

Journal Pre-proof

On the relationship between mathematics and visuospatial processing in Turner syndrome

Joseph M. Baker, Megan Klabunde, Booil Jo, Tamar Green, Allan L. Reiss



PII: S0022-3956(19)30538-2

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2019.11.004>

Reference: PIAT 3769

To appear in: *Journal of Psychiatric Research*

Received Date: 8 May 2019

Revised Date: 8 November 2019

Accepted Date: 12 November 2019

Please cite this article as: Baker JM, Klabunde M, Jo B, Green T, Reiss AL, On the relationship between mathematics and visuospatial processing in Turner syndrome, *Journal of Psychiatric Research* (2019), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2019.11.004>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2019 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

**On the relationship between mathematics and
visuospatial processing in Turner syndrome**

Journal Pre-proof

Introduction

Advanced mathematics is a uniquely human ability that emerges as a function of basic numerical and visuospatial competencies (Dehaene, 2011; Dehaene et al., 1999). Evidence from studies of infant behavior highlight humans ability to discern numerical information from visuospatial displays within hours after birth (Izard et al., 2009). Throughout development, many of the core concepts that underlie mathematics (e.g., ordinality, cardinality) develop through repeated interactions with low-level non-verbal numerical magnitudes and visuospatial stimuli (Ansari, 2008). Within typically developing populations, associations between numeracy and visuospatial reasoning are evident in infancy, are predictive of mathematical aptitude years prior to the onset of language (Lauer and Lourenco, 2016), and persist throughout development (Geer et al., 2019; Möhring et al., 2018; Rittle-Johnson et al., 2019)

. The onset of language and other key developmental milestones ultimately assist in the development of mathematical abilities by attributing a symbolic representation (e.g., Arabic digits) to non-verbal numerical quantities (Dehaene, 2011). Despite language's role in mathematics, evidence suggests that one's ability to discern low-level numerical information (e.g., numerical magnitude) from visuospatial displays strongly predicts mathematical aptitude throughout life (Halberda et al., 2008; Libertus et al., 2012).

Disruption of basic low-level numerical abilities is associated with inhibited mathematical capability throughout development (Halberda et al., 2008; 2012; Libertus et al., 2012; 2011; Mazzocco et al., 2011). For example, students with learning disabilities specific to mathematics (e.g., dyscalculia) demonstrate significantly poorer approximate numerical discrimination performance than typically achieving students, and this relationship persists even after controlling for domain-general math abilities (Mazzocco et al., 2011). Moreover, in this sample, children's low-level numerical ability failed to differentiate low achieving from typically achieving students, suggesting that deficits to core numerical processing is relegated specifically to individuals with math learning disability. Furthermore, dyscalculia has also been associated with poor visuospatial processing skills, suggesting that deficits in visuospatial reasoning may be a domain general cause of math learning disability (Hong et al., 2009). Taken together, these results and others (Irwin, 1996; Simon et al., 2008) highlight the overlapping relationship between visuospatial perception and one's mathematical abilities (Ansari, 2008; Baker and Reiss, 2015; Dehaene, 2011; Halberda et al., 2012; Irwin, 1996; Izard et al., 2009; Libertus et al., 2011).

The specific nature of this relationship has important implications for patient populations, such as children and adults with Turner syndrome. Turner syndrome (TS) is a relatively common (1 in 1,900) genetic abnormality that occurs in females and results from the complete or partial loss of one sex chromosome (Baker and Reiss, 2015; Davenport et al., 2007). While overall IQ commonly remains intact in this population, individuals with TS are at a greater risk for difficulties with mathematics and visuospatial reasoning compared to their peers (Baker and Reiss, 2015; Hong et al., 2009; Rovet, 1993; Simon et al., 2008). Interestingly, deficits within these domains may be further isolated to individual subsystems of math and visuospatial cognition. For example, meta-analysis of all studies investigating math processing in individuals with TS highlights a pronounced performance deficit in math problems that require explicit calculation (e.g., addition, subtraction, multiplication, division) compared to non-calculation math questions (e.g., bisection, counting, digit comparison, reading numbers, transcoding numbers) (Baker and Reiss, 2015). Similarly, children with TS have been shown to underperform their peers on some tests of visuospatial reasoning (e.g., visuo-perceptual), but not

60 others (e.g., tactile-spatial), thus supporting theories of modularity in the development of spatial
61 skill (Temple and Carney, 1995). Furthermore, despite coincident difficulties in both cognitive
62 domains, not all visuospatial processing deficits common within individuals with TS are related
63 to their math difficulties, indicating that such shortfalls may arise from distinct
64 neuropsychological deficits (Hong and Reiss, 2014; Mazzocco, 2001). For instance, visuospatial
65 tasks that focus on the spatial location (i.e., where) aspects of a display may be more related to
66 the math deficits seen in TS as compared to tasks that focus on the object (i.e., what) aspects of a
67 display (Mazzocco et al., 2006). Taken together, these findings and others (Simon et al., 2008)
68 indicate that not all visuospatial domains are alike insofar as their relationship to mathematics in
69 TS.

