

Università degli Studi di Salerno
DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE ECONOMICHE E STATISTICHE

Christian Di Pietro*
Elena L. del Mercato**

SEMINAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE THEORY
OF KNOWLEDGE AND TECHNOLOGICAL
CHANGE***

WORKING PAPER 3.188

*Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica (DMI), Università degli Studi di Salerno, 84084, Fisciano (SA), Italy. E-mail: cdipietr@unisa.it.

**Dipartimento di Scienze Economiche e Statistiche (DISES) and Centre for Studies in Economics and Finance (CSEF), Università degli Studi di Salerno, 84084 Fisciano (SA), Italy. E-mail: edmercat@unisa.it.

***This note has been realized through the financial grants of the research project "The New Industry" realized thanks to P. Persico, Director of Dipartimento di Scienze Economiche e Statistiche (DISES), University of Salerno. We wish to thank P. Persico for this opportunity.

Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	Endogenous Technological Change by Paul M. Romer	5
2.1	The final sector	6
2.2	The intermediate sector	7
2.3	The R & D sector	8
2.4	Growth implications	8
3	A Model of Growth Through Creative Destruction by Phillippe Aghion and Peter Howitt	9
3.1	The final sector	9
3.2	The intermediate sector	10
3.3	The R & D sector	11
3.4	Equilibrium and evolution implications	11
4	R & D–Based Models of Economic Growth by Charles I. Jones	12
4.1	The final sector	12
4.2	The intermediate sector	13
4.3	The R & D sector	14
4.4	Growth implications	15

1 Introduction

There is a large and growing literature on endogenous growth process arising from technological change determined by research and development (R & D). The evolution of the production of new knowledge and technology is the core of R & D-based models.

Recent and important contributions can be found in Romer (1986, 1990), Lucas (1988), Grossman and Helpman (1991), Aghion and Howitt (1992, 1998), Jones (1995), and Abdi and Joutz (2006).

The objective of this paper is to illustrate the models and the growth results given in the seminal papers of Romer (1990), Aghion and Howitt (1992), and Jones (1995). These contributions are based on the following model, namely *the decentralized model*. The economy has three production sectors: a competitive final sector described by a representative firm, an intermediate sector represented by a collection of monopoly firms, and the R & D sector.

The final sector produces one consumption good using labor and a list of goods as inputs. The intermediate sector purchases designs discovered by the R & D sector, and using the purchased designs, transforms capital into goods which are used as inputs in the final production sector. The R & D sector uses the existing stock of knowledge and the labor engaged in R & D to produce new knowledge. Knowledge is the accumulation of designs for the goods that are produced by the intermediate sector.

In Section 2 we present the model given in Romer (1990). In Section 3, we focus on the one presented in Aghion and Howitt (1992). Finally, in Section 4, we analyze the model of Jones. In all of the sections, first, we describe the final production sector, the intermediate sector, and the R & D sector. Second, we provide the corresponding growth implications.

2 Endogenous Technological Change by Paul M. Romer

The model follows the one presented in [18] with technological change. But, in this article, the growth of the economy arises from the behavior of profit-maximizing agents. Therefore, technological change is endogenous rather than exogenous. One of the main contributions of this paper is that, at equilibrium, the stock of human capital devoted to research determines the rate of growth of the economy. The result follows from the fact that the new knowledge depends in a linear way on the existing knowledge, when the amount of research labor is fixed. Under this assumption, "... The model also suggests that low levels of human capital may help explain why growth is not observed in underdeveloped economies ..." (Romer, 1990).

2.1 The final sector

In this article, knowledge or technology is separated in private and public technology. Both are goods for the economy.

Private knowledge or technology is a rival and excludable good, public knowledge or technology is a nonrival and nonexcludable good. A rival good has the property that its use by one firm precludes its use by another firm. A good is excludable if the owner can prevent others from using it.

The stock of public knowledge or technology is a subset of \mathbb{R} denoting the durable goods for which designs have been invented. Let $[0, A] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be the stock of public knowledge. A changes as new durable goods are invented. Durable goods are indexed by $i \in [0, A]$.

The final sector is represented by a single price-taking firm. The final sector produces one output Y . Y is a function of the following variables: L denotes the physical labor used for the production of Y ; H_Y is the private knowledge or technology which is represented by the human capital devoted to produce Y ; physical capital. The physical capital consists of distinct types of durable goods $x := \{x_i\}_{i \in [0, A]}$, where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ is the quantity of durable good i . The labor L is measured by counts of people engaged to manufacture the final output. The human capital H_Y is measured by years of education or training. The production function is given by

$$Y(H_Y, L, x) := H_Y^\alpha L^\beta \int_0^A x_i^{1-\alpha-\beta} di \quad (1)$$

where $0 < \alpha + \beta < 1$. This production function is an extension of the Cobb–Douglas production function. The difference from the usual Cobb–Douglas production function is that different types of capital goods are substitutes for each other.

Several simplifications are considered. The first is that the population and the supply of labor are both constant. The second is that the total stock of human capital is fixed and that the fraction supplied to the market is also fixed. Then, H_Y and L are fixed. Therefore, when the price of Y is normalized to unity in every period, the firm's profit maximization problem is the following one.

$$\max_x \int_0^A [H_Y^\alpha L^\beta x_i^{1-\alpha-\beta} - p_i x_i] di$$

differentiating with respect to x_i , we obtain that for each $i \in [0, A]$

$$p_i = (1 - \alpha - \beta) H_Y^\alpha L^\beta x_i^{-\alpha-\beta} \quad (2)$$

2.2 The intermediate sector

The sector that produces durable goods is not described by a representative firm. This sector is represented by a collection of monopoly firms. For each durable good $i \in [0, A]$, there is a distinct firm i . Firm i will be the only seller of durable good i . Before commencing the production process, firm i purchases a design for the durable good i from the R & D sector. Moreover, each firm i can convert η units of final output into one unit of durable good i .

