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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to improve a theorem of János Kollár using a different method. For a given smooth Complex projective threefold $X$ of general type, suppose the plurigenus $P_{k}(X) \geq 2$, Kollár proved that the $(11 k+5)$-canonical map is birational. Here we show that either the $(7 k+3)$-canonical map or the $(7 k+5)$-canonical map is birational and the $(13 k+6)$-canonical map is stably birational onto its image. If $P_{k}(X) \geq 3$, then the $m$ canonical map is birational for $m \geq 10 k+8$. In particular, $\phi_{12}$ is birational when $p_{g}(X) \geq 2$ and $\phi_{11}$ is birational when $p_{g}(X) \geq 3$.


## MIRAMARE - TRIESTE

June 1999

[^0]
## Introduction

Let $X$ be a smooth projective 3 -fold of general type defined over $\mathbb{C}$ and denote by $\phi_{m}$ the $m$-canonical map of $X$, which is the rational map associated with the linear system $\left|m K_{X}\right|$. Let $P_{k}(X):=h^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(k K_{X}\right)\right)$ for any positive integer $k$, we usually call $P_{k}(X)$ the $k$-th plurigenus of $X$ which is a birational invariant. For a given positive integer $m_{0}$, we say that $\phi_{m_{0}}$ is stably birational if $\phi_{m}$ is birational onto its image for all $m \geq m_{0}$. Since the Kodaira dimension $\operatorname{kod}(X)=3, \phi_{m}$ is birational for $m \gg 0$. In this paper, we consider the following

Problem. Suppose $P_{k}(X) \geq 2$, for which value $m_{0}(k)$, does $\left|m_{0}(k) K_{X}\right|$ define a stably birational map onto its image?

In 1986, Kollár ([5, Corollary 4.8]) first gave an effective result and proved that the $(11 k+5)$-canonical map is birational if $P_{k}(X) \geq 2$. However, his method cannot tell whether $\phi_{m}$ is still birational for all $m>11 k+5$. On the other hand, it seems to us that the number $11 k+5$ is not the optimal one. This paper aims to present a better result as the following
Main Theorem. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective threefold of general type and suppose $P_{k}(X) \geq 2$, then
(i) either $\phi_{7 k+3}$ or $\phi_{7 k+5}$ is birational onto its image;
(ii) $\phi_{13 k+6}$ is stably birational onto its image;
(iii) $\phi_{10 k+8}$ is stably birational providing that $P_{k}(X) \geq 3$.

In particular, if $p_{g}(X) \geq 2$, then $\phi_{m}$ is birational for all $m \geq 12$; if $p_{g}(X) \geq 3$, then $\phi_{m}$ is birational for all $m \geq 11$.

Noting that the main obstacle which prevents Kollár's method from getting a better bound is the case when $X$ admits a rational pencil of certain surfaces of general type, we mainly study this situation in an alternative way. First we build some birationality criteria for adjoint systems on a surface of general type, then we reduce the problem to the surface case while finding suitable divisors on the threefold whose restrictions to the surface satisfy those criteria. The Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem plays a key role throughout our argument.

Definition. Let $X$ be a normal projective variety and $D$ be a Weil divisor on $X$. Denote by $\Phi_{|D|}$ the natural rational map defined by the linear system $|D| .|D|$ is called base point free if it has neither fixed components nor base points.

If $|L|$ is a linear system on $X$ without fixed components and $h^{0}(X, L) \geq 2$, we mean $a$ general irreducible element $S$ of $|L|$ as follows:
(1) if $\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{|L|}(X) \geq 2$, then $S$ is a general member of $|L|$.
(2) if $\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{|L|}(X)=1$, then $L$ is linearly equivalent to a union of distinct reduced irreducible divisors of the same type. Explicitly, $L \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} \sum S_{i}$. We mean $S$ a general $S_{i}$.
$X$ is called minimal if the canonical divisor $K_{X}$ is nef, i.e. $K_{X} \cdot C \geq 0$ for all proper curve $C \subset X$.
$X$ is said to be of general type if the Kodaira dimension $\operatorname{kod}(X)=\operatorname{dim}(X)$.
$X$ is said to have only terminal singularities according to Reid ([7]) if the following two conditions hold:
(i) for some integer $r \geq 1, r K_{X}$ is Cartier;
(ii) for some resolution $f: Y \longrightarrow X, K_{Y}=f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+\sum a_{i} E_{i}$ for $0<a_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $i$, where the $E_{i}$ vary all the exceptional divisors on $Y$.

## 1. Preparation

Throughout our argument, the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem is always employed as a much more effective tool. We use it in the following form.

Vanishing Theorem. ([3] or [10]) Let $X$ be a nonsingular complete variety, $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X) \otimes$ $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume the following two conditions:
(1) $D$ is nef and big;
(2) the fractional part of $D$ has supports with only normal crossings.

Then $H^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(\ulcorner D\urcorner+K_{X}\right)\right)=0$ for $i>0$, where $\ulcorner D\urcorner$ is the round-up of $D$, i.e. the minimum integral divisor with $\ulcorner D\urcorner-D \geq 0$.

