1 2	Immunohistochemical investigations on <i>Brucella ceti</i> -infected, neurobrucellosis- affected striped dolphins (<i>Stenella coeruleoalba</i>)
3	
4 5 6 7	Gabriella Di Francesco, Antonio Petrini, Anna Rita D'Angelo, Ludovica Di Renzo, Mirella Luciani, Tiziana Di Febo, Enzo Ruggieri, Antonio Petrella, Carla Grattarola, Barbara Iulini, Osvaldo Matteucci, Giuseppe Lucifora, Eva Sierra, Antonio Fernández, Roberto Giacominelli Stuffler, Clotilde Angelucci, Marina Baffoni, Giovanni Di Guardo, Manuela Tittarelli
8	
9 10 11	Keywords: Brucella ceti, Immunohistochemistry, Monoclonal antibody, Neurobrucellosis, Stenella coeruleoalba.
12	
13	Summary
14	Bacteria of the genus Brucella cause brucellosis, an infectious disease common to humans as well as
15	to terrestrial and aquatic mammals. Since 1994 several cases of Brucella spp. infection have been
16	reported in marine mammals worldwide. Indeed, since human brucellosis ranks as one of the most
17	common bacterial zoonotic infections on a global scale, it is necessary to increase our knowledge
18	about it also in the marine environment. Brucella ceti, which is phenotypically similar to other smooth
19	brucellae as B. abortus and B. melitensis, shares with the latter two the same surface antigens that are
20	routinely used for the serological diagnosis of Brucella spp. infection. Marine mammal Brucella spp.
21	infections are characterized by a pathogenicity similar to their terrestrial counterparts, with the
22	occurrence of abortion, stillbirth and orchitis and an involvement of the host's central nervous system
23	(CNS), similarly to what happens in mankind. While sero-epidemiological data suggest that Brucella
24	spp. infection is widespread globally, detecting Brucella sppassociated antigens by
25	immunohistochemistry (IHC) in tissues from infected animals is often troublesome. The present study
26	was aimed at investigating, by means of IHC based upon the utilization of an antiBrucella LPS
27	monoclonal antibody (MAb), the CNS immunoreactivity (IR) shown by B. ceti-infected,

neurobrucellosis-affected striped dolphins.

- 31
- 32

33 Riassunto

34

35 I batteri del genere Brucella causano la brucellosi, una malattia infettiva comune all'uomo e ai mammiferi terrestri e acquatici. Dal 1994 diversi casi d'infezione da Brucella sono stati segnalati nei 36 mammiferi marini in tutto il mondo. Poiché la brucellosi umana è considerata una delle più comuni 37 38 zoonosi su scala mondiale, è necessario migliorare le nostre conoscenze anche in ambiente marino. Brucella ceti, fenotipicamente simile ad altre brucelle lisce come B. abortus e B. melitensis, ha in 39 comune con queste ultime i medesimi antigeni di superficie, che vengono peraltro utilizzati nella 40 diagnosi sierologica dell'infezione. I mammiferi marini infetti ad opera di Brucella spp. mostrano 41 reperti lesivi analoghi a quelli osservati nei mammiferi terrestri, uomo compreso, con presenza di 42 aborti, natimortalità, orchite e neurobrucellosi. Se da un lato i dati siero-epidemiologici suggeriscono 43 che l'infezione da Brucella spp. è praticamente cosmopolita, la rilevazione mediante tecniche 44 d'indagine immunoistochimica di antigeni brucellari nei tessuti di animali infetti è spesso 45 problematica. Obiettivo del presente studio è stato quello di valutare, mediante l'impiego di un 46 anticorpo monoclonale nei confronti dell'antigene LPS di Brucella spp, l'immunoreattività del 47 48 sistema nervoso centrale in esemplari di stenella striata (Stenella coeruleoalba) B. ceti-infetti affetti 49 da neurobrucellosi.

- 50
- 51

Farole chiave: Anticorpo monoclonale *Brucella ceti*, Immunoistochimica, Neurobrucellosi, *Stenella coeruleoalba*.

