

Integral Transforms and Special Functions INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

**Integral Transforms and Special Functions** 



ISSN: 1065-2469 (Print) 1476-8291 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gitr20

# A characterization for Adams-type boundedness of the fractional maximal operator on generalized **Orlicz-Morrey spaces**

Fatih Deringoz, Vagif S. Guliyev & Sabir G. Hasanov

To cite this article: Fatih Deringoz, Vagif S. Guliyev & Sabir G. Hasanov (2017) A characterization for Adams-type boundedness of the fractional maximal operator on generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces, Integral Transforms and Special Functions, 28:4, 284-299, DOI: 10.1080/10652469.2017.1283312

To link to this article: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10652469.2017.1283312</u>



Published online: 29 Jan 2017.

Submit your article to this journal 🖸





View related articles



View Crossmark data 🗹



Citing articles: 2 View citing articles



**Research Article** 

# A characterization for Adams-type boundedness of the fractional maximal operator on generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces

Fatih Deringoz<sup>a</sup>, Vagif S. Guliyev and Sabir G. Hasanov<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Ahi Evran University, Kirsehir, Turkey; <sup>b</sup>Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan, Baku, Azerbaijan; <sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics, Ganja State University, Ganja, Azerbaijan

#### ABSTRACT

In the present paper, we shall give a characterization for weak/strong Adams-type boundedness of the fractional maximal operator on generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces.

**ARTICLE HISTORY** Received 28 September 2016

Accepted 10 January 2017

#### **KEYWORDS**

Orlicz–Morrey space; generalized Orlicz–Morrey space; fractional maximal operator

**AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION** 42B25; 42B35; 46E30

### 1. Introduction

As is well known, Morrey spaces are widely used to investigate the local behaviour of solutions to second-order elliptic partial differential equations (PDE). Recall that the classical Morrey spaces  $\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are defined by

$$\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} r^{-\lambda/p} \|f\|_{L^p(B(x,r))} < \infty \right\},$$

where  $0 \le \lambda \le n$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ .  $\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  was an expansion of  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in the sense that  $\mathcal{M}^{p,0}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{M}^{p,n}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Here and everywhere in the sequel B(x, r) is the ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of radius *r* centred at *x* and  $|B(x, r)| = v_n r^n$  is its Lebesgue measure, where  $v_n$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

By  $W\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we denote the weak Morrey space defined as the set of functions f in the local weak space  $WL^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which

$$\|f\|_{W\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n, r>0} r^{-\lambda/p} \|f\|_{WL^p(B(x,r))} < \infty.$$

CONTACT Fatih Deringoz 🖾 deringoz@hotmail.com

© 2017 Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

The spaces  $\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by the norm

$$||f||_{\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \varphi(x, r)^{-1} |B(x, r)|^{-1/p} ||f||_{L^p(B(x, r))}$$

with a function  $\varphi$  positive and measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$  are known as generalized Morrey spaces. Also by  $W\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we denote the weak generalized Morrey space of all functions  $f \in WL^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which

$$\|f\|_{W\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \varphi(x, r)^{-1} |B(x, r)|^{-1/p} \|f\|_{WL^p(B(x, r))} < \infty$$

Note that, in the case  $\varphi(x, r) = r^{(\lambda - n)/p}$ , we get the classical Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  from generalized Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The Orlicz space was first introduced by Orlicz [1,2] as generalizations of Lebesgue spaces  $L^p$ . Since then this space has been one of the important functional frames in the mathematical analysis, and especially in real and harmonic analysis. Orlicz space is also an appropriate substitute for  $L^1$  space when  $L^1$  space does not work. For example, the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \tag{1.1}$$

is bounded on  $L^p$  for  $1 , but not on <math>L^1$ , but using Orlicz spaces, we can investigate the boundedness of the maximal operator near p = 1, see [3–5] for more precise statements.

A natural step in the theory of functions spaces was to study generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  where the 'Morrey-type measuring' of regularity of functions is realized with respect to the Orlicz norm over balls instead of the Lebesgue one. Such spaces were first introduced and studied by Nakai [6]. Then another kind of generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces were introduced by Sawano et al. [7]. The generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces used in this paper was introduced in [8]. In words of Guliyev et al. [9], our generalized Orlicz–Morrey space is the third kind and the ones in [6,7] are the first kind and second kind, respectively. According to the examples in [10], one can say that the generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces of the first and second kind are different and that second kind and third kind are different. However, it is not known that relation between first and third kind.

Let  $0 < \alpha < n$ . The fractional maximal operator  $M_{\alpha}$  and the Riesz potential operator  $I_{\alpha}$  are defined by

$$M_{\alpha}f(x) = \sup_{t>0} |B(x,t)|^{-1+\alpha/n} \int_{B(x,t)} |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y, \quad I_{\alpha}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

If  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $M \equiv M_0$  is the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator defined in (1.1).

The classical result by Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev states that the operator  $I_{\alpha}$  is of weak type  $(p, np/(n - \alpha p))$  if  $1 \le p < n/\alpha$  and of strong type  $(p, np/(n - \alpha p))$  if 1 . $Also the operator <math>M_{\alpha}$  is of weak type  $(p, np/(n - \alpha p))$  if  $1 \le p \le n/\alpha$  and of strong type  $(p, np/(n - \alpha p))$  if 1 .

Around the 1970s, the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality is extended from Lebesgue spaces to Morrey spaces. The following theorem was proved by Adams [11].

**Theorem 1.1 (Adams [11]):** Let  $0 < \alpha < n$ ,  $1 , <math>0 < \lambda < n - \alpha p$  and  $1/p - 1/q = \alpha/(n-\lambda)$ . Then for p > 1, the operator  $I_{\alpha}$  is bounded from  $\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{M}^{q,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for p = 1,  $I_{\alpha}$  is bounded from  $\mathcal{M}^{1,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{WM}^{q,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Recall that, for  $0 < \alpha < n$ ,

$$M_{\alpha}f(x) \le \upsilon_n^{\alpha/n-1} I_{\alpha}(|f|)(x),$$

hence Theorem 1.1 also implies boundedness of the fractional maximal operator  $M_{\alpha}$ .

