



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

***EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS OF UNIDIRECTIONAL OIL PALM EMPTY  
FRUIT BUNCH FIBRE-REINFORCED POLYMER COMPOSITES AND  
CRASH PERFORMANCE USING FINITE ELEMENT METHOD***

**CIK SUHANA BINTI HASSAN**

**FK 2018 136**



**EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS OF UNIDIRECTIONAL OIL PALM EMPTY  
FRUIT BUNCH FIBRE-REINFORCED POLYMER COMPOSITES AND  
CRASH PERFORMANCE USING FINITE ELEMENT METHOD**

By

**CIK SUHANA BINTI HASSAN**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra  
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of  
Philosophy**

**September 2018**



© COPYRIGHT UPM

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



*This humble thesis is dedicated to:*

*My parent;  
Hassan Bin Nayan and Patimah Binti Din*

*My husband;  
Adi Akmal Bin Nasrul Hisham*

*My children;  
Nur Adriana Sufi and Adrian Sulhi*

*whom constantly encouraged me to pursue my dreams and loved me  
unconditionally.*

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS OF UNIDIRECTIONAL OIL PALM EMPTY FRUIT BUNCH FIBRE-REINFORCED POLYMER COMPOSITES AND CRASH PERFORMANCE USING FINITE ELEMENT METHOD**

By

**CIK SUHANA BINTI HASSAN**

**September 2018**

**Chairman : Professor Mohd Sapuan Bin Salit, PhD, Ir.**  
**Faculty : Engineering**

The overall objective of this research was to analyze the mechanical properties of unidirectional oil palm empty fruit bunch (OPEFB) fibre reinforced polymer composites and simulating their impact failure response using finite element analysis. The work has primarily focused on the fabrication of unidirectional OPEFB fibre reinforced polymer composites using hand lay-up and compression moulding technique employing Epoxy resin and Polypropylene (PP), respectively. The mechanical properties of the OPEFB fibre/polymer composite have been experimentally characterized by varying the fibre orientation angle by 0°, 45° and 90°. Fibre weight ratio was varied by 25%, 35% and 45% for OPEFB fibre/PP composite. Tensile, flexural and impact strength test conducted in accordance to ASTM D638, ASTM D70 and ASTM D6110, respectively. Superior tensile and flexural strengths were observed for the unidirectional OPEFB fibre/epoxy composite with 0° fibre orientation angle, with increases by of around 30% and 216%, respectively, as compared to pure epoxy. Unidirectional OPEFB fibre/PP composites with fibre loading of 35% and 0° fibre orientation was observed to provide the highest tensile strength. There was an increase of around 130% in the tensile strength as compared to pure PP. The greatest resistance to flexural and impact on the other hand were depicted by the 0° oriented OPEFB fibre/PP composites with fibre loading of 25% and 45%, respectively. The flexural and impact strength were found to be better by 328% and 52%, respectively as compared to pure PP. A finite element modeling was developed for predicting the behavior of the OPEFB fibre/polymer composite using the MAT 54/55 material model that is implemented in the LS-DYNA explicit finite element code. The finite element results were validated against the experimental finding. Good correlations with error lesser by 15% were observed between the simulation and the experimental results. Crash performance of the OPEFB fibre/polymer composite as bumper material has been investigated using finite element analysis. The crash was conducted as frontal impact collision with low velocity impact of 4 km/hr. The specific energy absorption (SEA) of the composites bumper part was found to be comparable with the conventional material

used for the bumper. The SEA performance of the unidirectional OPEFB fibre/epoxy composites bumper beam was found to be improved by of around 52% as compared to the conventional Aluminum bumper beam. It was also found that there was an increase by 8.34% in the SEA performance of the unidirectional OPEFB fibre/PP composites bumper fascia as compared to pure PP bumper fascia.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**ANALISIS MEKANIKAL UNTUK POLIMER KOMPOSIT DIPERKUAT-GENTIAN TANDAN BUAH KELAPA SAWIT KOSONG SECARA SEARAH DAN PRESTASI PERLANGGARAN MENGGUNAKAN KAEDAH UNSUR TERHINGGA**

Oleh

**CIK SUHANA BINTI HASSAN**

**September 2018**

**Pengerusi : Professor Mohd Sapuan Bin Salit, PhD, Ir.**  
**Fakulti : Kejuruteraan**

Secara keseluruhan, kajian ini bertujuan mengkaji ciri-ciri mekanikal polimer komposit diperkuat gentian tandan buah kelapa sawit kosong (OPEFB) secara searah dan mensimulasikan tindak balas bahan tersebut kepada hentaman menggunakan kaedah unsur terhingga. Kajian ini melibatkan penghasilan komposit polimer diperkuat gentian OPEFB menggunakan teknik bengkalai tangan untuk komposit berdasarkan Epoxy dan acuan mampatan untuk komposit berdasarkan Polypropylene (PP). Ciri-ciri mekanikal untuk komposit gentian OPEFB/polimer telah dikaji secara eksperimen dengan sudut darjah orientasi yang berbeza iaitu  $0^\circ$ ,  $45^\circ$  dan  $90^\circ$ . Nisbah berat kandungan gentian OPEFB untuk komposit gentian OPEFB/PP bervariasi 25%, 35% dan 45%. Ujian kekuatan tegangan, lenturan dan impak masing-masing telah dijalankan mengikut dimensi yang ditentukan dalam ASTM D638, ASTM D70 dan ASTM D6110. Kekuatan tegangan dan lenturan lebih unggul diperhatikan untuk komposit dengan sudut darjah  $0^\circ$  bagi gentian OPEFB/epoxy dengan pertambahan lebih kurang 30% dan 216%, masing-masing, dibandingkan dengan epoxy tulen. Bagi komposit gentian OPEFB/PP, kandungan gentian 35% didapati menghasilkan kekuatan tegangan tertinggi. Terdapat pertambahan sebanyak lebih kurang 130% pada komposit kekuatan tegangan dibandingkan dengan PP tulen. Sementara itu, kandungan gentian sebanyak 25% dan 45% juga dengan sudut darjah  $0^\circ$ , masing-masing, didapati memberikan rintangan terbesar kepada beban lenturan dan impak. Kekuatan lenturan dan impak didapati lebih baik sebanyak lebih kurang 328% dan 52%, masing-masing dibandingkan dengan PP tulen. Pemodelan telah dihasilkan untuk meramalkan perilaku gentian komposit OPEFB/polimer menggunakan model bahan MAT 54/55 yang telah dicipta dalam perisian LS-DYNA. Keputusan simulasi telah dibandingkan dengan keputusan eksperimen. Kolerasi yang baik telah diperhatikan di antara keputusan simulasi dan eksperimen dengan peratusan ralat kurang dari 15%. Prestasi perlanggaran komposit gentian OPEFB/polimer sebagai bahan untuk bampar telah dikaji menggunakan kaedah unsur terhingga. Simulasi perlanggaran tersebut telah dijalankan



