1	Can the Common Fisheries Policy achieve Good Environmental Status in exploited
2	ecosystems: the west of Scotland demersal fisheries example
3	
4	Alan R. Baudron ¹ , Natalia Serpetti ² , Niall G. Fallon ¹ , Johanna J. Heymans ^{2,3} , Paul G.
5	Fernandes ¹
6	
7	¹ Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Tillydrone
8	Avenue, Aberdeen, AB24 2TZ, Scotland UK
9	
10	² Scottish Association for Marine Science, Scottish Marine Institute, Oban, PA37 1QA,
11	Scotland UK
12	
13	³ European Marine Board, Wandelaarkaai 7, Oostende 8400, Belgium
14	
15	Corresponding author: Alan R. Baudron
16	Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24
17	2TZ, Scotland UK
18	+44 (0) 1224272648
19	alan.baudron@abdn.ac.uk

21 Abstract

22

The latest reform of the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) which regulates the exploitation of 23 24 fish stocks in European waters entails a move from the traditional single stock management towards Ecosystem Based Fisheries Management (EBFM). Meanwhile the Marine Strategy 25 Framework Directive dictates that Good Environmental Status (GES) should be achieved in 26 27 European waters by 2020. Here we apply an EBFM approach to the west of Scotland demersal fisheries which are currently facing several management issues: depleted stocks of cod (Gadus 28 29 morhua) and whiting (Merlangius merlangus), increased predation from grey seals (Halichoerus grypus), and large bycatch of juvenile whiting by crustacean fisheries. A food 30 31 web ecosystem model was employed to simulate the outcomes of applying the traditional single 32 stock fishing mortalities (F), and management scenarios which explored F ranges in accord 33 with the CFP recommendation. Ecosystem indicators were calculated to assess the performance of these scenarios towards achieving GES. Our results highlight the importance of considering 34 35 prey-predator interactions, in particular the impact of the top predators, cod and saithe (Pollachius virens), on juvenile cod and whiting. The traditional single stock approach would 36 37 likely recover cod, but not whiting. Exploring the F ranges revealed that a drastic reduction of juvenile whiting bycatch is necessary for the whiting stock to recover. Predation from grey 38 seals had little impact overall, but did affect the timing of cod and whiting recovery. With the 39 40 exception of whiting, little difference was observed between the single stock scenario, and the best scenario identified towards achieving GES. The findings advocate for the use of ecosystem 41 modelling alongside the traditional, single stock assessment model used for tactical decision 42 43 making in order to inform management.

- 45 Keywords: Common Fisheries Policy; Ecosystem Based Fisheries Management; ecosystem
- 46 modelling; Ecopath with Ecosim; Good Environmental Status

48 **1. Introduction**

49

The exploitation of fish stocks in European waters is regulated by the Common Fisheries Policy 50 51 (CFP). Since its creation in the 1970s this long-standing policy has been through several reforms, the latest of which took effect on January 1st 2014 (EC, 2013). This latest reform 52 proposes a new framework to manage European fisheries, and amongst several new initiatives, 53 54 it highlights a need to move from traditional singe-stock management towards an ecosystem approach to fisheries (EAF) (Prellezo and Curtin, 2015). EAF originated from the principle of 55 56 sustainable development and aims at both human and ecosystem well-being (Garcia et al., 2003). The implementation of EAF can vary between an Ecosystem Approach to Fisheries 57 Management (EAFM) in which ecosystem aspects are given consideration when taking 58 59 management decisions, to Ecosystem-Based Fisheries Management (EBFM) in which 60 ecosystem health becomes a management goal included in trade-offs when pursuing competing management objectives (Patrick and Link, 2015). Most importantly, EBFM prioritises the 61 62 wellbeing of ecosystems over economic and social objectives since wellbeing is considered a prerequisite for the last two objectives (Murawski et al., 2008). 63

64

While the new CFP advocates for the implementation of EBFM, it remains largely unclear how 65 to include conservation objectives within management measures in practice (Prellezo and 66 67 Curtin, 2015). The CFP currently aims to fish at levels consistent with achieving Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY) for all exploited stocks (EC, 2011). In northern European waters, 68 these fishing levels are proposed by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea 69 70 (ICES) which delivers annual scientific advice for the management of northern European fish stocks. This advice provides biological reference points for each stock, including the level of 71 72 fishing mortality (F) needed to achieve MSY (F_{MSY}). F_{MSY} is defined on a single-stock 73 approach, meaning that it is calculated individually for a stock based on its own status only, 74 regardless of the status of other stocks. However, this contradicts EBFM (Prellezo and Curtin, 2015), where the interactions between species should be taken into account when defining safe 75 76 harvest levels for fish stocks. In fact, while F_{MSY} has long been considered a desirable exploitation level for single stocks (Schaefer, 1954), its performance in mixed fisheries, where 77 several stocks are caught simultaneously by the same fleet, has been challenged (Walters et al., 78 79 2005), largely due to the fact that it is virtually impossible to apply F_{MSY} simultaneously to all stocks in mixed fisheries (Kumar et al., 2017; Larkin, 1977). Nevertheless, despite this 80 81 criticism recent empirical studies have shown that the current MSY approach has succeeded in leading European fish stocks towards recovery (Cardinale et al., 2013; Fernandes and Cook, 82 2013). This suggests that the traditional single stock F_{MSY} values for European stocks may not 83 84 be too far off the harvest levels needed to achieve sustainable mixed fisheries, potentially 85 facilitating the transition towards EBFM. For example, Froese et al. (2008) have shown that EBFM can be achieved by improving existing single-stock management. 86

87

In addition to the traditional advice and corresponding single stock F_{MSY} values, ICES now 88 also provides F_{MSY} ranges for most stocks in European waters, which consist of upper (F_{MSY} 89 upper) and lower (F_{MSY lower}) F boundaries around F_{MSY} within which fishing mortality is deemed 90 sustainable (ICES, 2016a, 2015). These ranges are a recent addition to the ICES advice and 91 92 were requested by the European Commission in order to develop long-term management plans with quantifiable targets. F_{MSY} ranges should be precautionary and also ensure that they deliver 93 no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield. Whilst they do not originate from a proper 94 95 multispecies approach such as the one used by the mixed fisheries advice (ICES, 2017), the F_{MSY} ranges do provide some leeway around the single stock F_{MSY} values which are usually 96 97 difficult to apply simultaneously to all stocks. In mixed fisheries, the Total Allowable Catch

98 (TAC) derived from F_{MSY} for the least abundant stock is most likely to be reached before the TACs of more abundant stocks are exhausted. Such a situation typically leads to over-quota 99 discarding, a practice no longer allowed as the landings obligation is phased in for European 100 101 fisheries (EC, 2015a). As a result, it has been proposed that in mixed fisheries the most vulnerable stock with the lowest F_{MSY} should determine the limit of exploitation for all other 102 stocks caught in the same fishery (EC, 2011). However, such an approach is likely to result in 103 104 a 'choke species' scenario leading to the under-exploitation of other stocks and ultimately jeopardising the fishery (Baudron and Fernandes, 2015). 105

106

Another regulation of European waters is the Marine Strategy Framework Directive adopted 107 108 in 2008 (EC, 2008) which states that all member states should reach Good Environmental 109 Status (GES) by 2020 (EC, 2009). Although achieving GES differs from achieving EBFM, 110 GES measures the performance towards most of the biological and environmental attributes of EBFM (Ramírez-Monsalve et al., 2016). GES is defined by 11 descriptors. Descriptors 1 111 (biodiversity), 3 (commercial species), and 4 (food webs) directly relate to fisheries and are 112 therefore particularly relevant for EBFM. In order to integrate these GES descriptors into an 113 EBFM framework, indicators are needed to inform whether GES criteria are met for each 114 descriptor. Developing meaningful ecosystem indicators can be challenging due to a lack of 115 116 relevant data. However, ecosystem indicators for descriptors 1, 3 and 4 can be derived from 117 biomass and/or catch data which are available for most species in ecosystems found in EU waters (Coll et al., 2016; Gascuel et al., 2016; Kleisner et al., 2015; Reed et al., 2017). In 118 addition, the information a single ecosystem indicator can provide is limited: it is therefore 119 120 preferable to use a portfolio of indicators to fully assess each descriptor (Samhouri et al., 2009). Lastly, GES indicators also need to be informative. Ideally, what constitutes GES should be 121 122 defined for each indicator in order to assess whether an ecosystem has reached GES or not based on indicator values. For example, Link (2005) proposed reference points for some
ecosystem indicators, in which case the examination of indicators' trends relative to the
reference point values can then be used as a basis for management recommendations (Jennings
and Rice, 2011). However, not all ecosystem indicators have clearly defined reference points,
and these reference points are not transferable across ecosystems with different characteristics
(Heymans et al., 2014).

129

EBFM can benefit from ecosystem modelling in order to explore policy options where 130 131 management objectives (e.g. diversity, abundance of non-target species, etc.) involve multiple species and their trophic interactions which cannot be assessed with single-species models 132 (Christensen and Walters, 2005). Plagányi (2007) reviewed available ecosystem models 133 134 spanning a wide range of complexity levels from minimum realistic models to whole ecosystem ones. This latter category includes Ecopath with Ecosim (EwE), a food web ecosystem model 135 (Christensen and Walters, 2004). EwE is the most applied tool for modelling marine 136 ecosystems (Colléter et al., 2015) and can be used to investigate marine policy issues such as 137 GES (Piroddi et al., 2015). However, it is crucial to demonstrate that a model can replicate 138 historical trends in ecosystems in order to make plausible predictions in response to novel 139 situations before any management decision can be based upon it (Christensen and Walters, 140 2005). Of the vast number of EwE models that have been published, only a few have been 141 142 calibrated using historical time series of data and even fewer have been employed for management purposes (Heymans et al., 2016). One EwE model fulfilling these two criteria was 143 recently published for the west of Scotland ecosystem (Alexander et al., 2015; Serpetti et al., 144 145 2017).

147 The west of Scotland ecosystem (WoS) located in ICES Division VIa is home to numerous valuable species of finfish and shellfish that support four fisheries: an inshore crustacean 148 fishery targeting the valuable Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*); a mixed demersal fishery 149 150 targeting cod (Gadus morhua), haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus) and whiting (Merlangius merlangus) on the continental shelf; a fishery for monkfish (Lophius piscatorius), 151 hake (Merluccius merluccius) and saithe (Pollachius virens) in the deeper waters of the shelf 152 edge; and a pelagic fishery targeting mainly mackerel (Scomber scombrus) and herring (Clupea 153 harengus) (ICES, 2016b, 2016c, 2016d, 2016e, 2016f, 2016g). In 2014, these fisheries 154 155 contributed to 35% of the total value of all commercial species caught in Scotland, totalling £182.5 million (The Scottish Government, 2015) and are, therefore, important for the Scottish 156 fishing industry. However the WoS fisheries are currently facing several management issues. 157 158 First, the stocks of cod and whiting are depleted and their Total Allowable Catches (TACs) 159 have been set to zero since 2012 and 2006 respectively (ICES, 2016c). Secondly, the extensive bycatch of juvenile gadoids by the crustacean fishery is thought to jeopardise gadoid stocks, 160 161 whiting in particular (ICES, 2016c). Thirdly, the population of grey seals (Halichoerus grypus), a top predator in the WoS, has been increasing steadily over the last two decades (SCOS, 2015). 162 While Alexander et al. (2015) suggest that excessive exploitation rates rather than an increase 163 in predators were to blame for the collapse of cod and whiting, increased predation from seals 164 165 seems to have offset the reduction of fishing pressure on VIa cod (Cook et al., 2015) and is 166 likely to hamper the recovery from low stock sizes (Cook and Trijoulet, 2016). The complexity of the WoS food web and the mixed fisheries it supports, coupled with management challenges 167 and the availability of an ecosystem model, makes the WoS an ideal case study to assess the 168 169 performance of EBFM in achieving specific management goals such as GES.

