J Clin Exp Dent. 2019;11(6):e527-33.

Incisors' anatomy

Journal section: Operative Dentistry and Endodontics Publication Types: Research doi:10.4317/jced.55629 http://dx.doi.org/10.4317/jced.55629

Symmetry of root and root canal morphology of mandibular incisors: A cone-beam computed tomography study *in vivo*

Federico Valenti-Obino, Dario Di Nardo, Linda Quero, Gabriele Miccoli, Gianluca Gambarini, Luca Testarelli, Massimo Galli

Department of Oral and Maxillo Facial Sciences "Sapienza" University of Rome, Italy

Correspondence: Department of Oral and Maxillo Facial Sciences "Sapienza" University of Rome, Italy Via Caserta 6 - 00161 Rome, Italy lindaquero94@gmail.com

Received: 06/02/2019 Accepted: 13/05/2019 Valenti-Obino F, Di Nardo D, Quero L, Miccoli G, Gambarini G, Testarelli L, Galli M. Symmetry of root and root canal morphology of mandibular incisors: A cone-beam computed tomography study *in vivo*. J Clin Exp Dent. 2019;11(6):e527-33.

http://www.medicinaoral.com/odo/volumenes/v11i6/jcedv11i6p527.pdf

Abstract

Background: Aim of this study was to analyze the root canal configuration in mandibular central and lateral incisors *in vivo* using cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) imaging.

Material and Methods: A total of 487 mandibular central incisors and 491 mandibular lateral incisors from 250 patients were examined using CBCT imaging, previously taken for diagnosis and treatment. The number of roots, root canal system configuration, presence of apical confluences, distance between confluences and radiographic root end, symmetry between left and right elements were recorded and statistically analyzed.

Results: All the examined teeth presented only one root. No significant differences were found between the prevalence of two root canals in mandibular central incisors (219 teeth, 45%) compared to lateral incisors (211 teeth, 43%).

Conclusions: The percentage of Vertucci type II configuration was higher than expected, being more frequent than type III. Type I was the prevalent, while other configurations were present but rare.

Key words: Cone-beam computed tomography, mandibular incisors, root canal anatomy, confluences.

Introduction

The study of the anatomy is very important in endodontics, since most of the errors that occur during an endodontic treatment are related to failure in respecting the canal anatomy (1); the existence of an untreated canal may be a reason of failure (2). To achieve these goals, clinical examination and diagnostic imaging are both essential elements of preoperative diagnosis and treatment planning (3). Ideally, internal anatomical complexities, such as the number of canals, their shapes and trajectories, including the presence of confluences and bifurcations should be assessed before undertaking instrumentation.

The study of endodontic anatomy can be divided into ex vivo methods, performed on extracted teeth, and *in vivo* methods, performed on patients (4). Amongst these various methods, CBCT recently become the most valuable tool for researching endodontic anatomy *in vivo*,

Article Number: 55629 http://www.medicinaoral.com/odo/indice.htm © Medicina Oral S. L. C.I.F. B 96689336 - eISSN: 1989-5488 eMail: jced@jced.es Indexed in: Pubmed Pubmed Central® (PMC) Scopus DOI® System

because it is a non-invasive tool that can provide images displayed in coronal, sagittal and axial planes. Moreover, it defines precisely the position of the tooth and allow studies about symmetry (5).

Tooth configurations are usually classified according to Vertucci and the great majority of studies used this classification; more recently Ahmed and Dummer (6) proposed a new classification. It is a more accurate method, based on in vitro microCT evaluations of extracted teeth. Therefore it requires higher resolution of images which is not always achievable by *in vivo* CBCT to avoid unnecessary high radiation doses to the patient.

The aim of the present study was to analyze *in vivo* the root canal configuration in mandibular central and lateral incisors using CBCT and Vertucci classification, to assess clinically relevant anatomical features.

