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#### Abstract

It is known that the total (co)-homology of a 2 -step nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\geq 2^{|\mathfrak{z}|}$, where $\mathfrak{z}$ is the center of $\mathfrak{g}$. We improve this result by showing that actually $2^{t}$, where $t=|\mathfrak{z}|+\left[\frac{|v|+1}{2}\right], v$ a complement of $\mathfrak{z}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$, is still a lower bound for the total cohomology.


## §1. Introduction

An outstanding conjecture, known as the Toral Rank Conjecture (TRC) claims that for any nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ (over $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$ ) the total (co)-homology, with trivial coefficients, satisfies the inequality $\left|H_{*}(\mathfrak{g})\right| \geq 2^{|\mathfrak{z}|}$, where $\mathfrak{z}=$ center $(\mathfrak{g})$.

The TRC is due to S. Halperin ([Ha], 1987). In 1988, in [DS], Deninger and Singhof proved it for 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras. Besides this class, only some particular cases have been added recently. It was shown in [CJ] that the TRC holds for $\mathfrak{g}$ if its center has dimension $\leq 5$ or has codimension $\leq 7$.

It turns out that, in general, $2^{|\mathfrak{z}|}$ is quite far from $\left|\mathrm{H}_{*}(\mathfrak{g})\right|$, specially when $|\mathfrak{z}|$ is comparatively small respect to $|\mathfrak{g}|$.

In this short note we give an alternative proof for the Deninger-Singhof result. Furthermore, we give a new bound that takes into account, not only the dimension of the center but also, the dimension of the Lie algebra itself. On the other hand, by using existing calculations, we show that actually it is the best possible general lower bound of the form $2^{t}$.

Precisely, we give a direct proof to the following
Theorem. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra of finite dimension over $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$. Let $v$ be any direct complement, as vector spaces, of $\mathfrak{z}=\operatorname{center}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then, ${ }^{1}$

$$
\left|\mathrm{H}_{*}(\mathfrak{g})\right| \geq 2^{|\mathfrak{z}|+\left[\frac{|\mathfrak{v}|+1}{2}\right]} .
$$

## §2. The proof of the Theorem

We will make use of the following combinatorial result.
Lemma 2.1. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then,

$$
\left|\sum_{j=0}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{2 j}\right|+\left|\sum_{j=0}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{2 j+1}\right| \geq 2^{\frac{n}{2}} .
$$

Proof. Consider the following integral representation for the binomial numbers:

$$
\binom{n}{j}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint \frac{(1+z)^{n}}{z^{j+1}} d z .
$$

Hence,

$$
\sum_{j=0}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{2 j}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint \frac{(1+z)^{n}}{z}\left(\sum_{j=0}\left(\frac{-1}{z^{2}}\right)^{j}\right) d z
$$

and for $|z|>1$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint \frac{(1+z)^{n} z}{1+z^{2}} d z \\
& =\operatorname{res}_{z=i} f(z)+\operatorname{res}_{z=-i} f(z),
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]where $f(z)=\frac{(1+z)^{n} z}{1+z^{2}}$. Being $i$ and $-i$ simple poles of $f$ it is easy to get
$$
=\frac{(1+i)^{n}+(1-i)^{n}}{2}
$$

Writing $1+i=2^{1 / 2} e^{\pi i / 4}$ and $1-i=2^{1 / 2} e^{-\pi i / 4}$ is not difficult to conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{j=0}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{2 j}\right| & =\left|\frac{(1+i)^{n}+(1-i)^{n}}{2}\right| \\
& = \begin{cases}2^{n / 2}, & \text { if } n \equiv 0 \bmod 4 ; \\
2^{(n-1) / 2}, & \text { if } n \equiv 1 \bmod 4 ; \\
0, & \text { if } n \equiv 2 \bmod 4 ; \\
2^{(n-1) / 2}, & \text { if } n \equiv 3 \bmod 4 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

In an analogous way, one can show that

$$
\left|\sum_{j=0}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{2 j+1}\right|= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } n \equiv 0 \bmod 4 ; \\ 2^{(n-1) / 2}, & \text { if } n \equiv 1 \bmod 4 ; \\ 2^{n / 2}, & \text { if } n \equiv 2 \bmod 4 ; \\ 2^{(n-1) / 2}, & \text { if } n \equiv 3 \bmod 4\end{cases}
$$

Finally, summing up, the Lemma is proved.
Proof of the Theorem. The homology of $\mathfrak{g}$ is the homology of the Koszul complex $(\wedge \mathfrak{g}, \partial)^{2}$

Put $\mathfrak{g}=v \oplus \mathfrak{z}$. Hence, we can write $\wedge \mathfrak{g}=\Lambda v \otimes \bigwedge \mathfrak{z}$. It is straightforward to see that

$$
\partial: \bigwedge^{p} v \otimes \bigwedge_{\mathfrak{z}}^{q} \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{p-2} v \otimes \bigwedge^{q+1} \mathfrak{z} .
$$

Therefore, it follows that the complex $(\Lambda \mathfrak{g}, \partial)$ is the direct sum of an even and an odd subcomplexes, precisely $\left(\bigwedge^{2 p} v \otimes \bigwedge \mathfrak{z}, \partial\right)$ and $\left(\bigwedge^{2 p+1} v \otimes \mathfrak{z}\right)$. Accordingly, the homology of the Koszul complex is the sum of the homologies of each of the even and odd subcomplexes.

