CERN-TH.7467/94 McGill/94–51

CORE

brought to you by 💥 🕻

Black Hole Solutions in String Theory

Ramzi R. Khuri[†] *CERN, Theory Division CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland* and *McGill University, Physics Department Montreal, PQ, H3A 2T8, Canada*

ABSTRACT

We present two-parameter solutions of the low-energy four-dimensional heterotic string which in the extremal limit reduce to supersymmetric monopole, string and domain wall solutions. The effective scalar coupling to the Maxwell field, $e^{-\alpha\phi}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$, gives rise to a new string black hole with $\alpha = \sqrt{3}$, in contrast to the pure dilaton black hole solution which has $\alpha = 1$. Implications of string/fivebrane duality in D = 10 to four-dimensional dualities are discussed.

In recent work¹, supersymmetric soliton solutions of the four-dimensional heterotic string were presented, describing monopoles, strings and domain walls. These solutions admit the D = 10 interpretation of a fivebrane wrapped around 5, 4 or 3 of the 6 compactified dimensions and are arguably exact to all orders in α' . In this talk, we extend all three solutions to two-parameter solutions of the low-energy equations of the four-dimensional heterotic string². The two-parameter solution extending the supersymmetric monopole corresponds to a magnetically charged black hole, while the solution extending the supersymmetric domain wall corresponds to a black membrane. By contrast, the two-parameter string solution does not possess a finite horizon and corresponds to a naked singularity.

All three solutions involve both the dilaton and the modulus fields, and are thus to be contrasted with pure dilaton solutions³. In particular, the effective scalar coupling to the Maxwell field, $e^{-\alpha\phi}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$, gives rise to a new string black hole with $\alpha = \sqrt{3}$, in contrast to the pure dilaton solution of the heterotic string which has $\alpha = 1$ ³. It thus resembles the black hole arising from Kaluza-Klein theories which also has $\alpha = \sqrt{3}$, and which reduces to the Pollard-Gross-Perry-Sorkin⁴ magnetic monopole in the extremal limit. The fact that the heterotic string admits $\alpha = \sqrt{3}$ black holes also has implications for string/fivebrane duality⁵. Both electric/magnetic duality and string/string duality in D = 4 may be seen as a consequence of string/fivebrane duality in D = 10.

We begin with the two-parameter black hole. Inspired by the wrapping of a

Based on talks given at MRST 94, McGill University, May 1994 and at Mini-workshop on Extended Objects, Newton Institute, March 1994 and condensed from work already published^{1,2}.

[†] Supported by a World Laboratory Fellowship.

fivebrane around five of the six compactified dimensions $(x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9)$, it was shown¹ that the tree-level effective action for the D = 10 heterotic string may be reduced to the following four-dimensional form

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\Phi - \sigma_1} \left(R + 4(\partial\Phi)^2 + 4\partial\sigma_1 \cdot \partial\Phi - \frac{1}{4} e^{2\sigma_1} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right),\tag{1}$$

where $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Here $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the string sigma-model metric and Φ is the dilaton. In the case of toroidal compactification, with N = 4 supersymmetry in D = 4, σ_1 is a modulus field, $g_{44} = e^{-2\sigma_1}$, and $F_{\mu\nu} = H_{\mu\nu4}$ where H = dB and B is the string antisymmetric tensor. However, actions of this type also appear in a large class of N = 1 supergravity theories⁶. The solution is given by²

$$e^{-2\Phi} = e^{2\sigma_1} = \left(1 - \frac{r_-}{r}\right),$$

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{r_+}{r}\right) \left(1 - \frac{r_-}{r}\right)^{-1} dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{r_+}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 \left(1 - \frac{r_-}{r}\right) d\Omega_2^2,$$

$$F_{\theta\varphi} = \sqrt{r_+ r_-} \sin \theta,$$
(2)

where here, and throughout this paper, we set the dilaton vev Φ_0 equal to zero. This represents a magnetically charged black hole with event horizon at $r = r_+$ and inner horizon at $r = r_-$. The magnetic charge and mass of the black hole are given by

$$g_{1} = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{2\kappa}} (r_{+}r_{-})^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{1} = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa^{2}} (2r_{+} - r_{-}).$$
 (3)