70 The results from these studies indicate that associations between visuospatial processing and
71 math performance varies across visuospatial subdomains and is mediated by the chromosomal
72 abnormalities related to TS. These findings have important implications for our understanding of
73 the relationship between mathematics and visuospatial processing in general, as well as the role
74 that TS may have on individual elements of this interaction. Specifically, identifying the
75 visuospatial domains that are most closely related to math performance could further clarify how
76 these ubiquitous processes interact. Furthermore, these findings may also elucidate the
77 neurocognitive precipitants for math deficits in individuals with TS. This, in turn, may lead to
78 novel interventions for improving math performance in TS (Butterworth and Kovas, 2013).

79 For this study, we conducted a longitudinal analysis of the relationship between mathematics
80 and visuospatial processing in age-matched adolescent girls with and without TS (45,X0
81 monosomy). Specifically, we hypothesized that subdomains of visuospatial processing, assessed
82 through a battery of standardized neuropsychological evaluations, differ in their relationships to
83 mathematics. Based on previous research, we expect such differences to emerge in visuospatial
84 domains that target visuomotor skills and spatial orientation (Kesler et al., 2006; Mazzocco et al.,
85 2006; Temple and Carney, 1995). Moreover, we also hypothesized that the participant's group
86 affiliation (i.e., TS or control) will influence this relationship and thus highlight the subdomains
87 of visuospatial processing that are uniquely impacted by TS.

88
89

Methods

90 All data reported herein were collected as part of a longitudinal assessment of the interaction
91 between genes, brain development, and behavior in adolescent girls with TS and their peers. The
92 presence of monosomic TS was established in all participants via parent of origin analysis. In
93 order to reduce the likelihood of spurious results due to inclusion of TS participants with partial
94 chromosomal loss (i.e., mosaicism), only individuals with classical monosomy (45,X) were
95 included in the TS group. A total of 112 participants ($N_{\text{Turner}} = 54$, mean age = 11.1yrs, range =
96 7.0 – 15.9yrs; $N_{\text{Control}} = 58$, mean age = 11.5yrs, range = 6.3 – 17.3yrs; see Table 1 for
97 participant demographic breakdown across yearly visits to Stanford) were recruited for
98 participation and underwent a battery of standardized neuropsychological assessments once a
99 year for four years. The majority of individuals in both groups were white (TS = 88.9%, control
100 = 66.7%), with a similar distribution of black participants (TS = 3.2%, control = 2.7%). The
101 control group contained a greater proportion of Asian participants (TS = 0%, control = 12.7%),
102 as well as participants who declined to state their race (TS = 0%, control = 18.2%). The inter-
103 quartile range of household income was slightly higher in the control (IQR = \$100,000 -
104 \$200,000 per year) compared to TS group (IQR = \$75,000 - \$150,000). No comparisons

105 achieved statistical significance after controlling for inflated Type I errors due to multiple
106 testing. English was the primary language spoken in the home for all participants.

107 All experimental activities were carried out in accordance with the latest version of the
108 Declaration of Helsinki, and were approved by the Stanford University Institutional Review
109 Board. Prior to participation, informed consent was obtained from the parent or caregiver of each
110 participant, and informed assent was obtained from each participant. Trained assessors
111 administered all tests in the standardized battery while under the direct supervision of a licensed
112 clinical psychologist. The test battery included a broad assortment of standardized
113 neuropsychological, cognitive, and academic achievement tests, which included but were not
114 limited to measures of mathematics and visuospatial reasoning. In order to assess a broad range
115 of visuospatial domains, we employed the following assessments:

- 116 • Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children 4th Edition (WISC-IV). Five primary index scores
117 (visual spatial index, verbal comprehension index, fluid reasoning index, working memory
118 index, and processing speed index) yielded 3 composite scores to measure cognitive abilities
119 relevant to assessment and identification of specific learning disabilities.
- 120 • The Wide Range Assessment of Visual Motor Abilities (WRAVMA). Assesses three
121 domains (visual motor, visual-spatial, and fine motor) to evaluate visual-motor skills of
122 children and adolescents.
- 123 • The developmental NEuroPSYchological Assessment (NEPSY). Six functional domains
124 made up of 32 subtests and four delayed tasks that are designed to assess cognitive abilities
125 related to disorders that are typically diagnosed in childhood and that are required for success
126 in an academic environment.

127 A complete list and description of all subtests used for the current study may be found in Table 2
128 (see Table 3 for performance means and standard errors). The primary outcome variable for each
129 model reported below was participant's performance on the Wide Range Achievement Test 4th
130 Edition (WRAT-4) Math Computation subtest. This commonly used standardized test of math
131 achievement consists of 40 items of graded difficulty that is administered in a 15-minute session,
132 and included simple whole number arithmetic, problems with fractions and decimals, long
133 division, percentages, and algebra with exponents and two unknowns. The test yielded a
134 composite score and age-appropriate norms that yield interpretation about grade-appropriate
135 attainment of mathematical achievement (Wilkinson et al., 2006). In order to assess the
136 relationship between participant's performance on the WRAT-4 and each visuospatial measure¹,
137 we employed linear mixed effects (LME) modeling. All models were constructed and evaluated
138 in R (Team, 2017) using the 'lme4' package (Bates et al., 2015). LME is optimally suited for
139 modeling longitudinal data, as it accommodates both multilevel fixed and random-effects to
140 account for within-subject nested data, and does not require that time-points be matched between
141 participants (Raudenbush and Bryk, 2002).