Brucella ceti was first isolated from an aborted bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus) fetus in 1994 55 (Ewalt et al., 1994) and, since then, several cases of infection have been reported among free-ranging 56 57 cetaceans worldwide (Guzman-Verri et al., 2012). The first case of B. ceti infection in the Mediterranean was recorded only in 2009 (Isidoro-Ayza et al., 2014), with the first case of B. ceti 58 59 infection having been recorded along the Italian coastline in 2012 (Alba et al., 2013). Besides the "classical" species, some recently discovered Brucella species have also demonstrated a zoonotic 60 61 potential, as in the case of B. ceti (Whatmore et al., 2008, De Massis et al., 2019). Brucella spp. 62 infection in marine mammals is characterized by a pathogenicity similar to that of natural infection models in terrestrial mammals. In addition, a documented involvement of the central nervous system 63 (CNS) in the striped dolphin (Stenella coeruleoalba), similarly to what described in the human 64 species, has been reported (Guzman-Verri et al., 2012), with neurobrucellosis having not been 65 recorded in bovine, caprine, ovine, swine, or canine hosts. Nevertheless, this syndrome is a relatively 66 common feature in non-treated human brucellosis-affected patients (Obiako et al., 2010) Therefore, 67 cetacean neurobrucellosis may serve as an interesting comparative neuropathology and 68 69 neuropathogenesis model to understand how the bacterium is capable to cross the blood-brain barrier, 70 thereby giving rise to host's CNS invasion. While sero-epidemiological data suggest that Brucella infection is widespread globally (Nymo et al., 2011), detecting Brucella spp.-associated antigens by 71 immunohistochemistry (IHC) in tissues from naturally or experimentally infected animals is often 72 73 troublesome The present study was aimed at investigating, by means of an anti- Brucella LPS monoclonal antibody (MAb), the CNS immunoreactivity (IR) shown by B. ceti-infected, 74 neurobrucellosis-affected striped dolphins, along with its comparative evaluation in a range of fetal 75 tissues from B. abortus- and B. melitensis- infected ruminants. 76

A MAb raised against *Brucella* LPS was produced at Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
dell'Abruzzo e Molise "*G. Caporale*" (IZSAM) and characterized by Western blotting (WB) and
indirect ELISA according to Di Febo *et al* (2012) and Portanti *et al* (2006), being subsequently

54

characterized against B. abortus RB51, B. pinnipedialis and B. ceti, which were not tested in the past 80 experiments. Samples of lung, liver and placental tissues from 16 ovine fetuses originating from 15 81 ewes experimentally infected with B. melitensis biotype 3, along with samples of lung, liver and 82 placental tissues from 6 additional aborted fetuses carried by sheep belonging to Brucella-free flocks, 83 were preliminarly investigated, 20 years ago, against Brucella spp. The study was subsequently 84 85 enhanced, in recent years, through the inclusion of 9 cases of B. ceti infection in striped dolphins, 8 of which were found stranded between 2012 and 2019 along the Italian coastline, while the remaining 86 87 individual was found beached ashore in Canary Islands (Spain) in 2004.

The dolphin tissues were collected during *post mortem* examination, in tight agreement with the investigation protocols to be performed in the framework of the Italian National Stranding Network (INSN) for standard laboratory investigations on stranded cetacean specimens.

91 Positive and negative controls were included in each IHC run, with the positive ones being represented by the lung, liver and placental tissues from ovine and bovine fetuses either naturally or 92 experimentally infected by Brucella spp, The brain from a Dolphin Morbillivirus-infected striped 93 dolphin was additionally used as negative control tissue. Further negative controls were represented 94 95 by tissue sections obtained from the 7 immunohistochemically positive, B. ceti-infected striped dolphins under study, from which the primary anti-Brucella Ab was omitted. More in detail, Brucella 96 IHC was carried out using the MAb 4B5A against LPS Brucella diluted 1:10 to 1:100. Tissue sections 97 were previously heat treated for antigen retrieval (at 121°C for 8 minutes) in 0.01 M citrate buffer, 98 99 pH 6.0. Immunoreactions were then visualized by means of a peroxidase technique (Envision Plus 100 Kit, Dako at IZSAM and Vectastain elite ABC kit standard Vector at the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Teramo, Italy. 101

The *Brucella* spp. isolation and identification procedures were performed in accordance with the technique described in the OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines (World Organisation for Animal Health, 2017). With the only exception of the two individuals in wich *B. ceti* infection was **Commentato [R1]:** Numbering is not indicated in the Figure files in my hand. No figure legends are present in the manuscript. Please provide this information. Moreover, you mention Fig. 2 before Fig. 1 in the text. Numbering of figures should be therefore revised. diagnosed only by means of biomolecular and IHC techniques (ID 1,5 Table III). All the tissue samples were routinely processed for histopathology and *Brucella* immunohistochemistry (IHC), whose reliability and reproducibility were also evaluated by means of an "inter-laboratory comparison", which involved two independent Pathologists (based at IZSAM and at Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Teramo, Italy respectively) (Table III).