Material and Methods

Sample selection: A total of 487 mandibular central incisors and 491 mandibular lateral incisors were examined. Teeth were selected from the CBCT examinations of 250 patients (130 males and 120 females) with an age ranging between 18 and 79 years. Images were obtained from CBCT examinations as part of diagnosis and treatment planning of patients who required large field of view for other reasons. The research was approved by the Ethical Committee of Policlinico Umberto I, Rome, Italy (ref. 582/17).

The samples were selected according to the following criteria:

• available CBCT images of lower incisors with complete root formation;

• absence of root canal treatment, crowns and posts;

• CBCT images without scattering.

Teeth with immature apexes and root resorption were excluded.

Image acquisition: CBCT images had been taken using the GXDP-500 system (Gendex Dental, Biberach, Germany), operating at 90 kVp and 7 mA, with an exposure time of 23 s and a voxel size of 0.2 mm3, with a field of view of 13x9x13 cm, with an estimated dose of about 5 mSv, allowing measurements to an accuracy of 0.2 mm. Image evaluation: Through the use of Horos[™] software (The Horos Project, 64-bit medical image viewer, GNU Lesser General Public Licence, version 3.0) three-dimensional reconstructions were analyzed to evaluate the parameters of interest. Images were reworked according to the axial, sagittal and coronal planes. CBCT images were viewed on reconstructions according to the axial plane, scrolling the cursor in the coronal-apical direction before, and then in the apical-coronal direction, to get a detailed view of the root canal system of examined teeth. This action was repeated 3 times, and when the images in the axial plane were not clear, the tooth was also inspected in the three-dimensional reconstruction.

The software had a specific tool which allowed precise measurements (~ 0.01 mm).

The following parameters were evaluated: number of roots, root canal system configuration, presence of apical confluences, distance between confluences and radiographic root end, and symmetry between left and right elements in the same individual.

The classification of the canal morphology was done according to the Vertucci's criteria (Fig. 1):

Type I: single canal from the pulp chamber to the apex;
Type II: two different canals emerge from the pulp chamber but converge to the apex;

• Type III: a canal emerges from the pulp chamber, divides into two within the root and emerges into one at the apex;

• Type IV: two different canals from the pulp chamber to the apex;

• Type V: a single canal emerge from the pulp chamber and divides into two at the apex;

• Type VI: 2 different canals emerge from the pulp chamber, join at the middle of the root and then divide again into two with two different apical foramina;

• Type VII: one canal in the pulp chamber that divides into two and rejoins within the root, and redivides into two canals at the apex;

• Type VIII: 3 separate canals from the pulp chamber to the apex.

Statistical Analysis: The results were analysed statistically using SPSS 20.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) with the significance set at p < 0.05. One-way ANOVA was used for the association between the variables along with the post hoc tests, Tukey's HSD and Games–Howe-II. The t-test was used to compare the mean distances from confluence to radiographic root end.

Results

Number of roots and canal system configuration (Table 1) according to Vertucci (Table 2):

• All examinated teeth presented only one root.

• For mandibular central incisors type I Vertucci configuration was present in 55% of cases, type II Vertucci configuration in 34,3% of cases, type III Vertucci configuration in 9,3% of cases, type VII Vertucci configuration in 0,8% of cases and type IV Vertucci configuration in 0,6% of cases.

• For mandibular lateral incisors type I Vertucci configuration was present in 57% of cases, type II Vertucci configuration in 35,7% of cases, type III Vertucci configuration in 6,9% of cases, type VII Vertucci configuration in 0,4% of cases.

• No statistical differences were found between central and lateral incisors (p < 0.05), except for the lack of type IV configuration in lateral incisors.

Apical confluences:

• Apical confluences were present in all the incisors with



Fig. 1: Sagittal plane of CBCT scanning Type I (A), Type II (E), Type III (I), Type IV (O), Type VII (S). Axial plane of CBCT scanning in the coronal, middle, and apical thirds of the root displayed variations in canal morphology: (B-D) Type I, (F-H) Type II, (L-N) Type III, (P-R) Type IV, (T-V) Type VII.