sebagai pelanggaran berhadapan dengan halaju rendah sebanyak 4 km/jam. Penyerapan tenaga khusus (SEA) oleh komposit bampar tersebut didapati setanding dengan bahan konvensional yang digunakan sebagai bampar. Prestasi SEA untuk komposit gentian OPEFB/epoxy didapati lebih baik sebanyak lebih kurang 52% dibandingkan dengan rasuk bampar Aluminium. Prestasi SEA pada papan pemuka bampar juga didapati lebih baik sebanyak lebih kurang 8.34% bagi komposit gentian OPEFB/PP dibandingkan dengan papan pemuka bampar menggunakan PP tulen.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, praises to Allah, the most Gracious and most Merciful, for giving me the opportunity to complete this research. I would like to thank the supervisors of this work, Professor Ir. Dr. Mohd Sapuan Salit, Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Nuraini Abd Aziz and Dr. Mohd Zuhri Mohamed Yusoff. I would also like to acknowledge the support of Ir. Dr. Barkawi Sahari on this project. The guidance and support from the supervisory committee enable me to overcome numerous obstacles I have been facing through my research.

A very special gratitude goes out to my beloved parent, Hassan Bin Nayan and Patimah Binti Din; my husband, Adi Akmal Bin Nasrul Hisham; my children, Nur Adriana Sufi and Adrian Sulhi; my siblings, Ahmad, Mat Zamri, Che Tom, Muhammad Ridhwan and Nur Syarifah Hidayu for their love and support throughout completing this research and my life in general.

I am forever indebted to my students Nagavaindra Rao, Danson Loi, Yeo Chuan Wei, Kanesskumar, Veyvei Durai, Abdelrahem, Pei Qiang and Wong Kien Pang for their great assistance.

I would like to thank my fellow doctoral students especially Siti Marhainis and Mai Nursherida for their feedback, cooperation and of course friendship.

And finally, last but by no means least, my friends and colleagues for supporting me spiritually throughout writing this thesis.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 26 September 2018 to conduct the final examination of Cik Suhana binti Hassan on her thesis entitled "Experimental Analysis of Unidirectional Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch Fibre-Reinforced Polymer Composites and Crash Performance Using Finite Element Method" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Edi Syams bin Zainudin, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Zulkiflle bin Leman, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Azmah Hanim binti Mohamed Ariff, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Salim Hiziroglu, PhD**

Professor  
Oklahoma State University  
United States  
(External Examiner)



**RUSLI HAJI ABDULLAH, PhD**  
Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 22 November 2018

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Mohd Sapuan Bin Salit, PhD**

Professor, Ir  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Nuraini Binti Abdul Aziz, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Mohd Zuhri Bin Mohamed Yusoff, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No.: Cik Suhana Binti Hassan (GS36983)

## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Chairman of  
Supervisory  
Committee: Prof. Ir. Dr. Mohd Sapuan Bin Salit

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nuraini Binti Abd Aziz

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member of  
Supervisory  
Committee: Dr. Mohd Zuhri Bin Mohamed Yusoff

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	v
<b>APPROVAL</b>	vi
<b>DECLARATION</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xix
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statements	1
1.3 Objectives	3
1.4 Scope of Work	3
1.5 Thesis Outline	4
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Natural Fibre Reinforced Polymer Composite	5
2.1.1 Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch (OPEFB) Fibre	5
2.1.2 Polymer	9
2.1.3 Unidirectional Natural Fibre Reinforced Polymer Composite	10
2.1.4 Fabrication for Unidirectional Natural Fibre Reinforced Polymer Composite	12
2.2 Mechanical Properties of the Unidirectional Natural Fibre Reinforced Polymer Composite	14
2.2.1 Experimental Determination of Fibre and Polymer Properties	14
2.2.2 Analytical Method	18
2.2.3 Numerical Analysis	20
2.3 Material Behaviour Model and Failure Criteria for Composites	22
2.3.1 Transversely Isotropic of Unidirectional Composite	22
2.3.2 Failure Criteria for Composite	24
2.4 Automobile Safety System	26
2.4.1 Safety System Components	26
2.4.2 Crashworthiness Assessment	28
2.4.3 Crash Energy Absorption Evaluation	29
2.4.4 Analysis of Bumper Structure	30
2.4.5 Composite Bumper	31
2.4.6 FEA for Composite Bumper	32

	Crashworthiness Analysis	
2.5	Summary	41
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	42
3.1	Experimental Analysis	42
3.1.1	OPEFB Fibre Preparation	44
3.1.2	Composite Fabrication Process	46
3.1.3	Tensile Test	51
3.1.4	Flexural Test	53
3.1.5	Impact Test	55
3.2	Finite Element Analysis on Mechanical Behaviour of OPEFB Fibre/ Epoxy and OPEFB Fibre/PP Composite	56
3.2.1	Tensile Test	57
3.2.2	Flexural Test	59
3.2.3	Finite Element Material Model Calibration and Validation	61
3.3	Crash Analysis of the Unidirectional OPEFB Fibre Reinforced PP Composite Bumper	63
3.3.1	Finite Element Model	63
3.3.2	Material Data Entry	65
3.4	Summary	66
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	67
4.1	Experimental Investigation on the Tensile Properties of Single OPEFB Fibre	67
4.2	Experimental Determination of Mechanical Properties of the OPEFB Fibre Reinforced Polymer Composites	70
4.2.1	Mechanical Properties of Unidirectional OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composites	70
4.2.2	Mechanical Properties of Unidirectional OPEFB Fibre/PP Composites	78
4.3	Finite Element Analysis on Mechanical Behaviour of OPEFB Fibre/Polymer Composite	91
4.3.1	Finite Element Analysis on the Properties of OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composite under Tensile and Flexural Load	91
4.3.2	Finite Element Analysis on the Properties of OPEFB Fibre/PP Composites under Tensile and Flexural Load	100
4.4	Crash Performance of Unidirectional OPEFB Fibre/Polymer Composite Bumper under Low Velocity Frontal Impact	106
4.4.1	Crash Performance of Car Bumper Beam	106
4.4.2	Crash Performance of Car Bumper Fascia	113
4.5	Summary	118
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	120
5.1	Conclusions	120
5.2	Recommendations	121