110

111 The results of the characterization of 4B5A MAb are shown in Table I (i-ELISA) and Figure 1 (WB). 112 Both i-ELISA and WB confirmed that the aforementioned MAb reacted with Brucella smooth 113 strains, with the typical LPS-ladder pattern exhibited in WB analysis. Conversely, the same MAb did not react with rough Brucella strains (Table I). The results of IHC investigations are reported in 114 Tables II and III . More in detail, Brucella spp.-associated antigens were detected in pulmonary 115 necrotic cell debris as well as in the cytoplasm of both alveolar macrophages and neutrophils from 116 the B. abortus-infected bovine fetuses (Fig. 2) as well as in liver cells from the B. melitensis-infected 117 ovine fetuses under study (Fig. 3). Within the CNS from B. ceti-infected dolphins, macrophage-like 118 119 cells were seen harbouring more or less consistent loads of microbial antigen (Figs 4 and 5). Neither 120 background staining nor artifacts or positive IR were observed in negative control tissues.

The results obtained in the present study clearly showed a strong IR against Brucella LPS in tissues 121 from all the Brucella spp.-infected, herein investigated bovine, ovine and striped dolphins (7 out 9 122 individuals) (Fig. 3,4). In this respect, the negative IHC results observed in the CNS from 2 B. ceti-123 124 infected dolphins may be due either to the low sensitivity of Brucella spp. IHC when low bacterial concentrations are present in infected tissues, or to the different neuro-topographical concentrations 125 126 of B <u>ceti</u> organisms, not coincident with that of the microscopic field under study. An additional factor 127 to be considered refers to the experimental conditions used in a portion of this work, that are counterparted by the "field conditions" under which post mortem examinations are routinely carried 128

Commentato [R2]: Table II: I would suggest to merge the columns "Tested" and "IHC POS" in order to present results as "16/26" for positives.

These 26 ovine tissues were previously tested as Brucella positive (so how do you explain negative results for 10?) or they were tested for the first time in this study?

Commentato [R3]: Table III: I would suggest to make explicit in the table the country and year of beaching (now It can only be inferred by the ID).

out on stranded cetacean specimens, including also the adverse effects exerted by *post mortem*autolysis.

Based upon the herein presented results, *Brucella* spp. IHC should be regarded as a laboratory procedure which is useful not only when analyzing ovine and bovine infected tissues, but also in the case of *B. ceti*-infected, neurobrucellosis-affected striped dolphin CNS tissue specimens (in which macrophage-like cells were seen harbouring more or less consistent loads of bacterial antigen), providing a method capable of achieving a direct and reliable IHC diagnosis of Brucella infection. Furthermore, and not less important, the consistent background and the non-specific reactions observed when using an anti-*Brucella* -polyclonal Ab were not seen when MAb 4B5A was used.

The additional knowledge provided by this study on the detection of *Brucella* infection in cetaceans may be helpful not only from a diagnostic standpoint but also for increasing our awareness on the (neuro)pathogenesis of *Brucella* infection in aquatic mammals and, not less important, also from a public health viewpoint, considering the documented zoonotic potential of *Brucella* microorganisms. Moreover, MAb 4B5A anti *Brucella* LPS could represent a diagnostic and research laboratory reagent, whose use may be highly recommended also for the IHC diagnosis and pathogenetic characterization of *B. ceti* and *B. pinnipedialis* infections in cetaceans and in pinnipeds, respectively.

145 146

Acknowledgements

This work was carried out within the framework of an *ad hoc* Research Project on *Brucella ceti*infection, funded by the Italian Ministry of Health and headed/coordinated by Istituto Zooprofilattico
Sperimentale dell'Abruzzo e Molise "G. Caporale" (Grant code IZS AM 03/16 RC).

