

NJC

Accepted Manuscript



This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: A. Ben Altabef, J. E. Galvan, M. E. Defonsi Lestard, O. E. Piro, G. Echeverria, M. E. Arena, R. Molina, S. E. Ulic and M. E. Tuttolomondo, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1039/C7NJ05138G.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [author guidelines](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our [author and reviewer resource centre](#), still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Synthesis, characterization and crystal structure of 2-chloroethyl (methylsulfonyl) methanesulfonate

J. E. Galván¹ M. E. Defonsi Lestard¹ O. E. Piro,² G. Echeverria,² R. D. I. Molina,³ M.E. Arena,³ S. E. Ulic,^{4,5} M. E. Tuttolomondo^{1*} and A. Ben Altabef¹

¹INQUINOA-CONICET, Instituto de Química Física, Facultad de Bioquímica, Química y Farmacia, Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, R. Argentina.

²Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and Institute IFLP (CONICET, CCT-La Plata), C. C. 67, 1900 La Plata, R. Argentina

³INBIOFAL-CONICET (Instituto de Biotecnología Farmacéutica y Alimentaria) Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, R. Argentina.

⁴CEQUINOR (CONICET-UNLP), Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, 120 N° 1465 (1900) La Plata, R. Argentina.

⁵Departamento de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad Nacional de Lujan, Ruta 5 y 7, 6700 Luján, Buenos Aires, R. Argentina.

Abstract

The aim of this work is to evaluate the structural, vibrational properties and the biological activity of 2-Chloroethyl (methylsulfonyl) methanesulfonate, $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{CH}_2\text{SO}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$ (Clomesone). The solid state molecular structure has been isolated and characterized by experimental (X-ray diffraction) and theoretical (DFT method) methodologies. The molecules are packed through C–H \cdots O bifurcated interactions and Cl \cdots Cl interactions. The experimental investigations were supplemented by quantum chemical calculation and Hirshfeld surface calculations. Furthermore, the infrared and Raman spectra for the solid phase have been recorded and the observed bands assigned to the vibrational normal modes. The study was completed by The Atoms-in-Molecules (AIM) theory and natural

bond orbital (NBO) analysis. Finally, we study the function of Clomesone on biofilm formation and the study on a methansulfonate complex with respect to QS activity.

*Corresponding authors: Tel.: +54-0381-4311044; Fax: +54-3081-4248169; E-mail: altabef@fbqf.unt.edu.ar; metdemunoz@yahoo.com

Keywords: FTIR, Raman spectroscopies / crystal structure/ NBO calculations / DFT calculations.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of the study is to characterize the crystal structure (X-ray diffraction, and Hirshfeld surface analysis) of 2-Chloroethyl (methylsulfonyl) methanesulfonate, $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{CH}_2\text{SO}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$ (Clomesone) besides determining its vibrational properties (FTIR, Raman). The characterizations of the structural and spectroscopic properties of Clomesone, was realized with the assistance of theoretical calculations. From these theory-experimental data identify the reactive sites of clomesone. The analysis of intermolecular interactions is focused particularly in O...H and Cl...Cl interactions since they play an essential role in the molecular self-assembly in crystals. For these reason the dimeric forms were modeled. Over the past years, the nature of Cl...Cl interactions were associated to specific attractive forces. They were justified in terms of the non-spherical shape of the halogen charge density, which caused a decrease in the exchange-repulsion interaction. Halogen bonds are the nature electrostatic with dispersion, polarization, and charge transfer due the electronic density of the halogen.¹⁻⁷ The stability of the different dimers in terms of hyper-conjugative and charge transfer interactions have been studied through the Second Order Perturbation Theory of the Fox Matrix by means of the NBO analysis.⁸ The AIM theory⁹ has been used to analyze the topology of all the optimized structures.

For several years it has been known that halo alkylating agents have antitumor activity since they produce cross-linking of the DNA strands. In particular, Clomesone, was evaluated in various types of animal tumors and compared to several agents. The results of such studies demonstrate that the antitumor activity of Clomesone is similar to that of the most effective alkylating agents.¹⁰⁻¹⁷

The activity of Clomesone against eukaryotic cells is well known. The compound is toxic to human cells¹⁸ acts as antineoplastic drug¹⁹. However, the effect on bacterial biofilm was not determined. The biofilm is the predominant form in which bacteria is found in the environment and it is controlled by a chemical system of bacterial communication, called Quorum sensing (QS). Biofilms have been associated with non-malignant pathologies such as inflammatory bowel disease²⁰. However, was determined that biofilms are associated with human colon cancer and linked to cancer location²¹. Biofilm emerged as an important factor in colorectal cancer initiation and progression²². In addition, Quorum sensing provides a unified model to explain many behaviors of tumor cells at all stages of cancer

development.²³ Taylor et al.²⁴ suggesting that the metastasis formation could be mediated by a signaling circuit of quorum sensing type analogous to those previously identified in bacteria. For this reason, actually, known cancer therapeutics are modified and assayed as anti-bacterial, anti-biofilm as well as anti-cancer efficacy.²⁵

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Synthesis of 2-chloroethyl (methylsulfonyl) methanesulfonate

2-Chloroethyl (methylsulfonyl) methanesulfonate was prepared as reported in the literature²⁶ with slight modifications. In a round-bottom flask, 3 mL of methanesulfonyl chloride dissolved in 3 mL acetonitrile was added drop-wise under stirring to a solution of triethylamine (8 mL) and acetonitrile (17.5 mL). The mixture was kept at -30°C for 1 hour. After that, a solution of 2-chloroethanol (1.3 mL) in 1.3 mL of acetonitrile was added and stirred for two hours. The mixture was filtered at room temperature, to separate triethylamine hydrochloride and the solution was washed with NaCl 0.1M, dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated in vacuum to give an oil product (red oil). This oil was dissolved in a solvent mixture (dichloromethane:hexane) and stored overnight at -5°C. The solid product was filtered, washed with cold hexane and recrystallized twice from hot chloroform. The obtained white crystalline solid was suitable for spectroscopic and structural studies.

2.2. X-ray data collection and structure refinement.

The measurements were performed on an Oxford Xcalibur, Eos, Gemini CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated CuK α ($\lambda=1.54184$ Å) radiation. X-ray diffraction intensities were collected (ω scans with ϑ and κ -offsets), integrated and scaled with CrysAlisPro²⁷ suite of programs. The unit cell parameters were obtained by least-squares refinement (based on the angular settings for all collected reflections with intensities larger than seven times the standard deviation of measurement errors) using CrysAlisPro. Data were corrected empirically for absorption employing the multi-scan method implemented in CrysAlisPro. The structure was solved by direct methods with SHELXS of the SHELX suite of programs²⁸ and the initial molecular model was refined by full-matrix least-squares procedure with SHELXL of the same package. The hydrogen atoms were positioned on stereo-chemical

basis a refined with the riding model. The methyl H-atoms were refined as rigid groups allowed to rotate around the S-CH₃ bonds such as to maximize the sum of the observed residual electron density at their calculated positions. As a result, the -CH₃ group converged to a staggered conformation (**Tables S1-S4**).