142 We started with a basic model containing a random intercept allowing for variation across
143 *participants* in terms of their baseline, separately for the TS and Control groups. Next, we added
144 a random slope term to allow for individual variation in terms of how they change over time. The
145 two model specifications were then compared in each group using a likelihood ratio test to
146 determine whether an additional random slope term is necessary. Comparison of these models

¹ Pearson's correlation revealed significant collinearity between each visuospatial predictor (see Table 4). While expected, this has implications for our models, as inclusion of multiple collinear independent variables in the same model will influence the predictive power of our visuospatial predictors. In order to eliminate inclusion of collinear predicting variables in our models, each model contained only one visuospatial predictor.

147 was done to establish the optimal growth model onto which *group* and each *visuospatial*
148 *predictor* would be regressed. Similarly, we also compared models with and without allowing for
149 nonlinear trends by adding a quadratic growth term. After selection of the optimal growth model,
150 a total of 8 models were ran, each of which containing a fixed effect for group and one
151 visuospatial predictor. The significance of each predictor and their interaction was then assessed.
152

153 Results

154 Prior to modeling, we visually inspected the shape and distribution of each data set. All data
155 were largely normally distributed (see Figures 1a & 2a-h) for the TS and control groups and
156 showed lower performance for the TS group across each measure. We then produced scatter
157 plots of each data set that showed group-level means and standard error for each measure across
158 each year of the study (see Figures 1b & 2i-p). Similar to the density plots collapsed across
159 years, these plots show a clear distinction in group performance on each task. Furthermore, these
160 plots show a similar longitudinal trajectory of scores for both groups, which is apparent in the
161 largely parallel group lines in each plot.

162 Based on comparisons of models with and without random intercept, and with and without a
163 quadratic growth term using likelihood ratio test, our results indicate that adding a random slope
164 or quadratic term does not improve the fit. Given this finding, we consistently used random
165 intercept models imposing a linear trend over time. Table 5 provides the predictor coefficients
166 and significance for each model. The estimated difference in WRAT-4 performance across all
167 testing points was significantly lower for the TS compared to the control groups within all
168 models (see Table 5 ‘Group Effect’). Depending on the model, the average difference in WRAT-
169 4 performance between the TS and control groups is estimated to vary between 14.14 and 29.32
170 points. The ‘Time Effect’ variable was not significant within any model, indicating that
171 participant’s testing session (1-4) did not account for significant variance in WRAT-4
172 performance.

173 Only four visuospatial variables (i.e., WISC IV Block Design, WISC IV Symbol Search,
174 NEPSY Arrows, and NEPSY Picture Puzzle) significantly predicted WRAT-4 performance in
175 our sample. Furthermore, of these visuospatial predictors, only WISC IV Block Design and
176 NEPSY Picture Puzzle were significantly influenced by group affiliation. That is, while WISC
177 IV Symbol Search and NEPSY Arrows significantly predicted WRAT-4 performance, they did
178 so equally for the Turner and control groups. Conversely, the significant interaction between
179 group and both WISC IV Block Design and NEPSY Picture Puzzle tests indicate that their
180 association with WRAT-4 performance was significantly inter-dependent on group affiliation.
181 These outcomes indicate that TS influences performance on the WISC IV Block Design and
182 NEPSY Picture Puzzle tasks.

183 Discussion

184 Our results show a clear distinction between TS and control groups on math performance that
185 is persistent over time. Specifically, girls with TS showed poorer math performance compared to
186 their age-matched peers (see Figure 1a), and this deficit was consistent across all 4 years of our
187 study (see Figure 1b). Notably, our random intercept model closely predicted all participants’
188 math performance for each year of the study (see Figure 1b). These findings are important, as
189 they indicate high levels of agreement between observed and estimated WRAT-4 performance
190 outcomes. Furthermore, our results indicate that girls with TS demonstrate persistent deficits
191 relative to their peers in each of the visuospatial domains that we tested. While visually apparent
192

193 in Figures 1 and 2, these differences were confirmed statistically for WRAT-4 performance by
194 significant Group effects in each model, and for each visuospatial measure via exploratory
195 analyses not reported above². Both groups are largely homogenous and normally distributed
196 across scores on each measure (see Figure 1a & 2 a-h), suggesting that subgroups characterized
197 by distinct neurocognitive profiles are not readily apparent within either group included in our
198 study. However, such groups may emerge in studies containing a larger sample size, and should
199 be further explored. Finally, performance differences across groups in our study were consistent
200 over time, which is visually apparent in the largely parallel Group lines across years of study in
201 Figures 1b & 2i-p.

