hep-th/9610074 CERN-TH/96-277 USC-96/HEP-B6

# BLACK HOLE ENTROPY REVEALS A 12th DIMENSION<sup>1</sup>

Itzhak Bars<sup>2</sup>

TH Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

#### ABSTRACT

The Beckenstein-Hawking black hole entropy in string theory and its generalizations, as expressed in terms of charges that correspond to central extensions of the supersymmetry algebra, has more symmetries than U-duality. It is invariant under transformations of the charges, involving a 12th (or 13th) "dimension". This is an indication that the secret theory behind string theory has a superalgebra involving Lorentz non-scalar extensions (that are not strictly central), as suggested in S-theory, and which could be hidden in Mor F- theories. It is suggested that the idea of spacetime is broader than usual, and that a larger "spacetime" is partially present in black holes.

CERN-TH/96-277 October 1996

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Research supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under grant number DE-FG03-84ER40168

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  On sabbatical leave from the Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-0484, USA.

### 1 Introduction

In recent work in string theory, and its extensions to a secret M-,F-,S-,Y-,... theory, a microscopic explanation of the Beckenstein-Hawking area-entropy law for string-related black holes is obtained by counting [1]-[12] the number of D-brane states [13]. For (nearly) extreme black holes the entropy is given in terms of the charges carried by these states [8]-[12]. These charges correspond to central extensions of the extended supersymmetry algebra, and it has been shown that the entropy is an invariant under U-duality [14] transformations applied on these charges. In particular, for 4-dimensional black holes the entropy is given by the quartic invariant of  $E_{7(7)}$  [8] and for 5-dimensional black holes it is given by the cubic invariant of  $E_{6(6)}$  [9][7][10]. In higher dimensions the entropy for non-extremal black holes has also been given as an invariant of the U-duality group [12][10].

The entropy, which is related to just counting states, is really independent of the moduli, although it is expressed in terms of central extensions that depend on the moduli <sup>3</sup>. This simple fact distinguishes the entropy as an ideal quantity for exploring the symmetries of the secret theory. If the underlying secret theory has a dynamical symmetry structure, such that states or operators fall into reprentations of its algebra, then the entropy simply counts the multiplicity in a way consistent with the representations of the dynamical symmetry. If the secret theory is suspected to have some hidden symmetries, *they should show up as invariances of the entropy*. For example, the U-duality invariance of the entropy, for all values of the moduli, as if U is continuous rather than discete, is explained through the reasoning given in this paragraph.

Furthermore, if there are suspected extra "dimensions", the entropy should be invariant under transformations that mix the extra 'dimensions" with the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Define K as the largest compact subgroup in the duality group U. The moduli are classified as the right-coset U/K. Global U transformations, applied from the left on the moduli, and on quantized charges, induce moduli-dependent K transformations that are applied on the supercharges as well as the maximal extensions of the superalgebra. The supercharges as well as the moduli-dependent central extensions are singlets of U, but transform under this induced K, which is continuous, since it depends on the moduli. The classification of these operators under K is tabulated in [15] for various dimensions. The black hole entropy is expressed in terms of central extensions that are moduli dependent, but the moduli dependence can be stripped away under U transformations since the entropy is really independent of the moduli.

10 dimensions that are explicit in string theory. One of the approaches to the secret theory behind string theory is S-theory [16], which concentrates on the global dynamical symmetry aspects of the secret theory. S-theory predicts a number of symmetries, some of which are outside of U-duality and which involve a 12th and 13th "dimension". It is the goal of this paper to demonstrate that the entropy given below is indeed invariant under transformations that mix the hidden "dimensions" with the 10 or 11 "familiar" ones. Hence the entropy serves to show the presence of extra "dimensions" (where "dimension" is used in the sense explained in [16] and in the footnote <sup>4</sup>).

Specifically, in 4D define the N=8 superalgebra and its central extensions in an SU(8) basis

$$\left\{ Q_{\alpha A}, Q_{\dot{\beta}\bar{B}} \right\} = \sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} P_{\mu} \,\delta_{A\bar{B}} \left\{ Q_{\alpha A}, Q_{\beta B} \right\} = (i\sigma_2)_{\alpha\beta} \,Z_{AB}, \quad \left\{ Q_{\dot{\alpha}\bar{A}}, Q_{\dot{\beta}\bar{B}} \right\} = (i\sigma_2)_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \,Z^*_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}, \qquad (1)$$

where  $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$  are SO(3,1) vector indices,  $\alpha, \dot{\alpha} = 1, 2$  are Weyl spinor indices, and  $A, \bar{A} = 1, \dots, 8$  are  $\mathbf{8,8^*}$  indices of SU(8). Then the extremal black hole entropy  $S = A_4/4G_4 = 2\pi\sqrt{I_4}$  is given by the quartic invariant of  $E_{7(7)}$  [8]

$$I_4 = Tr \left( ZZ^* ZZ^* \right) - \frac{1}{4} \left[ Tr \left( ZZ^* \right) \right]^2 + 4Pf \left( Z \right) + 4Pf \left( Z^* \right), \qquad (2)$$

where  $Pf(Z) = \varepsilon^{A_1 \cdots A_8} Z_{A_1 A_2} Z_{A_3 A_4} Z_{A_5 A_6} Z_{A_7 A_8} / (4!2^4)$ . In this case the central charges  $Z, Z^*$  are antisymmetric matrices that form the representations **28,28**<sup>\*</sup> of SU(8). The 56 real components of these central charges depend on 56 quantized charges that form the **56** of  $E_{7(7)}$  as well as on the 70 moduli classified as the coset of  $E_{7(7)}/SU(8)$ . Because of the invariance under  $E_{7(7)}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> As in [16], it must be emphasized that the 12th (or 13th) "dimension" is not to be understood as a naive extension of the other 11 dimensions. Rather, it is the spinor space of 12D (or projected 13D spinor space) that is relevant, with 32 Weyl-Majorana supercharges  $Q_{\alpha}$  of A or B type. The supercharges close into  $\{Q_{\alpha}, Q_{\beta}\} = S_{\alpha\beta} (A \text{ or } B \text{ types})$  where the 32x32 symmetric matrix S contains 528 bosons. The 11 usual momenta are members of S, and they are on an equal footing with the 528 bosons. In this paper 12D (or 13D) is used in this sense, i.e. involving the spinors in these "dimensions", and arranging the 528 bosons into subsets consistent with 12D Lorentz transformations SO(10, 2) (the 13th "dimension" is mixed by  $A \Leftrightarrow B$  duality [16]). To emphasize this point, in this paper the word "dimension" will appear in quotes whenever appropriate.

the dependence on the 70 moduli can be stripped away, leaving only the dependence on the 56 quantized charges. On the other hand, the mass of the black hole is not an invariant under  $E_{7(7)}$ , and therefore it does depend on the 70 moduli and 56 quantized charges. It is, of course, an invariant under under SU(8) which is the explicit symmetry of the superalgebra.