Another important principle that is tacitly used throughout the text is due to Tankeev ([9]). Explicitly, on a smooth projective variety $X$, if we have a base point free system $|M|$ and an effective divisor $D$, we want to study the birationality of the map $\Phi_{|D+M|}$. Now let $S$ be a general irreducible element of $|M|$, then $S$ is a smooth divisor on $X$ by Bertini's theorem. Suppose we have known that $\Phi_{|D+M|}$ can distinguish general irreducible elements and that $\left.\Phi_{|D+M|}\right|_{S}$ is birational, then Tankeev's principle implies the birationality of $\Phi_{|D+M|}$.
Lemma 1.1. ([8, Corollary 2]) Let $S$ be a nonsingular algebraic surface, $L$ be a nef divisor on $S, L^{2} \geq 10$ and let $\phi$ be a map defined by $\left|L+K_{S}\right|$. If $\phi$ is not birational, then $S$ contains a base point free pencil $E^{\prime}$ with $L \cdot E^{\prime}=1$ or $L \cdot E^{\prime}=2$.

Lemma 1.2. Let $S$ be a nonsingular projective surface of general type, suppose $L$ is a divisor with $h^{0}(S, L) \geq 2$, then $h^{0}\left(S, K_{S}+L\right) \geq 2$. In particular, if $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right) \geq 3$, then $h^{0}\left(S, K_{S}+L\right) \geq 4$.

Proof. Taking a general irreducible element $C$ in the moving part of $|L|$, then $C$ is a nef divisor, $C \leq L$ and $C$ is a curve of genus $\geq 2$. By R-R on the surface $S$, we have

$$
h^{0}\left(S, K_{S}+L\right) \geq h^{0}\left(S, K_{S}+C\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(K_{S} \cdot C+C^{2}\right)+\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)
$$

It is easy to get the result.
Lemma 1.3. Let $S$ be a nonsingular projective surface of general type, $L$ be a nef divisor, $L^{2} \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{|L|}(S)=2$, then $\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|$ gives a birational map.
Proof. We have $(2 L)^{2} \geq 12$. If $\Phi_{\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|}$ is not birational, then according to Lemma 1.1, there is a base point free pencil $E^{\prime}$ such that $2 L \cdot E^{\prime} \leq 2$, i.e. $L \cdot E^{\prime}=1$. Since $\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{|L|}(S)=2$ and $E^{\prime}$ is a curve of genus $\geq 2$, we see that $L \cdot E^{\prime} \geq 2$, a contradiction.

Lemma 1.4. Let $S$ be a nonsingular projective surface of general type, $L_{i}$ is a divisor on $S$ such that $\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{\left|L_{i}\right|}(S) \geq i$ for $i=1,2$, then $\left|K_{S}+2 L_{2}+L_{1}\right|$ gives a birational map.
Proof. Modulo blowing-ups, we can suppose that the $\left|L_{i}\right|$ be base point free for $i=1,2$. This means that $L_{2}$ is nef and big and that $L_{1}$ is nef.

If the system $\left|L_{2}\right|$ gives a birational map, then so does $\left|K_{S}+2 L_{2}+L_{1}\right|$, because $K_{S}+L_{1}$ is effective by Lemma 1.2.

Otherwise, we have $L_{2}^{2} \geq 2$. Now we have $\left(2 L_{2}+L_{1}\right)^{2} \geq 12$. If $\left|K_{S}+2 L_{2}+L_{1}\right|$ does not give a birational map, then, by Lemma 1.1, there is a free pencil $E^{\prime}$ on $S$ such that

$$
\left(2 L_{2}+L_{1}\right) \cdot E^{\prime} \leq 2
$$

This means $L_{2} \cdot E^{\prime}=1$. Note that $E^{\prime}$ is a curve of genus $\geq 2$ and $\left|L_{2}\right|$ gives a generically finite map. The Riemann-Roch theorem on the curve $E^{\prime}$ derives that $\operatorname{deg}\left(L_{2} \mid E^{\prime}\right) \geq 2$. We have derived a contradiction.

Lemma 1.5. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective 3-fold of general type. Suppose $L_{i}$ is a divisor on $X$ such that $\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{\left|L_{i}\right|}(X) \geq i$ for $i=1,2$, 3 , then $\left|K_{X}+2 L_{3}+L_{2}+L_{1}\right|$ gives a birational map.

Proof. Take a birational modification $\pi: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$, according to Hironaka, such that the $\left|\pi^{*}\left(L_{i}\right)\right|$ are all base point free for $i>0$. On $X^{\prime}$, we can study the system $\mid K_{X^{\prime}}+2 \pi^{*}\left(L_{3}\right)+$ $\pi^{*}\left(L_{2}\right)+\pi^{*}\left(L_{1}\right) \mid$. Let $M_{i}$ be the moving part of $\left|\pi^{*}\left(L_{i}\right)\right|$, we have

$$
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 M_{3}+M_{2}+M_{1}\right| \subset\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 \pi^{*}\left(L_{3}\right)+\pi^{*}\left(L_{2}\right)+\pi^{*}\left(L_{1}\right)\right|
$$

Therefore, for simplicity, we can suppose from the beginning that the $\left|L_{i}\right|$ are base point free on $X$. So $L_{3}$ is nef and big under this assumption.

