



Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol. 18 (2015),
Article 15.10.3

Binary Words Avoiding xx^Rx and Strongly Unimodal Sequences

James Currie and Narad Rampersad
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Winnipeg
515 Portage Avenue
Winnipeg, Manitoba R3B 2E9
Canada

j.currie@uwinnipeg.ca
n.rampersad@uwinnipeg.ca

Abstract

In previous work, Currie and Rampersad showed that the growth of the number of binary words avoiding the pattern xxx^R was intermediate between polynomial and exponential. We now show that the same result holds for the growth of the number of binary words avoiding the pattern xx^Rx . Curiously, the analysis for xx^Rx is much simpler than that for xxx^R . We derive our results by giving a bijection between the set of binary words avoiding xx^Rx and a class of sequences closely related to the class of “strongly unimodal sequences”.

1 Introduction

In this paper we give an exact characterization of the binary words avoiding the pattern xx^Rx . Here the notation x^R denotes the *reversal* or *mirror image* of x . For example, the word 0101 1010 0101 is an instance of xx^Rx , with $x = 0101$. A natural language example of an instance of xx^Rx is the French word “resserres”¹ (meaning “sheds” or “storerooms”). The

¹This example is due to the anonymous referee, who also provided the following literary reference: “*Les resserres sont exclusivement réservées aux pigeons.*” (Émile Zola, *Le Ventre de Paris*, G. Charpentier, Paris, 1873)

set of binary words avoiding the related pattern xxx^R has been the subject of recent study. This study began with the work of Du, Mousavi, Schaeffer, and Shallit [3], who observed that there exist infinite periodic binary words that avoid xxx^R and provided an example of an aperiodic infinite binary word that avoids xxx^R . Answering a question of Du et al., the present authors derived upper and lower bounds for the number of binary words of length n that avoid xxx^R and showed that the growth of this quantity was neither polynomial nor exponential [2]. This result was the first time such an intermediate growth rate had been shown in the context of pattern avoidance.

In the present work we analyze the binary words avoiding xx^Rx . A preliminary investigation, focusing on infinite words, was initiated by Du and Shallit [4]. Here we look into the enumeration of finite binary words avoiding xx^Rx . Once more, we are able to show a growth rate for the number of such words that is neither polynomial nor exponential. Surprisingly, the analysis is much simpler than what was required to show the analogous result for the pattern xxx^R . Indeed we are able to obtain a complete characterization of the binary words avoiding xx^Rx by describing a correspondence between such words and sequences of integers that are very closely related to *strongly unimodal sequences* of integers. These latter have recently been studied by Rhoades [6]. This correspondence provides a rather pleasing connection between avoidability in words and the classical theory of partitions. Finally, we conclude this work with some remarks concerning the non-context-freeness of the languages of binary words that avoid the patterns xxx^R and xx^Rx respectively.

2 Enumerating binary words avoiding xx^Rx

Let

$$L = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* : w \text{ avoids } xx^Rx\},$$

and let $L = L_0 \cup L_1$, where L_0 (resp., L_1) consists of the words in L that begin with 0 (resp., 1), along with the empty word.

Note that the words in L avoid both 000 and 111. Observe that any $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ that avoids 000 and 111 has a unique representation of the form

$$w = A_0 a_1 a_1 A_1 a_2 a_2 A_2 \cdots A_{k-1} a_k a_k A_k, \tag{1}$$

where each A_i is a prefix (possibly empty) of either 010101 \cdots or 101010 \cdots and each $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Given such a factorization, we define an associated sequence $f(w) = (n_0, \dots, n_k)$, where

- $n_0 = |A_0 a_1|$,
- $n_i = |a_i A_i a_{i+1}|$, for $0 < i < k$, and
- $n_k = |a_k A_k|$.

For example, if $w = 010100101101010011$, then $f(w) = (5, 4, 6, 2, 1)$.

Let \hat{U} denote the set of all sequences of the form (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m) for which there exists $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ such that either

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(Type 1)} \quad & 0 < d_1 < \dots < d_{j-1} < d_j > d_{j+1} > \dots > d_m > 0, \text{ or} \\ \text{(Type 2)} \quad & 0 < d_1 < \dots < d_{j-1} = d_j > d_{j+1} > \dots > d_m > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The *weight* of any such sequence is the sum $d_1 + \dots + d_m$. We also include the empty sequence in \hat{U} . Type 1 sequences are called *strongly unimodal sequences*.² Note that the set \hat{U} can also be equivalently defined as follows: \hat{U} consists of all sequences (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m) for which there is no j such that both $d_{j-1} \geq d_j$ and $d_j \leq d_{j+1}$.

