ASSESSING A B-LEARNING TEACHING APPROACH AND STUDENTS' LEARNING PREFERENCES IN HIGHER EDUCATION

Teresa Cardoso¹, Filomena Pestana², João Aragão e Pina³

 ¹Universidade Aberta, Open University Portugal; LE@D, Laboratório de Educação a Distância e Elearning (PORTUGAL)
²LE@D, Laboratório de Educação a Distância e Elearning, Universidade Aberta, Open University Portugal (PORTUGAL)
³ISCTE-IUL, Instituto Universitário de Lisboa (PORTUGAL)

Apstract

Distance learning has been gaining ground in Figher e ducation institutions, particularly in the context of blended learning, forcing institutions and teacher to confront new challenges in the teaching / learning process [1] [2] [3] [4]. This trend has been increasing in the world [5] [6], namely in the United States [1] [7] and in Europe [8] [9] [6] [10].

Blended learning, or simply b-learning, has been a soviated with the reduction of costs and efficiency [11] [3]. According to several authors, it enables to combine face-to-face and online distance modalities (elearning or mlearning), so it is said to be the better of both worlds [12] [13] [14]. It also allows to enhance greater space-time flexibility, and when properly implemented allows the acquisition of meaningful learning, centered on student autonomy, which, among other aspects, takes into account different learning styles/preferences.

Therefore, blended learning can inspire course cancelum design, including updating former face-toface pedagogical offers in order to meet the blended or hybrid principles and delivery. Bearing in mind this teaching / learning specific scenario, we developed two surveys to assess such a new teaching approach and the students' preferences, in two unterent moments – at the beginning and at the end of a higher education unit course. Hence, in this text, we will present those surveys, as well as their theoretical and methodological framework. Both preliminary data analysis is being developed.

We expect this pedagogical approach can continue to sustain the assessment of teaching practices towards students' learning preferences, and the choice of adequate technologies to fit those preferences. Ultimately, we expect the results can allow light for further research, so as to improve the development of blended-learning course curriculation in higher education.

Keywords: Blended-learning, Higher Education, Texruing, Students' learning preferences, Survey

1 INTRODUCTION

Distance learning has been gaining ground in h.ghar ducation institutions, particularly in the context of blended learning, forcing institutions and teachers to confront new challenges in the teaching / learning process [1] [2] [3] [4]. This trend has been not easing in the world [5] [6], namely in the United States [1] [7] and in Europe [8] [9] [6] [10].

Blended learning, or simply b-learning, has been a cociated with the reduction of costs and efficiency [11] [3]. According to several authors, it enables to combine face-to-face and online distance modalities (elearning or mlearning), so it is said to be the better of both worlds [12] [13] [14]. It also allows to enhance greater space-time flexibility, and when properly implemented allows the acquisition of meaningful learning, centered on student account different learning styles/preferences.

However, the definition of the concept of blended learning is not consensual, emerging, in a broad sense, as a dynamic process that is often organic and context-specific [15]. Following the perspective of several other authors, we can quote [16], for whom "blended learning is the effective combination of different modes of delivery, models of teaching and styles of learning". [5], [17] and [18] also highlight the learning styles. In the words of [19] it is associated with "a pedagogy that places the primary responsibility of learning in the student". For [20], "Blending is an art that has been practiced by inspirational teachers for centuries" and that "adds extra dimensions to learning".

As [20] or [10] recognize, the concept can combine face-to-face sessions with remote sessions or only remote sessions. But for [21], "it represents much more than the integration of in-presence and non-presence moments [...] it must be understood as a dynamic strategy that involves different approaches and pedagogical models, different technologies and different learning spaces (formal and non-formal)". For these authors, blended learning can also be accomplished only with distance sessions, in this case, in what they call blended (e)learning.

For [22], the concept integrates still another feature, thus, "blended learning is the mix of learning material such as face-to-face, online, technologies and print bases that allow the students to be engaged with the content of course". [23] state that, with this modality, the "students gain access and flexibility with regard to at least one of the following dimensions: time, place, pace, learning style, content, assessment or learning path".