Table 1: Prevalence of the number of root canals in mandibular incisors.

Tooth	1 canal (%)	2 canals (%)	Total
Mandibular central incisors	268 (55,0)	219 (45,0)	487
Mandibular lateral incisors	280 (57,0)	211 (43,0)	491

Table 2: Distribution of root canal types according to vertucci classification in mandibular incisors.

Tooth	Туре І	Type II	Type III	Type IV	Type VII	Total
Mandibular	268	167	45	3	4	487
central incisors, n (%)	(55,0)	(34,3)	(9,3)	(0,6)	(0,8)	(100)
Mandibular	280	175	34	0	2	491
lateral incisors, n (%)	(57,0)	(35,7)	(6,9)	(0)	(0,4)	(100)

a type II, type III and type VII Vertucci configuration. Overall there was the 44,4% (216) of confluences in central incisors and 43% (211) in lateral incisors.

Distance between confluences and radiographic root end:

• No statistical differences were found between central and lateral incisors (p < 0.05).

• The average distance between confluences and radiographic root end in mandibular central incisors was 3,363 mm (+/-0,2) in type II Vertucci configuration and 3,391 mm (+/-0,2) in type III Vertucci configuration. In mandibular lateral incisors was 3,606 mm (+/-0,2) in type II Vertucci configuration and 3,498 mm (+/-0,2) in type III Vertucci configuration. The distance in type VII was 3,258 mm (+/-0,3) in central incisors and 2,831 mm (+/-0,3) in lateral incisors.

• No statistical differences were found between central and lateral incisors, except for type VII (P<0,05).

Symmetry of root canal morphology between left and right elements of the same individual:

• Symmetry was found in 44,6% of cases in mandibular central incisors and in 44,8% of cases in mandibular lateral incisors.

• No statistical differences were found between central and lateral incisors (p < 0,05).

Discussion

In literature, multiple methods have been used to investigate root canal anatomy (7), including canal staining and clearing techniques (8,9), dentin troughing under magnification (10), scanning electron microscope (11,12), micro-computed tomography (micro CT) (13,14), magnetic resonance (15-16), ultrasonics(17), serial cross-sectioning (18), radiographic examination (19,20) and CBCT (21-23). CBCT(24) allows *in vivo* 3D evaluations of canals: it overcomes the limitations of conventional radiography because it reduces the superimposition of the surrounding structures, it also allows to study a greater number of teeth defining symmetry between left and right in the same patient (25).

Many articles (26-34) about endodontic anatomy of mandibular incisors are present in literature, showing different possible configurations. Most of these researches showed that in mandibular incisors the prevalent configuration is Vertucci type I (only one canal), with a percentage ranging from 96,2% to 32,5% (mean value 64,3%). These contradictory data could be explained by the different methodologies adopted (Table 3), since only some studies were conducted with CBCT (35-43), and very few examined other clinically relevant parameters like confluences (44) and symmetry (45). Theoretically, there should be no significant differences between studies using CBCT or extracted teeth. The main differences could derive from samples size, population and age. The last two parameters, however, could be difficult to assess in an in vitro study, because origin and position of the extracted tooth could be unknown.

In the present study the following parameters were evaluated: number of roots, root canal system configuration, presence of apical confluences, distance between confluences and radiographic root end, symmetry between left and right elements, based on author's previous CBCT studies on molars (5,7). In these study the clinical relevance of confluences (one of the major cause of rotary instruments' separation) was highlighted. Data about symmetry could be clinically helpful for dentists using only traditional, 2D radiographs for initial case assessment.

Our results showed a high prevalence of type II configuration in mandibular lateral incisors: it was found in 35.7% of the teeth examined. This result was lower than the study by Benjamin and Dawson (26). On the contrary, the majority of studies (27-40) performed on the mandibular lateral incisors, showed a significantly lower prevalence, ranging from 1% to 26,9%.

Similar results were found in the mandibular central incisors, with a 34,3% of prevalence of type II. These findings were higher than all the studies previously performed (27-40), but lower than the study by Benjamin and Dawson (26), ranging from 0,1% to 27,5%.