171 Here, we reviewed and updated the EwE model for WoS with the latest data available and repeated the calibration procedure to extend the hindcasting period from 1985 to 2013. We 172 used this model to explore the F_{MSY} ranges of the demersal stocks by performing forward 173 simulations of every possible combination of fishing mortalities within these ranges. 174 Additional exploitation scenarios were performed to investigate the impact of juvenile whiting 175 bycatch by the crustacean fishery and grey seals predation. For each scenario, ecosystem 176 indicators related to GES descriptors 1, 3 and 4 were calculated. Outputs from the models were 177 analysed to assess whether the single stock F_{MSY} and/or F_{MSY} ranges implemented by the CFP 178 179 could achieve GES in WoS the demersal fishery. Management measures required to recover the cod and whiting stocks were also identified. 180

- 181
- 182
- 183 2. Material and methods
- 184

185 *2.1. The model*

186

The model was built using EwE software version 6.5 released in July 2016 (www.ecopath.org). 187 EwE consists of two components: (i) Ecopath, a mass-balance model accounting for energy 188 transfers in the ecosystem which depicts a 'snapshot' of the ecosystem in a given year; and (ii) 189 190 Ecosim, the dynamic component which allows for temporal simulations based on Ecopath. Ecosim is based on the foraging arena theory (Ahrens et al., 2012), and each prey-predator 191 interaction is defined by a vulnerability parameter that describes whether the interaction is 192 193 bottom-up (vulnerability < 2), top-down (vulnerability > 2), or neither bottom-up nor top-down (vulnerability = 2) controlled. Both Ecopath (Christensen and Pauly, 1992; Polovina, 1984; 194 Walters et al., 1997) and Ecosim (Christensen and Walters, 2004; Walters and Christensen, 195

2007) have been documented extensively, and further details can be found in the publicationsabove.

198

199 The EwE model for WoS used in this study was first built by Haggan and Pitcher (2005), then updated by Bailey et al. (2011) and Alexander et al. (2015). It was recently updated and 200 201 extended by Serpetti et al. (2017) who introduced species-specific thermal preference functions in order to drive the model with ocean temperature. The impact of temperature is beyond the 202 scope of this study (see Serpetti et al. (2017) for more details). Here, we built on the model 203 204 published by Alexander et al. (2015) by applying the same update as done by Serpetti et al. (2017), minus the inclusion of temperature as a driver. The area modelled corresponds to the 205 206 continental shelf of ICES Division VIa within the 200 m depth contour and covers ~110,000 207 km² (Fig.1). The model comprises 41 functional groups (Table S1) spanning ~ five trophic levels consisting of three marine mammals, seabirds (as a single group), 23 fish, five 208 invertebrate groups, one cephalopod group, two zooplankton, three benthos, two primary 209 210 producers, and one detritus group. The model has five fishing fleets: demersal trawl, Nephrops trawl, other trawl, potting and diving, and pelagic trawl. The cod, haddock and whiting groups 211 are split between juvenile (age 0 and 1) and adult (age 2 and above). The model start year in 212 Ecopath is 1985 (see Bailey et al. (2011), Alexander et al. (2015) and Serpetti et al. (2017) for 213 more details about Ecopath parameters). Ecopath parameter values employed are given in 214 215 Tables S1-4.

216

217 2.2. Update

218

The update of Ecopath consisted of two steps. Firstly, the 1985 biomass starting values of groups for which data were available were updated using the latest stock assessments (Table

221 S1) while the total catch of each functional group was updated with the latest landings (Table S2) and discards (Table S3) data (where available). In addition, the growth parameter (i.e. K 222 from the von Bertalanffy growth function) used to model the growth of the three multi-stanza 223 224 groups (cod, haddock and whiting) was updated by fitting a von Bertalanffy growth function obtained DATRAS 225 to age-length keys from the ICES database (https://datras.ices.dk/Data_products/Download/Download_Data_public.aspx) for those three 226 groups. Secondly, the diet matrix used by Ecopath was updated. Adjusting the diet matrix is a 227 powerful and surprisingly underused way to improve EwE models (Ainsworth and Walters, 228 229 2015). To improve the model goodness of fit, the diet matrix was updated following these consecutive steps: (i) the data and proxies used by Bailey et al. (2011) and Alexander et al. 230 (2015) to build the diet matrix were reviewed; (ii) the diet composition of each group was 231 232 checked individually against existing literature for unusual prey; (iii) when unusual 233 prey/predator links were found these were removed and/or amended based on (in the following order): available literature; the DAPSTOM database (Pinnegar, 2014); the diet matrices of the 234 EwE models from two neighbouring and closely related ecosystems, North Sea (Mackinson 235 and Daskalov, 2007) and Irish Sea (Lees and Mackinson, 2007). The updated diet matrix 236 obtained through these three consecutive revisions is given in Table S4. To ensure a coherent 237 and ecologically sound mass-balance, the pre-balance (PREBAL) analysis depicted by Link 238 239 (2010) was applied to the updated Ecopath model.

240

To update Ecosim, the time series of biomass, catch, and fishing mortalities driving the model were updated (from 1985 onwards) and extended (up to 2013) for as many groups as possible using the latest data available. While catch time series were handled on an absolute scale in the calibration process, biomass time series are handled on relative scale: having the correct biomass trend is, therefore, more important than having the correct range of values. To this end 246 it was deemed preferable to estimate the biomass time series directly from scientific survey data rather than from assessment model estimates, whenever possible. For demersal and 247 benthic groups, biomass time series were calculated using bottom trawl surveys data obtained 248 from the ICES DATRAS database following the method from Baudron and Fernandes (2015) 249 with the exception of cod, haddock and whiting for which stock assessment estimates (ICES, 250 2014a) were necessary to obtain separate biomass time series for both stanzas. For Norway 251 252 lobster, abundance estimates from underwater TV surveys (ICES, 2014a) were summed across the three functional units within the model area (FU 11, 12 and 13) and used as biomass time 253 254 series. Since pelagic species are not effectively captured by bottom trawl surveys, whenever possible other data sources were preferred to get reliable biomass trends. For herring, total 255 256 stock biomass estimates from acoustic surveys available for the subarea VIa north which 257 comprises the bulk of the VIa stock (ICES, 2014b) were used. For mackerel, horse mackerel Trachurus trachurus and blue whiting Micromesistius poutassou, total stock biomass estimates 258 for the western shelf (ICES, 2014c) were scaled down to VIa using the average proportion of 259 landings realised in this area. For grey seals, estimates of pup production from Inner and Outer 260 Hebrides (SCOS, 2015) were summed and used as biomass trend. For harbour seals, pup count 261 values were only available every five years (SCOS, 2015) but were preferred to model 262 estimates as the biomass trend indicator. Abundances values of small (< 2 mm) and large (> 2 263 mm) zooplankton, and phytoplankton Colour Index (PCI) were obtained from the Sir Alister 264 265 Hardy Foundation for Ocean Science (SAHFOS). The PCI constitutes a semi-quantitative representation of the total phytoplankton biomass (Batten and Walne, 2011). 266

267

Catch time series for both stanzas of cod, haddock and whiting were obtained from stock assessment reports as these include discards and are corrected for misreporting. Contrary to cod and whiting assessed in VIa, haddock is now assessed for both areas IV and VIa (ICES,

2014d). As a result, it was assumed that 9.5 % of northern shelf haddock catches are realised 271 in VIa as this is the threshold managers agreed upon when splitting the TAC between areas IV 272 and VIa (EC, 2015b). For all other groups, 1985-2013 time series of VIa landings were 273 274 obtained from **STATLANT** (STATLANT, http://ices.dk/marine-data/datasetcollections/Pages/Fish-catch-and-stock-assessment.aspx) and 2003-2013 discard rates were 275 obtained from STECF (https://stecf.jrc.ec.europa.eu/reports) to estimate the 2003-2013 catch 276 time series. The catch time series for 1985-2002 were estimated by inversely applying 2003-277 2013 average discard rates to 1985-2002 landings time series. In EwE, F corresponds to the 278 279 exploitation rate which is the catch to biomass ratio (C/B). To get F time series, biomass time series were adjusted so that the 1985 starting values correspond to the 1985 biomass estimates 280 from Ecopath before calculating C/B to ensure sensible F values: since biomass values resulting 281 282 from standardised survey sampling are often much smaller than those estimated from stock assessments, the initial value derived from Ecopath was used. Lastly, the "feeding time 283 adjustment rate" was set to 0.5 for mammal groups as suggested by Christensen et al. (2008) 284 and to 0.2 for juvenile stanzas which still feed on egg content in early life stages while the 285 default value of 0 was used for all other groups. The time series of biomass, catch, F, and forced 286 catches (i.e. catches used to drive the model for groups for which F could not be calculated due 287 to lack of either C or B) inputs used to fit Ecosim are given in Tables S5-8. 288

289

290 2.3. Parameterisation

291

For the model to be reliable enough for EBFM it is essential that Ecosim captures the food web processes. This is shown by the ability to reproduce historical trends in biomass and catches when historical fishing mortalities are applied. Ecosim includes a 'fit to time series' module which identifies the prey-predator interactions most sensitive to changes in vulnerability (Tomczak et al., 2012). The calibration then consists of adjusting these vulnerabilities until the
best 'fit' of the model outputs to historical time series is achieved. Goodness-of-fit is assessed
by the sum of squared differences between the predicted and observed values on a log₁₀ scale
(Christensen et al., 2008). The fitting procedure described in Alexander *et al.* (2015) was
applied and the following model scenarios were tested (see Mackinson et al. (2009) for more
details):

302

- 303 (i) Baseline: no fishing or environmental forcing and vulnerabilities set at 2
- 304 (ii) Baseline + trophic effects: same as (i) except vulnerabilities are adjusted to fit the
 305 data
- 306 (iii) Baseline + environmental forcing: same as (i) except the 'fit to time series'
 307 identifies a time series of values (forcing function) that improves the fit by
 308 impacting the predicted biomasses through primary production (subsequent
 309 analyses can be performed to link the forcing function to existing environmental
 310 drivers). This forcing function is a spline curve, and the maximum number of spline
 311 points tested was limited to five so as to not over-parameterise the model (Tomczak
 312 et al., 2012), as done by Alexander et al. (2015).
- 313 (iv) Baseline + trophic effects + environmental forcing: combination of (ii) and (iii)
- 314 (v) Fishing: fishing mortalities are included to drive the model, no environmental
 315 forcing and vulnerabilities set at 2
- 316 (vi) Fishing + trophic effects: fishing mortalities are included to drive the model and
 317 vulnerabilities are adjusted to fit the data
- 318 (vii) Fishing + environmental forcing: combination of (iii) and (v)
- 319 (viii) Fishing + trophic effects + environmental forcing: combination of (vi) and (vii)

321 The best candidate was selected with Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) which identifies the best trade-off between goodness-of-fit and number of parameters (Mackinson et al., 2009). 322 Instead of manually selecting the number of vulnerabilities to adjust prior to running the 'fit to 323 324 time series' module (Alexander et al., 2015; Tomczak et al., 2012), an automated stepwise fitting procedure (Scott et al., 2016) was used. This 'stepwise fitting' module has been included 325 in the latest release of the EwE software (version 6.5) and allows for testing every possible 326 combination of parameters by automatically running the 'fit to time series' with successive 327 increments of the number of vulnerabilities and/or spline points of the forcing function for each 328 329 candidate model (ii) to (viii). The stepwise fitting procedure tested 1,990 model interactions based on 28 time-series of relative biomasses, 22 time-series of catches, 22 time-series of F 330 and 9 time-series of forced catches with a total of 1,355 observations (observed data points) 331 332 estimating a maximum number of 49 parameters (based only on independent time-series). The fitting procedure searched for vulnerability parameters "by predator" for all iterations assuming 333 the same top-down or bottom up control of the predator on all its prey (Scott et al., 2016). 334