<b>REFERENCES</b>	122
<b>APPENDICES</b>	129
<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	137
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	138



## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Typical Properties of OPEFB Fibre	6
2.2	Typical Properties of Epoxy and PP	9
2.3	Definition of Mechanical Properties	15
2.4	Comparison internal energy, SEA and mass of each bumper beam with different materials	35
2.5	Simulation results of the high strength steel and CRP bumper beam with different layup	40
3.1	OPEFB fibre/PP composites sample composition	51
3.2	Dimensions for tensile test specimen	53
3.3	MAT 54/55 Input Entry for OPEFB Fibre/Polymer Composite	59
3.4	MAT 20 Input Entry for Steel Supports	61
3.5	Material properties of the baseline model	66
4.1	Tensile property of single OPEFB fibre	70
4.2	Regression analysis of the unidirectional OPEFB fibre/epoxy composites tensile stress-strain curve	72
4.3	Regression analysis of the unidirectional OPEFB fibre/epoxy composites flexural load-displacement curve	75
4.4	Tensile and flexural strength of OPEFB fibre/epoxy composite with three different orientation angle	77
4.5	Regression analysis of the unidirectional OPEFB fibre/PP composites tensile stress-strain curve	80
4.6	Regression analysis of the unidirectional OPEFB fibre/PP composites flexural load-displacement curve	86
4.7	Correlation coefficient of different shear parameter with respect to experimental	96
4.8	Correlation coefficient of different $\alpha$ parameter with respect to experimental	97
4.9	Specific energy absorption capabilities for bumper beam of different materials	111
4.10	Measure of crush force efficiency for bumper beam	112
4.11	Energy absorption capabilities for bumper fascia of different materials	115
4.12	Specific energy absorption capabilities of bumper fascia	116

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>		<b>Page</b>
2.1	Structure of Oil Palm Tree	6
2.2	Composite Lay-Up	10
2.3	Schematic of Hand Lay-up	13
2.4	Schematic of Compression Molding	14
2.5	Schematic of three-point bending test with bending moment diagram	16
2.6	Charpy impact test specimen	17
2.7	Charpy impact test procedure	17
2.8	Classification of composite micromechanical models.	18
2.9	Three stages of FEA	21
2.10	Arrangement of fibre direction for transversely isotropic material	23
2.11	Statistics of Road Deaths in Malaysia	27
2.12	Vehicle Impact Type	27
2.13	Distribution of crashes by impact type.	28
2.14	ECE R42 Frontal Impact Configuration	29
2.15	Typical bumper system.	30
2.16	Impact strength of glass/PP, Kenaf/PP and hybrid glass/Kenaf fibre/PP	33
2.17	Isometric View of the Impact Layout	34
2.18	Internal energy of bumper beam with respect to time for various materials employed	35
2.19	Meshed model of the impact structure	36
2.20	Displacement-time curve for impact accordance to FMVSS	36
2.21	Displacement-time curve for impact accordance to IIHS	37
2.22	Meshed FEA model (a) assembly consists of car body, brackets, bumper system and impactor; (b) assembly consists of bumper beam, foam and fascia	38
2.23	Comparison of deformation after impact of bumper beam made of steel and carbon fibre composite	38
2.24	Comparison of impact force of bumper beam made of steel and carbon fibre composite	39
2.25	FEM Model of the Impact System	39
3.1	Methodology Flow Chart	43
3.2	Bundle OPEFB Fibres	44
3.3	Dismantled OPEFB Fibre	44
3.4	Treated OPEFB Fibres	45
3.5	Fibre Straightening Process	46
3.6	Unidirectional OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composite	47
3.7	Compression Moulding Machine	48
3.8	Fabricated PP Sheets	49

3.9	Schematic of the OPEFB fibre/PP arrangement prior to compression moulding process	49
3.10	Sandwiched of OPEFB Fibre and PP prior to compression moulding process	50
3.11	OPEFB fibre/PP Composite	50
3.12	Single Fibre Tensile Test Setup	52
3.13	Tensile test specimen	53
3.14	Flexural Test Setup	54
3.15	Charpy Impact Tester	55
3.16	Impact testing specimen with notch	55
3.17	General finite element modeling procedures	56
3.18	Finite element model and boundary conditions of tensile test	57
3.19	Finite element model and boundary conditions of flexural test	60
3.20	Inverse modelling calibration and validation for material model parameter	62
3.21	FEA Model of the Bumper Beam Impact System	64
3.22	FEA Model of the Bumper Fascia Impact System	64
3.23	Stress strain relation for the baseline model materials	65
4.1	Tensile stress-strain curve of single OPEFB fibre	68
4.2	Determination of tensile modulus from the stress-strain curve	68
4.3	Untreated OPEFB fibre surface	69
4.4	NaOH treated OPEFB fibre surface	69
4.5	Tensile Stress-Strain Curve of the Unidirectional OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composite	71
4.6	Tensile Strength of the Unidirectional OPEFB Fiber/Epoxy Composites	73
4.7	Failure Specimens of (a) 90° and (b) 0° OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composites Under Tensile Load	74
4.8	Flexural Load-Displacement Curve of the Unidirectional OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composite	74
4.9	Failure Specimen of 90° Oriented Fibre under Flexural Load	75
4.10	Failure Specimen of 0° Oriented Fibre under Flexural Load	76
4.11	Flexural Strength of the Unidirectional OPEFB Fiber/Epoxy Composites	77
4.12	Tensile Stress-Strain Curves of 25 wt% OPEFB Fibre/PP Composite	78
4.13	Tensile Stress-Strain Curves of 35 wt% OPEFB Fibre/PP Composite	79
4.14	Tensile Stress-Strain Curves of 45 wt% OPEFB Fibre/PP Composite	79
4.15	Tensile Strength of the Unidirectional OPEFB Fiber/PP Composites with Various Fibre Loading and Orientation Angle	81
4.16	PP Matrix Unable to Impregnate Fibres at 45 wt% OPEFB/PP Composite	82