Step 1. Verifying that $K_{X}+2 L_{3}+L_{2}$ is effective.
We have $\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{\left|L_{2}\right|}(X) \geq 2$. So a general member $S \in\left|L_{2}\right|$ is a nonsingular projective surface of general type. Using the vanishing theorem to the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}+2 L_{3}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}+2 L_{3}+S\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(K_{S}+\left.2 L_{3}\right|_{S}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

we get the surjective map

$$
H^{0}\left(X, K_{X}+2 L_{3}+S\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(S, K_{S}+\left.2 L_{3}\right|_{S}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

From Lemma 1.2, we know $K_{S}+\left.2 L_{3}\right|_{S}$ is effective, so is $K_{X}+2 L_{3}+L_{2}$.
Step 2. Reduction to surface case.
Taking a 1-dimensional sub-system of $\left|L_{1}\right|$, then this system defines a rational map onto $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Taking further blowing-up if necessary, we can also suppose that this system defines a morphism $f: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Taking the Stein factorization of $f$, one obtains a derived fibration $g: X \longrightarrow C$. A general fibre of $f$ can be written as a disjoint union $\sum F_{i}$. Let $F$ be a general fibre of $g$, then it is a nonsingular projective surface of general type and we have $F \leq L_{1}$. Now considering the system $\left|K_{X}+2 L_{3}+L_{2}+\sum F_{i}\right|$, it can distinguish general fibres of $g$ because of $K_{X}+2 L_{3}+L_{2}$ is effective and $2 L_{3}+L_{2}$ is nef and big. Using the vanishing theorem again, we have

$$
\left.\left|K_{X}+2 L_{3}+L_{2}+\sum F_{i}\right|\right|_{F}=\left|K_{F}+2 L_{3}^{\prime}+L_{2}^{\prime}\right|
$$

where $L_{3}^{\prime}:=\left.L_{3}\right|_{F}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}:=\left.L_{2}\right|_{F}$. Lemma 1.4 shows that the right system gives a birational map, so does $\left|K_{X}+2 L_{3}+L_{2}+L_{1}\right|$. The proof is completed.
Lemma 1.6. Let $X$ be a nonsingular variety of dimension n, $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$. Then we have the following:
(i) if $S$ is a smooth irreducible divisor on $X$, then $\left.\ulcorner D\urcorner\right|_{S} \geq\left\ulcorner\left. D\right|_{S}\right\urcorner$;
(ii) if $\pi: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ is a birational morphism, then $\pi^{*}(\ulcorner D\urcorner) \geq\left\ulcorner\pi^{*}(D)\right\urcorner$.

Proof. We can write $D$ as $G+\sum_{i=1}^{t} a_{i} E_{i}$, where $G$ is a divisor, the $E_{i}$ are effective divisors for each $i$ and $0<a_{i}<1, \forall i$. So we only have to prove the lemma for effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors. That is easy to check.

Lemma 1.7. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective threefold of general type. Let $D$ be a divisor on $X$ with $h^{0}(X, D) \geq 2$ and suppose $|D|$ has no fixed components. Denote by $F$ a general irreducible element of $|D|$. If $L$ is another divisor such that $\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{|L|}(F) \geq 1$, then $m K_{X}+$ $L+D$ is effective and $\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{\left|m K_{X}+L+D\right|}(F) \geq 1$ for all $m \geq 2$.

Proof. According to the 3 -dimensional MMP ([4] and [6]), $X$ has a minimal model $X_{0}$ which is normal projective with only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities. Let $\alpha: X \rightarrow X_{0}$ be the contraction which is a rational map. Take a common resolution $X^{\prime}$ with $\pi^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ and $\pi: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X_{0}$ such that $\pi=\alpha \circ \pi^{\prime}$ and that
(1) both $\left|\pi^{\prime *}(L)\right|$ and $\left|\pi^{\prime *}(D)\right|$ have no base points (they may have fixed components);
(2) $\pi^{*}\left(K_{X_{0}}\right)$ has supports with only normal crossings.

This is possible because of Hironaka's big theorem. Since $\pi^{\prime *}\left(m K_{X}+L+D\right) \leq m K_{X^{\prime}}+$ $\pi^{\prime *}(L)+\pi^{\prime *}(D)$ and

$$
\pi_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\left(m K_{X^{\prime}}+\pi^{\prime *}(L)+\pi^{\prime *}(D)\right)=\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(m K_{X}+L+D\right)=\pi^{\prime}{ }_{*} \pi^{\prime *} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(m K_{X}+L+D\right)
$$

then $h^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime *}\left(m K_{X}+L+D\right)\right)=h^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, m K_{X^{\prime}}+{\pi^{\prime *}}^{*}(L)+\pi^{\prime *}(D)\right)$, so

$$
\Phi_{\left|\pi^{\prime *}\left(m K_{X}+L+D\right)\right|} \text { and } \Phi_{\left|m K_{X^{\prime}}+\pi^{\prime *}(L)+\pi^{\prime *}(D)\right|}
$$

have the same behavior. Let $S$ be a general irreducible element of the moving part of $\left|\pi^{\prime *}(D)\right|$, then $\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{\left|\pi^{\prime *}(L)\right|}(S) \geq 1$ by assumption. Therefore it is sufficient to show