2.3. Infrared and Raman spectroscopy

The FTIR spectrum was recorded in the 4000-400 cm⁻¹ region with a spectral resolution of 2 cm⁻¹ using a Perkin-Elmer GX1 FTIR spectrometer. The Raman spectrum of the solid was measured in the 4000–100 cm⁻¹ interval with a ThermoScientific DXR Raman microscope. The Raman data were collected using a diode-pump, solid state laser of 532 nm. The resolution of the equipment employed was 1 cm⁻¹. A total of 64 scans were done in each condition and the spectra were analyzed using the OMNIC v.8.0 mathematical software provided by the manufacturer.

2.4. Computational details

The Gaussian 03²⁹ set of programs was used to carry out the DFT calculations. Full optimizations were performed with standard gradient techniques at the DFT levels of theory. Three types of basis sets were employed: Pople's 6-31G(d), 6-311(3df) and 6-311++G**³⁰⁻³⁴ basis sets were used. Becke's hybrid exchange B3³⁵ was the exchange functional, and the Lee–Yang–Parr non-local functional, LYP³⁶ was employed for correlation. In addition, Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) calculations were performed at the B3LYP/6-311G(3df) level of theory using the NBO 3.1 program.^{37,38} AIM calculations were performed by means of AIM2000 program.³⁹ The atomic displacements given by the Gaussian program for each vibrational mode were used to understand qualitatively the nature of the molecular vibrations and, for that purpose; the corresponding data were represented graphically using the GaussView program.⁴⁰

2.5. Hirshfeld surface calculations

Hirshfeld surfaces and their associated two-dimensional fingerprint plots⁴¹⁻⁴⁴ were obtained using CrystalExplorer 3.1 software⁴⁵ using the structural information obtained from X-ray diffraction. The d_{norm} (normalized contact distance) surface and the breakdown of two-

dimensional fingerprint plots are used for decoding and quantifying intermolecular interactions in the crystal lattice.

The d_{norm} (normalized contact distance) surface and the breakdown of two-dimensional fingerprint plots are used for decoding and quantifying intermolecular interactions in the crystal lattice.

The d_{norm} is a symmetric function of distances to the surface from nuclei inside and outside the Hirshfeld surface (d_i and d_e , respectively), relative to their respective van der Waals radii. 3D d_{norm} surfaces are mapped over a fixed color scale of -0.08 au (red) – 0.6 Å au (blue), Shape index in the color range of -1.0 au (concave) – 1.0 au (convex) Å, and Curvedness in the range of -4.0 au (flat) – 0.01 au (singular) Å. The 2D fingerprints plot are displayed by using the translated 0.6 – 2.6 Å range and including reciprocal contacts.

2.6. Bacterial growth

Overnight cultures of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14 and *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6528 were diluted to reach an optical density (OD) of 0.125 ± 0.01 at 560 nm in Luria-Bertani (LB) and Müeller Hinton (MH) medium, respectively. The diluted culture (195 μL) was placed in one of the 96 wells of a microtitre polystyrene plate. Solutions of compound in dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO, Sigma–Aldrich) were prepared separately, and 5 μl of each one was pipetted to the microtitre plate wells individually (5 replicates) to reach final concentrations of 200, 100, and 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$.

Control wells (5 replicates) contained the diluted culture (195 μL) and 5 μL of DMSO, in which the final concentration of DMSO was 2.5%. Bacterial cultures were grown at 37°C for 24 h and growth was detected as turbidity (560 nm) using a microtitre plate reader (Thermo Fisher Multiscan Go, Finland). Ciprofloxacin was incorporated in the same bioassay as a positive control at 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$.

2.7. Biofilm formation assay

For biofilm quantification, a micro method based on a protocol previously reported was employed.⁴⁶ The supernatants of bacterial cultures prepared as described previously, was discarded after 24 h incubation and the material that remained fixed to the polystyrene (containing biofilm) was washed with water. Biofilms formed were stained with 200 μL of

an aqueous solution of crystal violet, (0.1% w/v) for 30 min. After washing with water, crystal violet bound to biofilm was removed from each, employing 200 μ l of absolute ethanol and shaking for 30 min at 37°C with shaking. Absorbance (580 nm) of ethanol solutions of crystal violet was determined using the microtitre plate reader.

2.8. Biosensor assay

Detection of QS inhibition was carried out by a diffusion assay using the biosensor strain *Chromobacterium violaceum* CV026 Wells placed in the center of the surfaces of LB agar plates previously inoculated with *C. violaceum* CV026 and supplemented with 5 μ g of the QS autoinducers, N-hexanoyl-L-homoserine lactone (HHL) (Sigma) were loaded with 50 μ l of a 4 mg / mL solution of the compounds in DMSO to obtain 200 μ g per well. DMSO was included as vehicle solvent control. After incubation for 18 h at 28 ° C, inhibition of QS was visualized by the presence of a clear halo on a violet background, where the presence of viable cells without the production of violacein around the well was disrupted. The strains *C. violaceum* Tn5-mutant CV026 is unable to produce the pigment violacein per se, because it does not produce auto inducers. However, it is able to form violacein and its production depends on auto-inducer exogenous addition.⁴⁷

The anti-QS compounds inhibit the production of violacein due to the antagonist molecules that bind instead of the natural autoinducers ligands and prevent the DNA from binding to the pigment transcription, considered an important virulence factor of *C. violaceum*.⁴⁸ In the *C. violaceum* CV026 bioassay, the presence of turbid halos on a violet background indicated QS inhibition without affecting microbial growth.