4.17	Optical Micrograph of the 0° 35 wt% OPEFB/PP Composite Tensile Specimen Fractured Surface	83
4.18	Optical Micrograph of the 90° 35 wt% OPEFB/PP Composite Tensile Specimen Fractured Surface	83
4.19	Load-Displacement Curves of 25 wt% OPEFB Fibre/PP Composite under Flexural Load	84
4.20	Load-Displacement Curves of 35 wt% OPEFB Fibre/PP Composite under Flexural Load	85
4.21	Load-Displacement Curves of 45 wt% OPEFB Fibre/PP Composite under Flexural Load	85
4.22	Flexural Strength of OPEFB Fibre/PP Composite with Various Fibre Loading and Orientation Angle	87
4.23	Flexural Modulus of OPEFB/PP Composite with Various Fibre Loading and Orientation Angle	88
4.24	Photograph of Failed Specimens of OPEFB fibre/PP Composites Under Flexural Load	89
4.25	Failed Specimens of 35 wt% and 45 wt% OPEFB fibre/PP Composite	89
4.26	Impact Strength of OPEFB Fibre/PP Composite with Various Fibre Loading and Orientation Angle	90
4.27	FEA and Experimental Tensile Stress-Strain Curve of the Unidirectional OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composite with 0° Fibre Alignment Angle	91
4.28	Contour Plot of Stress Distribution Predicted by FEA for Unidirectional OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composite at Strain of (a) 0.8% (b) 1.8%, and (c) 1.9%.	92
4.29	FEA and Experimental Tensile Stress-Strain Curve of the Unidirectional OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composite with 90° Fibre Alignment Angle	93
4.30	FEA and Experimental Tensile Stress-Strain Curve of the Unidirectional OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composite with 45° Fibre Alignment Angle	94
4.31	FEA and Experimental Tensile Stress-Strain Curve of the 45° OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composite with Different Shear Modulus Parameter	95
4.32	FEA and Experimental Tensile Stress-Strain Curve of the 0° OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composite with Different $\alpha$ Parameter	96
4.33	Comparison of the Calibrated FEA against the Experimental and Baseline Simulation of 0° OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composite	98
4.34	Comparison of the Calibrated FEA against the Experimental and Baseline Simulation of 90° OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composite	98
4.35	Experimental and Simulation Load-Displacement Curve of 90° OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composite	99
4.36	FEA and Experimental Tensile Stress-Strain Curve of the Unidirectional OPEFB Fibre/PP	100

4.37	Composite with 0° Fibre Alignment Angle Rapid Catastrophic Failure of 0° OPEFB Fibre/PP Composite at Strain of around 1.1%.	101
4.38	FEA and Experimental Tensile Stress-Strain Curve of the Unidirectional OPEFB Fibre/PP Composite with 45° Fibre Alignment Angle	102
4.39	FEA and Experimental Tensile Stress-Strain Curve of the Unidirectional OPEFB Fibre/PP Composite with 90° Fibre Alignment Angle	102
4.40	Effect of FBRT Parameter on the Tensile Stress- Strain Curve of the Unidirectional OPEFB Fibre/PP Composite with 0° Fibre Alignment Angle	103
4.41	Effect of DFAILS Parameter on the Tensile Stress-Strain Curve of the Unidirectional OPEFB Fibre/PP Composite with 45° Fibre Alignment Angle	104
4.42	Experimental and Simulation Load-Displacement Curve of 45° OPEFB Fibre/PP Composite	105
4.43	Energy History Plot for Impact of Aluminum Bumper Beam	106
4.44	Energy History Plot for Impact of OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composite Bumper Beam	107
4.45	Hourglass Energy History Plot for Impact of Aluminum Bumper Beam	108
4.46	Hourglass Energy History Plot for Impact of OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composite Bumper Beam	108
4.47	Deformation of Aluminum Bumper Beam under Low Velocity Frontal Impact	109
4.48	Deformation of OPEFB Fibre/Epoxy Composite Bumper Beam under Low Velocity Frontal Impact	110
4.49	Comparison on the Force/Time Characteristics of Aluminum and Composite Bumper Beam	110
4.50	Comparison on the Internal Energy/Time Characteristics of Aluminum and Composite Bumper Beam	111
4.51	Comparison on the Velocity/Time Characteristics of Aluminum and Composite Bumper Beam	112
4.52	Deceleration of Impactor during Bumper Beam Collision	113
4.53	Energy History Plot for Impact of Polypropylene Bumper Fascia	114
4.54	Energy History Plot for Impact of Polypropylene Bumper Fascia	114
4.55	Comparison on the Internal Energy/Time Characteristics of PP and Composite Bumper Fascia	115
4.56	Comparison on the Force/Time Characteristics of PP and Composite Bumper Fascia Impact	116

4.57	Deformation of PP Bumper Fascia under Low Velocity Frontal Impact	117
4.58	Deformation of OPEFB Fibre/PP Composite Bumper Fascia under Low Velocity Frontal Impact	117



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2D	Two-Dimensional
3D	Three-Dimensional
ABS	Anti-lock Braking System
CFE	Crash Force Efficiency
CFRP	Carbon Fibre Reinforced Plastic
E	Modulus of Elasticity
EA	Energy Absorption
EEVSC	European Enhanced Vehicle-safety Committee
ESC	Electronic Stability Control
FEA	Finite Element Analysis
FEM	Finite Element Method
FMVSS	Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards
HDPE	High Density Polyethylene
HLU	Hand Lay-up
MAPE	Maleic anhydride-g-polyethylene
NaOH	Sodium Hydroxide
NCAP	New Car Assessment Program
NHTSA	National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
OPEFB	Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch
PC	Polycarbonate
PLA	Polylactic Acid
PP	Polypropylene
PS	Polystyrene
PU	Polyurethane
ROM	Rules of Mixture
SEA	Specific Energy Absorption
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
$F_{mcf}$	Mean Crash Forces
$F_{peak}$	Peak Crash Force





© COPYRIGHT UPM

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

Composite is defined as a mixture of dissimilar constituents. The combination results in a material that maximizes specific performance properties. The constituents do not dissolve or merge completely and therefore exhibit an interface between one another. In this form, both reinforcing agents and matrix retain their physical and chemical identities, yet they produce a combination of properties that cannot be achieved with either of the constituents acting alone.

The use of composite reinforced with natural fibre has grown significantly in recent years because of their superior properties and also due to the growing search for low cost materials from renewable sources that is able to substitute the traditional material. Composites are termed as bio-composite materials when one of its phases comes from natural source such as wood fibre, hemp fibre, flax fibre, oil palm fibre and many others. Fibre-reinforced composite materials consist of fibre embedded in a matrix. In fibre - reinforced composites, fibres are the principal load carrying members, while the surrounding matrix keeps them in the desired location and orientation. Matrix also acts as a load transfer medium between the fibres, and protects them from environmental damages due to elevated temperatures, humidity and corrosion. Fibre-reinforced composite inherit the high stiffness and strength of the fibre while retaining the elevated temperature capabilities of the matrix material. In addition, the fibre-reinforced materials are more resistant to damages and defects.