Guliyev [12] (see also [13,14]) extended the results of Spanne and Adams from Morrey spaces to generalized Morrey spaces (see also [15]). Later on, some of these results are obtained in [16,17] under weaker condition.

The boundedness of  $M_{\alpha}$  from Orlicz space  $L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to the corresponding another Orlicz space  $L^{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  was studied in [5]. There were given necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of the operator  $M_{\alpha}$  from  $L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and also from  $L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to the weak Orlicz space  $WL^{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

In this paper, we shall give a characterization for weak/strong Adams-type boundedness of the fractional maximal operator on generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces.

By  $A \leq B$  we mean that  $A \leq CB$  with some positive constant *C* independent of appropriate quantities. If  $A \leq B$  and  $B \leq A$ , we write  $A \approx B$  and say that *A* and *B* are equivalent.

#### 2. Preliminaries

#### 2.1. On Young Functions and Orlicz Spaces

We recall the definition of Young functions.

**Definition 2.1:** A function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  is called a Young function if  $\Phi$  is convex, left-continuous,  $\lim_{r \to +0} \Phi(r) = \Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{r \to \infty} \Phi(r) = \infty$ .

From the convexity and  $\Phi(0) = 0$  it follows that any Young function is increasing. If there exists  $s \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $\Phi(s) = \infty$ , then  $\Phi(r) = \infty$  for  $r \ge s$ . The set of Young functions such that  $0 < \Phi(r) < \infty$  for  $0 < r < \infty$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{Y}$ . If  $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$ , then  $\Phi$  is absolutely continuous on every closed interval in  $[0, \infty)$  and bijective from  $[0, \infty)$  to itself. For a Young function  $\Phi$  and  $0 \le s \le \infty$ , let

$$\Phi^{-1}(s) = \inf\{r \ge 0 : \Phi(r) > s\}.$$

If  $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$ , then  $\Phi^{-1}$  is the usual inverse function of  $\Phi$ .

Note that Young functions satisfy the properties

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\alpha t) &\leq \alpha \Phi(t), & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \\ \Phi(\alpha t) \geq \alpha \Phi(t), & \text{if } \alpha > 1 \end{aligned} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Phi^{-1}(\alpha t) \geq \alpha \Phi^{-1}(t), & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \\ \Phi^{-1}(\alpha t) \leq \alpha \Phi^{-1}(t), & \text{if } \alpha > 1. \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.1)$$

**Remark 2.2:** We can easily see that  $\Phi(Cr) \approx \Phi(r)$  and  $\Phi^{-1}(Cr) \approx \Phi^{-1}(r)$  for a positive constant *C* from (2.1).

It is well known that

$$r \le \Phi^{-1}(r)\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(r) \le 2r \quad \text{for } r \ge 0,$$
 (2.2)

where  $\tilde{\Phi}(r)$  is defined by

$$\tilde{\Phi}(r) = \begin{cases} \sup\{rs - \Phi(s) : s \in [0, \infty)\}, & r \in [0, \infty), \\ \infty, & r = \infty. \end{cases}$$

A Young function  $\Phi$  is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, denoted also as  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ , if  $\Phi(2r) \leq C\Phi(r), r > 0$  for some C > 1. If  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ , then  $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$ . A Young function  $\Phi$  is said to satisfy the  $\nabla_2$ -condition, denoted also by  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ , if  $\Phi(r) \leq (1/2C)\Phi(Cr), r \geq 0$  for some C > 1.

A Young function  $\Phi$  is said to satisfy the  $\Delta'$ -condition, denoted also as  $\Phi \in \Delta'$ , if

$$\Phi(tr) \le C\Phi(t)\Phi(r), \quad t,r \ge 0$$

for some C > 1.

Note that, each element of  $\Delta'$ -class is also an element of  $\Delta_2$ -class.

**Remark 2.3:** Let  $\Phi \in \Delta'$ , then we have

$$\Phi(tr) \le C\Phi(t)\Phi(r), \quad t,r \ge 0.$$

If we set  $\Phi(t) = u$  and  $\Phi(r) = v$ , we get  $\Phi(\Phi^{-1}(u)\Phi^{-1}(v)) \le Cuv \Rightarrow \Phi^{-1}(u)\Phi^{-1}(v) \le \Phi^{-1}(Cuv) \le C\Phi^{-1}(uv)$ , since  $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$  and  $\Phi^{-1}$  is concave.

**Definition 2.4 (Orlicz Space):** For a Young function  $\Phi$ , the set

$$L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(k|f(x)|) \, \mathrm{d}x < \infty \text{ for some } k > 0 \right\}$$

is called Orlicz space. If  $\Phi(r) = r^p$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , then  $L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $\Phi(r) = 0$ ,  $0 \le r \le 1$  and  $\Phi(r) = \infty$ , r > 1, then  $L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The space  $L^{\Phi}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined as the set of all functions f such that  $f\chi_B \in L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all balls  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

 $L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) \mathrm{d}x \le 1 \right\}.$$

We note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}}}\right) \mathrm{d}x \le 1.$$
(2.3)

**Lemma 2.5 ([8]):** For a Young function  $\Phi$  and B = B(x, r), the following inequality is valid:

$$\|f\|_{L^{1}(B)} \leq 2|B|\Phi^{-1}(|B|^{-1})\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(B)},$$

where  $||f||_{L^{\Phi}(B)} = ||f\chi_B||_{L^{\Phi}}$ .

288 🔄 F. DERINGOZ ET AL.

By elementary calculations we have the following.

**Lemma 2.6:** Let  $\Phi$  be a Young function and B be a set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with finite Lebesgue measure. Then

$$\|\chi_B\|_{L^{\Phi}} = \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(|B|^{-1})}$$

The following theorem is an analogue of Lebesgue differentiation theorem in Orlicz spaces.