Type I Vertucci configuration was present in 55% of mandibular central incisors, lower than other studies (26-31,35-44), but in accordance with Kartal *et al.* (34), and superior to Sert *et al.* (32). Similar comparisons were found for lateral incisors, showing a 57% prevalence. The total range was from 89,4% to 36,8%.

Type III Vertucci configuration was found in the 9,3% of mandibular central incisors and 6.9% of lateral incisors. These findings were different from the majorities of previous researches (26-40), which showed an average percentage ranging from 0% to 27%.

Type IV configuration was found in 0,6% of mandibular central incisors and totally absent in mandibular lateral incisors, lower than previous studies (26-28,30-40), with a range from 0% to 5,9%.

Type VII configuration was found in 0,8% of mandibular central incisors and in 0,4% of mandibular lateral incisors; interestingly, only the research by Han *et al.* (44) ever showed this configuration (0,39% in mandibular central incisors and 0,08% in mandibular lateral incisors).

The mean distance between confluences in type II mandibular central incisors was 3,363 mm (ranging from 1,326 mm to 5,884 mm), and in lateral incisors was 3,606 mm (ranging from 1,442 mm to 6,432 mm), showing no significant differences between the two groups of teeth. Similarly, for the type III configuration in mandibular central incisors mean distance was 3,391 mm (ranging from 1,130 mm to 6,001 mm) while in lateral incisors was 3,498 mm (ranging from 1,429 mm to 6,231 mm). These results were in accordance with previous studies (5) performed on confluences in molars, and significantly lower than the research of Han et al. (44), performed on mandibular incisors, where the distribution was concentrated in the 6-12 mm range. The mean distance in type VII was 3,258 mm (ranging from 0,657 mm to 4,671 mm) in central incisors and 2,831 mm (ranging from 2,648 mm to 3,014 mm) in lateral incisors.

Only a single study previously reported the localization of confluences in lower incisors (44). In the present

Table 3: Percetanges of	root canal types	s found in mane	dibulat anterior te	eth in previous studies.