Similarly, in 5D define the N = 8 superalgebra in an Sp(8) basis

$$\{Q_{\alpha A}, Q_{\beta B}\} = P_{\mu} \left(C\gamma^{\mu}\right)_{\alpha\beta} \Omega_{AB} + C_{\alpha\beta} Y_{AB} \tag{3}$$

where  $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$  are SO(4, 1) vector indices,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$  are Dirac spinor indices, and  $A = 1, \dots, 8$  are indices in the fundamental representation of Sp(8). Then the extremal black hole entropy  $S = A_5/4G_5 = 2\pi\sqrt{I_5}$  is given by the cubic invariant of  $E_{6(6)}$  [9] [7] [10].

$$I_5 = Tr\left(Y\Omega Y\Omega Y\Omega\right). \tag{4}$$

In this case  $\Omega_{AB}$  is the one-component antisymmetric invariant of Sp(8), while  $Y_{AB}$  is an antisymmetric matrix, orthogonal to  $\Omega_{AB}$ ,  $Tr(Y\Omega) = 0$ , that forms the **27** of Sp(8). The 27 central extensions  $Y_{AB}$  depend on 27 quantized charges that form the **27** of  $E_{6(6)}$  as well as on the 27 moduli classified as the coset  $E_{6(6)}/Sp(8)$ . In higher dimensions, as well as in dimensions 4,5, the nearly extremal black hole entropy is also expressed in terms of the central extensions of the corresponding superalgebra [12] [10]. Our discussion below generalizes to all cases.

The strategy in this paper is to first clarify the (broken) symmetries that involve the 12th (or 13th) "dimension", rewrite the central extensions above in a basis appropriate to these symmetries, and finally show that indeed the entropy, as well as the mass of the black hole are invariants under transformations that include the 12th (or 13th) dimension.

One implication of our discussion is that the concept of "spacetime" is broader than usually envisaged. Through the entropy considerations discussed in this paper it is demonstrated that the spacetime superalgebra contains more than the usual spacetime momenta plus Lorentz scalar central extensions which have become familiar by now. A larger space that includes *Lorentz non-scalar extensions* (which are not strictly central) is shown to be relevant to fully realize the minimal symmetry consistent with 12D (or 13D) that is present in the black hole entropy. This suggests the presence of additional moduli. The broader concept of spacetime is further discussed in the last section of this paper.

## 2 Mixing ordinary and extra "dimensions"

#### 2.1 11th dimension

First consider a familiar case in a framework that will generalize. From the M-theory point of view [17]-[20] the black hole entropy should reflect the presence of an 11th dimension. This manifests itself in the entropy by its invariance under transformations that mix the 11th dimension with the compactified ones. These transformations are applied on the central extensions which form multiplets.

Let's identify the symmetry when M-theory is toroidaly compactified down to d dimensions. In the superalgebra of M-theory, one of the central extensions is the 11th momentum. The Kaluza-Klein momenta for c compactified dimensions of string theory are also viewed as c central extensions of the superalgebra. The superalgebra is covariant under the rotations

$$SO(c+1) \tag{5}$$

that mix the c compactified dimensions of string theory with the 11th dimension of M-theory (note that d+c+1 = 11). The superalgebra has more central extensions besides the Kaluza-Klein momenta, such as winding numbers and others. All central extensions fall into multiplets of SO(c+1), as can be seen by starting from the 11D superalgebra with all possible central extensions [18], and then compactifying [21][15]. In d = 4 the 56 central extensions form the following SO(7) multiplets: (7+21+21+7). Similarly, in d = 5 the 27 central extensions form the following SO(6) multiplets (6+15+6).

SO(c+1) is one of the subgroups of the U-duality group

$$U \supset K \supset SO(c+1),\tag{6}$$

where K is the largest compact subgroup of U. In d = 4 one has K = SU(8)and SO(c+1) = SO(7). In d = 5 one has K = Sp(8) and SO(c+1) = SO(6). The presence of the extra 11th dimension in M-theory can be seen in all expressions by covariance under SO(c+1). This is a consequence of the covariance of the superalgebra under SO(c+1), which in turn requires multiplets of SO(c+1) for all operators and all states. Therefore, the black hole entropy must be invariant under SO(c+1). In fact, the black hole entropy written above is invariant under the much larger U transformations, hence it is automatically invariant under its (continuous) subgroups  $K \supset SO(c+1)$ . This is consistent with the presence of the 11th dimension on the same footing with the other c compactified dimensions. In this example SO(c+1) invariance is a trivial consequence of U-invariance. This is not true for the 12th (or 13th) "dimension", but a reasoning similar to the one given above for the 11th dimension will apply.

### 2.2 12th "dimension"

The compactified (A or B type) superalgebra has larger isometries than SO(c+1). The Lorentz isometry SO(d-1,1) in flat spacetime is evident <sup>5</sup>. Here we are concerned with the isometries that commute with the Lorentz group. The structure and interrelationships among the internal symmetries and dualities is explained in [15][16] through the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c \text{ compact } + & \text{two classifications} \\ 2 \text{ hidden dims} & \rightarrow & \text{of generators } \& \text{ states } : \\ SO(c+1,1) & \text{under } SO(c+1,1) \text{ or under } K \\ & \downarrow & \text{under } SO(c+1,1) \text{ or under } K \\ SO(c) \text{ is common subgroup} \\ SO(c)_L \otimes SO(c)_R & \uparrow & K \\ SO(c)_L \otimes SO(c)_R & \uparrow & \text{max. compact in } U \\ & \uparrow & \text{the duality } SO(c,c) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} U \\ & \downarrow & \text{duality} \\ \end{array}$$

The largest isometry that is described by a compact group is K. It contains not only SO(c + 1) but also  $SO(c)_L \otimes SO(c)_R$  (which is the maximal compact group in SO(c, c) of T-duality ). The largest isometry described by a non-compact group (also commuting with the Lorentz group) is  $C \equiv SO(c + 1, 1)$  (see below for more details). The extra spacelike 11th dimension and timelike 12th "dimension" are included in this isometry. The Lorentz group together with C are contained in SO(10, 2) which mixes all