**Theorem 2.7 ([18]):** Suppose that  $\Phi$  is a Young function and let  $f \in L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be nonnegative. Then

$$\liminf_{r \to 0+} \frac{\|f \chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^{\Phi}}}{\|\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^{\Phi}}} \ge f(x), \quad \text{for almost every} x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

*If we moreover assume that*  $\Phi \in \Delta'$ *, then* 

$$\lim_{r \to 0+} \frac{\|f \chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^{\Phi}}}{\|\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^{\Phi}}} = f(x), \quad \text{for almost every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

#### 2.2. Orlicz–Morrey spaces

**Definition 2.8:** For a Young function  $\Phi$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the Orlicz–Morrey space, defined as the space of all functions  $f \in L^{\Phi}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with finite quasinorm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-\lambda/n}) \|f\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^{\Phi}}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}|_{\lambda=0} = L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}|_{\Phi(t)=t^p} = \mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Lemma 2.9:** If  $\Phi \in \Delta'$ , then  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,n}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then

$$\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-1}) \| f \chi_{B(x,r)} \|_{L^{\Phi}} \le \| f \|_{L^{\infty}} \Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-1}) \| \chi_{B(x,r)} \|_{L^{\Phi}} \le \| f \|_{L^{\infty}},$$

which implies  $||f||_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,n}} \leq ||f||_{L^{\infty}}$ .

Now let  $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\Phi,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Theorem 2.7 implies that  $|f(x)| \leq ||f||_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,n}}$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , which means that  $||f||_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,n}} \geq ||f||_{L^{\infty}}$ .

In the following we denote by  $\Theta$  the set of all functions equivalent to 0 on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Lemma 2.10:** Let  $\Phi$  be a Young function. If  $\lambda < 0$  or  $\lambda > n$  and  $\Phi \in \Delta'$ , then  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \Theta$ .

**Proof:** First let  $\lambda < 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and r > 0, we have

$$\|f\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^{\Phi}}\leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}}}{\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-\lambda/n})},$$

which implies that  $||f||_{L^{\Phi}} = \lim_{r \to \infty} ||f\chi_{B(x,r)}||_{L^{\Phi}} = 0 \Rightarrow f(x) = 0$ , for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , since  $\lim_{r \to \infty} \Phi^{-1}(r) = \infty$ .

Now let  $\lambda > n$  and  $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and r > 0 we have from Remark 2.3 and Theorem 2.7

$$\frac{\|f\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^{\Phi}}}{\|\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^{\Phi}}} \leq \frac{\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-1})}{\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-1}|B(x,r)|^{1-\lambda/n})} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}} \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}}}{\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{1-\lambda/n})},$$

which implies that |f(x)| = 0, for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Remark 2.11:** In the case  $\Phi(t) = t^p$  for  $1 \le p < \infty$  from Lemmas 2.9 and 2.10, we get the following well-known results:  $\mathcal{M}^{p,n}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \Theta$  for  $\lambda < 0$  or  $\lambda > n$ .

#### 2.3. Generalized Orlicz–Morrey Spaces

Various versions of generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces were introduced in [6–8]. We used the definition of Deringoz et al. [8] which runs as follows.

**Definition 2.12:** Let  $\varphi(x, r)$  be a positive measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$  and  $\Phi$  any Young function. We denote by  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the generalized Orlicz–Morrey space, the space of all functions  $f \in L^{\Phi}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \varphi(x, r)^{-1} \Phi^{-1}(|B(x, r)|^{-1}) \|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(B(x, r))} < \infty.$$

In the case  $\varphi(x, r) = \Phi^{-1}(|B(x, r)|^{-1})/\Phi^{-1}(|B(x, r)|^{-\lambda/n})$ , we get the Orlicz–Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  from generalized Orlicz–Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Lemma 2.13:** Let  $\Phi$  be a Young function and  $\varphi$  be a positive measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ .

(i) *If* 

$$\sup_{t < r < \infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-1})}{\varphi(x,r)} = \infty \quad \text{forsome} t > 0 \text{and} \text{forall} x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
(2.4)

then  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \Theta$ . (ii) If  $\Phi \in \Delta'$  and

$$\sup_{0 < r < \tau} \varphi(x, r)^{-1} = \infty \quad \text{forsome} \tau > 0 \text{and} \text{forall} x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2.5}$$

then  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \Theta$ .

290 🔄 F. DERINGOZ ET AL.

**Proof:** (i) Let (2.4) be satisfied and f be not equivalent to zero. Then  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} ||f||_{L^{\Phi}(B(x,t))} > 0$ , hence

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} \ge \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t < r < \infty} \varphi(x, r)^{-1} \Phi^{-1}(|B(x, r)|^{-1}) \|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(B(x, r))}$$
$$\ge \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(B(x, t))} \sup_{t < r < \infty} \varphi(x, r)^{-1} \Phi^{-1}(|B(x, r)|^{-1}).$$

Therefore  $||f||_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} = \infty$ .

(ii) Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and (2.5) be satisfied. Then there are two possibilities: *Case 1*:  $\sup_{0 < r < t} \varphi(x, r)^{-1} = \infty$  for all t > 0. *Case 2*:  $\sup_{0 < r < s} \varphi(x, r)^{-1} < \infty$  for some  $s \in (0, \tau)$ . For Case 1, by Theorem 2.7, for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\lim_{r \to 0+} \frac{\|f \chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^{\Phi}}}{\|\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^{\Phi}}} = |f(x)|.$$
(2.6)

We claim that f(x) = 0 for all those *x*. Indeed, fix *x* and assume |f(x)| > 0. Then by Lemma 2.6 and (2.6) there exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that

$$\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-1})||f||_{L^{\Phi}(B(x,r))} \ge \frac{|f(x)|}{2}$$

for all  $0 < r \le t_0$ . Consequently,

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} \ge \sup_{0 < r < t_0} \varphi(x,r)^{-1} \Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-1}) \|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(B(x,r))}$$
$$\ge \frac{|f(x)|}{2} \sup_{0 < r < t_0} \varphi(x,r)^{-1}.$$

