

TWO WEIGHT INEQUALITY FOR VECTOR-VALUED POSITIVE DYADIC OPERATORS BY PARALLEL STOPPING CUBES

TIMO S. HÄNNINEN

ABSTRACT. We study the vector-valued positive dyadic operator

$$T_\lambda(f\sigma) := \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\sigma 1_Q,$$

where the coefficients $\{\lambda_Q : C \rightarrow D\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ are positive operators from a Banach lattice C to a Banach lattice D . We assume that the Banach lattices C and D^* each have the Hardy–Littlewood property. An example of a Banach lattice with the Hardy–Littlewood property is a Lebesgue space.

In the two-weight case, we prove that the $L_C^p(\sigma) \rightarrow L_D^q(\omega)$ boundedness of the operator $T_\lambda(\cdot\sigma)$ is characterized by the direct and the dual L^∞ testing conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \|1_Q T_\lambda(1_Q f \sigma)\|_{L_D^q(\omega)} &\lesssim \|f\|_{L_C^\infty(Q,\sigma)} \sigma(Q)^{1/p}, \\ \|1_Q T_\lambda^*(1_Q g \omega)\|_{L_{C^*}^{p'}(\sigma)} &\lesssim \|g\|_{L_{D^*}^\infty(Q,\omega)} \omega(Q)^{1/q'}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $L_C^p(\sigma)$ and $L_D^q(\omega)$ denote the Lebesgue–Bochner spaces associated with exponents $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, and locally finite Borel measures σ and ω .

In the unweighted case, we show that the $L_C^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_D^p(\mu)$ boundedness of the operator $T_\lambda(\cdot\mu)$ is equivalent to the endpoint direct L^∞ testing condition:

$$\|1_Q T_\lambda(1_Q f \mu)\|_{L_D^1(\mu)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_C^\infty(Q,\mu)} \mu(Q).$$

This condition is manifestly independent of the exponent p . By specializing this to particular cases, we recover some earlier results in a unified way.

CONTENTS

Notation	2
1. Introduction and the main results	2
2. Preliminaries	8
2.1. Rudiments of Banach lattices	8
2.2. Stopping families and dyadic analysis	8
2.3. Equivalence of the A_∞ condition and the Carleson condition	10
3. Weighted characterizations	11
3.1. Particular family of stopping cubes	11
3.2. Proof of the two weight characterization	12
3.3. Proof of the A_∞ weights characterization	15
4. Unweighted characterization under alternative assumptions	16
4.1. Reduction to the existence of a stopping family	16
4.2. Table of stopping families	18

Date: January 30, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B20,42B25,46E40.

Key words and phrases. vector-valued,weight,testing conditions,norm inequality,parallel stopping cubes.

5. Corollaries	22
6. Questions about the borderline of the vector-valued testing conditions	24
Appendix A. On the dyadic lattice Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator	25
A.1. Dyadic and the centered lattice maximal function are comparable	25
A.2. Universal norm bound	26
A.3. Endpoint L^∞ testing condition	29
Acknowledgments	31
References	31

NOTATION

E	A Banach lattice $(E, \cdot _E, \leq)$.
E_+	The positive cone of a Banach lattice, $E_+ := \{e \in E : e \geq 0\}$.
E^*	The dual space of a Banach lattice, equipped with the order: $e^* \geq 0$ if and only if $e^*e \geq 0$ for all $e \in E_+$.
\mathcal{D}	A finite collection of dyadic cubes.
μ	A locally finite Borel measure.
dx	The Lebesgue measure.
$ Q $	The Lebesgue measure of a set Q .
$\langle f \rangle_Q^\mu$	The average $\langle f \rangle_Q^\mu := \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q f \, d\mu$.
$\langle f \rangle_Q$	The average $\langle f \rangle_Q := \langle f \rangle_Q^{dx}$.
$L_E^p(\mu)$	The Lebesgue–Bochner space, equipped with the norm $\ f\ _{L_E^p(\mu)} := (\int f _E^p \, d\mu)^{1/p}$.
L_E^p	The Lebesgue–Bochner space $L_E^p := L_E^p(dx)$.
\bar{M}_D^μ	The lattice maximal function: $\bar{M}^\mu f := \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q$, where the supremum is taken in the lattice order.
$\ \bar{M}^\mu\ _{L_E^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_E^p(\mu)}$	Shorthand for the uniform bound: $\sup_{\mathcal{D}} \ \bar{M}_D^\mu\ _{L_E^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_E^p(\mu)}$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN RESULTS

Let $(C, |\cdot|_C, \leq)$ and $(D, |\cdot|_D, \leq)$ be Banach lattices. We consider the vector-valued positive dyadic operator $T_\lambda(\cdot\sigma)$ defined as follows: For every locally integrable function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow C$, the function $T_\lambda(f\sigma) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow D$ is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad T_\lambda(f\sigma) := \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\sigma 1_Q,$$

where \mathcal{D} is a finite collection of dyadic cubes on \mathbb{R}^d , σ is a locally finite Borel measure, and $\{\lambda_Q : C \rightarrow D\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ are positive operators.

Let $L_C^p(\sigma)$ and $L_D^q(\omega)$ denote the Lebesgue–Bochner spaces associated with the exponents $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, locally finite Borel measures σ and ω , and the Banach lattices C and D . We assume that C and D^* each have the Hardy–Littlewood property. We characterize the two-weight norm inequality

$$(1.2) \quad \|T_\lambda(f\sigma)\|_{L_D^q(\omega)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_C^p(\sigma)}$$

by means of testing conditions. Furthermore, we characterize the unweighted norm inequality

$$\|T_\lambda(f\mu)\|_{L_D^q(\mu)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_C^p(\mu)}$$

by means of an end-point testing condition. Among the corollaries of this characterization is that the operator $T_\lambda(\cdot\mu) : L_C^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_D^p(\mu)$ is bounded for some $p \in (1, \infty)$ if and only if it is bounded for every $p \in (1, \infty)$.

A *Banach lattice* $(C, |\cdot|_C, \leq)$ is a Banach space $(C, |\cdot|_C)$ equipped with a partial order \leq that is compatible with the vector addition, the scalar multiplication, and the norm of the Banach space, and such that each pair of vectors has the least upper bound, or, in other words, the supremum. (The precise definition of a Banach lattice is given in Section 2.1.) A linear operator $\lambda : C \rightarrow D$ from a Banach lattice C to a Banach lattice D is *positive* if $c \geq 0$ implies $Tc \geq 0$, for every $c \in C$. The *dyadic lattice Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator* $\bar{M}_D : L_C^p \rightarrow L_C^p$ is defined by

$$(1.3) \quad \bar{M}_D f := \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f \rangle_Q 1_Q,$$

where the supremum is taken with respect to the order of the lattice.

Definition 1.1 (Dyadic Hardy–Littlewood property). A Banach lattice $(E, |\cdot|_E, \leq)$ has the *dyadic Hardy–Littlewood property* if for some $p \in (1, \infty)$ there exists a finite constant $C_{p,E}$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \|\bar{M}_D\|_{L_E^p \rightarrow L_E^p} \leq C_{p,E}$$

for every finite collection \mathcal{D} of dyadic cubes.

Remark. The estimate (1.4) holds for some $p \in (1, \infty)$ if and only if it holds for every $p \in (1, \infty)$, as proven by García-Cuerva, Macías, and Torrea in [4].

Example 1.2. a) The Lebesgue space $L^r(A, \mathcal{A}, \alpha)$ associated with an exponent $r \in (1, \infty)$ and a σ -finite measure space (A, \mathcal{A}, α) is a Banach lattice that has the dyadic Hardy–Littlewood property, which is a choice of words for saying that the dyadic Fefferman–Stein vector-valued maximal inequality [3] holds:

$$\|\bar{M}\|_{L_{L^r(A)}^p \rightarrow L_{L^r(A)}^p} \leq C_{p,r}.$$

b) A Köthe function space X with the Fatou property has the UMD property if and only if both X and its function space dual X' have the Hardy–Littlewood property, as proven by Bourgain, and Rubio de Francia (see [1], and [19]).

The Hardy–Littlewood property is studied by García-Cuerva, Macías, and Torrea in [4] and [5]. Among other things, they obtain various characterizations of the property. In fact, they define the Hardy–Littlewood property by means of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator with the supremum taken over centered balls, whereas we define it with the supremum taken over dyadic cubes. In any case, for the Lebesgue measure, these maximal functions are comparable, as explained in Section A.1.

By duality, the norm inequality (1.2) for the operator $T_\lambda(\cdot\sigma) : L_C^p(\sigma) \rightarrow L_D^q(\omega)$ is equivalent to the norm inequality

$$(1.5) \quad \|T_\lambda^*(g\omega)\|_{L_{C^*}^{p'}(\sigma)} \lesssim \|g\|_{L_{D^*}^{q'}(\omega)}$$

for the adjoint operator $T_\lambda^*(\cdot\omega) : L_{D^*}^{q'}(\omega) \rightarrow L_{C^*}^{p'}(\sigma)$ defined by

$$T_\lambda^*(g\omega) := \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q^* \int_Q g \, d\omega 1_Q.$$

The localized versions T_R of the operator T and the localized version T_R^* of its adjoint T^* are defined by

$$(1.6) \quad T_{\lambda,R}(f\sigma) := \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \subseteq R}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\sigma 1_Q \quad \text{and} \quad T_{\lambda,R}^*(g\omega) := \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \subseteq R}} \lambda_Q^* \int_Q g \, d\omega 1_Q.$$

The characterization of the norm inequality (1.2) is obtained by weakening it and its dual (1.5) by restricting the class of functions and by localizing the operator T and its adjoint T^* as in (1.6). Thus, we obtain *the direct and the dual L^∞ testing condition*:

$$(1.7a) \quad \|T_R(f\sigma)\|_{L_D^q(\omega)} \leq \mathfrak{T} \|f\|_{L_C^\infty(R,\sigma)} \sigma(R)^{1/p},$$

$$(1.7b) \quad \|T_R^*(g\omega)\|_{L_{C^*}^{p'}(\sigma)} \leq \mathfrak{T}^* \|g\|_{L_{D^*}^\infty(R,\omega)} \omega(R)^{1/q'},$$

for every $R \in \mathcal{D}$, every $f \in L_C^\infty(R,\sigma)$, and every $g \in L_{D^*}^\infty(\omega,R)$.

Theorem 1.3 (Two-weight norm inequality is characterized by the direct and the dual L^∞ testing conditions). *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. Let σ and ω be locally finite Borel measures. Let C and D be Banach lattices. Assume that C and D^* each have the dyadic Hardy–Littlewood property. Let $\{\lambda_Q : C \rightarrow D\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ be positive operators. Let the operator $T_\lambda(\cdot\sigma)$ be defined as in (1.1), and the localizations $T_{\lambda,R}(\cdot\sigma)$ and $T_{\lambda,R}^*(\cdot\omega)$ as in (1.6). Then,*

$$\max\{\mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{T}^*\} \leq \|T(\cdot\sigma)\|_{L_C^p(\sigma) \rightarrow L_D^q(\omega)} \lesssim_{q,p} \|\bar{M}\|_{L_C^p \rightarrow L_C^p} \mathfrak{T} + \|\bar{M}\|_{L_{D^*}^{q'} \rightarrow L_{D^*}^{q'}} \mathfrak{T}^*,$$

where the testing constants \mathfrak{T} and \mathfrak{T}^* are the least constants in the testing conditions (1.7a) and (1.7b). Here, $\|\bar{M}\|_{L_C^p \rightarrow L_C^p}$ denotes the norm of the dyadic lattice Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator $\bar{M} : L_C^p \rightarrow L_C^p$ defined in (1.3).

We note that, in the real-valued case (that is, $C = D = \mathbb{R}$), the L^∞ testing conditions (1.7) can be rephrased as the Sawyer testing conditions:

$$(1.8) \quad \|T_R(1_R\sigma)\|_{L^q(\omega)} \lesssim \sigma(R)^{1/p}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_R^*(1_R\omega)\|_{L^{p'}(\sigma)} \lesssim \omega(R)^{1/q'}.$$

Such testing conditions were used by Sawyer [20] to characterize the boundedness of a large class of integral operators $I(\cdot\sigma) : L^p(\sigma) \rightarrow L^q(\omega)$ with non-negative kernels, in particular, fractional integrals and Poisson integrals. In the real-valued case $T(\cdot\sigma) : L^p(\sigma) \rightarrow L^q(\omega)$, Theorem 1.3 was first proven

- for $p = q = 2$ by Nazarov, Treil, and Volberg [15] by the Bellman function technique,
- and for $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ by Lacey, Sawyer, and Uriarte-Tuero [11] by techniques that are similar to the ones used by Sawyer [20];

Alternative proofs were obtained

- by Treil [22] by splitting the summation over dyadic cubes in the dual pairing by the condition ' $\sigma(Q)(\langle f \rangle_Q^\sigma)^p > \omega(Q)(\langle g \rangle_Q^\omega)^{q'}$ ',
- and by Hytönen [7] by splitting the summation by using parallel stopping cubes. This technique originates from the work of Lacey, Sawyer, Shen, and Uriarte-Tuero [10, Version 1] on the two-weight boundedness of the Hilbert transform.