Changing coordinates via $y = r - r_{-}$ and taking the extremal limit $r_{+} = r_{-}$ yields:

$$e^{2\Phi} = e^{-2\sigma_1} = \left(1 + \frac{r_-}{y}\right),$$

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + e^{2\Phi} \left(dy^2 + y^2 d\Omega_2^2\right),$$

$$F_{\theta\varphi} = r_- \sin\theta,$$
(4)

which is just the tree-level supersymmetric monopole solution without a Yang-Mills field which saturates the Bogomol'nyi bound $\sqrt{2}\kappa \mathcal{M}_1 \geq g_1$. Note that the monopole arises in the gravitational sector of the string, as can be seen from an earlier solution found in purely bosonic string theory⁷. Supersymmetric extensions of this solution both with and without gauge fields were found in ⁸.

Next we derive a two-parameter string solution which, however, does not possess a finite event horizon and consequently cannot be interpreted as a black string. This is inspired by the wrapping of the fivebrane around four of the compactified dimensions (x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9) . The action is given by

$$S_{2} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\Phi - 2\sigma_{2}} \left(R + 4(\partial\Phi)^{2} + 8\partial\sigma_{2} \cdot \partial\Phi + 2(\partial\sigma_{2})^{2} - \frac{1}{2}e^{4\sigma_{2}}F_{\mu}F^{\mu} \right),$$
(5)

In the case of the torus, σ_2 is the modulus field $g_{44} = g_{55} = e^{-2\sigma_2}$ and $F_{\mu} = H_{\mu 45}$. A two-parameter family of solutions is now given by²

$$e^{2\Phi} = e^{-2\sigma_2} = (1 + k/2 - \lambda \ln y),$$

$$ds^2 = -(1 + k)dt^2 + (1 + k)^{-1}(1 + k/2 - \lambda \ln y)dy^2 + y^2(1 + k/2 - \lambda \ln y)d\theta^2 + dx_3^2,$$

$$F_{\theta} = \lambda \sqrt{1 + k},$$
(6)

where for k = 0 we recover the supersymmetric string soliton solution¹ which is dual to the elementary string solution of Dabholkar *et al*⁹. The solution shown in Eq.(6) in fact represents a naked singularity, since the event horizon is pushed out to $r_{+} = \infty$, which agrees with the Horowitz-Strominger "no-4*D*-black-string" theorem¹⁰.

Finally, we consider the two-parameter black membrane solution. In this case, we wrap the fivebrane around three of the compactified dimensions (x_7, x_8, x_9) . However, the four-dimensional action necessary to yield membrane solutions is not obtained by a simple dimensional reduction of the ten-dimensional action because of the non-vanishing of $F = H_{456}$. Instead, the effective action is obtained by treating F^2 as a cosmological constant and is given by

$$S_{3} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\Phi - 3\sigma_{3}} \left(R + 4(\partial\Phi)^{2} + 12\partial\sigma_{3} \cdot \partial\Phi + 6(\partial\sigma_{3})^{2} - e^{6\sigma_{3}}\frac{1}{2}F^{2} \right), \tag{7}$$

In the case of the torus, σ_3 is the modulus field $g_{44} = g_{55} = g_{66} = e^{-2\sigma_3}$. The twoparameter black membrane solution is then²

$$e^{-2\Phi} = e^{2\sigma_3} = \left(1 - \frac{r}{r_-}\right),$$

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{r}{r_+}\right) \left(1 - \frac{r}{r_-}\right)^{-1} dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{r}{r_+}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{r}{r_-}\right)^{-4} dr^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2,$$

$$F = -(r_+r_-)^{-1/2}.$$
(8)

This solution represents a black membrane with event horizon at $r = r_+$ and inner horizon at $r = r_-$. Changing coordinates via $y^{-1} = r^{-1} - r_-^{-1}$ and taking the extremal limit yields

$$e^{2\Phi} = e^{-2\sigma_3} = \left(1 + \frac{y}{r_-}\right),$$

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + e^{2\Phi}dy^2,$$

$$F = -\frac{1}{r_-}.$$
(9)

which is just the supersymmetric domain wall solution¹.