Hence  $||f||_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} = \infty$ , so  $f \notin \mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and we have arrived at a contradiction. Note that Case 2 implies that  $\sup_{s < r < \tau} \varphi(x, r)^{-1} = \infty$ , hence

$$\sup_{s < r < \infty} \varphi(x, r)^{-1} \Phi^{-1}(|B(x, r)|^{-1}) \ge \sup_{s < r < \tau} \varphi(x, r)^{-1} \Phi^{-1}(|B(x, r)|^{-1})$$
$$\ge \Phi^{-1}(|B(x, \tau)|^{-1}) \sup_{s < r < \tau} \varphi(x, r)^{-1} = \infty,$$

which is the case in (i).

**Remark 2.14:** Let  $\Phi$  be a Young function. We denote by  $\Omega_{\Phi}$  the sets of all positive measurable functions  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$  such that for all t > 0,

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\left\|\frac{\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-1})}{\varphi(x,r)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(t,\infty)}<\infty,$$

and

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\|\varphi(x,r)^{-1}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,t)}<\infty,$$

respectively. In what follows, keeping in mind Lemma 2.13, we always assume that  $\varphi \in \Omega_{\Phi}$  and  $\Phi \in \Delta'$ .

The following theorem and lemma play a key role in our main results.

**Theorem 2.15 ([8]):** Let  $\Phi$  be a Young function, the functions  $\varphi \in \Omega_{\Phi}$  and  $\Phi \in \Delta'$  satisfy the condition

$$\sup_{t < t < \infty} \Phi^{-1}(|B(x,t)|^{-1}) \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{t < s < \infty} \frac{\varphi(x,s)}{\Phi^{-1}(|B(x,s)|^{-1})} \le C\varphi(x,r),$$
(2.7)

where C does not depend on x and r. Then the maximal operator M is bounded from  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ .

A function  $\varphi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  is said to be almost increasing (resp. almost decreasing) if there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\varphi(r) \le C\varphi(s) \quad (\operatorname{resp.}\varphi(r) \ge C\varphi(s)) \quad \text{for} r \le s.$$

For a Young function  $\Phi$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi}$  the set of all almost decreasing functions  $\varphi$ :  $(0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$  such that  $t \in (0,\infty) \mapsto \varphi(t)/\Phi^{-1}(t^{-n})$  is almost increasing.

**Lemma 2.16 ([19]):** Let  $B_0 := B(x_0, r_0)$ . If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}$ , then there exist C > 0 such that

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(r_0)} \leq \|\chi_{B_0}\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} \leq \frac{C}{\varphi(r_0)}.$$

## 3. Adams type results for $M_{\alpha}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}$

For proving our main results, we need the following estimate.

**Lemma 3.1:** If  $B_0 := B(x_0, r_0)$ , then  $r_0^{\alpha} \leq CM_{\alpha} \chi_{B_0}(x)$  for every  $x \in B_0$ .

**Proof:** It is well known that

r

$$M_{\alpha}f(x) \le 2^{n-\alpha}M_{\alpha}f(x), \tag{3.1}$$

where  $M_{\alpha}(f)(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} |B|^{-1+\alpha/n} \int_{B} |f(y)| dy$ .

Now let  $x \in B_0$ . By using (3.1), we get

$$M_{\alpha}\chi_{B_0}(x) \ge CM_{\alpha}\chi_{B_0}(x) \ge C\sup_{B \ni x} |B|^{-1+\alpha/n}|B \cap B_0|$$
$$\ge C|B_0|^{-1+\alpha/n}|B_0 \cap B_0| = Cr_0^{\alpha}.$$

**Theorem 3.2:** Let  $\Phi \in \Delta' \cap \nabla_2$  and  $0 < \alpha < n$ . Let  $\varphi \in \Omega_{\Phi}$  satisfy the conditions (2.7) and

$$r^{\alpha}\varphi(x,r) + \sup_{r < t < \infty} t^{\alpha}\varphi(x,t) \le C\varphi(x,r)^{\beta},$$
(3.2)

for some  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  and for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and r > 0. Define  $\eta(x, r) \equiv \varphi(x, r)^{\beta}$  and  $\Psi(r) \equiv \Phi(r^{1/\beta})$ . Then the operator  $M_{\alpha}$  is bounded from  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi, \varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{M}^{\Psi, \eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Proof:** For arbitrary ball B = B(x, r), we represent f as

$$f = f_1 + f_2, \quad f_1(y) = f(y)\chi_{2B}(y), \quad f_2(y) = f(y)\chi_{c_{(2B)}}(y), \quad r > 0,$$

and have

$$M_{\alpha}f(x) = M_{\alpha}f_1(x) + M_{\alpha}f_2(x)$$

Let *y* be an arbitrary point in *B*. If  $B(y,t) \cap {}^{\mathbb{C}}(B(x,2r)) \neq \emptyset$ , then t > r. Indeed, if  $z \in B(y,t) \cap {}^{\mathbb{C}}(B(x,2r))$ , then  $t > |y-z| \ge |x-z| - |x-y| > 2r - r = r$ . On the other hand,  $B(y,t) \cap {}^{\mathbb{C}}(B(x,2r)) \subset B(x,2t)$ . Indeed, if  $z \in B(y,t) \cap {}^{\mathbb{C}}(B(x,2r))$ ,

On the other hand,  $B(y,t) \cap (B(x,2r)) \subset B(x,2t)$ . Indeed, if  $z \in B(y,t) \cap (B(x,2r))$ , then we get  $|x-z| \le |y-z| + |x-y| < t + r < 2t$ .