If firm i manufactures x_i units of the durable good i , it rents this durable good to the final sector for a rental rate price p_i . The cost is interest cost r on the ηx_i units of output needed to manufacture x_i . Therefore, firm i maximizes its revenue minus variable cost at each period,

$$\max_{x_i} p_i x_i - r\eta x_i$$

Since firm i is the only seller of the durable good i , it will face a downward-sloping demand curve for its durable good generated in the final sector. Then, by (2) we have that firm i 's maximization problem becomes

$$\max_{x_i} (1 - \alpha - \beta) H_Y^\alpha L^\beta x_i^{1-\alpha-\beta} - r\eta x_i$$

Differentiating with respect to x_i , the resulting monopoly price is

$$p_i = \bar{p} := \frac{r\eta}{1 - \alpha - \beta} \quad (3)$$

for every i . Then, for each $i \in [0, A]$, x_i is associated to \bar{p} by equation (2) in the following way

$$x_i = \bar{x} := \left[\frac{H_Y^\alpha L^\beta}{r\eta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}} \quad (4)$$

Then, each firm sets the same price \bar{p} and sells the same quantity of its produced durable good \bar{x} . Since the total capital K is related to the durable goods that are used in the production final sector by the rule

$$K := \eta \int_0^A x_i di$$

then, (4) implies that

$$K = \eta \int_0^A \bar{x} di = \eta A \bar{x} \quad (5)$$

2.3 The R & D sector

One could assume that designs of new durable goods are produced by the same sector which produces durable goods. But, it seems economically reasonable to distinguish the research and development process as a separate firm which provides designs.

The R & D sector produces new knowledge or technology using human capital and the existing stock of public knowledge. Let $[0, A] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be the stock of public knowledge. The knowledge evolves according to

$$\dot{A} = \delta H_A A \quad (6)$$

where H_A is the human capital employed in the R & D sector and it is measured by years of education or training, and δ is a productivity parameter. Observe that the output of knowledge depends in a linear way on H_A and A .

One could weak the assumption on the linearity in H_A by considering, for instance, the case in which the new knowledge depends on different incomes for different participants in the research sector. Linearity in A implies that the total factor productivity growth

$$\frac{\dot{A}}{A} = \delta H_A$$

will be proportional to the amount of human capital devoted to R & D.

2.4 Growth implications

The strategy for characterizing the model is to solve for an equilibrium in which the growth rates of all variables (knowledge, total capital and final output) are constant, i.e., for an equilibrium in which the variables A , K and Y grow at a constant exponential rate. This is exactly the definition of *balanced growth equilibrium*. In according to Solow's model such an equilibrium exists if A grows at a constant exponential rate.

By equation (6) we know that

$$\frac{\dot{A}}{A} = \delta H_A$$

Since (5) implies $K = \eta \bar{x} A$, then we have that $\dot{K} = \eta \bar{x} \dot{A}$. Therefore, obviously one gets

$$\frac{\dot{K}}{K} = \frac{\dot{A}}{A} \quad (7)$$

Now, observe that from (1) and (5) we have that

$$Y = H_Y^\alpha L^\beta \eta^{(\alpha+\beta-1)} K^{(1-\alpha-\beta)} A^{\alpha+\beta}$$

Then, when H_Y and L are fixed, we get

$$\dot{Y} = H_Y^\alpha L^\beta \eta^{(\alpha+\beta-1)} \left[(1 - \alpha - \beta) K^{(-\alpha-\beta)} \dot{K} A^{\alpha+\beta} + (\alpha + \beta) K^{(1-\alpha-\beta)} \dot{A} A^{\alpha+\beta-1} \right]$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} = (1 - \alpha - \beta) \frac{\dot{K}}{K} + (\alpha + \beta) \frac{\dot{A}}{A}$$

Finally, from (7) we have that

$$\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} = \frac{\dot{K}}{K} = \frac{\dot{A}}{A}$$

3 A Model of Growth Through Creative Destruction by Phillippe Aghion and Peter Howitt

The model of endogenous growth studied in this paper is characterized by considering *vertical innovation* generated by a competitive R & D sector. Vertical innovation means that industrial innovation consists in improving the quality of existing produced goods. A firm can accumulate knowledge in many different ways, i.e., learning by doing, formal education, process innovations and others. In this paper, knowledge and technology increase improving the quality of existing produced goods. This model of economic growth is based on Schumpeter's process, whereas in Judd (1985), Romer (1990), and Jones (1995), the growth of the economy is based on *horizontal innovation*, in the sense that innovation means the creation of new goods.

3.1 The final sector

The final sector produces one consumption good using labor and one good produced by the intermediate sector. The final sector is represented by a single price-tacking firm and its production function is

$$Y(A, x) := AF(x)$$

where Y is the flow output of consumption good, x is the flow of intermediate good, A is a parameter of productivity of the intermediate input, and F is function such that $F' > 0$ and $F'' < 0$, i.e., F is strictly increasing and strictly concave.

Importantly, observe that the consumption good is produced using a fixed quantity of labor L_Y . For that reason, the above production function does not depend on the quantity of labor used in producing the final consumption good.

If the price of Y is normalized to unity in every period, the firm's maximization problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \max_x \quad & Y - px \\ \text{subject to} \quad & Y = AF(x) \end{aligned}$$

where p is the price (relative to the numeraire consumption good) of one unit of the intermediate good. Differentiating with respect to x , we obtain

$$p = AF'(x) \tag{8}$$

3.2 The intermediate sector

The sector that produces the intermediate good is represented by one monopoly firm.¹ Time is continuous. The subscript $t \in \mathbb{N}_0 := 0, 1, 2, \dots$ denotes the interval $[t, t+1[$. Let x_t be the flow of the intermediate good produced by the monopolist during interval t . For each interval t , the intermediate good is produced using labor through the following linear production technology,

$$x_t = L_{x_t}$$

where L_{x_t} is the quantity of labor devoted to produce x_t .

Each innovation consists of the invention of a new intermediate good used in producing the final consumption good. Each innovation might consist of a new generation of intermediate good. New intermediate good increases the productivity parameter A previously defined by a real number $\gamma > 1$ as follows

$$A_t = A_0 \gamma^t \tag{9}$$

where A_0 is the initial value.

Since the monopolist is the only seller of the intermediate good, it will face a downward-sloping demand curve for the intermediate good generated in the final sector. Then, at each interval t , the monopolist's objective is to maximize the flow of profit π_t defined by

$$\pi_t := [p_t - w_t] x_t$$

where

$$p_t = A_t F'(x_t)$$

is given by (8), taking as given A_t and the wage w_t of labor L_{x_t} . Therefore, at each interval t , the monopolist's maximization problem becomes

$$\max_{x_t} [A_t F'(x_t) - w_t] x_t \tag{10}$$

¹Observe that this simplifying assumption is relaxed in Section 8 of [2].

