CERN-TH/99-399 LAPTH-772/99 hep-th/9912168

Short representations of SU(2,2/N) and harmonic superspace analyticity

Sergio Ferrara[†] and Emery Sokatchev[‡]

[†] CERN Theoretical Division, CH 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

[‡] Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique Théorique¹ LAPTH, Chemin de Bellevue - BP 110 - F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France

Abstract

We consider the harmonic superspaces associated to SU(2, 2/N) superconformal algebras. For arbitrary N, we show that massless representations, other than the chiral ones, correspond to $\left[\frac{N}{2}\right]$ "elementary" ultrashort analytic superfields whose first component is a scalar in the k antisymmetric irrep of SU(N) ($k = 1 \dots \left[\frac{N}{2}\right]$) with top spin $J_{\text{top}} = \left(\frac{N}{2} - \frac{k}{2}, 0\right)$. For N = 2n we analyze UIR's obtained by tensoring the self-conjugate ultrashort multiplet $J_{\text{top}} = \left(\frac{n}{2}, 0\right)$ and show that N-1different basic products give rise to all possible UIR's with residual shortening.

 $^{^1\}mathrm{UMR}$ 5108 associée à l'Université de Savoie

1 Introduction

The study of superconformal algebras has recently attracted renewed interest for their dual role in the AdS_{d+1}/CFT_d correspondence [1, 2, 3], connected to the near-horizon geometry of d-1-branes.

A special role is played by 3-branes since they are related to superconformal invariant quantum Yang-Mills theories. These theories are the only ones exhibiting conformal symmetry both at weak and strong coupling and, in any case, admitting, unlike other types of branes, Yang-Mills fields in the conformal regime.

The bulk and boundary operators in this correspondence are classified by highest weight UIR's of SU(2, 2/N) algebras [4, 5] where N = 1, 2 and 4 in the known examples, since supergravity or superstring theory can admit at most 32 (8N) supersymmetries. Nevertheless, in the study of superconformal algebras and their representations different values of N are of interest because they help one to exhibit some general features of short representations, corresponding to conformal operators with protected dimension, but more importantly, because these algebras may be relevant for some generalizations of the known schemes in which more than 32 supersymmetries may be required [6].

Recently [7] it has been shown that a known generalization of ordinary superspace, called "harmonic superspace" [8]-[10], is particularly suitable to build up, in a rather simple and general manner, all possible composite operators of superconformal invariant gauge theories with N > 1 extended supersymmetry.

Other approaches, like ordinary superspace [11, 12] or the oscillator construction [13]-[15] of highest weight representations, although in principle possible, are much more complicated to deal with and the complete analysis of all possible shortenings would be unnecessarily difficult.

In fact, the structure of harmonic superspace is powerful enough to allow us to extend the analysis of Ref. [7] to all SU(2, 2/N) superalgebras with arbitrary N,¹ although no dynamical theory is known for N > 4.

From a mathematical point of view harmonic superspace is an enlarged

¹For a thorough treatment of the action of superconformal groups on harmonic superspaces see Refs. [16].

space where superfields are defined on "flag manifolds" [16, 17]

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{SU(N)}{S\left(U(n_1) \times \ldots \times U(n_p)\right)}, \qquad \left(\sum_{k=1}^p n_k = N\right). \tag{1}$$

To study the general case of multiplets it is important [7] to use the choice $n_k = 1$ (k = 1...N), i.e. where we quotient the group SU(N) by its maximal torus. Then the above manifold is the largest flag manifold with complex dimension N(N-1)/2.

The ultrashort UIR's of SU(2, 2/N) superalgebras described by analytic harmonic superfields depend only on half of the odd coordinates (Grassmann or G-analyticity):

$$W^{12...k} = W^{12...k}(\theta_{k+1}, \theta_{k+2}, \dots, \theta_N, \bar{\theta}^1, \bar{\theta}^2, \dots, \bar{\theta}^k) .$$
 (2)

In addition, they are annihilated by all the "step-up" generators E_a in the Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra of SU(N). In other words, these superfields correspond to highest weight states of SU(N):

$$E_a |\mathrm{HW}\rangle = 0$$
. (3)

In harmonic superspace this irreducibility condition corresponds to harmonic (or H-) analyticity. The crucial point is that the SU(2, 2/N) algebra acting on such states defines a "quasi-primary" superconformal field denoted by

$$\mathcal{D}(\ell, J_1, J_2; r; a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}) \tag{4}$$

where ℓ, J_1, J_2 are the conformal dimension and spin of the state, r is the U(1) R charge and a_1, \ldots, a_{N-1} are the SU(N) Dynkin labels. We assign the R charge $r_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{4}{N})$ to the Grassmann coordinates in order to be consistent with the convention that chiral superfields $\Phi(\theta)$ have l = -r for any N. This is also the charge which naturally appears in the definition of the SU(2, 2/N) superalgebra [18].²

The G- and H-analytic superfields (2) have their lowest (scalar) component belonging to the rank k antisymmetric representation of SU(N) $(k = 1 \dots [\frac{N}{2}])$, have R charge $r_k = \frac{2k}{N} - 1$ and will be shown to describe

²Note that for N = 4, $r_{\theta} = 0$ and the r quantum number becomes a "central charge" [19, 20]. In this case the analysis of section 2 refers to the PSU(2, 2/4) algebra for r = 0 and to the PU(2, 2/4) algebra for $r \neq 0$.

