Stephen F. Austin State University SFA ScholarWorks

Faculty Publications

Mathematics and Statistics

10-21-2014

CASIMIR ENERGIES IN SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC BACKGROUND POTENTIALS REVISITED

Matthew Beauregard Stephen F. Austin State University, beauregama@sfasu.edu

Michael Bordag

Klaus Kirsten

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.sfasu.edu/mathandstats_facultypubs

Part of the Mathematics Commons, and the Physics Commons Tell us how this article helped you.

Recommended Citation

arXiv:1410.5869v1 [hep-th] 21 Oct 2014

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics and Statistics at SFA ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of SFA ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact cdsscholarworks@sfasu.edu.

CASIMIR ENERGIES IN SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC BACKGROUND POTENTIALS REVISITED

MATTHEW BEAUREGARD, MICHAEL BORDAG, AND KLAUS KIRSTEN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we reconsider the formulation for the computation of the Casimir energy in spherically symmetric background potentials. Compared to the previous analysis, the technicalities are much easier to handle and final answers are surprisingly simple.

1. INTRODUCTION

Quantum corrections to classical solutions that are not constant are important in a variety of areas in modern physics. Inhomogeneous classical configurations are, for example, the rule when considering monopoles [32, 36], sphalerons [29] and electroweak Skyrmions [2, 3, 17, 18, 22, 23, 19, 34, 35]. Given this importance, many efforts have been made to develop a combination of analytical and numerical techniques for the computation of those quantum corrections [4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 25, 26, 31, 33].

For spherically symmetric classical backgrounds a standard approach is to use quantum mechanical scattering data for the analysis. Whereas in 1 + 1 dimensions the associated analysis gives results that can be very easily handled numerically [6, 7], in higher dimensions additional summations over the angular moments complicate the situation [9, 26]. An essential element of such calculations is the method of momentum integration, for which there are two possibilities. *First*, one integrates over real frequencies, or, *second*, one turns the integration path, $\omega = i\xi$, and integrates over imaginary frequencies ξ . The second way is commonly used. Here, the integration is over the logarithm of the Jost functions (see eq.(11) below). This is a smooth function and after the necessary subtractions, one comes to a convergent integration which can be well handled numerically. Also, as a technical advantage, bound states and zero modes are included automatically. In the first way, represented in eq. (13) below, where the integration is over real frequencies, the integrand has generically an oscillating behaviour resulting from the scattering phase shifts. This has the potential to make numerical integrations more difficult. Also, in this method one has to bother about the bound states and to include them explicitly (see eq.(13)). Furthermore, when integrating by parts, one has to pay attention to boundary contributions following with Levinson's theorem.

Another somewhat frustrating aspect of this calculation is that from the outset, divergent contributions are known through heat kernel coefficients but no use of this knowledge is being made. It is this aspect of the analysis that has been improved in our current work. It is shown that sums over phase shifts for large moments can be expressed as heat kernel coefficients. This makes the subtraction and renormalization of divergencies very straightforward and the remaining incumbent numerical approximations are much easier than previously.

The article is organized as follows. First, in Section 2, we present some basic formulas for the zeta function analysis of vacuum energies as obtained earlier [9]. In Section 3, we then establish the

Date: July 20, 2018.

MB and KK acknowledge support by the DFG under contract number BO 1112/22-1.

indicated relation between a sum over phase shifts and corresponding heat kernel coefficients that will lead to an extremely simple expression for the renormalized Casimir energy. This expression is then used in Sections 4 and 5 to present examples, namely step potentials and delta potentials, in two and three dimensions. The Conclusions summarize the most important aspects of our work and point to possible future activities along the line presented here.

2. Zeta functions in terms of Jost function and phase shift

In this section we provide the essential formulas for the zeta function computation in the relevant context. The exposition follows [9].