For an exponent $s \in (1, \infty)$, and a collection $\{\beta_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ of non-negative real numbers, consider the particular vector-valued case $L^p(\sigma) \rightarrow L^q_{\ell^s(\mathcal{D})}(\omega)$, and the particular class of operators $T_{\lambda_\beta}(\cdot\sigma)$ defined by

$$(1.9) \quad T_{\lambda_\beta}(f\sigma) := \{\beta_Q \int_Q f d\sigma 1_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}.$$

(We note that this is the operator (1.1) associated with the following coefficients: For each $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the sequence $\lambda_{\beta, Q} r \in \ell^s(\mathcal{D})$ is componentwise defined by setting $(\lambda_{\beta, Q} r)_R := \delta_{Q, R} \beta_Q r$ for every $R \in \mathcal{D}$.) In this case, Theorem 1.3 was proven

- by Scurry [21] by adapting Lacey, Sawyer, and Uriarte-Tuero's [11] proof of the real-valued case $T(\cdot\sigma) : L^p(\sigma) \rightarrow L^q(\omega)$.

In this paper, the characterization by the L^∞ testing conditions is extended to Banach lattices with the Hardy–Littlewood property. Note that this generality also has the advantage of being symmetric with respect to T and T^* , which simplifies the notation.

We prove Theorem 1.3 by using parallel stopping cubes, similarly as in Hytönen's [7] proof of the real-valued case $L^p(\sigma) \rightarrow L^q(\omega)$ of the theorem. However, because of the vector-valuedness, we need to choose the stopping cubes by a different stopping condition: Let μ be a locally finite Borel measure, and let $(E, |\cdot|_E, \leq)$ be a Banach lattice. For each dyadic cube F , its stopping children $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)$ are defined as the maximal dyadic cubes $F' \not\subseteq F$ such that

$$(1.10) \quad \left| \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \supseteq F'}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu \Big|_E > 2 \left| \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q \Big|_E \right|_E^\mu,$$

where the supremum is taken with respect to the order of the lattice.

Note that, in the right-hand side of the stopping condition (1.10), there appears the dyadic lattice Hardy–Littlewood maximal function $\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}}^\mu f$, which is defined by $\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}}^\mu f := \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q$. To control the averages appearing in the stopping condition (1.10), we assume that the operator $\bar{M}^\mu : L^p_E(\mu) \rightarrow L^p_E(\mu)$ is bounded. However, we want to obtain an estimate for the operator norm of the operator $T(\cdot\sigma) : L^p_C(\sigma) \rightarrow L^q_D(\omega)$ such that the estimate depends on the measures σ and ω only via the testing constants. In particular, we do not want the estimate to depend on the measure σ via the operator norm of the auxiliary operator $\bar{M}^\sigma : L^p_C(\sigma) \rightarrow L^p_C(\sigma)$. Thus, we want to view the boundedness of $\bar{M}^\sigma : L^p_E(\sigma) \rightarrow L^p_E(\sigma)$ as a consequence of the geometry of the Banach lattice E itself, which we can do, thanks to the following theorem:

Theorem 1.4 (Universal norm bound for the dyadic lattice Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, [17] and [9]). *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Assume that $(E, |\cdot|_E, \leq)$ is a Banach lattice. Then*

$$\|\bar{M}^\mu\|_{L^p_E(\mu) \rightarrow L^p_E(\mu)} \lesssim_p \|\bar{M}\|_{L^p_E \rightarrow L^p_E}$$

for all locally finite Borel measures μ .

Remark. This theorem follows from either the technique [17] or, as communicated to the author by M. Kemppainen, the technique [9]. For reader's convenience, the proof is presented in Section A.2.

Thus, it is the proof technique of stopping cubes, in particular, the stopping condition (1.10), that leads us to consider the class of Banach lattices that have the Hardy–Littlewood property. The author is unaware of whether the statement, the characterization of the two-weight boundedness by the L^∞ testing conditions, holds without assuming the Hardy–Littlewood property (see Question 6.2).

Next, we characterize the $L_C^p(\sigma) \rightarrow L_D^q(\omega)$ boundedness of the operator $T_\lambda(\cdot\sigma)$ in the case that the measures σ and ω satisfy the A_∞ condition with respect to each other. In particular, this includes the unweighted case $\sigma = \omega = \mu$. By duality, the norm inequality (1.2) is equivalent to the bilinear norm inequality

$$(1.11) \quad \int gT(f\sigma) d\omega \lesssim \|f\|_{L_C^p(\sigma)} \|g\|_{L_{D^*}^{q'}(\omega)}.$$

Again, by restricting the class of functions and by localizing the operator, we obtain the L^∞ dual pairing testing condition:

$$(1.12) \quad \int gT_R(f\sigma) d\omega \leq \mathfrak{B} \|f\|_{L_C^\infty(R,\sigma)} \|g\|_{L_{D^*}^\infty(R,\omega)} \sigma(R)^{1/p} \omega(R)^{1/q'}$$

for every $R \in \mathcal{D}$, every $g \in L_{D^*}^\infty(\omega, R)$, and every $f \in L_C^\infty(\sigma, R)$. The A_∞ characteristic $[\sigma]_{A_\infty(\omega)}$ of a measure σ with respect to a measure ω is defined by

$$(1.13) \quad [\sigma]_{A_\infty(\omega)} := \sup_{R \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\sigma(R)} \int M_R^\omega(\sigma) d\omega,$$

where, for each $R \in \mathcal{D}$, the localized Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M_R^ω is defined by $M_R^\omega(\sigma) := \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \subseteq R}} \frac{\sigma(Q)}{\omega(Q)} 1_Q$.

Theorem 1.5 (Norm inequality for A_∞ weights is characterized by the L^∞ dual pairing testing condition). *In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, assume that the measures σ and ω satisfy the A_∞ condition with respect to each other. Then*

$$\mathfrak{B} \leq \|T_\lambda(\cdot\sigma)\|_{L_C^p(\sigma) \rightarrow L_D^q(\omega)} \lesssim_{p,q} \|\bar{M}\|_{L_C^p \rightarrow L_C^p} \|\bar{M}\|_{L_{D^*}^{q'} \rightarrow L_{D^*}^{q'}} \left([\sigma]_{A_\infty(\omega)}^{1/p} + [\omega]_{A_\infty(\sigma)}^{1/q'}\right) \mathfrak{B},$$

where the dual pairing testing constant \mathfrak{B} is the least constant in the dual pairing testing condition (1.12). Here, the A_∞ characteristics are defined as in (1.13), and $\|\bar{M}\|_{L_C^p \rightarrow L_C^p}$ denotes the norm of the dyadic lattice Hardy–Littlewood maximal function $\bar{M} : L_C^p \rightarrow L_C^p$.

We observe that the L^∞ dual pairing testing condition (1.12) for $T_\lambda(\cdot\mu) : L_C^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_D^p(\mu)$ is independent of p . Therefore:

Corollary 1.6. *Assume that C and D^* each have the Hardy–Littlewood property. Then, the operator $T_\lambda(\cdot\mu) : L_C^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_D^p(\mu)$ is bounded for some $p \in (1, \infty)$ if and only if it is bounded for every $p \in (1, \infty)$.*

More corollaries, among which is an alternative proof for an embedding theorem by Nazarov, Treil, and Volberg [16, Theorem 3.1], are stated in Section 5.

Next, we point out that the assumption that the Banach space has the Hardy–Littlewood property can be replaced by assuming that the measure is doubling, or by strenghtening the testing condition. In the unweighted case $T_\lambda(\cdot\mu) : L_E^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_E^p(\mu)$, this reads as:

Theorem 1.7 (L^∞ testing condition together with an additional assumption implies the boundedness). *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. Let $(E, |\cdot|_E, \leq)$ be a Banach lattice. Let μ be a locally finite Borel measure. Then, the operator $T_\lambda(\cdot\mu) : L_E^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_E^p(\mu)$ is bounded if any of the following conditions is satisfied:*

i) The operator $T_\lambda(\cdot)$ satisfies the endpoint direct L^∞ testing condition:

$$(1.14) \quad \|T_R(f\mu)\|_{L_E^1(\mu)} \leq \mathfrak{B} \|f\|_{L_E^\infty(R,\mu)} \mu(R)$$

for every $R \in \mathcal{D}$, and every $f \in L_E^\infty(R,\mu)$, and, additionally, the Banach lattice E has the Hardy–Littlewood property.

ii) The operator $T_\lambda(\cdot)$ satisfies the endpoint direct L^∞ testing condition (1.14), and, additionally, the measure μ is doubling.

iii) The operator $T_\lambda(\cdot)$ satisfies, for some $t \in (p, \infty)$, the endpoint direct L^t testing condition:

$$(1.15) \quad \|T_R(f\mu)\|_{L_E^1(\mu)} \leq \mathfrak{B}_t \|f\|_{L_E^t(\mu,R)} \mu(R)^{1-1/t}$$

for every $R \in \mathcal{D}$ and every $f \in L_E^t(R,\mu)$.

We remark that the L^∞ testing condition has been used to characterize $L_E^p \rightarrow L_E^p$ boundedness in at least the following instances:

- Let $(E, |\cdot|_E, \leq)$ be a Banach lattice. By using the theory of vector-valued singular integrals, García-Cuerva, Macías, and Torrea [4] proved that *the smooth lattice Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator* $\bar{M}_{\varphi,J} : L_E^p \rightarrow L_E^p$ is bounded if and only if it satisfies the end-point direct L^∞ testing condition (1.14). An alternative proof for this is given in Section A.3 by using stopping cubes.
- Let $(E, |\cdot|_E)$ be a UMD space. By using stopping cubes, the author and Hytönen [6] proved that *the operator-valued dyadic paraproduct* $\Pi_b : L_E^p \rightarrow L_E^p$ is bounded if and only if it satisfies the direct L^∞ testing condition (1.7a).

We conclude the introduction by comparing the testing conditions. Observe that the direct L^∞ testing condition (1.7a) or the dual L^∞ testing condition (1.7b) each imply, by Hölder’s inequality, the L^∞ dual pairing testing condition (1.12). Furthermore, the direct L^t testing condition,

$$(1.16) \quad \|T_R(f\sigma)\|_{L_D^q(\omega)} \leq \mathfrak{T}_t \|f\|_{L_C^t(\sigma,R)} \sigma(R)^{1/p-1/t}$$

for every $R \in \mathcal{D}$, and every $f \in L_C^t(\sigma,R)$, implies, again by Hölder’s inequality, the direct L^∞ testing condition (1.7a). Altogether, the testing constants satisfy the comparison:

$$\mathfrak{B} \leq \mathfrak{T} \leq \mathfrak{T}_t \leq \|T(\cdot\sigma)\|_{L^p(\sigma) \rightarrow L^q(\omega)}.$$

The L^∞ testing condition (1.7a) can be viewed as the limiting case ($t = \infty$) of the L^t testing condition (1.16). Furthermore, the L^∞ dual pairing testing condition (1.12) is, by duality, equivalent to *the end-point direct L^∞ condition* or *the end-point dual L^∞ condition*:

$$(1.17a) \quad \|T_R(f\sigma)\|_{L_D^1(\omega)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_C^\infty(R,\sigma)} \sigma(R)^{1/p} \omega(R)^{1/q'},$$

$$(1.17b) \quad \|T_R^*(g\omega)\|_{L_{C^*}^1(\sigma)} \lesssim \|g\|_{L_{D^*}^\infty(R,\omega)} \sigma(R)^{1/p} \omega(R)^{1/q'}.$$

In particular, in the unweighted case $T(\cdot\mu) : L_C^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_D^p(\mu)$, these conditions can be viewed as the limiting case of the L^∞ testing conditions (1.7).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Rudiments of Banach lattices. A *lattice* (C, \leq) is a set equipped with a partial order relation \leq such that for every $c, d \in C$ there exists the least upper bound $c \vee d$ and the greatest lower bound $c \wedge d$.

Definition 2.1 (Banach lattice). A *Banach lattice* $(C, |\cdot|_C, \leq)$ is both a real Banach space $(C, |\cdot|_C)$ and a lattice (C, \leq) so that both structures are compatible:

- i) $c \leq d$ implies $c + e \leq d + e$, for every $c, d, e \in C$.
- ii) $r \geq 0$ and $c \geq 0$ implies $rc \geq 0$, for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c \in C$.
- iii) $|c|_C = ||c|_C$, and $0 \leq c \leq d$ implies $|c|_C \leq |d|_C$, for every $c, d \in C$. Here, the *positive part* c_+ of a vector $c \in C$ is defined by $c_+ := c \vee 0$, the *negative part* c_- by $c_- := -c \vee 0$, and the *absolute value* $|c|$ by $|c| := c \vee -c$.

From the existence of the pairwise supremum (in other words, the least upper bound), it follows that for every finite set there exists the supremum. This supremum can be computed by taking pairwise suprema and using the recursive formula $\sup\{c_n\}_{n=1}^N = \sup\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{N-1} \vee c_N$.

From the definitions, it follows that $c = c_+ - c_-$, and $|c| = c_+ + c_-$ for every $c \in C$. This splitting implies that, for every linear operator $T : C \rightarrow D$ from a Banach lattice C to another D , the norm estimate $|Tc|_D \lesssim |c|_C$ holds for all $c \in C$ if and only if it holds for all $c \in C$ such that $c \geq 0$.

