



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***MOULD DESIGN AND CHARACTERIZATION OF KENAF FIBER  
HONEYCOMB CORE- REINFORCED EPOXY COMPOSITES FOR  
AEROSPACE APPLICATIONS***

**NOR HAFIZAH BINTI MANAN**

**FK 2018 164**



**MOULD DESIGN AND CHARACTERIZATION OF KENAF FIBER  
HONEYCOMB CORE- REINFORCED EPOXY COMPOSITES FOR  
AEROSPACE APPLICATIONS**

By

**NOR HAFIZAH BINTI MANAN**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

**December 2017**

## **COPYRIGHT**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**MOULD DESIGN AND CHARACTERIZATION OF KENAF FIBER  
HONEYCOMB CORE- REINFORCED EPOXY COMPOSITES FOR  
AEROSPACE APPLICATIONS**

By

**NOR HAFIZAH BINTI MANAN**

**December 2017**

**Chairman : Dayang Laila Binti Abang Haji Abdul Majid, PhD**  
**Faculty : Engineering**

Sandwich structures with honeycomb core are known to significantly improve stiffness at lower weight and possess high flexural rigidity. These structures are found wide applications in aerospace as part of the primary structures as well as the interior paneling and flooring. High performance aluminum and aramid are typical material used for the purpose of honeycomb core whereas in addition to other industries, other materials such as fiber glass, carbon fiber, Nomex, and also Kevlar reinforced with polymer are used. Recently, growing interest in developing composite structures with natural fiber reinforcement has also spurred research in natural fiber honeycomb material. The performances of honeycomb cores are dictated by cell size, wall thickness and core thickness. In term of research purposes, it has been reported that the honeycomb core are fabricated using single core mould however, the mould is not specifically for aerospace application. In this work, a single core mould for honeycomb core is designed and fabricated as per aerospace standard. In terms of fiber direction, the majority of the previous works have generally emphasized on the usage of random chopped fiber and few are reported on development of honeycomb structure using unidirectional fiber as the reinforcement. This is mainly due to its processing difficulties which usually involve several stages to account for the arrangement of fibers and curing. In this work, honeycomb cores of neat epoxy, random chopped strand kenaf/epoxy and unidirectional kenaf/epoxy are introduced and their mechanical properties are compared in term of tensile test, edgewise compression test, flatwise compression test, and flexural test. In order to compare the performances of honeycomb in term of fiber orientations, the density and volume fraction are ensured to be the same for all samples.

From the result, the coupon specimen of unidirectional kenaf/epoxy has high tensile strength which is 43.18% more than random kenaf/epoxy. In comparing between honeycomb core biocomposites, the honeycomb core of random kenaf/epoxy is

superior to unidirectional kenaf/fiber in term of edgewise compressive strength, flatwise compressive strength and flexural strength which is 21%, 17.7%, and 88.67% respectively. Failure modes analysis shows that unidirectional kenaf fiber suffer fiber breakage, fiber pulled out, obvious stress lines, matrix cracking, cohesion failure and fiber buckling. However, in random kenaf fiber the fracture modes are defined only by fiber breakage, fiber splitting, obvious stress lines, fiber pulled out and compaction.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**REKABENTUK ACUAN DAN PERCIRIAN TERAS SARANG LEBAH DARI SERAT KENAF YANG DIPERKUAT DENGAN EPOKSI UNTUK APLIKASI AEROANGKASA**

Oleh

**NOR HAFIZAH BINTI MANAN**

**Disember 2017**

**Pengerusi : Dayang Laila Binti Abang Haji Abdul Majid, PhD**  
**Fakulti : Kejuruteraan**

Struktur sandwic dengan teras sarang lebah sangat dikenali dengan keupayaannya untuk mengukuhkan sesuatu benda dengan berat yang ringan dan mempunyai ketegaran lenturan yang tinggi. Struktur ini juga diaplikasikan secara meluas dalam aeroangkasa sebagai sebahagian daripada struktur utama serta panel dalaman dan lantai. Aluminium dan aramid adalah bahan yang biasa digunakan untuk membuat teras sarang lebah dalam industri aeroangkasa manakala tambahan kepada industri-industri lain, bahan seperti gentian kaca, gentian karbon, Nomex dan Kevlar yang diperkuat dengan polimer juga digunakan. Kebelakangan ini, kemajuan penyelidikan struktur komposit yang diperkuatkan dengan serat semula jadi telah mendorong kepada penyelidikan dalam membina teras sarang lebah dari komposit serat semula jadi. Prestasi teras sarang lebah ditentukan oleh saiz sel, ketebalan dinding dan ketebalan teras. Dari segi penyelidikan, telah dilaporkan bahawa inti sarang lebah direka dengan menggunakan acuan teras tunggal namun tidak khusus untuk aplikasi aeroangkasa. Dalam tugas ini, acuan teras tunggal untuk teras sarang lebah telah direka dan dibuat mengikut piawaian aeroangkasa. Dari segi arah gentian, majoriti karya-karya terdahulu secara amnya menekankan penggunaan gentian secara rawak dan kurang laporan mengenai pembangunan struktur sarang lebah dengan menggunakan gentian satu arah sebagai tetulang. Hal ini kerana kesukaran membuat struktur tersebut yang kebiasaannya melibatkan beberapa peringkat proses yang melibatkan penyusunan dan penyembuhan gentian. Dalam kajian ini, teras sarang lebah daripada epoxy, gentian kenaf satu arah dan juga gentian kenaf secara rawak diperkenalkan dan sifat mekanikal kesemua teras ini dibandingkan dari segi mampatan dari atas, mampatan dari sisi dan juga lenturan. Untuk membandingkan prestasi sarang lebah dari segi orientasi serat, ketumpatan dan pecahan isipadu dipastikan sama untuk semua sampel.