The Casimir energy of a massive non-interacting scalar field in a spherically symmetric background field is obtained from the eigenvalue equation

(1)
$$(-\Delta + V(r))\phi_j(\vec{x}) = \lambda_j^2 \phi_j(\vec{x}).$$

In the zeta function scheme the Casimir energy for a field of mass m follows from the zeta function

(2)
$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{j} (\lambda_j^2 + m^2)^{-s}$$

as

(3)
$$E_{Cas}(s) = \frac{1}{2}\zeta \left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right)\mu^{2s}\Big|_{s=0},$$

where μ is an arbitrary mass parameter. Imposing the renormalization condition that the quantum fluctuation of a quantum field should die out as the mass of the field tends to infinity, namely

(4)
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} E_{Cas}^{(ren)}(s) = 0$$

leads to the subtraction of

(5)

$$E_{Cas}^{div}(s) = -\frac{m^4}{8\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{s} + \ln\left[\frac{4\mu^2}{m^2}\right] - \frac{1}{2}\right) a_0 - \frac{m^3}{3} a_{1/2} + \frac{m^2}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{s} + \ln\left[\frac{4\mu^2}{m^2}\right] - 1\right) a_1 + \frac{1}{2}ma_{3/2} - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{s} + \ln\left[\frac{4\mu^2}{m^2}\right] - 2\right) a_2.$$

Here, a_i , i = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, 2, are the heat-kernel coefficients associated with the operator $-\Delta + V(r)$, and describe the small-*t* asymptotics of the heat kernel

(6)
$$K(t) = \sum_{j} e^{-\lambda_{j}^{2}t} \sum_{t \to 0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} \sum_{i=0,1/2,1,\dots}^{\infty} a_{i} t^{i}.$$

Although half-integer heat kernel coefficients typically occur only on manifolds *with* a boundary, however, they are included here since potentials which are not differentiable or are singular at some points make the inclusion necessary.

We therefore have

(7)
$$E_{Cas}^{(ren)}(s) = E_{Cas}(s) - E_{Cas}^{div}(s)$$

The remaining task is to find a representation of the zeta function valid in the neighborhood of s = -1/2, respectively of the vacuum energy $E_{Cas}^{(ren)}(s)$ in s = 0. In fact, the main difficulties of the first approach are hidden here. We know, that the limit $s \rightarrow 0$ in (7) gives a finite result. However,

both quantities there are divergent. A method to handle this problem was developed in [9]. There the vacuum energy was represented in terms of the Jost function. To derive these representations note that, after separation of variables, the relevant radial eigenvalue equation is

(8)
$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} - V(r) + p^2\right]\psi_{\ell,p}(r) = 0,$$

with eigenvalue p^2 and angular momentum ℓ , $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Except for very simple potentials, the eigenvalues p^2 and eigenfunctions $\psi_{\ell,p}(r)$ will not be known explicitly, but the needed information can be found from scattering theory.

The asymptotic $r \to \infty$ behavior of the eigenfunctions $\psi_{\ell,p}(r)$ is described by the so-called Jost function [37]. It is defined based upon the regular solution $\phi_{\ell,p}(r)$, which is a normalized eigenfunction such that as $r \to 0$

$$\phi_{\ell,p}(r) \sim \hat{j}_{\ell}(pr)$$

with the spherical Bessel function $\hat{j}_{\ell}(z)$. This function has the asymptotic behavior, for $r \to \infty$,

(9)
$$\phi_{\ell,p}(r) \sim \frac{i}{2} \left[f_{\ell}(p) \hat{h}_{\ell}^{-}(pr) - f_{\ell}^{*}(p) \hat{h}_{\ell}^{+}(pr) \right],$$

with the Riccati-Hankel function $\hat{h}_{\ell}^{\pm}(z)$ and the coefficients $f_{\ell}(p)$ are the Jost function and its complex conjugate. This equation says nothing but that outside of the support of the potential (which for the moment can be assumed to be compact), the solution can be written as a linear combination of two linearly independent solutions of the free differential equation, namely (8) with V(r) = 0.

Putting the system temporarily in a big sphere of radius *R*, imposing Dirichlet boundary conditions at r = R, eigenvalues of (8) are determined by

(10)
$$f_{\ell}(p)\hat{h}_{\ell}^{-}(pr) - f_{\ell}^{*}(p)\hat{h}_{\ell}^{+}(pr) = 0.$$

This transcendental equation is then used together with the residue theorem to write down a complex contour integral representation of the zeta function, a standard technique by now [28]. Subtracting off the free Minkowski contribution one obtains [9]

(11)
$$\zeta(s) = \frac{\sin \pi s}{\pi} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) \int_{m}^{\infty} dk (k^2 - m^2)^{-s} \frac{d}{dk} \ln f_{\ell}(ik).$$

The analytical continuation of the zeta function or the vacuum energy needed in eq. (7) is then obtained by subtracting and adding the leading terms in the uniform asymptotic expansion of the Jost function obtained from the Lippmann-Schwinger equation [37]. Technicalities are somewhat involved, especially for spinor fields [10], and the representation obtained for the Casimir energy has a somewhat unpleasant appearance, though a numerical approximation is possible [9].