Hence

$$\begin{split} M_{\alpha}f_{2}(y) &= \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{|B(y,t)|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \int_{B(y,t)\cap} \mathbb{C}_{(B(x,2r))} |f(z)| \, \mathrm{d}z \\ &\leq 2^{n-\alpha}, \sup_{t>r} \frac{1}{|B(x,2t)|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \int_{B(x,2t)} |f(z)| \, \mathrm{d}z \\ &= 2^{n-\alpha} \sup_{t>2r} \frac{1}{|B(x,t)|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \int_{B(x,t)} |f(z)| \, \mathrm{d}z \\ &\leq C_{2} \sup_{r$$

Consequently from Hedberg's trick and the last inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} M_{\alpha}f(y) &\lesssim r^{\alpha}Mf(y) + \sup_{r < t < \infty} \Phi^{-1}(|B(x,t)|^{-1})t^{\alpha} \|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(B(x,t))} \\ &\lesssim r^{\alpha}Mf(y) + \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} \sup_{r < t < \infty} t^{\alpha}\varphi(x,t). \end{split}$$

Thus, using the technique in [20, p. 6492], by (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{split} |M_{\alpha}f(y)| &\lesssim \min\{\varphi(x,r)^{\beta-1}Mf(y),\varphi(x,r)^{\beta} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}\}\\ &\lesssim \sup_{s>0} \min\{s^{\beta-1}Mf(y),s^{\beta} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}\}\\ &= (Mf(y))^{\beta} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}^{1-\beta}, \end{split}$$

where we have used that the supremum is achieved when the minimum parts are balanced. Hence for every  $y \in B$ , we have

$$M_{\alpha}f(y) \lesssim (Mf(y))^{\beta} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}^{1-\beta}.$$
(3.3)

By using the inequality (3.3), we have

$$||M_{\alpha}f||_{L^{\Psi}(B)} \lesssim ||(Mf)^{\beta}||_{L^{\Psi}(B)} ||f||_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}^{1-\beta}.$$

Note that from (2.3), we get

$$\int_{B} \Psi\left(\frac{(Mf(x))^{\beta}}{\|Mf\|_{L^{\Phi}(B)}^{\beta}}\right) \mathrm{d}x = \int_{B} \Phi\left(\frac{Mf(x)}{\|Mf\|_{L^{\Phi}(B)}}\right) \mathrm{d}x \leq 1.$$

Thus  $\|(Mf)^{\beta}\|_{L^{\Psi}(B)} \leq \|Mf\|_{L^{\Phi}(B)}^{\beta}$ . Consequently by using the boundedness of the maximal operator, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(x,r)^{-1}\Psi^{-1}(|B|^{-1}) \|M_{\alpha}f\|_{L^{\Psi}(B)} &\lesssim \eta(x,r)^{-1}\Psi^{-1}(|B|^{-1}) \|Mf\|_{L^{\Phi}(B)}^{\beta} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}^{1-\beta} \\ &= \left(\varphi(x,r)^{-1}\Phi^{-1}(|B|^{-1}) \|Mf\|_{L^{\Phi}(B)}\right)^{\beta} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}^{1-\beta} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}.\end{aligned}$$

By taking the supremum of all *B*, we get the desired result.

**Remark 3.3:** Note that, for  $\eta(x, r) \equiv \varphi(x, r)^{\beta}$  and  $\Psi(r) \equiv \Phi(r^{1/\beta}), \varphi \in \Omega_{\Phi}$  implies that  $\eta \in \Omega_{\Psi}$ . Also,  $\Phi \in \Delta'$  implies  $\Psi \in \Delta'$ .

The following theorem is one of our main results.

**Theorem 3.4 ((Adams type result)):** Let  $0 < \alpha < n$ ,  $\Phi \in \Delta'$ ,  $\varphi \in \Omega_{\Phi}$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\eta(t) \equiv \varphi(t)^{\beta}$  and  $\Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta})$ .

1. If  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$  and  $\varphi(t)$  satisfies (2.7) then the condition

$$t^{\alpha}\varphi(t) + \sup_{t < r < \infty} r^{\alpha}\varphi(r) \le C\varphi(t)^{\beta}, \qquad (3.4)$$

for all t > 0, where C > 0 does not depend on t, is sufficient for the boundedness of  $M_{\alpha}$ from  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

2. If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}$ , then the condition

$$t^{\alpha}\varphi(t) \le C\varphi(t)^{\beta},\tag{3.5}$$

for all t > 0, where C > 0 does not depend on t, is necessary for the boundedness of  $M_{\alpha}$  from  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

3. Let  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}$ . Then, the condition (3.5) is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of  $M_{\alpha}$  from  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof:* The first part of the theorem is a corollary of Theorem 3.2.

We shall now prove the necessary part. Let  $B_0 = B(x_0, t_0)$  and  $x \in B_0$ . By Lemma 3.1, we have  $t_0^{\alpha} \leq CM_{\alpha} \chi_{B_0}(x)$ . Therefore, by Lemmas 2.6 and 2.16

$$t_{0}^{\alpha} \leq C\Psi^{-1}(|B_{0}|^{-1}) \|M_{\alpha}\chi_{B_{0}}\|_{L^{\Psi}(B_{0})} \leq C\eta(t_{0})\|M_{\alpha}\chi_{B_{0}}\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}}$$
$$\leq C\eta(t_{0})\|\chi_{B_{0}}\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} \leq C\frac{\eta(t_{0})}{\varphi(t_{0})} \leq C\varphi(t_{0})^{\beta-1}.$$

Since this is true for every  $t_0 > 0$ , we are done. The third statement of the theorem follows from the first and second parts of the theorem.

If we take  $\Phi(t) = t^p$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $\beta = p/q$  with  $p < q < \infty$  at Theorem 3.4 we get the following result for the generalized Morrey spaces which also can be seen as a special case of [21, Theorem 1].

**Corollary 3.5:** Let  $0 < \alpha < n$ ,  $1 and <math>\varphi \in \Omega_p \equiv \Omega_{t^p}$ .

294 😉 F. DERINGOZ ET AL.

