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#### Abstract

The relativistic nuclear recoil corrections to the energy levels of low-laying states of hydrogen-like and high $Z$ lithium-like atoms in all orders in $\alpha Z$ are calculated. The calculations are carried out using the $B$-spline method for the Dirac equation. For low $Z$ the results of the calculation are in good agreement with the $\alpha Z$-expansion results. It is found that the nuclear recoil contribution, additional to the Salpeter's one, to the Lamb shift ( $n=2$ ) of hydrogen is $-1.32(6) \mathrm{kHz}$. The total nuclear recoil correction to the energy of the $(1 s)^{2} 2 p_{\frac{1}{2}}-(1 s)^{2} 2 s$ transition in lithium-like uranium constitutes -0.07 eV and is largely made up of QED contributions.

PACS number(s): 12.20.Ds, 31.30.Jv


## 1 Introduction

As is known, in the non-relativistic approximation the nuclear recoil correction for a hydrogen-like atom can be taken into account by using the reduced mass $\mu=\frac{m M}{m+M}$. The relativistic corrections of order $(\alpha Z)^{4} \frac{m}{M} m c^{2}$ can be found by employing the Breit equation [1]. A theory of the nuclear recoil effect in higher orders in $\alpha Z$ must be constructed in the framework of quantum electrodynamics (QED) on the basis of an exact relativistic equation for hydrogen-like atom. Such an equation was proposed by Bethe and Salpeter [2] immediately after creation of QED. On the basis of this equation the nuclear recoil corrections were calculated in [3] up to terms of order $(\alpha Z)^{5} \frac{m}{M} m c^{2}$. It was shown in this work that the nuclear recoil effect in the case of a complex nucleus is calculated in a good approximation by assuming the nucleus is the Dirac particle with the charge $|e| Z$ and the mass M. Subsequently these corrections were recalculated by a number of authors [4-6]. Calculations of the nuclear recoil corrections of the next order in $\alpha Z$ were considered in [7-11].

In the theory of high- $Z$ one-electron ions the parameter $\alpha Z$ can no longer be considered small. For this reason calculations of the nuclear recoil corrections for such systems must be carried out without expansion in $\alpha Z$. In contrast to other QED effects in the region of strongly bound states ( $\alpha Z \sim 1$ ), the calculation of the nuclear recoil effect at high $Z$ demands using QED outside the external field approximation. (Calculations of QED effects in hydrogen, positronium, and muonium correspond to the case of weakly bound states $(\alpha Z \ll 1)$.) In this connection a non-trivial problem of derivation of closed expressions for the nuclear recoil corrections in all orders in $\alpha Z$ arises. This problem was first discussed in [12,13]. The work [12] was based on the Bethe-Salpeter equation. This approach encountered serious technical difficulties, associated with summation of a complete sequence of irreducible diagrams. These difficulties were partly overcome only in the lowest orders in $\alpha Z$. Complete $\alpha Z$-dependence expressions were not found in this way. In [13] a general case of a relativistic few-electron atom was considered. An efficient method for summing of the Feynman diagrams in the zeroth and first orders in $\frac{m}{M}$, based on an expansion of the nuclear propagator, was proposed in this paper. However, because the procedure of the derivation of the nuclear recoil corrections was not rigorously formulated, the method considered there gave several ambiguities in the expressions for the nuclear recoil cor-
rections. In addition, certain errors were made in derivation of the formulas for the contributions with one and two transverse photons. As result, only a part of the expressions for the relativistic nuclear recoil corrections was found in this work. The complete expressions for the nuclear recoil corrections for hydrogen-like atoms were obtained in [14] (the overall sign of the two-transverse-photons contribution was corrected in $[15,16])$. The paper [14] was based on a version of the quasipotential approach that immediately gives the Dirac equation in the limit of infinite nuclear mass $[17,18,5]$. ( The quasipotential approach was first introduced in quantum field theory by Logunov and Tavkhelidze [19] and was subsequently developed by many authors (see, e.g., [20]). This approach is absolutely rigorous and, in contrast to the Bethe-Salpeter equation, allows one to exclude the relative time (energy) in the wavefunction from the very beginning. The quasipotential equation can be represented in the evidently covariant form [20,17].) The relevant quasipotential equation in the center-of-mass system is (the relativistic units $\hbar=c=1$ are used)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E-\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^{2}+M^{2}}-\alpha \mathbf{p}-\beta m\right) \psi(\mathbf{p})=\int V(E, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \psi(\mathbf{q}) d \mathbf{q} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ are the Dirac matrices acting on the electron variables. The quasipotential $V(E)$ can be constructed by various methods [17,19,20]. One of the methods consists in using the relativistic scattering amplitude with one particle (nucleus) on mass shell $[17,18,21]$. In this method the quasipotential $V(E)$ may be defined by the Lippman-Schwinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\tau(1+F \tau)^{-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
F & =\left[E-\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^{2}+M^{2}}+\alpha \mathbf{p}+\beta m\right)(1-i 0)\right]^{-1},  \tag{3}\\
\tau(E, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) & =-2 \pi i \beta \bar{u}(-\mathbf{p}) T\left(p_{1}, p_{2} ; q_{1}, q_{2}\right) u(-\mathbf{q}),  \tag{4}\\
\mathbf{p}_{1} & =-\mathbf{p}_{2} \equiv \mathbf{p}, \quad \mathbf{q}_{1}=-\mathbf{q}_{2} \equiv \mathbf{q}, \\
p_{1}^{0} & =E-\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^{2}+M^{2}}, \quad p_{2}^{0}=\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^{2}+M^{2}}, \\
q_{1}^{0} & =E-\sqrt{\mathbf{q}^{2}+M^{2}}, \quad q_{2}^{0}=\sqrt{\mathbf{q}^{2}+M^{2}},
\end{align*}
$$

$p_{1}, q_{1}$ are the electron variables, $p_{2}, q_{2}$ are the nucleus variables; $T$ is the off-mass-shell relativistic scattering amplitude; $u(\mathbf{q})$ is the wavefunction of the
free nucleus with the positive energy normalized by the condition $u^{\dagger}(\mathbf{q}) u(\mathbf{q})=$ 1. In [14] the quasipotential $V(E)$ was constructed in the zeroth and first orders in $\frac{m}{M}$. So, the closed expressions for the nuclear recoil corrections in the first order in $\frac{m}{M}$ and in all orders in $\alpha Z$ were obtained. The most detailed derivation was published in [22]. In [16] these results were generalized to the case of high Z few-electron atoms. For that a more general method was developed. In the second section of the present paper we briefly formulate the results of [16]. In the third section the calculation of the nuclear recoil corrections for hydrogen-like atoms is considered. In the fourth section the corrections for high $Z$ lithium-like atoms are calculated.

## 2 Basic formulas

We consider the system of Dirac particles: a nucleus with mass $M$ and $N$ electrons with mass $m$. Following to ideas of the quasipotential approach we introduce in the center-of-mass system the two-time Green function with the nucleus on the mass shell

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(t^{\prime}, t, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \mathbf{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots \mathbf{x}_{N}^{\prime}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots \mathbf{x}_{N}\right) \\
& \quad=\left\langle\mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \lambda\right| T \psi\left(t^{\prime}, \mathbf{x}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cdots \psi\left(t^{\prime}, \mathbf{x}_{N}^{\prime}\right) \psi^{\dagger}\left(t, \mathbf{x}_{N}\right) \cdots \psi^{\dagger}\left(t, \mathbf{x}_{1}\right)|\mathbf{p}, \lambda\rangle \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi(x)$ is the electron-positron field operator in the Heisenberg representation, $T$ is the time ordered product operator;