3.3 The R & D sector

Two categories of labor can be used in the research sector: skilled labor and specialized labor. The R & D sector produces innovations through a random process. The Poisson arrival rate of innovations in any interval t is given by

$$\lambda\phi(z, s) \quad (11)$$

where λ is a parameter, z is the flow of skilled labor, s is the flow of specialized labor, and ϕ is a constant-returns, concave production function from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R} . The parameter λ and the function ϕ are connected to the technology of research. Moreover, $\phi(0, s) = 0$.

The aim of the R & D sector is to choose, at each interval t , z and s to maximize the following flow of expected profits from research,

$$\lambda\phi(z, s)V_{t+1} - zw_t - sw_t^s \quad (12)$$

where V_{t+1} is the value of the $t+1$ -st innovation, w_t is the wage of skilled labor, and w_t^s is the wage of specialized labor.

For each t , denote (n_t, R_t) the solution of the above maximization problem. It can be shown that for each interval t , at equilibrium, $R_t = R$ where R is the total flow of specialized labor, and that the value V_{t+1} is given by

$$V_{t+1} = \frac{\pi_{t+1}}{r + \lambda\phi(n_{t+1}, R)} \quad (13)$$

where π_{t+1} is the flow of intermediate sector's profit and r is a parameter.

From the Kuhn-Tucker conditions associated with the maximization problem (12), it follows that

$$\phi'(n_t, R)\lambda V_{t+1} \leq w_t \text{ and } n_t \geq 0 \quad (14)$$

with at least one equality, where n_t is the flow of skilled labor used in research during interval t which solves problem (12). Observe that the function ϕ is increasing in the flow of skilled labor employed in the research sector, i.e., $\phi'(n_t, R) > 0$. By (13) and (14) we have that

$$\frac{\pi_{t+1}}{r + \lambda\phi(n_{t+1}, R)} \leq \frac{w_t}{\lambda\phi'(n_t, R)} \quad (15)$$

with at least one equality.

3.4 Equilibrium and evolution implications

An equilibrium is defined by a sequence $(x_t, n_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ such that, at each interval t , x_t solves the maximization problem (10), n_t satisfies equation (13), and the sum $x_t + n_t$ is constant, that is

$$x_t + n_t = N \quad (16)$$

for each interval t . It can be shown that, at equilibrium, condition (15) implies that the research employment at t is a function of the research employment at $t + 1$, that is

$$n_t = \psi(n_{t+1})$$

where ψ is a strictly decreasing function from $[0, N)$ to \mathbb{R}_+ .

It follows from the equilibrium condition (16) that at equilibrium x_t is univocally determined when n_t is known. Then, from now on we focus on two concepts of equilibrium that involve only $(n_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0}$.

A perfect foresight equilibrium (PFE) is defined as a sequence $(n_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ such that

$$n_t = \psi(n_{t+1})$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$. A stationary equilibrium corresponds to a PFE with n_t is constant. It is defined as the solution to

$$\hat{n} = \psi(\hat{n})$$

For a stationary equilibrium the flow of the consumption good produced by the final sector is given by

$$Y_t = A_t F(N - \hat{n}) \tag{17}$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By (9) the flow of the consumption good produced during interval $t + 1$ depends in a linear way of the flow of consumption good produced during interval t . Then, we obtain $Y_{t+1} = \gamma Y_t$.

4 R & D–Based Models of Economic Growth by Charles I. Jones

The model presented here is a modified version of the Romer (1990) model. Differently from Romer, the R & D–based growth is “semi-endogenous” in the sense that, at equilibrium, the growth of the economy is a function of parameters that are usually taken to be exogenous (including the growth rate of research labor). This key conclusion follows from the fact that the production of knowledge depends on parameters which measure the dependence of research productivity on the stock of existing knowledge and on the amount of research labor.

4.1 The final sector

The stock of knowledge is a subset of \mathbb{R} denoting the durable goods for which designs have been invented. Let $[0, A] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be the stock of knowledge. A changes as new durable goods are invented. From now on, let these durable goods be indexed by $i \in [0, A]$.

The final sector is represented by a single price-tacking firm. The final sector produces one output Y using as inputs $x := \{x_i\}_{i \in [0, A]}$, where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ is the quantity of durable good i , and labor L_Y . L_Y is measured by counts of people engaged to produce output Y . Specifically, output is produced according to the following production function,

$$Y(L_Y, x) := L_Y^\alpha \int_0^A x_i^{1-\alpha} di \quad (18)$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$. Observe that since invention of new designs corresponds to increase the stock of knowledge, then technology changes as knowledge increases.²

When the price of Y is normalized to unity in every period, the firm's profit maximization problem is the following one

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(L_Y, x)} \quad & Y - (wL_Y + \int_0^A p_i x_i di) \\ \text{subject to} \quad & Y = L_Y^\alpha \int_0^A x_i^{1-\alpha} di \end{aligned}$$

where w is the wage paid to labor in the final good sector and p_i is the rental price for the durable good i . Solving the above profit maximization problem, one deduces that

$$w = \alpha \frac{Y}{L_Y}$$

and

$$p_i = (1 - \alpha)L_Y^\alpha x_i^{-\alpha} \quad (19)$$

4.2 The intermediate sector

As in [13], the sector that produces durable goods is represented by a collection of monopoly firms, and for each durable good $i \in [0, A]$, there is a monopoly firm i .³ Differently from [13], it is assumed that the firm can transform each unit of capital into a single unit of the input and that the durable good produced can be transformed back into capital at the end of the period. Moreover, it is assumed that there is no depreciation for the durable goods.

Capital is rented at rate r for the period. If firm i produces x_i units of the durable good i , it rents this durable good to the final sector for a rental rate p_i . Then, the firm i solves the following maximization problem

$$\max_{x_i} p_i x_i - r x_i$$

²Note that this production function is in the spirit of [5].

³See Subsection 2.2.