Notice, that in this approach the momentum integration (over imaginary frequencies) is done first and the orbital momentum sum is performed afterwards.

Instead of using the Jost function as the basic quantity for the representation, one might equally well use the scattering phase $\delta_{\ell}(q)$. Denoting by $-\kappa_{n,\ell}^2$ the energies of the bound states, the dispersion relation for the Jost function [37]

(12)
$$f_{\ell}(ik) = \prod_{n} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa_{n,\ell}^2}{k^2} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dq \ q}{q^2 + k^2} \delta_{\ell}(q) \right),$$

MATTHEW BEAUREGARD, MICHAEL BORDAG, AND KLAUS KIRSTEN

leads to the pertinent representation

(13)
$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) \left\{ -\sum_{n} \left(m^{-2s} - \left(m^2 - \kappa_{n,\ell}^2 \right)^{-s} \right) + \frac{2s}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq \, \frac{q}{(q^2 + m^2)^{s+1}} \delta_{\ell}(q) \right\}.$$

Also here, one now subtracts and adds a suitable asymptotic expansion of the phase shifts to obtain a valid representation for the Casimir energy.

The novel point in our current approach is to interchange summation and integration and to analyze the sum over phase shifts further. This sum is known to converge as long as $V(r) \sim 1/r^{3+\epsilon}$, $\epsilon > 0$, for $r \to \infty$ (see, for example, §123 in [30]). As we will see, choosing this prescription to proceed no computation of asymptotic expansions will be necessary and the Casimir energy representation becomes as compact as it could possibly be!

3. Summing phase shifts

In order to simplify the presentation let us assume that there are no bound states. Then

(14)
$$\zeta(s) = \frac{2s}{\pi} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) \int_{0}^{\infty} dq \; \frac{q}{(q^2+m^2)^{s+1}} \, \delta_{\ell}(q).$$

Interchanging summation and integration, with the notation

(15)
$$\delta(q) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1)\delta_{\ell}(q),$$

one finds

(16)
$$\zeta(s) = \frac{2s}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq \ q(q^{2} + m^{2})^{-s-1} \delta(q).$$

As indicated, the quantity $\delta(q)$ is well defined for suitable potentials and known properties of the zeta function suggest, that eq. (16) is well defined for $\Re s > 3/2$ because s = 3/2 is the location of the right-most pole.

Known properties of the phase shift $\delta_{\ell}(q)$ show that $\delta(q)$ remains finite as $q \to 0$. The singularities of the zeta function, located in general at $s = 3/2, 1, 1/2, -(2k + 1)/2, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, must therefore be a consequence of the large-q expansion of $\delta(q)$. That expansion is independent of m and using inverse Mellin-transforms [38], with $\zeta_0(s)$ denoting the zeta function for the massless case, we find

(17)
$$\delta(q) = -\frac{\pi}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds \ q^{-s} \frac{\zeta_0\left(-\frac{s}{2}\right)}{s}$$

for $\Re c > -3/2$. Shifting the contour to the right, we pick up the large-*q* expansion of $\delta(q)$. The precise form depends on the location of the poles of $\zeta_0(-s/2)$ and of its residues, furthermore its value at s = 0 enters. All this information is encoded in the heat kernel coefficients which are known for a wide class of potentials V(r).

