

Stephen F. Austin State University SFA ScholarWorks

**Faculty Publications** 

Biology

2012

## Effects of an Invasive Plant, Chinese Tallow (Triadica sebifera), on Development and Survival of Anuran Larvae

Taylor B. Cotten Stephen F Austin State University

Matthew Kwiatkowski Stephen F Austin State University, kwiatkowm@sfasu.edu

Daniel Saenz USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station

Michael Collyer Stephen F Austin State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.sfasu.edu/biology

Part of the Biology Commons, and the Forest Sciences Commons Tell us how this article helped you.

### **Repository Citation**

Cotten, Taylor B.; Kwiatkowski, Matthew; Saenz, Daniel; and Collyer, Michael, "Effects of an Invasive Plant, Chinese Tallow (Triadica sebifera), on Development and Survival of Anuran Larvae" (2012). *Faculty Publications*. 150.

https://scholarworks.sfasu.edu/biology/150

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Biology at SFA ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of SFA ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact cdsscholarworks@sfasu.edu.

# Effects of an Invasive Plant, Chinese Tallow (*Triadica sebifera*), on Development and Survival of Anuran Larvae

TAYLOR B. COTTEN,<sup>1,2</sup> MATTHEW A. KWIATKOWSKI,<sup>1,3</sup> DANIEL SAENZ,<sup>4</sup> AND MICHAEL COLLYER<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Stephen F. Austin State University, PO Box 13003, Nacogdoches, Texas 75962, USA <sup>4</sup>Southern Research Station, U.S. Forest Service, 506 Hayter Street, Nacogdoches, Texas 75965, USA

ABSTRACT.—Amphibians are considered one of the most threatened vertebrate groups. Although numerous studies have addressed the many causes of amphibian population decline, little is known about effects of invasive plants. Chinese tallow (*Triadica sebifera*) is an exotic deciduous tree that has invaded the southeastern United States. Amphibian larvae in environments invaded by *T. sebifera* may be impacted negatively as autumn leaf litter decomposes in natal areas. We compared effects of leaf litter decomposition from *T. sebifera* and two native tree species on survival and development of four species of anuran larvae from eastern Texas. Larvae from *Pseudacris fouquettei*, *Lithobates (Rana) sphenocephalus*, *Hyla versicolor*, and *Incilius (Bufo) nebulifer* were introduced into mesocosms containing leaf litter from one of the three tree species. *Pseudacris fouquettei* and *L. sphenocephalus*, species that breed earlier in the year, had lower survival within the *T. sebifera* pools. *Pseudacris fouquettei* were smaller in *T. sebifera* mesocosms compared with native tree mesocosms, whereas *L. sphenocephalus* were larger in *T. sebifera* mesocosms; however, survival was not significantly different among treatments. Leaf litter treatment did not affect survival or development in *I. nebulifer*. Our results suggest that breeding season may determine how each species survives and develops in an environment with *T. sebifera* leaf litter. *Triadica sebifera* leaf litter breaks down faster than native species; therefore, negative effects may be short lived but pose a greater threat to species that breed soon after leaffall.

Amphibians are considered, perhaps, the most threatened of all vertebrate groups, with recent estimates that 43% of all amphibian species are experiencing population declines (Semlitsch, 2003; Stuart et al., 2004; Cushman, 2005; Mendelson et al., 2006). These declines do not seem to result from a single variable common to all species. Rather, threats include pollution, habitat loss and fragmentation, climate change, and disease (Blaustein et al., 1994; Berger et al., 1998; Alford and Richards, 1999; Andersen et al., 2004; Relyea, 2005; Becker et al., 2007). Amphibians seem especially susceptible because of their habitat requirements for both aquatic and terrestrial environments. Aquatic threats might be particularly dangerous because they could presumably affect adults, eggs, and larvae. For example, detrimental effects on larvae by a widely used herbicide, atrazine, have been documented previously (e.g., Hayes et al., 2002, 2003), although effects on larvae survival are equivocal (Diana et al., 2000; Allran and Karasov, 2001; Storrs and Kiesecker, 2004; LaFiandra et al., 2008).

Amphibian declines also can be attributed to invasive species (Kiesecker, 2003; Maerz et al., 2009). Negative effects of invasive animals, especially anurans and fishes, on amphibian communities are well documented (Moyle, 1973; Lawler et al., 1999; Adams, 2000; Knapp and Matthews, 2000; Hamer et al., 2002; Doubledee et al., 2003). Impacts of invasive plant species on vertebrate populations are not as well known (Soulé and Orians, 2001), but they are beginning to receive more attention. Detrimental effects from exotic plant invasions have been demonstrated for a variety of vertebrates (Schmidt and Whelan, 1999; Borgmann and Rodewald, 2004), and some evidence indicates they impact amphibian species. Garlic mustard (Alliaria petiolata) invasion is associated with declines in terrestrial woodland salamanders and Japanese knotweed (Fallopia japonica) reduces adult amphibian foraging success (Maerz et al., 2005a,b, 2009). Purple loosestrife (Lythrum

*salicaria*) affects survival and development in larvae of American Toads (*Anaxyrus americanus*, Frost et al., 2006); tadpoles exposed to the exotic species developed slower and had lower survival than those exposed to native plants (Brown et al., 2006). These detrimental effects may result from direct toxicity from high tannin concentrations produced by the exotic species and from indirect impacts on the aquatic ecosystems (Brown et al., 2006).

The invasive exotic tree Chinese tallow (*Triadica sebifera*) is a member of the family Euphorbiaceae native to southeastern Asia (Webster, 1994; Bruce et al., 1997). *Triadica sebifera* was introduced to the United States in the 1700s as an ornamental, and it could be found from the Texas Gulf Coast to the Atlantic coast of North Carolina by the 1800s, often as monocultures (Brown, 1956; Radford et al., 1964). *Triadica sebifera* is a deciduous species with extremely rapid growth; trees can reach 2.8 m just 2 yr after germination (Scheld and Cowles, 1981).