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{\left|m K_{X^{\prime}}+\pi^{\prime *}(L)+\pi^{\prime *}(D)\right|}(S) \geq 1
$$

for $m \geq 2$. Let $H$ be the moving part of $\left|\pi^{\prime *}(L)\right|$, then $H$ is nef since $|H|$ is base point free. We have

$$
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner(m-1) \pi^{*} K_{X_{0}}\right\urcorner+H+S\right| \subset\left|m K_{X^{\prime}}+\pi^{\prime *}(L)+\pi^{\prime *}(D)\right| .
$$

The Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner(m-1) \pi^{*} K_{X_{0}}\right\urcorner+H+S\right|\right|_{S} \\
& \quad=\left|K_{S}+\left\ulcorner(m-1) \pi^{*} K_{X_{0}}\right\urcorner\right|_{S}+M|\supset| K_{S}+\ulcorner B\urcorner+M \mid,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B:=\left.(m-1) \pi^{*} K_{X_{0}}\right|_{S}$ is nef and big on $S$ and $M:=\left.H\right|_{S}$. From the assumption, we have $h^{0}(S, M) \geq 2$. Choosing a 1-dimensional sub-system $|C|$ in $|M|$, modulo blowing-ups, we can suppose $|C|$ be base point free. Also from the vanishing theorem, we have

$$
\left.\left|K_{S}+\ulcorner B\urcorner+C\right|\right|_{C}=\left|K_{C}+D\right|,
$$

where $D:=\left.\ulcorner B\urcorner\right|_{C}$ is a divisor on the curve $C$ with positive degree since $D \geq\left\ulcorner\left. B\right|_{C}\right\urcorner$ by Lemma 1.6(i). Because $g(C) \geq 2$, we have $h^{0}\left(K_{C}+D\right) \geq 2$. This means $\left|K_{C}+D\right|$ gives a generically finite map and

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{\left|K_{S}+\ulcorner B\urcorner+C\right|}(C)=1
$$

thus $K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner(m-1) \pi^{*} K_{X_{0}}\right\urcorner+\pi^{\prime *}(L)+\pi^{\prime *}(D)$ is effective and the image of $S$ through the map defined by this divisor is at least 1 . The proof is completed.

## 2. Proof of the main theorem

2.1 Basic formula. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective threefold, $f: X \longrightarrow C$ be a fibration onto a nonsingular curve $C$. From the spectral sequence:

$$
E_{2}^{p, q}:=H^{p}\left(C, R^{q} f_{*} \omega_{X}\right) \Rightarrow E^{n}:=H^{n}\left(X, \omega_{X}\right)
$$

we get by direct calculation that

$$
\begin{gathered}
h^{2}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=h^{1}\left(C, f_{*} \omega_{X}\right)+h^{0}\left(C, R^{1} f_{*} \omega_{X}\right) \\
q(X):=h^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=b+h^{1}\left(C, R^{1} f_{*} \omega_{X}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $b$ denotes the genus of $C$.
2.2 Review of Kollár's technique. Let $X$ be a smooth projective 3-fold of general type and suppose $P_{k}(X) \geq 2$. Choose a 1-dimensional sub-system of $\left|k K_{X}\right|$ and replace $X$ by a birational model $X^{\prime}$ where this pencil defines a morphism $g: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. (For simplicity, we can suppose $X^{\prime}=X$.) Let $S$ be a general irreducible element of this pencil, then a general fibre of $g$ is a disjoint union of some surfaces with the same type as $S$ and $S$ is a smooth projective surface of general type. Let $t=k(2 p+1)+p$. Then $H^{0}\left(\omega_{X}^{t}\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, g_{*} \omega_{X}^{t}\right)$ and we have an injection $\mathcal{O}(1) \hookrightarrow g_{*} \omega_{X}^{k}$, and hence an injection $\mathcal{O}(2 p+1) \hookrightarrow g_{*} \omega_{X}^{k(2 p+1)}$. This gives an injection

$$
\mathcal{O}(2 p+1) \otimes g_{*} \omega_{X}^{p} \hookrightarrow g_{*} \omega_{X}^{t}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}(2 p+1) \otimes g_{*} \omega_{X}^{p}=\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes g_{*} \omega_{X / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{p}$. Now it is well-known that $g_{*} \omega_{X / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{p}$ is a sum of line bundles of non-negative degree on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. If $p \geq 5$, the local sections of $g_{*} \omega_{X}^{p}$ give a birational map for $S$, and all these extend to global sections of $\mathcal{O}(2 p+1) \otimes g_{*} \omega_{X}^{p}$. Moreover its sections separate the fibres from each other, hence $\phi_{t}$ is a birational map for $X$.

From the above method, according to [1] and [11], we have
(1) $\phi_{5 k+2}$ is generically finite for $X$ if $S$ is not a surface with $p_{g}(S)=q(S)=0$ and $K_{S_{0}}^{2}=1$, where $S_{0}$ is the minimal model of $S$. Otherwise, we have at least $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{5 k+2}(X) \geq 2$;
(2) $\phi_{7 k+3}$ is birational for $X$ if $S$ is not a surface with

$$
\left(K_{S_{0}}^{2}, p_{g}(S)\right)=(1,2) \text { or }(2,3)
$$

2.3 Proof of the main theorem. According to the 3 -dimensional MMP, we can suppose $X$ is a minimal model with at worst $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities. This means that $K_{X}$ is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. We begin from a minimal model in order to make use of the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem.