2.9. Statistical data analysis

All experiments were conducted in quintuplicates at least three times. Differences in the mean values were evaluated by analysis of variance (ANOVA). The Tukey test was used for all pair-wise multiple comparisons of groups. In all analyses, values of $p < 0.05$ were considered statistically different.^{49,50}

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Structural X-ray diffraction

Figure 1 is an ORTEP⁵¹ drawing of the solid state molecule and intra/inter-molecular bonds distance and angles are in **Table 1**. These geometrical data for CH₃SO₂CH₂SO₂OCH₂CH₂Cl molecule agree with Organic Chemistry's rules. Sulfur atoms acts with a six-valence bond capability showing S=O double bond distances in the range from 1.410(5) to 1.429(5) Å, S-C single bond lengths in the 1.746(8)-1.801(5) Å range, and d(S-O) = 1.566(4) Å. All carbon atoms show the expected sp³ bonding structure with d(C-O) = 1.432(8) Å, d(C-C) = 1.475(8) Å, and d(C-Cl) = 1.775(8) Å. The molecule conformation is stabilized by intramolecular H-bonds, involving a C(1)-H(1A)···O(22) interaction [d(C···O)= 3.225Å, < C(1)-H(1A)···O(22)= 123.1°].

The optimized structural parameters of CH₃SO₂CH₂SO₂OCH₂CH₂Cl were calculated like isolated and dimer structures at B3LYP method with different basis sets, these result are shows in **Table S5** in comparison with the experimental data with their RMSD corresponding. As a result, the dimer optimized parameters obtained by B3LYP/6-311G(3df) are in good agreement with experimental data. The deviation of dihedral angles C(2)S(2)O(3)C(3) and S(2)O(3)C(3)C(4) (approximately 20°) between the values of RX and those calculated for the monomer are corrected when calculating the dimer (~1°). This is because the geometry optimization of the dimer is performed considering the hydrogen bond interactions present in the crystal (**Table S6**).

The crystal structure is stabilized by strong intramolecular hydrogen bonds, resulting the strongest ones C(2)-H(2B)...O(12)#3 and C(3)-H(3B)...O(12)#3. This interaction is modeled by quantum methods as a dimer and the calculations show small deviations from X-ray structure.




Figure 1. View of $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{CH}_2\text{SO}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$ X-ray structure showing the labeling of the non-H atoms and their displacement ellipsoids at the 30% probability level.

Table 1. Inter / intramolecular hydrogen bonds in the crystal structure of Clomesone.

<i>X-Ray</i> ^a	d(D-H)	d(H...A)	d(D...A)	<(DHA)
C(1)-H(1A)...O(22)	0.96	2.60	3.23(9)	123.1
C(2)-H(2B)...O(12)#3	0.97	2.28	3.17(9)	151.2
C(3)-H(3B)...O(12)#3	0.97	2.59	3.51(8)	158.7
C(1)-H(1B)...O(11)#1	0.96	2.41	3.19(1)	138.9
C(1)-H(1B)...O(21)#1	0.96	2.54	3.372(9)	144.4
C(1)-H(1C)...O(22)#2	0.96	2.61	3.314(8)	130.6
C(2)-H(2A)...O(21)#1	0.96	2.57	3.448(8)	150.9
C(3)-H(3A)...O(22)#4	0.97	2.66	3.305(7)	124.7

^aSymmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: (#1) $x, y+1, z$;
(#2) $-x+1, y+1/2, -z+1$; (#3) $-x+2, y-1/2, -z+1$; (#4) $-x+1, y-1/2, -z$

3.2. Hirshfeld Surface calculations

In **Figure 2** is observed a schematic view along b-axis, as bilayers. The Cl atoms are not involved in the hydrogen bonding and in each bilayer, chlorine atoms are arranged

perpendicular to the *b*-axis and facing to each other at a distance of 3.7 Å ($\theta\text{Cl}\cdots\text{Cl}\cdots\text{Cl} = 95.8^\circ$). According to angular approach of halogens, the halogen-halogen interaction have been classified as Type I (*cis* and *trans* geometry) and Type II (electrophile-nucleophile model or L- geometry). This interaction ($\theta_1 \approx 180^\circ$, $\theta_2 \approx 90^\circ$) represents a chemical model with each halogen atom polarized positively in the polar region and negatively in the other region. In the Clomesone crystal the bond angles are $\theta_1 = 165.53^\circ$ and $\theta_2 = 75.26^\circ$ around two Cl atoms ($\theta\text{C}-\text{Cl}\cdots\text{Cl}$) therefore correspond to the Type-II interactions.²




Figure 2. A view of packing diagram of $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{CH}_2\text{SO}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$

The bilayer is stabilized by $\text{Cl}\cdots\text{Cl}$ interactions and $\text{CH}\cdots\text{O}$ bifurcated interactions, leading to the formation of $R_2^1(7)$ rings stacked perpendicular to the *b*-axis (**Figure 3(A)**). In turn, the bi-layers stabilize each other by means of the $\text{H}(1\text{C})\cdots\text{O}$ (22) interaction.

Figure 3(B) shows one of the sheets along *a*-axis, where the molecules are stabilized by bifurcated $\text{CH}\cdots\text{OR}$ interactions giving rise to the formation of 2 adjacent 6-membered rings ($R_2^1(6)$ and $R_1^2(6)$) arranged along the *b*-axis.




Figure 3. View of structure of $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{CH}_2\text{SO}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$: (A) along the b -axis and (B) along the a -axis.

The analysis of the Hirshfeld surface allowed to know the nature of the intermolecular interactions and their contributions to the crystal packing. The $\text{CH}(2\text{B})\cdots\text{O}(12)$ and $\text{CH}(3\text{B})\cdots\text{O}(12)$ hydrogen interactions (**Figure 4(A)**) are represented on the Hirshfeld surface as intense red color points (**Figure 4(B)**).

Hirshfeld surface analysis shows the highest proportion of $\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ interactions (63.8%) and is displayed on the fingerprint plot with a blue circle (see **Figure 4(C)**).

The zigzag (**Figure 4(D)**) chain of Cl atoms observed in the crystal structure is displayed on the 2D-fingerprint plot as a bright streak circled in purple in **Figure 4(C)**, representing only 1.2% of the surface. This low percentage does not reflect the strength and structure-directing effect that such an interaction has on the solid-state behavior of the molecule.

The contacts $\text{H}\cdots\text{H}$ constitute 14.4% of the surface, with a short contact between a methyl proton and a methyl proton of a nearby molecule (2.77) Å, $\angle = 118.4^\circ$, highlighted in black in **Figure 4(D)**, giving rise a zigzag chain of CH_3 groups.




Figure 4. (a) Packing diagram with short contacts highlighted: Cl \cdots Cl (purple), pair-wise O \cdots H (blue). (b) CH(2B) \cdots O(12) and CH(3B) \cdots O(12) interactions are represented on the surface of Hirshfeld as intense red color points. (c) d_{norm} surfaces displaying the pair-wise O \cdots H interaction. (d) Fingerprint plot with circled characteristic interactions: Cl \cdots Cl (purple), O \cdots H (blue), and H \cdots H (black).