The Lebesgue–Bochner space $L_C^p(\sigma)$ associated with a Banach lattice $(C, |\cdot|_C, \leq)$ is again a Banach lattice. The order is defined by using the lattice order pointwise: For $f_1, f_2 \in L_C^p(\sigma)$, we impose that $f_1 \leq f_2$ if and only if $f_1(x) \leq f_2(x)$ for σ -almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Dual of a Banach lattice. The dual C^* of a Banach lattice C is also a Banach lattice, provided that it is equipped with the lattice order defined as follows: For $c^*, d^* \in C^*$, we impose

$$(2.1) \quad c^* \leq d^* \text{ if and only if } c^*c \leq d^*c \text{ for every } c \in C \text{ with } c \geq 0.$$

In this paper, it is implicitly understood that the dual of a Banach lattice is equipped with this lattice order. The supremum $c^* \vee d^*$ of $c^*, d^* \in C^*$ is given by

$$(c^* \vee d^*)(c) = \sup\{c^*(d) + d^*(c - d) : 0 \leq d \leq c\}.$$

Positive operator. An operator $T : C \rightarrow D$ from a Banach lattice C to a Banach lattice D is *positive* if $c \geq 0$ implies $Tc \geq 0$, for every $c \in C$. By the definition of the lattice order of the dual (2.1), the adjoint $T^* : D^* \rightarrow C^*$ of a positive operator $T : C \rightarrow D$ is also a positive operator, which reads

$$(T^*d^*)c = d^*(Tc) \geq 0 \text{ for every } d^* \in D^* \text{ with } d^* \geq 0 \text{ and } c \in C \text{ with } c \geq 0.$$

For more on Banach lattices, see Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri's book [12, Chapter 1].

2.2. Stopping families and dyadic analysis.

2.2.1. *Terminology.* Let \mathcal{S} be a collection of dyadic cubes. Let μ be a locally finite Borel measure.

- \mathcal{S} -children of $S \in \mathcal{S}$, denoted by $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S)$, are defined by

$$\text{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S) := \{S' \in \mathcal{S} : S' \text{ maximal with } S' \not\subseteq S\}.$$

- \mathcal{S} -parent of $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, denoted by $\pi_{\mathcal{S}}(Q)$, is defined by

$$\pi_{\mathcal{S}}(Q) := \{S \in \mathcal{S} : S \text{ minimal with } S \supseteq Q\}.$$

- $E_{\mathcal{S}}(S) := S \setminus \bigcup_{S' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S)} S'$.

- Let $0 < c < 1$. The collection \mathcal{S} is (c, μ) -sparse if, for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$(2.2) \quad \mu(E_{\mathcal{S}}(S)) \geq c\mu(S).$$

By taking the complement, this is equivalent to the condition that, for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\sum_{S' \in \mathcal{S}(S)} \mu(S') \leq (1-c)\mu(S).$$

In the case that the constant c is not explicitly specified, we use the convention that $c = \frac{1}{2}$.

- Let $C > 1$. The collection \mathcal{S} is (C, μ) -Carleson if, for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\sum_{\substack{S' \in \mathcal{S}: \\ S' \subseteq S}} \mu(S') \leq C\mu(S).$$

In the case that the constant C is not explicitly specified, we use the convention that $C = 2$.

- For each $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, let $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(Q)$ be a collection of pairwise disjoint dyadic subcubes of Q . We say that \mathcal{S} is the family starting at a dyadic cube S_0 and defined by the children $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}$ if \mathcal{S} is defined recursively as follows: $\mathcal{S}_0 := \{S_0\}$, $\mathcal{S}_{k+1} := \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}_k} \text{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S)$, and $\mathcal{S} := \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_k$. (Once \mathcal{S} is defined so, then $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S) = \{S' \in \mathcal{S} : S' \text{ maximal with } S' \not\subseteq S\}$, for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$.)

2.2.2. *Basic lemmas.* The dyadic (real-valued) Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M^{μ} is defined by

$$M^{\mu}h := \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle h \rangle_Q^{\mu} 1_Q.$$

Lemma 2.2 (Universal norm bound for the dyadic Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator). Let $1 < p \leq \infty$. Let μ be a locally finite Borel measure. Then

$$\|M^{\mu}\|_{L^p(\mu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)} \leq p'.$$

Lemma 2.3 (Dyadic Carleson embedding theorem). Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let μ be a locally finite Borel measure. Let E be a Banach space. Suppose that \mathcal{S} is a sparse collection. Then

$$\left(\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} (\langle |f|_E \rangle_S^{\mu})^p \mu(S) \right)^{1/p} \leq 2p' \|f\|_{L_E^p(\mu)}.$$

Lemma 2.4 (L^p -variant of Pythagoras' theorem, Lemma 2.7 in [6]). Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let μ be a locally finite Borel measure. Let E be a Banach space. Assume that \mathcal{S} is a sparse collection of dyadic cubes. Assume that $\{f_S\}_{S \in \mathcal{S}}$ is a collection of E -valued functions such that every f_S is supported on S and constant on each $S' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S)$. Then

$$\left\| \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} f_S \right\|_{L_E^p(\mu)} \leq 3p \left(\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \|f_S\|_{L_E^p(\mu)}^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

2.3. Equivalence of the A_∞ condition and the Carleson condition. The equivalence presented in this section is well-known. However, for reader's convenience, we represent a proof for it.

Lemma 2.5 (Equivalence of the A_∞ condition and the Carleson condition). Let σ and ω be locally finite Borel measures. Then the measure σ satisfies the A_∞ condition with respect to the measure ω if and only if every ω -Carleson collection is also σ -Carleson. Quantitatively,

$$[\sigma]_{A_\infty(\omega)} \approx [\sigma]_{\text{Car}(\omega)},$$

where

$$[\sigma]_{A_\infty(\omega)} := \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\sigma(Q)} \int M_Q^\omega(\sigma) d\omega, \quad [\sigma]_{\text{Car}(\omega)} := \sup_{\mathcal{G} \text{ } \omega\text{-Carleson}} \sup_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{\sigma(G)} \sum_{\substack{G' \in \mathcal{G}: \\ G' \subseteq G}} \sigma(G').$$

Proof. First, we prove that $[\sigma]_{\text{Car}(\omega)} \lesssim [\sigma]_{A_\infty(\omega)}$. Let \mathcal{H} be an ω -Carleson collection. Fix $H_0 \in \mathcal{H}$. Let \mathcal{G} be the stopping family starting at H_0 and defined by

$$\text{ch}_{\mathcal{G}}(G) := \{G' \in \mathcal{H} : G' \subseteq G \text{ maximal with } \frac{\sigma(G')}{\omega(G')} > 2 \frac{\sigma(G)}{\omega(G)}\}.$$

Observe that the collection \mathcal{G} is ω -sparse because

$$\sum_{G' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{G}}(G)} \omega(G') < \frac{1}{2} \omega(G) \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(G)} \sum_{G' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{G}}(G)} \sigma(G') \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \omega(G).$$

Let $E_{\mathcal{G}}(G) := G \setminus \bigcup_{G' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{G}}(G)} G'$. Moreover, observe that $\pi_{\mathcal{G}}(H) = G$ implies that H satisfies the opposite of the stopping condition. Altogether,

- The sets $E_{\mathcal{G}}(G)$ are pairwise disjoint and satisfy $\omega(G) \leq 2\omega(E_{\mathcal{G}}(G))$.
- $\frac{\sigma(H)}{\omega(H)} \leq 2 \frac{\sigma(G)}{\omega(G)}$ whenever $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}$ are such that $\pi_{\mathcal{G}}(H) = G$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{H \in \mathcal{H}: \\ H \subseteq H_0}} \sigma(H) &= \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\substack{H \in \mathcal{H}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{G}}(H) = G}} \frac{\sigma(H)}{\omega(H)} \omega(H) \leq 2 \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{\sigma(G)}{\omega(G)} \sum_{\substack{H \in \mathcal{H}: \\ H \subseteq G}} \omega(H) \leq 4 \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{\sigma(G)}{\omega(G)} \omega(G) \\ &\leq 8 \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{\sigma(G)}{\omega(G)} \omega(E_{\mathcal{G}}(G)) \leq 8 \int_{H_0} M_G^\omega(\sigma) d\omega \leq 8 [\sigma]_{A_\infty(\omega)} \sigma(H_0). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove that $[\sigma]_{A_\infty(\omega)} \lesssim [\sigma]_{\text{Car}(\omega)}$. Fix $Q_0 \in \mathcal{D}$. Again, let \mathcal{G} be the stopping family starting at Q_0 and defined by

$$\text{ch}_{\mathcal{G}}(G) := \{G' \in \mathcal{D} : G' \subseteq G \text{ maximal with } \frac{\sigma(G')}{\omega(G')} > 2 \frac{\sigma(G)}{\omega(G)}\}.$$

Then, $1_{E_{\mathcal{G}}(G)} M_{Q_0}^\omega(\sigma) \leq 2 \frac{\sigma(G)}{\omega(G)}$, and $1_{Q_0} = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} 1_{E_{\mathcal{G}}(G)}$ ω -almost everywhere. Moreover, since \mathcal{G} is ω -sparse, it is ω -Carleson:

$$\sum_{\substack{G' \in \mathcal{G}: \\ G' \subseteq G}} \omega(G) \leq 2 \sum_{\substack{G' \in \mathcal{G}: \\ G' \subseteq G}} \omega(E_{\mathcal{G}}(G')) = 2\omega\left(\bigcup_{\substack{G' \in \mathcal{G}: \\ G' \subseteq G}} E_{\mathcal{G}}(G')\right) \leq 2\omega(G).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_0} M_{Q_0}^\omega(\sigma) d\omega &= \int_{Q_0} \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} 1_{E_{\mathcal{G}}(G)} M_{Q_0}^\omega(\sigma) d\omega \leq 2 \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{\sigma(G)}{\omega(G)} \omega(E_{\mathcal{G}}(G)) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ G \subseteq Q_0}} \sigma(G) \leq 2[\sigma]_{\text{Car}(\omega)} \sigma(Q_0). \end{aligned}$$

□

3. WEIGHTED CHARACTERIZATIONS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.5.

3.1. Particular family of stopping cubes.

Lemma 3.1 (Properties of a particular stopping family). Let E be a Banach lattice. Let μ be a locally finite Borel measure. Let \mathcal{D} be a finite collection of dyadic cubes. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E_+$ be a locally integrable, positive function.

For each dyadic cube $F \in \mathcal{D}$, the stopping children $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)$ of F is defined as the collection of all the maximal dyadic cubes $F' \in \{F' \in \mathcal{D} : F' \subseteq F\}$ that satisfy the stopping condition

$$(3.1) \quad \left| \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \supseteq F'}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu \right|_E > 2 \left| \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q \right|_E \Big|_F^\mu.$$

Let \mathcal{F} be the stopping family defined by the stopping children $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}$. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, define the auxiliary function

$$f_F := \sup_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q.$$

Then, the following conditions are satisfied:

- a) The collection \mathcal{F} is sparse.
- b) Each auxiliary function f_F satisfies the L^∞ estimate

$$(3.2) \quad \|f_F\|_{L_E^\infty} \leq 2 \left| \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q \right|_E \Big|_F^\mu.$$

- c) Each auxiliary function f_F satisfies the replacement rule

$$\int_Q f d\mu \leq \int_Q f_F d\mu \quad \text{whenever } \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F.$$

Proof. First, we check that each auxiliary function satisfies the L^∞ estimate. We note that the condition $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F$ implies that Q satisfies the opposite of the stopping condition. Now, fix $x \in \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F} Q$. Let Q_x be the minimal (which exists since the collection \mathcal{D} is finite) dyadic cube such that $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q_x) = F$ and $Q \ni x$. Since the cube Q_x satisfies the opposite of the stopping condition (3.1), we have

$$\left| f_F(x) \right|_E = \left| \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F, \\ Q \ni x}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu \right|_E \leq \left| \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \ni Q_x}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu \right|_E \leq 2 \left| \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q \right|_E \Big|_F^\mu.$$

Next, we check that \mathcal{F} is sparse. By the stopping condition (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle |\sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q |_E \rangle_F^\mu &\geq \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \frac{\mu(F')}{\mu(F)} \langle |\sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q |_E \rangle_{F'}^\mu \geq \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \frac{\mu(F')}{\mu(F)} |\sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \supseteq F'}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu |_E \\ &\geq 2 \langle |\sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q |_E \rangle_F^\mu \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \frac{\mu(F')}{\mu(F)}. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing out the factor $\langle |\sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q |_E \rangle_F^\mu$ yields $\sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \mu(F') \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu(F)$.

Finally, we observe that the replacement follows from positivity:

$$\int_Q f \, d\mu = \int_Q \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q \, d\mu \leq \int_Q f_F \, d\mu.$$

□

Remark. Instead of the stopping condition (3.1), we could use the stopping condition

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ F \supseteq Q \supseteq F'}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu |_E \right| \geq 2 \|\bar{M}^\mu\|_{L_E^1(\mu) \rightarrow L_E^{1,\infty}(\mu)} \langle |f|_E \rangle_F^\mu,$$

which in the real-valued case (that is, $E = \mathbb{R}$) coalesces with the Muckenhoupt–Wheeden principal cubes stopping condition $|\langle f \rangle_{F'}^\mu| > 2|\langle |f| \rangle_F^\mu|$. The stopping family defined by the condition (3.3) is sparse, because

$$\sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \mu(F') \leq \mu(\{|\bar{M}^\mu(1_F f)|_E > 2\|\bar{M}^\mu\|_{L_E^1(\mu) \rightarrow L_E^{1,\infty}(\mu)} \langle |f|_E \rangle_F^\mu\}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu(F),$$

and the auxiliary function $f_F := \sup_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q$ associated with the stopping family satisfies the estimate

$$\|f_F\|_{L_E^\infty} \leq 2\|\bar{M}^\mu\|_{L_E^1(\mu) \rightarrow L_E^{1,\infty}(\mu)} \langle |f|_E \rangle_F^\mu,$$

because of a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.1.