"ultrashort" representations of the SU(2, 2/N) superalgebra. If the algebra is interpreted as acting on AdS₅, these are the "supersingleton" representations [21]. For k = 0 the superfield is actually "chiral" and in this case the highest weight state may carry a spin label $(J_L, 0)$ with $\ell = 1 + J_L$. The chiral superfield is the supersingleton representation when the top spin is $J_L = \frac{N}{2}$. For all other analytic superfields (k > 1) the supersingleton will have top spin $J_L = \frac{N}{2} - \frac{k}{2}$.

It should be pointed out that the same massless multiplets can be described in terms of ordinary but *constrained* superfields [11, 12]. The reason why we prefer the harmonic superspace version is the fact that the superfields (2) are *unconstrained* analytic objects. Analyticity is a property which is preserved by multiplication. This will allow us to tensor the above massless UIR's in a very simple way and thus obtain series of short multiplets of SU(2, 2/N). We observe that from the AdS₅ point of view, tensoring more than two supersingleton reps produces "massive bulk" reps, while tensoring only two of them produces "massless bulk" reps [21, 15]. The latter are the "supercurrent" multiplets discussed in Ref. [12].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the shortening conditions for SU(2, 2/N) superalgebras. In section 3 we introduce the appropriate harmonic superspaces and construct the G- and H-analytic superfields which, together with ordinary chiral superfields, describe all massless representations of SU(2, 2/N). In section 4 we construct all short operators corresponding to tensor products of the self-conjugate supersingleton ³ multiplet of SU(2, 2/2n).

2 Unitarity bounds and shortening of UIR's of SU(2, 2/N)

The unitarity bounds of highest weight UIR's of SU(2, 2/N) have been derived in Refs. [22, 19, 20, 23]. They correspond to some bounds on the highest weight state (4). Let us define the quantities

$$m_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} a_k , \quad m = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (N-k)a_k$$
 (5)

³Self-conjugate here means that the highest weight state is in the self-conjugate rep of $SL(2, C) \times U(2n)$: $J_1 = J_2 = r = 0$, *n*-fold antisymmetric. This rep is real (pseudoreal) when *n* is even (odd).

and

$$X(J,r,\frac{2m}{N}) = 2 + 2J - r + \frac{2m}{N}, \quad Y(r,\frac{2m}{N}) = -r + \frac{2m}{N}.$$
 (6)

Then we have $(J_1 = J_L, J_2 = J_R)$:

A)
$$\ell \ge X(J_2, r, \frac{2m}{N}) \ge X(J_1, -r, 2m_1 - \frac{2m}{N})$$
 (7)

(or $J_1 \to J_2, r \to -r, \frac{2m}{N} \to 2m_1 - \frac{2m}{N}$);

B)
$$\ell = Y(r, \frac{2m}{N}) \ge X(J_1, -r, 2m_1 - \frac{2m}{N})$$
 (8)

(or
$$J_1 \to J_2, r \to -r, \frac{2m}{N} \to 2m_1 - \frac{2m}{N}$$
);
C) $\ell = m_1, r = \frac{2m}{N} - m_1, J_1 = J_2 = 0.$ (9)

The massless UIR's correspond to B) for $a_k = 0$, $\ell = -r = 1 + J_L$ and to C) for $\ell = m_1 = 1$, $r_k = \frac{2k}{N} - 1$, $1 \le k \le \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor$. Note that the two series overlap for $J_L = 0$ in B) and k = 0 in C).

The short multiplets that we shall build in section 4 by tensoring massless multiplets from the C) series in the case of N = 2n for k = n (r = 0) will belong to the shortenings in B) and C) obtained for $J_1 = 0$ and r = 0:

B)
$$\ell = \frac{2m}{N}, \quad \frac{2m}{N} - m_1 \ge 1$$

C) $\ell = m_1, \quad \frac{2m}{N} = m_1$ (10)

3 Massless superconformal multiplets

3.1 Grassmann analytic superfields

We consider superfields

$$W^{i_1\dots i_k}(x_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}},\theta^{\alpha}_i,\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}i})$$

with k = 1, ..., n (where $n = \left\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \right\rfloor$) totally antisymmetrized indices in the fundamental representation of SU(N). These superfields satisfy the following constraints:

$$D^{(j}_{\alpha}W^{i_1)i_2\dots i_k} = 0 , \qquad (11)$$

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}\{j}W^{i_1\}i_2\dots i_k} = 0 \tag{12}$$

where () means symmetrization and {} means the traceless part. The spinor derivatives algebra is

$$\{D^i_{\alpha}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}j}\} = i\delta^i_j \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \tag{13}$$

with $\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\mu}$. In the cases N = 2, 3, 4 these constraints define the on-shell N = 2 matter (hyper)multiplet [24] and the N = 3, 4 on-shell super-Yang-Mills multiplets [25]. Their generalization to arbitrary N has been given in Refs. [11, 12] where it has also been shown that they describe on-shell massless multiplets.

Our aim in this section is to rewrite the constraints (11), (12) in harmonic superspace where they will take the simple form of analyticity conditions. Using this fact we will then be able to construct tensor products of the corresponding multiplets in a very straightforward and easy way (section 4).

