$ by the equality

$$
H(X, Y)=2 \rho^{*}(X, Y)-k(X, Y)-k^{*}(X, Y) ; \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X} M
$$

Let $\omega_{X}=\omega_{\alpha} d z^{\alpha}+\omega_{\bar{\alpha}} d z^{\bar{\alpha}}$ be a harmonic 1-form. By virtue of (4.35) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left(\left\|D^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}+H(X, X)\right) d v=0 \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the equivalence i) $\Leftrightarrow$ iii) follows immediately from (4.39).
To prove the equivalence ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ iii) let $\omega_{X}^{1,0}$ be a holomorphic (1,0)-form. Since $d^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}=$ $2 S k e w\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)+j_{X} T$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|d^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}=4\left\|S k e w\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)\right\|^{2}+4 g\left(j_{X} T, D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)+\left\|j_{X} T\right\|^{2} \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (3.16) and (3.19) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left\{2\left\|S k e w\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)\right\|^{2}+k^{*}(X, X)-k(X, X)\right\} d v=0 \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of the equalities $(3.21),(4.40),(4.41)$ and (3.15) we obtain

$$
\int_{M}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left\|d^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}+H(X, X)\right\} d v=0
$$

The last equality implies the equivalence ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ iii) which completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## QED

In the next theorem we find obstructions to the existence of holomorphic (1,0)-forms in terms of the Ricci tensors of Chern connection. We have

Theorem 4.1 Let $(M, g, J)$ be a compact balanced Hermitian manifold.
i) If the tensor $k+k^{*}$ is nonnegative on $M$, then any holomorphic (1,0)-form $\omega_{X}^{1,0}$ satisfies the conditions

$$
k(X, X)+k^{*}(X, X)=0, \quad \operatorname{Sym}\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)=0
$$

ii) If the tensor $k+k^{*}$ is nonnegative on $M$ and positive at least at one point in $M$, then there are no holomorphic 1 -forms other than zero and $h^{1,0}=0$.

Proof. Let $\omega_{X}^{1,0}$ be a holomorphic (1,0)-form. The identity

$$
\left\|\operatorname{Sym}\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\operatorname{Skew}\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)\right\|^{2}=\left\|D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}
$$

and the equality (3.16) give

$$
\int_{M}\left\{2\left\|\operatorname{Sym}\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)\right\|^{2}-\left\|D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right\|^{2}+k(X, X)\right\} d v=0
$$

Combining the last formula with (4.27) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left\{2\left\|\operatorname{Sym}\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)\right\|^{2}+k(X, X)+k^{*}(X, X)\right\} d v=0 \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the statements i) and ii) follow from formula (4.42).
We obtain as a corollary from the proof of Theorem 4.1 and formulas in Proposition 3.1 the following

Proposition 4.2 Let $(M, g, J)$ be a compact balanced Hermitian manifold.
i) If the tensor $\rho+\rho^{*}$ is nonnegative on $M$, then any holomorphic (1,0)-form $\omega_{X}^{1,0}$ satisfies the conditions

$$
\rho(X, X)+\rho^{*}(X, X)=k(X, X)+k^{*}(X, X)=i_{X} T=0
$$

and the vector field $X$ is Killing.
ii) If $\rho+\rho^{*}$ is nonnegative on $M$ and positive at least at one point in $M$, then there are no holomorphic 1-forms other than zero and the Hodge number $h^{1,0}=0$.

Proof. We recall that a real vector field $X$ is said to be Killing if $L_{X} g=0$, where $L_{X}$ denotes the Lie derivative with respect to $X$. In terms of the Chern connection the Killing condition is expressed by the equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sym}\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)=0 \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Sym}\left(D^{\prime} \omega_{X}\right)=-\operatorname{Sym}\left(i_{X} T\right)
$$

Let $\omega_{X}^{1,0}$ be a holomorphic (1,0)-form. By virtue of (3.15) we can apply Theorem 4.1, which implies $\operatorname{Sym}\left(D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}\right)=0$ and $k(X, X)+k^{*}(X, X)=0$. Taking into account (3.15) we find $\rho(X, X)+\rho^{*}(X, X)=0, i_{X} T=0$. From (4.43) and (4.44) it follows that $X$ is Killing.

The second statement follows immediately from (3.15) and Theorem 1.2.
QED

## 5 Examples

Example 1. Let $\left(\mathbf{M}^{4 n}, g\right)$ be a compact $4 n$-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold, i.e. there are three anticommuting complex structures which are parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of $g$; for $n=1\left(\mathbf{M}^{4}, g\right)$ means a self-dual Ricci flat manifold. It is well known that every hyper-Kähler manifold can be considered as a Ricci-flat quaternionic Kähler manifold. The twistor space of $\mathbf{M}^{4 n}$ is a 2-sphere bundle $\mathbf{Z}$ over $\mathbf{M}^{4 n}$ whose fibre at any point $p \in \mathbf{M}^{4 n}$ consists of all complex structures on the tangent space $T_{p} \mathbf{M}^{4 n}$ at $p$ which are compatible with the given hyper-Kähler structure. There are two natural distributions on $\mathbf{Z}$, namely, the vertical 2-dimensional distribution $V$ consisiting of all vector fields tangent to the fibre and a horizontal $4 n$-dimensional distribution $H$ induced by the Levi-Civita connection. The ( $4 n+2$ )-dimensional twistor space $\mathbf{Z}$ admits a complex structure $J[6,26]$. There exists a natural 1-parameter family of hermitian metrics $h_{c}, c>0$ on $(\mathbf{Z}, J)$ such that the projection $\pi: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{4 n}$ is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibres [12]. The twistor space $\left(\mathbf{Z}, J, h_{c}\right), c>0$ is a compact balanced Hermitian manifold [23, 4]. The curvature of $\left(\mathbf{Z}, h_{c}\right)$ for $n=1$ has been calculated by many authors $[9,10,11,12,19,28]$. The $*$-Ricci tensor $\rho_{c}^{*}$ of $\left(\mathbf{Z}, h_{c}, J\right)$ for $n \geq 2$ is given in [4] by formulas (3.12). The latter formulas are also valid when $\mathbf{M}^{4}$ is an oriented self-dual Ricci-flat Riemannian manifold. Substituting $s=0$ into (3.12) from [4], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{c}^{*}\left(X^{v}, X^{v}\right)>0, \quad \rho_{c}^{*}\left(Y^{h}, Y^{h}\right)=\rho_{c}^{*}\left(Y^{h}, X^{v}\right)=0, \quad X^{v} \in V, \quad Y^{h} \in H \tag{5.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formula (5.45) shows that the tensor $\rho_{c}^{*}$ is non-negative on $\mathbf{Z}$. An application of Theorem 1.1 leads to