Triadica sebifera's range expansion potential is limited by cold weather and the availability of water (Jones and Sharitz, 1990; Barrilleaux and Grace, 2000). In Texas, the tree has become prolific in bottomlands and coastal areas (Correll and Johnston, 1970), and it overlaps with habitat of more than 20 species of amphibians (Conant and Collins, 1998; Dixon, 2000); yet, impacts of this tree on anuran species found within its range are virtually unknown. Because T. sebifera thrives in wet habitats, it is often found at sites where anurans deposit eggs and larvae develop. At these sites, T. sebifera may affect anuran populations through considerable amount of leaf litter added to the water. Decomposition of T. sebifera leaf litter could impact anuran larvae development or survival by changing water chemistry, such as pH and oxygen levels, or by adding novel tannins to the water (Schmitz et al., 1997; Maerz et al., 2005b; Brown et al., 2006). If leaf litter from T. sebifera has detrimental effects on larvae, potential impact on anuran populations could be considerable, given the rapid spread of this invasive plant.

Objectives of this study were to determine whether (1) *T. sebifera* leaf litter affects anuran development compared with two native tree species, (2) *T. sebifera* leaf litter reduces survival in anuran larvae compared with the leaf litter from two native

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Present Address: Arizona Game and Fish Department, 5000 W. Carefree Highway, Phoenix, Arizona 85086-5000, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Corresponding Author. E-mail: kwiatkowm@sfasu.edu DOI: 10.1670/10-311

tree species, and (3) survival differs among species in different treatments.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Species.-Four anuran species (Cajun Chorus Frog, Pseudacris fouquettei; Gray Treefrog, Hyla versicolor; Southern Leopard Frog, Lithobates sphenocephalus; and Gulf Coast Toad, Incilius nebulifer) were selected for the study to represent a broad ecological and taxonomic range, including two hylids, one ranid, and one bufonid. Two species, in this study, are considered winter breeders in eastern Texas. The Cajun Chorus Frog is a small species (2-3 cm) commonly found in moist woodlands, grassy swales, and river bottoms. They breed from January to March and deposit 100-1,500 eggs per female in several small clusters attached to substrate or along the bottom of ephemeral pools (Correll and Johnston, 1970; Conant and Collins, 1998; Saenz, 2004). Southern Leopard Frogs average 8 cm and are common in most shallow freshwater habitats. They breed yearround in Texas (Saenz et al., 2006), with peaks in fall and late winter to early spring, producing 3,000-5,000 eggs per female in a single large clutch (Smith, 1961; Correll and Johnston, 1970; Conant and Collins, 1998).

The other two study species are spring–summer breeders. Gray Treefrogs average 3–5 cm in length and deposit 600–4,200 eggs per female in several clusters, either attached to vegetation or often in floating masses from March to July (Saenz et al., 2006). They inhabit wooded areas near both temporary and permanent waters, spending most of their times in the trees and only coming to the water to breed (Ritke et al., 1990). The Gulf Coast Toad (Frost et al., 2009) averages 5–10 cm and breeds in diverse habitats that can include heavily disturbed areas from March to September (Conant and Collins, 1998; Saenz et al., 2006). Females deposit an average of 4,100 eggs in long strings often coiled around vegetation (Limbaugh and Volpe, 1957; Conant and Collins, 1998).

These four ecologically and phylogenetically diverse species (four genera and three families) overlap the range of *T. sebifera*, red maple (*Acer rubrum*), and swamp chestnut oak (*Quercus michauxii*) and are potentially affected by detritus from these trees (Correll and Johnston, 1970; Conant and Collins, 1998). *Acer rubrum* is a common bottomland hardwood species within the range of both *T. sebifera* and the amphibians being tested. *Acer rubrum* has a more rapid decomposition rate then other native species, but it is not as fast as *T. sebifera* (Leonard, 2008). Similar effects on tadpoles in both *T. sebifera* and *A. rubrum* may suggest a function of rapid leaf decay. *Quercus michauxii*, another common bottomland hardwood species within the range of the anurans tested in this study, has an extremely slow decomposition rate that may affect water chemistry differently than that of a species that decomposes rapidly.

Mesocosm Design.—Freshly fallen leaves from *T. sebifera, A. rubrum,* and *Q. michauxii* were collected before they had a chance to begin decomposition, or for *T. sebifera,* by cutting down the trees and stripping loosely attached leaves from branches. Leaves were collected from trees on the campus of Stephen F. Austin State University and in the Stephen F. Austin Experimental Forest (SFAEF), part of the Angelina National Forest, in Nacogdoches County, Texas. All leaf collection took place during the first 3 weeks of November 2007. All leaves collected were then airdried. An oven was not used for drying to simulate natural conditions of leaffall without compromising the experimental design.

Ninety mesocosms were created by adding 150 g of dry leaf litter to 30 100-liter plastic wading pools for each of the three tree species. On 13 December 2007, each pool was filled with 80 liters of well water from the SFAEF to produce approximately 1.875 g of leaf litter per liter of water. Holes were drilled in the pools just above the water line to prevent tadpoles from possibly spilling over the tops during heavy rainfall. To simulate natural ponds, primary producers and other microscopic organisms were collected with a 150-µm mesh plankton net from nearby natural ponds within the SFAEF and divided evenly between all mesocosms 24 h after water was added. Mesocosms were allowed to overwinter in the field before the first tadpoles were introduced. Introducing leaf litter well in advance of the tadpoles mimicked the process of fall deciduous leaf shed and rainfall, followed by primary production. The first frog species was introduced 51 days after the pools were filled. Pools were covered with mesh shade cloth to prevent colonization from invertebrate predators or unintended breeding amphibians. All pools received the same light cycle, temperature, and rainfall.

Anuran Collection and Introduction.-Breeding pairs of P. fouquettei, H. versicolor, and I. nebulifer were captured in amplexus and returned to the laboratory in buckets containing water from their breeding sights, where they were allowed to deposit their eggs in the laboratory before being released. Early developmental L. sphenocephalus egg clutches were collected from breeding sites the day after breeding behavior was observed. Fifteen clutches from 15 different breeding pairs were collected for each species. Tadpoles were allowed to develop in plastic tubs inside the laboratory for 3 days after hatching until the entire yolk had been absorbed to ensure larvae were healthy before introduction into mesocosms. Three groups of 50 tadpoles, one group for each of the three leaf treatments, were separated from each clutch and introduced to randomly assigned mesocosms. Introduction dates for each species depended on breeding times (Table 1). Each mesocosm contained 0.625 tadpoles/L water to minimize impacts from higher tadpole densities (Girish and Saidapur, 2003). Only a single species was in each mesocosm at a time, and leaf litter was not changed during the duration of the study.