Dari keputusan yang diperolehi, spesimen kupon dari kenaf/epoksi satu arah mempunyai kekuatan tegangan yang 43.18% lebih daripada kenaf/epoksi rawak. Dalam membandingkan antara sarang lebah biokomposit, teras sarang lebah kenaf rawak/epoksi adalah lebih tinggi dengan kenaf satu arah/epoksi dalam kekuatan mampatan sisi, kekuatan mampatan rata dan kekuatan lentur yang masing-masing adalah 21%, 17.7% dan 88.67%. Analisa mod kegagalan menunjukkan bahawa serat kenaf satu arah mengalami kerosakan serat, serat yang ditarik keluar, garis tekanan yang jelas, retakan matriks, kegagalan perpaduan dan tangkapan serat. Walaubagaimanapun, mod kegagalan dalam gentian kenaf secara rawak adalah kerosakan serat, pepecahan serat, garis tekanan yang jelas, serat ditarik keluar dan juga mampatan.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Bismillahirrahmanirrahim. Alhamdulillah praises to Allah s.w.t on the completion of this thesis.

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Dayang Laila Binti Haji Abang Abdul Majid for the wonderful support from the start until I complete my research. Her advice and guidance have helped me a lot in accomplish this task.

To my family and Saiful Hadi, this achievement would not have been possible without your love and constant encouragement. The past two years have indeed filled with ups and downs, but you never failed to give me your continuous support.

I am extremely thankful to have a wonderful colleague Nur Sofeana Zulaika that helps me a lot throughout the research. It is a pleasure to work with you.

Lastly, I am grateful to have the chance in exploring and gaining knowledge through the research work.



I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 28 December 2017 to conduct the final examination of Nor Hafizah binti Manan on her thesis entitled "Mould Design and Characterization of Kenaf Fiber Honeycomb Core-Reinforced Epoxy Composites for Aerospace Applications" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Azmin Shakrine bin Mohd Rafie, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Mohamad Ridzwan bin Ishak, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Zuraida Ahmad, PhD**

Associate Professor  
International Islamic University Malaysia  
Malaysia  
(External Examiner)



**NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD**

Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 24 May 2018

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Dayang Laila Binti Abang Hj. Abdul Majid, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Fairuz Izzuddin Bin Romli, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Noorfaizal Bin Yidris, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No.: Nor Hafizah binti Manan, GS44690

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Chairman of  
Supervisory  
Committee: Dr. Dayang Laila Binti Abang Hj. Abdul Majid

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee: Dr. Fairuz Izzuddin Bin Romli,

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of  
Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee: Dr. Noorfaizal Bin Yidris

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
<b>ABSTRACT</b>		i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>		iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>		v
<b>APPROVAL</b>		vi
<b>DECLARATION</b>		viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>		xiii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>		xiv
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>		xvii
<b>CHAPTER</b>		
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1
	1.1 Project background	1
	1.2 Problem statement	4
	1.3 Objective of Research	4
	1.4 Scope of Research	5
	1.5 Contribution to new knowledge	5
	1.6 Thesis Organization	5
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	7
	2.1 Fiber reinforced polymer composite	7
	2.2 Biocomposites	7
	2.2.1 Physical properties of natural fiber.	8
	2.2.2 Kenaf fiber	9
	2.2.2.1 Density of kenaf	9
	2.2.2.2 Tensile behavior of kenaf fiber	9
	2.2.2.3 Flexural strength of kenaf	10
	2.2.3 Effect of fiber orientation	11
	2.2.4 Tensile behavior of kenaf reinforced polymer composite	12
	2.3 Composite in aircraft	15
	2.3.1 Biocomposites in aircraft	17
	2.4 Honeycomb structure in aircraft	17
	2.5 Types of honeycomb	20
	2.6 Honeycomb biocomposites	22
	2.7 Generic fabrication process	23
	2.7.1 Non biocomposites honeycomb	23
	2.7.2 Biocomposites honeycomb	26
	2.7.2.1 Corrugation process	27
	2.7.2.2 Single core process	28
	2.8 Comparison of corrugation process and single core process	29
	2.9 Design consideration of honeycomb mould	30
	2.10 Standard dimensions of honeycomb core	30
	2.11 Mechanical assessment for honeycomb structure	31

2.11.1	Tensile test	33
2.11.2	Compression test	34
2.11.3	Flexural test	35
2.12	Chapter summary	36
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>37</b>
3.1	Project flowchart	37
3.2	Design requirement mould for honeycomb core	39
3.2.1	Design development of honeycomb mould.	40
3.3	Composite Material	41
3.3.1	3.3.1 Kenaf Fiber	41
3.3.2	Polymer matrix	42
3.4	Determination of physical properties of kenaf fiber	43
3.4.1	Determination the diameter of single kenaf fiber	43
3.4.2	Determination the density of kenaf fiber	44
3.4.3	Volume fraction	44
3.5	Composites preparation	45
3.5.1	Fabrication process using single stage mould	45
3.5.2	Cutting the specimens	48
3.6	Mechanical testing of honeycomb core	49
3.6.1	Tensile Test	49
3.6.2	Edgewise Compression Test	51
3.6.3	Flatwise Compression Test	53
3.6.4	Flexural Test	54
3.7	Microstructural Analysis	55
3.8	Chapter summary	56
<b>4</b>	<b>ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>57</b>
4.1	Overview	57
4.2	Honeycomb Mould	57
4.3	Physical properties	60
4.3.1	Density and volume fraction	60
4.3.2	Design concept	61
4.4	Tensile Test	65
4.5	Edgewise Compression Test	71
4.5.1	Edgewise compression failure analysis	75
4.6	Flatwise Compression Test	78
4.7	Flexural Test	83
4.8	Mechanical properties of honeycomb core	86
4.9	Damage Analysis	87
4.9.1	Tensile test for random kenaf/epoxy	88
4.9.2	Tensile test for unidirectional kenaf/epoxy	89
4.9.3	Edgewise compression test for random kenaf/epoxy	90
4.9.4	Edgewise compression test for unidirectional kenaf/epoxy	91
4.9.5	Flatwise compression test for random kenaf/epoxy	92
4.9.6	Flatwise compression test for unidirectional kenaf/epoxy	93
4.9.7	Flexural test for random kenaf/epoxy	94
4.9.8	Flexural test for unidirectional kenaf/epoxy	95