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathbf{p}, \lambda\rangle=a_{\text {in }}(\mathbf{p}, \lambda)|0\rangle, \quad\left|\mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \lambda\right\rangle=a_{\text {out }}\left(\mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \lambda\right)|0\rangle \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the in and out states of the nucleus; $\mathbf{p}$ and $\lambda$ are momentum and polarization of the nucleus. Here we normalize the operators $a_{i n}$ and $a_{\text {out }}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{a_{i n}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}, \lambda), a_{\text {in }}\left(\mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right\}=\left\{a_{\text {out }}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}, \lambda), a_{\text {out }}\left(\mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right\}=\delta_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}} \delta\left(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce the Fourier transform of $G$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta(E & \left.-E^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{P}^{\prime}\right) \bar{G}\left(E, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \mathbf{p}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots \mathbf{p}_{N}^{\prime}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_{1}, \ldots \mathbf{p}_{N}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \frac{1}{N!} \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3 N}} \int d t d t^{\prime} d \mathbf{x}_{1} \cdots d \mathbf{x}_{N} d \mathbf{x}_{1}^{\prime} \cdots d \mathbf{x}_{N}^{\prime} \exp \left[i\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime} t^{\prime}-\mathcal{E} t\right)\right] \\
& \times \exp \left[-i \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\mathbf{p}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}-\mathbf{p}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}\right)\right] G\left(t^{\prime}, t, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \mathbf{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots \mathbf{x}_{N}^{\prime}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots \mathbf{x}_{N}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{r}
E=\mathcal{E}+\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^{2}+M^{2}}-M, \quad E^{\prime}=\mathcal{E}^{\prime}+\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^{\prime 2}+M^{2}}-M \\
\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{p}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{p}_{i}, \quad \mathbf{P}^{\prime}=\mathbf{p}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{p}_{i}^{\prime} \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

In the center-of-mass system we have

$$
\mathrm{p}=-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{p}_{i}, \quad \mathbf{p}^{\prime}=-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{p}_{i}^{\prime}
$$

Let we are interested in the energy of a bound state $n$ of the atom. The spectral representation of $\bar{G}(E)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{G}(E)=\frac{\Phi_{n} \Phi_{n}^{\dagger}}{E-E_{n}}+\text { terms regular at } E=E_{n} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{n}$ is the bound state energy with the nucleus rest mass subtracted, the wavefunction $\Phi_{n}$ is defined by equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& (2 \pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} \delta(\mathbf{P}) \Phi_{n}\left(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_{1}, \ldots \mathbf{p}_{N}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{3 N}{2}}} \int d \mathbf{x}_{1} \cdots d \mathbf{x}_{N} \exp \left[-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{p}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}\right] \\
& \quad \times\langle\mathbf{p}| \psi\left(0, \mathbf{x}_{1}\right) \cdots \psi\left(0, \mathbf{x}_{N}\right)|n\rangle \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

The Green function $\bar{G}(E)$ is constructed by perturbation theory after transition in (5) to the interaction representation. Let the energy level $n$ belong to a $m$-fold degenerate level $E_{n}^{(0)}$ in the limit $M \rightarrow \infty$ if the radiative and interelectronic interaction corrections are neglected. (The neglect of the interelectronic interaction in the zeroth approximation is justified for high Z few-electron atoms ( $N \ll Z$ ).) The $m$-dimensional subspace generated by the unperturbed eigenstates making up this level we designate as $\Omega$. The projector on $\Omega$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} u_{k} u_{k}^{\dagger} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P}(-1)^{P} \psi_{k_{1}}(P 1) \cdots \psi_{k_{N}}(P N) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\psi_{k}$ are solutions of the Dirac equation in the Coulomb field of the nucleus:

$$
\begin{align*}
H \psi_{k} & =\varepsilon_{k} \psi_{k}, \quad H=\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{p}+\beta m+V_{c} \\
E_{n}^{(0)} & =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{k_{i}} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us introduce the Green function $g$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=P_{0} \bar{G} P_{0} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this Green function, like $\bar{G}(E)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(E)=\frac{\phi_{n} \phi_{n}^{\dagger}}{E-E_{n}}+\text { terms regular at } E=E_{n}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{n}=P_{0} \Phi_{n}$ belongs to the subspace $\Omega$. Constructing $g(E)$ by the perturbation theory in the interaction representation we get it in the form of a series in powers in $\alpha Z$. However, we are interested in an expansion in another parameter, namely, $\frac{m}{M}$. For this reason it is necessary to sum infinite sequences of the Feynman diagrams in the zeroth and first orders in $\frac{m}{M}$. We designate the contribution of the terms of the zeroth order in $\frac{m}{M}$ by $g_{0}$. In [16] it was found

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}(E)=\frac{P_{0}}{E-E_{n}^{(0)}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the equation (16) and the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{-1} g=1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain for $E=E_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{-1}\left(E_{n}\right) \phi_{n}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or, introducing the quasipotential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(E)=g_{0}^{-1}-g^{-1}=g_{0}^{-1} \Delta g g_{0}^{-1}+g_{0}^{-1} \Delta g g_{0}^{-1} \Delta g g_{0}^{-1}+\cdots, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta g \equiv g-g_{0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E_{n}^{(0)}+v\left(E_{n}\right)\right) \phi_{n}=E_{n} \phi_{n} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows the equation for determination of the energy levels

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left\{\left(E-E_{n}^{(0)}\right) \delta_{i k}-v_{i k}(E)\right\}=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be stressed that equation (22) is absolutely rigorous within QED and gives, in principle, the exact energies of the $m$ levels arising from the $m$-fold degenerate level $E_{n}^{(0)}$. In [16] the quasipotential $v_{i k}$ was found in the first order in $\frac{m}{M}$ and in the zeroth order in $\alpha$ (but in all orders in $\alpha Z$ ) by summing infinite sequences of the Feynman diagrams in the Coulomb gauge. For that the expansion of the nuclear propagator from [13] was used. Only the following kinds of the diagrams contribute in the considered order:

- The diagrams with only Coulomb photons.
- The diagrams with one transverse and arbitrary number of Coulomb photons.
- The diagrams with two transverse and arbitrary number of Coulomb photons.