3.2. Proof of the two weight characterization. In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof. We prove the norm estimate (1.2) by using duality. Let $f \in L_C^p(\sigma)$ be such that $f \geq 0$, and $g \in L_{D^*}^{q'}(\omega)$ be such that $g \geq 0$. By writing out the definition of the operator,

$$S := \int g T(f\sigma) \, d\omega = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \int_Q g \, d\omega \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\sigma.$$

First, we define stopping families. Associated with $f \in L_C^p(\sigma)$, let \mathcal{F} be the stopping family defined by the stopping children

$$\text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F) := \{F' \in \mathcal{D} : F' \not\subset F \text{ maximal with } |\sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \supseteq F'}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\sigma |_C > 2|\sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\sigma 1_Q |_C \rangle_F^\sigma\}.$$

Similarly, let \mathcal{G} be the stopping family associated with $g \in L_{D^*}^{q'}(\omega)$.

Next, we rearrange the summation by means of the stopping cubes. We use the notation $\pi(Q) = (F, G)$ to indicate that $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F$ and $\pi_G(Q) = G$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad S &:= \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}, G \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi(Q) = (F, G)}} \stackrel{i)}{=} \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ G \subseteq F}} + \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}: \\ F \not\subseteq G}} \right) \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi(Q) = (F, G)}} \\
&\stackrel{ii)}{\leq} \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G) = F}} + \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}: \\ \pi_G(F) = G}} \right) \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi(Q) = (F, G)}} \\
&=: S_{G \subseteq F} + S_{G \not\subseteq F},
\end{aligned}$$

because of the following observations:

- i) Under the condition $\pi(Q) = (F, G)$, we have $F \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Hence, by dyadic nestedness, either $G \subseteq F$ or $G \not\subseteq F$.
- ii) Under the conditions $\pi(Q) = (F, G)$ and $G \subseteq F$, we have $Q \subseteq G \subseteq F$. Hence $F = \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) \subseteq \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G) \subseteq \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(F) = F$, which implies that $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G) = F$. Similarly, when $\pi(Q) = (F, G)$ and $F \not\subseteq G$, we have $\pi_G(F) = G$.

By symmetry, it suffices to consider the summation $S_{G \subseteq F}$ in the inequality (3.4). Under the condition $\pi_G(Q) = (F, G)$, we can write

$$(3.5) \quad \int_Q g \, d\omega \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\sigma \leq \int_Q g_G \, d\omega \lambda_Q \int_Q f_F \, d\sigma = \int g_G \lambda_Q \left(\int_Q f_F \, d\sigma \right) 1_Q \, d\omega,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
g_G &:= \sum_{G' \in \text{ch}_G(G)} \langle g \rangle_{G'}^\omega 1_{G'} + g 1_{E_G(G)}, \\
f_F &:= \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\sigma 1_Q,
\end{aligned}$$

which follows from the following observations:

- If $G' \in \text{ch}_G(G)$ is such that $G' \cap Q \neq \emptyset$, then, by dyadic nestedness, either $G' \not\subseteq Q$ or $Q \subseteq G'$, the latter of which is excluded by the condition $\pi_G(Q) = G$. Therefore

$$\int_Q g 1_{G'} \, d\omega = \int_Q \langle g \rangle_{G'}^\omega 1_{G'} \, d\omega,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad \int_Q g \, d\omega &= \int_Q \left(\sum_{G' \in \text{ch}_G(G)} 1_{G'} + 1_{E_G(G)} \right) g \, d\omega \\
&= \int_Q \left(\sum_{G' \in \text{ch}_G(G)} \langle g \rangle_{G'}^\omega 1_{G'} + 1_{E_G(G)} g \right) \, d\omega =: \int_Q g_G \, d\omega.
\end{aligned}$$

- By positivity,

$$\int_Q f \, d\sigma = \int_Q \langle f \rangle_Q^\sigma 1_Q \, d\sigma \leq \int_Q \left(\sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\sigma 1_Q \right) \, d\sigma.$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5) yields, by positivity,

$$S_{G \subseteq F} \leq \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \int \left(\sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G) = F}} g_G \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \subseteq F}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f_F \, d\sigma 1_Q \right) \, d\omega.$$

By definition, $T_F(f_F\sigma) := \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \subseteq F}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f_F d\sigma 1_Q$. We write $G_F := \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G)=F}} g_G$. By Hölder's inequality, the direct L^∞ testing condition (1.7a), and Hölder's inequality with the exponents p and q' (which holds because, by assumption, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} \geq 1$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad S_{G \subseteq F} &\leq \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \int G_F T_F(f_F\sigma) d\omega \\
&\leq \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \|G_F\|_{L_{D^*}^{q'}(\omega)} \|T_F(f_F\sigma)\|_{L_D^p(\omega)} \\
&\leq \mathfrak{I} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \|G_F\|_{L_{D^*}^{q'}(\omega)} \|f_F\|_{L_C^\infty} \sigma(F)^{1/p} \\
&\leq \mathfrak{I} \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \|G_F\|_{L_{D^*}^{q'}(\omega)}^{q'} \right)^{1/q'} \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \|f_F\|_{L_C^\infty}^p \sigma(F) \right)^{1/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate the second factor in the right-most side of the inequality (3.8). We now invoke the properties of the stopping cubes that are stated in Lemma 3.1: The auxiliary function f_F satisfies the L^∞ estimate

$$\|f_F\|_{L_C^\infty} \leq 2 \langle \bar{M}^\sigma f|_C \rangle_F^\sigma,$$

and the collection \mathcal{F} is σ -sparse. Therefore, by the dyadic Carleson embedding theorem (Lemma 2.3), and by the universal bound for the dyadic lattice Hardy–Littlewood maximal function (Theorem 1.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad &\left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \|f_F\|_{L_C^\infty}^p \sigma(F) \right)^{1/p} \leq 2 \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \langle \bar{M}^\sigma f|_C \rangle_F^p \sigma(F) \right)^{1/p} \leq 4p' \|\bar{M}^\sigma f\|_{L_C^p(\sigma)} \\
&\leq 4p' \|\bar{M}^\sigma\|_{L_C^p(\sigma) \rightarrow L_C^p(\sigma)} \|f\|_{L_C^p(\sigma)} \lesssim p \|\bar{M}\|_{L_C^p \rightarrow L_C^p} \|f\|_{L_C^p(\sigma)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we estimate the first factor in the right-most side of the inequality (3.8). Again, the collection \mathcal{G} is ω -sparse. Using the L^p -variant of Pythagoras' theorem (Lemma 2.4), and the rearrangement $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G)=F}} = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}}$ yields

$$\left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \left\| \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G)=F}} g_G \right\|_{L_{D^*}^{q'}(\omega)}^{q'} \right)^{1/q'} \leq 3q' \left(\sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \|g_G\|_{L_{D^*}^{q'}(\omega)}^{q'} \right)^{1/q'}.$$

The proof is completed by the estimate

$$\left(\sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \|g_G\|_{L_{D^*}^{q'}(\omega)}^{q'} \right)^{1/q'} \leq 3q \|g\|_{L_{D^*}^{q'}(\omega)},$$

which is checked as Lemma 3.2. \square

Lemma 3.2. Let $1 < p \leq \infty$. Let μ be a locally finite Borel measure. Let E be a Banach space. Assume that \mathcal{S} is a sparse collection of dyadic cubes. Let

$$f_S := \sum_{S \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S)} \langle f \rangle_{S'}^\mu 1_{S'} + f 1_{E_S(S)}.$$

Then

$$\left(\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \|f_S\|_{L_E^p(\mu)}^p \right)^{1/p} \leq 3p' \|f\|_{L_E^p(\mu)}.$$

Proof. Note that, for each S , the sets $\{S'\}_{S' \in \text{ch}_S(S)}$ are pairwise disjoint, and the sets $\{E_S(S)\}_{S \in \mathcal{S}}$ are pairwise disjoint. Therefore, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \|f_S\|_{L_E^p(\mu)}^p \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{S' \in \text{ch}_S(S)} \|\langle f \rangle_{S'}^\mu 1_{S'}\|_{L_E^p(\mu)}^p \right)^{1/p} + \left\| \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} 1_{E_S(S)} f \right\|_{L_E^p(\mu)} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{S' \in \mathcal{S}} (\langle |f|_E \rangle_{S'}^\mu)^p \mu(S') \right)^{1/p} + \|f\|_{L_E^p(\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the dyadic Carleson embedding theorem (Lemma 2.3) completes the proof. \square

3.3. Proof of the A_∞ weights characterization. In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof. Following verbatim the beginning of the proof of Theorem 1.3 (in particular, the stopping families are defined similarly), we arrive at:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad S & := \int gT(f\sigma) \, d\omega = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \int_Q g \, d\omega \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\sigma \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G)=F}} + \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{G}}(F)=G}} \right) \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi(Q)=(F,G)}} \int_Q g \, d\omega \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\sigma \\ & =: S_{G \subseteq F} + S_{G \supseteq F}. \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, it suffices to consider the first summation $S_{G \subseteq F}$. Under the condition $\pi(Q) = (F, G)$, we obtain, by positivity, that

$$(3.11) \quad \int_Q g \, d\omega \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\sigma \leq \int_Q g_G \, d\omega \lambda_Q \int_Q f_F \, d\sigma = \int g_G \lambda_Q \left(\int_Q f_F \, d\sigma \right) 1_Q \, d\omega,$$

where $g_G := \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{G}}(Q)=G}} \langle g \rangle_Q^\omega 1_Q$, and $f_F := \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\sigma 1_Q$. Combining (3.10) and (3.11) yields, by positivity,

$$(3.12) \quad S_{G \subseteq F} \leq \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G)=F}} \int g_G \left(\sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \subseteq G}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f_F \, d\sigma \right) 1_Q \, d\omega.$$

By definition, $\sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \subseteq G}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f_F \, d\sigma 1_Q =: T_G(f_F \sigma)$. By the dual pairing L^∞ testing condition (1.12), and by Hölder's inequality with the exponents p and q' (which

holds because, by assumption, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} \geq 1$) applied twice, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{G \subseteq F} &:= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G)=F}} \int g_G T_G(f_F) d\omega \\
&\leq \mathfrak{B} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \|f_F\|_{L_C^\infty} \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G)=F}} \sigma(G)^{1/p} \|g_G\|_{L_{D^*}^\infty} \omega(G)^{1/q'} \\
&\leq \mathfrak{B} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \|f_F\|_{L_C^\infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G)=F}} \sigma(G) \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G)=F}} \|g_G\|_{L_{D^*}^\infty}^{q'} \omega(G) \right)^{1/q'} \\
&\leq \mathfrak{B} \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \|f_F\|_{L_C^\infty}^p \left(\sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G)=F}} \sigma(G) \right) \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G)=F}} \|g_G\|_{L_{D^*}^\infty}^{q'} \omega(G) \right)^{1/q'}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{G} is ω -sparse, it is ω -Carleson, which follows from the observation

$$\sum_{\substack{G' \in \mathcal{G}: \\ G' \subseteq G}} \omega(G') \leq 2 \sum_{\substack{G' \in \mathcal{G}: \\ G' \subseteq G}} \omega(E_G(G')) = 2\omega\left(\bigcup_{\substack{G' \in \mathcal{G}: \\ G' \subseteq G}} E_G(G')\right) \leq 2\omega(G).$$

By assumption, σ satisfies the A_∞ condition with respect to ω . By Lemma 2.5, the ω -Carleson collection \mathcal{G} is also σ -Carleson. Hence,

$$\sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G)=F}} \sigma(G) \lesssim [\sigma]_{A_\infty(\omega)} \sigma(F).$$

Moreover, $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{G}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(G)=F}} = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}}$. Altogether,

$$S_{G \subseteq F} \leq 8\mathfrak{B} [\sigma]_{A_\infty(\omega)}^{1/p} \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \|f_F\|_{L_C^\infty}^p \sigma(F) \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \|g_G\|_{L_{D^*}^\infty}^{q'} \omega(G) \right)^{1/q'}.$$

The proof is completed by estimating each factor on the right-hand side of this inequality as in (3.9). \square

4. UNWEIGHTED CHARACTERIZATION UNDER ALTERNATIVE ASSUMPTIONS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.7. First, we reduce the theorem to the existence of an auxiliary collection \mathcal{F} of dyadic cubes, and an auxiliary family $\{f_F\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$ of functions (Lemma 4.1). Then, we construct these auxiliary quantities by using stopping conditions.

4.1. Reduction to the existence of a stopping family.

Lemma 4.1 (Reduction of the characterization). *Let E be a Banach lattice. Let $1 < p < t \leq \infty$. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E_+$ be a non-negative, locally integrable function.*

Assume that there exists a collection \mathcal{F} of dyadic cubes and a family $\{f_F\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$ of auxiliary functions that satisfy the following properties:

- a) The family $\{f_F\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$ satisfies the replacement rule:

$$(4.1) \quad \int_Q f d\mu \leq \int_Q f_F d\mu \quad \text{whenever } Q \in \mathcal{D} \text{ and } F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ such that } \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F.$$

b) The family $\{f_F\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$ satisfies the norm estimate:

$$(4.2) \quad \|f_F\|_{L_E^t(\mu)} \lesssim \langle |f|_E \rangle_F^\mu \mu(F)^{1/t} \quad \text{for every } F \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Here, $I : L_E^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_E^p(\mu)$ is an auxiliary operator that is bounded with $\|I\|_{L_E^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_E^p(\mu)} \lesssim 1$. For example, I can be the identity operator.

c) We have the norm estimate:

$$(4.3) \quad \left\| \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu 1_Q \right\|_{L_E^\infty} \leq 4 \langle |T(f\mu)|_E \rangle_F.$$

d) The collection \mathcal{F} is sparse.