Therefore, blended-learning can inspire course curricul in design, including updating former face-toface pedagogical offers in order to meet the blended in hybrid principles and delivery. Bearing in mind this teaching / learning specific scenario, we developed two surveys to assess such a new teaching approach and the students' preferences, in two difference moments – at the beginning and at the end of a higher education unit course. Hence, in the following section, we will present those surveys.

2 METHODOLOGY

Our research is aimed at assessing a b-learning eaching approach and the students' learning preferences in higher education within this hybrid modality, namely (and at this initial phase) in the curricular unit "Professional Presentations". This curricular unit was delivered at the ISCTE-IUL (Portugal), "a public university established in 1972" 24]. Moreover, in the second semester of the 2018/2019 academic year, it was delivered for the mist unce in blended learning, by a different team of teachers, in different graduation/post-graduation program:

For that purpose, and bearing in mind that t______/learning specific scenario, as previously mentioned, we developed two surveys, as also said to assess such a new teaching approach and the students' preferences in two different moments – at the Deginning and at the end of that unit course. We support the development of those surveys in a set of studies in which it was sought to identify similar topics.

As a prior remark, it is important to mention that m prostudies relate the satisfaction of a course in the modality of blended learning to the final grades s udents obtain, at the end of their formal learning / curricular path, in a given course unit/program [25] and [4]. In this context, [5] conclude that the

"Results from the comparison studies surgest generally that online courses are at least comparable to classroom-based courses in achieving desired learning outcomes, while there is divergence in findings of comparisons or other course aspects. Collectively, the range of untested conceptual frameworks, the lac', of discipline-specific theories, and the relative absence of a critical mass of researchers focued on the topic suggest ample opportunities for [...] scholars seeking to enter this research community"

Hence, we assumed as a major goal in our study b contribute to fill the existing gap in the b-learning research domain (cf. the absence referred to in the providus paragraph), centering our surveys in two main topics, i.e. assessing both the teaching/learning morelative and the students' learning preferences. In this text, we will present only the common questions in the two surveys that we have developed, with regard to those two topics (see Figure 1 and Figure 2 represented afterwards, in which the questions of the survey 2 are highlighted in bold'. Both surveys have already been validated and tested.

Survey 1 (S01) and Survey 2 (S02) also have in common the informed consent for participating in the study, as well as the characterization of the stude ts' profile (e.g. age and program in which they are enrolled in). On the contrary, surveys 1 and 2 difference in the number of questions (Q) can be explained by the fact that some aspects are only possible to be accessed before the implementation of a certain pedagogical strategy or approach, as was the case in the delivering of the "Professional Presentations" unit. For instance, it only made sense to question the students about a prior learning experience in the blended learning modality at the beginning of the unit course (UC) – S01#Q09. Excluding the UC of Professional Presentations that you are now going to attend, how many courses or curricular units

have you already attended in the b-learning mode? This is an example of a closed-ended question, the majority in both surveys. Nevertheless, we did also considered open-ended questions.