The main purpose of introducing harmonics is to be able to covariantly project all the SU(N) indices in (11), (12) onto a set of U(1) charges. To this end we choose the harmonic coset $SU(N)/(U(1))^{N-1}$ described in terms of harmonic variables u_i^I and their conjugates $u_I^i = (u_i^I)^*$. ⁴ They form an SU(N) matrix where *i* is an index in the fundamental representation of SU(N) and I = 1, ..., N is a collection of the N - 1 U(1) charges corresponding to the projections of the second index (the harmonic u_I^i carries charges opposite to those of u_i^I). They satisfy the following SU(N) defining conditions:

$$u_i^I u_J^i = \delta_J^I , \qquad (14)$$

$$u \in SU(N): \qquad u_i^I u_I^j = \delta_i^j , \qquad (15)$$

$$\varepsilon^{i_1\dots i_N} u_{i_1}^1 \dots u_{i_N}^N = 1$$
 . (16)

Now, let us use these harmonic variables to split all the SU(N) indices in the constraints (11), (12) into independent $(U(1))^{N-1}$ projections. For example, the projection

$$W^{12\dots k} = W^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} u_{i_1}^1 u_{i_2}^2 \dots u_{i_k}^k \tag{17}$$

satisfies the constraints

$$D^{1}_{\alpha}W^{12\dots k} = D^{2}_{\alpha}W^{12\dots k} = \dots = D^{k}_{\alpha}W^{12\dots k} = 0, \qquad (18)$$

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}\ k+1}W^{12\dots k} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}\ k+2}W^{12\dots k} = \dots = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}\ N}W^{12\dots k} = 0 \tag{19}$$

⁴The harmonic notation used here differs from the original one of Refs. [8, 9]. It is similar to the one introduced in Ref. [10] for the case N = 3 and in Refs. [16] for general N.

where $D^{I}_{\alpha} = D^{i}_{\alpha}u^{I}_{i}$ and $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}I} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}i}u^{i}_{I}$. The first of them, eq. (18), is a corollary of the commuting nature of the harmonics variables, and the second one, eq. (19), of the unitarity condition (14). The main achievement in rewriting the constraints (11), (12) in this new form is that they can be explicitly solved by going to an appropriate G-analytic basis in superspace:

$$x_A^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + i(\theta_1^{\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{1\dot{\alpha}} + \ldots + \theta_k^{\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{k\dot{\alpha}} - \theta_{k+1}^{\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{k+1\dot{\alpha}} - \ldots - \theta_N^{\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{N\dot{\alpha}}), \theta_I^{\alpha} = \theta_i^{\alpha}u_I^i, \quad \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}I} = \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}i}u_I^I.$$

$$(20)$$

In this basis $W^{12...k}$ becomes an unconstrained function of $k \bar{\theta}$'s and $N - k \theta$'s:

$$W^{12...k} = W^{12...k}(x_A, \theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_N, \bar{\theta}^1, \dots, \bar{\theta}^k, u) .$$
 (21)

Altogether it depends on half the number of the odd variables of N-extended superspace and for this reason we call it Grassmann (or G-) analytic. We recall that the notion of Grassmann analyticity was first introduced in Ref. [26], still in the context of ordinary superspace. In N = 2 harmonic superspace [8] this notion became SU(2) covariant. The generalization to N = 3was given in Ref. [9] and later on to general N in Refs. [16] (under the name of "(N, p, q) superspace").

The massless conformal multiplets describe the ordinary massless UIR's of the super Poincaré group obtained earlier by the Wigner method of induced representations (see, for instance, Ref. [27]). The self-conjugate N = 8multiplet was obtained by the oscillator method in Ref. [28].

3.2 Harmonic analyticity as SU(N) irreducibility

It is important to realize that a G-analytic superfield is an SU(N) covariant object only because it depends on the harmonic variables. In order to recover the original harmonic-independent but constrained superfield $W^{i_1i_2...i_k}(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})$ (11), (12) we need to impose differential conditions involving the harmonic variables. The harmonic derivatives are made out of the operators

$$\partial_J^I = u_i^I \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^J} - u_J^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_I^i} \tag{22}$$

which respect the defining relations (14), (15). These derivatives act on the harmonics as follows:

$$\partial_J^I u_i^K = \delta_J^K u_i^I , \qquad \partial_J^I u_K^i = -\delta_K^I u_J^i . \tag{23}$$

The diagonal ones ∂_I^I count the U(1) charges,

$$\partial_I^I u_i^I = u_i^I , \qquad \partial_I^I u_I^i = -u_I^i . \tag{24}$$

The relation (16) implies that the charge operators ∂_I^I are not independent,

$$\sum_{I=1}^{N} \partial_I^I = 0 \tag{25}$$

(this reflects the fact that we are considering SU(N) and not U(N)).

A basic assumption in our approach to the harmonic coset $SU(N)/U(1)^{N-1}$ is that any harmonic function is homogeneous under the action of $U(1)^{N-1}$, i.e., it is an eigenfunction of the charge operators ∂_I^I ,

$$\partial_I^I f_{L_1...L_r}^{K_1...K_q}(u) = (\delta_I^{K_1} + \ldots + \delta_I^{K_q} - \delta_{L_1}^I - \ldots - \delta_{L_r}^I) f_{L_1...L_r}^{K_1...K_q}(u)$$
(26)

(note that the charges $K_1 \ldots K_q$; $L_1 \ldots L_r$ are not necessarily all different). Thus it effectively depends on the $(N^2 - 1) - (N - 1) = N(N - 1)$ real coordinates of the coset $SU(N)/U(1)^{N-1}$. Then the actual harmonic derivatives on the coset are the N(N - 1)/2 complex derivatives ∂_J^I , I < J (or their conjugates ∂_J^I , I > J). The set of $N^2 - 1$ derivatives ∂_J^I (taking into account the linear dependence