4.10	Chapter summary	96
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>97</b>
5.1	Conclusion	97
5.2	Recommendation	97
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>99</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>107</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>108</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
2.1	Tensile properties of kenaf fiber	9
2.2	Summary of Young's modulus and tensile strength of different types of natural fibers	10
2.3	Composites application in aircraft parts	16
2.4	Standard dimension for honeycomb core from different manufacturer	31
2.5	ASTM D30.09 Core Material and Sandwich Structure Standards	32
3.1	Final dimension of honeycomb core	39
3.2	Design development of honeycomb mould	40
3.3	Product specification of EpoxAmite 100 and 103 Slow Hardener	43
4.1	Weight and density of kenaf fiber and honeycomb cores	60
4.2	Summary of testing using design concept 3	64
4.3	Failure codes on tensile coupon	69
4.4	Maximum displacement and percentage of elongation for neat epoxy, random fiber and unidirectional fiber	70
4.5	Cell size reduction of honeycomb core	76
4.6	Critical point (CP) for flatwise compression test	80
4.7	Mechanical properties of honeycomb core	86
4.8	Summary of failure modes	96



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Kenaf fiber	3
2.1	Composition of composites	7
2.2	Relationship between flexural modulus and fiber volume fraction in composites	11
2.3	Tensile behavior of A) Kenaf/Epoxy composites B) Kenaf/Polyester composites and C) Kenaf/Vinyl ester composites	13
2.4	Ultimate tensile strength of A) Kenaf/Epoxy composites B) Kenaf/Polyester composites and C) Kenaf/Vinyl ester composites	14
2.5	Flight control surface	15
2.6	Potential composite application in aircraft	16
2.7	Cores with (A) constant thickness (B) tapered	18
2.8	Honeycomb wing construction on a large jet transport aircraft	19
2.9	A wing leading edge	20
2.10	A) Hexagonal honeycomb B) Circular honeycomb C) Square honeycomb D) Triangular honeycomb	21
2.11	Basic geometry of hexagonal honeycomb core	21
2.12	Sandwich construction	23
2.13	Expansion process	24
2.14	Corrugation process	25
2.15	Vacuum casting process (a) before and (b) after immersion of mould into the molten metal	26
2.16	Matched dies for forming and ultrasonic bonding of flax-PE honeycomb core	27
2.17	Mould with fixed inserts	28
2.18	Mould with lateral compression	28
2.19	Flowchart of corrugation process and single core process	29
2.20	Testing machine for honeycomb core structure	31
2.21	Tensile test failure codes/ typical modes	33
2.22	Hexagonal honeycomb with direction of load	35
3.1	Research flowchart	38
3.2	Sketch of honeycomb structure	39
3.3	Kenaf fiber from MARDI	41

3.4	Bast fiber extraction from the kenaf trunk	42
3.5	The epoxy and hardener used in fabrication	42
3.6	Kenaf fiber diameter under light microscopy	43
3.7	A) Kenaf fiber bundles B) Apparatus used in Archimedes principle	44
3.8	Fabrication process of honeycomb neat resin using compression method	45
3.9	Random chopped strand kenaf fiber filled in the mould	47
3.10	Unidirectional kenaf fiber arranged in the mould	47
3.11	Vertical Band Saw	48
3.12	Cutting the honeycomb core	49
3.13	Geometry of tensile coupon	50
3.14	Tensile test specimen fixed at the Instron machine	51
3.15	Standard geometry of honeycomb core	52
3.16	Specimen was placed at the compression jig for edgewise compression	52
3.17	Specimen was placed at the compression jig for flatwise compression	53
3.18	Flexural test for honeycomb core	54
3.19	A) Width B) Thickness C) Support Span	54
3.20	The specimens observed under SEM	55
4.1	Mould used to fabricate honeycomb cores	57
4.2	Honeycomb cores of A) Neat Epoxy B) Random Kenaf/Epoxy C) Unidirectional Kenaf/Epoxy	58
4.3	Typical SEM image of fiber/matrix bonding	59
4.4	Design concept for honeycomb mould A) Concept 1 B) Concept 2 C) Concept 3 D) Final design selection	63
4.5	Level of resin is not the same as insert's height	63
4.6	Side plates are attached at base of mould and secured with sealant tape	64
4.7	Load vs displacement of tensile test	65
4.8	Ultimate tensile strength of neat epoxy, random and unidirectional kenaf/epoxy	66
4.9	Typical condition of specimen A) Neat epoxy B) Random kenaf/epoxy C) unidirectional kenaf/epoxy	68
4.10	Specimens after break	69
4.11	Young modulus for tensile test	70
4.12	Load vs displacement for edgewise compression	71

4.13	Typical stages in edgewise compression test A) Neat Epoxy B) Random kenaf/epoxy C) Unidirectional kenaf/epoxy	72
4.14	Load at maximum, first break and total failure for edgewise compression	73
4.15	Maximum compressive strength and strain of honeycomb core for edgewise compression	74
4.16	Compressive modulus of honeycomb core for edgewise compression test	75
4.17	Cell size A) before compression B) after compression	76
4.18	Geometry of honeycomb core after fracture	76
4.19	Failure occur at free wall	77
4.20	Hexagonal cell configuration	77
4.21	Load-displacement for flatwise compression test of honeycomb core.	78
4.22	Load – displacement for flatwise compression of A) Neat Epoxy B) Random kenaf/epoxy C) Unidirectional kenaf/epoxy	79
4.23	Process flow in flatwise compression	80
4.24	Failure modes of flatwise compression test	81
4.25	Maximum stress and strain for flatwise compression test	82
4.26	Load-displacement for flexural test of honeycomb core	83
4.27	Maximum flexural stress and strain of honeycomb core	84
4.28	Shear stress distribution	85
4.29	Flexural modulus of honeycomb core	85
4.30	SEM image for tensile test of random kenaf/epoxy	88
4.31	SEM image for tensile test of unidirectional kenaf/epoxy	89
4.32	SEM images for edgewise compression test of honeycomb core of random kenaf/epoxy	90
4.33	SEM images for edgewise compression test of honeycomb core of unidirectional kenaf/epoxy	91
4.34	SEM images for flatwise compression test of honeycomb core of random kenaf/epoxy	92
4.35	SEM images for flatwise compression test of honeycomb core of unidirectional kenaf/epoxy	93
4.36	SEM images for flexural test of honeycomb core of random kenaf/epoxy	94
4.37	SEM images for flexural test of honeycomb core of unidirectional kenaf/epoxy	95