335

336 2.4. Management scenario simulations

337

Once parameterised, the best candidate model was used to explore the possible management 338 scenarios for the WoS demersal fishery which adhere to the current CFP recommendations. 339 340 The six demersal species considered here for the demersal fishery are cod, haddock, whiting, saithe, hake, monkfish. Saithe and hake are part of larger groups, pollock and large demersals 341 respectively, composed of more than one species (Table S9). According to Bailey et al. (2011), 342 343 the pollock group is largely dominated by the saithe (97%) and the large demersals group by hake (ca. 60%, although given recent estimates from Baudron and Fernandes (2015), this 344 proportion is likely to be much higher). The groups pollock and large demersals were therefore 345

346 considered here as being representative of these two single species, and are hereafter referred to as saithe and hake. Forward simulations were performed for a period of 20 years (i.e. 2014-347 2033) for each scenario. Firstly, a status quo scenario (F_{status quo}) was performed by keeping F 348 equal to the last historical value (F_{2013}) for all species in the model (Table 1) and used as a 349 reference level. Secondly, a F_{MSY} scenario was performed by applying the single stock F_{MSY} 350 values from ICES (Table 1). Only cod and whiting have stocks with a corresponding F_{MSY} 351 352 defined for area VIa, in which the model area is located. For other species, the F_{MSY} defined for stock areas which encompass area VIa were used as best available proxies (Table 1). Lastly, 353 the F_{MSY} ranges were explored for demersal species, whilst single stock F_{MSY} values were 354 applied to Norway lobster and pelagic species. Akin to single stock F_{MSY} values, the best 355 available proxies were used when needed (Table 1). The F_{MSY} ranges were explored by 356 357 simulating, for each species, the F_{MSY upper} and F_{MSY lower} boundaries and F values in between 358 these two boundaries with a 0.05 increment (Fig. 2a). In order to investigate management strategies likely to recover cod and whiting, the F_{MSY lower} boundaries simulated were lowered 359 to F=0.05, this value corresponding to the observed residual F experienced by species not 360 targeted by fisheries (e.g., juvenile cod, see Table S7). Since haddock is also located on the 361 shelf and likely to be caught together with these two species, the cod F_{MSY} range was also 362 applied to haddock (Fig. 2a). The F_{MSY} ranges simulated therefore differed slightly from the 363 ones given by ICES, but did however encompass them (Table 1). To investigate the impact of 364 365 reducing juvenile whiting bycatch by the crustacean fishery, the F_{MSY} range applied to adult whiting was also applied to juvenile whiting in order to simulate a reduction from F_{status quo} of 366 0.17 (Table S7) down to F=0.05 (Fig. 2a). To investigate the impact of a reduction in predation 367 368 by grey seals, 5% and 10% culls were simulated by applying Fs of 0.05 and 0.10 to grey seals, in addition of the current no cull (F=0) situation (Fig. 2a). Simulations were carried out for all 369 370 possible combinations of Fs within the F_{MSY} ranges tested, resulting in 180,000 scenarios being

explored in addition to the $F_{\text{status quo}}$ and F_{MSY} scenarios. These simulations were performed using the Multisim plugin from the EwE software (Steenbeek et al., 2016).

373

374 2.5. GES indicators

375

To assess whether the management scenarios tested achieve GES, and further identify which scenario is most likely to achieve GES, the following ecosystem indicators (hereafter referred to as GES indicators) were calculated using the model outputs for all scenarios.

379

```
380 2.5.1. Biomass
```

381

382 GES implies that all fish stocks are harvested sustainably and therefore within safe biological limits: the spawning stock biomass (SSB, i.e. of adults) should be above biological reference 383 points. The stocks of cod and whiting which are currently depleted are the only two stocks with 384 the biological reference points biomass limit (B_{lim}) and precautionary biomass (B_{pa}) defined 385 for area VIa (cod: $B_{lim} = 14,000$ t, $B_{pa} = 22,000$ t; whiting: $B_{lim} = 31,900$ t, $B_{pa} = 44,600$ t) in 386 which the model area is located (ICES, 2016c). The biomass outputs from the model were 387 therefore used as indicators, in conjunction with the biological reference points, to assess 388 whether each scenario led to the cod and whiting stocks remaining depleted (biomass $< B_{lim}$), 389 390 being at risk ($B_{lim} < biomass < B_{pa}$), or recovering (biomass > B_{pa}). This indicator relates to the GES descriptor 3: commercial species. 391

392

393

2.5.2. Shannon's diversity index

395	Shannon's diversity index (SI) is an indicator of biodiversity commonly used to assess the						
396	impact of fishing on food webs (Gascuel et al., 2016). This indicator was calculated following						
397	the formula from Shannon (1948):						
398							
399	$SI = \sum_{G} (P_G. \log_2(P_G)) $ (1)						
400							
401	where P_G is the proportion in weight of the functional group G in the biomass. This indicator						
402	relates to the GES descriptor 1: biodiversity.						
403							
404	2.5.3. Marine trophic index						
405							
406	The marine trophic index (MTI) is an indicator of the trophic structure of the upper (trophic						
407	level 3.25 and above) part of the food web which includes most commercial fish species and						
408	therefore is expected to be impacted the most by fishing (Pauly and Watson, 2005). This						
409	indicator was calculated as follows:						
410							
411	$MTI = \sum (TL_G. W_G) / \sum W_G \qquad (2)$						
412							
413	where TL_G is the trophic level of the functional group <i>G</i> (for groups with a trophic level \geq 3.25),						
414	W_G is the weight of the functional group G in the biomass. This indicator relates to the GES						
415	descriptor 4: food webs.						
416							
417	2.5.4. Mean maximum length						
418							

419	The mean maximum length (MML) is an indicator of the species composition of the food web						
420	where fishing is expected to lead to a decline in the proportion of large species (Shin et al.,						
421	2005). This indicator was calculated as follows:						
422							
423	$MML = \sum (W_G L_{\infty G}) / \sum W_G \qquad (3)$						
424							
425	where W_G is the weight of the functional group G present and $L_{\infty G}$ is the asymptotic length of						
426	the functional group G obtained by averaging L_∞ values obtained from Fishbase (Froese and						
427	Pauly, 2017; <u>www.fishbase.org</u>) across species in each functional group (Table S9). This						
428	indicator relates to the GES descriptor 4: food webs.						
429							
430	2.5.5. Food web evenness index						
431							
432	The Food Web Evenness index (FWE) is an indicator of biodiversity which, unlike Shannon's						
433	diversity index, not only considers the overall diversity of species but also a balanced biomass						
434	distribution across trophic levels and evenness of species within each trophic level. This						
435	indicator is obtained by inverting either the Canberra or the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index,						
436	BC, calculated based on the dissimilarity of the expected and observed biomass of a functional						
437	group <i>G</i> , as follows:						
438							
439	$BC = (\sum_{G} B_{Ge} - B_{Go}) / \sum_{G} (B_{Ge} + B_{Go}) $ (4)						
440							
441	where B_{Ge} and B_{Go} are the expected and observed biomass of the functional group G within its						
442	trophic level, respectively. The expected biomass is calculated by defining a reference state of						
443	'food web evenness' in which group biomasses are decreasing with increasing trophic levels,						

and all groups within a trophic level have equal biomasses (for more details please refer to
Appendix A). An advantage of FWE is that it is independent of the total biomass in the system.
Therefore FWE only tracks relative changes in species biomasses, i.e. in the compositional
diversity of the community. This indicator relates to the GES descriptor 1: biodiversity.

448

449 2.6. Identify the best GES scenario

450

Apart from the biomass indicator for which thresholds (i.e. B_{lim} and B_{pa}) are defined for the 451 452 depleted stocks of cod and whiting, none of the four GES indicators used to assess descriptors 1 and 4 have clear thresholds defined above which GES is considered reached. Instead, for 453 these four indicators (H, MTI, MML, FWE) it was simply considered that the higher the value 454 455 the better, and that a scenario achieving high values across these four indicators is more likely 456 to achieve GES than a scenarios achieving lower values (Coll et al., 2016; Kleisner et al., 2015; Reed et al., 2017). Therefore, in order to identify the scenario most likely to achieve GES 457 (hereafter referred to as best GES scenario) the following framework was applied: 458 (i) To achieve GES, a scenario should recover the depleted stocks of cod and whiting 459

460 within safe biological limits (i.e. above B_{pa})

461 (ii) The recovery of depleted stocks should be achieved as early as possible

- 462 (iii) Among scenario(s) that satisfy conditions (i) and (ii), the best GES scenario is the
 463 one achieving the highest values overall across the four GES indicators H, MTI,
 464 MML, and FWE. The best GES scenario was identified through the following three
 465 steps:
- 466 a. firstly, the amplitude of the time series of all four GES indicators was467 standardised by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation;

b. secondly, for each indicator, the difference between each scenario's value
reached in 2033 and the maximum across all scenarios was calculated;

- 470 c. thirdly, the best GES scenario is the one with the smallest sum of differences471 across the four GES indicators.
- 472

473 2.7. Model uncertainty

474

In order to investigate the impact of parameter uncertainty on the reliability of the model 475 outputs, Monte-Carlo simulations were performed to assess the sensitivity of Ecosim to 476 uncertainty in the following Ecopath inputs: biomass, production to biomass ratio, 477 consumption to biomass ratio, and ecotrophic efficiency (Heymans et al., 2016). The model 478 479 identified as the best GES scenario was run with the parameter value for each of these inputs randomly selected from within 10% of the original value, as done by Serpetti et al. (2017). 100 480 runs were performed, and the confidence interval around the time series of biomass outputs 481 482 were determined by calculating the 5% and 95% quantiles.

483

484

```
485 3. Results
```

486

```
487 3.1. Hindcast
```

488

489 Once the updated Ecopath model was successfully balanced, PREBAL (Link, 2010) 490 diagnostics were carried out and confirmed that: the biomass slope on a log scale declines by 491 ca. 5 - 10% with increasing trophic levels; predator/biomass ratios are <1; and vital rates 492 decline with increasing trophic levels (Appendix B). These diagnostics suggest that the Ecopath 495

493

494

496 The best fitted model with the lowest AIC was achieved when fishing, trophic effects and environmental forcing were applied (Model 8, see Table 2). This model improved the fit by 497 62% compared to the baseline model. Adding fishing alone improved the fit by 25%, while the 498 combination of fishing and trophic effects reduced the sum of squares by 61%. Adding a 499 forcing function further reduced the sum of squares by 1%, resulting in the lowest AIC. The 500 501 environmental forcing function on primary producers identified by the fitting procedure is a spline curve with three spline points. Correlations between this forcing function and 502 environmental indices North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation 503 504 (AMO), as well as the Sea Surface Temperature (SST) were explored with Pearson product 505 moment correlation tests. SST data was obtained from the Hadley Centre HadISST dataset (http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/hadisst/), while NAO and AMO data were obtained 506 507 from NOAA (https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/timeseries/). While correlations with SST and NAO were marginally (cor. = 0.107, p = 0.046) and not significant (cor. = -0.099, p = 508 0.066) respectively, AMO was the index most correlated with the forcing function with a highly 509 significant correlation (cor. = 0.583, p < 0.001, Fig. S1). As a result, a smoothed AMO index 510 obtained by fitting a Loess (local regression) smoother with a span of 0.5 (Fig. S1c) was 511 512 substituted with the three spline point curve in the model and used as the environmental forcing function on producers. 513

model is ecologically sound (Link, 2010). The structure of the updated Ecopath food web is

depicted in Figure 3, and the final balanced model parameters can be found in Table S1.