Sampling .--- Based on the earliest possible time to metamorphosis, according to the literature, three sampling periods were determined for each species (Table 1). Accordingly, each mesocosm was sampled by removing five individuals at a point early in development (t1), at an intermediate point (t2), and then at the end of development (t3; Table 1). All collected tadpoles were anesthetized in MS-222 and then preserved in 10% formalin in accordance with guidelines of the American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists, Herpetological Animal Care and Use Committee. Tadpoles were deposited in the Stephen F. Austin State University Vertebrate Museum (4925-4989 and 5045-5092). The three sampling time periods were selected to prevent metamorphs from dispersing out of the pools. Gosner stage (GS; Gosner, 1960) was recorded and total length (millimeters), tail length (millimeters), tail depth (millimeters) and head length (millimeters) were measured for each individual sampled using digital calipers. Mean values were calculated for each mesocosm at each sample period. At the final sampling period (t3), all surviving tadpoles (including the five collected for t3) were collected and counted to determine survival.

Data Analyses.—All size (total length) and GS data were adjusted to account for clutch effects by subtracting clutch means (at time of measurement) from pool values. Therefore, every value is a residual that represents either positive or negative

TABLE 1. Minimum days to metamorphosis, approximate time period (t1, t2, and t3) for sampling each anuran species as determined by average larval time periods, dates of tadpole introduction, and final sample date.

| Species                   | Days to metamorphosis              | t1     | t2     | t3     | Introduction dates   | Final sample taken |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Pseudacris fouquettei     | 55 days (Smith, 1983)              | Day 15 | Day 30 | Day 55 | 3–14 February 2008   | 10 April 2008      |
| Lithobates sphenocephalus | 67 days (Wright and Wright, 1949)  | Day 15 | Day 40 | Day 67 | 9–14 February 2008   | 22 April 2008      |
| Hyla versicolor           | 35 days (Ritke et al., 1990)       | Day 15 | Day 25 | Day 35 | 10 April–22 May 2008 | 26 June 2008       |
| Incilius nebulifer        | 20 days (Garrett and Barker, 1987) | Day 6  | Day 12 | Day 20 | 15–26 May 2008       | 1 June 2008        |

differences in size or GS from expected clutch values. These clutch-adjusted residuals were used to test for differences among treatments, at each time measurements were taken. Because initial examination of the morphometric measures revealed they were highly correlated (all Pearson r > 0.97), only total length was used in subsequent analyses as a metric of frog size. Although the experiment was a repeated-measures design, parametric repeated measures analysis of variance is problematic because some pools lost all tadpoles before the experiment ended (i.e., produced missing values). Therefore, nonparametric permutation procedures (randomization tests) were performed to test the null hypothesis of no differences among treatment means. The 45 pools were considered fixed (experimental) units that were randomly shuffled to different treatments in every random permutation. In each permutation, mean size and GS were calculated for each treatment, as well as all pairwise differences between treatment means. This procedure was repeated 10,000 times for each species (the observed differences accounted for one random permutation) to produce empirical null distributions of treatment differences at each time of measurement. The percentile of the observed value in any distribution was the P value of the test (the probability of finding a greater difference than the observed case by chance alone). We considered a pairwise difference significant if P < 0.05.

Likewise, survival data were clutch adjusted by subtracting the average number of survivors (of 50) from a clutch from each pool in which the clutch was introduced. In this case, every value is a residual which expresses the expected number of survivors above or below the clutch mean when that clutch was introduced to the different treatment types. The same permutation procedure was performed to test the null hypothesis of no difference in survival among the different treatments. In each iteration, survival disparity was calculated as  $\sum d^2 / {n \choose 2}$ , where d is a pairwise difference in survival, n is the number of groups compared, and  $\binom{n}{2}$  is the number of possible comparisons (in this case, equal to 3 for the three treatments). The expected value of survival disparity is 0 under the null hypothesis. The 10,000 random permutations performed for each anuran species produced empirical null distributions of survival disparity, from which the percentile of the observed disparity was the Pvalue of the test. We considered a pairwise difference significant if P < 0.05.

To consider whether size and GS were associated with survival, partial Mantel (1967) tests were performed. This method calculates the correlation between matrices of the same rank (usually, square symmetrical matrices) and tests the strength of the correlation with a permutation test, where cells of one matrix are randomly shuffled. We performed this test restricted to matrices of within-species intertreatment pairwise difference, for both size and survival. Plots of size and GS differences vs. survival differences were produced to facilitate an understanding of any significant (P < 0.05) correlation.

#### Results

Triadica sebifera, rather than A. rubrum or Q. michauxii, had significant effects on size differences among treatments but only during the late measurement period (Fig. 1). However, the effect of T. sebifera on anuran size was not consistent among species. Pseudacris fouquettei tadpoles exposed to the tallow treatment had significantly reduced growth, noticeable by the third measurement (Fig. 1; P = 0.0001 for T. sebifera-A. rubrum comparison; P = 0.0107 for *T. sebifera–Q. michauxii* comparison). However, L. sphenocephalus tadpoles exposed to the T. sebifera treatment had significantly increased growth, noticeable by the third measurement (Fig. 1; P = 0.0010 for T. sebifera-A. rubrum comparison; P = 0.0001 for *T. sebifera–Q. michauxii* comparison). Hyla versicolor tadpoles had slight but significant increased growth in the tallow treatment compared with the oak treatment in the third measurement (Fig. 1; P = 0.0241) but not compared with the maple treatment (P = 0.1628). No significant size differences were observed among treatments for I. nebulifer.