202 Taken together, these results may have important implications for describing how TS impacts
203 math and visuospatial processing throughout development. For example, our results suggest that
204 neurocognitive deficits associated with TS emerge early in development, prior to our assessment
205 at approximately 6 years of age. Indeed, it currently remains unknown if girls with TS are born
206 with comparable neurocognitive abilities as their peers, which then diverge early in development,
207 or whether such deficits are present at birth. This distinction is important, however, as it may
208 help elucidate the neurobiological underpinnings of poor mathematics in TS. That is, the former
209 argument may indicate that the neurobiological infrastructure that is known to support basic
210 visuospatial and numerical processing (Dehaene, 2011) is disrupted in infants with TS, leading to
211 atypical behavioral performance on tests of visuospatial processing and numeracy from birth.
212 Alternatively, these abilities may be intact in infants with TS, leading to similar behavioral
213 performance as neurotypical peers in infancy that diverged developmentally prior to our
214 assessment. In either case, our results indicate that girls with TS follow a similar developmental
215 trajectory as their peers throughout adolescence despite decreased overall performance, and
216 support previous claims that impaired mathematical and visuospatial abilities in TS persist
217 throughout development (Baker and Reiss, 2015; Hong and Reiss, 2014; Kesler et al., 2006;
218 Mazzocco et al., 2006; Simon et al., 2008).

219 Closer inspection of the relationship between visuospatial processing and math performance
220 in our sample revealed inconsistencies across visuospatial domains. For instance, only 4 of the 8
221 visuospatial predictors included in our study (see Table 4) were significantly related to math
222 performance in our sample. The WISC IV Symbol Search and NEPSY Arrows tasks were
223 equally related to WRAT-4 performance within the TS and control groups, suggesting that the
224 visuospatial domains targeted by these tests generally relate to math performance equally across
225 groups. Conversely, our results highlight a significant interaction between group affiliation and
226 performance on the WISC IV Block Design and NEPSY Picture Puzzle tasks, indicating that the
227 significant relationship between mathematics and the visuospatial domain targeted by these tasks
228 differs significantly between adolescents with TS and their peers.

229 A common feature of the Block Design and Picture Puzzle tasks is their reliance on figure-
230 ground discriminations. When presented with visual stimuli, humans use cues such as object
231 size, color, and shape to aid in the perception of depth from two-dimensional retinal images.
232 Ultimately, this useful ability allows us to identify individual shapes embedded within a design.
233 In Ruben's classic example, the outline of a vase is apparent within the empty space between two
234 profiles (Figure 3) (Hershenson, 1999); Viewers commonly report a black vase atop a white
235 background, or two white profiles above a black background, and often switch between the two.

² Exploratory t-test analyses indicated significant performance differences between TS and control groups on each visuospatial measure when all assessment timepoints are combined. All exploratory analyses were corrected for inflated Type I error using the FDR correction procedure.

236 As a mathematical analogy, part-whole understanding refers to a person's ability to split
237 equations into individual components. For example, ' $1 + 2 = X$ ' requires an understanding that
238 the two parts (i.e., 1 and 2) may be added together to find a missing whole (i.e., X). When posed
239 with ' $1 + X = 3$ ', the property of equality allows us to solve for 'X' by subtracting '1' from both
240 sides of the equation. However, in order for a student to apply these and other rules, they must
241 first be able to identify and switch between elements of the equation. We hypothesize that
242 disrupted figure-ground perceptual abilities related to TS may negatively affect the fundamental
243 ability to identify and parse the elements of math equations. That is, girls with TS may have
244 difficulty discriminating the *part* and *whole* elements of an equation, leading to poor overall
245 math performance compared to their peers. As this perceptual deficit is associated specifically
246 with TS, this may account for the significant Group x Predictor interaction that we observed for
247 the Block Design and Picture Puzzle tests.

248 Interestingly, disruption of the relationship between number and visuospatial properties in TS
249 may also be indicative of a specific deficit in a core cognitive function related to the perception
250 of number. Specifically, *number sense* – the ability to perceive and discriminate nonverbal
251 quantities on the basis of number – is thought to be a shared evolutionary ability that forms the
252 cognitive foundation for our uniquely human numerical abilities such as mathematics (Dehaene,
253 2011). Disruption of number sense in humans may manifest behaviorally in a manner similar to
254 other forms of math learning disabilities such as dyscalculia (Price et al., 2007). However, given
255 the differing underlying cause of math deficits between TS and other groups with similar
256 behavioral phenotypes, the optimal course of treatment may differ. That is, instead of arising
257 from deficits in domain general processes such as poor executive processing, attention, or
258 working memory, which affect many educational domains including math, women with TS may
259 struggle with mathematics because of a specific deficit in their ability to perceive and process
260 numerical information from a visuospatial display. Future studies should investigate this
261 hypothesis in greater detail.