The contribution from the diagrams with only Coulomb photons is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(v_{c}\right)_{i k}=\left(v_{c}^{(1)}\right)_{i k}+\left(v_{c}^{(2)}\right)_{i k}+\left(v_{c}^{(i n t)}\right)_{i k},  \tag{23}\\
& \left(v_{c}^{(1)}\right)_{i k}=\sum_{s=1}^{N} \delta_{i_{1} k_{1}} \cdots \stackrel{s}{\square} \cdots \delta_{i_{N} k_{N}}\left\langle i_{s}\right| \frac{\mathbf{p}_{s}^{2}}{2 M}\left|k_{s}\right\rangle,  \tag{24}\\
& \left(v_{c}^{(2)}\right)_{i k}=\frac{2 \pi i}{M} \sum_{s=1}^{N} \delta_{i_{1} k_{1}} \cdots \stackrel{s}{\Pi} \cdots \delta_{i_{N} k_{N}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \omega \delta_{+}^{2}(\omega) \\
& \times\left\langle i_{s}\right|\left[\mathbf{p}_{s}, v_{s}\right] G_{s}\left(\omega+\varepsilon_{i_{s}}\right)\left[\mathbf{p}_{s}, v_{s}\right]\left|k_{s}\right\rangle,  \tag{25}\\
& \left(v_{c}^{(\text {int })}\right)_{i k}=\frac{1}{M} \sum_{s<s^{\prime}} \delta_{i_{1} k_{1}} \cdots \stackrel{s}{\square} \cdots \stackrel{s^{\prime}}{\square} \cdots \delta_{i_{N} k_{N}} \\
& \times \sum_{P}(-1)^{P}\left\langle P i_{s} P i_{s^{\prime}}\right| \mathbf{p}_{s} \mathbf{p}_{s^{\prime}}\left|k_{s} k_{s^{\prime}}\right\rangle, \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|i_{s}\right\rangle$ and $\left|k_{s}\right\rangle$ are the one-electron unperturbed states of the Dirac electron in the Coulomb field of the nucleus, belonging to the N-electron states
$i$ and $k$, respectively; $\mathbf{p}$ is the momentum operator, $v_{s} \equiv V_{c}\left(r_{s}\right)=-\frac{\alpha Z}{r_{s}}$; the symbol $\stackrel{s}{\square}$ means that the factor $\delta_{i_{s} k_{s}}$ is omitted in the product; $\delta_{+}(\omega)=$ $\frac{i}{2 \pi}(\omega+i 0)^{-1}, G(\omega)=(\omega-H(1-i 0))^{-1}$ is the relativistic Coulomb Green function. ( Formally, the matrix element in equation (25) at fixed $\omega$ is infinite, due to the strong Coulomb singularity at $r=0$. It means that the integration over $\omega$ must be carried out on an intermediate stage of the calculation, depending on which representation of $G$ is used.) The contribution from the diagrams with one transverse and arbitrary number of Coulomb photons consists of two terms. The first term depends on the spin of the nucleus and coincides with the Fermi-Breit expression for the hyperfine interaction [23]. The second term is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(v_{t r(1)}\right)_{i k}= & \left(v_{t r(1)}^{(1)}\right)_{i k}+\left(v_{t r(1)}^{(2)}\right)_{i k}+\left(v_{t r(1)}^{(i n t)}\right)_{i k},  \tag{27}\\
\left(v_{t r(1)}^{(1)}\right)_{i k}= & -\frac{1}{2 M} \sum_{s=1}^{N} \delta_{i_{1} k_{1}} \cdots{ }^{s} \cdots \delta_{i_{N} k_{N}} \\
& \times\left\langle i_{s}\right|\left(\mathbf{D}_{s}(0) \mathbf{p}_{s}+\mathbf{p}_{s} \mathbf{D}_{s}(0)\right)\left|k_{s}\right\rangle,  \tag{28}\\
\left(v_{t r(1)}^{(2)}\right)_{i k}= & -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{s=1}^{N} \delta_{i_{1} k_{1}} \cdots \stackrel{s}{\Pi} \cdots \delta_{i_{N} k_{N}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \omega \delta_{+}(\omega) \\
& \times\left\langle i_{s}\right|\left(\left[\mathbf{p}_{s}, v_{s}\right] G_{s}\left(\omega+\varepsilon_{i_{s}}\right) \mathbf{D}_{s}(\omega)\right. \\
& \left.-\mathbf{D}_{s}(\omega) G_{s}\left(\omega+\varepsilon_{i_{s}}\right)\left[\mathbf{p}_{s}, v_{s}\right]\right)\left|k_{s}\right\rangle,  \tag{29}\\
\left(v_{t r(1)}^{(i n t)}\right)_{i k}= & -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{s<s^{\prime}} \delta_{i_{1} k_{1}} \cdots \stackrel{s}{\Pi} \cdots \stackrel{s}{n}^{\prime} \cdots \delta_{i_{N} k_{N}} \\
& \times \sum_{P}(-1)^{P}\left\langle P i_{s} P i_{s^{\prime}}\right|\left(\mathbf{D}_{s}\left(\varepsilon_{P i_{s}}-\varepsilon_{k_{s}}\right) \mathbf{p}_{s^{\prime}}\right. \\
& \left.+\mathbf{p}_{s} \mathbf{D}_{s^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon_{P i_{s^{\prime}}}-\varepsilon_{k_{s^{\prime}}}\right)\right)\left|k_{s} k_{s^{\prime}}\right\rangle, \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{m}(\omega)=-4 \pi \alpha Z \alpha_{l} D_{l m}(\omega), \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\alpha_{l}(l=1,2,3)$ are the Dirac matrices, $D_{l m}(\omega)$ is the transverse part of the photon propagator in the Coulomb gauge. In the coordinate representation it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i k}(\omega, \mathbf{r})=-\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left\{\frac{\exp (i|\omega| r)}{r} \delta_{i k}+\nabla_{i} \nabla_{k} \frac{(\exp (i|\omega| r)-1)}{\omega^{2} r}\right\} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contribution from the diagrams with two transverse and arbitrary number of Coulomb photons is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(v_{t r(2)}\right)_{i k}= & \left(v_{t r(2)}^{(1)}\right)_{i k}+\left(v_{t r(2)}^{(i n t)}\right)_{i k},  \tag{33}\\
\left(v_{t r(2)}^{(1)}\right)_{i k}= & \frac{i}{2 \pi M} \sum_{s=1}^{N} \delta_{i_{1} k_{1}} \cdots \Pi^{s} \cdots \delta_{i_{N} k_{N}} \\
& \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \omega\left\langle i_{s}\right| \mathbf{D}_{s}(\omega) G_{s}\left(\omega+\varepsilon_{i_{s}}\right) \mathbf{D}_{s}(\omega)\left|k_{s}\right\rangle  \tag{34}\\
\left(v_{t r(2)}^{(i n t)}\right)_{i k}= & \frac{1}{M} \sum_{s<s^{\prime}} \delta_{i_{1} k_{1}} \cdots \Pi^{s} \cdots \stackrel{s^{\prime}}{\Pi} \cdots \delta_{i_{N} k_{N}} \\
& \times \sum_{P}(-1)^{P}\left\langle P i_{s} P i_{s^{\prime}}\right| \mathbf{D}_{s}\left(\varepsilon_{P i_{s}}-\varepsilon_{k_{s}}\right) \\
& \times \mathbf{D}_{s^{\prime}}\left(\varepsilon_{P i_{s^{\prime}}}-\varepsilon_{k_{s^{\prime}}}\right)\left|k_{s} k_{s^{\prime}}\right\rangle . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

The formulas (23)-(35) were derived in [16]. The corresponding formulas for the case of a one-electron atom were first obtained in [14] (the overall sign of the contribution $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}$ was corrected in $\left.[15,16]\right)$ and recently reproduced in $[24,10]$.

The contributions $v_{c}^{(1)}, v_{c}^{(\text {int })}, v_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(1)}$, and $v_{t r(1)}^{(\text {int })}$ are leading for low $\alpha Z$ and completely define the nuclear recoil corrections within $\frac{m^{2}}{M}(\alpha Z)^{4}$ approximation. It follows that within $\frac{m^{2}}{M}(\alpha Z)^{4}$ approximation the nuclear recoil corrections can be obtained by evaluating the expectation values with the Dirac wavefunctions of the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{M}=\frac{1}{2 M} \sum_{s, s^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{s} \mathbf{p}_{s^{\prime}}-\frac{\alpha Z}{r_{s}}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{s}+\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{s} \mathbf{r}_{s}\right) \mathbf{r}_{s}}{r_{s}^{2}}\right) \mathbf{p}_{s^{\prime}}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [25] the relativistic nuclear recoil corrections of order $\frac{m^{2}}{M}(\alpha Z)^{4}$ to the energy levels of two- and three-electron multicharged ions were calculated using this operator. The expression (36) can be found by reformulating the Stone's theory as well [26].

## 3 Hydrogen-like atoms

For hydrogen-like atoms the nuclear recoil corrections to the energy of a state $a$ are defined by the diagonal matrix elements $\left(\Delta E=(v)_{a a}\right)$ of the
one-electrons contributions (24),(25),(28),(29), and (34). The terms $\Delta E_{c}^{(1)}$ and $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(1)}$ are leading at low $Z$. These terms can easily be calculated by using the virial relations for the Dirac equation [27-29]. Such a calculation gives [14]

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta E_{c}^{(1)}= & \frac{m^{2}}{2 M}\left\{1-\frac{\left(\gamma+n_{r}\right)^{2}}{N^{2}}+\frac{2(\alpha Z)^{4}}{N^{4} \gamma\left(4 \gamma^{2}-1\right)}\left[\kappa\left(2 \kappa\left(\gamma+n_{r}\right)-N\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+n_{r}\left(4 \gamma^{2}-1\right)\right]\right\},  \tag{37}\\
\Delta E_{t r(1)}^{(1)}= & -\frac{m^{2}}{M} \frac{(\alpha Z)^{4}}{N^{4} \gamma\left(4 \gamma^{2}-1\right)}\left[\kappa\left(2 \kappa\left(\gamma+n_{r}\right)-N\right)+n_{r}\left(4 \gamma^{2}-1\right)\right],  \tag{38}\\
\Delta E^{(1)} \equiv & \Delta E_{c}^{(1)}+\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(1)}=\frac{m^{2}-\varepsilon_{a}^{2}}{2 M}=\frac{m^{2}}{M} \frac{(\alpha Z)^{2}}{2 N^{2}}, \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa=(-1)^{j+l+\frac{1}{2}}\left(j+\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \gamma=\sqrt{\kappa^{2}-(\alpha Z)^{2}}, \\
N=\sqrt{n^{2}-2 n_{r}(|\kappa|-\gamma)}, \quad n=n_{r}+|\kappa|
\end{gathered}
$$