It is well known that if $\left(\mathcal{C}=\left(C_{i}\right), \partial\right)$ is a finite complex of finite dimensional vector spaces, then

$$
\left|\mathrm{H}_{*}(\mathcal{C})\right| \geq\left|\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim}\left(C_{i}\right)\right|
$$

By applying this to each of the even and odd subcomplexes we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathrm{H}_{*}(\mathfrak{g})\right| & \geq\left|\sum_{j=0}(-1)^{j} \operatorname{dim}\left(\bigwedge^{2 j} v \otimes \bigwedge \mathfrak{z}\right)\right|+\left|\sum_{j=0}(-1)^{j} \operatorname{dim}\left(\bigwedge^{2 j+1} v \otimes \bigwedge \mathfrak{z}\right)\right| \\
& =2^{|\mathfrak{z}|}\left|\sum_{j=0}(-1)^{j}\binom{|v|}{2 j}\right|+2^{|\mathfrak{z}|}\left|\sum_{j=0}(-1)^{j}\binom{|v|}{2 j+1}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
{ }^{2} \partial\left(x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge x_{p}\right)=\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j+1}\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right] \wedge x_{1} \ldots \wedge \hat{x_{i}} \ldots \wedge \hat{x_{j}} \ldots \wedge x_{p} .
$$

Now the Theorem follows from Lemma 2.1.
Remark 2.2. The bound obtained in the Theorem is the best possible, of the form $2^{t}$, that can be given in general. In fact, among the few available computations there are examples that support this claim.
Example 2.3. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{2}$ denote the Lie algebra with basis $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}, z\right\}$ and nonzero relations $\left[z, x_{i}\right]=y_{i}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq 2$.

It was shown in [ACJ] that

$$
b_{0}=1, \quad b_{1}=3, \quad b_{2}=6, \quad b_{3}=6, \quad b_{4}=3, \quad b_{5}=1,
$$

where $b_{i}=\left|H^{i}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{2}\right)\right|$.
Therefore, the total cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}_{2}$ is equal to 20 and our bound is $2^{2+2}=16$.
Example 2.4. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ denote the Lie algebra with basis $\{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$ and non-zero relations $[a, b]=e,[b, d]=g,[c, d]=e$ and $[a, c]=f$. This Lie algebra appears as $3,7_{D}$ in Seeley's classification ([Se]).

In [CJP] one can explicitly find that

$$
b_{0}=1, \quad b_{1}=4, \quad b_{2}=11, \quad b_{3}=14, \quad b_{4}=14, \quad b_{5}=11, \quad b_{6}=4, \quad b_{7}=1,
$$

where $b_{i}=\left|H^{i}(\mathfrak{g})\right|$. Hence $\left|H^{*}(\mathfrak{g})\right|=60$, while our bound is $2^{3+2}=32$.
Example 2.5. We consider here a family of examples. For each $r \geq 2$ let $E$ be an $r$-dimensional vector space, then $\mathfrak{g}_{r}=E \oplus \bigwedge^{2} E$ is the rank $r$ 2-step free nilpotent Lie algebra,

Their homology has been computed by Sigg in [Si]. Using his result one can compute, for each $r$, the total homology for the rank $r$ algebra.

On the other hand, it is clear that our bound is $2^{\binom{r}{2}+\left[\frac{r+1}{2}\right]}$.
With a simple computer program written in Maple V we have gone up to $r=11$.

| $r$ | $\left\|\mathfrak{g}_{r}\right\|$ | Total cohomology | $t$ | $2^{t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 6 | 36 | 5 | 32 |
| 4 | 10 | 420 | 8 | 256 |
| 5 | 15 | 9800 | 13 | 8192 |
| 6 | 21 | 452760 | 18 | 262144 |
| 7 | 28 | $7.1835024 \times 10^{7}$ | 25 | $3.3554432 \times 10^{7}$ |
| 8 | 36 | $2.828336198688 \times 10^{12}$ | 41 | $2.199023255552 \times 10^{12}$ |
| 9 | 45 | $2.073619375892064 \times 10^{15}$ | 50 | $1.125899906842624 \times 10^{15}$ |
| 10 | 55 | 66 | $3.040584296923128384 \times 10^{18}$ | 61 |

$\left(t=\binom{r}{2}+\left[\frac{r+1}{2}\right]\right)$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The right brackets denote integral part.

