Goldstone Bosons in the Gaussian Approximation

Anna Okopińska Institute of Physics, Warsaw University, Białystok Branch, Lipowa 41, 15-424 Białystok, Poland e-mail: rozynek@fuw.edu.pl

Abstract

The O(N) symmetric scalar quantum field theory with $\lambda \Phi^4$ interaction is discussed in the Gaussian approximation. It is shown that the Goldstone theorem is fulfilled for arbitrary N.

1 Introduction

The theory of a real scalar field in n-dimensional Euclidean space-time with a classical action given by

$$S[\Phi] = \int [\frac{1}{2}\Phi(x)(-\partial^2 + m^2)\Phi(x) + \lambda(\Phi^2(x))^2] d^n x, \qquad (1)$$

is the most mysterious part of the standard model. Althought experimentaly not observed, the scalar Higgs field with $m^2 < 0$ and internal O(4) symmetry is necessary to give masses to interaction bosons in the Weinberg-Salam model of weak interactions without spoiling renormalizability. Moreover, the renormalized $\lambda \Phi^4$ theory has been almost rigorously proved [1] to be noninteracting, in contradiction to the perturbative renormalization, which can be performed order by order without any signal of triviality. Triviality shows up in the leading order of the $\frac{1}{N}$ expansion [2] in the O(N) symmetric theory of N-component scalar field, $\Phi(x) = (\Phi_1(x), ..., \Phi_N(x))$. Other nonperturbative methods, like the Gaussian [3] and post-Gaussian [4, 5] approximations, have been therefore applied to study renormalization of the scalar theory in the case when the number of field components is not large. However, a serious drawback of the Gaussian approximation for N-component field was an observation [6, 7] that the Goldstone theorem is not respected exactly, only in the limit of $N \to \infty$ the would-be Goldstone bosons become massless. In this work we will show that this statement is not true, being a consequence of incorrect interpretation. The Gaussian approximation of the O(N) symmetric theory contains one massive particle and (N-1)massless Goldstone bosons, in agreement with the exact result of Goldstone theorem [8].

It is convenient to formulate the approximation method for the effective action, $\Gamma[\varphi]$, since all the Green's functions can be obtained in a consistent way, through differentiation of the approximate expression. A full (inverse) propagator, required for one-particle states analysis, is given by the second derivative of the effective action at $\varphi(x) = \phi_0$. The vacuum expectation value of the scalar field, ϕ_0 , can be obtained as a stationary point of the effective potential, $V(\phi) = -\frac{1}{\int d^n x} \Gamma[\varphi]|_{\varphi(x)=\phi=const}$.

We shall calculate the effective action, using the optimized expansion (OE) [4]. The method consists in modifying the classical action of a scalar field (1) to the form

$$S_{\epsilon}[\Phi,G] = \int \frac{1}{2} \Phi(x) G^{-1}(x,y) \Phi(y) d^{n}x d^{n}y + \epsilon \left[\int \frac{1}{2} \Phi(x) [-\partial^{2} + m^{2}) \delta(x-y) - G^{-1}(x,y)] \Phi(y) d^{n}x d^{n}y + \int \lambda (\Phi^{2}(x))^{2} d^{n}x \right] (2)$$

with an arbitrary free propagator G(x, y). The effective action, as a series in an artificial parameter ϵ , can be obtained as a sum of vacuum one-particleirreducible diagrams with Feynman rules of the modified theory. The given order expression for the effective action is optimized, choosing G(x, y) which fulfills the gap equation

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma_n}{\delta G^{-1}(x,y)} = 0, \tag{3}$$

to make the dependence on the unphysical field as weak as possible.

For one-component field the inverse of a free propagator can be taken in the form

$$\Gamma(x,y) = G^{-1}(x,y) = (-\partial^2 + \Omega^2(x))\delta(x-y), \qquad (4)$$

and the Gaussian effective action (GEA) is obtained the first order of the OE [4]. The effective potential, derived from the GEA for a constant background $\varphi(x) = \phi$, coincides with the Gaussian effective potential (GEP) [3], obtained before by applying the variational method with Gaussian trial functionals to the functional Schrödinger equation.