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> It has been explained (see e.g. [3]) that string BPS states in *flat* spacetime evolve into black holes in *curved spacetime* as the coupling becomes stronger. Therefore, the counting of black hole states are in one to one correspondance with the counting of BPS states in flat spacetime.

the "dimensions"

$$SO(d-1,1) \times SO(c+1,1) \subset SO(10,2).$$
 (8)

For example,

$$d = 4, c = 6 : K = SU(8) \text{ (in } U = E_{7(7)}), \text{ and } C = SO(7,1),$$
  
$$d = 5, c = 5 : K = USp(8) \text{ (in } U = E_{6(6)}), \text{ and } C = SO(6,1).$$

Even though U is non-compact, generally it does not contain C<sup>6</sup>. The essential difference between K and C is described as follows. For  $d \leq 11$ , K acts as an internal symmetry group under which the d-dimensional momentum  $P^{\mu}$  is a singlet (see below). However, under the group SO(c+1,1) the momentum  $P^{\mu}$  is not a singlet, it mixes with other extensions that have a Lorentz index. This is related to the fact that in a 12D SO(10,2) covariant notation the A-type superalgebra

$$\{Q_{\alpha}, Q_{\beta}\} = S_{\alpha\beta}$$
  
$$S = \frac{1 + \gamma_{13}}{2} C \left(\gamma^{M_1 M_2} Z_{M_1 M_2} + \gamma^{M_1 \cdots M_6} Z^+_{M_1 \cdots M_6}\right)$$
(9)

contains no 12D momentum operator  $P_M$ ; a property that prevents the appearance of two time coordinates, or two time translation operators, labelled by M = 0, 0' [15][16] (the same is true for the B-type superalgebra). The time translation operator is  $Z_{00'}$ . In compactifications to lower dimensions, the 12D antisymmetric tensor  $Z_{M_1M_2}$  yields the momentum operator in lower dimensions in the form  $P_{\mu} \equiv Z_{\mu 0'}$ . Under the transformations generated by C = SO(c + 1, 1) this momentum mixes with the other members of  $Z_{\mu m}$ (defined in (12) below). Thus, C is clearly not in U.

The common largest compact subgroup of K and C is SO(c+1)

$$K \cap C = SO(c+1). \tag{10}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For example, in d = 8, c = 2, one has  $U = SL(3) \otimes SL(2)$  and C = SO(3, 1). Clearly C is not contained in U because it is not possible to match the compact and non-compact generators. Similarly, in d = 5 the group  $E_{6(6)}$  does not contain SO(6, 1). In some dimensions U is large enough to contain a subgroup similar to C. For example, in d = 9, c = 1, one has  $U = SL(2) \otimes SO(1, 1)$ , and C = SO(2, 1) is isomorphic to SL(2, R). Similarly, in d = 4 the group  $E_{7(7)}$  contains an SO(7, 1) isomorphic to C. But it seems that such subgroups, when they exist, are different than C since the latter acts also on the momentum  $P_{\mu}$ , as explained in the text.

The black hole entropy in every dimension d is invariant under  $U \supset K \supset$ SO(c+1) and therefore reveals the presence of the extra 11th dimension, as discussed above. Our aim is to show that it is also invariant under C =SO(c+1,1) and therefore indicates the presence of a 12th "dimension" as well. As explained above this does not automatically follow from the known U invariant expressions of the entropy since generally

$$C ext{ is not in } U.$$
 (11)

Nevertheless, the black hole entropy must be invariant under C. The mathematical reason behind this expectation is as follows. The (nearly) extreme black hole states are completely specified by their charges. These charges are classified by either K or C. As indicated on the diagram above, since both K and C are isometries of the superalgebra of the secret theory it must be possible to classify the operators and states under either K or C. Completeness of states is equivalent to saying that sums of K-representations are expressible as sums of C-representations. Since the black hole entropy just counts BPS states (that fit into the short representations of the superalgebra under either K or C. Since the counting of the total number of states cannot depend on how they are classified, the entropy must be invariant under K as well as C.

In order to explain this symmetry we must deal with the fact that the momentum appears to be non-invariant under C; a new definition consistent with C-invariance will be introduced.

### **3** Classification under C

Under compactification the SO(10, 2) spinor  $\alpha$  and vector indices M of eq.(9) are reclassified under  $SO(d-1, 1) \otimes SO(c+1, 1)$  as follows

$$Q_{\alpha} \rightarrow Q_{\alpha a}(\text{spinor in } d \text{ dims} \times \text{spinor in } c+2 \text{ dims})$$

$$M \rightarrow \mu (d \text{ dims}) \oplus m (c+2 \text{ dims.})$$

$$Z_{M_1M_2} \rightarrow Z_{\mu_1\mu_2} \oplus Z_{\mu_1m_2} \oplus Z_{m_1m_2}$$

$$Z_{M_1\cdots M_6}^+ \rightarrow \text{ similar, but impose self duality in 12D.}$$

$$\gamma_{M=\mu} \rightarrow \gamma_{\mu} \otimes \gamma_{c+3}$$

$$\gamma_{M=m} \rightarrow \gamma_{d+1} \otimes \gamma_{m}$$

$$(12)$$

where  $\gamma_{d+1}$  and  $\gamma_{c+3}$  are the analogs of  $\gamma_5$  in 4D. This assigns the correct transformation properties under C to all operators. For clarity, let's write out explicitly the general form of the superalgebra in 4D and 5D.