3.3. NBO analysis

Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis has often been used in the evaluation of the anomeric effect and to explain the origin of the internal rotation barrier. The NBO analysis allows to estimate the energy of the molecule with the same geometry but in the absence of electronic delocalization. Moreover, only the steric and electrostatic interactions through E_{Lewis} are taken into account:

$$\Delta E = \Delta E_{\text{Lewis}} + \Delta E_{\text{deloc.}} \text{ (equation 1)}$$

where ΔE_{Lewis} represents the energy of the hypothetical localized species described by a determinant of nearly doubly occupied NBOs comprising the core electrons, lone pairs and

localized bonds of the Lewis structure and the delocalization energy change, ΔE_{deloc} , represents the hyperconjugative stabilization contribution to the rotational barrier that arises from bond \rightarrow antibond charge transfer.

Table 2. Calculated (B3LYP/6-311G(3df)) contributions to the total energy of $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{CH}_2\text{SO}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$ from Lewis energy (E_{Lewis}), because of the localized electron density, and hyperconjugation energy (E_{deloc}) due to the delocalized electron density.

dimer	$E_{\text{total}}^{\text{a}}$	$\Delta E_{\text{total}}^{\text{b}}$	$E_{\text{Lewis}}^{\text{a}}$	$\Delta E_{\text{Lewis}}^{\text{b}}$	$E_{\text{deloc}}^{\text{a}}$	$\Delta E_{\text{deloc}}^{\text{b}}$
$R_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(7)$	-3581.5427	0.00	-3577.5377	0.00	4.005	0.00
Cl \cdots Cl	-3581.5389	9.96	-3577.9380	-1049.80	3.601	1060.5
$R_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(6)$	-3581.3104	609.21	-3577.3211	-568.04	3.989	41.3

^a Absolute energies in Hartrees

^b Relative energies in kJ mol^{-1}

NBO calculation in Clomesone was performed for dimers generated by intermolecular interactions: CH(2B) \cdots O(12) and CH(3B) \cdots O(12) ($R_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(7)$); C(1)-H(1B) \cdots O(21) and C(2)-H(2A) \cdots O(21) (R_6) and Cl \cdots Cl at the B3LYP/6-311(3df) level of theory. **Table 2** presents the contributions due to the localized (E_{Lewis}) and delocalized (E_{deloc}) electron density for dimers of the crystal structure. The results show that the delocalization energy plays a significant role in the stabilization of $R_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(6)$ and $R_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(7)$ dimer and the electrostatic interactions for Cl \cdots Cl dimer.

To complement the structural and conformational study of Clomesone, energy donor \rightarrow acceptor interaction analysis was performed by approximating Natural Bond Orbital (NBO). For the $R_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(6)$ and $R_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(7)$ dimers stabilization, the electronic LP O \rightarrow $\sigma^*\text{C-H}$ delocalization is greater for $R_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(7)$ than for $R_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(6)$, since the angles established in the hydrogen bonds of $R_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(7)$ ($\sim 160^\circ$) allow a better superposition of the orbitals involved in the hyperconjugative interactions (**Table 3**).

The LP π O(3) \rightarrow $\sigma^*\text{C}(2)\text{-S}(2)$ and LP π O(11/12) \rightarrow $\sigma^*\text{S}(1)\text{-C}(1)/\sigma^*\text{S}(1)\text{-C}(2)$ electronic delocalizations influence the value of the dihedral angles C(2)S(2)O(3)C(3) and C(1)S(1)

C(2)S(2), respectively. These values correspond to *gauche* forms, characteristic of sulfonates and sulfones, where the mesomeric effect is responsible of the adopted structure. This behavior has already been reported for these systems.⁵²⁻⁵⁴

The largest delocalization in each unit of the dimer is caused by LP π O(21/22) \rightarrow σ^* S(2)-O(3) charge transfer, increasing the stability to the SO₂-O group. Therefore, these sulfonates are good groups of donors bound to SO₂-O-, these results agree with the experimental results of Kenedy et al.¹⁹ This group found that at pH values below the pKa of clomesone, the main degradation products were chloroethanol and (methylsulfonyl) methanesulfonate I produced by cleavage of a C-O bond instead of an S-O clomesone.^{26,55} This delocalization is at its highest value for the Cl \cdots Cl dimer (Table 3), which shows that the Cl ... Cl interaction is purely electrostatic but also due to the effect of polarization and dispersion that occurs in the electron density around the Cl.²

Table 3. Report of the most important hyperconjugative interaction contributions (kJ mol⁻¹).

Donor \rightarrow Acceptor	$R_{\frac{1}{2}}^2(7)$	Cl \cdots Cl	$R_{\frac{1}{2}}^2(6)$
LP σ O(3) \rightarrow σ^* C(3)- C(4)	3.4	-	-
\rightarrow σ^* S(2)-O(21)	13.5	15.1	13.4
\rightarrow σ^* S(2)-O(22)	10.0	4.6	10.0
LP π O(3) \rightarrow σ^* C(2)- S(2)	29.4	20.1	31.4
\rightarrow σ^* C(2)- S(1)	6.5	6.0	5.9
\rightarrow σ^* S(2)-O(21)	11.6	19.7	12.5
\rightarrow σ^* S(2)-O(22)	7.4	-	7.4
\rightarrow σ^* C(3)- C(4)	-	11.0	-
LP σ O(11/12) \rightarrow σ^* S(1)-C(1)	3.4	3.0	0.8
\rightarrow σ^* S(1)-C(2)	2.6	2.5	2.8
\rightarrow σ^* S(1)-O(11/12)	4.9	5.5	4.4
LP π O(11/12) \rightarrow σ^* S(1)-C(1)	77.0	72.2	84.0
\rightarrow σ^* S(1)-C(2)	93.3	89.5	108.1
\rightarrow σ^* S(1)-O(11/12)	92.4	88.3	96.4

LP σ O(21/22) $\rightarrow \sigma^*$ S(2)-C(2)	4.1	4.0	4.3
$\rightarrow \sigma^*$ S(2)-O(21/22)	6.0	5.9	5.6
LP π O(21/22) $\rightarrow \sigma^*$ S(2)-C(2)	86.0	80.3	95.2
$\rightarrow \sigma^*$ S(2)-O(3)	126.9	126.5	130.4
$\rightarrow \sigma^*$ S(2)-O(21/22)	88.0	85.9	90.2
LP σ O(11A) $\rightarrow \sigma^*$ C(1)-H(1B)	-	-	2.51
LP σ O(21A) $\rightarrow \sigma^*$ C(1)-H(1B)	-	-	0.63
LP σ O(21A) $\rightarrow \sigma^*$ C(2)-H(2A)	-	-	0.88
LP π O(21A) \rightarrow C(1)-H(1B)	-	-	1.55
LP π O(21A) $\rightarrow \sigma^*$ C(2)-H(2A)	-	-	0.54
LP σ O(12) $\rightarrow \sigma^*$ C(2)-H(2B)	6.48		
$\rightarrow \sigma^*$ C(3)-H(3B)	1.0		
LP π O(12) $\rightarrow \sigma^*$ C(2)-H(2B)	5.4		
$\rightarrow \sigma^*$ C(3)-H(3B)	3.1		

^a LP is a lone pair on the specified atom. See Figure 1 for atom numbering.