Since firm i is the only seller of the durable good i , it will face a downward-sloping demand curve for its durable good generated in the final sector. Therefore, by (19) we obtain the following equations for price and quantity.

$$p_i = \bar{p} := \frac{r}{1 - \alpha}, \text{ for every } i$$

$$x_i = \bar{x} := \left[\frac{(1 - \alpha)L_Y^\alpha}{\bar{p}} \right]^{1/\alpha}, \text{ for every } i \quad (20)$$

Then, as in [13], each firm sets the same price and sells the same quantity of its durable good. Since the capital stock is

$$K := \int_0^A x_i di$$

then, (20) implies that

$$K = \int_0^A \bar{x} di = A\bar{x} \quad (21)$$

4.3 The R & D sector

The R & D sector generates new knowledge or technology. Knowledge is the accumulation of ideas and designs which are developed by people attempting to discover new ideas and designs.

Let $[0, A] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be the stock of knowledge in the economy. The change in knowledge is given by

$$\dot{A} = \tilde{\delta} L_A \quad (22)$$

where L_A is the number of people engaged to produce new knowledge and $\tilde{\delta}$ is the rate at which R & D generates new knowledge. In this paper the rate $\tilde{\delta}$ at which researchers discover new ideas depends on knowledge A in a non-linear way. Especially, it is analyzed the case in which

$$\tilde{\delta} := \delta A^\phi \quad (23)$$

where δ and ϕ are constant parameters. The parameter ϕ measures the degree of dependence of current research productivity on the stock of ideas already discovered. When $\phi < 0$ ($\phi > 0$), the rate of innovation decreases (increases) with the stock of existing knowledge. When $\phi = 0$, the rate of new ideas is independent of the stock of ideas already discovered.

Finally, consider the case in which the rate of innovation given in (23) depends on the number of people engaged to produce new knowledge. In particular, suppose that

$$\tilde{\delta} := \delta L_A^{\lambda-1} A^\phi$$

where $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. The parameter λ measures the degree of dependence of research productivity on the number of people engaged to produce new knowledge L_A . When $0 < \lambda < 1$, the rate of innovation $\tilde{\delta}$ decreases with L_A .

By (22), we have that the change in knowledge is given by

$$\dot{A} = \delta L_A^\lambda A^\phi$$

Observe that for $\phi = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$, the knowledge evolves as in (6) which is the case considered in [13]. From the last equation, the growth of the stock of knowledge is

$$\frac{\dot{A}}{A} = \delta \frac{L_A^\lambda}{A^{1-\phi}} \quad (24)$$

4.4 Growth implications

Along the balanced growth path, the growth rate of knowledge is constant by definition.⁴ Then, by (24) we have that

$$\frac{L_A^\lambda}{A^{1-\phi}} = \gamma$$

where γ is a constant. Differentiating both sides of the above equation allows to solve the balanced path growth rate of knowledge. Indeed, we have that

$$\lambda L_A^{(\lambda-1)} \dot{L}_A A^{(1-\phi)} - (1-\phi) L_A^\lambda A^{-\phi} \dot{A} = 0$$

If $\phi \neq 1$, then one obtains

$$\frac{\lambda}{(1-\phi)} \frac{\dot{L}_A}{L_A} = \frac{\dot{A}}{A}$$

that is

$$\frac{\dot{A}}{A} = \frac{\lambda n}{1-\phi} \quad (25)$$

where n is the growth rate of the labor force to produce new knowledge.

Since (21) implies $K = \bar{x}A$, then we get $\dot{K} = \bar{x}\dot{A}$, that is

$$\frac{\dot{K}}{K} = \frac{\dot{A}}{A} \quad (26)$$

Now, observe that from (18) and (21) we have that

$$Y = L_Y^\alpha K^{1-\alpha} A^\alpha$$

⁴See Subsection 2.4.

Then, when L_Y is fixed, one gets

$$\dot{Y} = L_Y^\alpha \left[(1 - \alpha) K^{-\alpha} \dot{K} A^\alpha + \alpha K^{1-\alpha} A^{\alpha-1} \dot{A} \right]$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} = (1 - \alpha) \frac{\dot{K}}{K} + \alpha \frac{\dot{A}}{A}$$

Finally, from (25) and (26) we have that

$$\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} = \frac{\dot{K}}{K} = \frac{\dot{A}}{A} = \frac{\lambda n}{1 - \phi}$$

This equation states that along the balanced growth path, the growth rate of the economy depends on the growth rate of the labor force to produce new knowledge, and on the parameters λ and ϕ which determine the external returns in the R & D sector.

References

- [1] Abdih, Y., Joutz, F., 2006. Relating the Knowledge Production Function to Total Factor Productivity: An Endogenous Growth Puzzle. IMF Staff Papers, Vol. 53, No.2, 242-271.
- [2] Aghion, P., Howitt, P., 1992. A Model of Growth Through Creative Destruction. *Econometrica* 60, 323-351.
- [3] Aghion, P., Howitt, P., 1998. *Endogenous Growth Theory*. MIT Press.
- [4] Arrow, K. J., 1962. The Economic Implications of Learning by Doing. *Review Economic Studies* 29, 155-173.
- [5] Dixit, A. K., Stiglitz, J. E., 1977. Monopolistic Competition and Optimum Product Diversity. *American Economic Review* 67, 297-308.
- [6] Griliches, Z., 1979. Issues in Assessing the Contribution of Research and Development to Productivity Growth. *Bell J. Econ.* 10, 92-116.
- [7] Grossman, G., Helpman, E., 1991. *Innovation and Growth in the Global Economics*. MIT Press.
- [8] Johansen, S., 1991. Estimation and Hypothesis Testing of Cointegrating Vectors in Gaussian Vector Autoregressive Models. *Econometrica* 59, 1551-1580.
- [9] Johansen, S., 1988. Statistical Analysis of Cointegrating Vectors. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 12, 231-254.
- [10] Jones, C. I., 1995. R & D-Based Models of Economic Growth. *Journal of Political Economy* 103, 759-784.
- [11] Judd, K. L., 1985. On the Performance of Patents. *Econometrica* 53, 567-585.
- [12] Lucas, R. E., 1988. On the Mechanics of Economic Development. *J. Monetary Econ.* 22, 3-42.
- [13] Romer, P. M., 1990. Endogenous technological change. *Journal of Political Economy* 98, No. 5, S71-S102.
- [14] Romer, P. M., 1986. Increasing Returns and Long-Run Growth. *Journal of Political Economy* 94, 1002-1037.
- [15] Schumpeter, J. A., 1942. *Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy*. New York: Harper and Brothers.