Theorem 2.3.1. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective 3-fold of general type and suppose $P_{k}(X) \geq 2$, then either $\phi_{7 k+3}$ or $\phi_{7 k+5}$ is birational.

Proof. Suppose $X$ is a minimal model with at worst $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities. Choose a 1-dimensional sub-system $\Lambda$ of $\left|k K_{X}\right|$ and take a birational modification $\pi: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow$ $X$ such that
(i) $X^{\prime}$ is nonsingular;
(ii) $\pi^{*} \Lambda$ gives a morphism;
(iii) the fractional part of $\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$ has supports with only normal crossings.

This is possible because of Hironaka's big theorem. Set $g_{1}:=\Phi_{\Lambda} \circ \pi$ and let $X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f_{1}}$ $W_{1} \xrightarrow{s_{1}} \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be the Stein factorization of $g_{1}$. Denote $b:=g\left(W_{1}\right)$, the geometric genus of the curve $W_{1}$.

If $b>0$, then the moving part of $\Lambda$ is base point free. Let $\sum S_{i}$ be the moving part of $\Lambda$, then $\sum S_{i} \leq k K_{X}$ and a general $S_{i}$ is a smooth projective surface of general type, since the singularities on $X$ are isolated. Using Kawamata's vanishing theorem ([4]) to $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier Weil divisors on minimal threefold $X$, we see that $\left|(a+1) K_{X}+\sum S_{i}\right|$ can distinguish general $S_{i}$ for $a>0$ and

$$
H^{0}\left(X,(a+1) K_{X}+\sum S_{i}\right) \longrightarrow \oplus H^{0}\left(S_{i},(a+1) K_{S_{i}}\right)
$$

is surjective. Therefore it is obvious that $\phi_{m}$ is effective whenever $m \geq k+2$, generically finite whenever $m \geq 2 k+2$, birational whenever $m \geq 2 k+4$.

So, from now on, we can suppose that $b=0$. We have a fibration $f_{1}: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Let $F$ be a general fibre of $f_{1}$. By virtue of $2.2(2)$, we can suppose that $F$ is a surface with invariants $\left(K_{F_{0}}^{2}, p_{g}(F)\right)=(1,2)$ or $(2,3)$, where $F_{0}$ is the minimal model of $F . F$ is the moving part of $\pi^{*} \Lambda$ and $F \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi^{*}\left(k K_{X}\right)$. We automatically have $q(F)=0$. First we study the system $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner k \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+F\right|$. For a general fibre $F$, the vanishing theorem gives that

$$
\left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner k \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+F\right|\right|_{F}=\left|K_{F}+\left\ulcorner k \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner\right|_{F} \mid,
$$

where $\left.\left\ulcorner k \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner\right|_{F}$ is effective. This means that $(2 k+1) K_{X^{\prime}}$ is effective and $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{2 k+1}(F) \geq$ 1. By Lemma 1.7 , we see that $m K_{X^{\prime}}$ is effective and $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{m}(F) \geq 1$ for $m \geq 3 k+3$.

Actually, we have $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{3 k+2}(F)=2$. In fact, we have

$$
\left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner(2 k+1) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+F\right|\right|_{F} \supset\left|K_{F}+M_{2 k+1}\right|_{F} \mid,
$$

where $M_{2 k+1}$ is the moving part of $\left|\left\ulcorner(2 k+1) \pi^{*} K_{X}\right\urcorner\right|$. It is easy to check that $\mid K_{F}+$ $\left.M_{2 k+1}\right|_{F} \mid$ gives a generically finite map because $q(F)=0$ and $p_{g}(F)>0$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner(2 k+1) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+F\right|}(F) \geq 2 .
$$

We have $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner 2(3 k+2) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+F\right| \subset\left|(7 k+5) K_{X^{\prime}}\right| . K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner 2(3 k+2) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner$ is effective by the above argument. So $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner 2(3 k+2) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+F\right|$ can distinguish general fibre $F$. On the other hand, the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner 2(3 k+2) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+F\right|\right|_{F} & =\left|K_{F}+\left\ulcorner 2(3 k+2) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner\right|_{F} \mid \\
& \supset\left|K_{F}+2 L_{3 k+2}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L_{3 k+2}:=\left.M_{3 k+2}\right|_{F}$. It is sufficient to show that $\left|K_{F}+2 L_{3 k+2}\right|$ gives a birational map for $F$. We have already known that $\left|L_{3 k+2}\right|$ gives a generically finite map for $F$. Excluding the fixed components of $\left|L_{3 k+2}\right|$, we can suppose that $\left|L_{3 k+2}\right|$ are moving on the surface $F$. So $L_{3 k+2}$ is nef. If $\left|L_{3 k+2}\right|$ gives a birational map, then so does $\left|K_{F}+2 L_{3 k+2}\right|$. Otherwise,