### 1.2 Problem Statements

Malaysia has about 3.5 million hectares of oil palm producing annually over 10 million tons of crude palm oil. However, crude palm oil and its economic products such as palm kernel oil and palm kernel cake constitute only 10% of the crop, leaving the rest of the biomass to waste. This biomass also includes oil palm empty fruit bunch (OPEFB) fibre, being the residual bunch after removal of the fruit constituting 20% to 22% of the weight of the fresh fruit bunches (Ramli et al., 2002). Utilization of OPEFB fibre therefore not only create value added product but will also solve disposal problem.

Various research have been conducted to produce OPEFB fibre reinforced epoxy (Bakri et al., 2015; Yusoff et al., 2010) and PP composite (Ahmed et al., 2010; Khalid et al., 2008; Razak & Kalam, 2012). Although many research have been conducted, these research work are limited to the usage of discontinuous OPEFB fibre. Discontinuous-fibre composite are normally random in alignment, while continuous-

fibre generally have preferred orientation. The random alignment of the discontinuous-fibre dramatically reduces their strength and modulus (Tanwer, 2014).

One method of reinforcing continuous-fibre is by unidirectional fibre alignment. Unidirectional fibres could be distinguished between its reinforcement angles. The reinforcement angles vary in the direction of load applied. The composites are said to be reinforced with  $0^\circ$  fibre alignment if the orientation of the fibre is parallel with the direction of applied load or to be reinforced with  $90^\circ$  fibre alignment if the fibre orientation is perpendicular to the applied load, normally defined using tensile loading.

It is expected that the unidirectional composite with  $0^\circ$  fibre alignment to be stronger and stiffer as compared to composite with other fibre alignment angles. As fibre orientation changes from  $0^\circ$  to  $90^\circ$ , the properties of the fibres decline and the properties of the matrix dominate (Hedge 2015), hence, the load will be carried by the much weaker polymeric matrix (Campbell 2010).

This research also aims to evaluate the potential application of the unidirectional OPEFB fibre reinforced epoxy and OPEFB fibre reinforced PP composites as the material for car bumper. The incorporation of OPEFB fibre is expected to significantly increase the impact strength of the bumper as OPEFB fibre will play the role to absorb the impact energy in the event of collision.

Three main criteria are considered when selecting material to be adapted in automotive parts. The material should be able to offer lightweight properties, cost-effectiveness and crashworthiness. Metals used to be used extensively to make up bumper structure due to their high strength and stiffness. However, due to considerable demand of lightweight properties for automotive parts, which can contribute to lower fuel consumption, engineers began to replace metals with plastic and synthetic fibre polymer composite.

Due to the lightweight criteria of fibre reinforced polymer composites, the material has been employed vastly as front and rear bumpers, instrument panels and side mountings. The other criterion that makes the material highly in demand is because of its manufacturability. Plastic material can be moulded into various designs and shapes to suit the market demands, as opposed to metal that have many constraints.

The overblown price of plastic these days has urged the needs for searching alternative materials that can offer cost reduction but still retaining the beneficial properties of plastic. The most commonly utilized reinforcement material is glass fibre. However, glass fibre has shown significant disadvantages in terms of cost, density, renewability, recyclability, abrasiveness and biodegradability. Thus, the use of natural fibre to reinforce plastic is preferable. Besides enhancing the degradability and recyclability, the utilization of natural fibre further offer significant cost and weight reduction. In addition, as compared to the glass fibre, natural fibres have better sound absorbing efficiency, more shatter resistant and have better energy management characteristics. In

automotive parts, bio-composites not only reduce the mass of the component but also lower the energy needed for production by 80% (Malkapuram et al., 2008).

To augment the needs for a lightweight yet low cost material, OPEFB fibre reinforced polymer composite is proposed through this research. The mechanical properties of the bio-composite material defined through experimental works and its behaviour when being subjected to impact application in automotive industry, specifically when being used as car bumper material is analysed using finite element.

Car bumper system could consist of three parts called as bumper fascia (cover), foam and bumper beam. The usage of polymer material currently is focused mainly to the bumper fascia as the non-structural component. Bumper fascia conventionally fabricated using polypropylene (PP). Among the drawback of the PP bumper fascia is their low impact and compressive strength.

As polymer material has been adopted for car bumper fascia, the application to bumper beam however is still limited. Bumper beam conventionally made from Aluminum which is lighter than steel but expensive. Aluminum is utilized for bumper beam mainly due to its crashworthiness properties. Aluminum is able to absorb the low-impact energy by bending resistance and dissipates the high-impact energy by collision (Davoodi et al., 2008). Due to the environmental awareness and sustainability concept, the use of bio-composite material as bumper material is hence worthy of analysis.

### **1.3 Objectives**

Present work investigates the suitability of OPEFB fibre reinforced polymer composite to be adapted as material for car bumper. The objectives of this research are:

1. To determine the mechanical properties of unidirectional OPEFB fibre reinforced epoxy composites.
2. To determine the mechanical properties of unidirectional OPEFB fibre reinforced PP composites.
3. To analyze the mechanical behavior of the unidirectional OPEFB fibre reinforced polymer composites using finite element analysis.
4. To analyze the crash performance of composites subjected to low velocity impact of automotive bumper system.

### **1.4 Scope of Work**

The research work involved experimental characterization of the unidirectional OPEFB fibre/polymer composites material properties. The mechanical properties investigated were tensile, flexural and impact strengths. The composites were categorized into three

different fibre orientation angles of 0°, 45° and 90° with two different matrixes: Epoxy and Polypropylene. In addition, this research also involved the development of finite element modelling that predicts the behaviour of the composites under tensile and flexural load. Calibration and validation of the finite element material models were performed to the finite element material model in order to obtained accurate representation of the composites behaviour. Crash performance of the composites material as car bumper beam and bumper fascia was sequentially investigated *via* finite element analysis. The crash performances were evaluated under low velocity impact of 4 km/h.

## 1.5 Thesis Outline

This thesis is divided into five chapters. Following this introduction, the thesis is composed as follows:

Chapter 2 encompasses a comprehensive review of the literature on the state of the art in unidirectional bio-composites properties and finite element modeling of the impact behavior of bio-composites car bumper. The literature review is divided into four main sections, i.e., (i) overview and state-of-the-art of the natural fibre reinforced polymer composites, (ii) mechanical properties of unidirectional bio-composite materials, (iii) material behavior model and failure criteria for composites, and (iv) automobile safety system including the assessment of the composites material for bumper application.