150 **Conflicts of Interest**

151 The Authors declare that they have no competing interests in regard to this study.

- 153
- 154

155 **References**

- 156 Alba P., Terracciano G., Franco A., Lorenzetti S., Cocumelli C., Fichi G., Eleni C., Zygmunt M.S.,
- 157 Cloeckaert A. & Battisti A. 2013. The presence of Brucella ceti ST26 in a striped dolphin (Stenella
- 158 *coeruleoalba*) with meningoencephalitis from the Mediterranean Sea. *Vet Microbiol*, **164**, 158–163.

159

- Baucheron S., Grayon M., Zygmunt M.S. & Cloeckaert A. 2002. Lipopolysaccharide heterogeneity
 in *Brucella* strains isolated from marine mammals. *Res Microbiol*, **153**, 277-280.
- 162
- De Massis F., Zilli K., Di Donato G., Nuvoloni R., Pelini S., Sacchini L., D'Alterio N. & Di 163 Giannatale E. 2019. Distribution of Brucella field strains isolated from livestock, wildlife 164 2007 2015. PLoS One, 165 populations, and humans in Italy from to https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213689 166

167

- Di Febo T., Luciani M., Portanti O., Bonfini B., Lelli R. & Tittarelli M. 2012. Development and
 evaluation of diagnostic tests for the serological diagnosis of brucellosis in swine. *Vet It*, 48,145-156.
- 170
- 171 Ewalt D.R., Payeur J.B., Martin B.M., Cummins D.R. & Miller W.G. 1994. Characteristics of a

7

172 Brucella species from a bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*). J Vet Diagn Invest, **6(4)**, 448-52.

173	
174	Groussaud P., Shankster SJ., Koylass MS. & Whatmore AM. 2007. Molecular typing divides marine
175	mammal strains of Brucella into at least three groups with distinct host preferences. J Med Microbiol,
176	56 , 1512-1518.
177	Guzmán-Verri C., González-Barrientos R., Hernández-Mora G., Morales J.A., Baquero-Calvo E.,
178	Chaves-Olarte E. & Moreno E. 2012. Brucella ceti and brucellosis in cetaceans. Front Cell Infect
179	<i>Microbiol</i> , 2 :3. pmid:22919595
180	
100	
181	Isidoro-Ayza M., Ruiz-Villalobos N., Pérez L., Guzmán-Verri C., Muñoz P.M. , Alegre F., Barberán
182	M., Chacón-Díaz C., Olarte E.C., Barrientos R.G., Moreno E., Blasco J.M. & Domingo M. 2014.
183	Brucella ceti infection in dolphins from the Western Mediterranean sea. BMC Vet Res, 10, 206.
184	
185	Nymo I.H., Tryland M. & Godfroid J. 2011. A review of <i>Brucella</i> infection in marine mammals, with
186	special emphasis on <i>Brucella pinnipedialis</i> in the hooded seal (<i>Cystophora cristata</i>). Vet Res, 42 : 93.
187	
188	Portanti O., Tittarelli M., Di Febo T., Luciani M., Mercante M.T., Conte A. & Lelli R. 2006.
189	Development and validation of a competitive ELISA kit for the serological diagnosis of ovine, caprine
190	and bovine Brucellosis. J Vet Med B. 53, 494-498.
191	
192	World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) 2019. Brucella abortus, B. melitensis and B. suis

(infection with *B. abortus*, *B. melitensis* and *B. suis*). In Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for
Terrestrial Animals, Part 3, Chapter 3.1.4. (version adopted in May 2016), OIE Paris, pp. 355-398.

196	
197	
198	
199	Figures' Legends
200	Western blotting of Mab 4B5A vs Brucella abortus S99 (Lane 1), Brucella melitensis 16M (Lane
201	2), Brucella suis biotipo 1 (Lane 3), Brucella ceti (Lane 4), Brucella pinnipedialis (Lane 5) (Fig.1)
202	
203	Brucella sppassociated antigen positive immunohistochemical labeling in bovine fetal lung (Fig. 2),
204	in ovine fetal liver (Fig. 3) as well as in CNS (cervical spinal cord) tissues (Figs 4 and 5) from
205	neurobrucellosis-affected, B. ceti-infected striped dolphins. Brucella spp. IHC with MAb 4B5A,
206	Mayer's hematoxylin counterstain, different magnifications.

195