In detail, the residue theorem shows that the large-q behavior reads

(18)
$$\delta(q) \sim \pi \left(\frac{4q^3}{3\sqrt{\pi}} a_0 + q^2 a_{1/2} + \frac{2q}{\sqrt{\pi}} a_1 + a_{3/2} + \frac{1}{q\sqrt{\pi}} a_2 + \ldots \right).$$

To construct the analytical continuation of eq. (16) to s = -1/2, one subtracts the above right hand side from $\delta(q)$ and adds it back. For the Casimir energy this naturally leads to a splitting in two terms, namely

(19)
$$E_{Cas} = E_f + E_{as},$$

where

$$E_f = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty dq \; \frac{q}{\sqrt{q^2 + m^2}} \left\{ \delta(q) - \frac{4\sqrt{\pi}}{3} a_0 q^3 - \pi a_{1/2} q^2 - 2\sqrt{\pi} a_1 q - \pi a_{3/2} - \sqrt{\pi} a_2 \frac{1}{q} \right\}$$

and

$$\begin{split} E_{as} &= -\frac{1-2s}{2\pi} \mu^{2s} \left\{ \frac{4\sqrt{\pi}}{3} a_0 \int_0^\infty dq \; \frac{q^4}{(q^2+m^2)^{1/2+s}} + \pi a_{1/2} \int_0^\infty dq \; \frac{q^3}{(q^2+m^2)^{1/2+s}} \right. \\ &+ 2\sqrt{\pi} a_1 \int_0^\infty dq \; \frac{q^2}{(q^2+m^2)^{1/2+s}} + \pi a_{3/2} \int_0^\infty dq \; \frac{q}{(q^2+m^2)^{1/2+s}} + \sqrt{\pi} a_2 \int_0^\infty dq \; \frac{1}{(q^2+m^2)^{1/2+s}} \right\} \\ &= -(1-2s) \mu^{2s} \left\{ \frac{m^{4-2s} \Gamma(s-2)}{4\Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right)} a_0 + \frac{m^{3-2s}}{3-8s+4s^2} a_{1/2} + \frac{m^{2-2s} \Gamma(s-1)}{4\Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right)} a_1 \right. \\ &+ \frac{m^{1-2s}}{2(2s-1)} a_{3/2} + \frac{m^{-2s} \Gamma(s)}{4\Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right)} a_2 \right\} \end{split}$$

$$= E_{Cas}^{div} + O(s),$$

where in the last step an expansion about s = 0 has been performed. We thus found

(20)
$$E_{Cas}^{(ren)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq \ \frac{q}{\sqrt{q^2 + m^2}} \ \delta_{\text{subtr}}(q)$$

with the subtracted phase shift

(21)
$$\delta_{\text{subtr}}(q) = \delta(q) - \frac{4\sqrt{\pi}}{3}a_0q^3 - \pi a_{1/2}q^2 - 2\sqrt{\pi}a_1q - \pi a_{3/2} - \sqrt{\pi}a_2\frac{1}{q}.$$

The merit of this formula as compared with the other approach is that here the analytic continuation in the regularization parameter is done. Now, the remaining frequency integration is convergent and directly suited for numerical evaluation.

This simple formula is the starting point for the analysis of examples in three dimensions. Before presenting these, let us briefly describe the modifications necessary when two spatial dimensions are considered. In two dimensions, the radial equation one obtains after separation of variables is

(22)
$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{r^2} - V(r) + p^2\right)\psi_{m,p}(r) = 0.$$

The corresponding Jost function follows from

(23)
$$\phi_{m,p}(r) \sim \frac{i}{2} \left[f_m(p) \hat{h}_{m-1/2}^-(pr) - f_m^*(p) \hat{h}_{m-1/2}^+(pr) \right],$$

where $\phi_{m,p}(r)$ is the regular solution behaving, as $r \to 0$, like

$$\phi_{m,p}(r) \sim \hat{j}_{m-1/2}(pr).$$

From the dispersion relation (12), one finds (13) with a change in the degeneracy, which is two for m > 0 and one for m = 0. Now (16) is immediate, with

(24)
$$\delta(q) = \delta_0(q) + 2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \delta_m(q).$$

Again from (17), the large-q asymptotics follows

(25)
$$\delta(q) \sim \pi a_0 q^2 + 2\sqrt{\pi} a_{1/2} q + \pi a_1 + \sqrt{\pi} a_{3/2} \frac{1}{q} + \dots$$

Splitting the Casimir energy as in (19), once again $E_{as} = E_{Cas}^{div}$, and

(26)
$$E_{Cas}^{(ren)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq \frac{q}{\sqrt{q^2 + m^2}} \left\{ \delta(q) - \pi a_0 q^2 - 2\sqrt{\pi} a_{1/2} q - \pi a_1 - \sqrt{\pi} a_{3/2} \frac{1}{q} \right\}.$$

We are now in the position to easily study examples.