Furthermore, assume that the operator $T(\cdot\mu) : L_E^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_E^p(\mu)$ satisfies the endpoint L^t testing condition:

$$(4.4) \quad \|T_R(f\mu)\|_{L_E^1(\mu)} \leq \mathfrak{B}_t \|f\|_{L_E^t(R, \mu)} \mu(R)^{1-1/t}$$

for every $R \in \mathcal{D}$, and $f \in L_E^t(R, \mu)$.

Then, we have the norm estimate

$$\|T(f\mu)\|_{L_E^p(\mu)} \lesssim_p \mathfrak{B}_t \|f\|_{L_E^p}.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.1. By the L^p variant of Pythagoras' theorem (Lemma 2.4), and by the replacement rule (4.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(f\mu)\|_{L_E^p(\mu)} &= \left\| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu 1_Q \right\|_{L_E^p(\mu)} \\ &\lesssim_p \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \left\| \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu 1_Q \right\|_{L_E^p(\mu)}^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \left\| \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu 1_Q \right\|_{L_E^\infty(\mu)}^{p-1} \left\| \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu 1_Q \right\|_{L_E^1(\mu)} \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

The first factor is estimated by the norm estimate (4.3). For the second factor, from the endpoint L^t testing condition (4.4), and the norm estimate for the auxiliary functions (4.2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu 1_Q \right\|_{L_E^1(\mu)} \leq \|T_F(f_F\mu)\|_{L_E^1(\mu)} \\ &\leq \mathfrak{B}_t \|f_F\|_{L_E^t(\mu)} \mu(F)^{1-1/t} \lesssim \mathfrak{B}_t \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \langle |f|_E \rangle_F^\mu \mu(F). \end{aligned}$$

Altogether,

$$\|T(f\mu)\|_{L_E^p(\mu)} \lesssim_p \mathfrak{B}_t^{1/p} \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \langle |T(f\mu)|_E \rangle_F^{p-1} \mu(F)^{1/p'} \langle |f|_E \rangle_F^\mu \mu(F)^{1/p} \right)^{1/p}.$$

By Hölder's inequality, the dyadic Carleson embedding theorem (Lemma 2.3), and the assumption that $\|I\|_{L_E^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_E^p(\mu)} \lesssim 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(f\mu)\|_{L_E^p(\mu)} &\leq \mathfrak{B}_t^{1/p} \left(\left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \langle |T(f\mu)|_E \rangle_F^p \mu(F) \right)^{1/p} \right)^{1/p'} \left(\left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} (\langle |If| \rangle_F^\mu)^p \mu(F) \right)^{1/p} \right)^{1/p} \\ &\lesssim \|T(f\mu)\|_{L_E^p(\mu)}^{1/p'} (\mathfrak{B}_t \|If\|_{L_E^p(\mu)})^{1/p} \\ &\lesssim \|T(f\mu)\|_{L_E^p(\mu)}^{1/p'} (\mathfrak{B}_t \|f\|_{L_E^p(\mu)})^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing out the factor $\|T(f\mu)\|_{L_E^p(\mu)}^{1/p'}$ completes the proof. \square

4.2. Table of stopping families. Note that we can use multiple stopping conditions in order to use multiple auxiliary families of functions, while keeping the estimate for each family of auxiliary functions and keeping the measure condition (sparseness). This is based on the following observations. Let A and B be conditions for cubes. (By *a condition for cubes* it is meant a condition such that of each cube it can be said whether the cube satisfies the condition or not.)

- (Keeping the measure condition) If $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}_A}(F)$ is the collection of all the maximal $F' \in \{F' \in \mathcal{D} : F' \subseteq F\}$ that satisfy the condition A, and $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}_B}(F)$ is the collection of all the maximal $F' \in \{F' \in \mathcal{D} : F' \subseteq F\}$ that satisfy the condition B, then the collection $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)$ of all the maximal $F' \in \{F' \in \mathcal{D} : F' \subseteq F\}$ that satisfy the condition A or the condition B is the union $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F) = \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}_A}(F) \cup \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}_B}(F)$. We have the measure condition:

$$\sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \mu(F') \leq \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}_A}(F)} \mu(F') + \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}_B}(F)} \mu(F').$$

- (Keeping the estimate for each family of auxiliary functions) If $Q \in \{Q \in \mathcal{D} : Q \subseteq F\}$ is such that $Q \subseteq F'$ for no $F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}_A}(F) \cup \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}_B}(F)$, then, by maximality, Q satisfies neither the condition A nor the condition B.

Now, by the reduction (Lemma 4.1), Theorem 1.7 follows from using the stopping conditions of Table 1, tailored for each assumption:

- i) Assume the Hardy–Littlewood property: Use the stopping condition and the auxiliary family A together with the stopping condition D. (That is, the stopping children $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)$ of F is defined as the collection of all the maximal dyadic cubes $F' \in \{F' \in \mathcal{Q} : F' \subseteq F\}$ that satisfy the stopping condition A or the stopping condition D. The auxiliary collection \mathcal{F} is the collection defined by $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}$. The auxiliary family $\{f_F\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$ is the family A.)
- ii) Assume that the measure is doubling: Use the stopping condition and the auxiliary family B together with the stopping condition D.
- iii) Assume the L^t testing condition: Use the stopping condition and the auxiliary family C together with the stopping condition D.

TABLE 1. Let E be a Banach lattice, μ a locally finite Borel measure, and $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E_+$ a positive, locally integrable function. Let $F \in \mathcal{D}$. The stopping children $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)$ of F determined by a stopping condition is defined as the collection of all the maximal $F' \in \{F' \in \mathcal{D} : F' \subseteq F\}$ that satisfy the stopping condition. The family $\{Q \in \mathcal{D} : \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F\}$ is the collection of all $Q \in \{Q \in \mathcal{D} : Q \subseteq F\}$ such that $Q \subseteq F'$ for no $F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)$. In particular, $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F$ implies that Q does not satisfy the stopping condition. The properties listed in the table are proven in Lemma 3.1, Lemma 4.2, and Lemma 4.3.

A	Stopping condition	$ \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \supseteq F'}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu _E > 4 \langle \bar{M}^\mu f \rangle_E^\mu.$
	Auxiliary function	$f_F := \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q.$
	Estimate	$\ f_F\ _{L_E^\infty(\mu)} \leq 4 \langle \bar{M}^\mu f \rangle_E^\mu.$
A'	Stopping condition	$ \sup_{F \supseteq Q \supseteq F'} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu _E \geq 4 \ \bar{M}^\mu\ _{L_E^1(\mu) \rightarrow L_E^{1,\infty}(\mu)} \langle f _E \rangle_F^\mu$
	Auxiliary function	$f_F := \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F}} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q.$
	Estimate	$\ f_F\ _{L_E^\infty} \leq 4 \ \bar{M}^\mu\ _{L_E^1(\mu) \rightarrow L_E^{1,\infty}(\mu)} \langle f _E \rangle_F^\mu$
B	Stopping condition	$\langle f _E \rangle_{F'}^\mu > 4 \langle f _E \rangle_F^\mu.$
	Auxiliary function	$f_F := \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \langle f \rangle_{F'}^\mu 1_{F'} + f 1_{E(F)}$
	Estimate	$\ f_F\ _{L_E^\infty} \leq 4 \left(\sup_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \frac{\mu(\hat{F}')}{\mu(F')} \right) \langle f _E \rangle_F^\mu,$ where \hat{F}' denotes the dyadic parent of F' .
C	Stopping condition	$\langle f _E \rangle_{F'}^\mu > 4 \langle f _E \rangle_F^\mu.$
	Auxiliary function	$f_F := \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \int_{F'} f \, d\mu \frac{1_{\hat{F}'}}{\mu(\hat{F}')} + f 1_{E(F)},$ where \hat{F}' denotes the dyadic parent of F' .
	Estimate	$\ f_F\ _{L^t} \lesssim_t \langle f _E \rangle_F^\mu \mu(F)^{1/t}.$
D	Stopping condition	$ \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \supseteq F'}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu _E > 4 \langle T_\lambda(f\mu) _E \rangle_F.$
	Auxiliary function	$f_F := \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu 1_Q.$
	Estimate	$\ f_F\ _{L_E^\infty(\mu)} \leq 4 \langle T_\lambda(f\mu) _E \rangle_F.$
In the cases A, B, and C, the auxiliary function f_F satisfies the replacement rule:		
$\int_Q f \, d\mu \leq \int_Q f_F \, d\mu \text{ whenever } \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F.$		
The stopping children $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)$ determined by each stopping condition satisfies the measure condition (sparseness):		
$\sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \mu(F') \leq \frac{1}{4} \mu(F).$		

Lemma 4.2 (Particular stopping family). Let μ be a locally finite Borel measure. Let E be a Banach lattice. Let \mathcal{D} be a finite collection of dyadic cubes. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E$ be a non-negative, locally integrable function.

For each $F \in \mathcal{D}$, the stopping children $\text{ch}(F)$ of F is defined as the collection of all the maximal $F' \in \{F' \in \mathcal{D} : F' \subseteq F\}$ that satisfy the stopping condition

$$(4.5) \quad \left| \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \supseteq F'}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu \Big|_E > 4 \left\langle \left| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu \right|_E \right\rangle_F.$$

Recall that $\{Q \in \mathcal{D} : \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F\}$ denotes the collection of all $Q \in \{Q \in \mathcal{D} : Q \subseteq F\}$ such that $Q \subseteq F'$ for no $F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)$.

Then,

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \mu(F') \leq \frac{1}{4} \mu(F),$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad \left\| \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu \right\|_{L_E^\infty} \leq 4 \left\langle \left| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu \right|_E \right\rangle_F.$$

Proof. First, we check (4.6). By the stopping condition (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \left| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu \right|_E \right\rangle_F &\geq \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \frac{\mu(F')}{\mu(F)} \left| \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \supseteq F'}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu \right|_E \\ &\geq \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \frac{\mu(F')}{\mu(F)} 4 \left\langle \left| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu \right|_E \right\rangle_F. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing out the factor $\left\langle \left| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu \right|_E \right\rangle_F$ yields $\sum_{F'} \mu(F') \leq \frac{1}{4} \mu(F)$.

Finally, we check (4.7). Fix $x \in \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F} Q$. Let $Q_x \in \mathcal{D}$ be the minimal dyadic cube (which exists because, by assumption, the collection \mathcal{D} is finite) such that $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q_x) = F$ and $Q_x \ni x$. Note that $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q_x) = F$ implies that Q_x does not satisfy the stopping condition (4.5). Therefore,

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu \Big|_E(x) \leq \left| \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \supseteq Q_x}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu \right| \leq 4 \left\langle \left| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu \right|_E \right\rangle_F.$$

□

A collection \mathcal{D} of dyadic cubes is a *truncated dyadic system* if

$$\mathcal{D} = \{Q : Q \subseteq Q_0, \ell(Q) \geq 2^{-N} \ell(Q_0)\}$$

for some dyadic cube Q_0 and some non-negative integer N . Let \mathcal{D}_* denote the collection of all the minimal dyadic cubes in a collection \mathcal{D} of dyadic cubes. Define the finest averaging by

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_*}^\mu f := \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_*} \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q.$$

Lemma 4.3 (Properties of the Muckenhoupt–Wheeden principal cubes). Let E be a Banach lattice. Let μ be a locally finite Borel measure. Let \mathcal{D} be a truncated dyadic system. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E_+$ be a locally integrable, non-negative function.

For each dyadic cube $F \in \mathcal{D}$, the stopping children $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)$ of F is defined as the collection of all the maximal dyadic cubes $F' \in \{F' \in \mathcal{D} : F' \subseteq F\}$ that satisfy the stopping condition

$$(4.8) \quad \langle |f|_E \rangle_{F'}^\mu > 2 \langle |f|_E \rangle_F^\mu.$$

Recall that $\{Q \in \mathcal{D} : \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F\}$ denotes the collection of all $Q \in \{Q \in \mathcal{D} : Q \subseteq F\}$ such that $Q \subseteq F'$ for no $F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)$. Then,

a) The stopping children are sparse:

$$\sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \mu(F') \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu(F).$$

b) The terms of the auxiliary functions satisfy the norm estimates:

$$(4.9a) \quad \|\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_*}^\mu f 1_{E_{\mathcal{F}}(F)}\|_{L_E^\infty} \lesssim \langle |f|_E \rangle_F^\mu$$

$$(4.9b) \quad \left\| \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \langle f \rangle_{F'}^\mu 1_{F'} \right\|_{L_E^\infty} \lesssim \left(\sup_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \frac{\mu(\hat{F}')}{\mu(F')} \right) \langle |f|_E \rangle_F^\mu$$

$$(4.9c) \quad \left\| \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \int_{F'} f \, d\mu \frac{1_{\hat{F}'}}{\mu(\hat{F}')} \right\|_{L_E^t} \lesssim_t \langle |f|_E \rangle_F^\mu \mu(F)^{1/t},$$

where \hat{F}' denotes the dyadic parent of F' .

c) The auxiliary functions satisfy the replacement rules:

$$(4.10) \quad \int_Q f \, d\mu \leq \int_Q f_F \, d\mu \quad \text{whenever } \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F,$$

for the auxiliary function

$$f_F := \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \langle f \rangle_{F'}^\mu 1_{F'} + f 1_{E_{\mathcal{F}}(F)},$$

and for the auxiliary function

$$f_F := \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \int_{F'} f \, d\mu \frac{1_{\hat{F}'}}{\mu(\hat{F}')} + f 1_{E_{\mathcal{F}}(F)}.$$

Proof. First, we check the inequality (4.9a). By maximality, if $Q \subseteq F$ satisfies $\langle |f|_E \rangle_Q^\mu > 2 \langle |f|_E \rangle_F^\mu$, then $Q \subseteq F'$ for some $F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)$. By contraposition, if $Q \subseteq F$ and there is no $F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)$ such that $Q \subseteq F'$, then Q satisfies $\langle |f|_E \rangle_Q^\mu \leq 2 \langle |f|_E \rangle_F^\mu$. Note that

$$E_{\mathcal{F}}(F) = \bigcup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}_* : Q \subseteq F \text{ but} \\ Q \subseteq F' \text{ for no } F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)}} Q.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_*}^\mu f 1_{E_{\mathcal{F}}(F)}\|_{L_E^\infty} \leq \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}_* : Q \subseteq F \text{ but} \\ Q \subseteq F' \text{ for no } F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)}} \langle |f|_E \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q \leq 2 \langle |f|_E \rangle_F^\mu.$$

Next, we check the inequality (4.9b). On the one hand, $\langle |f|_E \rangle_{F'}^\mu \leq \frac{\mu(\hat{F}')}{\mu(F')} \langle |f|_E \rangle_{\hat{F}'}$, and, on the other hand, by the stopping condition, $\langle |f|_E \rangle_{F'}^\mu \leq 2 \langle |f|_E \rangle_F^\mu$; combining these estimates yields the inequality (4.9b).