The set of $N^2 - 1$ derivatives ∂_J^I (taking into account the linear dependence (25)) form the algebra of SU(N):

$$[\partial_J^I, \partial_L^K] = \delta_J^K \partial_L^I - \delta_L^I \partial_J^K .$$
⁽²⁷⁾

The Cartan decomposition of this algebra $L^+ + L^0 + L^-$ is given by the sets

$$L^{+} = \{\partial_{J}^{I}, I < J\}, \quad L^{0} = \{\partial_{I}^{I}, \sum_{I=1}^{N} \partial_{I}^{I} = 0\}, \quad L^{-} = \{\partial_{J}^{I}, I > J\}.$$
(28)

It becomes clear that imposing the harmonic conditions

$$\partial_J^I f_{L_1 \dots L_r}^{K_1 \dots K_q}(u) = 0 , \quad I < J$$
 (29)

on a harmonic function with a given set of charges $K_1 \ldots K_q; L_1 \ldots L_r$ defines the highest weight of an SU(N) irrep. In other words, the harmonic expansion of such a function contains only one irrep which is determined by

the combination of charges $K_1 \ldots K_q$; $L_1 \ldots L_r$. In fact, not all of the derivatives ∂_J^I , I < J are independent, as follows from the algebra (27). The independent set consists of the N-1 derivatives

$$\partial_2^1 , \ \partial_3^2 , \ \dots, \ \partial_N^{N-1}$$

$$\tag{30}$$

corresponding to the simple roots of SU(N). Then the SU(N) defining constraint (29) is equivalent to

$$(\partial_2^1, \partial_3^2, \dots, \partial_N^{N-1}) f_{L_1 \dots L_r}^{K_1 \dots K_q}(u) = 0.$$
 (31)

The coset $SU(N)/U(1)^{N-1}$ can be parametrized by N(N-1)/2 complex coordinates. In this case the constraints (29) take the form of covariant (in the sense of Cartan) Cauchy-Riemann analyticity conditions. For this reason we call the set of constraints (29) (or the equivalent set (31)) harmonic (H-)analyticity conditions. The above argument shows that H-analyticity is equivalent to defining a highest weight of SU(N), i.e. it is the SU(N)irreducibility condition on the harmonic functions.

As an example, take N = 2 and the function $f^{1}(u)$ subject to the constraint

$$\partial_2^1 f^1(u) = 0 \implies f^1(u) = f^i u_i^1 .$$
 (32)

So, the harmonic function is reduced to a doublet of SU(2). Similarly, for N = 4 the function $f^{12}(u)$ is reduced to the <u>6</u> of SU(4). Indeed, the constraints $\partial_3^2 f^{12}(u) = \partial_4^3 f^{12}(u) = 0$ ensure that $f^{12}(u)$ depends on u^1, u^2 only, $f^{12}(u) = f^{ij}u_i^1u_j^2$. Then the constraint $\partial_2^1 f^{12}(u) = f^{ij}u_i^1u_j^1 = 0$ implies $f^{ij} = -f^{ji}$.

In the G-analytic basis (20) the harmonic derivatives become covariant D_J^I . In particular, the derivatives

$$D_J^I = \partial_J^I - i\theta_J^{\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{I\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \theta_J\partial^I + \bar{\theta}^I\bar{\partial}_J , \quad I = 1, \dots, k, \ J = k+1, \dots, N$$
(33)

acquire space-time derivative terms. The SU(N) commutation relations among the D_J^I are not affected by the change of basis. The same is true for the commutation relations of the D_J^I with the spinor derivatives:

$$[D_J^I, D_\alpha^K] = \delta_J^K D_\alpha^I , \qquad [D_J^I, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha} K}] = -\delta_K^I \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha} J} .$$
(34)

Using these relations one can see that the H-analyticity conditions

$$D_J^I W^{12\dots k} = 0 , \quad I < J \tag{35}$$

or the equivalent set

$$(D_2^1, D_3^2, \dots, D_N^{N-1})W^{12\dots k} = 0$$
(36)

are compatible with the G-analyticity ones (19).

3.3 Analyticity and massless multiplets

The constraints of H-analyticity (35) combined with those of G-analyticity (19) have important implications for the components of the superfield. First of all, they make each component an irrep of SU(N). Take, for example, the first component

$$\phi^{12\dots k}(x,u) = W^{12\dots k}|_0 \tag{37}$$

where $|_0$ means $\theta = \bar{\theta} = 0$. The constraints $\partial^I_{I+1} \phi^{12\dots k}(x, u) = 0, I = k, \dots, N$ imply that $\phi^{12\dots k}(x, u)$ takes the form

$$\phi^{12\dots k}(x,u) = \phi^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}(x) u_{i_1}^1 u_{i_2}^2 \dots u_{i_k}^k$$

This is a rank k tensor without any symmetry, i.e. a reducible representation of SU(N). Further, the constraint, e.g.,

$$\partial_2^1 \phi^{123\dots k}(x, u) = \phi^{113\dots k}(x, u) = \phi^{i_1 i_2 i_3\dots i_k} u_{i_1}^1 u_{i_2}^1 u_{i_3}^3 \dots u_{i_k}^n = 0$$

removes the symmetric part in the first two indices. Similarly, the remaining constraints (35) remove all the symmetrizations and we find the totally antisymmetric rank k irrep of SU(N).