Consider the generic toroidal compactification of the heterotic string, where the four-dimensional theory is given by N = 4 supergravity coupled to 22 N = 4 vector multiplets. Then the Maxwell field $F_{\mu\nu}$ in D = 4 (or its dual $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$) and the scalar field ϕ come from the D = 10 3-form (or 7-form) and dilaton plus modulus field of the heterotic string (or heterotic fivebrane). Thus, the D = 4 electric/magnetic duality can be interpreted as a reduction of D = 10 string/fivebrane duality.

Another reduction of string/fivebrane duality is D = 4 string/string duality¹. The compactified heterotic string displays a target space duality O(6, 22, Z). It is also conjectured to display the strong/weak coupling SL(2, Z) S-duality relating the dilaton and the axion, which is certainly there in the field theory limit. The "duality of dualities" suggestion^{11,1} is that, under string/fivebrane duality, the roles of S and T dualities are interchanged. The picture that emerges is one in which the massive states of the string correspond to extreme black holes.

We have shown only that these two-parameter configurations are solutions of the field theory limit of the heterotic string. Although the extreme one-parameter solutions are expected to be exact to all orders in α' , the same reasoning does not carry over to the new two-parameter solutions. It would be also interesting to see whether the generalization of the one-parameter solutions to the two-parameter solutions can be carried out when we include the Yang-Mills coupling. This would necessarily involve giving up the self-duality condition on the Yang-Mills field strength, however, since the self-duality condition is tied to the extreme, $\sqrt{2}\kappa \mathcal{M}_{p+1} = g_{p+1}$, supersymmetric solutions. Finally, there is the question of whether these solutions are peculiar to the toroidal compactification or whether they survive in more realistic orbifold or Calabi-Yau models. Although the actions S_1 , S_2 and S_3 were originally derived in the context of the torus¹, they also appear in a large class of N = 1 supergravity theories.

References

- 1. M. J. Duff and R. R. Khuri, Nucl. Phys. B411 (1994) 473.
- M. J. Duff, R. R. Khuri, R. Minasian and J. Rahmfeld, Nucl. Phys. B418 (1994) 195.
- G. W. Gibbons, Nucl. Phys. B207 (1982) 337; G. W. Gibbons and K. Maeda, Nucl. Phys. B298 (1988) 741; D. Garfinkle, G. T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Phys Rev. D43 (1991) 3140; R. Kallosh, A. Linde, T. Ortin, A. Peet and A. Van Proeyen, Phys Rev. D46 (1992) 5278.
- D. Pollard, J. Phys. A16 (1983) 565; D. J. Gross and M. J. Perry, Nucl. Phys. B226 (1983) 29; R. D. Sorkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 (1983) 87.
- M. J. Duff, Class. Quantum Grav. 5 (1988) 189; A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. B343 (1990) 167; M. J. Duff and J. X. Lu, Nucl. Phys. B354 (1991) 129.
- E. Cremmer, S. Ferrara, L. Girardello and A. Van Proeyen, Nucl. Phys. B212 (1983) 413.
- 7. R. R. Khuri, *Phys. Lett.* **B259** (1991) 261.
- 8. R. R. Khuri, Phys. Lett. B294 (1992) 325; Nucl. Phys. B387 (1992) 315.
- A. Dabholkar, G. Gibbons, J. A. Harvey and F. R. Ruiz, *Nucl. Phys.* B340 (1990) 33.
- 10. G. T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. B360 (1991) 2930.
- J. H. Schwarz and A. Sen, *Phys. Lett.* B312 (1993) 105; P. Binétruy, *Phys. Lett.* B315 (1993) 80; A. Sen *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* A9 (1994) 3707.