514

The best model (model 8, see Table 2) performed fairly well in reproducing the historical biomass trends of most functional groups over the hindcast period (1985-2013), particularly for demersal species such as cod, whiting, saithe and monkfish (Fig. 4). Biomass trends were

518 also fairly well captured for *Nephrops* and pelagic species except in early years (1985-1990) for mackerel and horse mackerel. The historical biomass trends of grey seals was not captured 519 as well, although the model did produce an increasing trend as observed from the historical 520 521 data. The confidence intervals calculated from the Monte-Carlo simulations were reasonably narrow for a majority of groups, but did reveal large uncertainties around the estimates of cod, 522 haddock and whiting due to the top-down and bottom-up interactions between the adult and 523 juvenile stages of these multi-stanza groups as previously noted by Serpetti et al. (2017). The 524 model also reproduced the observed catch trends for most groups apart from monkfish over the 525 526 1990-2000 period (Fig. S2). Catches of hake, mackerel and Nephrops were slightly overestimated, while blue whiting catches were slightly underestimated over the 1995-2000 527 period. The model showed mixed results regarding the ability to reproduce historical trends of 528 529 GES indicators (Fig. 5). Historical values for the two food web indicators, MML and MTI, 530 were well matched apart from a peak in the mid-2000s largely driven by the large increase in hake biomass (Fig. 4). The two diversity indicators SI and FWE, however, were overestimated 531 by the model, especially SI. Nevertheless, the model outputs did reproduce the shape of the 532 historical trends to some extent, indicating that the GES indicators returned by the model can 533 be used to compare management scenarios to one another. 534

535

536 3.2. Forecast

537

538 No forward projections of the AMO index are available. However, this index has been 539 increasing over the model hindcast period (1985-2013), is known to follow a cyclical pattern, 540 and is now approaching a cooling phase (Kotenev et al., 2011). Thus, the mirror values of the 541 smoothed AMO index over 1985-2013 (Fig. S1c) were used as best available proxy and applied

- stee as the environmental forcing function of primary producers over the simulation period (2014-
- 543 2033) when simulating the management scenarios, as done by Serpetti et al. (2017).
- 544

The F_{status quo} scenario revealed little to no change for most species biomass (Fig. 4) and catch 545 (Fig. S2) levels compared to the last historical year: cod and whiting remained depleted, while 546 other species either remained on par with 2013 levels or quickly reached a plateau, except 547 548 herring and horse mackerel which kept declining over the simulation period. The FMSY scenario entailed an increase in F for all species expect cod, herring and horse mackerel (Table 1). This 549 550 led to a recovery of cod SSB above B_{pa} and an increase in horse mackerel biomass but did not stop herring biomass from decreasing despite temporarily curbing the decline. Single stock 551 F_{MSY} values did not recover whiting SSB which remained well below B_{lim}. However, despite 552 553 experiencing a F three times greater, whiting achieved a higher SSB with F_{MSY} (F=0.18) than with F_{status quo} (F=0.06). Similar observations were made for haddock which experienced an 554 increase from $F_{\text{status quo}} = 0.17$ to $F_{\text{MSY}} = 0.19$. This is most likely due to a reduction in the 555 predation pressure from the piscivorous top predators saithe, monkfish and hake which all 556 experienced substantial biomass reductions under F_{MSY}. Grey seals also suffered from a 557 reduction in biomass despite experiencing no cull under F_{MSY}, likely due to a reduction in food 558 supply caused by the lower biomass overall across fish species, in particular the important 559 preys saithe and hake (Fig. S3). Catches realised under F_{MSY} were greater than under F_{status quo} 560 561 across all species except *Nephrops*, suggesting that F_{MSY} would lead to higher yield even for species experiencing a reduction in F. 562

Out of the 180,000 scenarios tested to explore the F_{MSY} ranges, only 260 recovered both the stocks of cod and whiting above B_{pa} by 2033 (Table S10). Out of these 260 scenarios, the earliest date at which recovery above B_{pa} was achieved for both depleted stocks differed among

567 the levels of seal cull considered: 10 scenarios achieved recovery in 2027 with no seal cull, 20 scenarios achieved recovery in 2028 with a 5% seal cull, and 5 scenarios achieved recovery in 568 2029 with a 10% seal cull. These 35 scenarios are hereafter referred to as recovery scenarios. 569 570 Culling grey seals had no effect on how quickly the depleted stocks recovered above Blim: cod and whiting reached the threshold in 2021 and 2024 at the earliest, respectively, regardless of 571 the level of culling applied here. However, culling grey seals had an effect on how quickly the 572 573 depleted stocks recovered above B_{pa}. Cod reached the threshold in 2022 with a 10% cull, a year earlier than with a 5% cull or no cull. In contrast, the recovery of whiting above B_{pa} appeared 574 575 slower with higher levels of culling, with the threshold reached in 2027 without cull while a 5% and 10% cull led to the threshold being reached in 2028 and 2029 respectively. 576

577

578 The fishing mortalities applied in the 35 recovery scenarios are displayed in grey in Figure 2b and the corresponding biomass trajectories in Figure 4. The recovery of the cod and whiting 579 stocks was achieved with F values within the F_{MSY} ranges from ICES, with the exception of 580 whiting which required a much lower F (Fig. 2b). Although these 35 recovery scenarios did 581 achieve the recovery of both cod and whiting above B_{pa}, for both species the increase in 582 biomass plateaued around 2030 after which it started decreasing again, with the whiting SSB 583 dipping below B_{pa} by 2033 in all recovery scenarios (Fig. 4). Extending the simulation until 584 2100 as done by Serpetti et al. (2017) revealed that, while the cod SSB remained above B_{pa} 585 586 after the ecosystem reached equilibrium, the whiting SSB fluctuated around B_{pa} before stabilising between B_{lim} and B_{pa} by 2060 (Fig. S4). This suggests that the scenarios identified 587 as achieving the fastest recovery of cod and whiting above B_{pa} may not maintain whiting within 588 589 sustainable limits in the long term. The large uncertainty around whiting biomass estimates prevents any firm conclusions, with ca. half of the confidence interval being above B_{pa} (and ca. 590 591 two thirds above B_{lim}) by 2100. Out of the 35 recovery scenarios, the recovery of both cod and

whiting was only achieved when the highest F of the ranges explored was applied to cod (F=0.25) and saithe (F=0.42), and the lowest possible F (0.05) applied to both adult and juvenile whiting. In contrast, recovery was achieved with all possible F values of the range explored for monkfish and grey seals which indicate that these two top predators did not hinder the cod and whiting stocks recovery, although the predation from grey seals had a slight impact on the date when B_{pa} was reached for these two stocks, as detailed above.

598

The 35 recovery scenarios all resulted in similar values of GES indicators across the simulation 599 600 period, with the exception of the FWE index which showed more variability across scenarios (Fig. 5). As a result, the scenario identified as the best GES scenario was also the one returning 601 the highest FWE values. Both the best GES scenario and the F_{MSY} scenario produced similar 602 603 trajectories for all GES indicators over the simulation period, except for the FWE index 604 between 2014 and 2025. However, for all GES indicators the best GES scenario either slightly outperformed the F_{MSY} scenario (e.g. SI), or caught up with it by 2033 (e.g. MML). Both the 605 606 best GES and F_{MSY} scenarios resulted in lower values than the $F_{\text{status quo}}$ scenario for the two food web indicators, MML and MTI, although for MTI all three scenario ended up with similar 607 values in 2033. This is likely due to the high biomasses of saithe and hake observed under the 608 F_{status quo} scenario, with the abundance of these two large top predator species resulting in high 609 610 MML and MTI values despite the low biomasses of other large top predators such as cod and 611 whiting. In contrast, the best GES and F_{MSY} scenarios both resulted in higher values than the F_{status quo} scenario for the two biodiversity indicators SI and FWE, indicating that these two 612 scenarios led to a more diverse and even species composition of the WoS ecosystem. 613

614

The best GES scenario identified via the GES indicators was achieved when the highest F of the ranges explored for haddock (F=0.25) and monkfish (F=0.41) were applied, while an F 617 slightly above the middle of the range explored (F=0.35) was applied to hake (Fig. 2c). While the non-culled biomass of grey seals did not prevent the recovery of cod and whiting, despite 618 slightly impacting the date when this recovery was achieved as explained above, the best GES 619 620 scenario was achieved when a 5% cull was applied to grey seals. This indicates that, while the predation from grey seals does not prevent stock recovery, it does have an impact, however 621 small, on the food web structure and biodiversity of the WoS ecosystem. Apart from grey seals 622 which experience a 5% cull under the best GES scenario, the best GES and F_{MSY} scenarios 623 produced similar biomass trajectories which were actually closely aligned for most species with 624 625 one major exception, whiting, which did not recover under the F_{MSY} scenario (Fig. 4). Likewise, apart from cod and haddock which experienced higher F values under the best GES scenario, 626 the catch trajectories produced by the best GES and F_{MSY} scenarios were also similar, even for 627 628 whiting which experienced a much lower F (0.05) under the best GES scenario the F_{MSY} (0.18) scenario (Fig. S2). 629

630

631

632 4. Discussion

633

The results from the model simulations suggest that the single stock F_{MSY} values currently 634 advised by ICES, if applied to all stocks in WoS, would likely recover cod whilst achieving 635 636 catches on par with historical levels for most species. This management scenario would also lead to an increase in whiting SSB, but would fail to recover this stock to within safe biological 637 limits, suggesting that the current F_{MSY} value for whiting in ICES area VIa is incompatible with 638 639 this stock's recovery. In contrast, the results from the simulations exploring the F ranges used in this study suggest that it would be possible to recover both cod and whiting stocks by 640 applying F within these ranges. However, two crucial conditions were necessary for the 641

642 recovery of both these depleted stocks to happen. Firstly, the recovery of whiting required that the lowest possible F (F = 0.05) of the ranges explored was applied to both juvenile and adult 643 whiting. Due to the depleted status of the VIa whiting stock, adult whiting is no longer actively 644 targeted in WoS and is currently experiencing an F_{status quo} of ca. 0.06 due to bycatch. Juvenile 645 whiting, on the other hand, is caught as bycatch by the small meshed crustacean fishery 646 targeting the highly valuable *Nephrops* (the crustacean fishery account for 77% of the discards 647 of age 0 and age 1 (i.e., juvenile) groups), and is currently experiencing an F_{status quo} of ca. 0.17 648 as a result (ICES, 2016c). Our results strongly suggest that a substantial reduction in the 649 650 bycatch of juvenile whiting by the crustacean fishery is essential to the recovery of the VIa whiting stock. This contradicts the previous findings from Alexander et al. (2015) who 651 concluded that there is insufficient bycatch from the crustacean fishery to prevent the recovery 652 653 of whiting. While measures to prevent bycatch of juvenile whiting by the crustacean fishery 654 could potentially jeopardise one of the most profitable fisheries in WoS, they will soon become a CFP requirement as the landings obligation is being phased in for demersal stocks (EC, 655 656 2015a), with whiting already identified to become a choke species for the crustacean fishery in WoS (ICES, 2016c). 657

658

The second requirement for the recovery of cod and whiting we identified is that the 659 simultaneous recovery of cod and whiting was achieved only when the highest possible F from 660 661 the ranges explored were applied to cod (F = 0.25) and saithe (F = 0.42). Both cod and saithe are piscivorous top predators (trophic level ca. 4) of the WoS ecosystem. Saithe, along with 662 mackerel, is one of the main predators of both juvenile cod (Fig. 6a) and juvenile whiting (Fig. 663 664 6b), and the increasing saithe biomass over the historical period has led to an increase in predation pressure on these two juvenile stanzas. Scenarios with the highest Fs on saithe 665 therefore resulted in a decrease in predation mortality on juvenile cod and whiting, thus 666

667 enabling these two species to recover. Likewise, cod is the main predator of whiting (Fig. 6c) and the third most prevalent predator of juvenile cod after saithe and mackerel (Fig. 6a). 668 Applying the highest possible F on cod therefore limited the increase in predation mortality on 669 670 whiting, thus enabling the recovery of whiting, whilst also limiting cannibalism on juvenile cod and facilitating the recovery of cod. These results suggest that reducing the biomass of 671 saithe, the main predator of juvenile cod and whiting, together with limiting the increase of 672 673 cod, the main predator of whiting, are necessary to recover both VIa cod and whiting stocks. 674 The fact that the recovery of cod and whiting, two piscivorous top predators, seems 675 unattainable without curbing the increase of another piscivorous top predator, saithe, indicates that it may not be possible to simultaneously maximise the biomass of all demersal piscivorous 676 top predators of the WoS ecosystem (which also include hake and monkfish). Therefore, it may 677 678 be necessary to identify the optimum balance between these species to achieve sustainable 679 stocks statuses and a healthy food web.