262 While our results and others indicate that many aspects of visuospatial cognition are
263 related to math performance, the degree to which other neurocognitive deficits common to TS
264 inhibit mathematics in this group is intriguing. For instance, girls and women with TS often
265 demonstrate deficits, relative to their peers, in tests of attention, executive function, working
266 memory, cognitive flexibility, and abstract reasoning (Hart et al., 2006; Lepage et al., 2011).
267 Within typically developing populations, these domain general abilities have been associated
268 with specific subsets of mathematics. For instance, Hassinger-Das and colleagues report that
269 attention problems were significantly related to students' calculation performance, whereas
270 executive functioning issues were significantly related to performance on applied math problems
271 (Hassinger-Das et al., 2014). Within the TS population, associations between math and domain
272 general skills are not consistently reported (Hong et al., 2009), suggesting that numerical
273 processing deficits may be more associated with numeracy-specific deficits in this group
274 compared to their executive functioning abilities (Mazzocco and Hanich, 2010). However, a
275 consistent finding within the TS population is a dramatic decline in math performance when a
276 timing restriction is in effect (Baker and Reiss, 2015). These findings indicate that processing
277 speed related to mathematics and number-related material may be uniquely affected in girls with
278 TS, which in turn has a significantly negative influence on their math performance. Future
279 research is needed to fully elucidate the relationship between domain general processes on
280 mathematics in the TS population.

281 Our findings may have significant implications for optimal approaches to math education for
282 girls with TS. For instance, understanding part-whole relationships is a key ability required to
283 solve mathematical problems (Irwin, 1996), and may be a prime target of focus when teaching
284 math to girls with TS. Specifically, extended efforts to improve the ability to identify and parse
285 elements of an equation, especially early in math training, may help address math learning
286 deficits that are specific to TS. These efforts may be reinforced by introducing figure-ground
287 perceptual training into the educational plan of children with TS, with the goal of improving
288 basic perceptual abilities prior to the introduction of complex math concepts. Furthermore,
289 training basic numerical competencies (Park and Brannon, 2014; 2013; Thompson and Opfer,
290 2008; Valle-Lisboa et al., 2016) by improving visuospatial processing in collaboration with
291 enhancement of other cognitive abilities such as executive functioning, attention, and working
292 memory may prove particularly beneficial for the TS population. Importantly, in each instance,
293 training may be given in the form of a computer- or tablet-based application that embeds each
294 element in a game-like application (Halberda et al., 2012; Park and Brannon, 2014; Valle-Lisboa
295 et al., 2016).

296 We experienced a large amount of participant attrition across the duration of our study (see
297 Table 1). A large component of our longitudinal study that was not presented here is the use of
298 structural and functional MRI to assess the neural development of the girls in our cohort. As
299 such, participation at each time point was contingent upon participant's adherence to our MRI
300 protocol, and multiple participants were lost to common life occurrences that restricted this
301 adherence (e.g., braces). Other common reasons for exiting our study included moving away
302 from the region, financial restrictions, or loss of interest. Notably, our analysis approach (i.e.,
303 linear mixed effects modeling) is robust to missing data (Raudenbush and Bryk, 2002), and thus
304 minimized the impact of attrition on our results. However, as mentioned above, a larger or more
305 generalizable participant sample may highlight important characteristics that were missed in our
306 results. Moreover, as psychosocial functioning in TS is known to change over time (Dołęga et
307 al., 2014), it may be important to interrogate the developmental relationship between social
308 factors (e.g., psychosexual development, personal and family resources, socio-economic status,
309 etc.), mathematics, and visuospatial reasoning.

310

311 **References:**

- 312 Ansari, D., 2008. Effects of development and enculturation on number representation in the
313 brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 9, 278–291. doi:10.1038/nrn2334
- 314 Baker, J.M., Reiss, A.L., 2015. A meta-analysis of math performance in Turner syndrome.
315 *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*.
- 316 Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., 2015. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using
317 lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* 67, 1–48. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01
- 318 Butterworth, B., Kovas, Y., 2013. Understanding neurocognitive developmental disorders can
319 improve education for all. *Science* 340, 300–305.
- 320 Davenport, M.L., Hooper, S.R., Zeger, M., 2007. Turner syndrome in childhood. *Neurogenetic*
321 *developmental disorders: variation of manifestation in childhood* 03–45.
- 322 Dehaene, S., 2011. The number sense: How the mind creates mathematics.
- 323 Dehaene, S., Spelke, E., Pinel, P., Stanescu, R., Tsivkin, S., 1999. Sources of mathematical
324 thinking: Behavioral and brain-imaging evidence. *Science* 284, 970–974.
- 325 Dołęga, Z., Jeż, W., Irzyniec, T., 2014. The cohort effect in studies related to differences in
326 psychosocial functioning of women with Turner syndrome. *Endokrynologia Polska* 65, 287–
327 294. doi:10.5603/EP.2014.0039
- 328 Geer, E.A., Quinn, J.M., Ganley, C.M., 2019. Relations between spatial skills and math
329 performance in elementary school children: A longitudinal investigation. *Developmental*
330 *Psychology* 55, 637.
- 331 Halberda, J., Ly, R., Wilmer, J.B., Naiman, D.Q., Germine, L., 2012. Number sense across the
332 lifespan as revealed by a massive Internet-based sample. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 109,
333 11116–11120.
- 334 Halberda, J., Mazocco, M.M., Feigenson, L., 2008. Individual differences in non-verbal number
335 acuity correlate with maths achievement. *Nature* 455, 665–668.
- 336 Hart, S.J., Davenport, M.L., Hooper, S.R., Belger, A., 2006. Visuospatial executive function in
337 Turner syndrome: functional MRI and neurocognitive findings. *Brain* 129, 1125–1136.
338 doi:https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awl046
- 339 Hassinger-Das, B., Jordan, N.C., Glutting, J., Irwin, C., Dyson, N., 2014. Domain-general
340 mediators of the relation between kindergarten number sense and first-grade mathematics
341 achievement. *J Exp Child Psychol* 118, 78–92. doi:10.1016/j.jecp.2013.09.008
- 342 Hershenson, M., 1999. *Visual Space Perception*. MIT Press.
- 343 Hong, D., Scaletta Kent, J., Kesler, S., 2009. Cognitive profile of Turner syndrome.
344 *Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews* 15, 270–278. doi:10.1002/ddr.79
- 345 Hong, D.S., Reiss, A.L., 2014. Cognitive and neurological aspects of sex chromosome
346 aneuploidies. *The Lancet Neurology* 13, 306–318. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70302-8
- 347 Irwin, K.C., 1996. Children's Understanding of the Principles of Covariation and Compensation
348 in Part-Whole Relationships. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education* 27, 25.
349 doi:10.2307/749195
- 350 Izard, V., Sann, C., Spelke, E.S., Streri, A., 2009. Newborn infants perceive abstract numbers.
351 *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106, 10382–10385. doi:10.1073/pnas.0812142106
- 352 Kesler, S.R., Menon, V., Reiss, A.L., 2006. Neurofunctional Differences Associated with
353 Arithmetic Processing in Turner Syndrome. *Cereb. Cortex* 16, 849–856.
354 doi:10.1093/cercor/bhj028