4. CASIMIR ENERGY FOR SEVERAL EXAMPLES IN THREE DIMENSIONS

A possible way to find the phase shift $\delta_{\ell}(q)$ is to use its relation with the Jost function, namely [37]

(27)
$$\frac{f_{\ell}(p)}{f_{\ell}^*(p)} = e^{-2i\delta_{\ell}(p)},$$

such that

(28)
$$\delta_{\ell}(p) = -\arctan\frac{\Im f_{\ell}(p)}{\Re f_{\ell}(p)}.$$

So once the Jost function is known, the phase shift can be found.

Consider a potential with compact support, say V(r) = 0 for $r \ge R$ and let $u_{\ell,p}(r)$ denote the regular solution inside the support of the potential. The complete regular solution then reads

(29)
$$\phi_{\ell,p}(r) = u_{\ell,p}(r)\Theta(R-r) + \frac{i}{2} \left[f_{\ell}(p)\hat{h}_{\ell}^{-}(pr) - f_{\ell}^{*}(p)\hat{h}_{\ell}^{+}(pr) \right] \Theta(r-R).$$

Imposing continuity of $\phi_{\ell,p}(r)$ and its derivative at r = R, one gets

(30)
$$f_{\ell}(p) = -\frac{1}{p} \left(p u_{\ell,p}(R) (\hat{h}_{\ell}^{+})'(pR) - u_{\ell,p}'(R) \hat{h}_{\ell}^{+}(pR) \right),$$

where the Wronskian of \hat{h}_{ℓ}^{\pm} was also used [24]. So once $u_{\ell,p}(r)$ is known for a given potential (with at worst finite jumps), the Jost function and thus phase shift is known analytically as well.

4.1. Step potential. Consider the example where $V_0 > 0$, and

(31)
$$V(r) = \begin{cases} V_0 & \text{for } r \le R \\ 0 & \text{for } r > R. \end{cases}$$

The solution to the eigenvalue problem (8) follows from the free solution by replacing p with $q = \sqrt{p^2 - V_0}$. Normalizing correctly, this shows

(32)
$$u_{\ell,p}(r) = \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{\ell+1} \hat{j}_{\ell}(qr)$$

and thus

(33)
$$f_{\ell}(p) = -\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{\ell+1} \left[\hat{j}_{\ell}(qR)(\hat{h}_{\ell}^{+})'(pR) - \frac{q}{p}\hat{j}_{\ell}'(qR)\hat{h}_{\ell}^{+}(pR)\right].$$

Expressing this in terms of Bessel functions of the first and second kind using the relations [1]

$$\hat{j}_{\ell}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi z}{2}} J_{\ell+1/2}(z), \quad \hat{h}_{\ell}^{+}(z) = i \sqrt{\frac{\pi z}{2}} H_{\ell+1/2}^{(1)}(z), \quad H_{\ell+1/2}^{(1)}(z) = J_{\ell+1/2}(z) + i N_{\ell+1/2}(z),$$

this reads

(34)
$$f_{\ell}(p) = \frac{\pi R}{2} \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^{\ell+1/2} \left(i \left[q J_{\ell+1/2}(pR) J'_{\ell+1/2}(qR) - p J_{\ell+1/2}(qR) J'_{\ell+1/2}(pR) \right] + p J_{\ell+1/2}(qR) N'_{\ell+1/2}(pR) - q N_{\ell+1/2}(pR) J'_{\ell+1/2}(qR) \right).$$

We can now easily read off real and imaginary part of the Jost function to express the phase shift as

(35)
$$\delta_{\ell}(p) = -\arctan\frac{qJ_{\ell+1/2}(pR)J_{\ell+1/2}'(qR) - pJ_{\ell+1/2}(qR)J_{\ell+1/2}'(pR)}{pJ_{\ell+1/2}(qR)N_{\ell+1/2}'(pR) - qN_{\ell+1/2}(pR)J_{\ell+1/2}'(qR)}.$$

To find the Casimir energy for this example from eq. (21) we also need the corresponding heat kernel coefficients. Given the potential has a jump one should refer to the results for non-smooth potentials as given in [21]. One finds

(36)
$$a_0 = a_{1/2} = a_{3/2} = 0, \quad a_1 = -\frac{R^3 V_0}{6\sqrt{\pi}}, \quad a_2 = \frac{R^3 V_0^2}{12\sqrt{\pi}}.$$

These formulas allow to define the subtracted phase shift (21). It is shown as a function of q in Fig. 1. It is seen that the phase shifts for the individual orbital momenta have some oscillations, however weak in this case, and that the subtracted phase shift is smooth.