680

The concept of 'balanced fishing' was first introduced by Garcia et al. (2012) and has gained 681 momentum in recent years as EBFM garnered more attention, although it remains a hotly 682 debated topic (ICES, 2014e). The intricacies and consequences of prey-predator interactions in 683 exploited ecosystems, and the importance of considering them in the management of mixed 684 fisheries are particularly relevant at a time when improved stewardship in the management of 685 686 European fisheries is leading to the recovery of most commercial stocks (Fernandes and Cook, 2013) resulting in the increase in the biomass of many top predator as they approach their MSY 687 status, with knock-on implications for prey-predator interactions (ICES, 2016h, 2014e). For 688 689 example, the recovery of the northern hake stock has led to a large increase in the biomass of this top predator across most of northern Europe, including WoS (Baudron and Fernandes, 690 2015), with repercussions on prey-predator interactions such as the increased competition with 691

692 saithe for access to their common prey, as documented in the North Sea (Cormon et al., 2016). Although a similar increase has yet to be reported for saithe, the biomass trend from survey 693 data presented here suggest that this species has been increasing continuously from 1985 to 694 695 2013 in WoS, whilst fish stock recoveries have been linked to a decline in fishing exploitation and associated harvest rates in ICES area VI overall, and the neighbouring ICES area V for 696 saithe specifically (Jayasinghe et al., 2015). The possible application of 'balanced fishing' in 697 698 European fisheries and its consequences for ecosystems are currently being investigated by the ICES Working Group on the Ecosystem Effects of Fishing Activities who concluded that, as 699 700 fish stock recoveries are expected to have significant trophic effects, ecosystem models such as the one employed here could be used to predict the ecological consequences of stock 701 702 rebuilding (ICES, 2016h).

703

704 Implementing a cull of grey seals, the main predator of cod and one of the main predators of gadoid fish species in WoS, had little impact overall on the recovery of cod and whiting. Both 705 706 species were able to recover when no cull was applied, an observation consistent with the previous findings from Alexander et al. (2015) who concluded that the rise in grey seals 707 708 biomass had not led to the collapse of these species. This observation contradicts, however, the findings from a recent modelling study which suggests that the sustained high mortality due to 709 710 increased predation from grey seals is preventing the recovery of the VIa cod stock (Cook et 711 al., 2015). Reducing the grey seals population by 5% every year had no impact of the recovery of cod, however a 10% reduction led to cod recovering within safe biological limits a year 712 earlier. While the difference is small, this observation is consistent with another recent 713 714 modelling study showing that the VIa cod stock recovery under current levels of grey seals predation is possible although it would remain precarious (Cook and Trijoulet, 2016). Our 715 results showed that a yearly 10% decrease in grey seals biomass led to a slightly earlier cod 716

717 recovery, suggesting that an increase in grey seals biomass would potentially delay the recovery, a finding consistent with Serpetti et al. (2017) who identified grey seals as exerting 718 a top-down control on their prey. We also showed that a decrease in grey seals biomass could 719 720 be detrimental for the whiting recovery: the increase in cod biomass associated with a decrease in grey seals biomass would increase predation mortality on whiting, thus delaying its recovery. 721 This potential impact has not yet been reported for whiting in WoS and highlights the need for 722 considering prey-predator interactions in the management of exploited ecosystems, as 723 724 previously mentioned. Lastly, the best GES scenario identified here included a 5% cull of grey 725 seals, further demonstrating the impact of the abundance of top predators on the food web structure and diversity. However, the small differences observed between scenarios with and 726 727 without grey seals cull, coupled with the fact that the absence of cull did not prevent the 728 recovery of cod and whiting, do not provide enough support for culling grey seals as a 729 management measure.

730

731 The performance of the exploitation scenarios simulated here towards achieving GES was assessed based on five indicators which only related to three out of the eleven GES descriptors: 732 733 biodiversity (two indicators), commercial species (one indicator) and food webs (two indicators). GES was therefore not comprehensively assessed in this study as many descriptors 734 735 were omitted from the analyses since it was not possible to model them due to lack of data 736 (e.g., descriptor 10: Marine litter) or lack of processes included in the model (e.g., descriptor 5: Eutrophication). In addition, apart from the biomass indicator for which reference points are 737 defined for the two depleted stocks, the biodiversity and food web indicators employed here 738 739 have no clearly established thresholds to enable assessing whether GES is reached (i.e., indicator > threshold). This is further complicated by the fact that there is currently no stringent 740 741 framework that uses indicators in assessing GES criteria (Queirós et al., 2016). Lastly, one of

the two food web indicators employed, MTI, was calculated using fixed trophic levels per 742 species, a practice not as efficient as the use of variable trophic levels which better detects the 743 impact of fishing pressure (Reed et al., 2017). These drawbacks were mitigated through the use 744 of two indicators (i.e., diversity and food web) and the use of an ad-hoc approach to identify 745 the best scenario. Notwithstanding these caveats, the use of a food web ecosystem model 746 combined with biomass thresholds enabled the identification of the management measures 747 748 necessary to recover the depleted stocks of cod and whiting, thus addressing the most pressing environmental issue in WoS fisheries. Whether or not these management measures would also 749 750 lead to GES for the WoS ecosystem is ambiguous. This is due to the caveats listed above, but also to the fact that, although the two biodiversity indicators increased under the best 751 752 management scenario identified here compared to status quo, the two food web indicators 753 decreased. This suggests that it might not be possible to simultaneously maximise both the biodiversity and the food web trophic structure (as measured by MML and MTI). With both 754 biodiversity and trophic structure potentially impacting the WoS ecosystem resilience to 755 756 fishing and other pressures, GES may only be achieved through appropriate trade-offs between these two descriptors. Nonetheless, the approach employed here (i.e., using biodiversity and 757 758 food web indicators derived from food web ecosystem model simulations) has been successfully used in previous studies investigating the performance of fishing management 759 760 scenarios towards the contrasting objectives of MSY and GES (Lynam and Mackinson, 2015; 761 Stäbler et al., 2016). Here, the chosen indicators replicated historical trends, suggesting that perhaps they could be used to explore future trends and compare candidate scenarios to one 762 another in order to inform management decisions. Such an approach is employed, for example, 763 764 when using surveillance indicators for which there is insufficient information to establish a clear target (Shephard et al., 2015). Future work using greater model complexity could achieve 765 comprehensive assessments of GES. For instance, Alexander et al. (2016) have developed a 766

EwE model for WoS built on their previous work (Alexander et al., 2015) which includes a
spatial component. Such a model could allow, for example, mapping trawl fishing activities in
WoS and investigating descriptor 6 (Sea-floor integrity), thus improving on the GES
assessment presented here.

771

The Ecopath model presented here entailed an update of the mass balance model from 772 Alexander et al. (2015), as well as extensive changes to the diet matrix. This updated model 773 774 was recently employed by Serpetti et al. (2017) to assess the long-term impacts of rising sea 775 temperatures on WoS fisheries. In addition, the data time series used to update the Ecosim hindcast period from 1985-2008 to 1985-2013 included biomass trends derived from survey 776 777 data for saithe and monkfish, where previously proxies derived from stock assessment model 778 estimates were used (Bailey et al., 2011). This improves the credibility of the model since using 779 raw data avoids the uncertainty and possible errors associated with estimates produced by statistical models (Dickey-Collas et al., 2014), especially when these statistical models were 780 781 designed for different areas than the model area considered here. Another update was the inclusion of biomass time series of zooplankton and phytoplankton used to fit the model. This 782 addition contributes to further improving the credibility of the model by constraining the model 783 calibration at multiple trophic levels, a practice shown to lead to a better and more credible 784 785 parameterisation especially when both fishing and environmental effects are considered 786 (Mackinson, 2014). Overall, the updated model showed an improvement of the fit, with the hindcast better reproducing the historical biomass trends of most species compared to the 787 hindcast shown in Alexander et al. (2015) whilst being similar to the hindcast shown by Serpetti 788 789 et al. (2017). Most importantly, the updated model seems to behave more realistically when performing forward simulations. When reducing F, the biomass estimates produced by the 790 updated model showed a gradual increase, as expected in complex ecosystems where trophic 791

792 interactions may buffer the impact of a decrease in F. In contrast, the results shown in Alexander et al. (2015) showed a sudden increase in the annual biomass of cod and whiting of 793 several thousands of tonnes within a couple of years when a reduction in F was applied. Whilst 794 795 not disputing the magnitude of the biomass increase observed by Alexander et al. (2015), such an increase within such a short time seems rather unrealistic. The time scale within which the 796 updated model recovers seems more realistic which is a necessary component when testing 797 798 fishing management strategies and their impact (Lynam and Mackinson, 2015) such as the date when depleted stocks recover, as investigated here. 799

800

Ecosystem modelling is a valuable tool for the implementation of EBFM. The inclusion of 801 802 multiple species spanning several trophic levels and their trophic interactions is necessary to 803 investigate the impact of management strategies on environmental and conservation objectives 804 such as GES (Christensen and Walters, 2005). Yet, as these conservation objectives become a requirement while the latest CFP reform steers European fisheries management away from the 805 806 traditional approach and towards EBFM, ecosystem modelling tools are still scarcely used in tactical fisheries management which remains very much single stock orientated (Skern-807 808 Mauritzen et al., 2015). EwE has benefited from a continuous development spanning over 30 years (Villasante et al., 2016) and has been successfully employed on numerous occasions to 809 810 investigate marine policy issues (Christensen and Walters, 2004; Colléter et al., 2015), with 811 recent examples including the investigation of the impact of fisheries management strategies on GES (Lynam and Mackinson, 2015; Stäbler et al., 2016), as implemented in this study. 812 However, the use of EwE as a fisheries management tool has been heavily criticised (Plagányi 813 814 and Butterworth, 2004), since major pitfalls in the application of EwE can produce misleading predictions about the direction of change caused by management strategies simulated, let alone 815 their magnitude (Christensen and Walters, 2004). In addition, it has been shown that EwE 816