Next, we note that the inequality (4.9c) follows from Lemma 4.4 together with the stopping condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \int_{F'} f \, d\mu \frac{1_{\hat{F}'}}{\mu(\hat{F}')} \right\|_{L_E^t(\mu)} &\lesssim t \left(\sup_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \langle |f|_E \rangle_{\hat{F}'}^\mu \right)^{1/t'} \left(\int_{\cup_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} F'} |f|_E \, d\mu \right)^{1/t} \\ &\leq 2^{1/t'} \langle |f|_E \rangle_F^\mu (F)^{1/t}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we check the replacement rule (4.10). Assume that $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F$. We write

$$\int_Q f \, d\mu = \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \int_Q f 1_{F'} \, d\mu + \int_Q 1_{E_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} f \, d\mu.$$

Assume that Q and F' are such that $F' \cap Q \neq \emptyset$. Then, by dyadic nestedness, either $F' \not\subseteq Q$ or $Q \subseteq F'$, the latter of which is excluded by the condition $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F$. Therefore, $F' \not\subseteq Q$ (and, hence, $\hat{F}' \subseteq Q$). Now,

$$\int_Q f 1_{F'} \, d\mu = \int_{F'} f \, d\mu = \int_Q \langle f \rangle_{F'}^\mu 1_{F'} \, d\mu,$$

and

$$\int_Q f 1_{F'} \, d\mu = \int_Q \left(\int_{F'} f \, d\mu \right) \frac{1_{\hat{F}'}}{\mu(\hat{F}')} \, d\mu.$$

□

Remark. We note that if the collection \mathcal{D} is such that it contains cubes $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ shrinking to almost every point $x \in E_{\mathcal{F}}(F)$, then, by the Lebesgue differentiation theorem,

$$|f|_E 1_{E_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\{Q \in \mathcal{D} : \ell(Q) \geq 2^{-N}\}}^\mu |f|_E 1_{E_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} \leq 2 \langle |f|_E \rangle_F^\mu.$$

The finest averaging operator $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_*}^\mu$ appears in the lemma because we assume that the collection \mathcal{D} is finite (and, therefore, has no shrinking cubes).

This appearance is harmless when we are considering quantities that only take into account the finest averaging: For example, $\|\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_*}^\mu f\|_{L_E^p(\mu)} \leq \|f\|_{L_E^p(\mu)}$, and, whenever \mathcal{D} is a truncated dyadic system,

$$\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}}^\mu f = \bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}}^\mu (\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_*}^\mu f), \quad \text{and} \quad T_{\mathcal{D}}(f\mu) = T_{\mathcal{D}}((\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_*}^\mu f)\mu).$$

Observe that, in the definition $T_{\mathcal{D}}(f\mu) := \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q \int_Q f \, d\mu 1_Q$, we may assume that \mathcal{D} is a truncated dyadic system (by including some zero coefficients λ_Q , if necessary).

Lemma 4.4 (Lemma 3.3 in [13], by López-Sánchez, Martell, and Parcet). Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let μ be a locally finite Borel measure. Let h be a non-negative real-valued function. Let $\{R\}$ be a collection of pairwise disjoint dyadic cubes. Then

$$\left\| \sum_R \int_R h \, d\mu \frac{1_{\hat{R}}}{\mu(\hat{R})} \right\|_{L^p(\mu)} \lesssim_p \left(\sup_R \langle h \rangle_{\hat{R}}^\mu \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int_{\cup_R R} h \, d\mu \right)^{1/p}.$$

5. COROLLARIES

In this section, we state some corollaries of the characterization of the boundedness of the operator $T_\lambda(\cdot, \mu) : L_C^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_D^p(\mu)$ by the dual pairing testing condition (1.12), or, equivalently, by the endpoint testing condition (1.17a).

First, Theorem 1.5 provides an alternative proof for the following well-known John–Nirenberg-type inequality:

Corollary 5.1 (John–Nirenberg-type inequality). *Let μ be a locally finite Borel measure. Let $\{\lambda_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ be non-negative real numbers. Then, for each $1 < p < \infty$, we have*

$$\sup_{R \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu(R)} \left\| \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \subseteq R}} \lambda_Q 1_Q \right\|_{L^1(\mu)} \tilde{\approx}_p \sup_{R \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu(R)^{1/p}} \left\| \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \subseteq R}} \lambda_Q 1_Q \right\|_{L^p(\mu)}.$$

Proof. The equivalence follows from observing that the left-hand side of the inequality is the end-point direct L^∞ testing constant (1.17a) and the right-hand side is the direct L^∞ testing constant (1.7a) for the operator $T(\cdot, \mu) : L^p(\mu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$ defined by $T(f, \mu) := \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q \langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q$. \square

The next embedding theorem was proven by Nazarov, Treil, and Volberg [16] by using the Bellman function method; an alternative proof for this theorem is provided by Theorem 1.5.

Corollary 5.2 (Embedding theorem, Theorem 3.1 in [16]). *Let μ be a locally finite Borel measure. Let $\{\beta_Q\}$ be non-negative real numbers. Let $T(\cdot, \mu)$ be defined by $T(f, \mu) := \{\langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$, so that*

$$|T(f, \mu)|_{\ell^s(\mathcal{D}, \beta)} := \left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \beta_Q (\langle f \rangle_Q^\mu 1_Q)^s \right)^{1/s}.$$

Then, the following assertions are equivalent:

- i) $T(\cdot, \mu) : L^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_{\ell^s(\mathcal{D}, \beta)}^p(\mu)$ is bounded for all $1 < p, s < \infty$.
- ii) $T(\cdot, \mu) : L^{p_0}(\mu) \rightarrow L_{\ell^{s_0}(\mathcal{D}, \beta)}^{p_0}(\mu)$ is bounded for some $1 < p_0, s_0 < \infty$.
- iii) The direct testing constant

$$\mathfrak{T}_{s_0}^{p_0} := \sup_{R \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{\|T_R(1, \mu)\|_{L_{\ell^{s_0}(\mathcal{D}, \beta)}^{p_0}}} {\mu(R)^{1/p_0}}$$

is finite for some $1 < p_0, s_0 < \infty$.

- iv) The Carleson constant

$$\mathfrak{C} := \sup_{R \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\mu(R)} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \subseteq R}} \beta_Q \mu(Q)$$

is finite.

Quantitatively, we have:

$$\|T(\cdot, \mu)\|_{L^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_{\ell^s(\mathcal{D}, \beta)}^p(\mu)}^s \lesssim_{p,s} \mathfrak{C} \lesssim_{s_0} (\mathfrak{T}_{s_0}^{p_0})^{s_0} \leq \|T(\cdot, \mu)\|_{L^{s_0}(\mu) \rightarrow L_{\ell^{s_0}(\mathcal{D}, \beta)}^{s_0}(\mu)}^{s_0}.$$

Proof. We observe that $\mathfrak{T}_s^s = \mathfrak{C}^{1/s}$ for every $s \in (1, \infty)$. First, we prove that iii) implies iv) via the dual pairing testing. By Hölder's inequality, the direct testing condition implies the dual pairing testing condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{P}_{s_0} &:= \sup_{R \in \mathcal{D}} \sup_{\substack{f \in L^\infty(R, \mu), \\ g \in L_{\ell^{s_0}(\mathcal{D}, \beta)}^\infty(R, \mu)}} \frac{|\int g T_R(f, \mu) d\mu|} {\|g\|_{L_{\ell^{s_0}(\mathcal{D}, \beta)}^\infty(R, \mu)} \|f\|_{L^\infty(R, \mu)} \mu(R)} \\ &\leq \sup_{R \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{\|T_R(1, \mu)\|_{L_{\ell^{s_0}(\mathcal{D}, \beta)}^{p_0}}} {\mu(R)^{1/p_0}} =: \mathfrak{T}_{s_0}^{p_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 1.5, we have $\|T(\cdot\mu)\|_{L^p(\mu)\rightarrow L^p_{\ell^{s_0}(\mathcal{D},\beta)}(\mu)} \lesssim_{p,s_0} \mathfrak{T}_{s_0}^{p_0}$ for every $p \in (1, \infty)$, which in particular (for $p = s_0$) implies that

$$\mathfrak{C}^{1/s_0} = \mathfrak{T}_{s_0}^{s_0} \leq \|T(\cdot\mu)\|_{L^{s_0}(\mu)\rightarrow L^{s_0}_{\ell^{s_0}(\mathcal{D},\beta)}(\mu)} \lesssim_{s_0} \mathfrak{T}_{s_0}^{p_0}.$$

Next, we prove that iv) implies i) via the dual pairing testing condition. Again, by Hölder's inequality, for every $s \in (1, \infty)$, we have $\mathfrak{P}_s \leq \mathfrak{T}_s^s = \mathfrak{C}^{1/s}$. Hence, by Theorem 1.5, $\|T(\cdot\mu)\|_{L^p(\mu)\rightarrow L^p_{\ell^s(\mathcal{D},\beta)}(\mu)} \lesssim_{p,s} \mathfrak{C}^{1/s}$ for every $p, s \in (1, \infty)$. \square

Finally, Theorem 1.5 provides an extension of the dyadic Carleson embedding theorem for the class of matrices whose all entries are non-negative:

Corollary 5.3 (L^∞ version of the Carleson embedding theorem for matrices with non-negative entries). *Let μ be a locally finite Borel measure. Let $\{\lambda_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ be such that each $\lambda_Q : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ is a symmetric (infinite dimensional) matrix whose all entries are non-negative. Then*

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_{f \in L^2_{\ell^2}(\mu)} \frac{\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} ((f)_Q^\mu)^t \lambda_Q (f)_Q^\mu}{\|f\|_{L^2_{\ell^2}(\mu)}^2} \approx \sup_{R \in \mathcal{D}} \sup_{f \in L^\infty_{\ell^2}(R, \mu)} \frac{\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}: Q \subseteq R} ((f)_Q^\mu)^t \lambda_Q (f)_Q^\mu}{\|f\|_{L^\infty_{\ell^2}(R, \mu)}^2 \mu(R)}.$$

Proof. A well-known trick of *depolarisation* can be phrased as follows: Let $(V, \|\cdot\|_V)$ be a normed vector space, and let $B(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a symmetric bilinear form. Assume that $B(v, v) \lesssim \|v\|_V^2$ for all $v \in V$. Then $B(v, v') \lesssim \|v\|_V \|v'\|_V$ for all $v, v' \in V$. From this trick, it follows that

$$(5.2) \quad \sup_{R \in \mathcal{D}} \sup_{f \in L^\infty_{\ell^2}(R, \mu), g \in L^2_{\ell^2}(R, \mu)} \frac{\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}: Q \subseteq R} ((f)_Q^\mu)^t \lambda_Q^\mu (g)_Q}{\|f\|_{L^\infty_{\ell^2}(R, \mu)} \|g\|_{L^2_{\ell^2}(R, \mu)} \mu(R)} \lesssim \text{R.H.S}(5.1).$$

The left-hand side of the equation (5.2) is the dual pairing testing constant for the dual norm inequality $\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q ((f)_Q^\mu)^t \lambda_Q (g)_Q^\mu \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2_{\ell^2}(\mu)} \|g\|_{L^2_{\ell^2}(\mu)}$. \square

6. QUESTIONS ABOUT THE BORDERLINE OF THE VECTOR-VALUED TESTING CONDITIONS

The questions are posed in the unweighted case since the answers are unknown even in this case. The first question is about weakening the type of the testing condition in the characterization. The operator $T(\cdot\mu) : L^p_E(\mu) \rightarrow L^p_E(\mu)$ satisfies *the constant function testing condition* if

$$(6.1) \quad \|T_R(e1_R\mu)\|_{L^p_E(\mu)} \leq \mathfrak{S} |e|_E \mu(R)^{1/p}$$

for every $R \in \mathcal{D}$, and every $e \in E$. This testing condition is weaker than the direct L^∞ testing conditions (1.7) in that $\mathfrak{S} \leq \mathfrak{T}$. Note that, in the real-valued case, this testing condition and the L^∞ testing condition both coincide with the Sawyer testing condition (1.8).