#### 3.1 4D superalgebra

For d = 4, c = 6, the 32 real supercharges are relabelled in the form of a complex  $Q_{\alpha a}$  and  $Q_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{a}} = Q^{\dagger}_{\alpha a}$ , where

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha &: & (1/2,0), \ \dot{\alpha} : (0,1/2) & \text{of } SO(3,1) \\
a &: & \mathbf{8}_{+}, & \dot{a} : \mathbf{8}_{-} & \text{of } SO(7,1)
\end{array}$$
(13)

Note that the SO(7, 1) Weyl spinor indices  $(a, \dot{a})$  are different than the SU(8) indices  $(A, \bar{A})$  used in eq. (1), although they have the same number. The maximally extended superalgebra with 528 bosonic generators takes the form

$$\{Q_{\alpha a}, Q_{\beta b}\} = (i\sigma_2)_{\alpha\beta} z_{ab} + (i\sigma_2\vec{\sigma})_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \vec{F}_{ab} \{Q_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{a}}, Q_{\dot{\beta}\dot{b}}\} = (i\sigma_2)_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} z^*_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} + (i\sigma_2\vec{\sigma})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \cdot \vec{F}^*_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \{Q_{\alpha a}, Q_{\dot{\beta}\dot{b}}\} = \sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \left(\gamma^m_{a\dot{b}} P_{\mu m} + \gamma^{m_1m_2m_3}_{a\dot{b}} A_{\mu m_1m_2m_3}\right)$$

$$(14)$$

Here the  $8 \times 8$  SO(7,1) hermitian gamma matrices  $\gamma^m = (1, \gamma^k)$  in Weyl spinor space are analogous to the Pauli matrix representation of the SO(3,1) hermitian gamma matrices  $\sigma^{\mu} = (1, \vec{\sigma})$  applied on Weyl spinors.

$$SO(3,1), Weyl : \sigma^{\mu} = (1,\vec{\sigma})$$
 (15)

$$SO(7,1), Weyl : \gamma^m = (1,\gamma^k)$$
 (16)

The seven  $\gamma^k$  are hermitian, purely imaginary and antisymmetric. The  $SO(3,1) \times SO(7,1)$  classification of the 528 real operators on the right hand side, and the number of their real components are as follows

$$z_{ab}$$
 :  $(0,0;\mathbf{28}_{+}), \quad z^{*}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}:(0,0;\mathbf{28}_{-}), \rightarrow 56 \ real$  (17)

$$\vec{F}_{ab}$$
 :  $(1,0;\mathbf{35}+\mathbf{1}), \quad \vec{F}^*_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}:(0,1;\mathbf{35}+\mathbf{1}), \rightarrow 216 \ real$  (18)

$$P_{\mu m} : (1/2, 1/2; \mathbf{8}_V), \rightarrow 32 \ real$$
 (19)

$$A_{\mu m_1 m_2 m_3}$$
 :  $(1/2, 1/2; \mathbf{56}_V), \rightarrow 224 \ real$  (20)

The operators  $P_{\mu m}$  are identical to the operators that come directly from the reduction from 12D in (12)  $P_{\mu m} \equiv Z_{\mu m}$ , and they include the usual momentum  $P_{\mu} \equiv P_{\mu 0'}$ . The Lorentz singlet *complex* antisymmetric central extensions  $z_{ab}, z_{ab}^*$ , classified as the  $\mathbf{28}_{\pm}$  of SO(7, 1), are directly related to the *two real*  $\mathbf{28}_V$  of SO(7, 1) that are obtained from the reduction from 12D in eq.(12):

$$e_{mn} \equiv Z_{mn}, \quad m_{mn}\varepsilon_{\mu_0\mu_1\mu_3\mu_3} \equiv Z^+_{mn\mu_0\mu_1\mu_3\mu_3} \tag{21}$$

$$z_{ab} = \gamma_{ab}^{mn} (e_{mn} + im_{mn}), \quad z_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^* = \gamma_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{mn} (e_{mn} - im_{mn})$$
(22)

where the  $\gamma^{mn}_{ab}, \gamma^{mn}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}$  are antisymmetric and given by

$$\gamma^{0'k} = \pm i\gamma^k, \quad \gamma^{kl} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^k, \gamma^l]. \tag{23}$$

These  $\gamma_{ab}^{mn}$ ,  $\gamma_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{mn}$  satisfy the SO(7,1) Lie algebra in the  $\mathbf{8}_{\pm}$  representations. The  $e_{mn}$ ,  $m_{mn}$  have the interpretation of "electric" and "magnetic" central charges respectively, as can be gathered from their properties under parity (the  $\varepsilon_{\mu_0\mu_1\mu_3\mu_3}$  is pseudoscalar). The blackhole entropy is a function of only these Lorentz singlet central charges. Evidently, both  $e_{mn}$ ,  $m_{mn}$  are in the adjoint **28** of  $SO(7,1)^{-7}$ .

The remaining operators are not strictly central since they do not commute with the Lorentz generators (but they commute with each other and with the supercharges, in flat spacetime). To begin with, the Lorentz vectors  $P_{\mu m}$  are not central. The Lorentz axial vectors  $A_{\mu m_1 m_2 m_3}$  are related to 12D by

$$A_{\mu m_1 m_2 m_3} \varepsilon^{\mu}_{\ \ \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3} \equiv Z^+_{m_1 m_2 m_3 \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}.$$
 (24)

The complex symmetric  $\vec{F}_{ab}$  together with their complex conjugates can be rewritten in the form of Lorentz antisymmetric tensors  $(F_{\mu\nu})_{ab}$ , which correspond to the (1+35) Lorentz-antisymmetric tensors  $Z_{\mu\nu}$  and  $Z^+_{\mu\nu m_1 m_2 m_3 m_3}$ that come from the 12D reduction (12),

$$(F_{\mu\nu})_{ab} \equiv Z_{\mu\nu}\delta_{ab} + Z^{+}_{\mu\nu m_1 m_2 m_3 m_3}(\gamma^{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_3})_{ab},$$
(25)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Note also that these are not the same as the  $q_{ij}$ ,  $p^{ij}$  defined in other references (e.g. [8]) since those are in an SO(8) basis rather than the SO(7,1) basis used here. The relation between the two is not trivial, and can be obtained from the following discussion.

where the SO(7,1) self dual  $Z^+_{\mu\nu m_1m_2m_3m_3}$  gives the **35** of SO(7,1). Other components of  $Z^+_{M_1\cdots M_6}$  not mentioned so far are equivalent to the ones already discussed, because of its self duality properties. This accounts for the correspondance between the 528 operators in 4D and 12D notations, and gives a 12D interpretation to the 4D superalgebra (14). This kind of reclassification of the 528 12D type-A superalgebra operators (as well as of the 13D type-B superalgebra operators) in compactifications to each lower dimension was given in a table in [15].