Finally, carrying out the integration in (20) numerically one comes to $E_{Cas}^{(ren)}$. For several values of V_0 the results are given in Fig. 2 for m = 1.

4.2. Delta function potential. Let us next consider the example

(37)
$$V(r) = \frac{\alpha}{R}\delta(r-R).$$

The solution for r < R then simply is the free solution,

$$u_{\ell,p}(r) = j_{\ell}(pr).$$



FIGURE 1. Phase shifts for orbital momenta of $\ell = 0$ (solid) and $\ell = 5$ (dasheddot) and the subtracted phase shift (inset) for the 3-dimensional case of heaviside potentials for m = 1, $V_0 = .9$, R = 1 as a function of the momentum q.

For this type of singular potential the appropriate boundary conditions are continuity of $\phi_{\ell,p}(r)$ at r = R, so

$$\lim_{r\to R^+}\phi_{\ell,p}(r)=\lim_{r\to R^-}\phi_{\ell,p}(r),$$

and a jump in the derivative,

(38)
$$\lim_{r \to R^+} \phi'_{\ell,p}(r) = \lim_{r \to R^-} \phi'_{\ell,p}(r) + \frac{\alpha}{R} \phi_{\ell,p}(R).$$

From (29) one then finds

(39)
$$f_{\ell}(p) = 1 + \frac{i\pi\alpha}{2} H_{\ell+1/2}^{(1)}(pR) J_{\ell+1/2}(pR) = 1 + \frac{i\pi\alpha}{2} J_{\ell+1/2}^2(pR) - \frac{\pi\alpha}{2} J_{\ell+1/2}(pR) N_{\ell+1/2}(pR)$$

So

(40)
$$\delta_{\ell}(p) = -\arctan\frac{\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}J_{\ell+1/2}^{2}(pR)}{1 - \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}J_{\ell+1/2}(pR)N_{\ell+1/2}(pR)}$$

The needed leading heat kernel coefficients can once again be found from [21] and they are

(41)
$$a_0 = a_{1/2} = 0, \quad a_1 = -\frac{\alpha R}{2\sqrt{\pi}}, \quad a_{3/2} = \frac{\alpha^2}{8}, \quad a_2 = -\frac{\alpha^3}{12\sqrt{\pi}R}$$



FIGURE 2. The Casimir energy for the 3-dimensional case for heaviside potentials for m = 1

In this case the phase shifts are shown in Fig.3. Here we see for the individual orbital momenta quite pronounced oscillations, but the subtracted phase shift is much smoother.

Use of the eqs. (40) and (41) in (26) gives the results for the Casimir energy shown in Fig. 4 for R = 1 and $\alpha = 1$.

5. CASIMIR ENERGY FOR SEVERAL EXAMPLES IN TWO DIMENSIONS

5.1. Step potential. The computations in two dimensions mimic very much the just presented analysis. As is clear from the eigenvalue eqs. (8) and (22), many results in two dimensions follow from the corresponding three dimensional ones by replacing ℓ with m - 1/2. From this analogy, the phase shift for a two-dimensional step potential reads

(42)
$$\delta_m(p) = -\arctan\frac{qJ_m(pR)J'_m(qR) - pJ_m(qR)J'_m(pR)}{pJ_m(qR)N'_m(pR) - qN_m(pR)J'_m(qR)}.$$

The needed heat kernel coefficients are

(43)
$$a_0 = a_{1/2} = a_{3/2} = 0, \quad a_1 = -\frac{1}{4}V_0R^2,$$

and from eq. (26) the Casimir energy as given in Fig. 5 for m = 1 is found.



FIGURE 3. Phase shifts an orbital momenta of $\ell = 0$ (solid) and $\ell = 5$ (dashed-dot) and the subtracted phase shift (inset) for the 3-dimensional case of delta function potentials for R = 1 as function of the momentum q.