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

$t$	Thickness
$P$	Pressure
$L$	Load
$A$	Area
$\sigma$	Stress
$\epsilon$	Strain
$\Delta L$	Change of length
$L_0$	Initial length
$L$	Support span
$b$	Width of beam
$d$	Depth of beam
$\epsilon_f$	Flexural strain
$D$	Maximum deflection
$d$	Diameter
$\rho$	Density
$M_a$	Mass in air
$M_s$	Mass in solvent
$W_f$	Weight ratio of fiber
$W_m$	Weight ratio of matrix
$w_f$	Weight of fiber
$w_m$	Weight of composite
$V_f$	Volume fraction of fiber
$V_m$	Volume fraction of matrix
$\rho_c$	Density of composite
$\rho_f$	Density of water

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Project background

In recent times, there is a wide exploitation of composite materials in aerospace industries and there is a wide exploitation of composite materials in aerospace industries, and the application of fiber reinforced polymer composites has been a focus. Aircraft original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) are continuously striving to make their products more fuel-efficient with enhanced engines and ever-increasing utilization of composite materials, including interiors such as seats, floor boards, bulkheads and cabin dividers, lavatories, galleys, wall and ceiling panels and stowage bins. Composites have long had a role in cabin finishes because of their strength to weight ratio and thus contribution to higher aircraft fuel efficiency. According to Shishoo (Shishoo & Consulting, 1998), carbon, glass, aramid, high modulus polyethylene and boron fibers are very common for composite reinforcement and they already gained a reputation due to their excellent properties such as lightweight, high strength to weight ratio and high thermal stability. In addition, they are also known as high-performance reinforcement besides they dominate the aerospace, leisure, automotive, construction and sporting industries. However, despite having excellent mechanical properties, the aforementioned composite reinforcement material also possess some drawbacks such as high cost, non-recyclable, non-biodegradable, high health risk when inhaled, high energy consumption and high density (Iqbal, Islam, Ananda, & Lau, 2014).

Therefore, the search for new high performance materials at affordable costs has been extended which is driven from the development of environmental awareness. Over the years, a large amount of research has been conducted on green and eco-friendly material. However, the fundamental research in regards to natural fiber composites has deepened only in recent years due to the increasing demand for the purpose of greater environmental protection. The natural fiber reinforced composites recently become high valuable materials because of their low cost as well as satisfactory mechanical properties, which makes them attractive due to the availability and renewability of raw materials. The uses of natural fibers as reinforcement in aircraft are no longer foreign to the current industry. Normally, natural fibers are used as reinforcing material or known as fillers for polymer based matrices (Al-oqla & Sapuan, 2014). They are renewable, cheap, completely or partially recyclable and biodegradable. Natural fibers are known to have the advantages of low density, high strength to weight ratio and most importantly, they are abundantly available and low cost as compared to synthetic fibers.

One of the basic interior materials used in aircraft which apply the usage of natural fibers is honeycomb cores. Honeycomb cores are man-made structure that resembles beehives structure. The main function of using this structure is to downplay the sum of material used and to reach minimum weight and minimum material cost in its manufacturing processes. In addition to have greater weight to volume ratio which contributes to higher cost saving, the honeycomb structure also has high strength characteristic that normally made by various metal and non-metal based materials. Honeycomb structure made up of Nomex and aluminum is no doubt has been widely used in aerospace industries. Normally, the most common materials used in manufacturing the honeycomb core are aluminum, fiberglass, carbon fiber, Nomex, and also Kevlar reinforced with polymer. Honeycomb core with reinforced polymer composites offer greater specific stiffness and strength properties in flexure compared to their monolithic counterparts (Stocchi, Colabella, Cisilino, & Álvarez, 2014). Taking into consideration the tremendous need and awareness of environmental impact, the interest to substitute synthetic material with biocomposites for honeycomb core has recently given higher priority in aircraft industry and for example, LSG Sky Chefs and Norduyn have invented the lightest in-flight trolleys and other extrusion profiles using polymer composites.

However, in order to manufacture biocomposites materials a lot of criteria need to be considered. For example, it is not easy to maintain the excellent fiber/matrix bonding in biocomposites, thus suitable treatment for natural fibers is different according to their types. Furthermore, it is important to keep natural fibers in proper storage so that it will not be degrade. Because of that, never ending research about biocomposites has been widely spread where researchers are racing to find outstanding outcomes involving materials from biocomposites.

Several methods to develop honeycomb core from natural fibers or known as honeycomb biocomposites were proposed when recent researches explored their potential applications in current industries. These methods are including corrugation method and one-step process using single core method. In both methods, the type of resin dictates the design of the mould. In order to use thermosetting resin, the mould used should ensure has no leaking so that it will be able to prevent the resin from flowing out while thermoplastics resin needs mould made up of material that can withstand their higher processing temperature. Natural fiber becomes potential application for honeycomb structures in current industries because their usage is endlessly increasing in applications such as aerospace, automotive, naval, packaging and construction industries (Rejab & Cantwell, 2013; Riedel & Nickel, 2005; Stocchi et al., 2014; Q. Zhang, Yang, Li, & Huang, 2015).

By producing a honeycomb core using a single core mould, the process can be reduced compared to when producing honeycomb using corrugation method, therefore contribute in greater manufacturing cost saving. Besides that, when producing corrugated panel, special care need to be taken to replicate the manufacturing conditions of honeycomb in order to obtain samples with same thickness and fiber

content (Stocchi et al., 2014). Based on the literature review, a few types of natural fiber has been used as the reinforcement in honeycomb structure such as jute fabric reinforced with vinylester matrix (Stocchi et al., 2014) and flax fiber reinforced with polyethylene (Petrone et al., 2013). The natural fibers used are normally in the form of chopped strand. The usage of continuous unidirectional fiber are very rare because of the difficulties to extract natural fiber as long bundle without any twisted fibers due to nature of plant (Mahjoub, Mohamad, Rahman, Sam, & Raftari, 2014).



**Figure 1.1 : Kenaf fiber**

One of the examples of continuous unidirectional fiber is kenaf as shown in Figure 1.1. Kenaf fiber is getting attention of researchers and industries to utilize it in different polymer composites due to environmental awareness of consumers and government regulations. In many research studies, kenaf fibers are reinforced with polymer matrix to form fiber reinforced polymeric composites which perfectly improve the features of polymer.

