SEPARATING INTRINSIC AND MICROLENSING VARIABILITY USING PARALLAX MEASUREMENTS

STEIN VIDAR HAGFORS HAUGAN Institute of Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Oslo Pb. 1029, Blindern N-0315 OSLO http://www.uio.no/~steinhh/index.html

1. Introduction

small compared to the typical length scale of fluctuations in the magnificaplane. The separation vector of the two points is parallel to the direction of series of the observed flux $F_{\mathbf{A}}(t_i)$ and $F_{\mathbf{B}}(t_i)$ at two points in the observer for the one-dimensional case: The observations consist of well-sampled time ward. For the purpose of illustration, I make the following simplifications fewer than 3 resolved images, however, this separation is not straightfortion $\mu(x)$. the transversal motion of the source-lens-observer system, and the distance ing variability through parallax measurements from 3 observers when there intrinsic variability may be unambiguously separated from the microlensis no relative motion of the lens masses (Refsdal, 1993). In systems with In gravitational lens systems with 3 or more resolved images of a quasar, the D_{AB} between the observers is known. Furthermore, the distance D_{AB} is

at the two observers as a function of time, defined by It is possible to calculate the ratio of the instantaneous magnification

$$(t_i) = F_{\mathbf{B}}(t_i) / F_{\mathbf{A}}(t_i) \tag{1}$$

7

where $F_{\mathbf{A}}(t_i)$ and $F_{\mathbf{B}}(t_i)$ are the observed fluxes at observer **A** and **B** respectively. I am assuming that observer **B** is the leading one.

formula A, can be reconstructed (apart from boundary conditions) through the With these assumptions, the magnification history $\mu_{\mathbf{A}}(t_i)$ for observer

$$\mu_{\mathbf{A}}(t_i) = \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(t_i - \Delta t)r(t_i - \Delta t) \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta t = \frac{D_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}}}{v_{\perp}}$$
(2)

STEIN VIDAR HAGFORS HAUGAN

where v_{\perp} is the unknown velocity perpendicular to the line of sight.

Given a velocity v_{\perp} , the microlensing magnification history $\mu_{\mathbf{A}}$ is uniquely determined, and thereby also the intrinsic flux, given by

$$F_{\mathbf{IA}}(t_i) = F_{\mathbf{A}}(t_i) / \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(t_i)$$
(3)

The velocity is chosen by minimizing some measure of the variability (e.g., χ^2) of $F_{\mathbf{IA}}$, given by $\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (F_{\mathbf{IA}}(t_i) - \langle F_{\mathbf{IA}} \rangle)^2$

2. Preliminary results

In order to test the method, dummy data for the intrinsic flux $F_{\mathbf{I}}(t_i)$ and the magnification $\mu(x_i) = \mu(v_{\perp}t_i)$ were made by simply filtering white noise, N(t), with gaussian low-pass filters with characteristic scales $\tau_{\mathbf{I}}$ and τ_{μ} , and then exponentiating, e.g.:

$$F_{\mathbf{I}}(t) = \exp(A_{\mathbf{I}}\Phi[N(t);\tau_{\mathbf{I}}])$$

$$\mu(t) = \exp(A_{\mu}\Phi[N(t);\tau_{\mu}])$$
(4)

where $\Phi[\ldots;\tau]$ denotes gaussian filtering with time scale τ , and then renormalization to make the variance equal to one. $A_{\mathbf{I}}$ and A_{μ} are the amplitudes of the intrinsic and microlensing variabilities, respectively. For simplicity, but without loss of generality, the units were chosen so that the "true" source-lens-observer transversal velocity v_{\perp} and the characteristic scale of the magnification fluctuations $\tau_{\mathbf{I}}$ were equal to 1. The observations were simulated according to

$$F_{\mathbf{A}}(t_i) = F_{\mathbf{I}}(t_i) \ \mu(v_{\perp}t_i)$$

$$F_{\mathbf{B}}(t_i) = F_{\mathbf{I}}(t_i) \ \mu\left(v_{\perp}t_i + \frac{D_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}}}{v_{\perp}}\right)$$
(5)

The flux ratio $r(t_i)$, the magnification history $\mu_{\mathbf{A}}(t_i)$ and the intrinsic flux $F_{\mathbf{IA}}(t_i)$ were calculated for a range of values for v_{\perp} . For a wide range of parameters, the χ^2 function is fairly well-behaved, with a quadratic minimum, although the minimum may be somewhat displaced compared to the true value of v_{\perp} . The most difficult cases seem to be those where $\tau_{\mathbf{I}} \approx \tau_{\mu}$ and $A_{\mathbf{I}} \gtrsim A_{\mu}$.

It is unclear how useful this method is for the two-dimensional case with two observers. This will be the subject of further study. The extension of the method to 3 observers in two dimensions with is fairly straightforward. In cases where relative motion of the lensing point masses are important, only a partial separation will be possible.

References

Refsdal, S. 1993, in *Gravitational Lenses in the Universe*, eds. Surdej et al., Université de Liège, Belgium