$j$ is the total electron moment, $l$ is the orbital moment, $n$ is the principal quantum number, $n_{r}$ is the radial quantum number. Only these terms contribute within the $\frac{m^{2}}{M}(\alpha Z)^{4}$ approximation. Expanding (39) in power series in $\alpha Z$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta E^{(1)}= & \frac{m^{2}}{M}\left\{\frac{(\alpha Z)^{2}}{2 n^{2}}+\frac{(\alpha Z)^{4}}{2 n^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{j+\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{(\alpha Z)^{6} n_{r}}{2 n^{4}\left(j+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{4\left(j+\frac{1}{2}\right)}+\frac{n_{r}}{n^{2}}\right)+\cdots\right\} \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

The terms $\Delta E_{c}^{(2)}, \Delta E_{t r(1)}^{(2)}$, and $\Delta E_{t r(2)}^{(1)}$ ( the equations (25),(29), and (34) ) are given in the form that allows one to use the relativistic Coulomb Green function for their calculations. In addition, this form is convenient for $\alpha Z$-expansion calculations [10]. However, in the present paper we transform these equations to ones that are most convenient for calculations using the finite basis set methods [30-32].

Integrating over $\omega$ in (25) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E_{c}^{(2)}=-\frac{1}{M} \sum_{\varepsilon_{n}<0}\langle a| \mathbf{p}|n\rangle\langle n| \mathbf{p}|a\rangle . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

(It should be noted here that the formula (41) was first found in [13]. Its derivation was refined in [14]. A similar formula but with the projector on the negative energy states of a free electron was obtained in the lowest order in $\alpha Z$ in [12].) The matrix elements of the momentum operator are easily calculated using the identity [25]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}=\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\alpha} H+H \boldsymbol{\alpha})-\boldsymbol{\alpha} V_{c} . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rotating in (29) the integration contour in the complex $\omega$ plane we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(2)}= & \Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(2, a)}+\Delta E_{t r(1)}^{(2, b)}+\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(2, c)},  \tag{43}\\
\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(2, a)}= & \frac{1}{2 M} \sum_{\varepsilon_{n} \neq \varepsilon_{a}}\{\langle a| \mathbf{p}|n\rangle\langle n| \mathbf{D}(0)|a\rangle \\
& +\langle a| \mathbf{D}(0)|n\rangle\langle n| \mathbf{p}|a\rangle\},  \tag{44}\\
\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(2, b)}= & \frac{2}{\pi M} \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{\infty} d y \sum_{\varepsilon_{n} \neq \varepsilon_{a}} \frac{\varepsilon_{a}-\varepsilon_{n}}{y^{2}+\left(\varepsilon_{a}-\varepsilon_{n}\right)^{2}}\langle a| \mathbf{p}|n\rangle\langle n| \mathbf{S}(y)|a\rangle,  \tag{45}\\
\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(2, c)}= & -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{\left|\varepsilon_{n}\right|<\varepsilon_{a}}\left\{\langle a| \mathbf{p}|n\rangle\langle n| \mathbf{D}\left(\varepsilon_{a}-\varepsilon_{n}\right)|a\rangle\right. \\
& \left.+\langle a| \mathbf{D}\left(\varepsilon_{a}-\varepsilon_{n}\right)|n\rangle\langle n| \mathbf{p}|a\rangle\right\}, \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{S}(y)=\mathbf{S}_{1}(y)+\mathbf{S}_{2}(y), \\
\mathbf{S}_{1}(y)=\alpha Z \alpha \frac{\exp (-y r)}{r}, \\
\mathbf{S}_{2}(y)=i \alpha Z[H, \tilde{f}(y, r) \mathbf{n}], \\
\tilde{f}(y, r)=\frac{\exp (-y r)(1+y r)-1}{y^{2} r^{2}}, \\
\mathbf{D}(\omega)=\mathbf{D}_{1}(\omega)+\mathbf{D}_{2}(\omega), \\
\mathbf{D}_{1}(\omega)=\alpha Z \boldsymbol{\alpha} \frac{\exp (i|\omega| r)}{r}, \\
\mathbf{D}_{2}(\omega)=i \alpha Z[H, f(\omega, r) \mathbf{n}], \\
f(\omega, r)=\frac{1-\exp (i|\omega| r)(1-i|\omega| r)}{\omega^{2} r^{2}},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\mathbf{D}(0)=\alpha Z \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}{r}-\frac{i \alpha Z}{2}[H, \mathbf{n}],
$$

$\mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{r}}$. The term $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(2, c)}$ has real and imaginary parts. The imaginary part gives a small correction to the width of the level. Integrating over $y$ in (45) and uniting the contributions $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(2, a)}, \Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(2, b)}$, and the real part of $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(2, c)}$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(2)}= & \frac{2 \alpha Z}{\pi M} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\varepsilon_{n} \neq \varepsilon_{a}}\left(\varepsilon_{n}-\varepsilon_{a}\right)\langle a| i \boldsymbol{\alpha} \phi(r)|n\rangle \\
& \times\langle n|\left[i \boldsymbol{\alpha} \Phi_{1}(r)+\mathbf{n} \Phi_{2}(r)\right]|a\rangle \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi= & \frac{\varepsilon_{a}+\varepsilon_{n}}{2}+\frac{\alpha Z}{r},  \tag{48}\\
\Phi_{1}(r)= & \frac{1}{\Delta_{n} r}\left[\operatorname{ci}\left(\Delta_{n} r\right) \sin \left(\Delta_{n} r\right)-\operatorname{si}\left(\Delta_{n} r\right) \cos \left(\Delta_{n} r\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\operatorname{sign}\left(\varepsilon_{a}-\varepsilon_{n}\right) \frac{\pi}{2}\right]-\theta\left(\varepsilon_{a}-\left|\varepsilon_{n}\right|\right) \frac{\pi}{\Delta_{n}} \frac{\exp \left(i \Delta_{n} r\right)}{r},  \tag{49}\\
\Phi_{2}(r)= & -\operatorname{sign}\left(\varepsilon_{a}-\varepsilon_{n}\right) \frac{1}{\left(\Delta_{n} r\right)^{2}}\left\{-\operatorname{si}\left(\Delta_{n} r\right) \cos \left(\Delta_{n} r\right)-\frac{\pi}{2}+\Delta_{n} r\right. \\
& +\operatorname{ci}\left(\Delta_{n} r\right)\left[\sin \left(\Delta_{n} r\right)-\left(\Delta_{n} r\right) \cos \left(\Delta_{n} r\right)\right] \\
& \left.-\left(\Delta_{n} r\right) \operatorname{si}\left(\Delta_{n} r\right) \sin \left(\Delta_{n} r\right)\right\}-\frac{\pi}{4}-\theta\left(\varepsilon_{a}-\left|\varepsilon_{n}\right|\right) \pi f\left(\Delta_{n}, r\right), \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

$\Delta_{n}=\left|\varepsilon_{a}-\varepsilon_{n}\right|, \theta(x)=(x+|x|) / 2 x$.
The contribution $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}$ is equal

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta E_{t r(2)}^{(1)} & =\Delta E_{t r(2)}^{(1, a)}+\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}^{(1, b)}+\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}^{(1, c)},  \tag{51}\\
\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}^{(1, a)} & =-\frac{1}{\pi M} \int_{0}^{\infty} d y \sum_{\varepsilon_{n} \neq \varepsilon_{a}} \frac{\varepsilon_{a}-\varepsilon_{n}}{y^{2}+\left(\varepsilon_{a}-\varepsilon_{n}\right)^{2}}\langle a| \mathbf{S}(y)|n\rangle\langle n| \mathbf{S}(y)|a\rangle,  \tag{52}\\
\Delta E_{t r(2)}^{(1, b)} & =\frac{1}{2 M} \sum_{\varepsilon_{n}=\varepsilon_{a}}\langle a| \mathbf{D}(0)|n\rangle\langle n| \mathbf{D}(0)|a\rangle  \tag{53}\\
\Delta E_{t r(2)}^{(1, c)} & =\frac{1}{M} \sum_{\left|\varepsilon_{n}\right|\left\langle\varepsilon_{a}\right.}\langle a| \mathbf{D}\left(\varepsilon_{a}-\varepsilon_{n}\right)|n\rangle\langle n| \mathbf{D}\left(\varepsilon_{a}-\varepsilon_{n}\right)|a\rangle \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

The term $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}^{(1, c)}$, like $\Delta E_{t r(1)}^{(2, c)}$, has an imaginary part which gives a small contribution to the width of the level.