1. If  $\varphi(t)$  satisfies

$$\sup_{r < t < \infty} \frac{\mathop{\mathrm{ess\,inf}}_{t < s < \infty} \varphi(s) s^{n/p}}{t^{n/p}} \le C\varphi(r), \tag{3.6}$$

then the condition

$$t^{\alpha}\varphi(t) + \sup_{t < r < \infty} r^{\alpha}\varphi(r) \le C\varphi(t)^{p/q},$$
(3.7)

for all t > 0, where C > 0 does not depend on t, is sufficient for the boundedness of  $M_{\alpha}$ from  $\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{M}^{q,\varphi^{p/q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

2. If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_p \equiv \mathcal{G}_{t^p}$ , then the condition

$$t^{\alpha}\varphi(t) \le C\varphi(t)^{p/q},\tag{3.8}$$

for all t > 0, where C > 0 does not depend on t, is necessary for the boundedness of  $M_{\alpha}$ from  $\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{M}^{q,\varphi^{p/q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

3. If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_p$ , then the condition (3.8) is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of  $M_{\alpha}$  from  $\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{M}^{q,\varphi^{p/q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

If we take  $\varphi(t) = \Phi^{-1}(t^{-n})/\Phi^{-1}(t^{-\lambda}), 0 \le \lambda \le n, \Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta}), \beta \in (0, 1),$ 

$$\eta(t) \equiv \varphi(t)^{\beta} = \left(\frac{\Phi^{-1}(t^{-n})}{\Phi^{-1}(t^{-\lambda})}\right)^{\beta} = \frac{\Psi^{-1}(t^{-n})}{\Psi^{-1}(t^{-\lambda})},$$

at Theorem 3.4 we get the following new result for Orlicz-Morrey spaces.

**Corollary 3.6:** Let  $\Phi \in \Delta' \cap \nabla_2$ ,  $\Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta})$  and  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ . If

$$\sup_{t < r < \infty} r^{\alpha} \, \frac{\Phi^{-1}(r^{-n})}{\Phi^{-1}(r^{-\lambda})} \le C t^{\alpha} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(t^{-n})}{\Phi^{-1}(t^{-\lambda})},\tag{3.9}$$

for all t > 0, where C > 0 does not depend on t, then the condition

$$t^{\alpha} \le C \left[ \frac{\Phi^{-1}(t^{-n})}{\Phi^{-1}(t^{-\lambda})} \right]^{\beta - 1}$$
(3.10)

for all t > 0, where C > 0 does not depend on t, is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of  $M_{\alpha}$  from  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Remark 3.7:** If we take  $\Phi(t) = t^p$ ,  $\beta = \frac{p}{q}$  with p < q at Corollary 3.6, then condition (3.9) is equivalent to  $0 \le \lambda < n - \alpha p$  and condition (3.10) is equivalent to  $1/p - 1/q = \alpha/(n - \lambda)$ . Therefore, we get the following Adams result for Morrey spaces (see Theorem 1.1).

**Corollary 3.8:** Let  $0 < \alpha < n, 1 < p < q < \infty$  and  $0 \le \lambda < n - \alpha p$ . Then  $M_{\alpha}$  is bounded from  $\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{M}^{q,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if  $1/p - 1/q = \alpha/(n - \lambda)$ .

To compare, we formulate the following theorem proved in [19] and remark below.

**Theorem 3.9:** Let  $0 < \alpha < n$ ,  $\Phi \in \Delta'$ ,  $\varphi \in \Omega_{\Phi}$ ,  $\beta \in (0,1)$ ,  $\eta(t) \equiv \varphi(t)^{\beta}$  and  $\Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta})$ .

1. If  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$  and  $\varphi(t)$  satisfies (2.7) then the condition

$$t^{\alpha}\varphi(t) + \int_{t}^{\infty} r^{\alpha}\varphi(r) \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{r} \leq C\varphi(t)^{\beta},$$

for all t > 0, where C > 0 does not depend on t, is sufficient for the boundedness of  $I_{\alpha}$  from  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

- 2. If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}$ , then the condition (3.5) is necessary for the boundedness of  $I_{\alpha}$  from  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- 3. Let  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ . If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}$  satisfies the regularity condition

$$\int_{t}^{\infty} r^{\alpha} \varphi(r) \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{r} \le C t^{\alpha} \varphi(t), \qquad (3.11)$$

for all t > 0, where C > 0 does not depend on t, then the condition (3.5) is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of  $I_{\alpha}$  from  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Remark 3.10:** Although fractional maximal function is pointwise dominated by the Riesz potential, and consequently, the results for the former could be derived from the results for the latter, we consider them separately, because we are able to study the fractional maximal operator under weaker assumptions than it derived from the results for the potential operator. More precisely, for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}$ , we do not need to regularity condition (3.11) for the boundedness of fractional maximal operator.

#### 4. Weak-type results

**Definition 4.1:** Let  $\Phi$  be a Young function. The weak Orlicz space is defined as

$$WL^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{ f \in L^1_{\operatorname{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \| f \|_{WL^{\Phi}} < \infty \},\$$

where

$$\|f\|_{WL^{\Phi}} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \sup_{t>0} \Phi\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right) d_f(t) \le 1 \right\},$$

and  $d_f(t) = |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > t\}|.$ 

**Lemma 4.2:** If  $0 < ||f||_{WL^{\Phi}} < \infty$ , then

$$\sup_{t>0} \Phi(\frac{t}{\|f\|_{WL^{\Phi}}}) d_f(t) \le 1.$$
(4.1)

**Proof:** By the definition of  $\|\cdot\|_{WL^{\Phi}}$ , for all  $\lambda > \|f\|_{WL^{\Phi}}$  we have  $\Phi(t/\lambda)d_f(t) \le 1$ ,  $\forall t > 0$ . Now as  $\lambda$  decreases to  $\|f\|_{WL^{\Phi}}$ , the quotient  $t/\lambda$  increases to  $t/\|f\|_{WL^{\Phi}}$ . By the left-continuity of  $\Phi$ , we have  $\Phi(t/\lambda) \uparrow \Phi(t/\|f\|_{WL^{\Phi}})$ . Therefore we get the desired result.