817 models can produce significantly different results from the same analyses depending on how the model has been calibrated (Mackinson, 2014), indicating that such models should be 818 employed with care, particularly when investigating policy issues. The model employed here 819 820 has been improved four times since its development (Alexander et al., 2015; Bailey et al., 2011; Haggan and Pitcher, 2005; Serpetti et al., 2017). While the model is able to reproduce historical 821 biomass and catch, suggesting that it successfully captures the dynamics of the WoS food web, 822 823 many assumptions were made during the parameterisation process. Therefore, the model presented here cannot, in its present state, be employed to make tactical management decisions 824 825 (e.g., setting a Total Allowable Catch) due to the number of uncertainties (e.g., parameter uncertainty) linked to the various processes it describes. Indeed, the sensitivity of the model to 826 parameter uncertainty led to large uncertainties being observed around the biomass estimates 827 828 of cod and whiting, the two species on which scenario selection was based. In addition, 829 extending the simulation beyond the period of interest until the ecosystem reached equilibrium revealed that the scenarios identified as achieving the fastest recovery of cod and whiting may 830 831 not maintain whiting within sustainable limits in the long term although no firm conclusions could be drawn owing to the aforementioned large uncertainties around biomass estimates. 832 However, the model could be used to evaluate trade-offs between species, fisheries, and human 833 uses' impacts which is central to the ecosystem approach (Kaplan and Marshall, 2016). We 834 835 suggest that it is useful in an EBFM context, possibly alongside the use of traditional tactical 836 models (e.g. stock assessment), to explore various 'what if' scenarios, as done here, to inform managers on the likely future trends of biomass and ecosystem indicators. 837

838

839

840 **5.** Conclusion

Using a food web ecosystem model to simulate management scenarios accounted for prey-842 predator interactions whilst investigating biodiversity and food web indicators related to GES 843 descriptors. Our results suggest that the single stock F_{MSY} values currently advised by ICES 844 would recover the VIa cod stock, providing that F_{MSY} is applied to all stocks in VIa, but would 845 fail to recover the VIa whiting stock. The exploration of alternative management scenarios led 846 to the identification of the exploitation levels required to recover both the cod and whiting 847 848 stocks, and revealed that two conditions are necessary for these recoveries to happen. Firstly, a reduction in the F experienced for juvenile whiting was necessary to recover whiting, 849 850 indicating that a reduction in the bycatch of juvenile whiting by the crustacean fishery is needed for the VIa whiting stock to recover. Secondly, the simultaneous recovery of cod and whiting 851 was achieved only when the highest possible Fs were applied to both cod, the main predator of 852 853 whiting, and saithe, the main predator of juvenile cod and whiting, highlighting the need to 854 consider the impact of prey-predator interactions when managing fish stocks. The best GES scenario identified here resulted in biomass trajectories similar to the ones achieved with the 855 single stock F_{MSY} scenario, with the exception of whiting which did not recover under this latter 856 scenario. Likewise, the GES indicators trajectories achieved by the best GES scenario were 857 broadly similar to the ones achieved by the single stock F_{MSY} scenario. Most importantly, the 858 recovery of the cod and whiting stocks were achieved with F values within the F_{MSY} ranges 859 860 identified by ICES for the six demersal stock considered here, with the exception of whiting. 861 This suggests that the current management measures enforced in European fisheries by the CFP could achieve GES in the WoS ecosystem, provided that existing management issues such as 862 the bycatch of whiting by the crustacean fishery are resolved, and that prey-predator 863 864 interactions are accounted for, a component which will increasingly be taken into consideration as European fisheries management is evolving towards EBFM. 865

867

868 6. Acknowledgements

870 Alan R. Baudron, Niall G. Fallon and Paul G. Fernandes were funded by the Horizon 2020 European research project MareFrame (grant No. 613571). Natalia Serpetti and Johanna J. 871 Heymans were funded by the Natural Environment Research Council and Department for 872 Environment, Food and Rural Affairs under the Marine Ecosystems Research Programme 873 (MERP) (grant No. NE/L003279/1). We thank two anonymous reviewers for their insightful 874 875 comments. 876 877 878 7. References 879 Ainsworth, C.H., Walters, C.J., 2015. Ten common mistakes made in ecopath with ecosim 880 881 modelling. Ecol. Modell. 308, 14-17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2015.03.019 Alexander, K.A., Heymans, J.J., Magill, S., Tomczak, M.T., Holmes, S.J., Wilding, T.A., 882 2015. Investigating the recent decline in gadoid stocks in the west of Scotland shelf 883 ecosystem using a foodweb model 72, 436–449. 884 Alexander, K.A., Meyjes, S.A., Heymans, J.J., 2016. Spatial ecosystem modelling of marine 885 886 renewable energy installations: Guaging the utility of Ecospace. Ecol. Modell. 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2016.01.016 887 Bailey, N., Bailey, D., Bellini, L., Fernandes, P., Fox, C., Heymans, S., Holmes, S., Howe, J., 888 Hughes, S., Magill, S., McIntyre, F., McKee, D., Ryan, M., Smith, I., Tyldsley, G., 889 Watret, R., Turrell, W., 2011. The West of Scotland Marine Ecosystem : A Review of 890 Scientific Knowledge. Mar. Scotl. Sci. Rep. 292. 891

- 892 Batten, S.D., Walne, A.W., 2011. Variability in northwards extension of warm water
- copepods in the NE Pacific. J. Plankton Res. 33, 1643–1653.
- 894 https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbr065
- Baudron, A.R., Fernandes, P.G., 2015. Adverse consequences of stock recovery: European
- hake, a new "choke" species under a discard ban? Fish Fish. 16, 563–575.
- 897 https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12079
- 898 Cardinale, M., Dörner, H., Abella, A., Andersen, J.L., Casey, J., Döring, R., Kirkegaard, E.,
- 899 Motova, A., Anderson, J., Simmonds, E.J., Stransky, C., 2013. Rebuilding EU fish
- stocks and fisheries, a process under way? Mar. Policy 39, 43–52.
- 901 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2012.10.002
- 902 Christensen, V., Pauly, D., 1992. ECOPATH II—a software for balancing steady-state
- 903 ecosystem models and calculating network characteristics. Ecol. Modell. 61, 169–185.
- 904 Christensen, V., Walters, C., 2005. Using ecosystem modeling for fisheries management:
- 905 Where are we. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 19, 20–24.
- 906 Christensen, V., Walters, C.J., 2004. Ecopath with Ecosim: Methods, capabilities and
- 907 limitations. Ecol. Modell. 172, 109–139.
- 908 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2003.09.003
- 909 Christensen, V., Walters, C.J., 2004. Trade-offs in ecosytem-scale optimization of fisheries
 910 management policies. Bull. Mar. Sci. 74, 549–562.
- 911 Christensen, V., Walters, C.J., Pauly, D., Forrest, R., 2008. Ecopath with Ecosim, version 6.
- 912 User Guide., Fisheries Bethesda. University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C.,
- 913 Canada. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3800(92)90016-8
- Coll, M., Shannon, L.J., Kleisner, K.M., Juan-Jordá, M.J., Bundy, A., Akoglu, A.G., Banaru,
- 915 D., Boldt, J.L., Borges, M.F., Cook, A., Diallo, I., Fu, C., Fox, C., Gascuel, D., Gurney,
- 916 L.J., Hattab, T., Heymans, J.J., Jouffre, D., Knight, B.R., Kucukavsar, S., Large, S.I.,

- 917 Lynam, C., MacHias, A., Marshall, K.N., Masski, H., Ojaveer, H., Piroddi, C., Tam, J.,
- 918 Thiao, D., Thiaw, M., Torres, M.A., Travers-Trolet, M., Tsagarakis, K., Tuck, I., Van
- 919 Der Meeren, G.I., Yemane, D., Zador, S.G., Shin, Y.J., 2016. Ecological indicators to
- 920 capture the effects of fishing on biodiversity and conservation status of marine
- 921 ecosystems. Ecol. Indic. 60, 947–962. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.08.048
- 922 Colléter, M., Valls, A., Guitton, J., Gascuel, D., Pauly, D., Christensen, V., 2015. Global
- 923 overview of the applications of the Ecopath with Ecosim modeling approach using the
- EcoBase models repository. Ecol. Modell. 302, 42–53.
- 925 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2015.01.025
- 926 Cook, R.M., Holmes, S.J., Fryer, R.J., 2015. Grey seal predation impairs recovery of an over-
- 927 exploited fish stock. J. Appl. Ecol. 52, 969–979. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365928 2664.12439
- Cook, R.M., Trijoulet, V., 2016. The effects of grey seal predation and commercial fishing on
 the recovery of a depleted cod stock. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 73, 1–11.
- 931 https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2015-0423
- 932 Cormon, X., Kempf, A., Vermard, Y., Vinther, M., Marchal, P., 2016. Emergence of a new
- 933 predator in the North Sea: evaluation of potential trophic impacts focused on hake,
- saithe, and Norway pout. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 73, 1370–1381.
- 935 Dickey-Collas, M., Payne, M.R., Trenkel, V.M., Nash, R.D.M., 2014. Hazard warning:
- 936 Model misuse ahead. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 71, 2300–2306.
- 937 https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fst215
- 938 EC, 2015a. COMMISSION DELEGATED REGULATION (EU) 2015/2438 of 12 October
- 939 2015 establishing a discard plan for certain demersal fisheries in north-western waters.
- 940 Off. J. Eur. Union L 336/29.
- EC, 2015b. Agreed record of fisheries consultations between the European Union and

942 Norway for 2015.

- 943 EC, 2013. REGULATION (EU) No 1380/2013 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND
- 944 OF THE COUNCIL of 11 December 2013 on the Common Fisheries Policy, amending
- 945 Council Regulations (EC) No 1954/2003 and (EC) No 1224/2009 and repealing Council
- 946 Regulations (EC) No 2371/2002 and (EC. Off. J. Eur. Union L354/22.
- 947 EC, 2011. COMMUNICATION FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE EUROPEAN
- 948 PARLIAMENT, THE COUNCIL, THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL
- 949 COMMITTEE AND THE COMMITTEE OF THE REGIONS. Reform of the Common
- 950 Fisheries Policy COM (2011).
- EC, 2009. Reform of the Common Fisheries Policy. Brussels, 22.4.2009 COM(2009)163
- 952 final.
- EC, 2008. Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. Off. J. Eur.
- 954 Union 164, 19–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2008.10.006
- 955 Fernandes, P.G., Cook, R.M., 2013. Reversal of fish stock decline in the northeast atlantic.
- 956 Curr. Biol. 23, 1432–1437. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.06.016
- 957 Froese, R., Pauly, D., 2017. FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication.
- 958 Froese, R., Stern-Pirlot, A., Winker, H., Gascuel, D., 2008. Size matters: How single-species
- 959 management can contribute to ecosystem-based fisheries management. Fish. Res. 92,
- 960 231–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2008.01.005
- Garcia, S., Kolding, J., Rice, J., Rochet, M., Zhou, S., 2012. Reconsidering the Consequences
- 962 of Selective Fisheries. Science (80-.). 335, 1045–1048.
- 963 Garcia, S.M., Zerbi, A., Aliaume, C., Do Chi, T., Lasserre, G., 2003. The ecosystem
- approach to fisheries. FAO Fish. Tech. Pap. 443, 71. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.14672979.2010.00358.x
- 966 Gascuel, D., Coll, M., Fox, C., Guénette, S., Guitton, J., Kenny, A., Knittweis, L., Nielsen,