- [16] Sims, C. A., 1980. Macroeconomics and Reality. *Econometrica* 48, 1-48.
- [17] Solow, R. M., 1957. Technical Change and the Aggregate Production Function. *Rev. Econ. and Statis.* 39, 312-20.
- [18] Solow, R. M., 1956. A Contribution to the Theory of Economic Growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 70, 65-94.
- [19] Tirole, J., 1988. *The Theory of Industrial Organization*. Cambridge, MIT Press.

WORKING PAPERS DEL DIPARTIMENTO

- 1988, 3.1 Guido CELLA
Linkages e moltiplicatori input-output.
- 1989, 3.2 Marco MUSELLA
La moneta nei modelli di inflazione da conflitto.
- 1989, 3.3 Floro E. CAROLEO
Le cause economiche nei differenziali regionali del tasso di disoccupazione.
- 1989, 3.4 Luigi ACCARINO
Attualità delle illusioni finanziarie nella moderna società.
- 1989, 3.5 Sergio CESARATTO
La misurazione delle risorse e dei risultati delle attività innovative: una valutazione dei risultati dell'indagine CNR- ISTAT sull'innovazione tecnologica.
- 1990, 3.6 Luigi ESPOSITO - Pasquale PERSICO
Sviluppo tecnologico ed occupazionale: il caso Italia negli anni '80.
- 1990, 3.7 Guido CELLA
Matrici di contabilità sociale ed analisi ambientale.
- 1990, 3.8 Guido CELLA
Linkages e input-output: una nota su alcune recenti critiche.
- 1990, 3.9 Concetto Paolo VINCI
I modelli econometrici sul mercato del lavoro in Italia.
- 1990, 3.10 Concetto Paolo VINCI
Il dibattito sul tasso di partecipazione in Italia: una rivisitazione a 20 anni di distanza.
- 1990, 3.11 Giuseppina AUTIERO
Limiti della coerenza interna ai modelli con la R.E.H..
- 1990, 3.12 Gaetano Fausto ESPOSITO
Evoluzione nei distretti industriali e domanda di istituzione.
- 1990, 3.13 Guido CELLA
Measuring spatial linkages: input-output and shadow prices.
- 1990, 3.14 Emanuele SALSANO
Seminari di economia.

- 1990, 3.15 Emanuele SALSANO
Investimenti, valore aggiunto e occupazione in Italia in contesto biregionale: una prima analisi dei dati 1970/1982.
- 1990, 3.16 Alessandro PETRETTO- Giuseppe PISAURO
Uniformità vs selettività nella teoria della ottima tassazione e dei sistemi tributari ottimali.
- 1990, 3.17 Adalgiso AMENDOLA
Inflazione, disoccupazione e aspettative. Aspetti teorici dell'introduzione di aspettative endogene nel dibattito sulla curva di Phillips.
- 1990, 3.18 Pasquale PERSICO
Il Mezzogiorno e le politiche di sviluppo industriale.
- 1990, 3.19 Pasquale PERSICO
Priorità delle politiche strutturali e strategie di intervento.
- 1990, 3.20 Adriana BARONE - Concetto Paolo VINCI
La produttività nella curva di Phillips.
- 1990, 3.21 Emiddio GALLO
Varianze ed invarianze socio-spaziali nella transizione demografica dell'Italia post-industriale.
- 1991, 3.22 Alfonso GAMBARDELLA
I gruppi etnici in Nicaragua. Autonomia politica ed economica.
- 1991, 3.23 Maria SCATTAGLIA
La stima empirica dell'offerta di lavoro in Italia: una rassegna.
- 1991, 3.24 Giuseppe CELI
La teoria delle aree valutarie: una rassegna.
- 1991, 3.25 Paola ADINOLFI
Relazioni industriali e gestione delle risorse umane nelle imprese italiane.
- 1991, 3.26 Antonio e Bruno PELOSI
Sviluppo locale ed occupazione giovanile: nuovi bisogni formativi.
- 1991, 3.27 Giuseppe MARIGLIANO
La formazione del prezzo nel settore dell'intermediazione commerciale.
- 1991, 3.28 Maria PROTO
Risorse naturali, merci e ambiente: il caso dello zolfo.
- 1991, 3.29 Salvatore GIORDANO
Ricerca sullo stato dei servizi nelle industrie del salernitano.

- 1992, 3.30 Antonio LOPES
Crisi debitoria e politiche macroeconomiche nei paesi in via di sviluppo negli anni 80.
- 1992, 3.31 Antonio VASSILLO
Circuiti economici semplici, complessi, ed integrati.
- 1992, 3.32 Gaetano Fausto ESPOSITO
Imprese ed istituzioni nel Mezzogiorno: spunti analitici e modalità di relazione.
- 1992, 3.33 Paolo COCCORESE
Un modello per l'analisi del sistema pensionistico.
- 1994, 3.34 Aurelio IORI
Il comparto dei succhi di agrumi: un caso di analisi interorganizzativa.
- 1994, 3.35 Nicola POSTIGLIONE
Analisi multicriterio e scelte pubbliche.
- 1994, 3.36 Adriana BARONE
Cooperazione nel dilemma del prigioniero ripetuto e disoccupazione involontaria.
- 1994, 3.37 Adriana BARONE
Le istituzioni come regolarità di comportamento.
- 1994, 3.38 Maria Giuseppina LUCIA
Lo sfruttamento degli idrocarburi offshore tra sviluppo economico e tutela dell'ambiente.
- 1994, 3.39 Giuseppina AUTIERO
Un'analisi di alcuni dei limiti strutturali alle politiche di stabilizzazione nei LCDs.
- 1994, 3.40 Bruna BRUNO
Modelli di contrattazione salariale e ruolo del sindacato.
- 1994, 3.41 Giuseppe CELI
Cambi reali e commercio estero: una riflessione sulle recenti interpretazioni teoriche.
- 1995, 3.42 Alessandra AMENDOLA, M. Simona ANDREANO
The TAR models: an application on italian financial time series.
- 1995, 3.43 Leopoldo VARRIALE
Ambiente e turismo: Parco dell'Iguazù - Argentina.