Author	Teeth number	Position	Methods	Configuration
Han et al. (44)	1286	Mandibular central	CBCT	84,2% 1 canal
	1204	incisor Mandibular lateral		15,8% 2 canals
	1294	incisor		27,4% 2 canals
Madeira and Hetem (27)	683	Mandibular central	Staining and clearing	88,7% 1 canal
	(50)	incisor		11,3% 2 canals
	650	Mandibular lateral		88,2% I canal
Vertucci (28)	100	Mandibular central	Staining and clearing	70% 1 canal
		incisor		30% 2 canals
	100	Mandibular lateral		75% 1 canal
Benjamin and Dowson (26)	364	Mandibular incisors	Radiography	58.6% 1 canal
			017	41,4% 2 canals
Kartal et al. (34)	100	Mandibular incisors	Staining and clearing	55% 1 canal
Caliskan <i>et al.</i> (29)	100	Mandibular central	Staining and clearing	68.6% 1 canal
		incisor		31,4% 2 canals
	100	Mandibular lateral		68,6% 1 canal
Miyashita et al. (30)	1085	Incisor Mandibular incisors	Staining and clearing	31,4% 2 canals 87.6% 1 canal
wilyusina er al. (50)	1005	Manufoular melsors	Stanning and cleaning	12,4% 2 canals
Sert et al. (32)	200	Mandibular central	Staining and clearing	32,5% 1 canal
	201	incisor Mandibular lataral		67,5% 2 canals
	201	incisor		63.2% 2 canals
Al-Qudah and Awawdeh (31)	450	Mandibular incisors	Staining and clearing	73,8% 1 canal
				26,2% 2 canals
Rahimi <i>et al.</i> (33)	186	Mandibular central	Staining and clearing	64,5% I canal 35,5% 2 canals
	128	Mandibular lateral		61,7% 1 canal
		incisor		38,3% 2 canals
Liu <i>et al.</i> (35)	786	Mandibular central	CBCT	91,1% 1 canal
	785	Mandibular lateral		82,5% 1 canal
		incisor		17,5% 2 canals
Kamtane and Ghodke (37)	102	Mandibular incisors	CBCT	64,7% 1 canal
Vorma at al. (40)	400	Mandibular control	CDCT	35,3 % 2 canals
Verna ei ul. (40)	400	incisor	CBC1	31,8 % 2 canals
	400	Mandibular lateral		65% 1 canal
Kayaoglu at al. (45)	1083	Incisor Mandibular central	СВСТ	35% 2 canals
Kuyuogiu ei ui. (45)	1905	incisor	ebei	14,9% 2 canals
	2077	Mandibular lateral		82,8% 1 canal
		incisor		17,2% 2 canals
Lin <i>et al.</i> (36)	706	Mandibular central	CBCT	89,1% 1 canal
	706	Mandibular lateral		74,5% 1 canal
		incisor		25,5% 2 canals
Altunsoy et al. (38)	1582	Mandibular central	CBCT	84,4% 1 canal
	1603	Mandibular lateral		80,2% 1 canal
		incisor		19,8% 2 canals
Aminsobhani et al. (39)	632	Mandibular central	CBCT	72,7% 1 canal
	614	Mandibular lateral		70,6% 1 canal
		incisor		29,4% 2 canals
Haghanifar et al. (41)	264	Mandibular central	CBCT	83% 1 canal
	200	incisor		17% 2 canals
	308	incisor		82,8% I canal 17.2% 2 canals
Zhengyan et al. (42)	3014	Mandibular central	CBCT	96,2% 1 canal
	2257	incisor		3,8% 2 canals
	3257	incisor		89,4% I canal 10,6% 2 canals
Zhao <i>et al.</i> (43)	1566	Mandibular central	СВСТ	93.3% 1 canal
	1500	incisor	CDC1	6,7% 2 canals
	1566	Mandibular lateral		82,5% 1 canal
	1	incisor		17,5% 2 canals

Incisors' anatomy

study results were very different, since they were mostly found in the apical third, 3-4 mm shorter than radiographic root end.

When analyzed in the same individual, the symmetry was present in the 44,6% of the mandibular central incisors and the 44,8% of the mandibular lateral incisors, with no statistical differences between the two groups. Our results were similar with the research by Kayaoglu *et al.* (45) and lower than other studies performed on molars (5).

Conclusions

The percentage of mandibular incisors presenting a complex anatomy (two canals with different configurations) is higher than previously reported.

These results highlighted that only an accurate preoperative radiographic exam could reveal and identify complex canal configuration in mandibular incisors.

References

1. Perlea P, Nistor CC, Toma C, Dimitriu B. Endodontic configuration of the lower incisors in a Romanian population: a radiological study. Rom J Morphol Embryol. 2013;54:775-8.

2. Saati S, Shokri A, Foroozandeh M, Poorolajal J, Mosleh N. Root morphology and number of canals in mandibular central and lateral incisors using cone beam computed tomography. Braz Dent J. 2018;29:239-44.

3. Ee J, Fayad MI, Johnson BR. Comparison of endodontic diagnosis and treatment planning decisions using cone-beam volumetric tomography versus periapical radiography. J Endod. 2014;40:910-6.

4. Gambarini G, Ropini P, Piasecki L, Costantini R, Carneiro E, Testarelli L, et al. A preliminary assessment of a new dedicated endodontic software for use with CBCT images to evaluate the canal complexity of mandibular molars. Int Endod J. 2018;51:259-68.

5. Gambarini G, Piasecki L, Ropini P, Miccoli G, Di Nardo D, Testarelli L. Cone-beam computed tomographic analysis on root and canal morphology of mandibular first permanent molar among multiracial population in Western European population. Eur J Dent. 2018;12:434-8.