For P. fouquettei and L. sphenocephalus, differences in GS were consistent with growth (Fig. 2). Tadpoles of P. fouquettei were comparatively less developed in the T. sebifera treatment, but only in the third measurement (Fig. 2; P = 0.0044 for T. sebifera-A. rubrum comparison; P = 0.0004 for T. sebifera-Q. michauxii comparison). Tadpoles of L. sphenocephalus were comparatively more developed in the T. sebifera treatment, but also only in the third measurement (Fig. 2; P = 0.0002 for T. sebifera-A. rubrum comparison; P = 0 0.0001 for T. sebifera-Q. michauxii comparison). Size differences among treatments for tadpoles of H. versicolor were only observed in the third time measurement, although differences in developmental rate were observed throughout the experiment (Fig. 2). Tadpoles in T. sebifera treatments were comparatively more developed than those in Q. michauxii or A. rubrum treatments at every time measurement (P < 0.0088 and P < 0.0184, respectively), but tadpoles in Q. michauxii or A. rubrum treatments did not differ in GS (P > 0.4451). Tadpoles of I. nebulifer in T. sebifera treatments were comparatively more developed than tadpoles in either Q. michauxii or A. rubrum treatments, but these differences were marginally significant (P = 0.0298 and 0.0329, respectively).

*Triadica sebifera* treatments negatively affected survival for *P. fouquettei* and *L. sphenocephalus*, but not the other two species (Table 2). Interestingly, *P. fouquettei* seemed to survive better in *A. rubrum* treatments and *L. sphenocephalus* seemed to survive better in *Q. michauxii* treatments, although both species survived better in either of these treatments compared with *T. sebifera*.

Survival (apparent density) was not associated with development, because survival differences were not correlated with GS differences (Fig. 3; Mantel r = 0.0469, P = 0.4496). However, size and survival were associated (Fig. 3; Mantel r = 0.6458, P = 0.0213). This association was largely influenced by the results from *L. sphenocephalus*. After removing the values for *L.* 

#### EFFECTS OF AN INVASIVE PLANT ON ANURAN LARVAE





Fig. 1. Box plots of residual (clutch-adjusted) total length for species  $\times$  treatment  $\times$  time comparisons. Boxes represent the interquartile range. Whiskers represent either the full range of the data or 1.5 times the interquartile range, in which case outliers are shown as open circles. Bolded lines within boxes are medians. Treatment types are noted within time periods with A = *Acer rubrum* (red maple), Q = *Quercus michauxii* (swamp chestnut oak), and T = *Triadica sebifera* (Chinese tallow).

*sphenocephalus,* comparisons between *T. sebifera* treatments and other treatments were no longer significant (Mantel r = 0.2982, P = 0.2063).

#### DISCUSSION

Effects of *T. sebifera* on tadpole development, morphology, and survival were species specific. The two species that breed early in the year, *P. fouquettei* and *L. sphenocephalus*, had lower survival in the *T. sebifera* treatment. However, tallow impacted their development differently; *P. fouquettei* were smaller and less

FIG. 2. Box plots of residual (clutch-adjusted) Gosner stage for species × treatment × time comparisons. Boxes represent the interquartile range. Whiskers represent either the full range of the data or 1.5 times the interquartile range, in which case outliers are shown as open circles. Bolded lines within boxes are medians. Treatment types are noted within time periods with A = Acer rubrum (red maple), Q = Quercus michauxii (swamp chestnut oak), and T = Triadica sebifera (Chinese tallow).

developed and *L. sphenocephalus* were larger and more developed with tallow. The larger size of *L. sphenocephalus* was, in part, attributable to the low density of individuals by the third time period, although previous studies also associated slower development with lower density (Dash and Hota, 1980; Girish and Saidapur, 2003). For the two species that breed later in the year, *H. versicolor* and *I. nebulifer*, survival did not differ among the treatments. However, *T. sebifera* seemed to affect growth and development in *H. versicolor*. Larvae in the *T. sebifera* treatment were larger and developed more rapidly than in the

TABLE 2. Survival of four species of anurans in experimental mesocosms. Values are average numbers of individuals (per 50) surviving the experiment, relative to clutch strength (i.e., positive values mean better survival and negative values mean poorer survival for any clutch exposed to the three treatments). Disparity is sum of squared differences divided by the number of comparisons. *P* values are percentiles of observed values from empirical null distributions, generated from 10,000 random permutations of a permutation test.

| Species                   | Oak   | Maple | Tallow                              | Disparity | Р      |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Pseudacris fouquettei     | 3.07  | 7.53  | $-10.60 \\ -10.20 \\ -1.62 \\ 1.71$ | 178.52    | 0.0001 |
| Lithobates sphenocephalus | 9.40  | 0.80  |                                     | 193.04    | 0.0001 |
| Hyla versicolor           | 0.44  | 1.18  |                                     | 4.22      | 0.6036 |
| Incilius nebulifer        | -0.69 | -1.02 |                                     | 4.45      | 0.6595 |

other treatments, similar to what was seen with *L. sphenocephalus*. In a similar study investigating the impacts of *T. sebifera* on amphibians, Leonard (2008) found that larvae of some anuran species exhibited lower survival when exposed to leaf litter from *T. sebifera*, whereas other species did not show a negative effect.



FIG. 3. Association of average species  $\times$  treatment size differences (A) and Gosner stage differences (B) with survival differences. Open triangles pointed down represent Chorus Frogs; filled triangles pointed up represent Leopard Frogs; open circles represent Gray Tree Frogs; and filled circles represent Gulf Coast Toads.

Anuran Breeding Phenology and Survival.—There was a distinct difference in the effect of *T. sebifera* on survival between early and late-breeding species. *Triadica sebifera* leaf litter breaks down rapidly, faster than native species (Cameron and Spencer, 1989; Leonard, 2008), perhaps breaking down so quickly that later breeding amphibians experience less detrimental effects from rapid *T. sebifera* decomposition in their breeding sites. Brown et al. (2006) investigated the effects of the exotic invasive plant *Lythrum salicaria* on survival and development of tadpoles of *A. americanus*. They found that tadpoles exposed to the exotic species developed slower and had lower survival and suggested leached tannins from the plants were the cause. With the rapid breakdown of *T. sebifera* in aquatic environments, a similar scenario could have occurred in this experiment.