$$
L_{3 k+2}^{2} \geq 2\left(h^{0}\left(F, L_{3 k+2}\right)-2\right) .
$$

Considering the following three natural maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, M_{3 k+2}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^{0}\left(F, L_{3 k+2}\right) \\
& H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner(2 k+1) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+F\right) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^{0}\left(F, K_{F}+\left.\left\ulcorner(2 k+1) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner\right|_{F}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& H^{0}\left(X^{\prime},(3 k+2) K_{X^{\prime}}\right) \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^{0}\left(F,(3 k+2) K_{F}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta$ is surjective by the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem. We see that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\operatorname{im}(\alpha))=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\operatorname{im}(\gamma)) \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\operatorname{im}(\beta))=h^{0}\left(F, K_{F}+D_{2 k+1}\right)
$$

where $D_{2 k+1}:=\left.\left\ulcorner(2 k+1) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner\right|_{F}$ and $h^{0}\left(F, D_{2 k+1}\right) \geq 2$. So $h^{0}\left(F, K_{F}+D_{2 k+1}\right) \geq 4$, according to Lemma 1.2 , because we have $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \geq 3$ in this case. Thus

$$
L_{3 k+2}^{2} \geq 2\left(h^{0}\left(F, L_{3 k+2}\right)-2\right) \geq 2\left(\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\operatorname{im}(\alpha))-2\right) \geq 4
$$

and then $\left|K_{F}+2 L_{3 k+2}\right|$ gives a birational map by Lemma 1.3. So $\phi_{7 k+5}$ is birational.
Finally, for all $m \geq 10 k+7$, set $t:=m-7 k-5 \geq 3 k+2$, then $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{t}(F) \geq 1$. In particular, $t K_{X^{\prime}}$ is effective. So $\phi_{m}$ is birational for all $m \geq 10 k+7$ in this case.

Corollary 2.3.1. Let $X$ be an irregular nonsingular 3-fold of general type, suppose $P_{k}(X) \geq$ 2 , then $\phi_{7 k+3}$ is birational. Therefore at least $\phi_{143}$ is birational according to Kollár and Fletcher.

Proof. In the proof of the last theorem, if $b>0$, then $\phi_{m}$ is birational for $m \geq 2 k+4$. If $b=0$, we can use the formula of $q(X)$ to the fibration $f_{1}: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. When $q(X)>0$, then we must have $q(F)>0$. Then $\Phi_{\left|3 K_{F}\right|}$ is birational for the fibre $F$, so is $\Phi_{\left|(7 k+3) K_{X}\right|}$ by $2.2(2)$. Moreover, we have $P_{20}(X) \geq 2$ for any irregular 3-fold of general type according to Kollár ([5]) and Fletcher ([2]). Thus $\phi_{143}$ is birational.

Theorem 2.3.2. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective threefold of general type and suppose $P_{k}(X) \geq 2$, then $\phi_{m}$ is birational for $m \geq 13 k+6$.

Proof. Suppose $X$ be a minimal model with at worst $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities. Make a birational modification $\pi: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ such that:
(i) $X^{\prime}$ is nonsingular;
(ii) $\left|k K_{X^{\prime}}\right|$ gives a morphism;
(iii) the fractional part of $\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$ has supports with only normal crossings.

Set $g:=\Phi_{\left|k K_{X}\right|} \circ \pi$ and $W^{\prime}:=\overline{\Phi_{\left|k K_{X}\right|}(X)}$. Let $X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} W \xrightarrow{s} W^{\prime}$ be the Stein factorization of $g$.

We would like to formulate our proof through two steps as follows.
Case 1. $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{k}(X) \geq 2$.
Set $k K_{X^{\prime}} \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} M_{k}+Z_{k}$, where $M_{k}$ is the moving part and $Z_{k}$ is the fixed part. Then a general member $S \in\left|M_{k}\right|$ is an irreducible nonsingular projective surface of general type. Write $K_{X^{\prime}}=\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+\sum a_{i} E_{i}$, where the $E_{i}$ are exceptional divisors for $\pi, 0<a_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for each $i$. Obviously, $\left\ulcorner\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner \leq K_{X^{\prime}}$. Because $h^{0}\left(X^{\prime},\left\ulcorner\pi^{*}\left(k K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner\right)=h^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, k K_{X^{\prime}}\right)$, we can see that $M_{k}$ is actually also the moving part of $\left|\left\ulcorner\pi^{*}\left(k K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner\right|$. Thus we have

$$
\pi^{*}\left(k K_{X}\right) \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} M_{k}+\sum b_{i} E_{i}
$$

where $0 \leq b_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for each $i$.
We claim that $m K_{X}$, is always effective for $m \geq 2 k+1$. In fact, for any $t \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, we consider the system

$$
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner\pi^{*}\left((t+k) K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+S\right| .
$$