Another example are the spinor components

$$\chi_{\alpha}^{12\dots k\ k+1}(x,u) = D_{\alpha}^{k+1} W^{12\dots k}|_{0} , \qquad \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{23\dots k}(x,u) = \bar{D}_{1\dot{\alpha}} W^{12\dots k}|_{0} .$$
(38)

The same harmonic argument shows that these are harmonic projections of the totally antisymmetric components $\chi_{\alpha}^{[i_1i_2...i_{k+1}]}(x)$ and $\bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{[i_2i_3...i_k]}(x)$.

Further important constraints occur at the level of 2 or more θ 's:

$$D^{I\alpha}D^{J}_{\alpha}W^{12...k} = 0$$
, $I, J = k+1, ..., N$, (39)

$$\bar{D}_{I\dot{\alpha}}\bar{D}_{J}^{\dot{\alpha}}W^{12...k} = 0$$
, $I, J = 1, \dots, k$. (40)

The easiest way to see this is to hit the defining constraint (11) with $D^{k\alpha}$ and then project with harmonics. The constraints (39), (40) imply that the components of the type

$$\chi_{(\alpha_1...\alpha_p)}^{1...k+p} = D_{\alpha_1}^{k+1} \dots D_{\alpha_p}^{k+p} W^{12...k}|_0 , \quad p \le N-k$$
(41)

$$\bar{\psi}^{p+1\dots k}_{(\dot{\alpha}_1\dots\dot{\alpha}_p)} = \bar{D}_{1\dot{\alpha}_1}\dots\bar{D}_{p\dot{\alpha}_p}W^{12\dots k}|_0 , \quad p \le k$$
(42)

are totally symmetric in their spinor indices, i.e. they carry spin (p/2, 0) or (0, p/2), correspondingly. Among them one finds the

top spin
$$(\frac{N}{2} - \frac{k}{2}, 0)$$
: $\chi_{(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{N-k})} = D_{\alpha_1}^{k+1} \dots D_{\alpha_{N-k}}^N W^{12\dots k}|_0$ (43)

which is also an SU(N) singlet. Note that in the case N = 2n, k = n the top spin occurs both as (n/2, 0) and (0, n/2) (we call this a "self-conjugate" multiplet). Moreover, if N = 4n and k = 2n one can impose a reality condition on the superfield $W^{12\dots 2n}$ which implies, in particular, that

$$\chi_{(\alpha_1...\alpha_{2n})} = (\psi_{(\dot{\alpha}_1...\dot{\alpha}_{2n})})^* .$$
(44)

Next, one can show that all the components of the type (41), (42) satisfy massless field equations. Indeed, from the constraint (39) and from G-analyticity it follows that

$$\begin{array}{lll}
0 &=& \bar{D}_{k+1\,\dot{\beta}} D^{k+1\,\alpha_1} D^{k+1}_{\alpha_1} \dots D^{k+p}_{\alpha_p} W^{12\dots k} \\
&=& 2i \partial^{\alpha_1}_{\dot{\beta}} D^{k+1}_{\alpha_1} \dots D^{k+p}_{\alpha_p} W^{12\dots k} \\
&\Rightarrow& \partial^{\alpha_1}_{\dot{\beta}} \chi^{1\dots k+p}_{(\alpha_1\dots\alpha_p)} = 0
\end{array} \tag{45}$$

and similarly for $\bar{\psi}^{p+1...k}_{(\dot{\alpha}_1...\dot{\alpha}_p)}$. The leading scalar component (37) satisfies the d'Alembert equation:

$$0 = (D^1)^2 (\bar{D}_1)^2 W^{12\dots k} = 4 \Box W^{12\dots k} \implies \Box \phi^{12\dots k} = 0.$$
 (46)

Finally, all the components of mixed type,

$$f_{\dot{\alpha}_1\dots\dot{\alpha}_p\alpha_1\dots\alpha_q}^{p+1\dots,k+q} = \bar{D}_{1\dot{\alpha}_1}\dots\bar{D}_{p\dot{\alpha}_p}D_{\alpha_1}^{k+1}\dots D_{\alpha_q}^{k+q}W^{12\dots k}|_0 , \qquad p \le k , \ q \le N-k$$
(47)

are expressed in terms of the space-time derivatives of lower components. Indeed,

$$D_{k+q}^{1} f_{\dot{\alpha}_{1}...\dot{\alpha}_{p}\alpha_{1}...\alpha_{q}}^{p+1...k+q} = -\bar{D}_{k+q\dot{\alpha}_{1}} \bar{D}_{2\dot{\alpha}_{2}} \dots D_{\alpha_{q}}^{k+q} W^{12...k}|_{0}$$

$$= (-1)^{p+q-1} i \partial_{\dot{\alpha}_{1}\alpha_{q}} \bar{D}_{2\dot{\alpha}_{2}} \dots D_{\alpha_{q-1}}^{k+q-1} W^{12...k}|_{0} \quad (48)$$

$$\Rightarrow f_{\dot{\alpha}_{1}...\dot{\alpha}_{p}\alpha_{1}...\alpha_{q}}^{p+1...k+q-1} = (-1)^{p+q-1} i \partial_{\dot{\alpha}_{1}\alpha_{q}} g_{\dot{\alpha}_{2}...\dot{\alpha}_{p}\alpha_{1}...\alpha_{q-1}}^{1p+1...k+q-1}$$