Running Head: TURNER SYNDROME MATH AND VISUOSPATIAL PROCESSING

- 355 Lauer, J.E., Lourenco, S.F., 2016. Spatial Processing in Infancy Predicts Both Spatial and
 356 Mathematical Aptitude in Childhood:. *Psychological Science* 27, 1291–1298.
 357 doi:10.1177/0956797616655977
- 358 Lepage, J.-F., Dunkin, B., Hong, D.S., Reiss, A.L., 2011. Contribution of Executive Functions to
 359 Visuospatial Difficulties in Prepubertal Girls With Turner Syndrome. *DEVELOPMENTAL*
 360 *NEUROPSYCHOLOGY* 36, 988–1002. doi:10.1080/87565641.2011.584356
- 361 Libertus, M.E., Feigenson, L., Halberda, J., 2011. Preschool acuity of the approximate number
 362 system correlates with school math ability. *Developmental science* 14, 1292–1300.
 363 doi:10.1111/j.1467-7687.2011.01080.x
- 364 Libertus, M.E., Odic, D., Halberda, J., 2012. Intuitive sense of number correlates with math
 365 scores on college-entrance examination. *Acta Psychologica* 141, 373–379.
 366 doi:10.1016/j.actpsy.2012.09.009
- 367 Mazzocco, M.M.M., 2001. Math Learning Disability and Math LD Subtypes. *J Learn Disabil* 34,
 368 520–533. doi:10.1177/002221940103400605
- 369 Mazzocco, M.M.M., Feigenson, L., Halberda, J., 2011. Impaired Acuity of the Approximate
 370 Number System Underlies Mathematical Learning Disability (Dyscalculia). *Child*
 371 *Development* 82, 1224–1237. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2011.01608.x
- 372 Mazzocco, M.M.M., Hanich, L.B., 2010. Math achievement, numerical processing, and
 373 executive functions in girls with Turner syndrome: Do girls with Turner syndrome have
 374 math learning disability? *Learning and Individual Differences* 20, 70–81.
 375 doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2009.10.011
- 376 Mazzocco, M.M.M., Singh Bhatia, N., Lesniak-Karpiak, K., 2006. Visuospatial skills and their
 377 Association with Math performance in Girls with Fragile X or Turner Syndrome. *Child*
 378 *Neuropsychology* 12, 87–110. doi:10.1080/09297040500266951
- 379 Möhring, W., Frick, A., Newcombe, N.S., 2018. Spatial scaling, proportional thinking, and
 380 numerical understanding in 5- to 7-year-old children. *Cognitive Development* 45, 57–67.
 381 doi:10.1016/j.cogdev.2017.12.001
- 382 Park, J., Brannon, E.M., 2014. Improving arithmetic performance with number sense training:
 383 An investigation of underlying mechanism. *Cognition* 133, 188–200.
 384 doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2014.06.011
- 385 Park, J., Brannon, E.M., 2013. Training the Approximate Number System Improves Math
 386 Proficiency. *Psychological Science* 24, 2013–2019. doi:10.1177/0956797613482944
- 387 Price, G.R., Holloway, I., Räsänen, P., Vesterinen, M., Ansari, D., 2007. Impaired parietal
 388 magnitude processing in developmental dyscalculia. *Current Biology* 17, R1042–R1043.
 389 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.10.013
- 390 Raudenbush, S.W., Bryk, A.S., 2002. *Hierarchical Linear Models*. SAGE.
- 391 Rittle-Johnson, B., Zippert, E.L., Boice, K.L., 2019. The roles of patterning and spatial skills in
 392 early mathematics development. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly* 46, 166–178.
 393 doi:10.1016/j.ecresq.2018.03.006
- 394 Rovet, J.F., 1993. The Psychoeducational Characteristics of Children with Turner Syndrome. *J*
 395 *Learn Disabil* 26, 333–341. doi:10.1177/002221949302600506
- 396 Simon, T.J., Takarae, Y., DeBoer, T., McDonald-McGinn, D.M., Zackai, E.H., Ross, J.L., 2008.
 397 Overlapping numerical cognition impairments in children with chromosome 22q11.2
 398 deletion or Turner syndromes. *Neuropsychologia* 46, 82–94.
 399 doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.08.016