S01#Q10. How many hours do you expect to dedicate to this	Unit Course (UC), excluding the face-to-face classes?
S02#Q10. How many hours have you dedicated to this Unit (Course (UC), excluding the face-to-face classes?
S01#Q11. The introduction of the b-learning component in th	is UC, what main advantages can it bring to you?
S02#Q11. The introduction of the b-learning component in t	his UC, what main advantages did it bring to you?
S01#Q12. The introduction of the b-learning component in the	is UC, what main disadvantages can it bring to you?
S02#Q12. The introduction of the b-learning component in t	his UC, what main disadvantages did it bring to you?
S01#Q13. What percentage of content do you expect to be m	ade avail?' .e online?
S02#Q13. What percentage of content was made available of	online
O 0% O 1 a 29% O 30 a 79% O 80% ou mais	
S01#014 Among the aspects listed below, select the one	501 tO15 to your opinion the higgest herefit of the
that you consider to be the greatest benefit of a UC in b	techn, al model in belearning is: (shoose only one
learning. Choose only one pastwer	technological model in preaming is. (choose only one
602#014 Among the aspects listed below, select the one	ans 602#015 In your opinion the biggest benefit of the
that you consider to be the greatest benefit of a UC in b-	tec not gica model in h-learning is: (choose only one
learning. Choose only one answer.	ans ver
O Provide alternative learning opportunities	O Measure how students use digital materials
O Offer distance learning to a large number of	O giver e closeness between students and
individuals.	ins uctors/teachers/facilitators.
O Promote more student involvement.	O the efforts of the
	institution/department/college.
O Contribute to increasing the academic performance.	O De reas the costs in general.
O Contribute to increase the size of the classroom.	0 04
O Decrease costs.	
O Other:	
S01#Q16. Please indicate your level of agreement with the fo	llowing statements.
S02#Q16. Please indicate your level of agreement with the f	ollowing statements.
	Us ⁺ the scale between 1 (Totally Disagree) to 7 (Totally Agree)
A program/course in b-learning	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
is a dynami	c modality of teaching-learning.
is a more effect	tive teaching rearning modality.
is a more effici	ient tee ung-learning modality.
is a more demand	ling teaching rearning modality.
	involution in technologies.
involves dif	ferent teaching methodologies.
invol	ves d' ferur , spaces of learning.
combines face-to-face	and r on-f ce-to-face moments.
increases the interaction	n between teacher and student.
	ncrez Jes , tudent collaboration.
increase	es the wor-load for the student.
increase	es the workload for the teacher.
increases the workload for bo	th this student and the teacher.
	enccurae is al tonomous work.
	encourages collaborative work.
combines synchronous and asynchronou	us moring the communication.
	to purfor , the tasks of the UC.
enables to choose the space where	rel p
has more credibility than if it	was delivered in other formats
is more advantageous than if it	was elivered in other formats
is more duvantageous tildi i i it	

Figure 1. Questions on the teaching/learning modality (S01 and S02).

S01#Q19. What type of resources do you prefer? Choose only one.		
S02#Q19. What type of resources do you prefer? Choose only one.		
O I prefer resources that mainly focus on visual elements, that is, with images or representations in graphics.		
O I prefer resources that mainly focus on audio elements, that is, with sounds or music.		
O I prefer resources that focus mainly on textual elements, that is, that appeal to reading and/or writing.		
O I prefer resources that mainly focus on simulation elements, that is, demonstrations, videos or movies.		
[]		
S01#Q22. What type of classes do you prefer?		
S02#Q22. What type of classes do you prefer?		
O Face-to-face O Non face-to-face O Blended/Hybrid		
[]		
S01#Q24. Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.		
S02#Q24. Please indicate your level of agreement with the following structments.		
Use the same active of (Totally Disagree) to 7 (Totally Agree)		
I am a good user of the following programs/applications.		
1 3 4 5 6 7 know used		
PowerPoi. *		
Prezi		
Powtour		
Keynot		
Video edti n		
Image editio.		
Audio edition		
Social networ s		

Figure 2. Questions on the learning ref rel ces (S01 and S02).

3 RESULTS

Since the unit course is being offered as an intensive module, with a different calendar, according to the different programs involved, we are still implementing the surveys, to students of different classes. Therefore, we are still in the process of collecting and organizing data. However, we have already started a preliminary data analysis that will ultimately electric the following topics, among others: the assessment of the degree of familiarity with the blenced-learning modality, the assessment of the degree of satisfaction with the blended-learning modality. The understanding of what motivates students in their individual learning process, and the understanding of what motivates students in their individual learning process, and the understanding of what motivates students of a same class, and between students of the different classes enrolled, in two different moments, as previously mentioned, in the beginning and at the end of the unit course. These comparisons will certainly provide important contributions for the development of 1 key research area, as blended learning has come to be considered as a didactic response that the new paradigms in education demand.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this text, we have presented an overview of the constant of blended learning, which we will be further analysing in the future. Then, we focused on the essence of a survey specifically developed to assess a b-learning teaching approach implemented or one first time in a graduation and post-graduation unit course, and the students' learning preserves, in higher education. The survey is theoretically framed by a state-of-the-art, which is in the future based on a knowledge mapping and synthesis on the blended learning concept and its principles, in different scientific fields. This feature will make it possible to further compare the results in the course programs.