We show the following.

Theorem 1. *The map f defines a one-to-one correspondence between the words in L_0 of length n and the sequences in \hat{U} of weight n .*

Proof. Let $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ be a word starting with 0. Let $f(w) = (n_0, \dots, n_k)$ and let

$$w = A_0 a_1 a_1 A_1 a_2 a_2 A_2 \cdots A_{k-1} a_k a_k A_k,$$

be the factorization given in (1).

We first show that if $f(w) \notin \hat{U}$ then $w \notin L_0$. Since $f(w) \notin \hat{U}$ there is some j such that both $n_{j-1} \geq n_j$ and $n_j \leq n_{j+1}$. Define B_1 , B_2 , and B_3 as follows:

- if $j = 1$ then B_1 is the suffix of $A_0 a_1$ of length n_1 ; otherwise, B_1 is the suffix of $a_{j-1} A_{j-1} a_j$ of length n_j ;
- $B_2 = a_j A_j a_{j+1}$;
- if $j = k - 1$ then B_3 is the prefix of $a_k A_k$ of length n_{k-1} ; otherwise B_3 is the prefix of $a_{j+1} A_{j+1} a_{j+2}$ of length n_j .

The conditions on n_{j-1} , n_j , and n_{j+1} ensure that B_1 , B_2 , and B_3 can be defined. However, we now see that $B_1 = B_2^R = B_3$, where B_1 is either $(01)^{n_j/2}$ or $(10)^{n_j/2}$. The word w thus contains an instance of $xx^R x$, and hence is not in L_0 .

Next we show that if $w \notin L_0$, then $f(w) \notin \hat{U}$. First note that if w has a factor w' such that $f(w') \notin \hat{U}$, then $f(w) \notin \hat{U}$. We may therefore suppose that $w = vv^R v$ and contains no smaller instance of the pattern $xx^R x$. Then there are indices $i < j$ such that

- $v = A_0 a_1 \cdots A_{i-1} a_i$,
- $v^R = a_i A_i \cdots A_{j-1} a_j$, and

²The ‘‘U’’ in \hat{U} therefore refers to ‘‘unimodal’’, and the ‘‘hat’’ to the fact that this set additionally includes the Type 2 sequences.

- $v = a_j A_{j+1} \cdots a_k A_k$.

If $k = 2$ we necessarily have $f(w) = (n_0, n_0, n_0) \notin \hat{U}$, so suppose $k > 2$. Since w contains no smaller instance of $xx^R x$, we must have $n_0 < n_1$. However, we also have $n_{j-1} = n_j = n_0$ and $n_{j+1} = n_1$. Thus, we have $n_{j-1} = n_j < n_{j+1}$ and so $f(w) \notin \hat{U}$. \square

We now turn to the enumeration of the sequences in \hat{U} . Let $\hat{u}(n)$ denote the number of sequences in \hat{U} of weight n . Recall that a *partition of n* is a sequence (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) such that

$$1 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \cdots \leq t_k \quad \text{and} \quad t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_k = n.$$

A partition of n into *distinct parts* is a partition of n where the t_i are all distinct. A sequence (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m) in \hat{U} can be represented by a pair (λ, μ) of partitions into distinct parts, where the partition λ gives the increasing part of the sequence and the partition μ , read in the reverse order, gives the decreasing part of the sequence. Let $p_d(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into distinct parts. Then the generating function for partitions into distinct parts is given by

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} p_d(n) q^n = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^j).$$

The numbers $\hat{u}(n)$ are thus *almost* given by the coefficients of the square of this function, i.e., the function

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{u}(n) q^n = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^j)^2.$$

Unfortunately, the quantity $\tilde{u}(n)$ double-counts every sequence of Type 1: once for the case where the maximal element of the sequence comes from λ , and once for the case where it comes from μ . It follows then that for $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\tilde{u}(n)/2 \leq \hat{u}(n) \leq \tilde{u}(n). \tag{2}$$

Of course $\tilde{u}(n)/2$ is an underestimate for $\hat{u}(n)$, since, although it corrects for the double-counting of Type 1 sequences, it halves the number of Type 2 sequences. However, intuitively one sees that the number of Type 2 sequences is relatively small, and consequently $\hat{u}(n)$ is rather close to $\tilde{u}(n)/2$.

Let $c(n)$ be the number of words in L of length n . From (2), Theorem 1, and the fact that $c(n) = 2\hat{u}(n)$, we have the following.