After integration over angles that is easily carried out using formulas presented in Appendix, the calculation of the expressions (41), (47), and (52)-(54) was done using the B-spline method for the Dirac equation, developed in [31]. The zero boundary conditions and the grid selection algorithm proposed in [33] were used. However, we used the grid $r_{i}=\frac{\rho_{i}^{4} \gamma_{0}}{Z}$, where $\gamma_{0}=\sqrt{1-(\alpha Z)^{2}}$, instead of the grid $r_{i}=\frac{\rho_{i}^{4}}{Z}$ [33]. The radial integration caused no problems and was carried out with high accuracy using the GaussLegendre quadratures. The integration over $y$ in (52) was also done by the Gauss-Legendre quadratures with a suitable transformation to map the infinite integration range to a finite one. The uncertainty of the integration was estimated from the stability of the result with respect to change of the number of integration points and the grid parameters and was found to be much smaller than the uncertainty due to the finiteness of the basis set. The size of the box was chosen to be sufficiently large so as not to affect the results. The uncertainty, due to the finiteness of the basis set, was estimated by changing the number of splines from 40 to 90 . In addition, to make an independent estimate of the uncertainty of the numerical results we calculated the corrections $\Delta E_{c}^{(2)}$ and $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(2)}$ using two different representations for them. So, the correction $\Delta E_{c}^{(2)}$ was calculated by the formula (41) as well as by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E_{c}^{(2)}=-\frac{1}{M}\left\{\langle a| \mathbf{p}^{2}|a\rangle-\sum_{\varepsilon_{n}>0}\langle a| \mathbf{p}|n\rangle\langle n| \mathbf{p}|a\rangle\right\} . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

We found that the results of both calculations coincided with each other with good precision, and this coincidence improved when the number of splines increased. The correction $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(2)}$ was calculated by the equation (47) as well as by (43)-(45). The results of both calculations coincided with each other with high accuracy.

Table 1, 2 and 3 show the results of the numerical calculation for the 1 s , $2 s$, and $2 p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ states, respectively, expressed in terms of the function $P(\alpha Z)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E^{(2)}=\Delta E_{c}^{(2)}+\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(2)}+\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}^{(1)}=\frac{m}{M} \frac{(\alpha Z)^{5}}{\pi n^{3}} P(\alpha Z) m c^{2} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $P_{c}, P_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}$, and $P_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}$ correspond to the contributions $\Delta E_{c}^{(2)}$, $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(2)}$, and $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}^{(1)}$, respectively. For comparison, in the last columns of
the tables Salpeter's contributions [3-6]

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{S}^{(1 s)}(\alpha Z) & =-\frac{2}{3} \ln (\alpha Z)-\frac{8}{3} 2.984129+\frac{14}{3} \ln 2+\frac{62}{9}  \tag{57}\\
P_{S}^{(2 s)}(\alpha Z) & =-\frac{2}{3} \ln (\alpha Z)-\frac{8}{3} 2.811769+\frac{187}{18},  \tag{58}\\
P_{S}^{\left(2 p_{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} & =\frac{8}{3} 0.030017-\frac{7}{18} \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

are given. The uncertainties given in the tables correspond only to errors of the numerical calculation. In addition, there is an uncertainty due to deviation from the point single particle model of the nucleus, used here.

To make a more detailed comparison with the $\alpha Z$-expansion calculations we represent the functions $P_{c}, P_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}$, and $P_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}$ for the $s$ states in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{c}= & a_{1}+a_{2} \alpha Z+a_{3}(\alpha Z)^{2} \ln (\alpha Z)+a_{4}(\alpha Z)^{2}, \\
P_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}= & b_{1} \ln (\alpha Z)+b_{2}+b_{3} \alpha Z \ln (\alpha Z) \\
& +b_{4} \alpha Z+b_{5}(\alpha Z)^{2} \ln (\alpha Z)+b_{6}(\alpha Z)^{2}+b_{7}(\alpha Z)^{3}, \\
P_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}= & c_{1} \ln (\alpha Z)+c_{2}+c_{3} \alpha Z \ln (\alpha Z) \\
& +c_{4} \alpha Z+c_{5}(\alpha Z)^{2} \ln (\alpha Z)+c_{6}(\alpha Z)^{2}+c_{7}(\alpha Z)^{3} . \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients $a_{i}, b_{i}$, and $c_{i}$ can be calculated from our numerical results for the $P(\alpha Z)$-functions. Such a calculation for the $2 s$ state using the values of the $P(\alpha Z)$-functions for $Z=1,2,3,5,8,15,30$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{1} & =-1.3333, \quad a_{2}=3.156 \\
b_{1} & =-2.6662, \quad b_{2}=-0.091, \quad b_{3}=-6.02, \quad b_{4}=-9.98 \\
c_{1} & =2.0031, \quad c_{2}=4.338, \quad c_{3}=6.46, \quad c_{4}=5.92 \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients $a_{1}, b_{1,2}$, and $c_{1,2}$ are in good agreement with Salpeter's results

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{1} & =-1.3333 \quad b_{1}=-2.6666, \quad b_{2}=-0.094 \\
c_{1} & =2.0000, \quad c_{2}=4.318 \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

Within errors of the numerical procedure our values $b_{3}, c_{3}$, are in good agreement with the analytical result of $[8,9]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{3}=-c_{3}=-2 \pi=-6.2832, \quad b_{3}+c_{3}=0 \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the coefficient $b_{3}$ was first found in [7]). The coefficient $a_{2}$ coincides, within the numerical errors, with the corresponding coefficient ( $a_{2}=\pi=3.1459$ ) obtained in [7]. The coefficients $b_{4}$ and $c_{4}$ are in satisfactory agreement with the results of [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{4}=-10.996, \quad c_{4}=5.569 \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the 1 s state we have found a similar agreement.
To make a similar comparison for the $2 p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ state we represent the functions $P_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}$ and $P_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}$ for this state in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}= & b_{1}+b_{2} \alpha Z+b_{3}(\alpha Z)^{2} \ln (\alpha Z) \\
& +b_{4}(\alpha Z)^{2}+b_{5}(\alpha Z)^{3} \ln (\alpha Z)+b_{6}(\alpha Z)^{3}+b_{7}(\alpha Z)^{4}, \\
P_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}= & c_{1}+c_{2} \alpha Z+c_{3}(\alpha Z)^{2} \ln (\alpha Z) \\
& +c_{4}(\alpha Z)^{2}+c_{5}(\alpha Z)^{3} \ln (\alpha Z)+c_{6}(\alpha Z)^{3}+c_{7}(\alpha Z)^{4} . \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

Using our values of $P(\alpha Z)$ for $Z=1,2,3,5,8,15,30$ we have found

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1}=-0.142178, & b_{2}=-0.26166 \\
c_{1}=-0.166666, & c_{2}=1.30881 \tag{66}
\end{array}
$$

The coefficients $b_{1}$ and $c_{1}$ are in excellent agreement with the Salpeter's results: $b_{1}=-0.142178$ and $c_{1}=-0.166667$. Adding to the sum $b_{2}+c_{2}$ the corresponding coefficient from the equation (40), we find that the total coefficient of the $\frac{m^{2}}{M} \frac{(\alpha Z)^{6}}{n^{3} \pi}$ contribution for the $2 p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ state is 1.43985 . The related analytical result obtained in [11] is $\frac{11}{24} \pi=1.43990$.

