

# Extensión de espacios duales de Frechet\*

Samuel Navarro H.\*\*

**RESUMEN:** Se extiende la definición de Grothendieck de DF-espacio al caso de cuerpos valuados no arquimedeanos y se prueban algunas de las principales propiedades. Se caracterizan los espacios topológicos ultrarregulares para los cuales el espacio de funciones continuas con valores en un cuerpo resulta un DF-espacio provisto de la topología compacto abierta.

Finalmente se dan condiciones necesarias y suficientes para que el espacio de funciones con valores vectoriales resulte con DF-espacio.

**SUMMARY:** Grothendieck's definition of DF-space is extended to the case where the field is nonarchimedean valued and some of the principal properties are proved.

The ultraregular topological spaces for which the space of continuous functions with scalar values results a DF-space with the compact-open topology are characterized.

Finally, necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the space of continuous functions with vector values to be a DF-space.

Throughout this paper  $(F, | \cdot |)$  denotes a nonarchimedean (n.a.) valued field and we consider only non trivial valuations. We recall that  $(F, | \cdot |)$  is a spherically complete field if every family of balls pairwise non-disjoint has a non-empty intersection or equivalently if every totally ordered collection of closed balls has non-empty intersection.

We use Van Tiel's results [5], specially on duality theory, and recall that it needs the Hahn-Banach theorem, or equivalently that the field spherically complete (Ingleton [2]). For other results about Functional Analysis over nonarchimedean valued fields we refer to Prolla [4].

A filter is F-convex if it has a filterbase of translations of F-convex sets. A topological vector space is locally F-convex if the zero-neighborhood filter is F-convex. A locally F-convex space is Frechet n.a. if it is metrizable n.a. and

complete; if it is normed n.a. and complete it is a Banach n.a. space.

We consider only Hausdorff locally F-convex spaces usually denoted by  $(E, \tau)$  or  $E$ .

## 1. N.A. DF-SPACES

### 1.1. Definition:

A family of bounded set  $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$  in  $E$  is fundamental if every bounded subset of  $E$  is contained in some  $B_i$ .

### 1.2. Definition:

$(E, \tau)$  is a n.a. DF-space if:

- i) There exists a countable fundamental family of bounded sets in  $E$ .
- ii) Every bornivorous set in  $E$  which is the intersection of a countable family of F-convex O-neighborhoods is a O-neighborhood.  
If  $(F, | \cdot |)$  is a spherically complete field then we can use ii)' instead of condition ii);
- ii)' Every strongly bounded set of  $E'$  which is the union of a countable family of equicontinuous sets is equicontinuous.

### 1.3. Observation:

An absorbent set that is the intersection of a countable family of F-convex zero-neighborhoods is called a  $d - F - barrel$ . A locally F-convex space where every bornivorous  $d - F - barrel$  is a zero-neighborhood is called a  $d - F - F$  evaluable space. Now we can say that  $E$  is a n.a. DF-space if is a  $d - F - F$  evaluable space and it verifies condition i). Consequently every Banach n.a. space and more generally, every normed n.a. space is a n.a. DF-space.

### 1.4. Notation:

In a space of functions, we denote by  $M(A; S)$ , the subset of all functions  $f$  which verify:  $f(A) \subseteq S$ .

### 1.5. Proposition:

Let  $(F, | \cdot |)$  be a spherically complete field. If  $(E, \tau_E)$  is a metrizable locally F-convex space then  $E'_\beta$  is a DF-space.

\* Manuscrito revisado y aprobado en forma definitiva en marzo de 1984.

\*\* Departamento de Matemática y Ciencia de la Computación, Facultad de Ciencia, Universidad de Santiago de Chile (USACH).

*Proof:*

Let  $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a countable fundamental system of zero-neighborhoods in  $(E, \tau_E)$ . We consider  $\{U_n^0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  the family of the polar sets of  $U_n$  in  $E'$ . As each  $U_n^0$  is equicontinuous,  $\{U_n^0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a countable family of strongly bounded subsets of  $E'$ . Now let  $L$  be a strongly bounded set in  $E'$ ; then  $L^0$  is a zero neighborhood in  $E$ , hence there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U_n \subseteq L$ , and therefore  $L^0 \subseteq U_n^0$ ; that is  $\{U_n^0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a fundamental family of bounded sets in  $E'_\beta$ .