In aerospace application, the core performance is determined by cell size, wall thickness and core thickness. The commercial honeycomb cores for aerospace application are normally produced using fibers in the form of composites sheet bonded together. In term of research purposes, it has been reported that Stocchi et al., (2014) has produced honeycomb core using single core mould but the mould is not designed to the aerospace standards. The honeycomb cores needs to be tested in term of compressive strength and flexural strength. The standards test method for honeycomb structures are usually based on military standard MIL-STD-401.

In this study, honeycomb core of kenaf reinforced with epoxy is fabricated using one step process with single stage mould through compression method with adherence to aerospace standard. Three types of honeycomb core are produced, which consist of neat epoxy, random chopped strand kenaf fiber, and also unidirectional (longitudinal)

kenaf fiber. The epoxy is chosen as a matrix because it is more suitable to be used in single stage mould compared to thermoplastic polymer. Furthermore, as compared to other resins, epoxy composites exhibit higher strength values thus contribute a higher ultimate tensile strain in the structure (Mahjoub et al., 2014). The mould is designed to support the use of continuous unidirectional kenaf fiber and random chopped strand in honeycomb structures. All the dimensions of the mould are referred to the standard size of honeycomb structure used in aircraft.

## **1.2 Problem statement**

The performance of honeycomb core is dictated by the cell configuration which is the cell size, wall thickness and core thickness. In aerospace application, there is a certain range of cell configuration used with accordance to regulations of Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), and military standards. The commercial production of honeycomb core by established aerospace manufacturer such as Hexcel uses expansion and corrugation process to produce honeycomb cores. However, there are a lot of processes and precautions involved using these method. Moreover, there is no work has been reported on using single core mould that meets the specification by FAA and EASA such as cell configuration of honeycomb core. For the use of natural fiber, no work has been done to produce single core mould for honeycomb core as per aerospace standard.

In addition, it is known that natural fibers can be used as reinforcement in honeycomb structure, however, there are very uncommon studies of fiber orientation in honeycomb core, including continuous unidirectional fiber as it is hard to extract natural fiber as long bundle due to nature of plant and the method of fiber retting as well. Furthermore, there is also no work reported on producing honeycomb core with unidirectional fiber orientation with single core mould.

To minimize the gap in current research, single core mould with cell configurations with accordance to aerospace standard is designed and fabricated. Besides that, the usage of random chopped kenaf fiber and unidirectional kenaf fiber reinforced with epoxy will be compared in this study and the neat epoxy honeycomb core will be fabricated as a control specimen.

## **1.3 Objective of Research**

The main objective of this research is to develop honeycomb core of kenaf/epoxy composites with unidirectional and random fiber orientation using single core mould. In order to achieve main objective, a few objectives need to be achieved first. They are:



- To design and fabricate mould for honeycomb of kenaf/epoxy that supports random chopped fiber and unidirectional fiber arrangement with core specifications that fulfill aerospace standards.
- To evaluate mechanical properties between honeycomb of kenaf/epoxy and honeycomb of neat epoxy in term of flatwise compression, edgewise compression flexural test and tensile test.
- To analyze the fracture modes of honeycomb with various fiber direction.

#### **1.4 Scope of Research**

1. The mould is designed according to aircraft core specification which emphasized on cell size and wall thickness.
2. The mould is designed to facilitate the flow of resin so that it will spread evenly in the mould either operated manually or using vacuum infusion.
3. The honeycomb core is to be evaluated in terms of compression and flexural as per Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) regulations and policies. The important testing for honeycomb core in aerospace application are edgewise compression test, flatwise compression test and also flexural test.
4. Geometry of mould should be large enough to produce enough samples for all tests. All cores that will be tested should be fabricated at once to avoid difference in handling procedure during fabrication and also the difference of average fiber distribution.

#### **1.5 Contribution to new knowledge**

1. The usage of single core mould that supports different fiber orientation which are random chopped fiber and also unidirectional fiber.
2. The mould is designed as per aerospace standard. This includes the cell size, wall thickness, and core thickness.

#### **1.6 Thesis Organization**

This report has been organised into five chapters including this chapter which briefly explained the introduction of the project. Chapter 1 is about the general knowledge of the honeycomb core structure and direction of fiber. It also pointed out the problem statements, project objectives and scopes which are important to ensure that this project is completed successfully.

Chapter 2 briefly discussed about literature review works. The study includes all the details about honeycomb core structure, the dimension used in aircraft applications,

type of mould used to fabricate core, the testing and standard involved and the strength of various fiber arrangements.

Chapter 3 explained the methodology. The work flow chart has been made to highlight the work progress. All the details about mould design consideration and fabrication process has been pointed out.

Result and discussion are presented in fourth chapter. The result obtained from the testing are collected and compared with theoretical data, and discussed.

The final chapter includes some recommendations for future works around this project and conclusion.



## REFERENCES

- Abrate, S. (1997). Localized Impact on Sandwich Structures With Laminated Facings. *Applied Mechanics Reviews*, 50(2). <http://doi.org/10.1115/1.3101689>
- Akil, H. M., Omar, M. F., Mazuki, A. A. M., Safiee, S., Ishak, Z. A. M., & Abu Bakar, A. (2011). Kenaf fiber reinforced composites: A review. *Materials & Design*, 32(8–9), 4107–4121. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2011.04.008>
- Al-oqla, F. M., & Sapuan, S. M. (2014). Natural fiber reinforced polymer composites in industrial applications: feasibility of date palm fibers for sustainable automotive industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 66, 347–354. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.10.050>
- Albuquerque, A. C., Joseph, K., Carvalho, L. H., & Almeida, J. R. M. (2000). Effect of Wettability and Ageing Conditions on the Physical and Mechanical Properties of Uniaxially Oriented Jute-Roving-Reinforced Polyester Composites. *Composites Science and Technology*, 60, 833–844.
- Amber Composites. (2005). Amber Aluminum Comercial Honeycomb. Retrieved January 7, 2016, from [www.ambercomposites.com](http://www.ambercomposites.com)
- Amy F. Domae. (2013). *Cell Shape in a Honeycomb Structure vs. Structure Strength* (J0311).
- Anuar, H., & Zuraida, A. (2011). Improvement in mechanical properties of reinforced thermoplastic elastomer composite with kenaf bast fibre. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 42(3), 462–465. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2010.12.013>
- Ases. (2016). Ases Aviation Services & Support Inc. Retrieved July 14, 2017, from [http://www.asesaviation.com/hexcel/aerospace\\_civil.html](http://www.asesaviation.com/hexcel/aerospace_civil.html)
- Aziz, S. H., & Ansell, M. P. (2004). The effect of alkalization and fibre alignment on the mechanical and thermal properties of kenaf and hemp bast fibre composites: Part 1 – polyester resin matrix. *Composites Science and Technology*, 64(9), 1219–1230. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2003.10.001>
- Baker, A. A., & Rose, L. R. F. (2003). *Advances in the bonded composite repair of metallic aircraft structure*. (R. Jones, Ed.). Elsevier.
- Black, S. (2006). Looking to lighten up aircraft interiors - with natural fibers? *High Performances Composites*, 14(6), 24.
- Bongarde, U. S., & Shinde, V. D. (2014). Review on natural fiber reinforcement polymer composites. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)*, 3(2), 431–436.
- Burgueno, R., Quagliata, M., Mohanty, A. K., Mehta, G., Drzal, L., & Misra, M. (2004). Load-bearing natural fiber composite cellular beams and panels. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 35(645–656).