Corollary 2. *For $n \geq 1$, the number $c(n)$ of binary words of length n that avoid $xx^R x$ satisfies*

$$\tilde{u}(n) \leq c(n) \leq 2\tilde{u}(n).$$

Rhoades [6] has recently determined the asymptotics of $\tilde{u}(n)$; viz.,

$$\tilde{u}(n) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{(24n-1)^{3/4}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\sqrt{24n-1}\right) \left(1 + \frac{(\pi^2-9)}{4\pi(24n-1)^{1/2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right).$$

This shows, as claimed, that the growth of $c(n)$ is intermediate between polynomial and exponential. The following table gives the values of $c(n)$ and $\tilde{u}(n)$ for small values of n .

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
$c(n)$	1	2	4	6	10	16	24	34	50	72	100	138	188
$\tilde{u}(n)$	1	2	3	6	9	14	22	32	46	66	93	128	176

The sequences $c(n)$ and $\tilde{u}(n)$ are sequences [A261204](#) and [A022567](#) respectively of *The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, available online at <http://oeis.org>. We would also like to note that the number $c(n)$ grows significantly faster than the number of binary words of length n that avoid xxx^R , whose order of growth was previously estimated to be, roughly speaking, on the order of $e^{\log^2 n}$ [2].

3 Non-context-freeness of the language L

Recall that in previous work we showed that the language S of binary words avoiding xxx^R has intermediate growth [2]. Adamczewski [1] observed that this implies that S is not a context-free language, since it is well known that context-free languages have either polynomial or exponential growth [7]. He asked if there is a “direct proof” of the non-context-freeness of S . This seems to be difficult; we have not been able to come up with such a proof. Indeed, it even seems to be rather difficult to give a direct proof that S is not a regular language.

Adamczewski’s observation applies just as well to the language L of binary words avoiding $xx^R x$: the intermediate growth shown above implies that L is not context-free. However, unlike for S , it is relatively easy to give a direct proof that L is not context-free. First, we observe that since the class of context-free languages is closed under intersection with regular languages and under finite transduction, if the language L is context-free then the language

$$L \cap (01)^+(10)^+(01)^+(10)^+ = \{(01)^i(10)^j(01)^k(10)^\ell : (i < j \text{ or } k < j) \text{ and } (j < k \text{ or } \ell < k)\}$$

is context-free, and in turn, the language

$$L' = \{a^i b^j c^k d^\ell : (i < j \text{ or } k < j) \text{ and } (j < k \text{ or } \ell < k)\}$$

is context-free. However, one can show using Ogden’s lemma [5] that the latter is not context-free. A sketch of the proof is as follows. Let n be the constant of Ogden’s lemma and consider the word $a^n b^{n+1} c^{n+2} d^{n+3} \in L'$, where the block of a ’s is “marked”. The first of the two blocks to be pumped must therefore be contained in the block of a ’s. If the second

block to be pumped does not contain a b , then pumping up produces a word w' for which the number of b 's is both less than the number of a 's and less than the number of c 's. If the second block to be pumped does contain a b , then pumping up produces a word w' for which the number of c 's is both less than the number of b 's and less than the number of d 's. In both cases the word w' is not in L' . Therefore L' is not context-free, and consequently L is not context-free.

4 Acknowledgments

Both authors are supported by NSERC Discovery Grants. We would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her helpful feedback.

References

- [1] B. Adamczewski, Personal communication.
- [2] J. Currie and N. Rampersad, Growth rate of binary words avoiding xxx^R . Preprint available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.07014>.
- [3] C. F. Du, H. Mousavi, L. Schaeffer, and J. Shallit, Decision algorithms for Fibonacci-automatic words, with applications to pattern avoidance. Preprint available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.0670>.
- [4] C. F. Du and J. Shallit, Avoiding patterns of morphic and antimorphic involutions. Unpublished manuscript, dated October 16 2013.
- [5] W. Ogden, A helpful result for proving inherent ambiguity, *Math. Systems Theory* **2** (1968), 191–194.
- [6] R. Rhoades, Asymptotics for the number of strongly unimodal sequences, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2014), no. 3, 700–719.
- [7] V. I. Trofimov, Growth functions of some classes of languages (Russian), *Cybernetics* (1981), no. 6, i, 9–12, 149.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 68R15.

Keywords: pattern with reversal, avoidability in words, strongly unimodal sequence.

(Concerned with sequences [A022567](#) and [A261204](#).)

Received August 12 2015; revised version received August 24 2015. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, September 14 2015.

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).