- 400 Team, R.C., 2017. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for
401 Statistical Computing, Vienna (2017). R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- 402 Temple, C.M., Carney, R.A., 1995. Patterns of Spatial Functioning in Turner's Syndrome.
403 Cortex; a journal devoted to the study of the nervous system and behavior 31, 109–118.
404 doi:10.1016/S0010-9452(13)80109-8
- 405 Thompson, C.A., Opfer, J.E., 2008. Costs and benefits of representational change: Effects of
406 context on age and sex differences in symbolic magnitude estimation. Journal of
407 Experimental Child Psychology 101, 20–51. doi:10.1016/j.jecp.2008.02.003
- 408 Valle-Lisboa, J., Cabana, Á., Eisinger, R., Mailhos, Á., Luzardo, M., Halberda, J., Maiche, A.,
409 2016. Cognitive abilities that mediate SES's effect on elementary mathematics learning: The
410 Uruguayan tablet-based intervention. Prospects 46, 301–315. doi:10.1007/s11125-017-9392-
411 y
- 412 Wilkinson, G.S., Lutz, G.R., Assessment, F.P., 2006. Wide range achievement test (WRAT4). v-
413 psyche.com
- 414 .
- 415

Figure 1. WRAT-4 score distributions

A. Distribution of WRAT scores for the Turner syndrome and control groups for all 4 years combined. While lower on average for the TS group, the distribution of scores were normal for both groups. B. Average WRAT scores across all four years. Each solid point represents the observed mean WRAT score for each time point, and the bars represent standard deviation. The transparent points represent our random intercept models' estimated WRAT outcome at each time point.




Figure 2. Visuospatial test score distributions

A-H. Density plots for each test. These plots show the distribution of scores for the Turner and control groups separately, and included data from all time points. The control group consistently outperformed the Turner group on each test, which is apparent in the right-shift along the x-axis (i.e., test score) for the blue (i.e., control) compared to red density curves. I-P. Group means and standard deviations for each test stratified across test years. The y-axis of each plot is held constant for each test. Consistently higher scores for the control group are seen here as a greater y-axis intercept for the blue compared to red lines. Furthermore, these plots show a general consistency in the distribution of scores across each year, which is apparent in the largely parallel group lines on each scatter plot. These results indicate that the developmental pattern of responding to each test is similar between members of the Turner and control groups. It is important to note that due to participant attrition the final year of study contained relatively few ($n = 18$, $n_{\text{Turner}} = 6$) participants.




Figure 3. Rubin's vase

This visual illusion depicts the silhouette of a vase in black and the profiles of two inward-looking faces in white. The figure-ground distinction made by the brain during visual perception determines which image is seen.




Table 1. Participant demographics across successive testing time points

Group	Visit 1			Visit 2			Visit 3			Visit 4		
	<i>N</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Height</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Height</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Height</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Height</i>
Turner	54	10.59 (2.38)	129.40 (11.95)	33	11.44 (2.62)	135.34 (12.41)	20	12.21 (2.38)	138.24 (11.31)	6	13.26 (2.60)	137.46 (7.55)
Control	58	10.34 (2.07)	141.44 (14.97)	45	11.42 (2.09)	147.87 (12.25)	38	12.17 (1.93)	150.85 (8.57)	12	12.81 (1.58)	156.05 (6.07)

Table 2. Standardized test battery items and description.

WRAT 4 = Wide Range Achievement Test 4, WISC IV = Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children IV, WRAVMA = Wide Range Assessment of Visual Motor Abilities, NEPSY = Developmental Neuropsychological Assessment. Internal Consistency was measured with Cronbach Alpha and Test-Retest Stability was assessed by a Fisher's z transformation.