6. Ahmed HMA, Dummer PMH. A new system for classifying tooth, root and canal anomalies. Int Endod J. 2018; 51:389-404.

7. Plotino G, Tocci L, Grande NM, Testarelli L, Messineo D, Ciotti M, et al. Symmetry of root and root canal morphology of maxillary and mandibular molars in a white population: a cone-beam computed tomography study in vivo. J Endod. 2013;39:1545-8.

8. Vertucci FJ. Root canal anatomy of the human permanent teeth. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.1984;58:589-99.

9. Weng XL, Yu SB, Zhao SL, Wang HG, Mu T, Tang RY, et al. Root canal morphology of permanent maxillary teeth in the Han nationality in Chinese Guanzhong area: a new modified root canal staining technique. J Endod. 2009;35:651-6.

10. Yoshioka T, Kikuchi I, Fukumoto Y, Kobayashi C, Suda H. Detection of the second mesiobuccal canal in mesiobuccal roots of maxillary molar teeth ex vivo. Int Endod J. 2005;38:124-8.

11. Schwarze T, Baethge C, Stecher T, Geurtsen W. Identification of second canals in the mesiobuccal root of maxillary first and second molars using magnifying loupes or an operating microscope. Aust Endod J. 2002;28:57-60.

12. Gilles J, Reader A. An SEM investigation of the mesiolingual canal in human maxillary first and second molars. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1990;70:638-43.

13. Plotino G, Grande NM, Pecci R, Bedini R, Pameijer CH, Somma F. Three-dimensional imaging using microcomputed tomography for studying tooth macromorphology. J Am Dent Assoc. 2006;137:1555-

61.

 Somma F, Leoni D, Plotino G, Grande NM, Plasschaert A. Root canal morphology of the mesiobuccal root of maxillary first molars: a micro-computed tomographic analysis. Int Endod J. 2009;42:165-74.
 Ariji Y, Ariji E, Nakashima M, Iohara K. Magnetic resonance ima-

ging in endodontics: a literature review. Oral Radiol. 2018;34:10-6. 16. Di Nardo D, Gambarini G, Capuani S, Testarelli L. Nuclear magne-

tic resonance imaging in endodontics: a review. J Endod. 2018;44:536-42.

17. Alaçam T, Tinaz AC, Genç O, Kayaoglu G. Second mesiobuccal canal detection in maxillary first molars using microscopy and ultrasonics. Aust Endod J. 2008;34:106-9.

18. Kulild JC, Peters DD. Incidence and configuration of canal systems in the mesiobuccal root of maxillary first and second molars. J Endod. 1990;16:311-7.

19. Thompson SA, al-Omari AO, Dummer PM. Assessing the shape of root canals: an in vitro method using microradiography. Int Endod J. 1995;28:61-7.

20. Omer OE, Al Shalabi RM, Jennings M, Glennon J, Claffey NM. A comparison between clearing and radiographic techniques in the study of the root-canal anatomy of maxillary first and second molars. Int Endod J. 2004;37:291-6.

21. Scarfe WC, Levin MD, Gane D, Farman AG. Use of cone beam computed tomography in endodontics. Int J Dent. 2009;2009:634567. 22. Ji Y, Wen S, Liu S, Zhu M, Yao M, Wang T, et al. Could cone-beam computed tomography demonstrate the lateral accessory canals? BMC Oral Health. 2017;17:142.

23. Monsarrat P, Arcaute B, Peters OA, Maury E, Telmon N, Georgelin-Gurgel M, et al. Interrelationships in the variability of root canal anatomy among the permanent teeth: a full-mouth approach by Cone-Beam CT. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0165329.

24. Lo Giudice R, Nicita F, Puleio F, Alibrandi A, Cervino G, Lizio AS, et al. Accuracy of periapical radiography and CBCT in endodontic evaluation. Int J Dent. 2018;2018:2514243.

25. Gurtu A, Aggarwal A, Mohan S, Singhal A, Bansal R, Agnihotri K. CBCT: a revolutionary diagnostic aid for endodontic dilemmas. Minerva Stomatol. 2014;63:325-31.