To summarize, the superfield $W^{12\dots k}$ subject to the constraints of G- and H-analyticity has the following component content (the derivative terms are not shown):

$$W^{12...k} = \phi^{12...k} + \bar{\theta}^{1}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}\ 23...k} + \dots + \bar{\theta}^{k}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}\ 12...k-1} + \theta^{\alpha}_{\dot{\alpha}+1}\chi^{1...k\ k+1}_{\alpha} + \dots + \theta^{\alpha}_{N}\chi^{1...k\ N}_{\alpha} + \bar{\theta}^{1}_{\dot{\alpha}+1}\chi^{2}_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\ 3...k} + \dots + \bar{\theta}^{k-1}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\theta}^{k}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\ 1...k-2} + \theta^{\alpha}_{k+1}\theta^{\beta}_{k+2}\chi^{1...k\ k+1\ k+2}_{(\alpha\beta)} + \dots + \theta^{\alpha}_{N-1}\theta^{\beta}_{N}\chi^{1...k\ N-1\ N}_{(\alpha\beta)} + \bar{\theta}^{1}_{\dot{\alpha}_{1}}\dots\bar{\theta}^{k}_{\dot{\alpha}_{k}}\bar{\psi}^{(\dot{\alpha}_{1}...\dot{\alpha}_{k})} + \theta^{\alpha_{1}}_{k+1}\dots\theta^{\alpha_{N-k}}_{N}\chi_{(\alpha_{1}...\alpha_{N-k})}$$
(49)

where all the fields belong to totally antisymmetric irreps of SU(N) and satisfy the massless field equations

$$\Box \phi^{[i_1 \dots i_k]} = 0 ,$$

$$\partial^{\beta \dot{\alpha}_1} \bar{\psi}^{[i_1 \dots i_{k-p}]}_{(\dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_p)} = 0 , \quad 1 \le p \le k$$

$$\partial^{\alpha_1 \dot{\beta}} \chi^{[i_1 \dots i_p]}_{(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p)} = 0 , \quad 1 \le p \le N - k$$
(50)

This is the content of an N-extended superconformal multiplet of the C) series of section 2. It is characterized by the SU(N) irrep of the first component (described by the Young tableau $m_1 = \ldots = m_k = 1$, $m_{k+1} = \ldots = m_{N-1} = 0$), by its R charge

$$r_k = \frac{2k}{N} - 1\tag{51}$$

and conformal dimension $\ell = 1$ and by the top spin $J_{\text{top}} = (\frac{N}{2} - \frac{k}{2}, 0)$.

3.4 Chiral superfields

The G-analytic superfields considered above contain at least one $\bar{\theta}$. The case of "extreme" G-analyticity will be the absence of any $\bar{\theta}$'s. These are the well-known chiral superfields [29] satisfying the constraint

$$\bar{D}_{i\,\dot{\alpha}}W = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad W = W(x_L^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \theta_i^{\alpha}) \tag{52}$$

where

$$x_L^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - i\theta_i^{\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{i\dot{\alpha}} \,. \tag{53}$$

Note that in this case we do not need harmonic variables, since G-analyticity involves a subset of odd coordinates forming an entire irrep of SU(N), and not a set of U(1) projections. Consequently, in order to put such a superfield on shell, we cannot use H-analyticity but need to impose a new type of constraint:

$$D^{\alpha i} D^j_{\alpha} W = 0. (54)$$

The resulting components are multispinors of the same chirality (cf. eq. (49)):

$$W = \phi + \theta_i^{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha}^i + \ldots + \theta_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \ldots \theta_{i_n}^{\alpha_n} \chi_{(\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n)}^{[i_1 \ldots i_n]} + \ldots + (\theta)^{2N} \chi$$
(55)

satisfying massless field equations. The tops spin is $(\frac{N}{2}, 0)$.

The chiral superfields above are scalar, but there exist conformally covariant chiral superfields with an arbitrary $(J_L, 0)$ index of the highest weight: $W_{\alpha_1...\alpha_{2J_L}}$. In this case the masslessness condition is [12] $D^{\alpha_1 i} W_{\alpha_1...\alpha_{2J_L}} = 0$.

4 Short superconformal multiplets

In this section we shall concentrate on the case N = 2n for reasons of simplicity. The analytic superfield $W^{12\dots n}(\theta_{n+1},\dots,\theta_{2n},\bar{\theta}^1,\dots,\bar{\theta}^n)$ describes a superconformal multiplet characterized by the Young tableau $m_1 = \dots =$ $m_n = 1, \ m_{n+1} = \dots = m_{2n-1} = 0$ of its first component (a Lorentz scalar), by its dimension $\ell = 1$ and R charge r = 0 (see (51)). Now we shall use this multiplet as a building block for constructing other "short" superconformal multiplets.

The building block $W^{12...n}$ can be equivalently rewritten by choosing different harmonic projections of its SU(N) indices and, consequently, different sets of G-analyticity constraints. This amounts to superfields of the type

$$W^{I_1I_2...I_n}(\theta_{J_1},\ldots,\theta_{J_n},\bar{\theta}^{I_1},\ldots,\bar{\theta}^{I_n})$$
(56)

where I_1, \ldots, I_n and J_1, \ldots, J_n are two complementary sets of n indices. Each of these superfields depends on 2N = 4n Grassmann variables, i.e. half of the total number of 4N = 8n. This is the minimal size of a G-analytic superspace,

so we can say that the W's are the "shortest" superfields (superconformal multiplets). Another characteristic of these W's is the absence of R charges.