5.2. Delta function potential. With the same analogy as before, $\ell \to m - 1/2$, the phase shift is from (40)

(44)
$$\delta_m(p) = -\arctan\frac{\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}J_m^2(pR)}{1 - \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}J_m(pR)N_m(kR)}$$

The heat kernel coefficients are

(45)
$$a_0 = a_{1/2} = 0, \quad a_1 = -\frac{\alpha}{2}, \quad a_{3/2} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{8} \frac{\alpha^2}{R},$$

and again from (26) the Casimir energy follows; see Fig. 6 for $\alpha = 0.88$.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this article we have provided a much improved formalism for the computation of vacuum energies in the presence of spherically symmetric potentials. The central result is in eqs. (20) and (21) which express the renormalized Casimir energy in terms of the subtracted phase shift (21) appearing after summation over the orbital momenta and subsequent subtraction of contributions given in terms of the heat kernel coefficients. These are known for a wide range of potentials and they are easily computed [20]. The remaining task thus is to compute $\delta(q)$ and some integral numerically.



FIGURE 4. The Casimir energy for the 3-dimensional case for delta function potentials for R = 1 and $\alpha = 1$.

For examples where $\delta_{\ell}(q)$ is known analytically the numerical computation can be done based on that knowledge. This is what we have done here for step and delta potentials in two and three dimensions.

Typically, $\delta_{\ell}(q)$ will not be known analytically, in which case the probably most suitable way for its evaluation is the variable phase approach [37]. We envisage to treat more complicated examples along these lines in a separate publication.

Furthermore, clearly our approach can be used for spinors or other fields. In this case the heat kernel coefficients and $\delta(q)$ will contain traces over internal degrees, but that represents a very minor complication. The procedure again should allow for a considerably simplified analysis of for example flux tubes [10, 27] and other configurations.

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun. Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Dover, New York, 1970.
- [2] G.S. Adkins, C.R. Nappi, and E. Witten. Static properties of nucleons in the Skyrme model. *Nucl. Phys.*, B228:552–566, 1983.
- [3] J. Ambjorn and V.A. Rubakov. Classical versus semiclassical electroweak decay of a techniskyrmion. *Nucl. Phys.*, B256:434–448, 1985.
- [4] J. Baacke. Numerical evaluation of the one loop effective action in static backgrounds with spherical symmetry. Z. Phys., C47:263–268, 1990.
- [5] J. Baacke and V.G. Kiselev. One loop corrections to the bubble nucleation rate at finite temperature. *Phys. Rev.*, D48:5648–5654, 1993.



FIGURE 5. The Casimir energy for the 2-dimensional case for heaviside potentials for m = 1.

- [6] A.I. Bochkarev. Trace identities and analytical evaluation of the functional determinants. *Phys. Rev.*, D46:5550– 5556, 1992.
- [7] M. Bordag. Vacuum energy in smooth background fields. J. Phys., A28:755–766, 1995.
- [8] M. Bordag, M. Hellmund, and K. Kirsten. Dependence of the vacuum energy on spherically symmetric background fields. *Phys. Rev.*, D61:085008, 2000.
- [9] M. Bordag and K. Kirsten. Vacuum energy in a spherically symmetric background field. *Phys. Rev.*, D53:5753– 5760, 1996.
- [10] M. Bordag and K. Kirsten. The ground state energy of a spinor field in the background of a finite radius flux tube. *Phys. Rev.*, D60:105019, 1999.
- [11] D.E. Brahm and C.L.Y. Lee. The exact critical bubble free energy and the effectiveness of effective potential approximations. *Phys. Rev.*, D49:4094–4100, 1994.
- [12] G.V.Dunne, J. Hur, C. Lee and H. Min. Precise quark mass dependence of instanton determinant. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 94:072001, 2005.
- [13] G.V. Dunne, J. Hur and C. Lee. Renormalized Effective Actions in Radially Symmetric Backgrounds. I. Partial Wave Cutoff Method. *Phys. Rev.*, D74:085025, 2006.
- [14] G.V. Dunne, J. Hur, C. Lee and H. Min. Renormalized Effective Actions in Radially Symmetric Backgrounds: Exact Calculations Versus Approximation Methods. *Phys. Rev.*, D77:045004, 2008.
- [15] G.V. Dunne, A. Huet, J. Hur and H. Min, The Derivative Expansion at Small Mass for the Spinor Effective Action. *Phys. Rev.*, D83:105013, 2011.
- [16] G.V. Dunne and K. Kirsten. Simplified Vacuum Energy Expressions for Radial Backgrounds and Domain Walls. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 42:075402, 2009.
- [17] G. Eilam, D. Klabucar, and A. Stern. Skyrmion solutions to the Weinberg-Salam model. Phys. Rev. Lett., 56:1331–1334, 1986.
- [18] R. Friedberg and T.D. Lee. Fermion field nontopological solitons. I. Phys. Rev., D15:1694-1711, 1977.