^b Calculated using the B3LYP/6-311G(3df) method

3.4. AIM analysis

One final attempt was made to rationalize the structure of Clomesone. Bader's Atoms-in-Molecules (AIM) quantum theory⁹ has repeatedly shown to be helpful in bond characterization, through a topological analysis of the electronic charge density and its Laplacian at the bond critical point. The nature of the bonding interaction can be determined analyzing the charge density $\rho(r)$ and its Laplacian $\nabla^2(\rho)$ at the bond critical point (BCP), and through the atoms properties, which are obtained integrating the charge density over the atomic basin. The results predict a stabilizing intramolecular bonding involving O(22) and H(1A)-C (1) and intermolecular contacts previously described as Cl \cdots Cl and hydrogen bond type.

Table 4. Local topological properties of the electron charge density (in au) at the bond, ring, and cage critical points in the intermolecular region for Clomesone.

Contact	Bond	$\rho(r)$	$\nabla^2(\rho)$	$ V /G$	$ \lambda_1 / \lambda_3 $	E_{int} (cal)
Intramolecular	C(1)-H(1A)...O(22)	0.00841	0.02926	0.832	0.1656	1.63
Intermolecular						
$R_2^1(7)$	C(2)-H(2B) \cdots O(12)#3	0.01199	0.04900	0.755	0.1680	2.33
	C(3)-H(3B) \cdots O(12)#3	0.00625	0.02238	0.772	0.1663	1.10
$R_1^2(6)$	C(2)-H(2A) \cdots O(21)#1	0.00615	0.02337	0.756	0.1625	1.11
	C(1)-H(1B) \cdots O(21)#1	0.00697	0.02630	0.761	0.1638	1.26
$R_2^1(6)$	C(1)-H(1B) \cdots O(21)#1	0.00697	0.02630	0.761	0.1638	1.26
	C(1)-H(1B) \cdots O(11)#1	0.00910	0.03712	0.739	0.1631	1.70
Cl \cdots Cl	Cl \cdots Cl	0.003141	0.00872	0.695	0.1494	0.36

Table S7 shows the properties of the electronic charge density in some selected BCP's of the studied molecule. The values of $\rho(r)$ and $\nabla^2\rho(r)$ for the C-C, C-S, S-O, C-H and C-Cl bonds are typical of a shared or covalent interaction, which is dominated by a contraction of $\rho(r)$ towards the bond path, leading to its accumulation in the internuclear region. The $\rho(r)$ values are within the (0.177 - 0.323) au range and $\nabla^2\rho(r)$ are in the (-0.130 - -0.860) au range.

Table 4 shows topological and energetic properties for six intermolecular and one intramolecular hydrogen bonds localized in the motive: $\rho(r)$ and $\nabla^2\rho(r)$ values are in the (0.00625 - 0.01199) and (0.2238 - 0.04900) au ranges and are both positive being typical of weak hydrogen bonds. The charge density and Laplacian are within the range proposed by Koch and Popelier⁵⁶ for H-bond interactions that is 0.002–0.034 au and 0.024–0.139 au for charge density and Laplacian, respectively.

For Cl \cdots Cl interaction, the $\rho(r)$ and $\nabla^2\rho(r)$ values are 0.003141 and 0.00872 au, respectively. Furthermore, the magnitudes of the kinetic energy density are greater than those of the potential energy density for all these interactions leading to $|V|/G < 1$ and $H > 0$, showing that these mainly electrostatic ($\delta^+ \cdots \delta^-$) interactions are very weak.

The lower energy ($E_{\text{int}}=1/2V$) corresponds to the Cl \cdots Cl interaction and the higher to the C(2)-H(2B) \cdots O(12)#3 interaction of the R(7) ring.

3.5. Vibrational analysis

The experimental Raman and infrared spectra of $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{CH}_2\text{SO}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$ are shown in **Figure S1**. The observed and calculated wavenumbers, relative intensities and tentative assignment of fundamental vibration modes are given in **Table S8**. The experimental spectra were measured in solid phase, while calculated ones were performed as monomer and dimer at the B3LYP/6-311G(3df) level (**Figure 5**).




Figure 5. Infrared spectra of Clomesone between 1550 and 400 cm^{-1} : (upper trace) solid state; (resolution 2 cm^{-1} , path length 10 cm^{-1}); calculated dimer (medium trace); calculated monomer (lower trace). The theoretical spectra are obtained from the frequencies (B3LYP/6-311G (3df)) calculated from the force field scales.

CH₃ modes. The bands at 3038 and 2938 cm^{-1} in IR and Raman spectra is assigned to the CH_3 asymmetric and symmetric stretching mode respectively. The weak intense bands at 1414 and 1390 cm^{-1} are assigned to the CH_3 asymmetric bending, while the symmetric

mode is attributed to the medium band at 1301 cm^{-1} . The CH_3 rocking modes are assigned to the weak and the medium band at 982 and 956 cm^{-1} , respectively.

CH₂ modes. In the infrared and Raman spectra, the $\text{C}(2)\text{H}_2$ asymmetric and symmetric stretching modes appear at 3038 and 2990 cm^{-1} , respectively. The bands at 3022 and 2990 cm^{-1} are assigned to the $\text{C}(4)\text{H}_2$ asymmetric and symmetric stretching modes, respectively. **(Figure 6)** $\text{C}(3)\text{H}_2$ symmetric stretching mode can be attributed to the absorption at 2928 cm^{-1} . The CH_2 bending modes are assigned at 1462 cm^{-1} ($\delta\text{C}(3)\text{H}_2$), 1430 cm^{-1} ($\delta\text{C}(4)\text{H}_2$) and 1361 cm^{-1} ($\delta\text{C}(2)\text{H}_2$). The shoulders at 1354 and 1253 cm^{-1} are attributed to wagging of the $\text{C}(3)\text{H}_2$ and $\text{C}(4)\text{H}_2$ groups, respectively. The medium intense band at 1200 cm^{-1} is associated with the $\omega\text{C}(2)\text{H}_2$ mode. The twisting mode of the $\text{C}(3)\text{H}_2$, $\text{C}(4)\text{H}_2$ and $\text{C}(2)\text{H}_2$ groups appear at 1237 , 1186 and 1125 cm^{-1} , respectively.