The survey is meant to be applied at the beginning and the end of that (or any other) unit course delivered in the blended learning modality. Thus, in fact, it is materialized in two surveys, to be applied in those two distinct moments, namely, as we recall, at the start and at the end of a given b-learning curricular path.

As an anticipation of the data analysis withdrawn from the obtained results, still an ongoing process, we expect that the introduction of this b-learning pedagogical approach in the unit course of "Professional Presentations" can contribute to sustain the assessment of teaching practices towards students' learning preferences, and the choice of adequate technologies to fit those preferences, not only in ISCTE-IUL but also in other university institutions. Ultimately, we expect the results can shed light for further research, so as to improve the development of blended-learning course curriculum design in (higher) education.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Mobile Learning in Higher Education (MINE) Project 2016-1-AT01-KA203-016784.

Pro-rector for Pedagogical Innovation and Elearning, Open University Portugal.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Crews, J. Butterfield. Data for Flipped Classroom Design: Using Student Feedback to Identify the Best Components from Online and Face-to-Face Studies. Higher Education Studies, 4(3), 38-47, 2014. DOI:10.5539/hes.v4n3p38
- [2] R. Garrison, H. Kanuka. Blended Learning: Uncovering Its 7 ransformative Potential in Higher Education. The Internet and Higher Education 7(2), 95-100, 2004. DOI: 10.1016/j.iheduc.2004.02.001
- [3] D. Thurab-Nkhosi. Implementing a Blended/Online Learning Policy on a Face-to-Face Campus: Perspectives of Administrators and Implications for C. ... ngr. Journal of Learning for Development, 5(2), 133-147, 2018.
- [4] T. Weldy. Traditional, Blended, or Online: Business tuc ent Preferences and Experience with Different Course Formats. E-Journal of Business Education and Scholarship of Teaching, 12(2), 55–62. ISSN: EISSN-1835-9132, 2018.
- [5] J. Arbaugh, M. Godfrey, M. Johnson, B. Pollack, B. Mieridon, W. Wresch (2009). Research in online and blended learning in the business disciplines. Key findings and possible future directions. Internet and Higher Education, 12, 71-87, 200°. JOI:10.1016/j.iheduc.2009.06.006
- [6] A. Hilliard. Global Blended Learning Practices for Teaching and Learning, Leadership and Professional Development. Journal of International E Jucation Research, 11(3), 179-188, 2015. ISSN-2158-0979
- [7] L. Gurley. Educators' Preparation to Teach, Perceived Teaching Presence, and Perceived Teaching Presence Behaviors in Blended and Online Lecturing Environments. Online Learning, 22(2), 2018. DOI:10.24059/olj.v22i2.1255
- [8] F. Arcos, P. Ortega. Building a Framework for an English Language Course in an LMS with SCORM Compliant Learning Objects and Activities. In 5. Lozarinis, S. Green, H. Pearson, Handbook of Reasearch on E-learning Standards and Ir eroperability: Frameworks and Issues, 228-245, 2011. United States of America: IGI Global.
- [9] J. Fernandes. Definição do conceito de blended lear inc. Proposta metodológica no quadro da terminologia de base conceptual. Tese de Doutoram <u>onterior</u> CSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2015.
- [10] P. Peres. O blended-learning no contexto português do Encino Superior: uma visão geral. Indagatio Didactica, 10(2), 297-316, 2018. ISSN: 1647-3582
- [11] I. Pereira, A. Figueiredo. Um contexto de aprendizaç em promotor da participação dos alunos do ensino superior. Atas do SIIE 09 XI Simpósio Inconsciental de Informática Educativa, 2009.
- [12] S. Feng. Applied Research on College Sports Blended Learning Based on Moodle Platform. Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice, 18(5), 107 7-11 86 2018. DOI:10.12738/estp.2018.5.010
- [13] D. Otto. Using Virtual Mobility and Digital Storytelling in Blended Learning: Analysing Students' Experiences. Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education, 19(4), 90-103, 2018.