Here we shall calculate the effective action for N-component field to the first order of the OE. In this case, the inverse of a trial propagator can be chosen in the form of a symmetric matrix

$$egin{array}{rll} \Gamma_{i,i}(x,y) &= (-\partial^2 + M_i^2(x))\delta(x-y) \ \Gamma_{i,j}(x,y) &= \Gamma_{j,i}(x,y) = M_{ij}^2(x)\delta(x-y) \end{array}$$
 (5)

where the functions $M_i^2(x)$ and $M_{ij}^2(x)$ are variational parameters. The calculation of the effective action can be simplified, using the observation of Stevenson at al. [7] that for an O(N) symmetric theory only the shift $\varphi(x) = (\varphi_1(x), ..., \varphi_N(x))$ of the field sets a direction in the O(N) space. Thus, the eigendirection of a free propagator matrix will be radial and transverse, and the variational parameters for the transverse fields should be equal, because of the remaining O(N-1) symmetry. In the coordinate system, in which the shift φ points in the i = 1 direction, the (inverse) trial propagator can be chosen in the form of a diagonal matrix with

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{11}(x,y) &= G^{-1}(x,y) = (-\partial^2 + \Omega^2(x))\delta(x-y) \\ \Gamma_{ii}(x,y) &= g^{-1}(x,y) = (-\partial^2 + \omega^2(x))\delta(x-y) \quad \text{for } i \neq 1, \end{split}$$

and the effective action in the first order of the OE is obtained in the form

$$\Gamma[\varphi] = -\int \left[\frac{1}{2}\varphi(x)(-\partial^{2} + m^{2})\varphi(x) + \lambda(\varphi^{2}(x))^{2}\right] d^{n}x - \frac{1}{2}TrLnG^{-1} - \frac{N-1}{2}TrLng^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\int (\Omega^{2}(x) - m^{2} - 12\lambda\varphi^{2}(x))G(x,x) d^{n}x + \frac{(N-1)}{2}\int (\omega^{2}(x) - m^{2} - 4\lambda\varphi^{2}(x))g(x,x) d^{n}x - 3\lambda\int G^{2}(x,x) d^{n}x - (N^{2} - 1)\lambda\int g^{2}(x,x) d^{n}x - 2(N-1)\lambda\int G(x,x)g(x,x) d^{n}x.$$
(7)

Requiring the effective action to be stationarity with respect to small changes of variational parameters

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\Omega^2} = \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\omega^2} = 0,\tag{8}$$

results in gap equations

$$\Omega^2(x) - m^2 - 12\lambda arphi^2(x) - 12\lambda G(x,x) - 4(N-1)\lambda g(x,x) = 0 \ \omega^2(x) - m^2 - 4\lambda arphi^2(x) - 4\lambda G(x,x) - 4(N+1)\lambda g(x,x) = 0$$
 (9)

which determine the functionals $\Omega[\varphi]$ and $\omega[\varphi]$. When limited to a constant background $\phi = (\phi_1, ..., \phi_N)$, the the GEA for N-component field gives the effective potential

$$V(\phi) = \frac{m^2}{2}\phi^2 + \lambda(\phi^2)^2 + I_1(\Omega) + (N-1)I_1(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}(m^2 - \Omega^2 + 12\lambda\phi^2)I_0(\Omega) + \frac{N-1}{2}(m^2 - \omega^2 + 4\lambda\phi^2)I_0(\omega) + 3\lambda I_0(\Omega)^2 + (N^2 - 1)\lambda I_0(\omega)^2 + 2(N-1)\lambda I_0(\Omega)I_0(\omega)$$
(10)

with the functions $\Omega(\phi)$ and $\omega(\phi)$ determined by algebraic equations

$$\Omega^{2} - m^{2} - 12\lambda\phi^{2} - 12\lambda I_{0}(\Omega) - 4\lambda(N-1)I_{0}(\omega) = 0,$$

$$\omega^{2} - m^{2} - 4\lambda\phi^{2} - 4\lambda I_{0}(\Omega) - 4(N+1)\lambda I_{0}(\omega) = 0,$$
(11)

where

$$I_{1}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^{n}p}{(2\pi)^{n}} \ln(p^{2} + \Omega^{2})$$

$$I_{0}(\Omega) = \int \frac{d^{n}p}{(2\pi)^{n}} \frac{1}{p^{2} + \Omega^{2}}.$$
(12)

The same result for the O(N) symmetric GEP was obtained before in the Schrödinger approach [7]. In the OE, a generalisation of the GEP to spacetime dependent fields, the GEA (7), has been obtained. It enables us to derive not only the effective potential, but also one-particle-irreducible Green's functions at arbitrary external momenta in the Gaussian approximation. The minimum of the GEP is at ϕ_0 fulfilling