- 1995, 3.44 A. PELOSI, R. LOMBARDI
Fondi pensione: equilibrio economico-finanziario delle imprese.
- 1995, 3.45 Emanuele SALSANO, Domenico IANNONE
Economia e struttura produttiva nel salernitano dal secondo dopoguerra ad oggi.
- 1995, 3.46 Michele LA ROCCA
Empirical likelihood and linear combinations of functions of order statistics.
- 1995, 3.47 Michele LA ROCCA
L'uso del bootstrap nella verosimiglianza empirica.
- 1996, 3.48 Domenico RANESI
Le politiche CEE per lo sviluppo dei sistemi locali: esame delle diverse tipologie di intervento e tentativo di specificazione tassonomica.
- 1996, 3.49 Michele LA ROCCA
L'uso della verosimiglianza empirica per il confronto di due parametri di posizione.
- 1996, 3.50 Massimo SPAGNOLO
La domanda dei prodotti della pesca in Italia.
- 1996, 3.51 Cesare IMBRIANI, Filippo REGANATI
Macroeconomic stability and economic integration. The case of Italy.
- 1996, 3.52 Annarita GERMANI
Gli effetti della mobilitazione della riserva obbligatoria. Analisi sull'efficienza del suo utilizzo.
- 1996, 3.53 Massimo SPAGNOLO
A model of fish price formation in the north sea and the Mediterranean.
- 1996, 3.54 Fernanda MAZZOTTA
RTFL: problemi e soluzioni per i dati Panel.
- 1996, 3.55 Angela SPAGNUOLO
Concentrazione industriale e dimensione del mercato: il ruolo della spesa per pubblicità e R&D.
- 1996, 3.56 Giuseppina AUTIERO
The economic case for social norms.
- 1996, 3.57 Francesco GIORDANO
Sulla convergenza degli stimatori Kernel.
- 1996, 3.58 Tullio JAPPELLI, Marco PAGANO
The determinants of saving: lessons from Italy.

- 1997, 3.59 Tullio JAPPELLI
The age-wealth profile and the life-cycle hypothesis: a cohort analysis with a time series of cross sections of Italian households.
- 1997, 3.60 Marco Antonio MONACO
La gestione dei servizi di pubblico interesse.
- 1997, 3.61 Marcella ANZOLIN
L'albero della qualità dei servizi pubblici locali in Italia: metodologie e risultati conseguiti.
- 1997, 3.62 Cesare IMBRIANI, Antonio LOPES
Intermediazione finanziaria e sistema produttivo in un'area dualistica. Uno studio di caso.
- 1997, 3.63 Tullio JAPPELLI
Risparmio e liberalizzazione finanziaria nell'Unione europea.
- 1997, 3.64 Alessandra AMENDOLA
Analisi dei dati di sopravvivenza.
- 1997, 3.65 Francesco GIORDANO, Cira PERNA
Gli stimatori Kernel per la stima non parametrica della funzione di regressione.
- 1997, 3.66 Biagio DI SALVIA
Le relazioni marittimo-commerciali nell'imperiale regio litorale austriaco nella prima metà dell'800.
I. Una riclassificazione delle Tafeln zur Statistik der Österreichischen Monarchie.
- 1997, 3.67 Alessandra AMENDOLA
Modelli non lineari di seconda e terza generazione: aspetti teorici ed evidenze empiriche.
- 1998, 3.68 Vania SENA
L'analisi econometrica dell'efficienza tecnica. Un'applicazione agli ospedali italiani di zona.
- 1998, 3.69 Domenico CERBONE
Investimenti irreversibili.
- 1998, 3.70 Antonio GAROFALO
La riduzione dell'orario di lavoro è una soluzione al problema disoccupazione: un tentativo di analisi empirica.
- 1998, 3.71 Jacqueline MORGAN, Roberto RAUCCI
New convergence results for Nash equilibria.

- 1998, 3.72 Rosa FERRENTINO
Niels Henrik Abel e le equazioni algebriche.
- 1998, 3.73 Marco MICOCCI, Rosa FERRENTINO
Un approccio markoviano al problema della valutazione delle opzioni.
- 1998, 3.74 Rosa FERRENTINO, Ciro CALABRESE
Rango di una matrice di dimensione K .
- 1999, 3.75 Patrizia RIGANTI
L'uso della valutazione contingente per la gestione del patrimonio culturale: limiti e potenzialità.
- 1999, 3.76 Annamaria NESE
Il problema dell'inefficienza nel settore dei musei: tecniche di valutazione.
- 1999, 3.77 Gianluigi COPPOLA
Disoccupazione e mercato del lavoro: un'analisi su dati provinciali.
- 1999, 3.78 Alessandra AMENDOLA
Un modello soglia con eteroschedasticità condizionata per tassi di cambio.
- 1999, 3.79 Rosa FERRENTINO
Su un'applicazione della trasformata di Laplace al calcolo della funzione asintotica di non rovina.
- 1999, 3.80 Rosa FERRENTINO
Un'applicazione della trasformata di Laplace nel caso di una distribuzione di Erlang.
- 1999, 3.81 Angela SPAGNUOLO
Efficienza e struttura degli incentivi nell'azienda pubblica: il caso dell'industria sanitaria.
- 1999, 3.82 Antonio GAROFALO, Cesare IMBRIANI, Concetto Paolo VINCI
Youth unemployment: an insider-outsider dynamic approach.
- 1999, 3.83 Rosa FERRENTINO
Un modello per la determinazione del tasso di riequilibrio in un progetto di fusione tra banche.
- 1999, 3.84 DE STEFANIS, PORZIO
Assessing models in frontier analysis through dynamic graphics.
- 1999, 3.85 Annunziato GESUALDI
Inflazione e analisi delle politiche fiscali nell'U.E..
- 1999, 3.86 R. RAUCCI, L. TADDEO
Dalle equazioni differenziali alle funzioni e^x , $\log x$, a^x , $\log_a x$, x^x .