The idea now is to start multiplying different species of the W's of the type (56) in order to obtain composite objects depending on various numbers of odd variables. The sets I_1, \ldots, I_n can be chosen in $(2n)!/(n!)^2$ different ways. However, we do not need consider all of them. The following choice of W's and of the order of multiplication covers all possible intermediate types of G-analyticity:

$$A(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{2n-1}) =$$

$$\begin{split} & [W^{1\dots n}(\theta_{n+1\dots 2n}\bar{\theta}^{1\dots n})]^{p_1+\dots+p_{2n-1}} \\ \times & [W^{1\dots n-1\ n+1}(\theta_{\underline{n}\ n+2\dots 2n}\bar{\theta}^{1\dots n-1\ \underline{n+1}})]^{p_2+\dots+p_{2n-1}} \\ \times & [W^{1\dots n-1\ n+2}(\theta_{n\ n+1\ n+3\dots 2n}\bar{\theta}^{1\dots n-1\ \underline{n+2}})]^{p_3+\dots+p_{2n-1}} \\ \cdots \\ \times & [W^{1\dots n-1\ 2n-1}(\theta_{n\dots 2n-2\ 2n}\bar{\theta}^{1\dots n-1\ \underline{2n-1}})]^{p_n+\dots+p_{2n-1}} \\ \times & [W^{1\dots n-2\ n\ n+1}(\theta_{\underline{n-1}\ n+2\dots 2n}\bar{\theta}^{1\dots n-2\ n\ n+1})]^{p_{n+1}+\dots+p_{2n-1}} \\ \times & [W^{1\dots n-3\ n-1\ n\ n+1}(\theta_{\underline{n-2}\ n+2\dots 2n}\bar{\theta}^{1\dots n-3\ n-1\ n\ n+1})]^{p_{n+2}+\dots+p_{2n-1}} \\ \cdots \\ \times & [W^{13\dots n+1}(\theta_{\underline{2}\ n+2\dots 2n}\bar{\theta}^{13\dots n+1})]^{p_{2n-2}+p_{2n-1}} \\ \end{split}$$

The power $\sum_{r=k}^{2n-1} p_r$ of the k-th W is chosen in such a way that each new p_r corresponds to bringing in a new type of W. As a result, at each step a new θ or $\bar{\theta}$ appears (they are underlined in (57)), thus adding new odd dimensions to the G-analytic superspace. The only exception of this rule is the second step at which both a new θ and a new $\bar{\theta}$ appear. So, the series (57) covers all possible subspaces with $4n, 4n+4, 4n+6, \ldots, 8n-2$ odd coordinates (notice once again the missing subspace with 4n+2 odd coordinates). In this sense we can say that the G-analytic superfield $A(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{2n-1})$ realizes a "short" superconformal multiplet.

The superfield $A(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{2n-1})$ should be submitted to the same H-analyticity constraints as one would impose on $W^{1...n}$ alone,

$$D_{I+1}^{I}A(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{2n-1}) = 0$$
, $I = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1$. (58)

This is clearly compatible with G-analyticity since the conditions on a generic $A(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{2n-1})$ form a subset of these on $W^{1...n}$. As before, H-analyticity

makes $A(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{2n-1})$ irreducible under SU(N). Here is the structure of Young tableau which corresponds to the first (scalar) component of this superfield (and characterizes the supermultiplet as a whole):



The top row is filled with indices projected with u_i^1 (hence the symmetrization among them), the second row - with u_i^2 , etc. The harmonic conditions (58) remove all the symmetrizations among indices belonging to different projections (rows). By counting the number of occurrences of the projection 1 in (57), we easily find the relation

$$m_1 = \ell - p_{2n-1} \tag{59}$$

where ℓ is the total number of W's (equal to the dimension of the superfield A, since $\ell_W = 1$). Another simple counting shows the relation

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} m_k = n\ell = \frac{N}{2}\ell .$$
 (60)

If the last W in (58) is not present there is an additional relation among the Young tableau labels:

$$p_{2n-1} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad m_1 = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} m_k \;.$$
 (61)

Finally, introducing the Dynkin labels $[a_1, \ldots, a_{2n-1}]$ where $a_1 = m_{2n-1}$ and $a_k = m_{2n-k+1} - m_{2n-k}$ for $k \ge 2$, we find

$$a_{1} = \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} p_{k} ,$$

$$a_{2} = p_{n-1} , \dots , \quad a_{n-2} = p_{3} ,$$

$$a_{n-1} = p_{2} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n-1} (k-n)p_{k} ,$$

$$a_{n} = p_{1} ,$$

$$a_{n+1} = (n-2) \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n-1} p_{k} + \sum_{k=2}^{n} (k-1)p_{k} ,$$

$$a_{n+2} = p_{n+1} , \dots , \quad a_{2n-1} = p_{2n-2} .$$
(62)

5 Conclusion

In the present paper we have studied massless multiplets of SU(2, 2/N) described by analytic harmonic superfields. They correspond to "ultrashort" superconformal multiplets with maximal spin $J_{\text{top}} = (\frac{N}{2} - \frac{k}{2}, 0), k = 1 \dots [\frac{N}{2}]$. In the case N = 2n, by multiplying different but equivalent multiplets with k = n we constructed a 2n - 1 parameter series of "short" composite operators. These shortenings are characterized by analytic subspaces of harmonic superspace which do not involve a certain number of θ (fermionic) variables. If we denote by (n_L, n_R) the numbers of missing two-component θ 's and $\bar{\theta}$'s, respectively, then we find the following top spin in the expansion of the short multiplet:

$$(J_1, J_2)_{\text{top}} = \left(\frac{2n - n_L}{2}, \frac{2n - n_R}{2}\right) .$$
 (1)