Alternatively, the difference in larvae survival between early and late breeders may have resulted from lower dissolved oxygen levels in the early treatments. Although abiotic factors within each mesocosm were not measured in this study, rapid breakdown of T. sebifera leaf litter would lead to low dissolved oxygen during that period (Leonard, 2008; Saenz, unpubl. data). Anecdotal observations suggested that P. fouquettei tadpoles exposed to leaf litter from T. sebifera had enlarged hearts. Enlarged hearts in tadpoles suggests an increase in stress on the cardiovascular system, possibly due to decreased oxygen levels (Pronych and Wassersug, 1994). However, I. nebulifer tadpoles showed no difference in survival between the three leaf litter treatments, yet they are obligate gill breathers (Ultsch et al. 1999) and therefore should have been more affected by low oxygen levels than the other species that develop lungs and gulp air much sooner. Survival of gill-breathing A. americanus tadpoles was negatively affected by aqueous extracts from the invasive plant L. salicaria, whereas these extracts had no effect on H. versicolor tadpoles that develop lungs sooner (Maerz et al., 2005b). Maerz et al. (2005b) suggested that phenolics from the L. salicaria extracts may cause damage to the toad gills. Survival in I. nebulifer in this study was not affected by treatment. Adequate rainfall may have diluted any harmful extracts from the T. sebifera as well as increased oxygen levels by the time I. nebulifer tadpoles were introduced. Without introducing new leaf litter, compounds from leaf decomposition would have been far less concentrated by the time H. versicolor and I. nebulifer tadpoles were introduced, either from dilution by rainfall or by decomposition over time. Although development was significantly different in the T. sebifera treatment for H. versicolor, it seems that later breeding amphibians were introduced to more similar conditions among the three treatments such that tadpole survival was not differentially influence in H. versicolor and I. nebulifer. Leaf litter concentration for pools in this investigation was determined based on data in a previous study where litter accumulation on the bottom of natural ponds was measured (Leonard, 2008). Attempts to manipulate this approach may clarify what concentration of leaf litter may be harmful to a species and provide information useful in developing management protocols to control this invasive species.

An alternative explanation for the difference in larvae survival between early and late breeders is that species such as *I. nebulifer* and *H. versicolor* may be better adapted to dealing with unpredictable aquatic environments, such as rapid aquatic habitat evaporation from summer heat, and increased invertebrate predator densities. By being accustomed to unpredictable environmental conditions, these species may be better able to handle stress introduced from the leaf litter than *P. fouquettei* and *L. sphenocephalus* that breed earlier in the year. Accordingly, breeding phenology for each species may influence how well each species survives within *T. sebifera* leaf litter.

Effects of Morphology and Development.-Morphology and developmental rate of tadpoles have impacts on survival and performance of adult anurans after metamorphosis. For example, predation of anurans is most intense during the larval stage, during metamorphosis, and in early juvenile life (Arnold and Wassersug, 1978). Hence, fast growth and development allow tadpoles to escape predation from aquatic predators and drying ephemeral ponds, thereby increasing their chances of survival and reaching breeding size early (Travis et al., 1985; Smith, 1987; Newman, 1988; Ficetola and De Bernardi, 2006). Rapid larval growth and development, such as observed with *H. versicolor* and L. sphenocephalus tadpoles in T. sebifera mesocosms, have been suggested to increase growth rate and survival in postmetamorphic stages directly (Pechenik et al., 1998; Altwegg and Reyer, 2003). However, rapid aquatic development can lead to shorter legs in early metamorphic frogs and may decrease jumping performance and the ability to escape terrestrial predators, whereas tadpoles in environments without predators produced adult frogs with relatively longer legs (Relyea, 2001; Ficetola and De Bernardi, 2006). Several other studies also have suggested that body size affects jumping performance of frogs and their ability to escape predation as adults (John-Alder and Morin, 1990; Miller et al., 1993). Furthermore, Beck and Congdon (2000) found that larger tadpoles had higher metabolic rates as adults, faster sprint speeds, and greater endurance than those that had a lower mass. Hence, rapid development (a consequence of the size needed to escape an aquatic habitat) may decrease survival of adult frogs. This ultimately produces a trade-off for developing amphibians for which a longer larval period produces a more robust adult frog with greater fitness; however, the tadpole is more likely to succumb to predation or be affected by another aquatic stressor. Triadica sebifera could be stimulating certain species (L. sphenocephalus and H. versicolor) to develop more quickly to escape the aquatic environment, but these tadpoles may have greater difficulty escaping predation as adults. If frogs are leaving aquatic environments sooner triggered by stress due to T. sebifera litter, and they are being preved upon more heavily as adults, this may lead to population declines for L. sphenocephalus and H. versicolor where their range overlaps with this invasive plant.

*Consequences of Invasive Plants on Anurans.*—Invasive species are thought to create an "evolutionary trap" scenario where species adapted to natural habitats are unable to adapt to the rapid invasion of a nonnative species, leading to decreases in population size (Kokko and Sutherland, 2001; Schlaepfer et al., 2005; Miner et al., 2005; Strauss et al., 2006). This may be the situation *T. sebifera* is creating for native amphibian populations. Species such as *P. fouquettei* and *L. sphenocephalus* that had lower survival in *T. sebifera* may not be able to overcome their adaptive trap as *T. sebifera* encroaches rapidly on native habitat. *Triadica sebifera* also may create an ecological trap scenario that adversely affects breeding behavior. *Hyla versicolor* seems to avoid calling in *T. sebifera* compared with native deciduous trees (Kwiatkowski, unpubl. data). If anuran species are found to avoid areas with *T. sebifera*, this may lead species to select for lower quality habitats.