Now, let  $V = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n$  be a bornivorous set, where each  $V_n$  is a  $F$ -convex zero-neighborhood in  $E'_\beta$ ; then  $V$  is a  $F$ -convex closed set. By the nonarchimedean bipolar theorem [5],  $V^\circ$  is contained in  $\lambda V$  for every  $\lambda \in F$  with  $|\lambda| > 1$ . As  $U^0$  is a bounded set in  $E'$  if  $U$  is a zero neighborhood in  $E$ , there exists  $\alpha \in F$  such that  $U^0$  is contained in  $\alpha V$  then,  $V^0 \subseteq \alpha U^0 \subseteq \alpha \gamma U$  where  $\gamma \in F$  and  $|\gamma| > 1$ ; so  $V^0$  is bounded in  $(E, \tau_E)$ . Finally  $V^\circ$  is a zero neighborhood in  $E'$  and consequently  $V$  is a zero-neighborhood in  $E'$ .

### 1.6. Proposition:

Let  $(E, \tau_E)$  be a DF-space and  $(F, |\cdot|)$  a spherically complete field. Then the dual space  $E'_\beta$  is a Fréchet space.

*Proof:*

Let  $\{B_n\}$  be a countable fundamental family of bounded sets in  $E$  and let  $\{U_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a countable basis of zero neighborhoods in  $(F, |\cdot|)$ , then the family  $\{M(B_n, U_m)\}_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a countable basis of zero-neighborhoods in  $E'_\beta$ .

Now we consider a Cauchy sequence  $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $E'_\beta$ , as  $E$  is a DF-space and  $(f_i)$  is a strongly bounded set, we have that  $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is equicontinuous. On the other hand, we define  $f(x) = \lim f_i(x)$ ; then  $f$  is contained in the closure of  $(f_i)$  in  $F^E$  with the topology of simple convergence. Finally we can see that  $f$  is in  $E'_\beta$ .

### 1.7. Proposition:

Let  $(F, |\cdot|)$  be a spherically complete field and  $E$  be a DF-space. If  $M$  is a closed subspace of  $E$  then  ${}^E M$  is a DF-space.

*Proof:*

If  $\nu$  is the quotient map from  $E$  to  ${}^E M$ , we define  $\psi : M^0 \rightarrow ({}^E M)'$  by  $\psi(f) = f \circ \nu^{-1}$ ,  $\psi$  is a topological vector space isomorphism of  $(M^0, \beta(E'; E))$  onto  $(({}^E M)', \beta(({}^E M)'))$ . It is clear that  $\psi$  is a linear isomorphism. To prove continuity, as  $M^0$  is a metrizable space with the induced topology by the strong dual of the DF-space  $E$ , it is sufficient to prove that  $\psi$  maps null sequences into bounded sets in  $({}^E M)'$ : Let  $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a null sequence in  $M^0$ ;  $\{f_i\}$  being a strongly bounded set in  $E'$ , it is equicontinuous in  $E'$ .

Thus  $\{\psi(f_i)\} = \{f_i \circ \nu^{-1}\}$  is equicontinuous in  $({}^E M)'$  hence  $\{\psi(f_i)\}$  is bounded in  $({}^E M)'$ .

To prove  $\psi$  is an open mapping, we consider the 0-neighborhood.

$$U = M^0 \cap A^0$$

where  $A$  is a bounded set in  $E$ . It is easy to show that  $(\nu(A))^0$

is a 0-neighborhood in  $({}^E M)'$  contained in  $\psi(U)$ . Thus  $\psi$  is a topological linear isomorphism of  $M^0$  over  $({}^E M)'$  with the corresponding strong topology. Let  $L$  be a bounded set in  ${}^E M$ ; as  $L^0$  is a 0-neighborhood in  $({}^E M)'$  there is a bounded set  $A \subseteq E$ , that we may assume to be  $\Gamma$ -closed by ([5]) such that

$$(*) \quad \psi(A^0 \cap M^0) \subseteq L^0$$

so  $\nu^{-1}(L) \subseteq (A^0 \cap M^0)^0$ , and  $L \subseteq \nu(A^0 \cup M^0)$ . But  $A$  and  $M$  are  $\Gamma$ -closed  $L \subseteq \nu(A)$ . It follows that there exists a countable fundamental system of bounded sets  $\{\nu(B_n)\}$  in  ${}^E M$ , with  $\{B_n\}$  a countable fundamental system of bounded sets of  $E$ .

Now we consider a sequence of 0-neighborhoods  $\{V_n\}$  in  ${}^E M$  such that the intersection  $V = \bigcap V_n$  is a bornivorous set in  ${}^E M$ . It is clear that  $\nu^{-1}(V)$  is a bornivorous set which is the intersection of a countable family of  $F$ -convex 0-neighborhoods in the DF-space  $E$ ; hence  $V$  is a 0-neighborhood in  ${}^E M$ .