Chapter 3 presents the methodology for experimental works conducted to investigate the mechanical properties of the unidirectional OPEFB fiber reinforced polymer composites including the composites preparation and the tests conducted onto the composites. The finite element analysis for development of predictive modeling technique of the composite behavior and their crash behavior as car bumper material also presented here.

Chapter 4 is devoted to the findings obtained through the mechanical test and finite element analysis. The experimental results of tensile, flexural and impact properties of the OPEFB fibre/polymer composites is presented here. This is followed by comparison of the experimental findings with finite element analysis result which is developed using material model within the LS\_DYNA explicit finite element code. Calibration and validation of the finite element material model is presented. This chapter also presents the modelling results for the application of the bio-composite material as car bumper subjected to impact.

Chapter 5 presents the conclusion of the present research summarising the attainment of objectives for this research. Recommendations for future work are also outlined.

## REFERENCES

- Abdullah, N. & Sulaiman, F. (2013). The Oil Palm Wastes in Malaysia. *Biomass Now - Sustainable Growth and Use*, 75–100.
- Ahmed, A.S., Alam, M.A., Piee, A., Rahman, M.R. & Hamdan, S. (2010). Study of Physical and Mechanical Properties of Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene Composite. *Journal of Energy & Environment*, 2(1): 16–21.
- Aldousiri, B., Alajmi, M. & Shalwan, A. (2013). Mechanical Properties of Oil Palm Fibre Reinforced Epoxy. *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering*, 2013.
- Ambrósio, J.A.C., Pereira, M.F.O.S. & da Silva, F.P. (2012). *Crashworthiness of Transportation Systems: Structural Impact and Occupant Protection*. Springer Netherlands.
- Anyakora, A.N. & Abubakre, O.K. (2011). Effect of Silane Treatment on the Impact Strength Properties of Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch Fiber-Reinforced Polyester Composites. *World J of Engineering and Pure and Applied Sci.*, 1(2): 40–45.
- Arifuzzaman Khan, G.M., Shaheeruzzaman, M., Rahman, M.H., Abdur Razzaque, S.M., Islam, M.S. & Alam, M.S. (2009). Surface modification of okra bast fiber and its physico-chemical characteristics. *Fibers and Polymers*, 10(1): 65–70.
- Ashik K.P., Sharma, R.S. & Patil, S. (2017). Evaluation of tensile, flexural and Impact strength of natural and glass fiber reinforced hybrid composites. *Renewable Bioresources*, 5(1): 1-7.
- Bakri, M.K., Jayamani, E., Heng, S.K. & Hamdan, S. (2015). Reinforced Oil Palm Fiber Epoxy Composites: An Investigation on Chemical Treatment of Fibers on Acoustical, Morphological, Mechanical and Spectral Properties. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 2(4–5): 2747–2756.
- Balasubramanian, M. (2013). *Composite Materials and Processing*. CRC Press.
- Baley, C. (2002). Analysis of the flax fibres tensile behaviour and analysis of the tensile stiffness increase. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 33: 939–948.
- Banerjee, S. & Sankar, B.V. (2014). Composites : Part B Mechanical properties of hybrid composites using finite element method based micromechanics. *Composites Part B*, 58: 318–327.
- Baykasoglu, C., Mugan, A., Sunbuloglu, E., Bozdog, S.E., Aruk, F. & Toprak, T. (2013). Rollover crashworthiness analysis of a railroad passenger car. *International Journal of Crashworthiness*, 18(5): 492–501.
- Bello, S.A., Agunsoye, J.O., Hassan, S.B., Zebase Kana, M.G. & Raheem, I.A. (2015). Tribology in Industry Epoxy Resin Based Composites, Mechanical and Tribological Properties: A Review. *Tribology in Industry*, 37(4): 500–524.

- Biswas, S., Shahinur, S., Hasan, M. & Ahsan, Q. (2015). Physical, Mechanical and Thermal Properties of Jute and Bamboo Fiber Reinforced Unidirectional Epoxy Composites. *Procedia Engineering*, 105: 933–939.
- Bois, P. D, Chou, C.C., Fileta, B.B., King, A.I., Mahmood, H.F., Mertz, H.J. & Wismans, J. (2004). Vehicle crashworthiness and occupant protection. *American Iron and Steel Institute*, 372.
- Breithaupt, J. (2015). *Physics*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Callister, W.D. & Rethwisch, D.G. (2013). *Materials Science and Engineering: An Introduction, 9th Edition: Ninth Edition*. John Wiley and Sons, Incorporated.
- Campbell, F.C. (2006). Chapter 6 - Superalloys BT - Manufacturing Technology for Aerospace Structural Materials. In *Manufacturing Technology for Aerospace Structural Materials* (pp. 211–272). Elsevier.
- Campbell, F. C. (2010). *Manufacturing Processes for Advanced Composites*.
- Chang, F.K. & Chang, K.Y. (1987). A Progressive Damage Model for Laminated Composites Containing Stress Concentrations. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 21(9): 834–855.
- Charlet, K., Jernot, J.P., Gomina, M., Bizet, L. & Bréard, J. (2010). Mechanical Properties of Flax Fibers and of the Derived Unidirectional Composites. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 44(24): 2887–2896.
- Cheng, T.S., Lan, D.N.U., Phillips, S. & Tran, L.Q.N. (2018). Characteristics of Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch Fiber and Mechanical Properties of Its Unidirectional Composites. *Polymer Composites*, 1–7.
- da Silva, L.J., Panzera, T.H., Christoforo, A.L., Durao, L.M.P, & Lahr, F.A.R (2012). Numerical and Experimental Analyses of Biocomposites Reinforced with Natural Fibres. *International Journal of Materials Engineering*, 2(4): 43–49.
- Davoodi, M.M., Sapuan, S.M., Aidy, A., Abu Osman, N.A., Oshkour, A.A. & Wan Abas, W.A.B. (2012). Development process of new bumper beam for passenger car: A review. *Materials and Design*, 40: 304–313.
- Davoodi, M.M., Sapuan, S.M. & Yunus, R. (2008). Conceptual design of a polymer composite automotive bumper energy absorber. *Materials and Design*, 29(7): 1447–1452.
- de Oliveira, F.H., Helfer, A.L. & Amico, S.C. (2012). Mechanical Behavior of Unidirectional Curaua Fiber and Glass Fiber Composites. *Macromol. Symp.*, 319: 83–92.
- Duell, J.M. (2004). Impact Testing of Advanced Composites. *Advanced Topics in Characterization of Composites*, 97–112.
- Ewulonu, C.M. & Igwe, I. O. (2012). Properties of Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch Fibre Filled High Density Polyethylene. *Engineering and Technology*, 3(6), 458–471.