Figure 6. Experimental (lower trace) and theoretical Raman spectra (monomer and dimer) in the $3500\text{-}2500\text{ cm}^{-1}$ region.

SO_2 modes.

Figure 7 shows the experimental and calculated infrared spectrum of the Clomesone in the stretching region of the SO_2 group. They are clearly observed four intense bands corresponding to both SO_2 groups present in the molecule, sulfonate ($S(2)O_2$) and sulfone ($S(1)O_2$). Two intense bands at 1370 and 1177 cm^{-1} are assigned to the $\nu S(2)O_2$ asymmetric and symmetric modes, respectively. The very strong band at 1318 cm^{-1} and the intense band at 1166 cm^{-1} are assigned to the $\nu S(1)O_2$ asymmetric and symmetric modes, respectively. At 1334 and 1187 cm^{-1} appear two weak absorptions that correspond to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching modes of $S(1)O(12) \cdots H(2)-C(2)$ (Figure 7 - stars).




Figure 7. Experimental and theoretical infrared spectra in the $1500\text{-}1000\text{ cm}^{-1}$ region. The stars correspond to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching modes of $S(1)O(12) \cdots H(2)-C(2)$.

The remaining bands corresponding to the vibrations of the whole $S(2)O_2$ group appear at relatively low frequencies: 598 cm^{-1} (wagging); 528 cm^{-1} (bending) and 352 cm^{-1} (twisting). Besides, the infrared bands at 507 cm^{-1} (bending), 464 cm^{-1} (wagging) and the Raman band

at 271 cm^{-1} (twisting) are assigned to the S(1)O₂ group, which are also supported by computed and previous results.⁵²⁻⁵⁵




Figure 8. Experimental (lower trace) and theoretical (upper trace) Raman spectra in the $1500\text{-}100\text{ cm}^{-1}$ region.

Skeletal modes. The C(2)-S(2), C(1)-S(1), and C(2)-S(1) stretching modes are associated with the bands located at 790 , 766 and 699 cm^{-1} , respectively. The medium band in the infrared spectrum at 667 cm^{-1} is assigned to the C(3)-Cl stretching. In addition, the C(3)-O(3), C(4)-C(3) and S(2)-O(3) stretching modes are located at 988 , 1072 , and 758 cm^{-1} , respectively (**Figure 8**).

3.6. Biological results

This is the first study on the methanesulfonate complex different to the Colistinmethanesulfonate (CMS), as well as the first study on a methanesulfonate complex with respect to QS activity.

The results showed that Clomesone is unable to inhibit the bacterial growth of *P. aeruginosa* or *S. aureus* in the range of assayed concentrations. However, it produces significant inhibition on the biofilm formation, mainly in *P. aeruginosa*. The biofilm formation of the strain of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 diminished 63% in presence of 200 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ of Clomesone and the inhibition of the strain PA14 was 22% at the same concentration. Considering that PAO1 and PA14 are the main studied strains for the biofilm inhibition due to their higher capacity to form biofilm with different strategies of virulence and since biofilm formation of both strains was inhibited by Clomesone, this suggests the possibility to use this compound as antipathogenic one.

Antipathogenic compounds do not kill bacteria or inhibit bacterial growth, but they rather control bacterial QS and biofilm formation, preventing the development of resistant strains.⁵⁷

There is a linear correlation between growth inhibition and biofilm formation for *P. aeruginosa* strain PA14. Moreover, the biofilm inhibition was greater than the inhibition of bacterial development in the other two studied strains; indicating that Clomesone not only affects the growth but also the formation of biofilm (**Figures 9-11**).




Figure 9: Growth (□) and biofilm production (■) by *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 cultures grown in LB broth in absence and presence of 10, 100, and 200 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ of Clomesone. The

inhibitor control used was CIP (Ciprofloxacin) 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$. The error bars indicate standard deviation ($n=8$).




Figure 10: Growth (□) and biofilm production (■) by *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cultures grown in LB broth in absence and presence of 10, 100, and 200 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ of Clomesone. The inhibitor control used was CIP (Ciprofloxacin) 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$. The error bars indicate standard deviation ($n=8$).




Figure 11: Growth (□) and biofilm production (■) by *S. aureus* ATCC 6528 grown in MH broth in absence and presence of 10, 100 and 200 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ of Clomesone.

The inhibitor control used was CIP (Ciprofloxacin) 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$. The error bars indicate standard deviation ($n=8$).

According to the obtained results, the potential QS inhibitory effect of the compound was studied using the biosensor *Chromobacterium violaceum* CV026. The inhibition halo was 9.9 ± 0.5 cm for Clomesone and it showed bacterial growth indicating the QS inhibition (**Figure 12**). The observed decrease was significant and comparable with previously reported QS inhibitors at the same concentration.⁵⁸




Figure 12. Competitive inhibition of violacein production induced by N-hexanoyl-L-homoserine lactone in *C. violaceum* CV026 by CLMSMS (b). Control (a)

A complex of methansulfonate is used for the administration of colistin. Colistin methansulfonate (CMS) is a prodrug that is hydrolyzed after intravenous administration to produce several derivatives, predominantly the active drug colistin. This complex is used to control *P. aeruginosa* colonization of the airway to prevent the pneumonia development because toxicity decreased significantly with respect to colistin.⁵⁰ This was the only methansulfonate complex previously studied with respect to biofilm target. The bactericidal effect of CMS on biofilm-forming cells of strain multidrug-resistant *P. aeruginosa* was poor compared with those on the planktonic cells. These *in vitro* obtained results indicated that the efficacy of administered CMS depends on the resistance of the biofilm formed.⁴⁹

According to our results, Clomesone acts in the same way as CMS, inhibiting biofilm more than growth and its significant effect on biofilm could be explained by the QS inhibition.

The competitive inhibition of the Clomosone with HHL could be because they have similar electronic delocalization in their active sites. In addition, it was observed that Clomesone is more active than CMS although both have a sulfonate group in their structure.

At pH 5, Clomesone ionization takes place with the release of a chloroethyl cation and a highly electrophilic site in the sulfonate group. The greater biofilm inhibition's ability of Clomosone compared to that reported for CMS, in spite of having the same active site (sulfonate group), it can be attributed to the different electronegativity of the environment. Besides, the much larger size of the CMS would be responsible of the low competitive inhibition with HHL due to steric hindrance (Figure S2), whereas that in Clomesone the active site where the autoinducer joins is favored due to its smaller size.