The term $\Delta E^{(1)}$ does not contribute to the Lamb shift of hydrogen-like atoms. The contribution of the difference between $\Delta E^{(2)}$ and the Salpeter's correction to the Lamb shift $(n=2)$ of hydrogen is $-1.32(6) k H z$. The corresponding result for the ground state is $-7.1(9) \mathrm{kHz}$. These results are in good agreement with analytical calculations of the $\frac{m^{2}}{M}(\alpha Z)^{6}$ contributions [10,11]. So, according to [10] the total $\frac{m^{2}}{M}(\alpha Z)^{6}$ correction, including the related term from the equation (40), is -7.4 kHz and -0.77 kHz for the 1 s and $2 s$ states, respectively. The $\frac{m^{2}}{M}(\alpha Z)^{6}$ correction for the $2 p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ state, found in [11], is 0.58 kHz . (We note that in [11] the correction of order $\frac{m^{2}}{M}(\alpha)^{2}(\alpha Z)^{4}$ for $p$ states is also calculated.)

Let us consider the nuclear recoil corrections for hydrogen-like uranium. According to the formula (39) the first correction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E_{1 s}^{(1)}=0.26 \mathrm{eV}, \quad \Delta E_{2 s}^{(1)}=\Delta E_{2 p_{\frac{1}{2}}}^{(1)}=0.08 \mathrm{eV} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second correction defined by (56) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E_{1 s}^{(2)}=0.24 \mathrm{eV}, \quad \Delta E_{2 s}^{(2)}=0.05 \mathrm{eV}, \quad \Delta E_{2 p_{\frac{1}{2}}}^{(2)}=0.01 \mathrm{eV} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next section we use these results to find the total nuclear recoil contribution to the energy of the $2 p_{\frac{1}{2}}-2 s$ transition in lithium-like uranium.

## 4 High Z lithium-like atoms

The wavefunction of a high Z lithium-like atom with one electron over the closed $(1 s)^{2}$ shell in the zeroth approximation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3!}} \sum_{P}(-1)^{P} \psi_{1 s \dagger}(P 1) \psi_{1 s \downarrow}(P 2) \psi_{a}(P 3) . \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nuclear recoil correction for the lithium-like atom is the sum of the one- and two-electron corrections. The one-electron correction is obtained by summing all the one-electron contributions considered in the preceeding section over all the one-electron states that are occupied. According to (26), (30), and (35) the two-electron corrections for the state considered here are

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta E_{c}^{(i n t)}= & -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{\varepsilon_{n}=\varepsilon_{1 s}}\langle a| \mathbf{p}|n\rangle\langle n| \mathbf{p}|a\rangle  \tag{70}\\
\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(\text {int })}= & \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\varepsilon_{n}=\varepsilon_{1 s}}\left\{\langle a| \mathbf{p}|n\rangle\langle n| \mathbf{D}\left(\varepsilon_{a}-\varepsilon_{n}\right)|a\rangle\right.  \tag{71}\\
& \left.+\langle a| \mathbf{D}\left(\varepsilon_{a}-\varepsilon_{n}\right)|n\rangle\langle n| \mathbf{p}|a\rangle\right\} \\
\Delta E_{t r(2)}^{(i n t)}= & -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{\varepsilon_{n}=\varepsilon_{1 s}}\langle a| \mathbf{D}\left(\varepsilon_{a}-\varepsilon_{n}\right)|n\rangle\langle n| \mathbf{D}\left(\varepsilon_{a}-\varepsilon_{n}\right)|a\rangle . \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

The terms $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(i n t)}$ and $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}^{(i n t)}$ have real and imagine parts and are cancelled by a part of the one-electron terms $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(2, c)}$ and $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}^{(1, c)}$, which corresponds
to the $1 s$ states. So, for the $(1 s)^{2} 2 s$ and $(1 s)^{2} 2 p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ states the imagine parts of the one- and two-electron contributions are completely cancelled.

We note here that the nuclear recoil corrections for a high $Z$ lithium-like atom with one electron over the closed $(1 s)^{2}$ shell can be obtained from the nuclear recoil corrections for the hydrogen-like atom by changing the sign of $i 0$ in the denominators of the electron propagator in the Coulomb field of the nucleus, corresponding to the states of the closed shell. It follows, in particular, the sum of the one- and two-electron Coulomb contributions can be represented in a simple form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\Delta E_{c}=\left.\frac{1}{2 M}\left\{\sum_{\left.\varepsilon_{n}\right\rangle \varepsilon_{1 s}}|\langle a| \mathbf{p}| n\right\rangle\right|^{2}-\sum_{\varepsilon_{n} \leq \varepsilon_{1 s}}|\langle a| \mathbf{p}| n\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}\right\} . \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

The table 4 shows the results of the calculation of the corrections (70), (71), and (72) for the $(1 s)^{2} 2 p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ state (for the $(1 s)^{2} 2 s$ states these corrections are equal to zero), expressed in terms of the function $Q(\alpha Z)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E^{i n t} \equiv \Delta E_{c}^{(i n t)}+\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(i n t)}+\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}^{(i n t)}=-\frac{2^{9}}{3^{8}} \frac{m^{2}}{M}(\alpha Z)^{2} Q(\alpha Z) \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have taken into account the known non-relativistic limit of this correction [34]. Within the $\frac{m^{2}}{M}(\alpha Z)^{4}$ approximation the function $Q(\alpha Z)$ that we denote by $Q_{L}(\alpha Z)$ is [25]

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{L}(\alpha Z)=1+(\alpha Z)^{2}\left(-\frac{29}{48}+\ln \frac{9}{8}\right) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

For comparison, this function is given in the table as well. The functions $Q_{c}(\alpha Z), Q_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}(\alpha Z)$, and $Q_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}(\alpha Z)$ correspond to the corrections $\Delta E_{c}^{(i n t)}$, $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}^{(i n t)}$, and $\Delta E_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}^{(i n t)}$, respectively. In leading orders in $\alpha Z$ they are

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{c}(\alpha Z) & =1+(\alpha Z)^{2}\left(\frac{55}{48}+\ln \frac{9}{8}\right)  \tag{76}\\
Q_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}(\alpha Z) & =-\frac{7}{4}(\alpha Z)^{2}  \tag{77}\\
Q_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}(\alpha Z) & =\frac{49}{64}(\alpha Z)^{4} . \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

For low $Z$, in addition to the corrections considered here, the Coulomb electron-electron interaction corrections to the non-relativistic nuclear recoil
contribution must be calculated separately. The main contribution from these corrections is of order $\frac{1}{Z}(\alpha Z)^{2} \frac{m^{2}}{M}$.

Sometimes, to estimate the nuclear recoil corrections for high $Z$ the nonrelativistic nuclear recoil operator is averaged with the Dirac wavefunctions. But, as one can see from the formulas (75)-(77) and the table 4, like the one electron case (see the formulas (37)-(40)), this contribution is considerably cancelled by the one-transverse-photon contribution.