As explained in [16], Lorentz singlet central extensions correspond to charges of point particles (or black holes), while Lorentz non-singlet extensions correspond to boundaries of charged *p*-branes. The number of anti-symmetrized Lorentz indices corresponds to *p*. Therefore,  $P_{\mu m}$  ( $m \neq$ 0'),  $A_{\mu m_1 m_2 m_3}$  correspond to end points of strings in 4D, and  $\vec{F}_{ab}$  correspond to boundaries of membranes in 4D.

$$points \rightarrow z_{ab}, z^*_{ab}$$
 (26)

strings 
$$\rightarrow P_{\mu m}(m \neq 0'), A_{\mu m_1 m_2 m_3}$$
 (27)

membranes 
$$\rightarrow \vec{F}_{ab}, \vec{F}^*_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}$$
 (28)

If all the 528 operators in the superalgebra (14) are kept, there really is a full SO(10, 2) isometry in disguise, since this is equivalent to the superalgebra (9). In this paper we are interested in describing just the black hole sector for which the algebra is evaluated on states that satisfy <sup>8</sup>

black hole sector : 
$$\vec{F}_{ab} = \vec{F}^*_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} = A_{\mu m_1 m_2 m_3} = 0.$$
 (29)

So far the superalgebra simplifies to

$$\{Q_{\alpha a}, Q_{\beta b}\} = (i\sigma_2)_{\alpha\beta} z_{ab} 
\{Q_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{a}}, Q_{\dot{\beta}\dot{b}}\} = (i\sigma_2)_{\alpha\beta} z^*_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} 
\{Q_{\alpha a}, Q_{\dot{\beta}\dot{b}}\} = \sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \gamma^m_{a\dot{b}} P_{\mu m}$$
(30)

Although the full SO(10, 2) isometry is lost by concentrating on only this sector, there still is an  $SO(3, 1) \times SO(7, 1)$  isometry that has information on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> All bosonic operators commute in flat spacetime [16], therefore they can be simultaneously diagonalized.

the 12th dimension through SO(7,1) that rotates the 12th dimension into the other compactified dimensions, including the 11th. This superalgebra still allows the presence of strings.

If we also set  $P_{\mu m}$   $(m \neq 0')$  equal to zero to eliminate the charged black strings, then using eq.(16)  $\gamma_{ab}^{0'} = \delta_{ab}$  for Weyl spinors, we obtain the standard supersymmetry algebra with the usual Lorentz singlet extensions, consistent with previous discussions of black holes. However, this further restriction on the  $P_{\mu m}$  breaks the SO(7,1) isometry. Instead, we need to restrict the superalgebra to the black hole sector consistently with SO(7,1) covariance, which is important to keep track of the 12th dimension. Such a covariant condition is

$$P_{\mu m} = P_{\mu} v_m \tag{31}$$

where  $P_{\mu}$  plays the role of 4D momentum and  $v_m$  plays the role of 8D "momentum" in the compactified directions. Now, since there is SO(7,1) covariance, it is possible to apply a boost to rotate  $v_m$  to the form  $v_m =$  $(1,0,\dots,0)$ , to work in a SO(7,1) "rest frame" in which the superalgebra takes the standard form  $\{Q_{\alpha a}, Q_{\dot{\beta} b}\} = \sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha \dot{\beta}} \delta_{a \dot{b}} P_{\mu}$ . Of course, this could be done for one given eigenvalue of the operator  $v_m$ , it cannot be done simultaneously for all the states of the secret theory, unless the whole theory is restricted to a single eigenvalue of the operator  $v_m$ . More generally, in the secret theory, we will allow all eigenvalues of  $v_m$  just as we allow all eigenvalues of  $P_{\mu}$ . Evidently, now there is a parallel between the 4D and 8D sectors.

#### **3.1.1** Map between SO(7,1) and SU(8) classifications

If one uses the product form (31) one cannot see the classification of the operators under  $K \subset U$ . The SU(8) structure of eq.(1) is not evident in the SO(7, 1) covariant form

$$\left\{Q_{\alpha a}, Q_{\dot{\beta} \dot{b}}\right\} = \sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha \dot{\beta}} P_{\mu} \gamma^{m}_{a \dot{b}} v_{m}.$$
(32)

An SU(8) classification is not possible unless  $v_m$  is boosted with an SO(7, 1) transformation to the "rest frame"  $v_m = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$  (we have normalized  $v^m v_m = 1$  by absorbing the overall scale into  $P_{\mu}$ ). This kind of phenomena has been noticed before in another context: in order to display electric-magnetic duality as a symmetry, one has to give up a Lorentz covariant formalism. Similarly, in the present case, in order to display a form consistent

with SU(8) (i.e. duality) we have to give up an SO(7, 1) covariant formalism, or vice versa.

This remark leads to the map T between the SU(8) basis and the SO(7, 1) spinor basis. Consider a map T that relates the two bases

$$Q_{\alpha A} = T_A{}^a Q_{\alpha a}, \quad Q_{\dot{\alpha}\bar{A}} = T_{\bar{A}}{}^{\dot{a}} Q_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{a}}, \quad T_{\bar{A}}{}^{\dot{a}} = (T_A{}^a)^*$$
 (33)

where  $A = 1, \dots, 8$  denotes the **8** of SU(8). When applied to (32) it gives the desired SU(8) covariant result

$$\left\{Q_{\alpha A}, Q_{\dot{\beta}\bar{B}}\right\} = \sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} P_{\mu} \,\delta_{A\bar{B}}, \quad \Leftrightarrow \ \left[T\left(\gamma^{m}v_{m}\right)T^{\dagger}\right]_{A\bar{B}} = \delta_{A\bar{B}} \tag{34}$$

 $T_{A}{}^{a}(v)$  is just the SO(7,1) boost (in the spinor representation) and it can be constructed explicitly

$$T = \frac{1 + v_{0'} - \vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{v}}{\sqrt{2 + 2v_{0'}}} = T^{\dagger}, \quad T^{-1} = T^{T} = T^{*}$$
(35)

Here  $\vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{v}$  contains only the seven hermitian purely imaginary gamma matrices.  $T^{-1}$  is just the square root of the 8D "momentum" matrix  $\gamma^m v_m$  since it gives

$$(T^{-1})^2 = v_{0'} + \vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{v} = \gamma^m v_m, \text{ with } v_{0'}^2 - \vec{v}^2 = 1.$$
 (36)

The SU(8) basis central extensions that appear in (1) are now written as

$$Z_{AB} = (TzT^{T})_{AB}, \qquad Z_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}^{*} = (T^{*}z^{*}T^{T*})_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} = T^{T}z^{*}T.$$
(37)

Hence the central extensions  $z_{ab}$ ,  $Z_{AB}$  are functions of not only the moduli  $E_{7(7)}/SU(8)$  but also of the additional moduli  $v_m \sim SO(c+1,1)/SO(c+1)$ . We will use this fact in section 4 in order to show the invariance of the entropy.