Question 6.1 (Borderline case: Can we use the testing condition (6.1) in Theorem 1.3 in place of the L^∞ testing condition (1.7)?). *In particular, contrasting with Theorem 1.3, is it true that there exists a constant C such that*

$$\sup_{f \in L^2_{\ell^2}} \frac{\|\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q (f)_Q 1_Q\|_{L^2_{\ell^2}}}{\|f\|_{L^2_{\ell^2}}} \leq C \sup_{R \in \mathcal{D}} \sup_{a \in \ell^2} \frac{\|(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}: Q \subseteq R} \lambda_Q 1_Q) a\|_{L^2_{\ell^2}}}{|a|_{\ell^2} |R|^{1/2}}$$

for all $\{\lambda_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ such that each $\lambda_Q : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ is a symmetric matrix whose all entries are non-negative? Or, contrasting with Theorem 1.5, is it true that there exists a constant C such that

$$(6.2) \quad \sup_{f \in L^2_{\ell^2}} \frac{\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f \rangle_Q^t \lambda_Q \langle f \rangle_Q}{\|f\|_{L^2_{\ell^2}}^2} \leq C \sup_{R \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{\|\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}: Q \subseteq R} \lambda_Q\|_{\ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2}}{|R|}$$

for all $\{\lambda_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ such that each $\lambda_Q : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ is a symmetric matrix whose all entries are non-negative?

Remark. We note that Nazarov, Treil, and Volberg [18] proved that the estimate (6.2) fails for a different class of matrices: the class of positive-semi-definite matrices. Recall that a symmetric matrix M is *positive-semi-definite* if $x^t M x \geq 0$ for all column vectors x .

In our characterizations, the assumption that the Banach space has the Hardy–Littlewood property can be replaced by assuming that the measure is doubling, or by strengthening the testing condition (see Theorem 1.7). The second question is about omitting every additional assumption.

Question 6.2 (Borderline case: Can we omit every additional assumptions in Theorem 1.7?). *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. Let $(E, |\cdot|_E, \leq)$ be a Banach lattice. Let μ be a locally finite Borel measure. Then, is it true that the operator $T_\lambda(\cdot) : L^p_E(\mu) \rightarrow L^p_E(\mu)$ is bounded if and only if it satisfies the direct L^∞ testing condition (1.7a)?*

APPENDIX A. ON THE DYADIC LATTICE HARDY–LITTLEWOOD MAXIMAL OPERATOR

A.1. Dyadic and the centered lattice maximal function are comparable.

The dyadic Hardy–Littlewood maximal function $\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}} f$ is defined by

$$\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}} f(x) := \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}: Q \ni x} \langle f \rangle_Q,$$

where \mathcal{D} is a collection of dyadic cubes, and the centered lattice Hardy–Littlewood maximal function \bar{M}_J is defined by

$$\bar{M}_J f(x) := \sup_{r \in J} \langle f \rangle_{B(x,r)},$$

where J is a finite set of radii. For the Lebesgue measure, these maximal functions are pointwise comparable in the lattice order: For each finite collection \mathcal{D} of dyadic cubes, there exists a finite set J of radii such that $\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}} f(x) \leq \bar{M}_{J_{\mathcal{D}}} f(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Conversely, for each finite set J of radii, there exist collections \mathcal{D}_j^α of (shifted) dyadic cubes such that $\bar{M}_J f(x) \leq \sum_\alpha \bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}_j^\alpha} f(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

This comparison follows from the following well-known observation: For each dyadic cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists a ball B such that $Q \subseteq B$ and $|Q| \approx |B|$. Conversely, for each ball B , there exists a dyadic cube Q in some shifted dyadic system \mathcal{D}^α such that $B \subseteq Q$ and $|B| \approx |Q|$. For a proof, see, for example, [8, Lemma 2.5]. Recall that, for each $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}^d$, the shifted dyadic system \mathcal{D}^α on \mathbb{R}^d is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}^\alpha := \{2^{-k}([0, 1]^d + (-1)^k \alpha + j) : k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$$

A.2. Universal norm bound. The universal bound for the lattice maximal operator,

$$\|\bar{M}^\mu\|_{L_E^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu) \rightarrow L_E^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu)} \lesssim_p \|\bar{M}\|_{L_E^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_E^p(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

follows from either of the following techniques:

- The boundedness of the dyadic real-valued maximal function is characterized by means of the existence of a Bellman function, by Nazarov and Treil [17, Section 1]. This characterization works also for the dyadic lattice maximal function.
- In the spirit of Burkholder's [2] characterization of the boundedness of the martingale transform, the boundedness of the martingale Rademacher maximal function is characterized by means of the existence of an auxiliary function with certain boundedness and concavity properties, by Kemppainen [9, Section 7]. This characterization works also for the dyadic lattice maximal function, once the Rademacher bound is replaced by the lattice supremum. This together with an unpublished manuscript containing the proof was communicated to the author by Kemppainen.

For reader's convenience, we represent a proof for the universal bound. The universal bound follows from Proposition A.1 and Proposition A.2 together with the observation that

$$\|\bar{M}\|_{L_E^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L_E^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|\bar{M}\|_{L_E^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_E^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

These propositions follow from Nazarov and Treil's [17, Section 1] Bellman function technique.

Proposition A.1 (Boundedness implies the existence of a Bellman function, [17]). *Let $(E, |\cdot|, \leq)$ be a Banach lattice. Assume that there exists a constant \mathfrak{B} such that*

$$\|\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}}\|_{L_E^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L_E^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq \mathfrak{B}$$

for all finite collections \mathcal{D} of dyadic intervals. Then, there exists a Bellman function $B(f, F, L) : E_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times E_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ that has the following properties:

- i) (Boundedness from below) $|L|_E^p \leq B(f, F, L)$ whenever $0 < |f|_E^p \leq F$, or $f = 0$ and $F = 0$.
- ii) (Boundedness from above) $B(f, F, L) \lesssim_p \mathfrak{B}^p (F + |L|_E^p)$.
- ii) (Invariance) $B(f, F, L) = B(f, F, \sup\{L, f\})$.
- iv) (Concavity) For each $L \in E$, the function $(f, F) \mapsto B(f, F, L)$ is midpoint concave.

Remark. Since every midpoint concave function that is locally bounded from below is concave (for a proof, see, for example, [9, Section 7]), the function $(f, F) \mapsto B(f, F, L)$ is in fact concave.

Proof from [17]. For each $I \in \mathcal{D}$, the function $B_I(f, F, L) : E_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times E_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is defined by

$$(A.1) \quad \begin{aligned} B_I(f, F, L) &:= \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \sup \left\{ \sup_{\substack{J: J \subseteq I \\ \ell(J) \geq 2^{-N} \ell(I)}} \langle \phi \rangle_J 1_J, L \right\}_E^p dx : \right. \\ &\quad \left. \phi_I : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E_+ \text{ is locally integrable and satisfies} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \langle \phi_I \rangle_I = f \text{ and } \langle |\phi_I|_E^p \rangle_I = F, N \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By self-similarity of the dyadic intervals, the function B_I does not depend on the interval I and can be denoted by B . This Bellman function is introduced by Nazarov and Treil [17, Section 1]. In the real-valued case (that is, $E = \mathbb{R}$), it is explicitly computed by Melas [14, Theorem 1].

Next, we check the properties for the Bellman function B . The boundedness from below holds because for each $f \in E_+$ and $F \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $0 < |f|_E^p \leq F$ there exists $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E_+$ such that $\langle \phi \rangle_I = f$ and $\langle |\phi|_E^p \rangle_I = F$. The boundedness from above follows from the assumed norm estimate. The invariance follows from observing that, under the constraint $\langle \phi \rangle_I = f$, both the vector f and the vector L belong to the set $\{\langle \phi \rangle_{J1_J, L}\}_{\substack{J: J \subseteq I, \\ \ell(J) \geq 2^{-N} \ell(I)}}$ of which the lattice supremum is taken.

Finally, we check the midpoint concavity. Let I_- and I_+ be the dyadic children of I . Let ϕ_{I_-} be such that $\langle \phi_{I_-} \rangle_{I_-} = f_-$ and $\langle |\phi_{I_-}|_E^p \rangle_{I_-} = F_-$, and, similarly, ϕ_{I_+} be such that $\langle \phi_{I_+} \rangle_{I_+} = f_+$ and $\langle |\phi_{I_+}|_E^p \rangle_{I_+} = F_+$. Now, the function $\phi_I := \phi_{I_-} + \phi_{I_+}$ satisfies $f := \langle \phi_I \rangle_I = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \phi_{I_-} \rangle_{I_-} + \langle \phi_{I_+} \rangle_{I_+}) = \frac{1}{2}(f_- + f_+)$, and $F := \langle |\phi_I|_E^p \rangle_I = \frac{1}{2}(\langle |\phi_{I_-}|_E^p \rangle_{I_-} + \langle |\phi_{I_+}|_E^p \rangle_{I_+}) = \frac{1}{2}(F_- + F_+)$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{|I_-|} \int_{I_-} |\sup\{\sup_{\substack{J: J \subseteq I_- \\ \ell(J) \geq 2^{-N} \ell(I_-)}} \langle \phi_{I_-} \rangle_{J1_J, L}\}|_E^p dx \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{|I_+|} \int_{I_+} |\sup\{\sup_{\substack{J': J' \subseteq I_+ \\ \ell(J') \geq 2^{-N'} \ell(I_+)}} \langle \phi_{I_+} \rangle_{J'1_{J'}, L}\}|_E^p dx \\ & = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |\sup\{\sup_{\substack{J, J': J \subseteq I_-, J' \subseteq I_+ \\ \ell(J) \geq 2^{-N} \ell(I_-), \ell(J') \geq 2^{-N'} \ell(I_+)}} \langle \phi_I \rangle_{J1_J, L}\}|_E^p dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |\sup\{\sup_{\substack{J: J \subseteq I, \\ \ell(J) \geq 2^{-(\max\{N, N'\}+1)} \ell(I)}} \langle \phi_I \rangle_{J1_J, L}\}|_E^p dx \\ & \leq B(f, F, L), \end{aligned}$$

from which the midpoint concavity follows by taking the suprema. \square

Remark. An alternative Bellman function can be defined as follows. For each $I \in \mathcal{D}$, the function $\tilde{B}_I(f, F, A) : E_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \{A \subseteq E_+ : A \text{ finite}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_I(f, F, A) & := \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |\sup(A \cup \{\langle \phi \rangle_{J1_J}\})|_E^p dx : \right. \\ \text{(A.2)} \quad & \left. \begin{aligned} & \phi_I : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E_+ \text{ is locally integrable and satisfies} \\ & \langle \phi_I \rangle_I = f \text{ and } \langle |\phi_I|_E^p \rangle_I = F, N \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, by self-similarity of the dyadic intervals, the function \tilde{B}_I does not depend on the dyadic interval I . Hence, it can be denoted by \tilde{B} . The function $\tilde{B}(f, F, A)$ has the following properties:

- i') (Boundedness from below) $|\sup A|_E^p \leq \tilde{B}(f, F, L)$ whenever $0 < |f|_E^p \leq F$, or $f = 0$ and $F = 0$.
- ii') (Boundedness from above) $\tilde{B}(f, F, A) \lesssim_p \mathfrak{B}^p(F + |\sup A|_E^p)$
- iii') (Invariance) $\tilde{B}(f, F, A) = \tilde{B}(f, F, A \cup \{f\})$

iv') (Concavity) For each finite $A \subseteq E_+$, the function $(f, F) \mapsto \tilde{B}(f, F, A)$ is midpoint concave,

By considering the Rademacher bound $\mathcal{R}(A)$ in place of the lattice supremum $\sup A$, the Bellman function $\tilde{B}(f, F, A)$ can be viewed as a variant of the auxiliary function that was introduced by Kemppainen [9, Proposition 7.1] to characterize the boundedness of the Rademacher maximal function $\mathcal{R}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f \rangle_Q 1_Q$.

We remark that, in the case of the lattice supremum, the function $\tilde{B}(f, F, A)$ defined in (A.2) reduces to the Bellman function $B(f, F, L)$ defined in (A.1) by using the identity $\tilde{B}(f, F, A) = B(f, F, \sup A)$, whereas, in the case of the Rademacher bound, there is no such a reduction. This is because the reduction is based on the identity $\sup\{A \cup B\} = \sup\{\sup A, \sup B\}$ for the lattice supremum, whereas there is no analogous identity for the Rademacher bound.

Proposition A.2 (Existence of a Bellman function implies the boundedness, [17]).
Let $(E, |\cdot|_E, \leq)$ be a Banach lattice. Assume that $\tilde{B}(f, F, A) : E_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \{A \subseteq E_+ : A \text{ finite}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a function having the above-mentioned properties. Then

$$\|\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mu}\|_{L_E^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu) \rightarrow L_E^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu)} \lesssim_p \mathfrak{B}$$

for all finite collections \mathcal{D} of dyadic intervals and all locally finite Borel measures μ .