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_i} = (m^2 + 4\lambda\phi^2 + 12\lambda I_0(\Omega) + 4(N-1)\lambda I_0(\omega))\phi_i = 0; \qquad (13)$$

therefore, in the unsymmetric minimum we have

$$B = m^{2} + 4\lambda\phi^{2} + 12\lambda I_{0}(\Omega) + 4(N-1)\lambda I_{0}(\omega) = 0.$$
 (14)

In the GEP analysis for N = 2, it was pointed out by Brihaye and Consoli [6] that $\omega[\phi_0]$ is not equal to zero, which was interpreted as a violation of Goldstone theorem in the Gaussian approximation. For the same reason, Stevenson, Allès and Tarrach [7] admitted that also for a general N the Gaussian approximation does not respect the Goldstone theorem. We would like to point out that this conclusion is unjustified, for Ω and ω are only variational parameters in the free propagator, and do not correspond to physical masses of scalar particles. The physical masses have to be determined as poles of a full propagator in the discussed approximation. The inverse of that propagator can be obtained as a second derivative of the GEA (7) with an implicit dependence, $\Omega^2[\varphi]$ and $\omega^2[\varphi]$, taken into account by differentiation of the gap equations (9). Upon performing the Fourier transform, the two-point vertex is calculated to be

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{11}(p) &= \left. \frac{\widehat{\delta^2 \Gamma}}{\delta \varphi_1^2} \right|_{\varphi(x) = \phi_0} = p^2 + m^2 + 4\lambda \phi^2 + 12\lambda I_0(\Omega) + 4\lambda I_0(\omega) + 8\lambda \phi_1^2 A(p) \\ \Gamma_{ii}(p) &= \left. \frac{\widehat{\delta^2 \Gamma}}{\delta \varphi_i^2} \right|_{\varphi(x) = \phi_0} = p^2 + m^2 + 4\lambda \phi^2 + 12\lambda I_0(\Omega) + 4\lambda I_0(\omega) + 8\lambda \phi_i^2 A(p) \end{split}$$

$$\Gamma_{ij}(p) = \Gamma_{ji}(p) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\widehat{\delta^2 \Gamma}}{\delta \varphi_i \delta \varphi_j} \bigg|_{\varphi(x) = \phi_0} = 8\lambda \phi_i \phi_j A(p), \qquad (15)$$

where

$$A(p) = 1 - \frac{18\lambda I_{-1}(\Omega, p) + 2\lambda(N-1)I_{-1}(\omega, p) + 24\lambda^2(N+2)I_{-1}(\Omega, p)I_{-1}(\omega, p)}{1 + 6\lambda I_{-1}(\Omega, p) + 2\lambda(N+1)I_{-1}(\omega, p) + 32\lambda^2(N+2)I_{-1}(\Omega, p)I_{-1}(\omega, p)}.$$
(16)

and

$$I_{-1}(\Omega, p) = 2 \int \frac{d^n q}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{1}{(q^2 + \Omega^2)((p+q)^2 + \Omega^2)}.$$
 (17)

Upon diagonalization we obtain an inverse propagator $\gamma_1(p) = p^2 + B + A(p)\phi_0^2$ which corresponds to massive particle, and (N-1) inverse propagators $\gamma_i(p) = p^2 + B$ of Goldstone particles, since B=0 in the unsymmetric minimum (14). Therefore, for any N the Gaussian approximation of the O(N)symmetric theory does fully respect Goldstone theorem at the unrenormalized level.

This work has been supported in part by Grant PB-2-0956-91-01 of the Committee for Scientific Research. I would like to acknowledge fruitful discussions with P. Stevenson and M. Consoli and the warm hospitality of Instituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare in Catania.

References

- R.Fernández, J.Fröhlich, and A.D.Sokal, Random Walks, Critical Phenomena, and Triviality in Quantum Field Theory (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992).
- [2] W.A.Bardeen and M.Moshe, Phys. Rev. **D28** (1983) 1382.
- [3] P.M.Stevenson, Phys. Rev. **D32** (1985) 1389.
- [4] A.Okopińska, Phys. Rev. D35 (1987) 1835; Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 228 (1993) 19.
- [5] I.Stancu and P.M.Stevenson, Phys. Rev. **D42** (1990) 2710.
- [6] Y.Brihaye and M.Consoli, Phys. Lett. 157B (1985) 48.
- [7] P.M.Stevenson, B.Allès, and R.Tarrach, Phys. Rev. D35 (1987) 2407.
- [8] J.Goldstone, Nuovo Cimento 19 (1961) 15; J.Goldstone, A.Salam,
 S.Weinberg, Phys.Rev. 127 (1962) 925.