The possible values of the pairs (n_L, n_R) and, correspondingly, $(J_1, J_2)_{top}$ are shown in the following table:

(n_L, n_R)	$(J_1, J_2)_{\mathrm{top}}$
(n,n)	$\left(\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}\right)$
(n-1, n-1)	$\left(\frac{\bar{n}+\bar{1}}{2},\frac{n+1}{2}\right)$
(n-1, n-2)	$\left(\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2}\right)$
• • •	•••
(n-1,2)	$\left(\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{2n-2}{2}\right)$
(n-1,1)	$(\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{2n-1}{2})$
(n-2,1)	$\left(\frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{2n-1}{2}\right)$
• • •	•••
(1, 1)	$\left(\frac{2n-1}{2}, \frac{2n-1}{2}\right)$
(0,1)	$\left(\frac{2n}{2}, \frac{2n-1}{2}\right)$

Note the absence of the pair $(n_L = n, n_R = n - 1)$ and, correspondingly, $(J_1, J_2)_{top} = (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}).$

Acknowledgements

E.S. is grateful to the TH Division of CERN for its kind hospitality and to E.A. Ivanov and B.M. Zupnik for helpful discussions. The work of S.F. has been supported in part by the European Commission TMR programme ERBFMRX-CT96-0045 (Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, INFN) and by DOE grant DE-FG03-91ER40662, Task C.

References

- J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231, hepth/9705104.
- [2] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, *Phys. Lett.* B428 (1998) 105, hep-th/9802109.
- [3] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 253, hep-th/9802150.
- [4] S. Ferrara, C. Fronsdal and A. Zaffaroni, Nucl. Phys. B532 (1998) 153, hep-th/9802203; S. Ferrara and C. Fronsdal, Phys. Lett. B433 (1998) 19.
- [5] L. Andrianopoli and S. Ferrara, *Phys. Lett.* B430 (1998) 248, hepth/9803171.
- [6] I. Bars, *Phys. Lett.* B403 (1997) 257; I. Bars, C. Deludiman, O. Andreev, *Phys. Rev.* D 58 (1998) 066004; I. Bars, *Phys. Rev.* D 59 (1999) 045019.
- [7] L. Andrianopoli, S. Ferrara, E. Sokatchev and B. Zupnik Shortening of primary operators in N-extended $SCFT_4$ and harmonic-superspace analyticity, hep-th/9912007.
- [8] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 1 (1984) 469; E. Ivanov, S. Kalitsyn, Nguyen Ai Viet and V. Ogievetsky, *J. Phys.* A 18 (1985) 3433.
- [9] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 2 (1985) 155; R. Kallosh, *Pis'ma ZHETF*, 41 (1985) 172.
- [10] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov and V. Ogievetsky, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 46 (1987) 543.
- [11] W. Siegel, Nucl. Phys. B 177 (1981) 325.
- [12] P. Howe, K.S. Stelle and P.K. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. B 191 (1981) 445;
 Nucl. Phys. B 192 (1981) 332.
- [13] I. Bars and M. Gunaydin, Commun. Math. Phys. 91 (1983) 31; M. Gunaydin, J. Math. Phys. 29 (1988) 1275.

- [14] M. Gunaydin and N. Marcus, Class. Quantum Grav. 2 (1985) L11.
- M. Gunaydin, D. Minic and M. Zagermann, Nucl. Phys. B534 (1998)
 96, hep-th/9806042; M. Gunaydin, D. Minic and M. Zagermann, Nucl. Phys. B544 (1999) 737, hep-th/9810226.
- [16] G.G. Hartwell and P.S. Howe, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A10 (1995) 3901, hepth/9412147; Class. Quant. Grav. 12 (1995) 1823.
- [17] A.W. Knapp, "Representation theory of semisimple groups", Princeton Universitary Press, 1986.
- [18] S. Ferrara, M. Kaku, P.K. Townsend and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Nucl. Phys. B129 (1977) 125.
- [19] V. K. Dobrev and V. B. Petkova, *Phys. Lett.* B162 (1985) 127; *Fortschr. Phys.* 35 (1987) 7, 537.
- [20] B. Binegar, *Phys. Rev.* D34 (1986) 525.
- [21] M. Flato and C. Fronsdal, Lett. Math. Phys. 2 (1978) 421; Phys. Lett. 97B (1980) 236; J. Math. Phys. 22 (1981) 1100; Phys. Lett. B172 (1986) 412.
- [22] M. Flato and C. Fronsdal, Lett. Math. Phys. 8 (1984) 159.
- [23] B. Morel, A. Sciarrino and P. Sorba, *Phys. Lett.* B166 (1986) 69; erratum B167 (1986) 486.
- [24] M.F. Sohnius, Nucl. Phys. B 138 (1978) 109.
- [25] M.F. Sohnius, Nucl. Phys. B 136 (1978) 461.
- [26] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov and V.I. Ogievetsky JETP Lett. 33 (1981) 168.
- [27] J. Strathdee, Int. J. Math. Phys. 2 (1987) 273 and refs therein.
- [28] M. Gunaydin and N. Marcus, Class. Quantum Grav. 2 (1985) L19.
- [29] S. Ferrara, J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Phys. Lett.* B51 (1974) 239