FIGURE 6. The Casimir energy for the 2-dimensional case for delta function potentials for R = 1 and $\alpha 0.88$.

- [19] R. Friedberg and T.D. Lee. Fermion field nontopological solitons. II. Models for hadrons. *Phys. Rev.*, D16:1096– 1118, 1977.
- [20] P.B. Gilkey. Invariance Theory, the Heat Equation and the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem. CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995.
- [21] P.B. Gilkey, K. Kirsten, and D.V. Vassilevich. Heat trace asymptotics with transmittal boundary conditions and quantum brane world scenario. *Nucl. Phys.*, B601:125–148, 2001.
- [22] J.M. Gipson. Quasi-solitons in the strongly coupled Higgs sector of the standard model. Nucl. Phys., B231:365– 385, 1984.
- [23] J.M. Gipson and C.-H. Tze. Possible heavy solitons in the strongly coupled Higgs sector. Nucl. Phys., B183:524– 546, 1981.
- [24] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik. Table of Integrals, Series and Products. Academic Press, New York, 1965.
- [25] N. Graham, R.L. Jaffe, V. Kehmani, M. Quandt, O. Schroeder, and H. Weigel. The Dirichlet Casimir problem. *Nucl. Phys.*, B677:379–404, 2004.
- [26] N. Graham, R.L. Jaffe, V. Khemani, M. Quandt, M. Scandurra, and H. Weigel. Calculating vacuum energies in renormalizable quantum field theories: A New approach to the Casimir problem. *Nucl. Phys.*, B645:49–84, 2002.
- [27] N. Graham, V. Khemani, M. Quandt, O. Schroeder, and H. Weigel. Quantum QED flux tubes in 2+1 and 3+1 dimensions. *Nucl. Phys.*, B707:233–277, 2005.
- [28] K. Kirsten. Spectral Functions in Mathematics and Physics. Chapman&Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.
- [29] F.R. Klinkhamer and N.S. Manton. A saddle point solution in the Weinberg-Salam theory. *Phys. Rev.*, D30:2212–2220, 1984.
- [30] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz. *Quantum Mechanics*. Pergamon Press, Oxford, Third edition, 1977.
- [31] C.L.Y. Lee. Evaluation of the one loop effective action at zero and finite temperature. *Phys. Rev.*, D49:4101–4106, 1994.
- [32] A.M. Polyakov. Particle spectrum in quantum field theory. JETP Lett., 20:194–195, 1974.

- [33] S.J. Rahi, T. Emig, N. Graham, R.L. Jaffe, and M. Kardar. Scattering Theory Approach to Electrodynamic Casimir Forces. *Phys. Rev.*, D80:085021, 2009.
- [34] T.H.R. Skyrme. A nonlinear field theory. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond., A260:127–138, 1961.
- [35] T.H.R. Skyrme. A unified field theory of mesons and baryons. Nucl. Phys., B31:556–569, 1962.
- [36] G. 't Hooft. Magnetic monopoles in unified gauge theories. Nucl. Phys., B79:276–284, 1974.
- [37] J.R. Taylor. Scattering Theory. Wiley, New York, 1972.
- [38] E.C. Titchmarsh. Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals. 2nd Edition. Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, 1948.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STEPHEN F. AUSTIN STATE UNIVERSITY, NACOGDOCHES, TX, 75962 *E-mail address*: beauregama@sfasu.edu

UNIVERSITÄT LEIPZIG, INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS, POSTFACH 100920, 04009 LEIPZIG, GERMANY *E-mail address*: Michael.Bordag@uni-leipzig.de

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAYLOR UNIVERSITY, WACO, TX 76798, USA *E-mail address*: Klaus_Kirsten@baylor.edu