- 1999, 3.87 Rosa FERRENTINO
Sulla determinazione di numeri aleatori generati da equazioni algebriche.
- 1999, 3.88 C. PALMISANI, R. RAUCCI
Sulle funzioni circolari: una presentazione non classica.
- 2000, 3.89 Giuseppe STORTI, Pierluigi FURCOLO, Paolo VILLANI
A dynamic generalized linear model for precipitation forecasting.
- 2000, 3.90 Rosa FERRENTINO
Un procedimento risolutivo per l'equazione di Dickson.
- 2000, 3.91 Rosa FERRENTINO
Un'applicazione della mistura di esponenziali alla teoria del rischio.
- 2000, 3.92 Francesco GIORDANO, Michele LA ROCCA, Cira PERNA
Bootstrap variance estimates for neural networks regression models.
- 2000, 3.93 Alessandra AMENDOLA, Giuseppe STORTI
A non-linear time series approach to modelling asymmetry in stock market indexes.
- 2000, 3.94 Rosa FERRENTINO
Sopra un'osservazione di De Vylder.
- 2000, 3.95 Massimo SALZANO
Reti neurali ed efficacia dell'intervento pubblico: previsioni dell'inquinamento da traffico nell'area di Villa S. Giovanni.
- 2000, 3.96 Angela SPAGNUOLO
Concorrenza e deregolamentazione nel mercato del trasporto aereo in Italia.
- 2000, 3.97 Roberto RAUCCI, Luigi TADDEO
Teoremi ingannevoli.
- 2000, 3.98 Francesco GIORDANO
Una procedura per l'inizializzazione dei pesi delle reti neurali per l'analisi del trend.
- 2001, 3.99 Angela D'ELIA
Some methodological issues on multivariate modelling of rank data.
- 2001, 3.100 Roberto RAUCCI, Luigi TADDEO
Nuove classi di funzioni scalari quasiconcave generalizzate: caratterizzazioni ed applicazioni a problemi di ottimizzazione.
- 2001, 3.101 Adriana BARONE, Annamaria NESE
Some insights into night work in Italy.
- 2001, 3.102 Alessandra AMENDOLA, Marcella NIGLIO

Predictive distributions of nonlinear time series models.

- 2001, 3.103 Roberto RAUCCI
Sul concetto di certo equivalente nella teoria HSSB.
- 2001, 3.104 Roberto RAUCCI, Luigi TADDEO
On stackelberg games: a result of unicity.
- 2001, 3.105 Roberto RAUCCI
Una definizione generale e flessibile di insieme limitato superiormente in \mathfrak{R}^n
- 2001, 3.106 Roberto RAUCCI
Stretta quasiconcavità nelle forme funzionali flessibili.
- 2001, 3.107 Roberto RAUCCI
Sugli insiemi limitati in \mathfrak{R}^m rispetto ai coni.
- 2001, 3.108 Roberto RAUCCI
Monotonie, isotonie e indecomponibilità deboli per funzioni a valori vettoriali con applicazioni.
- 2001, 3.109 Roberto RAUCCI
Generalizzazioni del concetto di debole Kuhn-Tucker punto-sella.
- 2001, 3.110 Antonia Rosa GURRIERI, Marilene LORIZIO
Le determinanti dell'efficienza nel settore sanitario. Uno studio applicato.
- 2001, 3.111 Gianluigi COPPOLA
Studio di una provincia meridionale attraverso un'analisi dei sistemi locali del lavoro. Il caso di Salerno.
- 2001, 3.112 Francesco GIORDANO
Reti neurali per l'analisi del trend: un approccio per identificare la topologia della rete.
- 2001, 3.113 Marcella NIGLIO
Nonlinear time series models with switching structure: a comparison of their forecast performances.
- 2001, 3.114 Damiano FIORILLO
Capitale sociale e crescita economica. Review dei concetti e dell'evidenza empirica.
- 2001, 3.115 Roberto RAUCCI, Luigi TADDEO
Generalizzazione del concetto di continuità e di derivabilità.
- 2001, 3.116 Marcella NIGLIO
Ricostruzione dei dati mancanti in serie storiche climatiche.

- 2001, 3.117 Vincenzo VECCHIONE
Mutamenti del sistema creditizio in un'area periferica.
- 2002, 3.118 Francesco GIORDANO, Michele LA ROCCA, Cira PERNA
Bootstrap variable selection in neural network regression models.
- 2002, 3.119 Roberto RAUCCI, Luigi TADDEO
Insiemi debolmente convessi e concavità in senso generale.
- 2002, 3.120 Vincenzo VECCHIONE
Know how locali e percorsi di sviluppo in aree e settori marginali.
- 2002, 3.121 Michele LA ROCCA, Cira PERNA
Neural networks with dependent data.
- 2002, 3.122 Pietro SENESI
Economic dynamics: theory and policy. A stability analysis approach.
- 2002, 3.123 Gianluigi COPPOLA
Stima di un indicatore di pressione ambientale: un'applicazione ai comuni della Campania.
- 2002, 3.124 Roberto RAUCCI
Sull'esistenza di autovalori e autovettori positivi anche nel caso non lineare.
- 2002, 3.125 Maria Carmela MICCOLI
Identikit di giovani lucani.
- 2002, 3.126 Sergio DESTEFANIS, Giuseppe STORTI
Convexity, productivity change and the economic performance of countries.
- 2002, 3.127 Giovanni C. PORZIO, Maria Prosperina VITALE
Esplorare la non linearità nei modelli Path.
- 2002, 3.128 Rosa FERRENTINO
Sulla funzione di Seal.
- 2003, 3.129 Michele LA ROCCA, Cira PERNA
Identificazione del livello intermedio nelle reti neurali di tipo feedforward.
- 2003, 3.130 Alessandra AMENDOLA, Marcella NIGLIO, Cosimo VITALE
The exact multi-step ahead predictor of SETARMA models.
- 2003, 3.131 Mariangela BONASIA
La dimensione ottimale di un sistema pensionistico: means tested vs programma universale.
- 2003, 3.132 Annamaria NESE
Abitazione e famiglie a basso reddito.

- 2003, 3.133 Maria Lucia PARRELLA
Le proprietà asintotiche del Local Polynomial Bootstrap.
- 2003, 3.134 Silvio GIOVE, Maurizio NORDIO, Stefano SILVONI
Stima della prevalenza dell'insufficienza renale cronica con reti bayesiane: analisi costo efficacia delle strategie di prevenzione secondaria.
- 2003, 3.135 Massimo SALZANO
Globalization, complexity and the holism of the italian school of public finance.
- 2003, 3.136 Giuseppina AUTIERO
Labour market institutional systems and unemployment performance in some Oecd countries.
- 2003, 3.137 Marisa FAGGINI
Recurrence analysis for detecting non-stationarity and chaos in economic times series.
- 2003, 3.138 Marisa FAGGINI, Massimo SALZANO
The reverse engineering of economic systems. Tools and methodology.
- 2003, 3.139 Rosa FERRENTINO
In corso di pubblicazione.
- 2003, 3.140 Rosa FERRENTINO, Roberto RAUCCI
Sui problemi di ottimizzazione in giochi di Stackelberg ed applicazioni in modelli economici.
- 2003, 3.141 Carmine SICA
In corso di pubblicazione.
- 2004, 3.142 Sergio DESTEFANIS, Antonella TADDEO, Maurizio TORNATORE
The stock of human capital in the Italian regions.
- 2004, 3.143 Elena Laureana DEL MERCATO
Edgeworth equilibria with private provision of public good.
- 2004, 3.144 Elena Laureana DEL MERCATO
Externalities on consumption sets in general equilibrium.
- 2004, 3.145 Rosa FERRENTINO, Roberto RAUCCI
Su alcuni criteri delle serie a termini non negativi.
- 2004, 3.146 Rosa FERRENTINO, Roberto RAUCCI
Legame tra le soluzioni di Minty e di Stempacenhia nelle disequazioni variazionali.