Theorem 5.1 Let $(\mathbf{Z}, J)$ be the twistor space of a compact hyper-Kähler manifold $\mathbf{M}$ endowed with the natural complex structure $J$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{0,1}(\mathbf{Z})=\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Z}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Z}}\right)=b_{1}(\mathbf{Z}) \tag{5.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if the hyper Kähler manifold $\mathbf{M}$ is irreducible then $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Z}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{z}}\right)=0$
Proof. Let $\omega_{X}^{1,0}$ be a $\partial$-harmonic (1,0)-form on $\left(\mathbf{Z}, J, h_{c}\right)$. The condition $\rho_{c}^{*}(X, X)=0$ of Theorem 1.1 together with (5.45) implies that the vector field $X$ has to be horizontal i.e. $X=$ $X^{h}$. Using the general formula $\left.g\left(\nabla_{J Y} J\right) Z, U\right)=g(T(Z, U), Y)$, which is a simple consequence of (2.4) and (2.5), we derive from (2.9) in [4] that $j_{X^{h}} T=0, X^{h} \in H$. Then the condition
$\nabla^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}=0$ of Theorem 1.1 together with the formula (4.28) implies $D^{\prime \prime} \omega_{X}=0$ which means that $X$ is a (real) holomorphic vector field on ( $\mathbf{Z}, J)$. Hence, it generates a non-zero Killing vector field on (M, $g$ ) (see e.g. [18, 26, 24]). The dimension of the space of Killing vector fields on $(\mathbf{M}, g)$ is equal to $b_{1}(\mathbf{M})$ since $(\mathbf{M}, g)$ is Ricci flat. Applying Dolbeault theory, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{0,1}(\mathbf{Z}) \leq b_{1}(\mathbf{M}) . \tag{5.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that $h^{1,0}(\mathbf{Z})=0$ and $b_{1}(\mathbf{M})=b_{1}(\mathbf{Z})[26]$. The assertion follows from (4.34), (5.47) and the last two equalities. If ( $\mathbf{M}, g$ ) is irreducible then it is simply connected [8]. Hence, $b_{1}(\mathbf{M})=0$ and (5.46) implies $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Z}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{z}}\right)=0$
Q.E.D.

The next example shows that the third condition in Theorem 1.3 is essential.
Example 2. Consider the complex Heisenberg group

$$
G=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & z_{1} & z_{3} \\
0 & 1 & z_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3} \in \mathbf{C}\right\}
$$

with multiplication. The complex Iwasawa manifold is the compact quotient space $M=G / \Gamma$ formed from the right cosets of the discrete group $\Gamma$ given by the matrices whose entries $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$ are Gaussian integers. The 1 -forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
d z_{1}, \quad d z_{2}, \quad d z_{3}-z_{1} d z_{2} \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

are left invariant by $G$ and certain by $\Gamma$. These 1 -forms pass to the quotient $M$. We denote by $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ the corresponding 1 -forms on $M$, respectively. Consider the Hermitian manifold $(M, g, J)$, where $J$ is the natural complex structure on $M$ arising from the complex coordinates $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$ on $G$ and the metric $g$ is determined by $g=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha_{i} \otimes \bar{\alpha}_{i}$. The Chern connection $D$ is determined by the conditions that the 1 -forms $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ are parallel. The torsion tensor of $D$ is given by

$$
T\left(\alpha_{i}^{\#}, \alpha_{j}^{\#}\right)=-\left[\alpha_{i}^{\#}, \alpha_{j}^{\#}\right], \quad i, j=1,2,3,
$$

where $\alpha_{i}^{\#}$ is the vector field corresponding to $\alpha_{i}$ via $g$. The nonzero term is only $T\left(\alpha_{1}^{\#}, \alpha_{2}^{\#}\right)=$ $-\alpha_{3}^{\#}$ and its complex conjugate. Thus, the space $(M, g, J)$ is a compact balanced Hermitian (non Kähler) manifold with a flat Chern connection.

It is easy to compute that

$$
H\left(\alpha_{1}^{\#}, \alpha_{1}^{\#}\right)=H\left(\alpha_{2}^{\#}, \alpha_{2}^{\#}\right)=0, \quad H\left(\alpha_{3}^{\#}, \alpha_{3}^{\#}\right)=-2
$$

The conclusions of Theorem 1.3 agree with the fact that the holomorphic ( 1,0 )-forms $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are closed while the holomorphic (1,0)-form $\alpha_{3}$ is not closed (indeed, from (5.48) it follows that $d \alpha_{3}=-\alpha_{1} \wedge \alpha_{2}$ ).
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