- Chen, J., He, C., Gu, C., Liu, J., Mi, C., & Guo, S. (2014). Compressive and flexural properties of biomimetic integrated honeycomb plates. *Materials and Design*, *64*, 214–220. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2014.07.021>
- Chen, J., Tuo, W., Zhang, X., He, C., Xie, J., & Liu, C. (2016). Compressive failure modes and parameter optimization of the trabecular structure of biomimetic fully integrated honeycomb plates. *Materials Science and Engineering C*, *69*, 255–261. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2016.06.087>
- Cheung, H., Ho, M., Lau, K., Cardona, F., & Hui, D. (2009). Composites : Part B Natural fibre-reinforced composites for bioengineering and environmental engineering applications. *Composites Part B*, *40*(7), 655–663. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2009.04.014>
- Chok, E. Y. . (2016). *Fatigue behavior of hybrid woven kenaf fiber reinforced epoxy composite*.
- Chung, J., & Waas, A. M. (2009). The micropolar elasticity constants of circular cell honeycombs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, *465*(2101), 25–39. <http://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2008.0225>
- Cicala, G., Cristaldi, G., Recca, G., Ziegmann, G., El-sabbagh, A., & Dickert, M. (2009). Properties and performances of various hybrid glass / natural fibre composites for curved pipes. *Materials and Design*, *30*(7), 2538–2542. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2008.09.044>
- Cote, F., Russell, B. P., Deshpande, V. S., & Fleck, N. a. (2009). The Through-Thickness Compressive Strength of a Composite Sandwich Panel With a Hierarchical Square Honeycomb Sandwich Core. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, *76*(6), 61004. <http://doi.org/10.1115/1.3086436>
- Deo, R. B., Starnes, J. H., & Holzwarth, R. C. (2003). Low-cost composite materials and structures for aircraft applications. In *Aircraft Design, Testing and Performance*. RTO-MP-069(II).
- Du, Y., Yan, N., & Kortschot, M. T. (2012). Light-weight honeycomb core sandwich panels containing biofiber-reinforced thermoset polymer composite skins: Fabrication and evaluation. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, *43*(7), 2875–2882. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2012.04.052>
- Eichorn, S. J., & Young, R. J. (2004). Composite micromechanics of hemp fibers and epoxy resin microdroplets. *Composites Science and Technology*, *64*(5), 767–772.
- FAA. (2012). Aircraft Structures. In *Aviation Maintenance Technician Handbook - Airframe* (pp. 1–48). Retrieved from [https://www.faa.gov/regulations\\_policies/handbooks\\_manuals/aircraft/amt\\_airframe\\_handbook/media/ama\\_ch01.pdf](https://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/handbooks_manuals/aircraft/amt_airframe_handbook/media/ama_ch01.pdf)
- Graupner, N., Herrmann, A. S., & Müssig, J. (2009). Composites : Part A Natural and man-made cellulose fibre-reinforced poly ( lactic acid ) ( PLA ) composites : An overview about mechanical characteristics and application areas. *Composites*

*Part A*, 40(6–7), 810–821. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2009.04.003>

- Hafizah, N. A. K., Hussin, M. W., Jamaludin, M. Y., Bhutta, M. A. R., Ismail, M., & Azman, M. (2014). Tensile Behaviour of Kenaf Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites. *Jurnal Teknologi (Science & Engineering)*, 69(3), 11–15.
- Hafizah, N., Abd, B., & Yatim, J. M. (2010). Tensile behavior of the treated and untreated kenaf fibers, (c), 1–8.
- Hamad, A. J. (2015). Size and shape effect of specimen on the compressive strength of HPLWFC reinforced with glass fibres. *Journal Of King Saud University - Engineering Sciences*, 1–8. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksues.2015.09.003>
- Hassan, A. A. Y. I. M. A. (2010). Comparison of Polylactic Acid / Kenaf and Polylactic Acid / Rise Husk Composites : The Influence of the Natural Fibers on the Mechanical , Thermal and Biodegradability Properties, 422–429. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-010-0185-0>
- Hexcel Composites. (1999). *Honeycomb Attributes and Properties*. (Hexcel Composites, Ed.)*Honeycomb Data Sheets* (11th ed.). California: Hexcel Corporation.
- Hidayat, A., & Tachibana, S. (2012). International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation Characterization of polylactic acid ( PLA )/ kenaf composite degradation by immobilized mycelia of *Pleurotus ostreatus*. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 71, 50–54. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2012.02.007>
- Hoff, N. J., & Mautner, S. E. (1945). The buckling of sandwich-type panels. *Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences*, 12(3), 285–297.
- Holbery, J., & Houston, D. (2006). Natural-fibre-reinforced polymer composites in automotive applications. *Jom*, 58(11), 80–86.
- Iqbal, M., Islam, M., Ananda, J., & Lau, K. (2014). Potentiality of utilising natural textile materials for engineering composites applications. *JOURNAL OF MATERIALS&DESIGN*, 59, 359–368. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2014.03.022>
- Jaroslav, J., Pistek, A., Splichal, M., Urik, T., & Malis, M. (2013). Advances in composite structures design and simulation. *Composites Aircraft Structure*, 2.
- Jawaid, M., & Khalil, H. P. S. A. (2015). Cellulosic / synthetic fibre reinforced polymer hybrid composites : A review. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 86(1), 1–18. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2011.04.043>
- Kumar, V. (2013). Advanced composites materials in typical Aerospace application. Retrieved July 12, 2017, from [http://www.academia.edu/1740230/Advanced\\_composite\\_materials\\_in\\_typical\\_Aerospace\\_applications](http://www.academia.edu/1740230/Advanced_composite_materials_in_typical_Aerospace_applications)