#### 3.2 Superalgebra in 5D

The story in 5D is similar, but has an additional important point which is not present in the 4D superalgebra. This consists of one additional Lorentz scalar central extension that is needed for SO(c+1, 1) = SO(6, 1) covariance, but which is absent in the K = Sp(8) basis used in eqs.(3,4). Understanding this point brings more clarity to the 12D point of view. In the remaining dimensions there are no new issues, hence they will not be discussed here.

Following the same strategy that gave (30) and (31), we obtain the d = 5, c = 5 superalgebra by compactification from 12D, keeping only the relevant terms for the black hole sector

$$\{Q_{\alpha a}, Q_{\beta b}\} = (C\gamma^{\mu})_{\alpha\beta} (\gamma^{m})_{ab} P_{\mu m} + C_{\alpha\beta} y_{ab}$$

$$P_{\mu m} = P_{\mu} v_{m}, \quad y_{ab} = (e_{mn} \gamma^{mn} + m_{m} \gamma^{m})_{ab}$$

$$(38)$$

where a denotes the 8-spinor index of SO(6, 1) and  $m = 0', 1, \dots, 6$  is its vector index. The 7 gamma matrices  $\gamma_{ab}^m$  are antisymmetric <sup>9</sup>. The 21  $\gamma^{mn}$ are also antisymmetric matrices and form the SO(6, 1) Lie algebra. The relation of the "electric" and "magnetic" central extensions  $e_{mn}$ ,  $m_m$  to the 12D tensors in (9) is given by

$$e_{mn} \equiv Z_{mn}, \quad m_m \,\varepsilon_{\mu_0\mu_1\cdots\mu_4} = Z^+_{m\mu_0\mu_1\cdots\mu_4}. \tag{39}$$

The new issue is that the SO(6, 1) covariant form (38) requires 7+21=28 central extensions, while the Sp(8) covariant form (3) has only 27 of them. Although the structure of the superalgebra permits one more central extension that is an Sp(8) singlet, this central extension does not appear in the known 5D supergravity theories that come from compactifications of 11D supergravity (see e.g. [22]).

To relate the SO(6, 1) spinor basis to the Sp(8) basis we may again construct the transformation that corresponds to a SO(6, 1) boost

$$Q_{\alpha A} = T_A{}^a Q_{\alpha a}, \quad T(v \cdot \gamma) T^T = \Omega \equiv \gamma^{0'}$$
(40)

$$T = \frac{1 + v_{0'} - \gamma_{0'} \gamma \cdot v}{\sqrt{2 + 2v_{0'}}}, \quad T^{-1} = T^T$$
(41)

Then the relation between the central extensions in the two bases is

$$y = T^T Y T = e_{mn} \gamma^{mn} + m_m \gamma^m \tag{42}$$

Since  $Y_{AB}$  is orthogonal to  $\Omega_{AB}$ , this implies that

$$m \cdot v = 0. \tag{43}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The seven  $8 \times 8$  gamma matrices that appear in eq. (16) have been relabelled into  $m = 0', 1, \dots, 6$ , and by multiplying one of them with *i*. Note that  $\gamma^{0'}$  is not proportional to 1 in the SO(6, 1) Dirac spinor space.

This condition is SO(6, 1) invariant, and it can be imposed only in the presence of the additional quantum numbers  $v_m$  (perhaps this last restriction may be removed in a more general supergravity theory of the type suggested in the last section).

We now see that the 5D superalgebra can be rewritten covariantly under SO(6,1), and that the central extensions depend not only on the moduli  $E_{6(6)}/Sp(8)$  but also on the moduli  $v_m$  described by SO(c + 1, 1)/SO(c + 1). The additional moduli play a crucial role in understanding the invariance of the entropy and of the hidden 12th dimension.

## 4 Invariance of the mass and entropy

We are now ready to consider the extreme black holes. These correspond to short representations of the superalgebra since they satisfy the BPS conditions (the discussion is similar for the nearly extreme ones). In the S-theory language this corresponds to requiring that the determinant of the  $32 \times 32$  matrix on the right of the 12D superalgebra (9) vanishes on such states

$$det(S) |BPS\rangle = 0. \tag{44}$$

This condition is equivalent to the vanishing of some linear combination of supercharges on the BPS states. This gives short multiplets of the superalgebra, corresponding to the BPS states. If the multiplicity of the zero eigenvalue of S is 2n, then the size of the short supermultiplet is

$$(2_B^{15-n} + 2_F^{15-n}) \times dimR \tag{45}$$

where  $(2_B^{15-n} + 2_F^{15-n})$  corresponds to the number of independent bosonic (B) or fermionic (F) terms in the most general polynomial constructed with the supergenerators, in the sector with 2n zero eigenvalues.

The factor dim(R) corresponds to the (collection of) representations Rof the reference state on which the supergenerators are applied. These *must correspond to a representation of the isometries of the algebra*, which we will call the "little group" in analogy to the little group in the representation theory of the (super)Poincaré group. The little group in our case includes either K or C. The specific representations R that are relevant depend on the details of the secret theory, but one can try to extract this information from one of its limits, such as string theory and its generalizations (including D-branes), by combining that information with consistency with the little group.

This representation theory method of counting states [21] will not be applied in the present paper. Instead, we will use the already known results given in the introduction, and concentrate on the additional invariances that are expected to be present under the little group, because of the procedure outlined above. By showing that the entropy, computed with other methods, is invariant under the little group, we build up evidence that the global structure of S-theory (together with its implied extra dimensions) is correct.

The condition det(S) = 0 is invariant under all of the isometries, K or C in every dimension, applied on S. In the black hole sector of eqs.(29,31) there still is K or C isometry depending on the SO(c + 1, 1) frame chosen. det(S) remains invariant under the boost transformations T that relates the two bases. Therefore, one has the same set of solutions |BPS| in either the K or C bases, but the states are regrouped differently into a collection of representations R of either K or C. That is, the representations R are the same collection of states, that can be expanded as either representations of K or of C. Since the counting of the states, dimR, is the same in any frame, it must be true that the entropy is invariant under both K and C.