According to [35] the experimental value of the energy of the $(1 s)^{2} 2 p_{\frac{1}{2}}-$ $(1 s)^{2} 2 s$ transition in lithium-like uranium is $280.59(10) \mathrm{eV}$. Let us find the total nuclear recoil contribution to the energy of this transition. According to our calculation the term $\Delta E^{\text {int }}$ is -0.03 eV . Adding to this value the one-electron contribution defined by (68) we find

$$
\Delta E_{(1 s)^{2} 2 p_{\frac{1}{2}}}-\Delta E_{(1 s)^{2} 2 s}=-0.07 \mathrm{eV} .
$$

This correction, largely made up of the QED contributions, is comparable with the uncertainty of the experimental value and, hence, will be important for comparison of theory with experiment, when calculations of all diagrams in the second order in $\alpha$ are completed.
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## Appendix

The integration over angles in the expressions considered here is carried out using the formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{m_{2}}\left\langle n_{1} j_{1} l_{1} m_{1}\right| \mathbf{A}\left|n_{2} j_{2} l_{2} m_{2}\right\rangle\left\langle n_{2} j_{2} l_{2} m_{2}\right| \mathbf{B}\left|n_{1} j_{1} l_{1} m_{1}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=(-1)^{j_{1}+j_{2}-2 m_{1}} \frac{1}{2 j_{1}+1}\left(n_{1} j_{1} l_{1} \| A^{1}| | n_{2} j_{2} l_{2}\right)\left(n_{2} j_{2} l_{2} \| B^{1}| | n_{1} j_{1} l_{1}\right) \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(n_{1} j_{1} l_{1}\left\|A^{1}\right\| n_{2} j_{2} l_{2}\right), \quad\left(n_{2} j_{2} l_{2}\left\|B^{1}\right\| n_{1} j_{1} l_{1}\right)$ are the reduced matrix elements [36]. For $\mathbf{A}=\boldsymbol{\alpha} \phi(r), \mathbf{n} \phi(r)$ one can find

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(n_{1} j_{1} l_{1}\|\boldsymbol{\alpha} \phi(r)\| n_{2} j_{2} l_{2}\right)=(-1)^{j_{1}-\frac{1}{2}} i \sqrt{6} \sqrt{2 j_{1}+1} \sqrt{2 j_{2}+1} \\
\times\left[(-1)^{l_{1}} \delta_{l_{1} l_{2}^{\prime}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
j_{1} j_{2} 1 \\
\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} l_{1}
\end{array}\right\} \int_{0}^{\infty} g_{n_{1} j_{1} l_{1}}(r) f_{n_{2} j_{2} l_{2}}(r) \phi(r) r^{2} d r\right. \\
\left.-(-1)^{l_{1}^{\prime}} \delta_{l_{1} l_{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
j_{1} j_{2} 1 \\
\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} \\
l_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{n_{1} j_{1} l_{1}}(r) g_{n_{2} j_{2} l_{2}}(r) \phi(r) r^{2} d r\right]  \tag{80}\\
\left(n_{1} j_{1} l_{1}\|\mathbf{n} \phi(r)\| n_{2} j_{2} l_{2}\right)= \\
(-1)^{j_{2}-\frac{1}{2}}\left[Z_{l_{1} l_{2}}^{j_{1} j_{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} g_{n_{1} j_{1} l_{1}}(r) g_{n_{2}, j_{2} l_{2}}(r) \phi(r) r^{2} d r\right.  \tag{81}\\
\\
\left.\quad+Z_{l_{1}^{\prime} l_{2}^{\prime}}^{j_{1} j_{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{n_{1} j_{1} l_{1}}(r) f_{n_{2} j_{2} l_{2}}(r) \phi(r) r^{2} d r\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
Z_{l_{1} l_{2}}^{j_{1} j_{2}}=\sqrt{\left(2 l_{1}+1\right)\left(2 l_{2}+1\right)\left(2 j_{1}+1\right)\left(2 j_{2}+1\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
l_{1} & 1  \tag{82}\\
1 & l_{2} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
j_{1} & 1 & j_{2} \\
l_{2} & \frac{1}{2} & l_{1}
\end{array}\right\},
$$

$l^{\prime}=2 j-l ; g_{n j l}(r)$ and $f_{n j l}(r)$ are the upper and lower radial components of the Dirac wavefunction [37]:

$$
\psi_{n j l m}(\mathbf{r})=\binom{g_{n j l}(r) \Omega_{j l m}(\mathbf{n})}{i f_{n j l}(r) \Omega_{j l^{\prime} m}(\mathbf{n})}
$$
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Table 1: The results of the numerical calculation of the one-electron nuclear recoil corrections to the 1 s state energy expressed in terms of the function $P(\alpha Z)$ defined by equation (56). $P_{S}(\alpha Z)$ is the Salpeter's contribution defined by equation (57).

| $Z$ | $P_{c}(\alpha Z)$ | $P_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}(\alpha Z)$ | $P_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}(\alpha Z)$ | $P(\alpha Z)$ | $P_{S}(\alpha Z)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $-1.3111(2)$ | $12.568(2)$ | $-5.8267(3)$ | $5.430(2)$ | 5.4461 |
| 5 | $-1.2345(1)$ | $8.5854(3)$ | $-3.0476(2)$ | $4.3033(4)$ | 4.3731 |
| 10 | -1.1586 | $6.9974(1)$ | -2.0438 | $3.7950(1)$ | 3.9110 |
| 15 | -1.0994 | $6.1340(1)$ | -1.5373 | $3.4973(1)$ | 3.6407 |
| 20 | -1.0537 | $5.5678(1)$ | -1.2201 | $3.2940(1)$ | 3.4489 |
| 25 | -1.0192 | $5.1671(1)$ | -0.9996 | $3.1483(1)$ | 3.3001 |
| 30 | -0.9946 | $4.8744(1)$ | -0.8362 | $3.0437(1)$ | 3.1786 |
| 35 | -0.9790 | $4.6598(1)$ | -0.7094 | $2.9714(1)$ | 3.0758 |
| 40 | -0.9721 | $4.5065(1)$ | -0.6076 | $2.9268(1)$ | 2.9868 |
| 45 | -0.9740 | $4.4048(1)$ | -0.5231 | $2.9077(1)$ | 2.9083 |
| 50 | -0.9849 | $4.3496(1)$ | -0.4510 | $2.9137(1)$ | 2.8380 |
| 55 | -1.0059 | $4.3389(1)$ | -0.3874 | $2.9456(1)$ | 2.7745 |
| 60 | -1.0383 | $4.3739(2)$ | -0.3295 | $3.0061(2)$ | 2.7165 |
| 65 | $-1.0845(1)$ | $4.4588(2)$ | -0.2746 | $3.0997(2)$ | 2.6631 |
| 70 | $-1.1479(2)$ | $4.6014(3)$ | -0.2201 | $3.2334(4)$ | 2.6137 |
| 75 | $-1.2339(3)$ | $4.8153(7)$ | -0.1631 | $3.4183(8)$ | 2.5677 |
| 80 | $-1.3506(5)$ | $5.122(1)$ | $-0.0996(1)$ | $3.672(1)$ | 2.5247 |
| 85 | $-1.512(1)$ | $5.558(4)$ | $-0.0237(2)$ | $4.022(4)$ | 2.4843 |
| 90 | $-1.741(3)$ | $6.186(7)$ | $0.0743(9)$ | $4.519(8)$ | 2.4462 |
| 92 | $-1.861(5)$ | $6.51(1)$ | $0.123(1)$ | $4.77(1)$ | 2.4315 |
| 95 | $-2.084(9)$ | $7.12(3)$ | $0.212(1)$ | $5.25(3)$ | 2.4101 |
| 100 | $-2.64(3)$ | $8.6(1)$ | $0.428(6)$ | $6.4(1)$ | 2.3759 |

Table 2: The results of the numerical calculation of the one-electron nuclear recoil corrections to the $2 s$ state energy expressed in terms of the function $P(\alpha Z)$ defined by equation (56). $P_{S}(\alpha Z)$ is the Salpeter's contribution defined by equation (58).