### 1.8. Lemma:

Let  $E$  be a topological vector space with a countable fundamental system of 0-neighborhoods. If  $\{H_n\}_n$  is a sequence of bounded sets, there exists a bounded sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  in  $(F, |\cdot|)$  such that

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_n H_n$$

is a bounded set.

*Proof:*

Let  $\{V_n\}$  be a decreasing fundamental sequence of 0-neighborhoods in  $E$ . For each pair  $(V_n, H_n)$ , there exists  $\delta_n > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \in F$ , with  $|\lambda| \geq \delta_n$ ,  $H_n \subseteq \lambda V_n$ .

We consider  $\delta'_1 = \delta_1$  for  $(V_1, H_1)$  and  $\delta'_s = \max\{\delta'_{s-1}, \delta_s\}$ ,  $s \geq 2$ ; in this way, we obtain an increasing sequence of real numbers.

If  $\lambda_i \in F$  and  $|\lambda_i| > \delta'_i$ , then the union  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i^{-1} H_i$  is a bounded set; indeed, let  $V$  be a 0-neighborhood in  $E$  which we can assume, without loss of generality, that is circled, there is,  $n_0$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $V_m \subseteq V$  for  $m \geq n_0$ . It is clear that  $\lambda_m^{-1} H_m$  is in  $V$  for  $m > n_0$ . Thus the union  $\bigcup_{s=n_0}^{\infty} H_s$  is contained in  $V$ .

On the other hand, if  $A = \bigcup_{s=1}^{n_0-1} \lambda_s^{-1} H_s$  there exists  $\delta > 1$  such that for  $\alpha \in F$ ,  $|\alpha| > \delta$ ,  $A \subseteq \alpha V$ . Hence if  $\mu_i = \lambda_i^{-1}$  for the bounded sequence  $\{\mu_i\}$  we verify that  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i H_i$  is a bounded set.

### 1.9. Proposition:

Let  $E$  be a DF-space over  $(F, |\cdot|)$  an spherically complete field. If  $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of 0-neighborhoods of  $E$ , there exists a sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  in  $F$  such that  $V = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_n V_n$  is a 0-neighborhood in  $E$ .

*Proof:*

Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $V_n$  is  $F$ -convex for each  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . We consider  $H_n$  the polar of  $V_n$ ;

$H_n = V_n^0$  is equicontinuous, hence strongly bounded in  $E'$ . Since  $E$  is a DF-space,  $E'_\beta$  is a metrizable space; by Lemma 1.8 there exists  $\{\mu_n\}$  in  $F$  such that

$$H = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_n H_n$$

is a bounded set in  $E'_\beta$ . But  $H$  is a strongly bounded countable union of equicontinuous sets, hence  $H$  is equicontinuous and  $H^0$  is a  $O$ -neighborhood in  $E$ .

On the other hand, if  $\alpha_n \in F$  and  $|\alpha_n| > 1$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , using bipolar properties [5] we obtain

$$H^0 \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_n^{-1} \alpha_n V_n$$

let  $\lambda_n = \mu_n^{-1} \alpha_n$ ; then  $V = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_n V_n$  is a  $O$ -neighbourhood in  $E$ .

## 2. SPACES OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

Here we consider only ultraregular topological spaces, i.e. the Hausdorff spaces with a fundamental system of clopen sets at each point.

We call *W-compact* the topological spaces where every countable union of compact sets is relatively compact (those spaces appear in Warner's paper [6]). There are spaces with a weaker condition, the *strongly countable compact* spaces: every countable set is relatively compact.

We also consider the space of continuous functions  $C(X; E)$ , where  $X$  is ultraregular and  $E$  is a locally  $F$ -convex space. We deal only with the compact open topology, where a fundamental system of zero-neighborhoods is formed by the sets  $M(K; U) = \{f \in C(X; E) / f(K) \subseteq U\}$  where  $K \subseteq X$  are compact set and  $U$  are  $O$ -neighborhoods of  $E$ . If  $E = \mathbb{F}$  we write  $C(X)$  instead of  $C(X; E)$ .

Let  $X$  be an ultraregular space,  $(E, \tau_E)$  a locally  $F$ -convex Hausdorff space and  $(F, |\cdot|)$  a nonarchimedean nontrivially valued field.