Although there is evidence of detrimental impacts to amphibian populations from introduced vertebrates such as fishes and other amphibians (Lawler et al., 1999; Rosen and Schwalbe, 2002; Baber and Babbitt, 2003; Kiesecker, 2003), bottom-up effects of an invasive species on amphibian abundance are lacking (Maerz et al., 2009). Encroachment from invasive plants may alter nutrient characteristics, chemical and physical habitat features, and trophic composition of native habitats (Wedin and Tilman, 1990; Chapin et al., 1997; Knops et al., 2002). Forests of T. sebifera contained significantly higher quantities of Ca, N, K, Mg, and P than in adjacent native temperate deciduous habitat. Cameron and LaPoint (1978) noted that fall leaf litter readily leached tannins and phenolics during rainfall; this leaching, along with rapid decay, could potentially affect aquatic environments in which T. sebifera is found. Tannins and other materials leached from T. sebifera leaf litter into aquatic ecosystems were found to inhibit the performance of aquatic reducers (invertebrates) and reduce the recycling of nutrients within ephemeral ponds (Cameron and LaPoint, 1978). Combined with other threats to amphibian populations, plant invasions could intensify the effects on already threatened species.

With proper management techniques, such as creating buffer zones free of the invasive around critical habitat, the impacts of an invasive on native populations can be reduced (Semlitsch, 2003). Invasive plants have been found to negatively affect amphibian larval performances and the foraging success of adults; however, there is no research connecting an invasive plant directly to population level declines (Maerz et al., 2005a,b, 2009; Brown et al., 2006). With global amphibian populations threatened, it is important to investigate and consider all possible factors contributing to population losses, including possible interactions between *T. sebifera* and other known contributors to amphibian population decline, such as pollutants or disease.

Acknowledgments.—Collection of specimens was conducted under Texas Parks and Wildlife Department permits SPR 0490-059 and SPR 0708-296. This research was supported by the U.S. Forest Service Wildlife Habitat and Silviculture Lab in Nacogdoches, Texas, and Stephen F. Austin State University.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- ADAMS, M. J. 2000. Pond permanence and the effects of exotic vertebrates on anurans. Ecological Applications 10:559–568.
- ALFORD, R. A., AND S. J. RICHARDS. 1999. Global amphibian declines: a problem in applied ecology. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 30:133–165.
- ALLRAN, J. W., AND W. H. KARASOV. 2001. Effects of atrazine on embryos, larvae, and adults of anuran amphibians. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 20:769–775.
- ALTWEGG, R., AND H. U. REYER. 2003. Patterns of natural selection on size at metamorphosis in water frogs. Evolution 57:872–882.
- ANDERSEN, L. W., K. FOG, AND C. DAMGAARD. 2004. Habitat fragmentation causes bottlenecks and inbreeding in the European tree frog (*Hyla arborea*). Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B 271:1293– 1302.
- ARNOLD, S. J., AND R. J. WASSERSUG. 1978. Differential predation on metamorphic anurans by garter snakes (*Thamnophis*): social behavior as a possible defense. Ecology 59:1014–1022.

- BABER, M. J., AND K. J. BABBITT. 2003. The relative impacts of native and introduced predatory fish on a temporary wetland tadpole assemblage. Oecologia 136:289–295.
- BARRILLEAUX, T. C., AND J. B. GRACE. 2000. Growth and invasive potential of *Sapium sebifera* (Euphorbiaceae) within the coastal prairie region: the effects of soil and moisture regime. American Journal of Botany 87:1099–1106.
- BECK, C. W., AND J. D. CONGDON. 2000. Effects of age and size at metamorphosis on performance and metabolic rates of Southern toad, *Bufo terrestris* metamorphs. Functional Ecology 14:32–38.
- BECKER, C. G., C. R. FONSECA, C. F. BAPTISTA HADDA, R. FERNANDES BATISTA, AND P. I. PRADO. 2007. Habitat split and the global decline of amphibians. Science 318:1775–1777.
- BERGER, L., R. SPEARE, P. DASZAK, D. E. GREEN, A. A. CUNNINGHAM, C. L. GOGGIN, R. SLOCOMBE, M. A. RAGAN, A. D. HYATT, K. R. MCDONALD ET AL. 1998. Chytridiomycosis causes amphibian mortality associated with population declines in the rain forests of Australia and Central America. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 95: 9031–9036.
- BLAUSTEIN, A. R., P. D. HOFFMAN, D. G. HOKIT, J. M. KIESECKER, S. C. WALLS, AND J. B. HAYS. 1994. UV repair and resistance to solar UV-B in amphibian eggs: a link to populations? Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 91:1791–1795.
- BORGMANN, K. L., AND A. D. RODEWALD. 2004. Nest predation in an urbanizing landscape: the role of exotic shrubs. Ecological Applications 14:1757–1765.
- BROWN, C. A. 1956. Commercial Trees of Louisiana. Louisiana Forestry Commission, Baton Rouge, LA.
- BROWN, C. J., B. BLOSSEY, J. C. MAERZ, AND S. J. JOULE. 2006. Invasive plant and experimental venue affect tadpole performance. Biological Invasions 8:327–338.
- BRUCE, K. A., G. N. CAMERON, P. A. HARCOMBE, AND G. JUBINSKY. 1997. Introduction, impact on native habitats, and management of a woody invader, the Chinese tallow tree, *Sapium sebifera* Roxb. Natural Areas Journal 17:255–260.
- CAMERON, G. N., AND T. W. LAPOINT. 1978. Effects of tannins on decomposition of Chinese tallow leaves by terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates. Oecologia 32:349–366.
- CAMERON, G. N., AND S. R. SPENCER. 1989. Rapid leaf decay and nutrient release in a Chinese tallow forest. Oecologia 80:222–228.
- CHAPIN, S. F., III, B. H. WALKER, R. J. HOBBS, D. U. HOOPER, J. H. LAWTON, O. E. SALA, AND D. TILMAN. 1997. Biotic control over the functioning of ecosystems. Science 227:500–504.
- CONANT, R., AND J. T. COLLINS. 1998. A Field Guide to the Reptiles and Amphibians: Eastern and Central North America. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston, MA.
- CORRELL, D. S., AND M. C. JOHNSTON. 1970. Manual of the Vascular Plants of Texas. Texas Research Foundation, Renner, TX.
- CUSHMAN, S. A. 2005. Effects of habitat loss and fragmentation on amphibians: a review and prospectus. Biological Conservation 128: 231–240.
- DASH, M. C., AND A. K. HOTA. 1980. Density effects on the survival growth rate and metamorphosis of *Rana tigrina* tadpoles. Ecology 61: 1025–1028.
- DIANA, S. G., W. K. RESETARITS JR., D. J. SCHAEFFER, K. B. BECKMAN, AND V. R. BEASLEY. 2000. Effects of atrazine on amphibian growth and survival in artificial aquatic communities. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 19:2961–2967.
- DIXON, J. R. 2000. Amphibians and Reptiles of Texas. Texas A&M University Press, College Station, TX.
- DOUBLEDEE, R. A., E. B. MULLER, AND R. M. NISBET. 2003. Bullfrogs, disturbance regimes, and the persistence of California Red-legged Frogs. Journal of Wildlife Management 67:424–438.
- FICETOLA, G. F., AND F. DE BERNARDI. 2006. Trade-off between larval development rate and post-metamorphic traits in the frog *Rana latastei*. Evolutionary Ecology 20:143–158.
- FROST, D. R., T. GRANT, J. FAIVOVICH, R. H. BAIN, A. HAAS, C. F. B. HADDAD, R. O. DE SÁ, A. CHANNING, M. WILKINSON, S. C. DONNELLAN ET AL. 2006. The amphibian tree of life. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 297:1–370.
- FROST, D. R., J. R. MENDELSON III, AND J. PRAMUK. 2009. Further notes on the nomenclature of middle American toads (Bufonidae). Copeia 2009:418.
- GARRETT, J. M., AND D. G. BARKER. 1987. A Field Guide to the Reptiles and Amphibians of Texas. Texas Monthly Press Incorporated, Austin, TX.
- GIRISH, S., AND S. K. SAIDAPUR. 2003. Density-dependent growth and metamorphosis in the larval bronze frog *Rana temporalis* is influenced