In the general SO(c + 1, 1) frame the determinant condition takes the form

$$4D: \quad det \left(\begin{array}{cc} i\sigma_2 \otimes z & \sigma^{\mu} P_{\mu} \otimes \gamma^m v_m \\ \sigma^{T\mu} P_{\mu} \otimes \gamma^{Tm} v_m & i\sigma_2 \otimes z^* \end{array}\right) = 0 \tag{46}$$

$$5D: \quad det \left(C\gamma^{\mu}P_{\mu}\otimes\gamma^{m}v_{m}+C\otimes y\right)=0 \tag{47}$$

These can be simplified (the normalization  $v^2 = v_{0'}^2 - \vec{v}^2 = 1$  is used without loss of generality by absorbing the norm into the definition of  $P_{\mu}$ )

$$4D : \left[\det\left(P^2 1_8 - vzv^* z^*\right)\right]^2 = 0, \tag{48}$$

5D : 
$$\left[det\left(P^{2}1_{8}-vyvy\right)\right]^{2}=0.$$
 (49)

Therefore in 4D (or 5D) the black hole mass  $P^2 = M^2$  is given by the largest eigenvalue of the 8×8 matrix  $vzv^Tz^*$  (or vyvy). The eigenvalues are obviously SO(c+1,1) invariants. By using this invariance we can apply a boost to go to the frame  $v_m \rightarrow (1,\vec{0})$  to show that in 4D (or 5D) the eigenvalue is the same as the one obtained by diagonalizing  $(ZZ^*)_{A\bar{B}}$  in the SU(8) basis (or  $(Y\Omega Y\Omega)_{AB}$  in the Sp(8) basis). Therefore, as expected, the mass is both C as well K invariant.

Turning to the entropy, we use the map  $T^{-1}$  in eq.(37,42) from the K basis to the C basis, and recall eq.(36)  $(T^{-1})^2 = v$ , to obtain new expressions for the entropy in 4D and 5D, in terms of the invariants

$$I_{4} = Tr (vzv^{*}z^{*}vzv^{*}z^{*}) - \frac{1}{4} [Tr (vzv^{*}z^{*})]^{2} + 4Pf (z) + 4Pf (z^{*}), (50)$$
  

$$I_{5} = Tr (vyvyvy)$$
(51)

These are equivalent to the ones given in the introduction, but are now written explicitly as invariants of SO(c+1,1) that mixes the 12th (or 13th) dimension with the other compactified dimensions. In the "rest frame" v = $(1,\vec{0})$  the new form reduces to the form given in the introduction, after using  $\gamma^{0'} = 1$  for SO(7,1), or  $\gamma^{0'} = \Omega$  for SO(6,1). The entropy really depends only on the quantized quantum numbers, but they are written in terms of central extensions that depend not only on the moduli U/K but also on the moduli  $v_m \sim SO(c+1,1)/SO(c+1)$ .

### 5 Future directions

We have seen that a 12D interpretation of the black hole entropy is possible. This provides some evidence for the existence of a 12th dimension that is apparently hidden in string theory black holes. It must be emphasized that the discussion was given for a 12D description of the A-type supersymmetry. By T-duality in S-theory, going over to the B-type superalgebra, this is equivalent to finding the 13th dimension in the blackhole entropy.

There are several directions for expanding on the remarks made in this paper.

1) We have seen that the superalgebra is covariant under either K or C, but it cannot be covariant simultaneously under both. This should have been expected by analogy to the incompatibility of Lorentz covariance with electric-magnetic duality. It is known that these cannot be displayed as simultaneous covariances of the equations. In the present case K is related to dualities and C to Lorentz transformations. However, one quantity, the entropy, is invariant under both C and U. Since the former is generally not fully included in U (see footnote 6), except for the subgroup SO(c + 1), it

must be that there is a larger group of symmetries G that leave the entropy invariant, such that

$$G \supseteq U \supset K, \quad and \quad G \supset SO(c+1,1)$$
 (52)

$$K \supset SO(c+1) \subset SO(c+1,1).$$
(53)

This group, which includes duality transformations as well as rotations into the hidden dimensions, is likely to provide valuable information about the secret theory. Work in progress on this point will be reported elsewhere.

2) In order to have a covariant description including the 12th "dimension" we needed the form  $P_{\mu m} = P_{\mu}v_m$  of eq.(31), which implies the existence of extensions  $P_{\mu m}$  ( $m \neq 0'$ ) in the superalgebra that are Lorentz non-singlets. As already emphasized, this requires the presence of open strings, hence the presence of non-locality which is natural in a theory of extended objects.

Another way of understanding the non-locality is as follows. In the sector in which  $P_{\mu m} = P_{\mu}v_m$  the states are labelled by the eigenvalues of both operators  $|P_{\mu}, v_m \rangle$ . In a field theory description one will need to introduce bi-local fields in the Fourier space  $\Phi(x_{\mu}, y_m)$ , consistent with non-locality. The Kaluza-Klein expansion in the y variable gives a tower of local fields  $\Phi_{v_m}(x_{\mu})$  labelled by the eigenvalues of  $v_m$ , all of which have degenerate mass  $P^2v^2 = M^2$ . We have seen that the black hole entropy and mass is consistent with the extension of spacetime in this sense.

"Spacetime" begins to have a new meaning, and we begin to enter an unknown territory.

3) More generally, according to S-theory, in the presence of open p-branes, the superalgebra should include a larger set of Lorentz non-singlet extensions. In their presence the concept of spacetime is expanded even further than above. In this context, our usual spacetime, as represented by the usual momentum  $P_{\mu}$  in the superalgebra, does not seem to be more special as compared to the other extensions. In our current level of understanding the new parts of "spacetime" are interpreted as open p-branes. In the future we may find that the central extensions suggested in S-theory may take a more democratic role as parts of an expanded, much richer, "spacetime".

4) It would be interesting to construct models of extended objects that fit this description of spacetime. One possibility is to consider their low energy effective theory in which one keeps some of their degrees of freedom, as in the example of a bi-local field above. A guiding principle is that the physical states should fall into a representation of the superalgebra  $\{Q, Q\} = S$ , with detS = 0, which is a condition for representations that correspond to both massless and massive BPS states.