It is a sub-system of $\left|(2 k+t+1) K_{X^{\prime}}\right|$. By the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem, we have a surjective map

$$
H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner\pi^{*}\left((t+k) K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+S\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(S, K_{S}+\left.\left\ulcorner\pi^{*}\left((t+k) K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner\right|_{S}\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Noting that $\left\ulcorner\pi^{*}\left((t+k) K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner \geq\left\ulcorner\pi^{*}\left(t K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+M_{k}$, also by Lemma $1.6(\mathrm{i})$, it is sufficient to show that $K_{S}+\left\ulcorner\left.\pi^{*}\left(t K_{X}\right)\right|_{S}\right\urcorner+\left.M_{k}\right|_{S}$ is effective. When $t=0$, then $h^{0}\left(S, K_{S}+\left.M_{k}\right|_{S}\right) \geq 2$ by Lemma 1.2, because $h^{0}\left(S,\left.M_{k}\right|_{S}\right) \geq 2$. When $t>0$, choose a 1 -dimensional sub-system $|C|$ in the moving part of $\left|M_{k}\right|_{S} \mid$. Modulo blowing-ups, we can suppose $|C|$ is free from base points and then $C$ is nef and $C \leq\left. M_{k}\right|_{S}$. We have $g(C) \geq 2$. Because $\left.\pi^{*}\left(t K_{X}\right)\right|_{S}$ is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $S$, by the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem, we also get a surjective map

$$
H^{0}\left(S, K_{S}+\left\ulcorner\left.\pi^{*}\left(t K_{X}\right)\right|_{S}\right\urcorner+C\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(C, K_{C}+D\right) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $D:=\left.\left\ulcorner\pi^{*}\left(t K_{X}\right) \mid S\right\urcorner\right|_{C}$ is a divisor on $C$ with positive degree. Thus $h^{0}\left(C, K_{C}+D\right) \geq 2$. This leads to the effectiveness of $(2 k+t+1) K_{X^{\prime}}$. Moreover, actually we have proved that $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{m}(S) \geq 1$ for $m \geq 2 k+1$.

Now we prove that $\phi_{3 k+1}$ is generically finite. Considering the system

$$
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner 2 k \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+M_{k}\right|,
$$

as we have shown in the above that $(2 k+1) K_{X^{\prime}}$ is effective, so $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner 2 k \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+M_{k}\right|$ can distinguish general $S$. By the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem, we have

$$
\left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner 2 k \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+S\right|\right|_{S}=\left|K_{S}+\left\ulcorner 2 k \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner\right|_{S} \mid .
$$

We have

$$
\left|K_{S}+\left\ulcorner 2 k \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner\right|_{S}|\supset| K_{S}+\left\ulcorner\left. k \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S}\right\urcorner+\left.M_{k}\right|_{S} \mid .
$$

Noting that $h^{0}\left(S,\left.M_{k}\right|_{S}\right) \geq 2, K_{S}+\left\ulcorner\left. k \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S}\right\urcorner \geq K_{S}+\left.M_{k}\right|_{S}$, which is also effective by Lemma 1.2 , and $\left.k \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S}$ is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $S$, it is easy to verify that $\left|K_{S}+\left\ulcorner\left. k \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S}\right\urcorner+M_{k}\right|_{S} \mid$ gives a generically finite map. In fact, choose a 1-dimensional sub-system $|C|$ in the moving part of $\left|M_{k}\right|_{S} \mid$. For the same reason, we can suppose $|C|$ is free from base points. $\left|K_{S}+\left\ulcorner k \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)| |_{S}\right\urcorner+C\right|$ can distinguish general $C$, and we have

$$
\left.\left|K_{S}+\left\ulcorner k \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)| |_{S}\right\urcorner+C\right|\right|_{C}=\left|K_{C}+D\right|,
$$

where $D$ is a divisor on $C$ with positive degree. Because $g(C) \geq 2$, thus $h^{0}\left(K_{C}+D\right) \geq 2$ and $\left|K_{C}+D\right|$ gives a generically finite map.

Finally, we want to show that $\phi_{m}$ is birational for $m \geq 9 k+4$. Let $t:=m-7 k-3$, then $t \geq 2 k+1$. Denote by $M_{3 k+1}$ the moving part of $\left|(3 k+1) K_{X^{\prime}}\right|$ and by $M_{t}$ the moving part of $\left|t K_{X^{\prime}}\right|$. We have

$$
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner(t+6 k+2) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+M_{k}\right| \subset\left|m K_{X^{\prime}}\right| .
$$

Because $t+6 k+3>2 k+1, K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner(t+6 k+2) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner$ is effective, thus the left system in the above can distinguish general $S$. Furthermore, the vanishing theorem gives

$$
\left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner(t+6 k+2) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+M_{k}\right|\right|_{S}=\left|K_{S}+L\right|,
$$

where $L:=\left.\left\ulcorner(t+6 k+2) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner\right|_{S} \geq\left. 2 M_{3 k+1}\right|_{S}+\left.M_{t}\right|_{S}$. By Lemma 1.4, $\left|K_{S}+L\right|$ gives a birational map, so does $\left|m K_{X^{\prime}}\right|$.
Case 2. $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{k}(X)=1$.
In this case, $W$ is a nonsingular curve of genus $b$. Let $F$ be a general fibre of $f$, then $F$ is an irreducible smooth projective surface of general type. We have $M_{k} \sim_{\text {lin }} \sum F_{i}$, where the $F_{i}$ are fibres of $f$ for each $i$.