by genetic relatedness of the cohort. Journal of Biosciences 28:489-496.

- GOSNER, K. L. 1960. A simplified table for staging anuran embryos and larvae with notes on identification. Herpetologica 16:183–190.
- HAMER, A. J., S. J. LANE, AND M. J. MAHONY. 2002. The role of introduced Mosquitofish (*Gambusai holbrooki*) in excluding the native Green and Golden Bell Frog (*Litoria aurea*) from original habitats in south– eastern Australia. Oecologia 132:445–452.
- HAYES, T. B., A. COLLINS, M. LEE, M. MENDOZA, N. NORIEGA, A. A. STUART, AND A. VONK. 2002. Hermaphroditic, demasculanized frogs after exposure to the herbicide atrazine at low ecologically relevant doses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 99:5476–5480.
- HAYES, T. B., K. HASTON, M. TSUI, A. HOANG, C. HAEFFELE, AND A. VONK. 2003. Atrazine-induced hermaphroditism at 0.1 ppb in American Leopard Frogs (*Rana pipiens*): laboratory and field evidence. Environmental Health Perspectives 111:568–575.
- JOHN-ALDER, H. B., AND P. J. MORIN. 1990. Effects of larval density on jumping ability and stamina in newly metamorphosed *Bufo wood-housii fowleri*. Copeia 1990:856–860.
- JONES, R. H., AND R. R. SHARITZ. 1990. Effects of root competition and flooding on growth of Chinese tallow tree seedlings. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 20:573–578.
- KIESECKER, J. M. 2003. Invasive species as a global problem: toward understanding the worldwide decline of amphibians. *In* R. D. Semlitsch (ed.), Amphibian Conservation, pp. 113–126. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC.
- KNAPP, R. A., AND R. K. MATTHEWS. 2000. Non-native fish introductions and the decline of the Mountain Yellow-legged Frog from within protected areas. Conservation Biology 14:428–438.
- KNOPS, J. M. H., K. L. BRADLEY, AND D. A. WEDIN. 2002. Mechanisms of plant species impacts on ecosystem nitrogen cycling. Ecology Letters 5:454–466.
- KOKKO, H., AND W. J. SUTHERLAND. 2001. Ecological traps in changing environments: ecological and evolutionary consequences of a behavioral mediated Allee effect. Evolutionary Ecology Research 3: 537–551.
- LAFIANDRA, E. M., K. J. BABBITT, AND S. A. SOWER. 2008. Effects of atrazine on anuran development are altered by the presence of a nonlethal predator. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health A 71:505– 511.
- LAWLER, S. P., D. DRITZ, T. STRANGE, AND M. HOLYOAK. 1999. Effects of introduced Mosquitofish and Bullfrogs on the threatened California Red-legged Frog. Conservation Biology 13:613–622.
- LEONARD, N. E. 2008. The Effects of the Invasive Exotic Chinese Tallow Tree (*Triadica sebifera*) on Amphibians and Aquatic Invertebrates. Unpubl. Ph.D. diss., University of New Orleans, New Orleans, LA.
- LIMBAUGH, B. A., AND E. P. VOLPE. 1957. Early development of the Gulf Coast toad, *Bufo valliceps* Wiegmann. American Museum Novitiates 1842:1–32.
- MAERZ, J. C., B. BLOSSEY, AND V. NUZZO. 2005a. Green Frogs show reduced foraging success in habitats invaded by Japanese knotweed. Biodiversity and Conservation 14:2901–2911.
- MAERZ, J. C., C. J. BROWN, C. T. CHAPIN, AND B. BLOSSEY. 2005b. Can secondary plant compounds of an invasive plant affect larval amphibians? Functional Ecology 19:970–975.
- MAERZ, J. C., V. A. NUZZO, AND B. BLOSSEY. 2009. Declines in woodland salamander abundance associated with non-native earthworm and plant invasions. Conservation Biology 23:975–981.
- MANTEL, N. 1967. The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. Cancer Research 27:209–220.
- MENDELSON, J. R., III, K. R. LIPS, R. W. GAGLIARDO, G. B. RABB, J. P. COLLINS, J. E. DIFFENDORFER, P. DASZAK, D. R. IBÁÑEZ, D. C. ZIPPEL, D. P. LAWSON ET AL. 2006. Confronting amphibian declines and extinctions. Science 313:48.
- MILLER, K., P. B. MONTEFORTE, AND L. F. LANDIS. 1993. Scaling of locomotor performance and enzyme activity in the Leopard Frog, *Rana pipiens*. Herpetologica 49:383–392.
- MINER, B. G., S. E. SULTAN, S. G. MORGAN, D. K. PADILLA, AND R. A. RELYEA. 2005. Ecological consequences of phenotypic plasticity. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 20:687–692.
- MOYLE, P. B. 1973. Effects of introduced Bullfrogs, Rana catesbiana, on native frogs of the San Joaquin Valley, California. Copeia 1973:18–22.
- NEWMAN, R. A. 1988. Adaptive plasticity in development of *scaphiopus couchi* tadpoles in desert ponds. Evolution 42:774–783.
- PECHENIK, J. A., D. E. WENDT, AND J. N. JARRETT. 1998. Metamorphosis is not a new beginning: larval experience influences juvenile performance. Bioscience 48:901–910.