Tests	Internal Consistency/ Stability Coefficients	Description	Domain
WRAT 4 Math Computation	0.89/0.84	Measures an individuals' ability to perform basic mathematics computations through counting, identifying numbers, solving simple oral problems and calculating written mathematics problems.	Math
WISC IV Block Design	0.86/0.81	Measures nonverbal concept formation and requires perceptual organization, spatial visualization and abstract conceptualization.	Perceptual Reasoning
WISC IV Matrix Reasoning	0.89/0.85	Involves perceptual reasoning ability without a speed component. Perceptual matching, attention to detail, concentration, analogic reasoning and serial reasoning are required for successful performance.	Perceptual Reasoning
WISC IV Coding	0.85/0.81	Assesses the speed and accuracy of visual-motor coordination, speed of mental operation, attentional skills, visual acuity, visual scanning, tracking, short-term memory for new learning, cognitive flexibility, and handwriting speed. This test requires the child to copy symbols that are paired with other symbols.	Processing Speed
WISC IV Symbol Search	0.79/0.68	Involves perceptual discrimination, speed and accuracy, visual scanning attention and concentration, short-term memory, and cognitive flexibility. This test includes minor visual-motor coordination, and requires the child to look at a symbol and decide whether it is present in an array of other symbols.	Processing Speed
WRAVMA Matching	0.81/0.89	Provides a measure of spatial skill by presenting visuospatial tasks developmentally arranged in increasingly difficult order. The child marks which choice "goes best" with the item standard. The correct choice is heavily dependent on visuospatial skills such as perspective, orientation, rotation, and size discrimination.	Visuospatial
WRAVMA Drawing	0.75/0.81	Measures integrated visual-motor abilities and requires the child to use fine motor abilities to copy designs that are developmentally arranged in order of increasing difficulty.	Visuomotor
NEPSY Arrows	0.74/0.79	A non-motor subtest that measures the child's ability to judge the direction and orientation of lines. This test requires the child to choose the arrow(s) that point directly to the center of a target.	Visuospatial
NEPSY Picture Puzzle	0.89/0.83	Measures non-motor aspects of visual perception. This test requires visual integration, intact local processing, visual scanning, and an understanding of part-whole relationships of visual scenes. The child is asked to identify and match salient visual details outside of the picture with details in a picture.	Visuospatial

Table 3. Subtest performance means and standard errors. Values are aggregated across all time points

Measure	Subtest	Turner mean(se)	Control mean(se)
WRAT-4	Mathematics	97.4(15.9)	113.9(12.9)
WISC	WISC Block Design	8.7(2.8)	12.1(2.76)
	Matrix Reasoning	10.0(3.2)	13.4(2.9)
	Coding	6.8(3.1)	10.4(3.2)
	Symbol Search	8.1(2.8)	11.4(2.8)
WRAVMA	Match	92.9(15.2)	107.8(13.8)
	Draw	90.2(15.2)	109.4(17.4)
NEPSY	Arrows	7.0(3.7)	10.9(2.4)
	Picture Puzzle	7.3(3.8)	11.5(3.1)

Table 4. Correlation between visuospatial variables of interest

	WISC Block Design	WISC Matrix Reasoning	WISC IV Coding	WISC IV Symbol Search	WRAVMA Match	WRAVMA Draw	NEPSY Arrows
WISC IV Matrix Reasoning	0.578	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISC IV Coding	0.529	0.445	-	-	-	-	-
WISC IV Symbol Search	0.540	0.458	0.661	-	-	-	-
WRAVMA Match	0.598	0.649	0.436	0.463	-	-	-
WRAVMA Draw	0.538	0.425	0.495	0.430	0.471	-	-
NEPSY Arrows	0.649	0.579	0.488	0.517	0.629	0.504	-
NEPSY Picture Puzzle	0.667	0.617	0.504	0.497	0.592	0.473	0.636

All coefficients are significant ($p < 0.05$)

Table 5. LME predictor coefficients and significance

The 'group effect' provides an estimated difference in WRAT-4 performance between the TS and Control groups. This difference was significant within all models, and was estimated to vary between 14.14 and 29.32 points. WRAT-4 performance did not vary across time, and there were no significant interactions with the Time variable. The 'WISC IV Symbol Search' and 'NEPSY Arrows' measures significantly predicted WRAT-4 performance for both groups equally. The significant predictor x group interaction indicates that the predictive significance of the visuospatial predictor included in the model is influenced by group affiliation. Asterisks (*) and bold numbers indicate significance of $p < 0.05$.

	Predictor coefficients and significance						
	Group Effect	Time Effect	Visuospatial Predictor	Predictor x Group	Time x Group	Time x Predictor	Time x Group x Predictor
WISC IV Block Design	-29.32*	-1.53	-0.03	1.55*	2.33	0.15	-0.26
WISC IV Matrix Reasoning	-14.14*	0.19	0.57	-0.02	-0.29	-0.01	0.01
WISC IV Coding	-20.53*	-0.13	0.46	0.86	0.89	0.01	-0.12
WISC IV Symbol Search	-14.58*	5.39	1.71*	-0.91	-7.06	-0.47	0.69
WRAVMA Match	-30.57*	-6.56	0.04	0.16	10.39	0.06	-0.10
WRAVMA Draw	-25.22*	2.66	0.09	0.12	4.14	-0.02	-0.05
NEPSY Arrows	-14.48*	4.00	1.17*	0.03	-3.84	-0.32	0.29
NEPSY Picture Puzzle	-24.55*	4.03	-0.14	1.12*	-3.08	-0.30	0.15