By a parallel argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.3.1, we see that $\phi_{m}$ is birational for $m \geq 2 k+4$ if $b>0$. And if $b=0$ while $F$ is a surface with the invariants $\left(K_{F_{0}}^{2}, p_{g}(F)\right)=(1,2)$ or $(2,3)$, then $\phi_{m}$ is birational for $m \geq 10 k+7$.

Otherwise, we use Kollár's method. From 2.2, we know that $\phi_{7 k+3}$ is birational and $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{5 k+2}(X) \geq 2$. Thus, by Lemma $1.7, m K_{X^{\prime}}$ is effective for $m \geq 6 k+4$. Since we have $\left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner(5 k+2) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+F\right|\right|_{F}=\left|K_{F}+D\right|$ where $D:=\left.\left\ulcorner(5 k+2) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner\right|_{F}$ is effective and $h^{0}(F, D) \geq 2$, we see that $K_{F}+D$ is effective and thus $(6 k+3) K_{X^{\prime}}$ is effective. So $\phi_{m}$ is birational for $m \geq 13 k+6$, which means that $\phi_{13 k+6}$ is stably birational.

Theorem 2.3.3. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective threefold of general type and suppose $P_{k}(X) \geq 3$, then $\phi_{m}$ is birational for all $m \geq 10 k+8$.

Proof. When $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{k}(X) \geq 2$, we know from Case 1 of Theorem 2.3.2 that $\phi_{m}$ is birational for $m \geq 9 k+4$. When $\left|k K_{X}\right|$ is composed of a pencil, from the proof of Theorem 2.3.1, we see that $\phi_{k}$ will derive a fibration $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow W$ onto a nonsingular curve. If $b:=g(W)>0$, then $\phi_{m}$ is birational for $m \geq 2 k+4$.

The remained case is the one when $b=0$. We have an injection $\mathcal{O}(2) \hookrightarrow f_{*} \omega_{X^{\prime}}^{k}$. So, for each $p>0$, we have

$$
\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes f_{*} \omega_{X^{\prime} / \mathbb{P}^{1}}^{p}=\mathcal{O}(2 p+1) \otimes f_{*} \omega_{X^{\prime}}^{p} \hookrightarrow f_{*} \omega_{X^{\prime}}^{k(p+1)+p}
$$

Thus Kollár's method implies that $\phi_{6 k+5}$ is birational, $\phi_{4 k+3}$ is generically finite and that $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{3 k+2}(X) \geq 2$. Now using our method, we can see that $m K_{X^{\prime}}$ is effective for $m \geq 4 k+4$ by Lemma 1.7. Since $(4 k+3) K_{X^{\prime}}$ is also effective, thus $\phi_{m}$ is birational for $m \geq 10 k+8$.

Corollary 2.3.2. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective threefold of general type and suppose $p_{g}(X) \geq 3$, then $\phi_{m}$ is birational for $m \geq 11$.

Proof. Keep the same notations as in the proof of Theorem 2.3.2. When $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{1}(X) \geq 2$, we set $L_{3}:=4 K_{X^{\prime}}, L_{2}=L_{1}:=K_{X^{\prime}}$. Then $\left|L_{3}\right|$ gives a generically finite map by virtue of Case 1, Theorem 2.3.2. Using Lemma 1.5, we see that $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 L_{3}+L_{2}+L_{1}\right|$ gives a birational map. Thus $\phi_{11}$ is birational.

When $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{1}(X)=1$, we see from the proof of Theorem 2.3.3 that $\phi_{11}$ is also birational.

Theorem 2.3.1, Theorem 2.3.2, Theorem 2.3.3 and Corollary 2.3.2 imply the main theorem.

## 3. OpEN PROBLEMS

3.1. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective variety of general type of dimension $n$. We define
$k_{0}(X):=\min \left\{k \mid P_{k}(X) \geq 2\right\}$;
$k_{s}(X):=\min \left\{k \mid \phi_{m}\right.$ is birational for $\left.m \geq k\right\} ;$
$\mu_{s}(X):=\frac{k_{s}(X)}{k_{0}(X)}$, which is called the relative pluricanonical stability of $X$. Obviously, $\mu_{s}(X)$ is a birational invariant.
$\mu_{s}(n):=\sup \left\{\mu_{s}(X) \mid X\right.$ is a $n$-fold of general type $\}$, which is called the $n$-th relative pluricanonical stability.

It is well-known that $\mu_{s}(1)=3$ and $\mu_{s}(2)=5([1])$. From the main theorem, we have $\mu_{s}(3) \leq 16$. What is the exact value of $\mu_{s}(3)$ ? It is also interesting to study $\mu_{s}(n)$ for $n \geq 4$, even if we don't know whether we should have $\mu_{s}(n)<+\infty$.
3.2. We would like to ask a very natural question which never happens in surface case.

Question. Does there exist a smooth projective threefold $X$ of general type and two positive integers $k_{1}<k_{2}$ such that $\phi_{k_{1}}$ is birational while $\phi_{k_{2}}$ is not birational?

Of course, it may happen for some threefold that $P_{k_{1}}>P_{k_{2}}$ even if $k_{1}<k_{2}$. But we have not found any counter example yet to the above question.
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