| $Z$ | $P_{c}(\alpha Z)$ | $P_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}(\alpha Z)$ | $P_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}(\alpha Z)$ | $P(\alpha Z)$ | $P_{S}(\alpha Z)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $-1.3112(2)$ | $13.177(1)$ | $-5.7103(3)$ | $6.155(1)$ | 6.1710 |
| 5 | $-1.2351(1)$ | $9.1911(2)$ | $-2.9225(1)$ | $5.0335(2)$ | 5.0980 |
| 10 | -1.1612 | $7.6075(1)$ | -1.9080 | $4.5383(1)$ | 4.6359 |
| 15 | -1.1055 | 6.7562 | -1.3908 | 4.2599 | 4.3656 |
| 20 | -1.0647 | 6.2093 | -1.0621 | 4.0825 | 4.1738 |
| 25 | -1.0367 | 5.8352 | -0.8294 | 3.9691 | 4.0251 |
| 30 | -1.0202 | 5.5767 | -0.6528 | 3.9037 | 3.9035 |
| 35 | -1.0147 | 5.4047 | -0.5115 | 3.8785 | 3.8008 |
| 40 | -1.0202 | 5.3037 | -0.3935 | 3.8900 | 3.7117 |
| 45 | -1.0372 | 5.2656 | -0.2908 | 3.9376 | 3.6332 |
| 50 | -1.0668 | $5.2876(1)$ | -0.1980 | $4.0228(1)$ | 3.5630 |
| 55 | -1.1108 | $5.3711(1)$ | -0.1105 | $4.1498(1)$ | 3.4994 |
| 60 | $-1.1723(1)$ | $5.5218(1)$ | -0.0247 | $4.3248(2)$ | 3.4414 |
| 65 | $-1.2554(1)$ | $5.7504(2)$ | 0.0634 | $4.5584(2)$ | 3.3881 |
| 70 | $-1.3668(2)$ | $6.0743(4)$ | $0.1581(1)$ | $4.8656(5)$ | 3.3387 |
| 75 | $-1.5164(4)$ | $6.5211(7)$ | $0.2651(1)$ | $5.2698(8)$ | 3.2927 |
| 80 | $-1.7199(7)$ | $7.135(2)$ | $0.3921(2)$ | $5.807(2)$ | 3.2496 |
| 85 | $-2.003(1)$ | $7.988(4)$ | $0.5516(4)$ | $6.537(4)$ | 3.2092 |
| 90 | $-2.413(4)$ | $9.205(8)$ | $0.7645(6)$ | $7.557(9)$ | 3.1711 |
| 92 | $-2.630(7)$ | $9.84(1)$ | $0.872(1)$ | $8.08(2)$ | 3.1565 |
| 95 | $-3.04(2)$ | $11.02(2)$ | $1.070(2)$ | $9.05(3)$ | 3.1351 |
| 100 | $-4.07(5)$ | $13.9(1)$ | $1.55(1)$ | $11.4(2)$ | 3.1009 |

Table 3: The results of the numerical calculation of the one-electron nuclear recoil corrections to the $2 p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ state energy expressed in terms of the function $P(\alpha Z)$ defined by equation (56). $P_{S}(\alpha Z)$ is the Salpeter's contribution defined by equation (59).

| $Z$ | $P_{c}(\alpha Z)$ | $P_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}(\alpha Z)$ | $P_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}(\alpha Z)$ | $P(\alpha Z)$ | $P_{S}(\alpha Z)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | -0.0000 | -0.1440 | -0.1571 | -0.3011 | -0.3088 |
| 5 | -0.0007 | -0.1492 | -0.1194 | -0.2692 | -0.3088 |
| 10 | -0.0024 | -0.1526 | -0.0727 | -0.2277 | -0.3088 |
| 15 | -0.0051 | -0.1535 | -0.0258 | -0.1845 | -0.3088 |
| 20 | -0.0088 | -0.1524 | 0.0218 | -0.1393 | -0.3088 |
| 25 | -0.0133 | -0.1493 | 0.0706 | -0.0920 | -0.3088 |
| 30 | -0.0189 | -0.1444 | 0.1212 | -0.0421 | -0.3088 |
| 35 | -0.0255 | -0.1375 | 0.1742 | 0.0112 | -0.3088 |
| 40 | -0.0335 | -0.1284 | 0.2304 | 0.0685 | -0.3088 |
| 45 | -0.0432 | -0.1165 | 0.2906 | 0.1310 | -0.3088 |
| 50 | -0.0548 | -0.1012 | 0.3560 | 0.2000 | -0.3088 |
| 55 | -0.0691 | -0.0814 | 0.4278 | 0.2774 | -0.3088 |
| 60 | -0.0868 | -0.0555 | 0.5078 | 0.3655 | -0.3088 |
| 65 | -0.1091 | -0.0211 | 0.5982 | 0.4680 | -0.3088 |
| 70 | -0.1376 | 0.0252 | 0.7018 | 0.5894 | -0.3088 |
| 75 | -0.1750 | $0.0891(1)$ | 0.8229 | $0.7370(1)$ | -0.3088 |
| 80 | $-0.2253(1)$ | $0.1796(1)$ | 0.9671 | $0.9214(2)$ | -0.3088 |
| 85 | $-0.2954(2)$ | $0.3123(3)$ | $1.1429(1)$ | $1.1598(4)$ | -0.3088 |
| 90 | $-0.3972(6)$ | $0.515(1)$ | $1.3632(1)$ | $1.481(1)$ | -0.3088 |
| 92 | $-0.451(1)$ | $0.626(1)$ | $1.468(2)$ | $1.643(3)$ | -0.3088 |
| 95 | $-0.554(2)$ | $0.842(3)$ | $1.649(3)$ | $1.937(5)$ | -0.3088 |
| 100 | $-0.816(9)$ | $1.41(1)$ | $2.040(3)$ | $2.63(2)$ | -0.3088 |

Table 4: The results of the numerical calculation of the two-electron nuclear recoil corrections $\Delta E^{(\text {int })}$ for the $(1 s)^{2} 2 p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ state of lithium-like ions expressed in terms of the function $Q(\alpha Z)$ defined by equation (74). $Q_{L}(\alpha Z)$ is the leading contribution defined by equation (75).

| $Z$ | $Q_{c}(\alpha Z)$ | $Q_{\operatorname{tr}(1)}(\alpha Z)$ | $Q_{\operatorname{tr}(2)}(\alpha Z)$ | $Q(\alpha Z)$ | $Q_{L}(\alpha Z)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 1.00168 | -0.00233 | 0.00000 | 0.99935 | 0.99935 |
| 10 | 1.00677 | -0.00938 | 0.00002 | 0.99741 | 0.99741 |
| 15 | 1.01533 | -0.02129 | 0.00011 | 0.99416 | 0.99417 |
| 20 | 1.02753 | -0.03830 | 0.00036 | 0.98959 | 0.98964 |
| 25 | 1.04359 | -0.06077 | 0.00088 | 0.98370 | 0.98381 |
| 30 | 1.06378 | -0.08920 | 0.00186 | 0.97645 | 0.97669 |
| 35 | 1.08851 | -0.12422 | 0.00353 | 0.96782 | 0.96827 |
| 40 | 1.11827 | -0.16669 | 0.00617 | 0.95776 | 0.95856 |
| 45 | 1.15370 | -0.21767 | 0.01019 | 0.94622 | 0.94755 |
| 50 | 1.19560 | -0.27853 | 0.01607 | 0.93313 | 0.93525 |
| 55 | 1.24500 | -0.35105 | 0.02447 | 0.91841 | 0.92165 |
| 60 | 1.30322 | -0.43751 | 0.03625 | 0.90195 | 0.90676 |
| 65 | 1.37198 | -0.54091 | 0.05254 | 0.88361 | 0.89057 |
| 70 | 1.45352 | -0.66521 | 0.07488 | 0.86320 | 0.87309 |
| 75 | 1.55087 | -0.81573 | 0.10538 | 0.84052 | 0.85431 |
| 80 | 1.66810 | -0.99980 | 0.14699 | 0.81529 | 0.83424 |
| 85 | 1.81092 | -1.22771 | 0.20395 | 0.78716 | 0.81287 |
| 90 | 1.98751 | -1.51431 | 0.28250 | 0.75570 | 0.79021 |
| 92 | 2.07014 | -1.65003 | 0.32196 | 0.74206 | 0.78078 |
| 95 | 2.21001 | -1.88186 | 0.39221 | 0.72035 | 0.76625 |
| 100 | 2.49719 | -2.36503 | 0.54826 | 0.68041 | 0.74099 |

