

Technical University of Denmark



Sustainability assessment of functionally enhanced polymers – engineered nanomaterials or conventional additives?

Miseljic, Mirko; Diaz, Elsa Gabriela Alvarado; González Sánchez, G. ; Herrera Peraza, E.F.; Olsen, Stig Irving

Published in:

Proceedings of VI International Conference on Surfaces, Materials and Vacuum

Publication date:

2013

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Miseljic, M., Diaz, E. G. A., González Sánchez, G., Herrera Peraza, E. F., & Olsen, S. I. (2013). Sustainability assessment of functionally enhanced polymers – engineered nanomaterials or conventional additives? In Proceedings of VI International Conference on Surfaces, Materials and Vacuum

DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Sustainability assessment of functionally enhanced polymers – engineered nanomaterials or conventional additives? (Platform presentation)

M. Miseljic^{1a}, E.G. Alvarado Diaz², G. González Sánchez², E.F. Herrera Peraza², S.I. Olsen¹

¹Technical University of Denmark, Department of Management Engineering (DTU-MAN), Division of Quantitative Sustainability Assessment (QSA), 2800 Lyngby, Denmark

²Centro de Investigación en Materiales Avanzados, S.C. (CIMAV) Department of Environmental Science and Technology, Mexico

^aE-mail contact: mirm@dtu.dk

Keywords: Engineered nanomaterials (ENMs), Life cycle assessment (LCA), environmental impacts, polymers

For many years the environment has produced, and been exposed to, natural occurring nanomaterials being it through e.g. dust, viruses or volcanic ash. Additionally, nowadays engineered nanomaterials (ENMs) are increasingly manufactured and used in consumer products. Due to this development, questions regarding the sustainability and safety of ENMs are raised and it is often asked whether, in a life cycle perspective, ENMs are more sustainable than the conventional alternatives. The aim of the MINANO project was to mass produce Ag, ZnO and Mg(OH)₂ ENMs that can be incorporated into PP, PVC-wood and PS polymers so these can improve the antimicrobial/antifungal, UV-protection or flame retardant properties. To include the whole life cycle, for comparison of environmental impacts of different polymer products, the holistic impact assessment methodology of life cycle assessment (LCA) was used. By doing so, the study accounts for potential environmental impacts generated throughout the entire life cycle (from extraction of raw materials to the final disposal of the product) of the functionally enhanced polymer products, either produced with the MINANO technology or the currently conventional approach.

LCA is a holistic, 14040 series ISO standardised, tool to assess the potential impacts of a product or a system throughout their entire life cycle [1]. Impact categories assessed include local (e.g. ecotoxicity), regional (e.g. acidification) and global (e.g. climate change, resource use) impacts. The impact potentials are considered through the entire product life cycle in order to assess the overall environmental impact potentials and to avoid burden shifting of impacts [2]. The applied LCIA method was IMPACT2002+ [3].

The LCA results show that the production of nano-based polymers leads to higher environmental impacts, due to higher energy and resource demand for production of the ENMs, than the conventional additive-based polymers. Several LCA studies have reported similar conclusions [4] [5] [6], however in most studies so far the potentially improved functionality of ENMs-products have not been taken into account. In this study nano-based polymers have a higher value when in use due to the enhanced antimicrobial/antifungal (Ag/ZnO), UV-protection (ZnO), flame retardant (Mg(OH)₂) product functionality. This means that the improved use-phase of the nano-enhanced polymers could outweigh the conventional additive-based polymers, depending on the actual application of the products. Evaluation of the use phase can be limited by other factors than just the material durability. The potential environmental impacts of use and disposal of nano-based materials are not scientifically fully understood at this time [7], due to the poorly understood fate and effect of ENMs in water, air and soil. Additionally, the release of ENMs depends on the polymer matrix in which ENMs are embedded, while the geographically differentiated end-of-life patterns can influence the potential release of ENMs to the environment. However, in this project the laboratory testing, simulating use-phase scenarios for the MINANO polymers, showed no significant release of ENMs to the ambient.

Based on this study it can be concluded that ENM enhanced polymers can in terms of environmental impact outperform the conventional based polymers with antimicrobial/antifungal, UV-protection and flame retardant functionalities, but this highly depends on the actual achieved product improvement/added value in the use-phase.

Acknowledgment:

The authors would like to acknowledge that the research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement n° 263946 in MINANO project.

References:

1. ISO, 14040: *Environmental Management – Life Cycle Assessment – Principles and Framework*, 2006.
2. Joint Research Centre (JRC) - European Commission - Institute for Environment and Sustainability, *International Reference Life Cycle Data System (ILCD) Handbook - General guide for Life Cycle Assessment - Detailed guidance*, First edition March 2010, EUR 24708 EN, Luxembourg, Publications Office of the European Union.
3. Jolliet O., Margni M., Charles R., Humbert S., Payet J., Rebitzer G., Rosenbaum R., *IMPACT 2002+: A new life cycle impact assessment methodology*, The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, November 2003, Volume 8, Issue 6, 324-330.
4. Meyer E. David, Curran Mary Ann, Gonzalez Michael A., *An examination of silver nanoparticles in socks using screening-level life cycle assessment*, Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 2011, 13:147-156.
5. Roes A. L., Marsili E., Nieuwlaar E., Patel M. K., *Environmental and cost assessment of a polypropylene nanocomposite*, Journal of Polymers and the Environment, 2007, 15:212–226.
6. Lloyd S.M., Lave L.B., *Life cycle economic and environmental implications of using nanocomposites in automobiles*, Environmental Science & Technology, 2003, vol.37 (15), 3458-3466.
7. Bauer C., Burchgeister J., Hirschier R., Poanietz W.R., Schebek L., Warsen J., *Towards a framework for life cycle thinking in the assessment of nanotechnology*, Journal of Cleaner Production 16, 2008, 910-926.