- PRONYCH, S., AND R. WASSERSUG. 1994. Lung use and development in Xenopus laevis tadpoles. Canadian Journal of Zoology 72:738–743.
- RADFORD, A. E., H. E. AHLES, AND C. R. BELL. 1964. Manual of the Vascular Flora of the Carolinas. University of North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, NC.
- RELYEA, R. A. 2001. The lasting effects of adaptive plasticity: predatorinduced tadpoles become long-legged frogs. Ecology 82:1947–1955.
- RELYEA, R. A. 2005. The impacts of insecticides and herbicides on the biodiversity and productivity of aquatic communities. Ecological Applications 15:618–627.
- RITKE, M. E., J. G. BABB, AND M. K. RITKE. 1990. Life-history of the Gray Treefrog (*Hyla chrysocelis*) in western Tennessee. Journal of Herpetology 24:135–141.
- ROSEN, P. C., AND C. R. SCHWALBE. 2002. Widespread effects of introduced species on reptiles and amphibians in the Sonoran Desert region. *In* B. Tellman (ed.), Invasive Exotic Species in the Sonoran Region, pp. 220–240. University of Arizona Press and the Arizona-Sonora Desert Museum, Tucson, AZ.
- SAENZ, D. 2004. Ecological Correlates of Anuran Breeding Activity and Community Structure. Unpubl. Ph.D. diss., Texas A&M University, College Station, TX.
- SAENZ, D., L. A. FITZGERALD, K. A. BAUM, AND R. N. CONNER. 2006. Abiotic correlates of anuran calling phenology: the importance of rain, temperature, and season. Herpetological Monographs 20:64–82.
- SCHELD, H. W., AND J. R. COWLES. 1981. Woody biomass potential of the Chinese tallow tree. Economic Botany 35:391–397.
- SCHLAEPFER, M. A., P. W. SHERMAN, B. BLOSSEY, AND M. C. RUNGE. 2005. Introduced species as evolutionary traps. Ecology Letters 8:241–246.
- SCHMIDT, K. A., AND C. J. WHELAN. 1999. Effects of exotic Lonicera and Rhamus on songbird nest predation. Conservation Biology 13:1502– 1506.
- SCHMITZ, D. C., D. SIMBERLOFF, R. H. HOFSTETTER, W. HALLER, AND D. SUTTON. 1997. The ecological impact of nonindigenous plants. *In D.* Simberloff, D. C. Schmitz, and T. C. Brown (eds.), Strangers in Paradise: Impact and Management of Nonindigenous Species in Florida, pp. 39–61. Island Press, Washington DC.
- SEMLITSCH, R. D. 2003. Amphibian Conservation. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC.

- SMITH, D. C. 1983. Factors controlling tadpole populations of the Chorus Frog (*Psuedacris triseriata*) on Isle Royale, Michigan. Ecology 64:501– 510.
- SMITH, D. C. 1987. Adult recruitment in chorus frogs: effects of size and date at metamorphosis. Ecology 68:344–350.
- SMITH, P. W. 1961. The amphibians and reptiles of Illinois. Illinois Natural History Survey Bulletin 28:1–298.
- SOULE, M. E., AND G. H. ORIANS. 2001. Conservation Biology: Research Priorities for the Next Decade. Island Press, Washington DC.
- STORRS, S. I., AND J. M. KIESECKER. 2004. Survivorship patterns of larval amphibians exposed to low concentration of atrazine. Environmental Health Perspectives 112:1054–1057.
- STRAUSS, Y. S., J. A. LAU, AND S. P. CARROL. 2006. Evolutionary responses of natives to introduced species: what do introductions tell us about natural communities? Ecology Letters 9:357–374.
- STUART, N. S., J. S. CHANSON, N. A. COX, B. E. YOUNG, A. S. L. RODRIGUES, D. L. FISCHMAN, AND R. W. WALLER. 2004. Status and trends of amphibian declines and extinctions worldwide. Science 306:1783–1786.
- TRAVIS, J., W. H. KEEN, AND J. JUILIANNA. 1985. The role of relative body size in a predator-prey relationship between dragonfly naiads and larval anurans. Oikos 45:59–65.
- ULTSCH, G. R., D. F. BRADFORD, AND J. FREDA. 1999. Physiology: coping with the environment. *In* R. W. McDiarmid and R. Altig (eds.), Tadpoles: The Biology of Anuran Larvae, pp. 189–214. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.
- WEDIN, D. A., AND D. TILMAN. 1990. Nitrogen cycling, plant competition, and the stability of tallgrass prairie. *In* D. D. Smith and C. A. Jacobs (eds.), Proceedings of the Twelfth North American Prairie Conference, pp. 5–8. University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls, IA.
- WEBSTER, G. L. 1994. Synopsis of the genera and suprageneric taxa of euphorbiaceae. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 81:33–144.
- WRIGHT, A. H., AND A. A. WRIGHT. 1949. Handbook of Frogs and Toads of the United States and Canada. Comstock Publishing Associates, Ithaca, NY.

Accepted: 30 July 2011.