967	J.R., Piet, G., Raid, T., Travers-Trolet, M., Shephard, S., 2016. Fishing impact and
968	environmental status in European seas: A diagnosis from stock assessments and
969	ecosystem indicators. Fish Fish. 17, 31–55. https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12090
970	Haggan, N., Pitcher, T.J., 2005. Fisheries Centre Research Reports Ecosystem Simulation
971	Models of Scotland 's West Coast and Sea Lochs. Fish. Cent. Res. Reports 13.
972	Heymans, J.J., Coll, M., Libralato, S., Morissette, L., Christensen, V., 2014. Global Patterns
973	in Ecological Indicators of Marine Food Webs: A Modelling Approach. PLoS One 9,
974	e95845. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0095845
975	Heymans, J.J., Coll, M., Link, J.S., Mackinson, S., Steenbeek, J., Walters, C., Christensen,
976	V., 2016. Best practice in Ecopath with Ecosim food-web models for ecosystem-based
977	management. Ecol. Modell. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2015.12.007
978	ICES, 2017. WGMIXFISH - Report of the Working Group on Mixed Fisheries Advice for
979	the North Sea 1–24.
980	ICES, 2016a. EU request to provide a framework for the classification of stock status relative
981	to MSY proxies for selected category 3 and category 4 stocks in ICES subareas 5 to 10.
982	V2 9, 1–7.
983	ICES, 2016b. Celtic Seas Ecoregion – Ecosystem overview Version 2, 1–16.
984	ICES, 2016c. Report of the Working Group on Celtic Seas Ecoregion (WGCSE) ICES CM
985	20.
986	ICES, 2016d. Report of the Working Group on the Assessment of Demersal Stocks in the
987	North Sea and Skagerrak (WGNSSK). ICES C. 2016/ ACOM14.
988	ICES, 2016e. Report of the Working Group on Widely Distributed Stocks (WGWIDE). ICES
989	C. 2016/ACOM16 588. https://doi.org/ICES CM 2011/ACOM:15
990	ICES, 2016f. Report of the Herring Assessment Work- ing Group for the Area South of 62°N
991	(HAWG). ICES C. 2016/ACOM07. https://doi.org/ICES CM 2016/ACOM:07

- ICES, 2016g. Report of the Working Group for the Bay of Biscay and the Iberian waters
 Ecoregion (WGBIE). ICES C.
- ICES, 2016h. Report of the Working Group on Ecosystem Effects of Fishing Activities (
 WGECO). ICES C. 2016/ACOM25.
- ICES, 2015. EU request to ICES to provide FMSY ranges for selected North Sea and Baltic
 Sea stocks. ICES Advice 2015, B. 6 11 pp.
- 998 ICES, 2014a. Report of the Working Group for the Celtic Seas Ecoregion (WGCSE) ICES999 CM 20.
- 1000 ICES, 2014b. Report of the Herring Assessment Working Group for the Area South of 62°N
- 1001 (HAWG). Ices C. 2014 ACOM:06, 1257 pp. https://doi.org/2015/ACOM:06
- 1002 ICES, 2014c. Report of the Report of the Working Group on Widely Distributed Stocks
- 1003 (WGWIDE). ICES C. 2014/ACOM15.
- ICES, 2014d. Report of the Working Group for the Assess-ment of Demersal Stocks in the
 North Sea and Skagerrak (WGNSSK). ICES C. 2014/ACOM13.
- 1006 ICES, 2014e. Report of the Working Group on Ecosystem Effects of Fishing Activities (
- 1007 WGECO). ICES C. 2014/ACOM26.
- 1008 Jayasinghe, R.P.P.K., Amarasinghe, U.S., Newton, A., 2015. Evaluation of status of
- 1009 commercial fish stocks in European marine subareas using mean trophic levels of fish
- 1010 landings and spawning stock biomass. Ocean Coast. Manag. 1–10.
- 1011 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2016.07.002
- 1012 Jennings, S., Rice, J., 2011. Towards an ecosystem approach to fisheries in Europe: A
- 1013 perspective on existing progress and future directions. Fish Fish. 12, 125–137.
- 1014 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2011.00409.x
- 1015 Kaplan, I.C., Marshall, K.N., 2016. A guinea pig's tale: learning to review end-to-end marine
- 1016 ecosystem models for management applications. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 73, 1715–1724.

- 1017 Kleisner, K.M., Coll, M., Lynam, C.P., Bundy, A., Shannon, L., Shin, Y.J., Boldt, J.L., Maria
- 1018 F., B., Diallo, I., Fox, C., Gascuel, D., Heymans, J.J., Juan Jordá, M.J., Jouffre, D.,
- 1019 Large, S.I., Marshall, K.N., Ojaveer, H., Piroddi, C., Tam, J., Torres, M.A., Travers-
- 1020 Trolet, M., Tsagarakis, K., Van Der Meeren, G.I., Zador, S., 2015. Evaluating changes
- in marine communities that provide ecosystem services through comparative
- assessments of community indicators. Ecosyst. Serv. 16, 413–429.
- 1023 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2015.02.002
- 1024 Kotenev, B.N., Krovnin, A.S., Rodionov, S.N., 2011. Climate trend forecast for the
- 1025 Norwegian and Barents Seas in 2012–2025. Inst. Mar. Res. IMR, Bergen, Norw.
- 1026 Kumar, R., Pitcher, T.J., Varkey, D.A., 2017. Ecosystem approach to fisheries: Exploring
- 1027 environmental and trophic effects on Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY) reference
- point estimates. PLoS One 12, e0185575. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185575
- Larkin, P.A., 1977. An epitaph for the concept of maximum sustained yield. Trans. Am. Fish.
 Soc. 106, 1–11.
- 1031 Lees, K. and M., Mackinson, S., 2007. An Ecopath model of the Irish Sea : ecosystems
- 1032 properties and sensitivity. Sci. Ser. Tech Rep., Cefas Lowestoft 138, 49.
- 1033 Link, J.S., 2010. Adding rigor to ecological network models by evaluating a set of pre-
- balance diagnostics: A plea for PREBAL. Ecol. Modell. 221, 1580–1591.
- 1035 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2010.03.012
- 1036 Link, J.S., 2005. Translating ecosystem indicators into decision criteria. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 62,
- 1037 569–576. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icesjms.2004.12.015
- 1038 Lynam, C.P., Mackinson, S., 2015. How will fisheries management measures contribute
- towards the attainment of Good Environmental Status for the North Sea ecosystem?
- 1040 Glob. Ecol. Conserv. 4, 160–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2015.06.005
- 1041 Mackinson, S., 2014. Combined analyses reveal environmentally driven changes in the North

- 1042 Sea ecosystem and raise questions regarding what makes an ecosystem model 's
- performance credible ? Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 71, 31–46. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas2013-0173
- 1045 Mackinson, S., Daskalov, G., 2007. An ecosystem model of the North Sea to support an
- 1046 ecosystem approach to fisheries management: description and parameterisation. Sci. Ser.
 1047 Tech Rep., Cefas Lowestoft 196pp.
- 1048 Mackinson, S., Daskalov, G., Heymans, J.J., Neira, S., Arancibia, H., Zetina-Rejón, M.,
- Jiang, H., Cheng, H.Q., Coll, M., Arreguin-Sanchez, F., Keeble, K., Shannon, L., 2009.
- 1050 Which forcing factors fit? Using ecosystem models to investigate the relative influence
- 1051 of fishing and changes in primary productivity on the dynamics of marine ecosystems.
- 1052 Ecol. Modell. 220, 2972–2987. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2008.10.021
- 1053 Murawski, S.A., Davidson, C.N., Hart, Z., NOAA, Balgos, M., Wowk, K., Cicin-Sain, B.,
- 1054 2008. Ecosystem-based Management and Integrated Coastal and Ocean Management
- and Indicators for Progress. Glob. Forum Ocean. Coasts, Islands Work. Gr. Ecosyst.
- 1056 Manag. Integr. Coast. Ocean Manag. Indic. Progress.
- 1057 Patrick, W.S., Link, J.S., 2015. Myths that Continue to Impede Progress in Ecosystem-Based
- 1058 Fisheries Management. Fisheries 40, 155–160.
- 1059 https://doi.org/10.1080/03632415.2015.1024308
- 1060 Pauly, D., Watson, R., 2005. Background and interpretation of the "Marine Trophic Index" as
- a measure of biodiversity. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 360, 415–423.
- 1062 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2004.1597
- 1063 Pinnegar, J.K., 2014. DAPSTOM An Integrated Database & Portal for Fish Stomach
- 1064 Records. Cefas Contract Rep. DP332, C3746, ME1228 1–35.
- 1065 Piroddi, C., Teixeira, H., Lynam, C.P., Smith, C., Alvarez, M.C., Mazik, K., Andonegi, E.,
- 1066 Churilova, T., Tedesco, L., Chifflet, M., Chust, G., Galparsoro, I., Garcia, A.C., Kämäri,

- 1067 M., Kryvenko, O., Lassalle, G., Neville, S., Niquil, N., Papadopoulou, N., Rossberg,
- 1068 A.G., Suslin, V., Uyarra, M.C., 2015. Using ecological models to assess ecosystem
- status in support of the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive. Ecol. Indic. 58,
- 1070 175–191. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLIND.2015.05.037
- 1071 Plagányi, E., 2007. Models for an ecosystem approach to fisheries.
- 1072 Plagányi, É.E., Butterworth, D.S., 2004. A critical look at the potential of Ecopath with
- 1073 ecosim to assist in practical fisheries management. African J. Mar. Sci. 26, 261–287.

1074 https://doi.org/10.2989/18142320409504061

- 1075 Polovina, J.J., 1984. Model of a coral reef ecosystem. The ECOPATH model and its
- application to French Frigate Shoals. Coral Reefs 3, 1–11.
- 1077 Prellezo, R., Curtin, R., 2015. Confronting the implementation of marine ecosystem-based
- 1078 management within the Common Fisheries Policy reform. Ocean Coast. Manag. 117,
- 1079 43–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2015.03.005
- 1080 Queirós, A.M., Strong, J.A., Mazik, K., Carstensen, J., Bruun, J., Somerfield, P.J., Bruhn, A.,
- 1081 Ciavatta, S., Flo, E., Bizsel, N., Özaydinli, M., Chuševė, R., Muxika, I., Nygård, H.,
- 1082 Papadopoulou, N., Pantazi, M., Krause-Jensen, D., 2016. An Objective Framework to
- 1083 Test the Quality of Candidate Indicators of Good Environmental Status. Front. Mar. Sci.
- 1084 3. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2016.00073
- 1085 Ramírez-Monsalve, P., Raakjær, J., Nielsen, K.N., Santiago, J.L., Ballesteros, M., Laksá, U.,
- 1086 Degnbol, P., 2016. Ecosystem Approach to Fisheries Management (EAFM) in the EU -
- 1087 Current science-policy-society interfaces and emerging requirements. Mar. Policy 66,
- 1088 83–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2015.12.030
- 1089 Reed, J., Shannon, L., Velez, L., Akoglu, E., Bundy, A., Coll, M., Fu, C., Fulton, E.A.,
- 1090 Grüss, A., Halouani, G., Heymans, J.J., Houle, J.E., John, E., Le Loc'h, F., Salihoglu,
- B., Verley, P., Shin, Y.J., 2017. Ecosystem indicators Accounting for variability in