- 2004, 3.147 Gianluigi COPPOLA
In corso di pubblicazione.
- 2004, 3.148 Massimo Spagnolo
The Importance of Economic Incentives in Fisheries Management
- 2004, 3.149 F. Salsano
La politica monetaria in presenza di non perfetta osservabilità degli obiettivi del banchiere centrale.
- 2004, 3.150 A. Vita
La dinamica del cambiamento nella rappresentazione del territorio. Una mappa per i luoghi della Valle dell'Irno.
- 2004, 3.151 Celi
Empirical Explanation of vertical and horizontal intra-industry trade in the UK: a comment.
- 2004, 3.152 Amendola – P. Vitale
Self-Assessment and Career Choices: An On-line resource for the University of Salerno.
- 2004, 3.153 A. Amendola – R. Troisi
Introduzione all'economia politica dell'organizzazione: nozioni ed applicazioni.
- 2004, 3.154 A. Amendola – R. Troisi
Strumenti d'incentivo e modelli di gestione del personale volontario nelle organizzazioni non profit.
- 2004, 3.155 Lavinia Parisi
La gestione del personale nelle imprese manifatturiere della provincia di Salerno.
- 2004, 3.156 Angela Spagnuolo – Silvia Keller
La rete di accesso all'ultimo miglio: una valutazione sulle tecnologie alternative.
- 2005, 3.157 Davide Cantarelli
Elasticities of Complementarity and Substitution in Some Functional Forms. A Comparative Review.
- 2005, 3.158 Pietro Coretto – Giuseppe Storti
Subjective Expectations in Economics: a Statistical overview of the main findings.
- 2005, 3.159 Pietro Coretto – Giuseppe Storti
Moments based inference in small samples.

- 2005, 3.160 Massimo Salzano
Una simulazione neo-keynesiana ad agenti eterogeni.
- 2005, 3.161 Rosa Ferrentino
Su alcuni paradossi della teoria degli insiemi.
- 2005, 3.162 Damiano Fiorillo
Capitale sociale: uno o molti? Pochi.
- 2005, 3.163 Damiano Fiorillo
Il capitale sociale conta per outcomes (macro) economici?.
- 2005, 3.164 Damiano Fiorillo – Guadalupi Luigi
Attività economiche nel distretto industriale di Nocera inferiore – Gragnano. Un'analisi su Dati Tagliacarne.
- 2005, 3.165 Rosa Ferrentino
Pointwise well-posedness in vector optimization and variational inequalities.
- 2005, 3.166 Roberto Iorio
La ricerca universitaria verso il mercato per il trasferimento tecnologico e rischi per l'Open Science: posizioni teoriche e filoni di indagine empirica.
- 2005, 3.167 Marisa Faggini
The chaotic system and new perspectives for economics methodology. A note.
- 2005, 3.168 Francesco Giordano
Weak consistent moving block bootstrap estimator of sampling distribution of CLS estimators in a class of bilinear models
- 2005, 3.169 Edgardo Sica
Tourism as determinant of economic growth: the case of south-east asian countries.
- 2005, 3.170 Rosa Ferrentino
On Minty variational inequalities and increasing along rays functions.
- 2005, 3.171 Rosa Ferrentino
On the Minty and Stampacchia scalar variational inequalities
- 2005, 3.172 Destefanis - Storti
A procedure for detecting outliers in frontier estimation
- 2005, 3.173 Destefanis - Storti
Evaluating business incentives through DEA. An analysis on capital firm data

- 2005, 3.174 Nese – O'Higgins
In and out of the capitalia sample: evaluating attrition bias.
- 2005, 3.175 Maria Patrizia Vittoria
Il Processo di terziarizzazione in Campania. Analisi degli indicatori principali nel periodo 1981-2001
- 2005, 3.176 Sergio Destefanis – Giuseppe Mastromatteo
Inequality and labour-market performance. A survey beyond an elusive trade-off.
- 2006, 3.177 Giuseppe Storti
Modelling asymmetric volatility dynamics by multivariate BL-GARCH models
- 2006, 3.178 Lucio Valerio Spagnolo – Mario Cerrato
No euro please, We're British!
- 2006, 3.179 Maria Carmela Miccoli
Invecchiamento e seconda transizione demografica
- 2006, 3.180 Maria Carmela Miccoli – Antonio Cortese
Le scuole italiane all'estero: una realtà poco nota
- 2007, 3.181 Rosa Ferrentino
Variational inequalities and optimization problems
- 2007, 3.182 Lavinia Parisi
Estimating capability as a latent variable: A Multiple Indicators and Multiple Causes Approach. The example of health
- 2007, 3.183 Rosa Ferrentino
Well-posedness, a short survey
- 2007, 3.184 Roberto Iorio – Sandrine Labory – Daniele Paci
Relazioni tra imprese e università nel biotech-salute dell'Emilia Romagna. Una valutazione sulla base della co-authorship delle pubblicazioni scientifiche
- 2007, 3.185 Lavinia Parisi
Youth Poverty after leaving parental home: does parental income matter?
- 2007, 3.186 Pietro Coretto – Christian Hennig
Identifiability for mixtures of distributions from a location-scale family with uniform
- 2007, 3.187 Anna Parziale
Il fitness landscape: un nuovo approccio per l'analisi del federalismo fiscale

Stampa a cura della C.U.S.L. Cooperativa Universitaria Studio e Lavoro, Via Ponte Don Melillo, Fisciano per conto Del Dipartimento di Scienze Economiche e Statistiche
Finito di stampare il 17 luglio 2007