By elementary calculations we have the following.

296 🔄 F. DERINGOZ ET AL.

**Lemma 4.3:** Let  $\Phi$  be a Young function and B a set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with finite Lebesgue measure. Then

$$\|\chi_B\|_{WL^{\Phi}} = rac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(|B|^{-1})}.$$

**Definition 4.4:** For a Young function  $\Phi$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $W\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the weak Orlicz–Morrey space, defined as the space of all functions  $f \in WL^{\Phi}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with finite quasinorm

$$||f||_{W\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \Phi^{-1}(|B(x,r)|^{-\lambda/n}) ||f\chi_{B(x,r)}||_{WL^{\Phi}}.$$

**Definition 4.5:** Let  $\varphi(x, r)$  be a positive measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$  and  $\Phi$  any Young function. By  $W\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we denote the weak generalized Orlicz–Morrey space of all functions  $f \in WL^{\Phi}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which

$$\|f\|_{W\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \varphi(x, r)^{-1} \Phi^{-1}(|B(x, r)|^{-1}) \|f\|_{WL^{\Phi}(B(x, r))} < \infty.$$

**Lemma 4.6:** Let  $B_0 := B(x_0, r_0)$ . If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}$ , then  $\|\chi_{B_0}\|_{W,\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}} \approx \varphi(r_0)^{-1}$ .

*Proof:* The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.16 thanks to the Lemma 4.3.

**Theorem 4.7 ([8]):** Let  $\Phi$  be a Young function, the functions  $\varphi \in \Omega_{\Phi}$  and  $\Phi \in \Delta'$  satisfy the condition (2.7) then the maximal operator M is bounded from  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{WM}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Theorem 4.8:** Let  $\Phi \in \Delta'$  and  $0 < \alpha < n$ . Let  $\varphi \in \Omega_{\Phi}$  satisfy the conditions (2.7) and (3.2). Define  $\eta(x,t) \equiv \varphi(x,t)^{\beta}$  and  $\Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta})$  for  $\beta \in (0,1)$ . Then the operator  $M_{\alpha}$  is bounded from  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{WM}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Proof:** By using the inequality (3.3), we have

$$\|M_{\alpha}f\|_{WL^{\Psi}(B)} \lesssim \|(Mf)^{\beta}\|_{WL^{\Psi}(B)} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}^{1-\beta},$$

where B = B(x, r).

Note that from (4.1), we get

$$\sup_{t>0} \Psi\left(\frac{t^{\beta}}{\|Mf\|_{WL^{\Phi}(B)}^{\beta}}\right) d_{(Mf)^{\beta}}(t^{\beta}) = \sup_{t>0} \Phi\left(\frac{t}{\|Mf\|_{WL^{\Phi}(B)}}\right) d_{Mf}(t) \le 1.$$

Thus  $\|(Mf)^{\beta}\|_{WL^{\Psi}(B)} \leq \|Mf\|_{WL^{\Phi}(B)}^{\beta}$ . Consequently by using the weak boundedness of the maximal operator, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(x,r)^{-1}\Psi^{-1}(|B|^{-1}) \|M_{\alpha}f\|_{WL^{\Psi}(B)} &\lesssim \eta(x,r)^{-1}\Psi^{-1}(|B|^{-1}) \|Mf\|_{WL^{\Phi}(B)}^{\beta} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}^{1-\beta} \\ &= (\varphi(x,r)^{-1}\Phi^{-1}(|B|^{-1}) \|Mf\|_{WL^{\Phi}(B)})^{\beta} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}^{1-\beta} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the supremum of all *B*, we get the desired result.

**Theorem 4.9 (Weak version of Adams-type result):** Let  $0 < \alpha < n$ ,  $\Phi \in \Delta'$ ,  $\varphi \in \Omega_{\Phi}$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\eta(t) \equiv \varphi(t)^{\beta}$  and  $\Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta})$ .

- 1. If  $\varphi(t)$  satisfies (2.7) then the condition (3.4) is sufficient for the boundedness of  $M_{\alpha}$  from  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{WM}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- 2. If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}$ , then the condition (3.5) is necessary for the boundedness of  $M_{\alpha}$  from  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $W\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- 3. If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}$ , then the condition (3.5) is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of  $M_{\alpha}$  from  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $W\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof:* The first part of the theorem is a corollary of Theorem 4.8.

We shall now prove the second part. Let  $B_0 = B(x_0, t_0)$  and  $x \in B_0$ . By Lemma 3.1, we have  $t_0^{\alpha} \leq CM_{\alpha} \chi_{B_0}(x)$ . Therefore, by Lemmas 4.3 and 2.16

$$t_{0}^{\alpha} \leq C\Psi^{-1}(|B_{0}|^{-1}) \|M_{\alpha}\chi_{B_{0}}\|_{WL^{\Psi}(B_{0})} \leq C\eta(t_{0}) \|M_{\alpha}\chi_{B_{0}}\|_{W\mathcal{M}^{\Psi, r}}$$
$$\leq C\eta(t_{0}) \|\chi_{B_{0}}\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi, \varphi}} \leq C\frac{\eta(t_{0})}{\varphi(t_{0})} = C\varphi(t_{0})^{\beta-1}.$$

Since this is true for every  $t_0 > 0$ , we are done.

The third statement of the theorem follows from the first and second parts of the theorem.  $\hfill\blacksquare$ 

If we take  $\Phi(t) = t^p$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $\beta = p/q$  with  $p < q < \infty$  at Theorem 4.9 we get the following corollary which also can be seen as a special case of [21, Theorem 2].