26. Benjamin KA, Dowson J. Incidence of two root canals in human mandibular incisor teeth. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1974;38:122-6.

27. Madeira MC, Hetem S. Incidence of bifurcations in mandibular incisors. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1973;36:589-91.

28. Vertucci FJ. Root canal anatomy of the mandibular anterior teeth. J Am Dent Assoc. 1974;89:369-71.

29. Calişkan MK, Pehlivan Y, Sepetçioğlu F, Türkün M, Tuncer SS. Root canal morphology of human permanent teeth in a Turkish population. J Endod. 1995;21:200-4.

30. Miyashita M, Kasahara E, Yasuda E, Yamamoto A, Sekizawa T. Root canal system of the mandibular incisor. J Endod. 1997;23:479-84.

31. Al-Qudah AA, Awawdeh LA. Root canal morphology of mandibular incisors in a Jordanian population. Int Endod J. 2006;39:873-7.

32. Sert S, Aslanalp V, Tanalp J. Investigation of the root canal configurations of mandibular permanent teeth in the Turkish population. Int Endod J. 2004;37:494-9.

33. Rahimi S, Milani AS, Shahi S, Sergiz Y, Nezafati S, Lofti M. Prevalence of two root canals in human mandibular anterior teeth in an Iranian population. Indian J Dent Res. 2013;24:234-6.

34. Kartal N, Yanikoğlu FC. Root canal morphology of mandibular incisors. J Endod. 1992;18:562-4.

35. Liu J, Luo J, Dou L, Yang D. CBCT study of root and canal morphology of permanent mandibular incisors in a Chinese population. Acta Odontol Scand. 2014;72:26-30.

36. Lin Z, Hu Q, Wang T, Ge J, Liu S, Zhu M, et al. Use of CBCT to investigate the root canal morphology of mandibular incisors. Surg Radiol Anat. 2014;36:877-82.

37. Kamtane S, Ghodke M. Morphology of Mandibular Incisors: A Study on CBCT. Pol J Radiol. 2016;81:15-6.

38. Altunsoy M, Ok E, Nur BG, Aglarci OS, Gungor E, Colak M. A

39. Aminsobhani M, Sadegh M, Meraji N, Razmi H, Kharazifard MJ. Evaluation of the root and canal morphology of mandibular permanent anterior teeth in an Iranian population by cone-beam computed tomography. J Dent (Tehran). 2013;10:358-66.

40. Verma GR, Bhadage C, Bhoosreddy AR, Vedpathak PR, Mehrotra GP, Nerkar AC et al. Cone beam computed tomography study of root canal morphology of permanent mandibular incisors in Indian subpopulation. Pol J Radiol. 2017;82:371-5.

41. Haghanifar S, Moudi E, Bijani A, Ghanbarabadi MK. Morphologic assessment of mandibular anterior teeth root canal using CBCT. Acta Med Acad. 2017;46:85-93.

42. Zhengyan Y, Keke L, Fei W, Yueheng L, Zhi Z. Cone-beam computed tomography study of the root and canal morphology of mandibular permanent anterior teeth in a Chongqing population. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2015;12:19-25.

43. Zhao Y, Dong YT, Wang XY, Wang ZH, Li G, Liu MQ, et al. Cone-beam computed tomography analysis of root canal configuration of 4 674 mandibular anterior teeth]. Beijing Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban. 2014;46:95-9.

44. Han T, Ma Y, Yang L, Chen X, Zhang X, Wang Y. A study of the root canal morphology of mandibular anterior teeth using cone-beam computed tomography in a Chinese subpopulation. J Endod. 2014;40:1309-14.

45. Kayaoglu G, Peker I, Gumusok M, Sarikir C, Kayadugun A, Ucok O. Root and canal simmetry in the mandibular anterior teeth of patients attending a dental clinic: CBCT study. Braz Oral Res. 2015;29. pii: S1806-83242015000100283.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exist.