- Faruk, O. & Sain, M. (2014). *Biofiber Reinforcements in Composite Materials*. Elsevier Science.
- Fatality Facts. (2015). Retrieved July 14, 2017, from <http://www.iihs.org/iihs/topics/t/general-statistics/fatalityfacts/passenger-vehicles>
- George, J., Sreekala, M.S., & Thomas, S. (2001). A review on interface modification and characterization of natural fiber reinforced plastic composites. *Polymer Engineering and Science*, 41(9): 1471–1485.
- Ghassemieh, E. (2011). Materials in Automotive Application , State of the Art and Prospects. *New Trends and Developments in Automotive Industry*, 365–394.
- Global NCAP. (2017, June). Creating a Global Market for Vehicle Safety. Retrieved from <http://www.globalncap.org/resources/>
- Hallquist, J. (2007). *LS\_DYNA Keyword User's Manual* (Vol. I).
- Hashin, Z. (1980). Failure Criteria for Unidirectional Fiber Composites. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 47(June): 329–334.
- Hassan, A., Salema, A.A., Ani, F.N., & Bakar, A.A. (2010). A Review on Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch Fibre-Reinforced Polymer Composite Materials. *Polymer Composites*, 2079–2101.
- Hinz, T., & Lewkowicz, R. (2017). Some selected methods and purpose of the low speed tests for estimation of the vehicle bumpers vulnerability to damage. *Mechanik NR* (5-6).
- Hodgkinson, J.M. (2000). *Mechanical Testing of Advanced Fibre Composites*. CRC Press.
- Holbery, J., & Houston, D. (2006, November). Natural-fiber-reinforced polymer composites in automotive applications. *JOM*. Springer-Verlag.
- Hu, Y., Liu, C., Zhang, J., Ding, G. & Wu, Q. (2015). Research on carbon fiber-reinforced plastic bumper beam subjected to low-velocity frontal impact. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 7(6): 1–15.
- ISO 6813 (1998) Road vehicles — Collision classification — Terminology. Retrieved September 27, 2017, from <https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:6813:ed-2:v1:en:term:3.3>
- Jalaluddin, M.N., Sahari, B. & Aziz, N.A. (2011). Performance of automotive composite bumper beams and hood subjected to frontal impacts. *Key Engineering Materials*, 471–472(2011): 484–489.
- Jeyanthi, S. & Janci Rani, J. (2014). Development of natural long fiber thermoplastic composites for automotive frontal beams. *Indian Journal of Engineering and Materials Sciences*, 21(5): 580–584.
- Kalia, S. (2016). *Biodegradable Green Composites*. Wiley.



- Kang, K.T., Chun, H.J., Park, J.C., Na, W.J., Hong, H.T. & Hwang, I. H. (2012). Design of a composite roll bar for the improvement of bus rollover crashworthiness. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 43(4): 1705–1713.
- Kar, K.K. (2016). *Composite Materials: Processing, Applications, Characterizations*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Kashinath, K.S. & Balasaheb, C.A. (2014). Review of Design & Analysis of Bumper Beam in Low Speed Frontal Crashes. *International Journal of Industrial Electronics and Electrical Engineering*, 2(2): 27–34.
- Khalid, M., Ratnam, C.T., Chuah, T.G., Ali, S. & Choong, T.S.Y. (2008). Comparative study of polypropylene composites reinforced with oil palm empty fruit bunch fiber and oil palm derived cellulose. *Materials and Design*, 29(1): 173–178.
- Kim, K.J. & Won, S.T. (2008). Effect of structural variables on automotive body bumper impact beam. *International Journal of Automotive Technology*, 9(6): 713–717.
- Landel, R.F. & Nielsen, L.E. (1993). *Mechanical Properties of Polymers and Composites, Second Edition*. Taylor & Francis.
- Lee, S., Shi, S.Q., Groom, L.H. & Xue, Y. (2010). Properties of unidirectional kenaf fiber-polyolefin laminates. *Polymer Composites*, 31(6): 1067–1074.
- Li, Z., Yu, Q., Zhao, X., Yu, M., Shi, P. & Yan, C. (2017). Crashworthiness and lightweight optimization to applied multiple materials and foam-filled front end structure of auto-body. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 9(8): 1–21.
- Mahjoub, R., Yatim, J.M., Mohd Sam, A.R. & Raftari, M. (2014). Characteristics of continuous unidirectional kenaf fiber reinforced epoxy composites. *Materials and Design*, 64: 640–649.
- Malaysia, Ministry of Transport. (2018). ROAD TRANSPORT - Standards Development / Technical Specifications Vehicle. Retrieved from <http://www.mot.gov.my/en/lands/road-transport/development-technical-standards-specifications-vehicles>
- Malaysian Institute of Road Safety Research. (2017). *General Road Accident Data in Malaysia (1997-2016)*. MIROS. Retrieved from <https://www.miros.gov.my/1/page.php?id=17>
- Malkapuram, R., Kumar, V. & Negi, Y.S. (2008). Recent Development in Natural Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene Composites. *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, 28(10): 1169–1189.
- Mallick, P. K. (2007). *Fiber-Reinforced Composites: Materials, Manufacturing, and Design, Third Edition*. CRC Press.
- Manikandan Nair, K.C., Diwan, S.M., & Thomas, S. (1996). Tensile properties of short sisal fiber reinforced polystyrene composites. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 60(9): 1483–1497.