- Lee, B. H., Kim, H. J., & Yu, W. R. (2009). Fabrication of Long and Discontinuous Natural Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene Biocomposites and Their Mechanical Properties. *Fibers and Polymers*, 10(1), 83–90.
- Liew, S. C. (2008). *Characterization of Natural Fiber Polymer Composites for Structural Application*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.
- Loud, S. (2000). *Bridging the Centuries with SAMPE's Materials and Processes Technology*. California: Taylor & Francis.
- M. Y., M. Z. (2008). *Mechanical Properties of Oil Palm Fibre Thermoset Composites*. University Putra Malaysia, Selangor.
- Mahjoub, R., Mohamad, J., Rahman, A., Sam, M., & Raftari, M. (2014). Characteristics of continuous unidirectional kenaf fiber reinforced epoxy composites. *Journal of Material & Design*, 64, 640–649. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2014.08.010>
- Manan, N. H., Majid, D. L., & Romli, F. I. (2016). Mould design and manufacturing considerations of honeycomb biocomposites with transverse fibre direction for aerospace application. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 152, 1–8. <http://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/152/1/012013>
- Meatherall, S. (2008). *Establishment of Protocols for Natural Fibre Density Measurement*.
- Menta, V., Vuppalapati, R., Chandrashekhara, K., Pfitzinger, D., & Phan, N. (2012). Manufacturing and mechanical performance evaluation of resin-infused honeycomb composites. *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, 31(6), 415–423. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0731684412439792>
- Mishra, S., Tripathy, S. S., Misra, M., Mohanty, A. K., & K., N. S. (2002). Novel eco-friendly biocomposites: Biofiber reinforced biodegradable polyester amide composite - fabrication and properties evaluation. *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, 21, 55–70.
- Mohanty, A. K., Khan, M., & Hinrichsen, G. (2000). Surface modification of jute and its influence on performance of biodegradable jute-fabric/biopol composites. *Composites Science and Technology*, 60, 1115–1124.
- Mwaikambo, L. Y., & Ansell, M. P. (2003). Hemp fibre reinforced cashew nut shell liquid composites. *Composites Science and Technology*, 63(9), 1297–1305.
- Mylsamy, K., & Rajendran, I. (2011). The mechanical properties, deformation and thermomechanical properties of alkali treated and untreated Agave continuous fibre reinforced epoxy composites. *Materials and Design*, 32(5), 3076–3084. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2010.12.051>
- Nagasankar, P., Balasivanandha Prabu, S., & Velmurugan, R. (2015). Role of different fiber orientations and thicknesses of the skins and the core on the transverse shear damping of polypropylene honeycomb sandwich structures. *Mechanics of*

*Materials*, 91(P1), 252–261. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.mechmat.2015.08.002>

- Nelson, J. W. (2010). Composite Materials for Aircraft Structures : A Brief Review of Practical Application.
- Netravali, A. N. (2004). Ramie Fiber Reinforced Natural Plastics. In *Natural Fibers Plastics and Composites* (pp. 321–343). United States America: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Nishino, T., Hirao, K., Kotera, M., Nakamae, K., & Inagaki, H. (2003). Kenaf reinforced biodegradable composites. *Composites Science and Technology*, 63, 1282–1286.
- Parikh, D. V, Calamari, T. A., Sawhney, A. P. S., Blanchard, E. J., Screen, F. J., & Warnock, M. (2002). Improved chemical retting of kenaf fibers. *Textile Research Journal*, 72(7), 618–624.
- Petrone, G., Rao, S., Rosa, S. De, Mace, B. R., Franco, F., & Bhattacharyya, D. (2013). Composites : Part B Initial experimental investigations on natural fibre reinforced honeycomb core panels. *Composites Part B*, 55, 400–406. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2013.06.047>
- Pflug, J., & Verpoest, I. (2006). Sandwich Materials Selection Charts. *Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials*, 8(5), 407–421. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1099636206065521>
- Ramakrishna, G., & Sundararajan, T. (2005). Studies on the Durability of Natural Fibres and the Effect of Corroded Fibers on the Strength of Mortar. *Cement & Concrete Composites*, 27, 575–582.
- Ramakrishna, M., Vivek, K., & Yuvraj, S. N. (2008). Recent Development in Natural Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene Composites. *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, 28(10), 1169–1189. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0731684407087759>
- Rao, S., Yadama, V., & Bhattacharyya, D. (2011). Composite Hollow Core High-End Bio-Panels. *18th International Conference on Composite Material*, 1–6.
- Rassmann, S., Paskaramoorthy, R., & Reid, R. G. (2011). Effect of resin system on the mechanical properties and water absorption of kenaf fibre reinforced laminates. *Materials and Design*, 32(3), 1399–1406. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2010.09.006>
- Rejab, M. R. M., & Cantwell, W. J. (2013). The mechanical behaviour of corrugated-core sandwich panels. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 47, 267–277. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2012.10.031>
- Ribot, N., Ahmad, Z., & Mustaffa, N. (2011). MECHANICAL PROPERTISE OF KENAF FIBER COMPOSITE USING CO- CURED IN-LINE FIBER JOINT. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)*, 3(4), 3526–3534.