The stretching bands of the Clomesone sulfonate group are observed at 1370, 1177 and 758 cm^{-1} (assigned to $\nu_{\text{as}} \text{S}=\text{O}$, $\nu_{\text{s}} \text{S}=\text{O}$ and $\nu \text{S}-\text{O}$, respectively). These vibrational frequencies are suitable to characterize and identify Clomesone when it is part of a biological matrix. The band position of sulfonate group is directly related to the electronegativity of the neighboring groups. A greater electronic delocalization around this group turns it into a more attractive electrophilic site.⁵⁴

CONCLUSIONS

2-chloroethyl (methylsulfonyl) methanesulfonate, Clomesone, was prepared as reported in the literature with slight modifications. Its solid state molecular structure was determined by X-ray diffraction methods. The crystal is stabilized by strong intermolecular H-bonds and $\text{Cl} \cdots \text{Cl}$ interactions and the molecule conformation stabilized by an intra-molecular H-bonds. As this compound presents strong intermolecular H-bond interactions, the quantum chemical calculation was performed on its dimeric form. These computations were in very good agreement with the experimental results concerning both geometric and vibrational features.

The delocalization in each unit of the dimer is produced from $LP\pi O(21/22) \rightarrow \sigma^*S(2)-O(3)$ transition, stabilizing the SO_2-O moiety, this is the reason why these sulfonates are good donating groups attached to the SO_2-OR . These results are complemented by AIM study which predicts that the lower energy ($E_{int}=1/2V$) corresponds for the $Cl \cdots Cl$ interaction and the higher to the $C(2)-H(2B)\cdots O(12)\#3$ interaction of the R(7) ring.

IR and Raman spectra were obtained for Clomesone and the vibrational data were used as a basis to perform an approximate description of the modes. The spectroscopic characterization of Clomesone results useful for its identification in pharmaceuticals and biological matrices and may be valuable in the standardization of Clomesone formulations, in pharmacokinetics studies, and potentially in therapeutic drug monitoring. In covalent sulfones, the SO_2 stretching modes are very sensitive to intermolecular hydrogen bonds.

In addition, Clomesone tests were performed with different biological media. The results showed that Clomesone is unable to inhibit the bacterial growth of *P. aeruginosa* or *S. aureus* in the range of assayed concentrations, but produces significant inhibition on the biofilm formation mainly in *P. aeruginosa*. The fact that Clomesone inhibits the mechanism of bacterial communication, *Quorum sensing*, analogous to the mechanism of metastasis formation, suggests the possibility of some other mechanism of action of this antineoplastic, which should be studied.

The greater biofilm inhibition of Clomesone suggests that sulfonate anion, remaining after ionization, is possibly the active group. This novel result supports that the spectroscopic characterization is fundamental in pure Clomesone, and the next target will be the spectroscopic characterization of the biological system.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Consejo de Investigaciones de la Universidad Nacional de Tucumán (CIUNT), Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, PIP 002 and PIP 0359 (CONICET), ANPCyT (PICT2013- 0697), Universidad Nacional de La Plata (UNLP) and Departamento de Ciencias Básicas de la Universidad Nacional de Luján (UNLu) for financial support. S.E.U especially thanks Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst Germany (DAAD) for the FTIR spectrometer grant and financial support.

Supporting Information available:

The supporting information includes:

Crystal data and structure refinement results for 2-chloro (methylsulfonyl) methanesulfonate (**Table S1**); Atomic coordinates ($\times 10^4$) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($\text{\AA}^2 \times 10^3$) for 2-chloro (methylsulfonyl) methanesulfonate. To be deposited (**Table S2**); Anisotropic displacement parameters ($\text{\AA}^2 \times 10^3$) for 2-chloro (methylsulfonyl) methanesulfonate. To be deposited (**Table S3**); Hydrogen coordinates ($\times 10^4$) and isotropic displacement parameters ($\text{\AA}^2 \times 10^3$) for the 2-chloro (methylsulfonyl) methanesulfonate. To be deposited (**Table S4**); Theoretical and experimental geometric parameter of the Clomesone (**Table S5**); Theoretical Inter / intramolecular hydrogen bonds of the dimer form for Clomesone, calculated at B3LYP/6-311(3df) level (**Table S6**); Observed and Calculated Frequencies, Infrared and Raman Intensities for Clomesone (**Table S7**); Topological properties of Clomesone calculated at B3LYP/6-311G(3df) level (**Table S8**); Infrared and Raman spectra of Clomesone in solid state (**Figure S1**). View of Clomesone, N-hexanoyl-L-homoserine lactone, $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{CH}_2\text{SO}_2\text{O}^-$ and Colistin methansulfonate (CMS) (**Figure S2**).


References

- 1 P. Politzer, J. S. Murray, T. Clark, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 11178-11189.
- 2 M. V. Vener, A. V. Shishkina, A. A. Rykounov, V. G. Tsirelson, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2013, **117** (35), pp 8459–8467.
- 3 M. Capdevila-Cortada, J. Castelló, J. J. Novoa *CrystEngComm*, 2014, **16**, 8232–8242.
- 4 J. Trnka, R. Sedlak, M. Kolař, P. Hobza, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2013, **117**, 4331–4337.
- 5 S. Kozuch, J.M.L. Martin, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2013, **9**, 1918-1931.
- 6 G.M. Espallargas, F. Zordan, L.A. Marin, H. Adams, K. Shankland, J. van de Streek, L. Brammer, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2009, **15**, 7554 – 7568.
- 7 P.V. Gushchin, M.L. Kuznetsov, M. Haukka, V.Yu. Kukushkin, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2013, **117**, 2827–2834.
- 8 A.E. Reed, R.B Weinstock, and F. Weinhold, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1985, **83**, 735-746.