### 2.1. Proposition:

Let  $M_1, \dots, M_k$  be  $F$ -convex sets in  $E$ ,  $U$  a  $F$ -convex  $O$ -neighborhood in  $E$  and  $K$  a compact subset of  $X$ ; then

$$M(K; U + \sum_{m=1}^k M_m) \subseteq M(K; U) + \sum_{m=1}^k M(X; M_m)$$

*Proof:*

If  $f$  is in  $M(K; U + \sum_{m=1}^k M_m)$  we consider the compact set

$$S = \{X \in K / P_U(f(x)) \geq 1\}$$

where  $P_U$  is the Minkowski seminorm corresponding to the  $F$ -convex set  $U$ .

We consider also the clopen set

$$V_j = \{X \in X / P_U(F(x) - f(x_j)) < 1/2\}$$

where  $x_j \in S$ . As  $S$  is a compact set, there exists  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$S \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^t V_j$$

Without loss of generality we may suppose that this is a disjoint union.

On the other hand for each  $x_j, j = 1, \dots, t$ , there exists

$$\sum_{m=1}^k h_j^m \in \sum_{m=1}^k M_m \text{ such that}$$

$$f(x_j) + \sum_{m=1}^k h_j^m \in U$$

Finally we can show that

$$f = f + \sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^t \chi_{V_j} \otimes h_j^m - \sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^t \chi_{V_j} \otimes h_j^m$$

belongs to

$$M(K; U) + \sum_{m=1}^k M(X; M_m)$$

this is easy to see, since:

$$a) f + \sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^t \chi_{V_j} \otimes h_j^m =$$

$$= f - \sum_{j=1}^t \chi_{V_j} \otimes f(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^t \chi_{V_j} \otimes f(x_j)$$

$$+ \sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^t \chi_{V_j} \otimes h_j^m$$

$$b) (f - \sum_{j=1}^t \chi_{V_j} \otimes f(x_j)) (K) \subseteq U$$

$$c) (\sum_{j=1}^t \chi_{V_j} \otimes f(x_j) + \sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^t \chi_{V_j} \otimes h_j^m) (K) \subseteq U$$

and  $U$  is  $F$ -convex

### 2.2. Proposition:

Let  $X$  be a strongly countably compact topological space, and let  $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a fundamental sequence of bounded sets in  $E$ , then  $\{M(X, B_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is too a fundamental sequence of bounded sets in  $C(X, E)$  with the compact open topology.

*Proof:*

We suppose that there exists a bounded set  $L$  in  $C(X; E)$  such that  $L \cap M(X; B_n)$  is non empty for each natural  $n$ . Let  $f_n$  in  $L \cap M(X; B_n)$  and  $x_n \in X$  be such that

$$f_n(x_n) \notin B_n$$

We consider  $A = \{x_n / n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $\bar{A}$  is compact in  $X$ .

We claim that  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(\bar{A})$  is bounded. Indeed, let  $V$  be a  $O$ -neighborhood in  $E$ . As  $L$  is bounded, there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\lambda \in F$  and  $|\lambda| > \delta$ ,

$$L \subseteq \lambda \cdot M(\bar{A}, V)$$

hence  $\{f_n(a) / n \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \bar{A}\}$  is contained in  $\lambda \cdot V$  and therefore  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(\bar{A})$  is bounded.

As  $\{B_n\}$  is fundamental, there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(\bar{A}) \subseteq B_j$$

in particular we obtain that  $f_j(x_j) \in B_j$  and this contradiction proves our proposition.

### 2.3. Theorem:

Let  $X$  be a  $W$ -compact topological space and let  $(F, |\cdot|)$  be a spherically complete field. If  $E$  is a DF-space then  $C(X; E)$  is a DF-space.

*Proof:*

Let  $\{V_n\}$  be a sequence of F-convex 0-neighborhoods of  $C(X; E)$ . We set  $V = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n$ , we must prove that if  $V$  is a bornivorous set then  $V$  is a 0-neighborhood in  $C(X; E)$ . We will build sequences  $\{\lambda_i\}$  of scalars in  $F$ ,  $\{U_i\}$  of 0-neighborhoods in  $E$  and  $\{K_i\}$  of compact sets in  $X$  with the properties:

- 1)  $M(X; \lambda_i B_i) \subseteq V$  for all  $i$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- 2)  $M(K_i; U_i) \subseteq V_i$  for all  $i$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- 3)  $\lambda_j B_j \subseteq U_i$  for all  $i, j$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

where  $\{B_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a fundamental sequence of bounded sets in  $E$ , that we may suppose F-convex and closed.