Proof by a slight adaptation of [17] in the spirit of [9]. Let μ be a locally finite Borel measure. Let Q be a dyadic cube and let $Q' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{D}}(Q)$ be its dyadic children. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E_+$ be a locally integrable function. Note that

$$\langle f \rangle_Q^{\mu} = \sum_{Q' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{D}}(Q)} \frac{\mu(Q')}{\mu(Q)} \langle f \rangle_{Q'}^{\mu}, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle |f|_E^p \rangle_Q^{\mu} = \sum_{Q' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{D}}(Q)} \frac{\mu(Q')}{\mu(Q)} \langle |f|_E^p \rangle_{Q'}^{\mu}.$$

Since every every mid-point concave function that is locally bounded from below is in fact concave, the function $(f, F) \mapsto \tilde{B}(f, F, A)$ is in fact concave. From the properties of the Bellman function, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{Q' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{D}}(Q)} \mu(Q') \tilde{B}(\langle f \rangle_{Q'}^{\mu}, \langle |f|_E^p \rangle_{Q'}^{\mu}, \{\langle f \rangle_R^{\mu}\}_{R: R \supseteq Q'}) \\ \text{(A.3)} \quad & \stackrel{\text{(ii')}}{=} \sum_{Q' \in \text{ch}_{\mathcal{D}}(Q)} \mu(Q') \tilde{B}(\langle f \rangle_{Q'}^{\mu}, \langle |f|_E^p \rangle_{Q'}^{\mu}, \{\langle f \rangle_R^{\mu}\}_{R: R \supseteq Q'}) \\ & \stackrel{\text{(iv')}}{\leq} \mu(Q) \tilde{B}(\langle f \rangle_Q^{\mu}, \langle |f|_E^p \rangle_Q^{\mu}, \{\langle f \rangle_R^{\mu}\}_{R: R \supseteq Q}). \end{aligned}$$

Fix a dyadic cube Q_0 and a non-negative integer N . Iterating the inequality (A.3) and using the properties of the Bellman function yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \left| \sup_{R: R \subseteq Q_0, \ell(R) \geq 2^{-N} \ell(Q_0)} \langle f \rangle_R^\mu 1_R \right| d\mu \\
&= \sum_{\substack{Q: Q \subseteq Q_0, \\ \ell(Q) = 2^{-N} \ell(Q_0)}} \mu(Q) \left| \sup_{R: Q_0 \supseteq R \supseteq Q} \langle f \rangle_R^\mu \right|_E^p \\
&\stackrel{(i')}{\leq} \sum_{\substack{Q: Q \subseteq Q_0, \\ \ell(Q) = 2^{-N} \ell(Q_0)}} \mu(Q) \tilde{B}(\langle f \rangle_Q^\mu, \langle |f|_E^p \rangle_Q^\mu, \{\langle f \rangle_R^\mu\}_{R: Q_0 \supseteq R \supseteq Q}) \\
&\stackrel{(A.3)}{\leq} \sum_{\substack{Q: Q \subseteq Q_0, \\ \ell(Q) = 2^{-(N-1)} \ell(Q_0)}} \mu(Q) \tilde{B}(\langle f \rangle_Q^\mu, \langle |f|_E^p \rangle_Q^\mu, \{\langle f \rangle_R^\mu\}_{R: Q_0 \supseteq R \supseteq Q}) \\
&\leq \dots \leq \mu(Q_0) \tilde{B}(\langle f \rangle_{Q_0}^\mu, \langle |f|_E^p \rangle_{Q_0}^\mu, \{\langle f \rangle_{Q_0}^\mu\}) \\
&\stackrel{(ii')}{\lesssim_p} \mathfrak{B} \mu(Q_0) \langle |f|_E^p \rangle_{Q_0}^\mu + \langle \langle f \rangle_{Q_0}^\mu \rangle_E^p \leq 2\mathfrak{B} \int_{Q_0} |f|_E^p d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

□

A.3. Endpoint L^∞ testing condition. A collection \mathcal{D} of dyadic cubes is a *truncated dyadic system* if

$$\mathcal{D} = \{Q : Q \subseteq Q_0, \ell(Q) \geq 2^{-N} \ell(Q_0)\} =: \mathcal{D}_N^{Q_0}$$

for some dyadic cube Q_0 and some positive integer N . For each $R \in \mathcal{D}$, the *localized* dyadic lattice Hardy–Littlewood operator $\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}, R}$ is defined by

$$\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}, R} f := \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ Q \subseteq R}} \langle f \rangle_Q 1_Q.$$

Theorem A.3 (Boundedness of the dyadic lattice maximal operator is characterized by the endpoint direct L^∞ testing condition, [4]). *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let \mathcal{D} be a truncated dyadic system on \mathbb{R}^d . Then*

$$\|\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}}\|_{L_E^p \rightarrow L_E^p} \sim_{p,d} \mathfrak{M},$$

where the endpoint L^∞ testing constant \mathfrak{M} is the least constant such that

$$(A.4) \quad \|\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}, R} f\|_{L_E^1} \leq \mathfrak{M} \|f\|_{L_E^\infty(R)} |R|$$

for every $R \in \mathcal{D}$, and every $f \in L_E^\infty(R)$.

This theorem was proven García-Cuerva, Macías, and Torrea [4] by applying the theory of vector-valued singular integrals to a smooth, linearized version of the lattice maximal function. Here, we give an alternative proof by using stopping cubes.

Alternative proof by stopping cubes. Let \mathcal{F} be the stopping family defined by the following stopping children: For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, the children $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F)$ are the maximal dyadic cubes $F' \subseteq F$ such that

$$(A.5) \quad \left| \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}: Q \supseteq F'} \langle f \rangle_Q \right|_E \geq 4 \langle \bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}} f \rangle_E|_F$$

or

$$(A.6) \quad \langle |f|_E \rangle_{F'} > 4 \langle |f|_E \rangle_F.$$

The stopping collection \mathcal{F} is sparse because

$$\sum_{F'} |F'| \leq \sum_{\substack{F' \text{ chosen by} \\ \text{the first condition}}} |F'| + \sum_{\substack{F' \text{ chosen by} \\ \text{the second condition}}} |F'| \leq \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\right) |F| = \frac{1}{2} |F|.$$

By arranging the dyadic cubes according to the stopping parents, using the L^p variant of Pythagoras' theorem (Lemma 2.4), and pulling out the L_E^∞ norm,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}} f\|_{L_E^p} &= \left\| \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F}} \langle f \rangle_Q 1_Q \right\|_{L_E^p} \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F}} \langle f \rangle_Q 1_Q \right\|_{L_E^p} \\ &\lesssim_p \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \left\| \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F}} \langle f \rangle_Q 1_Q \right\|_{L_E^p}^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \left\| \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F}} \langle f \rangle_Q 1_Q \right\|_{L_E^\infty}^{p-1} \left\| \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F}} \langle f \rangle_Q 1_Q \right\|_{L_E^1} \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

From the stopping condition (A.5), it follows (see Table 1) that

$$\left\| \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F}} \langle f \rangle_Q 1_Q \right\|_{L_E^\infty} \leq 2 \langle \bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}} f |_E \rangle_F.$$

From the stopping condition (A.6), it follows (again, see Table 1) that

$$\langle f \rangle_Q = \langle f_F \rangle_Q \text{ whenever } \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) = F,$$

where the auxiliary function f_F is defined by $f_F := f 1_{E_{\mathcal{F}}(F)} + \sum_{F' \in \text{ch}(F)} \langle f \rangle_{F'} 1_{F'}$ and satisfies

$$\|f_F\|_{L_E^\infty} \lesssim 2^d \langle |f|_E \rangle_F.$$

Therefore, from the testing condition (A.4), Hölder's inequality together with the identity $(p-1)p' = p$, and the dyadic Carleson embedding theorem (Lemma 2.3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \left\| \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F}} \langle f \rangle_Q 1_Q \right\|_{L_E^\infty}^{p-1} \left\| \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F}} \langle f \rangle_Q 1_Q \right\|_{L_E^1} \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \left\| \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F}} \langle f \rangle_Q 1_Q \right\|_{L_E^\infty}^{p-1} \left\| \sup_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \\ \pi_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)=F}} \langle f_F \rangle_Q 1_Q \right\|_{L_E^1} \right)^{1/p} \\ &\lesssim \mathfrak{M}^{1/p} \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \langle \bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}} f |_E \rangle_F^{(p-1)} \mu(F)^{1/p'} \langle \|f\|_E \rangle_F \mu(F)^{1/p} \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \mathfrak{M}^{1/p} \left(\left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \langle \bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}} f |_E \rangle_F^{(p-1)p'} \mu(F) \right)^{1/p'} \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \langle \|f\|_E \rangle_F^p \mu(F) \right)^{1/p} \right)^{1/p} \\ &\lesssim_p \mathfrak{M}^{1/p} \|\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}} f\|_{L_E^p}^{1/p'} \|f\|_{L_E^p}^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Altogether,

$$\|\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}}f\|_{L_E^p} \lesssim_p \|\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}}f\|_{L_E^p}^{1/p'} (\mathfrak{M}\|f\|_{L_E^p})^{1/p},$$

from which the norm estimate follows, by dividing out the factor $\|\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}}f\|_{L_E^p}^{1/p'}$. \square

Question A.4 (Borderline: Can we omit the assumption that the measure is doubling?). *For each (in particular, for non-doubling) locally finite Borel measure μ , is the boundedness of the dyadic lattice maximal operator $\bar{M}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mu} : L_E^p(\mu) \rightarrow L_E^p(\mu)$ characterized by the endpoint direct $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ testing condition?*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper is part of the author's Ph.D. thesis project written under the supervision of Tuomas Hytönen. The author is supported by the European Union through Hytönen's ERC Starting Grant 'Analytic-probabilistic methods for borderline singular integrals'. The author thanks Mikko Kemppainen for communicating him that the boundedness of the lattice maximal operator can be characterized by the existence of an auxiliary function with certain concavity and boundedness properties, and for providing the author with an unpublished manuscript containing the proof of this characterization.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain. Extension of a result of Benedek, Calderón and Panzone. *Ark. Mat.*, 22(1):91–95, 1984.
- [2] Donald L. Burkholder. Explorations in martingale theory and its applications. In *École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIX—1989*, volume 1464 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–66. Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [3] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein. Some maximal inequalities. *Amer. J. Math.*, 93:107–115, 1971.
- [4] J. García-Cuerva, R. Macías, and J. L. Torrea. The Hardy-Littlewood property of Banach lattices. *Israel J. Math.*, 83(1-2):177–201, 1993.
- [5] J. García-Cuerva, R. A. Macías, and J. L. Torrea. Maximal operators and B.M.O. for Banach lattices. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)*, 41(3):585–609, 1998.
- [6] Timo S. Hänninen and Tuomas P. Hytönen. Operator-valued dyadic shifts and the $t(1)$ theorem. Preprint. 2014. arXiv:1412.0470 [math.CA].
- [7] Tuomas P. Hytönen. The A_2 theorem: remarks and complements. In *Harmonic Analysis and Partial Differential Equations*, volume 612 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 91–106. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014. arXiv:1212.3840 [math.CA].
- [8] Tuomas P. Hytönen, Michael T. Lacey, and Carlos Pérez. Sharp weighted bounds for the q -variation of singular integrals. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 45(3):529–540, 2013.
- [9] Mikko Kemppainen. On the Rademacher maximal function. *Studia Math.*, 203(1):1–31, 2011.
- [10] Michael T. Lacey, Eric T. Sawyer, Chun-Yen Shen, and Ignacio Uriarte-Tuero. Two Weight Inequality for the Hilbert Transform: A Real Variable Characterization, I. Version 1. 2012. arXiv:1201.4319v1 [math.CA].
- [11] Michael T. Lacey, Eric T. Sawyer, and Ignacio Uriarte-Tuero. Two Weight Inequalities for Discrete Positive Operators. Preprint. 2009. arXiv:0911.3437 [math.CA].
- [12] Joram Lindenstrauss and Lior Tzafriri. *Classical Banach spaces. II*, volume 97 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979. Function spaces.
- [13] Luis Daniel López-Sánchez, José María Martell, and Javier Parcet. Dyadic harmonic analysis beyond doubling measures. *Adv. Math.*, 267:44–93, 2014.
- [14] Antonios D. Melas. The Bellman functions of dyadic-like maximal operators and related inequalities. *Adv. Math.*, 192(2):310–340, 2005.
- [15] F. Nazarov, S. Treil, and A. Volberg. The Bellman functions and two-weight inequalities for Haar multipliers. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(4):909–928, 1999.

- [16] F. Nazarov, S. Treil, and A. Volberg. The Tb -theorem on non-homogeneous spaces. *Acta Math.*, 190(2):151–239, 2003.
- [17] F. L. Nazarov and S. R. Treil. The hunt for a Bellman function: applications to estimates for singular integral operators and to other classical problems of harmonic analysis. *Algebra i Analiz*, 8(5):32–162, 1996.
- [18] Fedor Nazarov, Serguei Treil, and Alexander Volberg. Counterexample to the infinite-dimensional Carleson embedding theorem. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 325(4):383–388, 1997.
- [19] José L. Rubio de Francia. Martingale and integral transforms of Banach space valued functions. In *Probability and Banach spaces (Zaragoza, 1985)*, volume 1221 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 195–222. Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [20] Eric T. Sawyer. A characterization of two weight norm inequalities for fractional and Poisson integrals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 308(2):533–545, 1988.
- [21] James Scurry. A Characterization of Two-Weight Inequalities for a Vector-Valued Operator. Preprint. 2010. arXiv:1007.3089 [math.CA].
- [22] Sergei Treil. A remark on two weight estimates for positive dyadic operators. Preprint. 2012. arXiv:1201.1455 [math.CA].

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, P.O. BOX 68, FI-00014 HELSINKI, FINLAND

E-mail address: timo.s.hanninen@helsinki.fi