- 9 R.F.W. Bader, *Atoms in and Molecules. A Quantum Theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990.
- 10 D. J. Dykes, W. R. Waud, S. D. Harrison, Jr., W. R. Laster, Jr., D. P. Griswold, Jr., Y. F. Shealy and J. A. Montgomery, *Cancer Research*, 1989, **49**, 1182-1186.
- 11 W. P Tong, K. W. Kohn and D. B. Ludlum, *Cancer Res.*, 1982, **42**, 4460-4464.
- 12 C. T. Combar, W. P. Tong and D. B. Ludlum, *Biochem.Pharmacol*, 1980, **29**, 2639-2643.
- 13 G. P. Wheeler, B. J. Bowden and R. F. Struck, *Cancer Res.*, 1975, **35**, 2974-2984.
- 14 N. W. Gibson, J. A. Hartley, J. M. Strong and K. W. Kohn, *Cancer Res.*, 1986, **46**, 553-557.
- 15 A. Pelfrene, S. S. Mirvish and B. Gold, *J. Nati. Cancer Inst.*, 1976, **56**, 445-446.
- 16 D. H. Swenson, J. V. Frei and P. D. Lawley, *J. Nati. Cancer Inst.*, 1979, **63**, 1469-1473.
- 17 H. E Kann, A. W. Prestayko, S. T. Crooke, L. H. Baker. S. K. Carter, and P. S. Schein, *New York: Academic Press, Inc.*, 1981, 95-105.
- 18 D. Y. Pacheco, L. R. Barrows, N. W. Gibson, *Journal of Cellular Pharmacology*, 1991, **2(2)**, 99-103.
- 19 Kennedy, P. E.; Riley, C. M.; Stella, V. J. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics* (1988), 48(1-3), 179-88.
- 20 A. Swidsinski, J. Weber, V. Loening-Baucke, L. P. Hale, H. Lochs, *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, 2005, **43**, 3380–3389.
- 21 C. M. Dejea, E.C. Wick, E. M. Hechenbleikner, J. R. White, J. L. Mark Welch, B. J. Rossetti, S. N. Peterson, E. C. Snedrud, G. G. Borisy, Lazarev, M., et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **111**, 2014, 18321–18326.
- 22 S. Li, S. R. Konstantinov, R. Smits, M. P. Peppelenbosch, *Trends in Molecular Medicine*. 2017, **23(1)**, 18-30.
- 23 M. Rivas Cañas Master Thesis.: Modelo multi-fase para el crecimiento tumoral en la fase avascular neoplásica: una hipótesis *quorum sensing* en los autoinductores tumorales. (Director Toni Guillamon i Grabolosa). Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain ,2009.
- 24 J. Taylor, V. Hiickson, T. Lotan et al , *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* **27**:67-73, 2008.

- 25 K. Laskar, S. Faisal, A. Raufa, A. Ahmed, M. , *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 2017, **166**, 14-23.
- 26 Y. Fulmer Shealy, C. A. Krauth, *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 1993, **82(12)**, 1200-1204.
- 27 CrysAlisPro, Oxford Diffraction Ltd., version 1.171.33.48 (release 15-09-2009 CrysAlis171.NET).
- 28 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr*, 2008, **A64**, 112-122.
- 29 Gaussian 03, Revision C.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, J. A. Pople, *Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT*, 2004.
- 30 W. J. Hehre, R. Ditchfield, J. A. Pople, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1972, **56**, 2257-2261.
- 31 P. C. Hariharan, J. A. Pople, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1973, **8**, 213-222.
- 32 M. S. Gordon, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1980, **76**, 3654-3665.
- 33 A. D. McLean, G. S. Chandler, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1980, **72**, 5639-5648.
- 34 R. Krishnan, J. S. Binkley, R. Seeger, J. A. Pople, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1980, **72**, 650-654.
- 35 M. J. Frisch, J. A. Pople, J. S. Binkley, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1984, **80**, 3265-3269.
- 36 C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1988, **37**, 785-789.
- 37 E.D. Glendening, J. K. Badenhoop, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter and F. F. Weinhold, NBO 3.1 Theoretical Chemistry Institute, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, 1996.
- 38 J.P. Foster and F. Weinhold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 7211-7218.

- 39 F. Biegler-König and J. Schönbohm, AIM 2000 version 2.0, University of Applied Science, Bielefeld, Germany, 2002
- 40 B. Nielsen, A.J. Holder, GaussView, User's Reference; GAUSSIAN Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 1997–1998.
- 41 M.A. Spackman, D. Jayatilaka, *Cryst. Eng. Comm.*, 2009, 11, 19-32.
- 42 M.A. Spackman, J.J. McKinnon, *Cryst. Eng. Comm.*, 2002, 4, 378-392.
- 43 J.J. McKinnon, M.A. Spackman, A.S. Mitchel, *Acta Cryst.. B*, 2004, **60**, 627–668.
- 44 J.J. McKinnon, D. Jayatilaka, M.A. Spackman, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 3814–3816.
- 45 S. K. Wolff, D. J. Green Wod, J. J. Mc Kinnon, M. J. Tuner, D. Jayactilaka, M. A. Packman. CrystalExplorer3.1 software University Western Australia. 2012
- 46 G. O'Toole and R. Kolter, *Molecular Microbiology*, **1998**, 28, 449-451.
- 47 K. H. McClean, M. K. Winson, L. Fish, A. Taylor, S.R. Chhabra, M. Camara, M. Daykin, J. H. Lamb, S. Swift, B.W. Bycroft, *Microbiology*, 1997, **143**, 3703–3711.
- 48 S. Manner, D. M. Goeres, M. Skogman, P. Vuorela and A. Fallarero. *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 1211-1222.
- 49 K. Marumo, D. Komukai, M. Hirose, H. Nakamura, H. Tanaka, K. Ugajin, G. Nagashima, Yoshimura, *J. Infect Chemother.*, 2013, **19**, 348–351.
- 50 R.L. Nation, J. Li, *Hodder Arnold*, 2010, 955-970.
- 51 L. J. Farrugia, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 1997, **30**, 565.
- 52 M.E. Defonsi Lestard, L.A. Ramos, M.E. Tuttolomondo, S.E. Ulic, A. Ben Altabef, *Spectrochim. Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectrosc.*, 2012, **96**, 332–339.
- 53 M.E. Defonsi Lestard, L.A. Ramos, M.E. Tuttolomondo, S.E. Ulic, A. Ben Altabef, *Vibrational Spectrosc.*, 2012, **59**, 40–46.
- 54 M. E. Tuttolomondo, A. Navarro, T. Peña, E. L. Varetti, S. F. Parker, A. Ben Altabef. *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2009, **113**, 8401–8408
- 55 M. E. Tuttolomondo, A. Navarro, T. Peña, E. L. Varetti, A. Ben Altabef., *J. of Phys. Chem. A*, 2005, **109**, 7946 – 7956.
- 56 U. Koch, P. L. A. Popelier, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1995, **99(24)**, 9747-9754.
- 57 M. Otto, *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 2004, **241**, 135-141.
- 58 H. S. Vasavi, A. B. Arun, *Pharmacognosy Res.*, 2015, **7(1)**, 105–109.



79x39mm (96 x 96 DPI)