If there exist  $\lambda_i, U_i, K_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  with the properties 1, 2 and 3 then there exists  $\lambda_{n+1}$  in  $F$  such that:

- a)  $\lambda_{n+1} B_{n+1} \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i$
- b)  $M(X; \lambda_{n+1} B_{n+1}) \subseteq V$ ,

also there exists a compact subset  $K_{n+1}$  of  $X$  and a 0-neighborhood  $W_{n+1}$  on  $E$  such that

- c)  $M(K_{n+1}, W_{n+1}) \subseteq V_{n+1}$

Now we construct the set.

$$U_{n+1} = W_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i B_i;$$

this set is a F-convex 0-neighborhood that satisfies

$$\lambda_j B_j \subseteq U_{n+1}$$

for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ . By a) we obtain

$$\lambda_{n+1} B_{n+1} \subseteq U_i$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Furthermore  $\lambda_j B_j \subseteq U_i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n+1$ . Then we have found  $\lambda_{n+1}, U_{n+1}, K_{n+1}$  that verify properties 1) and 3).

We still have to check that  $M(K_{n+1}, U_{n+1})$  is contained in  $V_{n+1}$ . It is true that

- d)  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} M(X; \lambda_i B_i) \subseteq V_{n+1}$

since the intersection  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_i$  is in the F-convex set  $V_{n+1}$  and 1) is verified for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ . Using c) and d)

$$M(K_{n+1}; W_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} M(X; \lambda_i B_i) \subseteq V_{n+1}.$$

By proposition it is possible to set

$$M(K_{n+1}; W_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i B_i) \subseteq V_{n+1}$$

Hence  $M(K_{n+1}, U_{n+1}) \subseteq V_{n+1}$ .

We call  $U$  the intersection of the sequence  $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ; by 3),  $U$  is a 0-neighborhood in  $E$ . Finally as  $X$  is W-compact, there exists a compact set  $K$  that contains the union of the sequence  $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then

$$M(K; U) \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M(K; U_n) \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M(K_n, U_n) \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n = V$$

hence, the second property required to be a DF-space is verified.

The existence of a fundamental sequence of bounded sets is guaranteed by proposition 2.2 and the trivial fact that every W-compact space is a strongly countable compact space.

*2.4. Theorem:*

Let  $(F, | \cdot |)$  be a spherically complete field. Then  $C(X; F)$  is a DF-space if and only if  $X$  is a W-compact space.

*Proof:*

As  $(F, | \cdot |)$  is a DF-space Theorem 2.3 implies that  $C(X; F)$  is a DF-space, whenever  $X$  is W-compact.

Conversely, if  $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of compact subsets of  $X$ , we consider the zero neighborhood  $M(K_n, B_1)$  (where  $B_\delta = \{\lambda \in F / |\lambda| < \delta\}$ ); by proposition 1.8 there exist a sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $F$  such that

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_n M(K_n, B_1)$$

is a zero neighborhood in  $C(X; F)$ .

Therefore there exists a compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$M(K; B_\delta) \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_n M(K_n; B_1)$$

We claim that  $K_n$  is contained in  $K$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If not, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $K_{n_0} \not\subseteq K$ ; then there is  $x$  in  $K_{n_0}$  which is outside  $K$  and there is  $f \in C(X; F)$  such that

$$f(x) = 1 \text{ and } f(K) = \{0\}$$

we consider  $\gamma \in F$  with  $|\gamma| > |\lambda_{n_0}|$  then  $\gamma f \in M(K; B_\delta)$  but  $\gamma f \notin \lambda_{n_0} M(K_{n_0}, B_1)$ . This contradiction proves our claim.

In 1.6 we proved that the property of being a DF-space is preserved under the formation of quotient topologies. In [3] we showed that  $C(X; F)$  and  $E$  can be considered as complementary closed subspaces of  $C(X; E)$ . Now we can formulate of following:

*2.5. Corollary:*

Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $(F; | \cdot |)$  be a spherically complete field. Then  $C(X; F)$  and  $E$  are DF-spaces if and only if  $C(X; E)$  is a DF-space.

## REFERENCES

- [1] HOLLSTEIN, R. *Permanence properties of  $C(X; E)$* . (To appear).
- [2] INGLETON, A. *The Hahn-Banach theorem for nonarchimedean valued fields*, *Proceedings*. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 48 (1952), 41-45.
- [3] NAVARRO, S. *Espaços vetoriais topológicos de funções contínuas*. Doctoral thesis, UNICAMP, BRASIL, 1982.
- [4] PROLLA, J.B. *Topics in Functional Analysis over Valued*

*Division Rings*, North Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1982.

[5] VAN TIEL, J. *Espaces localement K-convexes, I, II, III*, *Inda-*

*gationes Math.* 27 (1965), 249-289.

[6] WARNER, S. *The topology of compact convergence on continuous function spaces*, *Duke Math. J.* 25 (1958), 265-282.