- [14] M. Vaughan, D. Garrison. Creating cognitive presence in a blended faculty development community. Internet and Higher Education, (1), 1-12, 2005. DOI:10.1016/j.iheduc.2004.11.001
- [15] W. Shebansky. Blended Learning Adoption in an ESL Context: Obstacles and Guidelines. TESL Canada Journal, 35(1), 52-77, 2018. DOI:10.18806/tesl.v35i1.1284
- [16] C. Procter. Blended Learning in Practice. Salford: University of Salford, 2003. ISBN: 0902896660
- [17] D. Kaplanis. 5 Benefits of the Blended Learning Approach. 2013. https://www.talentlms.com/blog/5-reasons-why-blended-learning-works/
- [18] Y. Zhang. Influencing Factors of Students' Acceptince of Blended Learning Based on Cognitive Neural Network. NeuroQuantology, 16(5), 387 395, 2018. DOI:10.14704/nq.2018.16.5.1305.
- [19] J. Lencastre. Educação On-Line: desenhar um aurso híbrido centrado no estudante. In H. Felício, C. Silva, A. Mariano, Dimensões do: Processos Educacionais: Da Epistemologia à Profissionalidade Docente, 209-223, 2017. Curitibr. Editora CRV.
- [20] A. Littlejohn, C. Pegler. Preparing for Blende Laurning. London: Routledge, 2007.
- [21] A. Monteiro, J. Moreira, J. Lencastre. Blende a ()L arning na Sociedade Digital. Santo Tirso: White Books, 2015.
- [22] W. Kamalluarifin, F. Aniza, H. Jayabalan, M. Coufi, N. Bakar, S. Karib. Blended Learning: Satisfaction among Accounting Students in UNI EN KSHAS. Global Business & Management Research, 10(3), 547-557, 2018.
- [23] C. Müller, M. Stahl, M. Alder, M. Müller. Lea nir J E fectiveness and Students' Perceptions in a Flexible Learning Course. European Journal of n. Distance and e-Learning, 21(2), 44-53, 2018. ISSN 1027-5207
- [24] https://www.iscte-iul.pt/contents/iscteiul/about-uc/541/about-iscteiul in 08-05-2019.
- [25] M. López-Pérez, M. Pérez-López, L. Rodriguez-Ariza. Blended learning in higher education: Students' perceptions and their relation outcomes. Computers & Education, 56(3), 818-826, 2011. DOI:10.1016/j.compendu.2010.10.02.

N.B. this is the preprint version of the paper indication below.

T. Cardoso, F. Pestana, J. Pina (2019) Af SE SING A B-LEARNING TEACHING APPROACH AND STUDENTS' LEARNING PREFERENCES IN HIGHER E DUC TION, EDULEARN19 Proceedings, pp. 10007-10012.

Appears in:

EDULEARN19 Proceedings(browse)

Pages: 10007-10012 Publication year: 2019 ISBN: 978-84-09-12031-4 ISSN: 2340-1117 doi: 10.21125/edulearn.2019.2502

Conference name: 11th International Conference on Education and New Learning Technologies **Dates:** 1-3 July, 2019 **Location:** Palma, Spain

https://library.iated.org/view/CARDOSO2019ASS https://library.iated.org/publications/EDULEARN19/start/1500