- Riedel, U., & Nickel, J. (2005). Applications of Natural Fiber Composites for Constructive Parts in Aerospace, Automobiles, and Other Areas. *Biopolymers Online*, 272(1), 34–40. <http://doi.org/10.1002/3527600035.bpola001>
- Roslan, S. A. H., Hassan, M. Z., Rasid, Z. A., Zaki, S. A., Daud, Y., Aziz, S., ... Ismail, Z. (2015). Mechanical properties of bamboo reinforced epoxy sandwich structure composites. *International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering*, 12(1), 2882–2892. <http://doi.org/10.15282/ijame.12.2015.7.0242>
- Rowell, R. M. (1994). Reinforcing Polypropylene With Natural Fibers. *Plastic Engineering*, 12(4), 1–2.
- Saba, N., & Tahir, P. M. (2014). Mechanical Properties of Kenaf Fibre Reinforced Polymer Composite : A Review. *CONSTRUCTION & BUILDING MATERIALS*, 76(December), 87–96. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.11.043>
- Saheb, D. N., & Jog, J. P. (1999). Natural Fiber Polymer Composites : A Review, 18(4), 351–363.
- Sandwich Constructions and Core Materials. (1956). *MIL-STD-401B*, (September 1967).
- Satyanarayana, K. G., Sukumaran, K., Mukherjee, R. S., Pavithran, C., & Piuai, S. G. K. (1990). Natural Fibre-Polymer Composites, 12(August 1989), 117–136.
- Sawicki, A. J. (2009). Development of Standard Test Methods for Sandwich Composites Under ASTM Committee D30. *17th International Conference on Composite Materials, 1964*.
- Seely, O. J. (2000). Density and Archimedes' Principle. Retrieved November 21, 2016, from <http://www.csudh.edu/oliver/satcoll/archmede.htm>
- Serizawa, S., Inoue, K., & Iji, M. (2005). Kenaf-Fiber-Reinforced Poly ( lactic acid ) Used for Electronic Products, (July). <http://doi.org/10.1002/app.23377>
- Serope, K. (2010). *Manufacturing Engineering and Technology*. (J. Wong, Ed.) (sixth edit). Prentice Hall.
- Shibata, S., Cao, Y., & Fukumoto, I. (2005). Press forming of short natural fiber-reinforced biodegradable resin : Effects of fiber volume and length on flexural properties, 24, 1005–1011. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymertesting.2005.07.012>
- Shibata, S., Cao, Y., & Fukumoto, I. (2008). Flexural modulus of the unidirectional and random composites made from biodegradable resin and bamboo and kenaf fibres, 39, 640–646. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2007.10.021>
- Shishoo, R., & Consulting, S. (1998). The Tensile and Flexural Properties of Textile Composites, (January). <http://doi.org/10.1080/00405000.1998.11090902>
- Showa Aircraft. (2012a). Aramid Fiber/Phenolic Resin Honeycomb.



- Showa Aircraft. (2012b). Phosphoric Acid Anodized Aluminum Honeycomb. Retrieved January 7, 2016, from [http://showaaircraftusa.com/theme/showa\\_001\\_blue/doc/PAA-SHH-Technical-Data-Rev2.pdf](http://showaaircraftusa.com/theme/showa_001_blue/doc/PAA-SHH-Technical-Data-Rev2.pdf)
- Smallman, R. E., & Bishop, R. (1999). *Modern physical metallurgy and materials engineering*. Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Stocchi, A., Colabella, L., Cisilino, A., & Álvarez, V. (2014). Manufacturing and testing of a sandwich panel honeycomb core reinforced with natural-fiber fabrics. *Journal of Material & Design*, 55, 394–403. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.09.054>
- Tencate. (2015a). Product Datasheet. Retrieved January 7, 2016, from [http://www.tencate.com/emea/Images/AluminiumHoneycombAERO\\_V8\\_DS\\_Web\\_051214\\_tcm28-25503.pdf](http://www.tencate.com/emea/Images/AluminiumHoneycombAERO_V8_DS_Web_051214_tcm28-25503.pdf)
- Tencate. (2015b). Product Datasheet. Retrieved January 7, 2016, from [http://www.tencate.com/emea/Images/NomexAERO\\_V7\\_DS\\_Web\\_082114\\_tcm28-25505.pdf](http://www.tencate.com/emea/Images/NomexAERO_V7_DS_Web_082114_tcm28-25505.pdf)
- Vidal, R., Moliner, E., Martin, P. P., Fita, S., & Wonneberger, M. (2017). Life Cycle Assessment of Novel Aircraft Interior Panels Made from Renewable or Recyclable Polymers with Natural Fiber Reinforcements and Non-Halogenated. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 0(0), 1–13. <http://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12544>
- Wadley, H. (2014). High Intensity Impulsive Loading. Retrieved August 24, 2016, from <http://www.virginia.edu/ms/research/wadley/high-intensity-impulsive.html>
- Wadley, H. N. G. (2006). Multifunctional periodic cellular metals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 364(1838), 31–68. <http://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2005.1697>
- Xue, Y., Du, Y., Elder, S., Wang, K., & Zhang, J. (2009). Composites : Part B Temperature and loading rate effects on tensile properties of kenaf bast fiber bundles and composites. *Composites Part B*, 40(3), 189–196. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2008.11.009>
- Xue, Z., & Hutchinson, J. W. (2006). Crush dynamics of square honeycomb sandwich cores. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 65(13), 2221–2245. <http://doi.org/10.1002/nme.1535>
- Yousif, B. F., Shalwan, A., Chin, C. W., & Ming, K. C. (2012). Flexural properties of treated and untreated kenaf/epoxy composites. *Materials and Design*, 40, 378–385. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2012.04.017>
- Zampaloni, M., Pourboghrat, F., Yankovich, S. A., Rodgers, B. N., Moore, J., Drzal, L. T., ... Misra, M. (2007). Kenaf natural fiber reinforced polypropylene composites: A discussion on manufacturing problems and solutions. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 38(6), 1569–1580.

<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2007.01.001>

Zhang, J., & Ashby, M. F. (1992). The out-of-plane properties of honeycombs. *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, 34(6), 475–489. [http://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7403\(92\)90013-7](http://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7403(92)90013-7)

Zhang, Q., Yang, X., Li, P., & Huang, G. (2015). Progress in Materials Science Bioinspired engineering of honeycomb structure – Using nature to inspire human innovation. *Progress in Materials Science*, 74, 332–400. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2015.05.001>

Zhang, X., Zhang, H., & Wen, Z. (2014). Experimental and numerical studies on the crush resistance of aluminum honeycombs with various cell configurations. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 66, 48–59. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2013.12.009>

Zuhri, M. Y. M., Guan, Z. W., & Cantwell, W. J. (2014). Composites : Part B The mechanical properties of natural fibre based honeycomb core materials. *COMPOSITES PART B*, 58, 1–9.