Such an example in 12D was given in [16] in the form  $S_{\alpha\beta} = \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu}(p_{\mu}v_{\nu} - p_{\nu}v_{\mu})$ , for which the determinant condition becomes  $p^2v^2 - (p \cdot v)^2 = 0$ . In this case S has 16 zero eigenvalues, therefore, according to eq.(45), the number of states is  $2_B^7 + 2_F^7$  for the smallest multiplet. This representation is obviously covariant under SO(10,2) by construction, but yet it contains a set of fields that is identical to the one in 11D supergravity. Furthermore, in the present case the fields are bi-local  $\Phi(x_{\mu}, y_{\nu})$ , and the variables are 12 dimensional with signature (10, 2). This suggests that a 12D supergravity that corresponds to this representation should exist. A plan for constructing it could include using the old gauging principles that were applied in the construction of usual supergravity. The global algebra that provides the starting point is the superalgebra of S-theory.

The connection to the usual 11D supergravity can be seen as follows. The determinant constraint can be satisfied by taking equations of motion that correspond to  $p^2 = 0$ ,  $p \cdot v = 0$  such as

$$\partial_x^2 \Phi(x_\mu, y_\nu) + \dots = 0, \quad \partial_x \cdot \partial_y \Phi(x_\mu, y_\nu) + \dots = 0 \tag{54}$$

where the  $\cdots$  represent non-linear interactions. If one takes a Kaluza-Klein expansion in the y variable one has the tower  $\Phi_{v_{\mu}}(x_{\mu})$  labelled by  $v_{\mu}$ . By keeping only one of these eigenvalues, e.g.  $v_{\mu} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$  the equations would reduce identically to 11D supergravity.

5) It is worthwhile to try to build 12D models as the candidates for the low energy physics of the secret theory, and to shed more light on its structure. There has been some work involving SO(10,2) models that use the specialized superalgebra  $S_{\alpha\beta} = \gamma^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}(p_{\mu}v_{\nu} - p_{\nu}v_{\mu})$  suggested in [16]. These are super Yang-Mills type fields [23] and strings [24] that fit into the S-theory framework. However, these constructions were restricted to a single eigenvalue of  $v_{\mu}$ , and therefore they break the SO(10, 2) symmetry. Their generalizations in the sense of (54) would remove this restriction.

6) It would be interesting to try to understand the SO(c+1, 1) symmetry discussed here from the point of view of M- and F-theories [17]- [20].

## References

- A. Strominger and K. Vafa, Phys.Lett.B379(1996) 104 [= hep-th/9601029]; J. Breckenridge, D. Lowe, R. Myers, A. Peet, A. Strominger, C. Vafa, Phys. Rev. Lett. B381 (1996) 423 [hep-th/9603078].
- G. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Phys.Lett. 77 (1996) 2363 (hep-th/9602051);
   G. Horowitz, J. Maldacena, A. Strominger, Phys. Lett. B383 (1996) 151 [hep-th/9603109].
- [3] G.Horowitz, "The origin of black hole entropy in string theory", gr-qc/9604051.
- M. Cvetic and D. Youm, Phys.Rev.D54 (1996) 2612 [= hep-th/9603147], hep-th/9605051, hep-th/9603100.
- [5] M. Cvetic and A.A. Tseytlin, hep-th/9510097, hep-th/9512031.
- [6] E. Halyo, B. Kol, A. Rajaraman, L. Susskind, "Counting Schwarzschild and charged black holes", hep-th/9609075.
- [7] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde, H. Verlinde, "BPS spectrum of the five brane and black hole entropy", hep-th/9603126; "BPS quantization of the five brane", hep-th/9604055.
- [8] R. Kallosh and B. Kol, Phys.Rev. D53 (1996) 5344 [= hep-th/9602014].
- [9] S. Ferrara and R. Kallosh, Phys.Rev. D54 (1996) 1514 [= hep-th/9602136], Phys.Rev. D54 (1996) 1525 [= hep-th/9603090]
- [10] M. Cvetic and C. Hull, "Black holes and U duality", hep-th/9606193.
- [11] K. Behrndt, R. Kallosh, J. Rahmfeld, M. Shmakova, and W. Kai Wong, "STU black holes and string triality", hep-th/9608059.
- [12] R. Kallosh and A. Rajaraman, "Brane-antibrane democracy", hepth/9604193.
- J. Polchinski, Phys.Rev.Lett. 75 (1995) 4724 (=hep-th/9510017). See also, J. Polchinski, S. Chaudhuri, C.V. Johnson "Noteson D-branes", hep-th/9602052.

- [14] C. Hull and P. Townsend, Nucl.Phys.**B438** (1995) 109 [= hep-th/9410167].
- [15] I. Bars, "Supersymmetry, p-brane duality and hidden spacetime dimensions", Phys. Rev. D54 (1996) 5203 [= hep-th/9604139]; "Duality and hidden dimensions", hep-th/9604200, to appear in the proceedings of Frontiers in Quantum Field Theory, Toyonaka, Japan, Dec. 1996.
- [16] I. Bars, "S-Theory", hep-th/9607112; "Algebraic Structures in S-Theory", hep-th/9608061.
- [17] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B443 (1995) 85; and "Some comment son String Dynamics", hep-th/9507121, to appear in the proc. of Strings '95; P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B460 (1996) 506 [=hep-th/9510209].
- [18] P. Townsend, "p-brane democracy", hep-th/9507048.
- [19] J. Schwarz, Phys.Lett.B367 (1996) 97 [=hep-th/9510086]; hep-th/9509148; "M-theory extensions of T duality", hep-th/9601077.
- [20] C. Vafa, Nucl.Phys. B469 (1996) 403 [=hep-th/9602022]; D.R. Morrison and C. Vafa, Nucl.Phys. B473 (1996) 74 [= hep-th/9602114], hep-th/9603161; E. Witten, Nucl.Phys. B471 (1996) 195 [=hep-th/9603150].
- [21] I. Bars, Phys. Rev. D52 (1995) 3567 [=hep-th/9503228]; I. Bars and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Rev. D53 (1996) 4489 [=hep-th/9511098]. I. Bars, "Consistency between 11D and U-duality",hep-th/9601164, in Ahrenshoop Symp.1995:115-122 (QCD161:S937:1995).
- [22] E. Cremmer, "On hidden symmetries in extended supergravities" LPTENS 83/1, Jan 1983. Lectures given at September School on Supergravity and Supersymmetry, Trieste, Italy, 1982, Published in Trieste Workshop 1982:153 (QC178:T7:1982)
- [23] H. Nishino and E. Sezgin, "Supersymmetric Yang-Mills equations in (10+2)-dimensions", hep-th/9607185.
- [24] E. Martinec, Geometrical structures of M-theory, hep-th/9608017.