**Corollary 4.10:** Let  $1 \le p < q < \infty$ ,  $0 < \alpha < n$  and  $\varphi \in \Omega_p$ .

- If φ(t) satisfies (3.6) then the condition (3.7) is sufficient for the boundedness of M<sub>α</sub> from M<sup>p,φ</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>) to WM<sup>q,φ<sup>p/q</sup></sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>).
- (2) If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_p$ , then the condition (3.8) is necessary for the boundedness of  $M_{\alpha}$  from  $\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $W\mathcal{M}^{q,\varphi^{p/q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- (3) If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_p$ , then the condition (3.8) is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of  $M_{\alpha}$  from  $\mathcal{M}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $W\mathcal{M}^{q,\varphi^{p/q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

If we take 
$$\varphi(t) = \Phi^{-1}(t^{-n})/\Phi^{-1}(t^{-\lambda}), 0 \le \lambda \le n, \Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta}), \beta \in (0, 1),$$

$$\eta(t) \equiv \varphi(t)^{\beta} = \left(\frac{\Phi^{-1}(t^{-n})}{\Phi^{-1}(t^{-\lambda})}\right)^{\beta} = \frac{\Psi^{-1}(t^{-n})}{\Psi^{-1}(t^{-\lambda})},$$

at Theorem 4.9 we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.11:** Let  $\Phi \in \Delta', \Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta})$  and  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ . If the condition (3.9) is satisfied, then the condition (3.10) is necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of  $M_{\alpha}$  from  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $W\mathcal{M}^{\Psi,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

If we take into account Remark 3.7, we get the following weak version of Adams result for Morrey spaces (see Theorem 1.1).

298 👄 F. DERINGOZ ET AL.

**Corollary 4.12:** Let  $0 < \alpha < n$ ,  $1 \le p < q < \infty$  and  $0 \le \lambda < n - \alpha p$ . Then  $M_{\alpha}$  is bounded from  $\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $W\mathcal{M}^{q,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if  $1/p - 1/q = \alpha/(n - \lambda)$ .

#### **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

# Funding

The research of V.S. Guliyev and F. Deringoz is partially supported by the grant of Ahi Evran University Scientific Research Project (FEF.A3.16.024). The research of V.S. Guliyev is partially supported by the grant of Presidium Azerbaijan National Academy of Science 2015. We thank the referee(s) for careful reading the paper and useful comments.

#### References

- Orlicz W. Über eine gewisse Klasse von Räumen vom Typus B. Bull Acad Polon A. 1932;207–220; reprinted in: Collected Papers, PWN, Warszawa 1988, 217–230.
- [2] Orlicz W. Über Räume (L<sup>M</sup>). Bull Acad Polon A. 1936;000:93–107; reprinted in: Collected Papers, PWN, Warszawa 1988, 345–359.
- [3] Kita H. On maximal functions in Orlicz spaces. Proc Amer Math Soc. 1996;124:3019–3025.
- [4] Kita H. On Hardy–Littlewood maximal functions in Orlicz spaces. Math Nachr. 1997;183:135–155.
- [5] Cianchi A. Strong and weak type inequalities for some classical operators in Orlicz spaces. J London Math Soc. 1999;60(2):187–202.
- [6] Nakai E. Generalized fractional integrals on Orlicz–Morrey spaces. In: Banach and function spaces. (Kitakyushu, 2003) Yokohama: Yokohama Publishers; 2004. p. 323–333.
- [7] Sawano Y, Sugano S, Tanaka H. Orlicz–Morrey spaces and fractional operators. Potential Anal. 2012;36(4):517–556.
- [8] Deringoz F, Guliyev VS, Samko S. Boundedness of maximal and singular operators on generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces. Operator Theory, Operator Algebras Appl, Ser: Operator Theory: Adv Appl. 2014;242:139–158.
- [9] Guliyev VS, Hasanov SG, Sawano Y, et al. Non-smooth atomic decompositions for generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces of the third kind. Acta Appl Math. 2016;145:133–174.
- [10] Gala S, Sawano Y, Tanaka H. A remark on two generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces. J Approx Theory. 2015;98:1–9.
- [11] Adams D.R. A note on Riesz potentials. Duke Math J. 1975;42:765-778.
- [12] Guliyev VS. Boundedness of the maximal, potential and singular operators in the generalized Morrey spaces. J Inequal Appl. 2009; Art. ID 503948;20 pp.
- [13] Guliyev VS. Integral operators on function spaces on the homogeneous groups and on domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Doctor's degree dissertation, Mat. Inst. Steklov, Moscow, 1994. 329 pp. (in Russian).
- [14] Guliyev VS. Function spaces, integral operators and two weighted inequalities on homogeneous groups. Some Applications. Casioglu, Baku, 1999. 332 pp. (in Russian).
- [15] Gunawan H. A note on the generalized fractional integral operators. J Indones Math Soc. 2003;9:39–43.
- [16] Guliyev VS, Akbulut A, Mammadov Y. Boundedness of fractional maximal operator and their higher order commutators in generalized Morrey spaces on Carnot groups. Acta Math Sci Ser B Engl Ed. 2013;33(5):1329–1346.
- [17] Guliyev VS, Shukurov PS. On the boundedness of the fractional maximal operator, Riesz potential and their commutators in generalized Morrey spaces. Operator Theory: Adv Appl. 2013;229:175–194.
- [18] Hencl S, Kleprlik L. Composition of *q*-quasiconformal mappings and functions in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Illinois J Math. 2012;56(3):931–955.
- [19] Deringoz F, Guliyev VS, Hasanov SG. Characterizations for the Riesz potential and its commutators on generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces. J Inequal Appl. 2016;248:22 pp.

- [20] Sawano Y, Sugano S, Tanaka H. Generalized fractional integral operators and fractional maximal operators in the framework of Morrey spaces. Trans Amer Math Soc. 2011;363(12):6481-6503.
- [21] Hakim DI, Nakai E, Sawano Y. Generalized fractional maximal operators and vector-valued inequalities on generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces. Rev Mat Complut. 2016;29(1):59–90.