- species' trophic levels. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 74, 158–169.
- 1093 https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsw150
- 1094 Samhouri, J.F., Levin, P.S., Harvey, C.J., 2009. Quantitative evaluation of marine ecosystem
- indicator performance using food web models. Ecosystems 12, 1283–1298.
- 1096 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-009-9286-9
- 1097 Schaefer, M.B., 1954. Some Aspect of The Dynamics of Populations Important to The
- 1098 Management of The Commersial Merine FIsheries. Bull. Math. Biol.
- 1099 https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004
- 1100 SCOS, 2015. Scientific advice on matters related to the menagement of seal populations:
- 1101 2015. Sci. Advice Matters Relat. to Manag. Seal Popul. 2015 SCOS-BP 15/02.
- 1102 Scott, E., Serpetti, N., Steenbeek, J., Heymans, J.J., 2016. A Stepwise Fitting Procedure for
- automated fitting of Ecopath with Ecosim models. SoftwareX 5, 25–30.
- 1104 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOFTX.2016.02.002
- 1105 Serpetti, N., Baudron, A.R., Burrows, M.T., Payne, B.L., Helaouët, P., Fernandes, P.G.,
- Heymans, J.J., 2017. Impact of ocean warming on sustainable fisheries management
- informs the Ecosystem Approach to Fisheries. Sci. Rep. 7, 1–15.
- 1108 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13220-7
- 1109 Shannon, C.E., 1948. A mathematical theory of communication. Bell Syst. Tech. J. 27, 379–
- 1110 423. https://doi.org/10.1145/584091.584093
- 1111 Shephard, S., Greenstreet, S.P.R., Piet, G.J., Rindorf, A., Dickey-Collas, M., 2015.
- 1112 Surveillance indicators and their use in implementation of the Marine Strategy
- 1113 Framework Directive. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 72, 2269–2277.
- 1114 Shin, Y.J., Rochet, M.J., Jennings, S., Field, J.G., Gislason, H., 2005. Using size-based
- indicators to evaluate the ecosystem effects of fishing. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 62, 384–396.
- 1116 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icesjms.2005.01.004

- 1117 Skern-Mauritzen, M., Ottersen, G., Handegard, N.O., Huse, G., Dingsør, G.E., Stenseth,
- 1118 N.C., Kjesbu, O.S., 2015. Ecosystem processes are rarely included in tactical fisheries
- 1119 management. Fish Fish. 165–175. https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12111
- 1120 Stäbler, M., Kempf, A., Mackinson, S., Poos, J.J., Garcia, C., Temming, A., 2016.
- 1121 Combining efforts to make maximum sustainable yields and good environmental status
- 1122 match in a food-web model of the southern North Sea. Ecol. Modell. 331, 17–30.
- 1123 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2016.01.020
- 1124 Steenbeek, J., Buszowski, J., Christensen, V., Akoglu, E., Aydin, K., Ellis, N., Felinto, D.,
- 1125 Guitton, J., Lucey, S., Kearney, K., Mackinson, S., Pan, M., Platts, M., Walters, C.,
- 1126 2016. Ecopath with Ecosim as a model-building toolbox: Source code capabilities,
- extensions, and variations. Ecol. Modell. 319, 178–189.
- 1128 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2015.06.031
- 1129 The Scottish Government, 2015. Scottish Sea Fisheries Statistics 2014.
- 1130 Tomczak, M.T., Niiranen, S., Hjerne, O., Blenckner, T., 2012. Ecosystem flow dynamics in
- the Baltic Proper-Using a multi-trophic dataset as a basis for food-web modelling. Ecol.
- 1132 Modell. 230, 123–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.12.014
- 1133 Villasante, S., Arreguín-Sánchez, F., Heymans, J.J., Libralato, S., Piroddi, C., Christensen,
- 1134 V., Coll, M., 2016. Modelling marine ecosystems using the Ecopath with Ecosim food
- 1135 web approach: New insights to address complex dynamics after 30 years of
- 1136 developments. Ecol. Modell. 331, 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2016.04.017
- 1137 Walters, C., Christensen, V., 2007. Adding realism to foraging arena predictions of trophic
- flow rates in Ecosim ecosystem models: Shared foraging arenas and bout feeding. Ecol.
- 1139 Modell. 209, 342–350. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2007.06.025
- 1140 Walters, C., Christensen, V., Pauly, D., 1997. Structuring dynamic models of exploited
- ecosystems from trophic mass-balance assessments. Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. 7, 139–172.

- 1142 Walters, C.J., Christensen, V., Martell, S.J., Kitchell, J.F., 2005. Possible ecosystem impacts
- of applying MSY policies from single-species assessment. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 62, 558–
- 1144 568. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icesjms.2004.12.005
- 1145
- 1146

1147 **8. Tables**

1148

Table 1. Fishing mortalities for the main west of Scotland commercial species used in the model simulations with corresponding references. $F_{\text{status quo}}$ corresponds to the last historical F value observed (i.e. F_{2013}). F_{MSY} corresponds to the single stock F value from ICES supposed to achieve MSY. For demersal species, the $F_{\text{MSY lower}}$ and $F_{\text{MSY upper}}$ values from ICES defining the $F_{\text{MSY range}}$ are also given with their corresponding references (*for monkfish, since no F_{MSY} range values are defined for the stock comprising ICES area VIa the $F_{\text{MSY range}}$ values for ICES areas IIXc and IXa were used instead as best available proxy).

1156

Fisherv	Species	Fstatus	FMSY	Reference	FMSY	FMSY	Reference	
sj		quo	- 1101		lower	upper		
	Cod	0.60	0.17	ICES, 2016c	0.11	0.25	ICES, 2016a	
	Whiting	0.06	0.18	ICES, 2016c	0.15	0.18	ICES, 2016a	
Domonal	Haddock	0.17	0.19	ICES, 2016d	0.18	0.19	ICES, 2016d	
Demersai	Saithe	0.07	0.36	ICES, 2016d	0.20	0.42	ICES, 2015	
	Hake	0.04	0.28	ICES, 2016g	0.18	0.45	ICES, 2016a	
	Monkfish	0.14	0.31	ICES, 2016g	0.18*	0.41*	ICES, 2016a	
	Herring	0.21	0.16	ICES, 2016f				
	Mackerel	0.13	0.22	ICES, 2016e				
Pelagic	Horse mackerel	0.30	0.09	ICES, 2016e				
	Blue whiting	0.11	0.30	ICES, 2016e				
Crustaceans	Nephrops	0.08	0.109	ICES, 2016c				

1157

Table 2. Comparison of the eight candidate models fitted with the stepwise fitting procedure showing the total number parameters estimated (equal to the sum of the number of vulnerabilities and the number of spline points of the forcing function estimated), the model sum of squares (SS), the percentage of reduction of SS compared to the baseline model, and the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). The best fitted model is highlighted in bold.

Model	Description	Number of vulnerabilities	Number of spline points	Total number of parameters estimated	SS	AIC	Fitting: % improvement SS
1	Baseline	0	0	0	1620.04	242.07	-
2	Baseline + trophic effects	0	0	0	1620.04	242.07	0
3	Baseline + environmental forcing	0	5	5	1550.87	192.99	4
4	Baseline + trophic effects + environmental forcing	34	5	39	1177.68	-109.68	27
5	Fishing	0	0	0	1219.31	-142.97	25
6	Fishing + trophic effects	29	0	29	626.61	-985.70	61
7	Fishing + environmental forcing	0	5	5	1113.15	-256.37	31
8	Fishing + trophic effects + environmental forcing	24	3	27	614.30	-1016.76	62

1165 **9. Figure legends**

1166

Figure 1. Shelf area of the west of Scotland (blue) included in the model.

1168

Figure 2. a: Fishing mortalities used to perform forward simulations, together with the F_{MSY} range from ICES and the $F_{MSY range}$ explored with the model. **b**: Fishing mortalities achieving the earliest recovery of cod and whiting above B_{pa} across all levels of seal cull (no cull, 5% cull and 10% cull) together with the $F_{MSY range}$ values from ICES. **c**: Fishing mortalities identified for the scenario achieving the best GES indicator values overall together with the $F_{MSY range}$ values from ICES.

1175

Figure 3. Food web structure of the model. Nodes represent functional groups within the ecosystem; the size of the node is proportional to the biomass it represents. Biomass flows enter a node from the bottom and exit a node from the top and are scaled to flow proportion. The y-axis indicates the trophic level of the functional groups.

1180

1181 Figure 4. Biomass outputs from the model plotted with the observed biomass data time series used to fit the model (black dots). From 1985 to 2013, the black line shows the outputs from 1182 1183 the model hindcast. From 2014 to 2033, outputs from the forward simulation are shown for the 1184 status quo scenario (in black), F_{MSY} scenario (in red), scenarios achieving the earliest recovery of cod and whiting above B_{pa} (in grey) across all levels of seal cull (no cull, 5% cull and 10% 1185 cull), and the scenario achieving the best GES indicator values overall (in green). Scenarios 1186 1187 with the earliest cod and whiting recovery were achieved with only one F for some groups (e.g., whiting), but several possible F values for others (e.g., monkfish, see Fig. 2) resulting in 1188 1189 several grey lines over the simulation period. The grey shaded area shows the confidence interval around the model hindcast from 1985 to 2013, and around the best GES scenario (ingreen) from 2014 to 2033.

1192

Figure 5. GES indicators calculated from the model outputs plotted with the values calculated from observed data (black dots). From 1985-2013, the black line shows the GES indicators calculated from the model hindcast. From 2014 to 2033, GES indicators calculated from the forward simulations outputs are shown for the status quo scenario (in black), F_{MSY} scenario (in red), scenarios achieving the earliest recovery of cod and whiting above B_{pa} (in grey) across all levels of seal cull (no cull, 5% cull and 10% cull), and the scenario achieving the best GES indicator values overall (in green).

1200

Figure 6. Predation mortality (year⁻¹) under the single stock F_{MSY} scenario experienced by juvenile cod (a), juvenile whiting (b) and whiting (c).

1203

Supplementary figure S1. The three spline points forcing function (in grey) from the best model identified by the fitting procedure plotted together with the environmental indices a: Sea Surface Temperature (SST), b: North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and c: Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO). On each panel, the index smoothed values and the obtained by fitting a Loess (local regression) smoothing curve with a span of 0.5 (thick black line) are shown alongside the raw values (thin black line) for easier visual comparison with the trend of the forcing function.

1211

Supplementary Figure S2. Catch outputs from the model plotted with the observed biomass data time series used to fit the model (black dots). From 1985-2013, the black line shows the outputs from the model hindcast. From 2014 to 2033, outputs from the forward simulation are

1215 shown for the status quo scenario (in black), F_{MSY} scenario (in red), scenarios achieving the 1216 fastest recovery of cod and whiting above B_{pa} (in grey) across all levels of seal cull (no cull, 1217 5% cull and 10% cull), and the scenario achieving the best GES indicator values overall (in 1218 green). Scenarios with the earliest cod and whiting recovery were achieved with only one F for 1219 some groups (e.g., whiting), but several possible F values for others (e.g., monkfish) resulting 1220 in several grey lines over the simulation period.

1221

Supplementary Figure S3. Comparison of the temporal changes in the diet composition (in
% of prey consumed) of grey seals between the status quo scenario (top panel) and the F_{MSY}
scenario (bottom panel).

1225

1226 Supplementary Figure S4. Biomass outputs from model simulations extended to 2100 to 1227 allow for the ecosystem to reach equilibrium. The observed biomass data time series used to fit the model are shown with black dots. From 1985 to 2013, the black line shows the outputs 1228 1229 from the model hindcast. From 2014 to 2100, outputs from the forward simulation are shown for the status quo scenario (in black), F_{MSY} scenario (in red), scenarios achieving the earliest 1230 recovery of cod and whiting above B_{pa} (in grey) across all levels of seal cull (no cull, 5% cull 1231 and 10% cull), and the scenario achieving the best GES indicator values overall (in green). 1232 1233 Scenarios with the earliest cod and whiting recovery were achieved with only one F for some 1234 groups (e.g., whiting), but several possible F values for others (e.g., monkfish) resulting in several grey lines over the simulation period. The grey shaded area shows the confidence 1235 interval around the model hindcast from 1985 to 2013, and around the best GES scenario (in 1236 1237 green) from 2014 to 2100.