- Mansor, M.R., Sapuan, S.M., Zainudin, E.S., Nuraini, A.A. & Hambali, A. (2013). Stiffness Prediction of Hybrid Kenaf / Glass Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene Composites using Rule of Mixtures ( ROM ) and Rule of Hybrid Mixtures ( RoHM ) Stiffness Prediction of Hybrid Kenaf / Glass Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene Composites using Rule of. *Journal of Polymer Materials*, 30(3): 321–334.
- Mark, J. E. (2007). *Physical Properties of Polymers Handbook*. Springer New York.
- Marzbanrad, J., Alijanpour, M. & Kiasat, M. S. (2009). Design and analysis of an automotive bumper beam in low-speed frontal crashes. *Thin-Walled Structures*, 47(8–9): 902–911.
- Matthews, F.L., Davies, G.A.O., Hitchings, D. & Soutis, C. (2000). *Finite Element Modelling of Composite Materials and Structures*. Elsevier Science.
- MIROS. (2017). *MIROS Crash Investigation and Reconstruction Annual Statistical Report 2011-2013*.
- Moghaddam, A.R.M. & Ahmadian, M.T. (2011). Design and Analysis of an Automobile Bumper with the Capacity of Energy Release Using GMT Materials. *Engineering and Technology*, 5(4): 837–844.
- Mohamed Yusoff, M.Z., Salit, M.S. & Ismail, N. (2009). Tensile Properties of Single Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch (OPEFB) Fibre. *Sains Malaysiana*, 38(4): 525–529.
- Mohammed, L., Ansari, M.N.M. & Pua, F.L. (2015). Effect of Chemical Treatment on Oil Palm Fibre Epoxy Composites. *International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 3(1): 322–327.
- Mohd Yuhazri, Y., Phongsakorn, P.T., Sihombing, H., Jeefferie, A.R., Perumal, P., Kamarul, A.M. & Rassiah, K. (2011). Mechanical Properties of Kenaf/Polyester Composites. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 11(1): 127–131.
- Murray, G. (1997). *Handbook of Materials Selection for Engineering Applications*. Taylor & Francis.
- Nasiruddin, S.M. & Hambali, A. (2017). A Review of Energy Absorption of Automotive Bumper Beam. *International Journal*, 12(2): 238–245.
- Ngo, W.L., Pang, M.M., Yong, L.C. & Tshai, K.Y. (2014). Mechanical Properties of Natural Fibre (Kenaf , Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch) Reinforced Polymer Composites. *Advance in Environmental Biology*, 8(1): 2742–2747.
- Onoja, E. (2018). Oil Palm ( *Elaeis guineensis* ) Biomass in Malaysia : The Present and Future Prospects. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*.
- Orifici, A.C., Herszberg, I. & Thomson, R.S. (2008). Review of methodologies for composite material modelling incorporating failure. *Composite Structures*, 86(1–3): 194–210.

- Osorio, J.C.M., Baracaldo, R.R., & Florez, J.J.O. (2012). The influence of alkali treatment on banana fibre's mechanical properties. *Ingeniería E Investigación*, 32(1): 83–87.
- Pal, B., & Riyazuddin Haseebuddin, M. (2012). Analytical estimation of elastic properties of polypropylene fiber matrix composite by finite element analysis. *Advances in Materials Physics and Chemistry*, 2(1): 23–30.
- Partovi Meran, A., Toprak, T., & Muğan, A. (2014). Numerical and experimental study of crashworthiness parameters of honeycomb structures. *Thin-Walled Structures*, 78: 87–94.
- Prabhakaran, S., Chinnarasu, K., & Kumar, M.S. (2012). Design and Fabrication of Composite Bumper for Light Passenger Vehicles. *International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER)*, 2(4): 2552–2556.
- Ramli, R., Shaler, S. & Jamaludin, M.A. (2002). Properties of Medium Density Proper Operties Fibreboard From Oil Palm Empty. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 14(2): 34–40.
- Ramyasree, L., Venkataramaniah, D. & Kumar, G. N. (2015). Impact Analysis of Frontal Car Bumper using Long Fibre Reinforced Thermoplastics. *International Journal of Current Engineering and Technology*, 55(33): 2277–4106.
- Rao, S.S. (2011). *The Finite Element Method in Engineering*. Elsevier Science.
- Razak, N.W.A., & Kalam, A. (2012). Effect of OPEFB Size on the Mechanical Properties and Water Absorption Behaviour of OPEFB/PPnanoclay/PP Hybrid Composites. *Procedia Engineering*, 41(2012): 1593–1599.
- Riazi, S., Feizi, M.M. & Hosseini-Tehrani, P. (2012). Improving crashworthiness in railcar against rollover. *Transactions of the Canadian Society for Mechanical Engineering*, 36(4): 383–397.
- Salit, M.S., Jawaid, M., Yusoff, N.B. & Hoque, M. E. (2015). *Manufacturing of Natural Fibre Reinforced Polymer Composites*. Springer International Publishing.
- Sapiai, N., Jumahat, A. & Hakim, R. N. (2014). Tensile and compressive properties of hybrid carbon fiber/kenaf polymer composite. *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 8(8): 2655–2662.
- Shah, D.U., Porter, D. & Vollrath, F. (2014). Can silk become an effective reinforcing fibre? A property comparison with flax and glass reinforced composites. *Composites Science and Technology*, 101: 173–183.
- Shankar, S., Shivanand, H.K. & Koorapati, E.P. (2014). Comparative Study of Experimental and Simulated Results of Compression Test on Epoxy Based E-Glass / Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composite Laminates. *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*, 4(8): 288–296.
- Sivakumar, T. & Krishnaraj, R. (2013). A Study on Application of Advanced

- Automobile Safety Features and their Implication on Road Traffic Accidents and Road Fatalities. *International Journal of Current Engineering and Technology*, 3(2): 244–252.
- Soni, S.R. (1983). A Comparative Study of Failure Envelopes in Composite Laminates. *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, 2(1): 34–42.
- Steel Market Development Institute. (2013). *Steel Bumper for Passenger Vehicles and Light Trucks*.
- Stevens, A., Regan, P.M.A., Horberry, P.T., Dorn, L., Glendon, A.P.I. & Matthews, P.G. (2014). *Driver Acceptance of New Technology: Theory, Measurement and Optimisation*. Ashgate Publishing Limited.
- Suresh Babu, K., Somashekar, H. K. & Padmanabha, S. (2015). An Evaluation of Jute-Epoxy-Hybrid Composite Materials for Automotive Frontal Crash Safety Applications. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, 4(7): 315–321.
- Talreja, R. & Singh, C.V. (2012). *Damage and Failure of Composite Materials*. Cambridge University Press.
- Tanwer, A.K. (2014). Mechanical Properties Testing of Uni-directional and Bi-directional Glass Fibre Reinforced Epoxy Based Composites. *International Journal of Research in Advent Technology*, 2(11): 34–39.
- Tsai, S.W. & Wu, E.M. (1971). A General Theory of Strength for Anisotropic Materials. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 5(1): 58–80.
- Turla, P., Kumar, S.S., Reddy, P.H. & Shekar, K. C. (2014). Processing and Flexural Strength of Carbon Fiber and Glass Fiber Reinforced Epoxy-Matrix Hybrid Composite. *Bulletin of Materials Science*, 37(3): 597–602.
- UNECE. (1980). United Nations Regulation No. 42. Uniform provisions concerning the approval of vehicles with regard to their front and rear protective devices (bumpers, etc.), (42).
- United Nations. (2007). Uniform technical prescriptions concerning the approval of large passenger vehicles with regard to the strength of their superstructure: Regulation No. 66, *Revision 1*. Retrieved from [www.unece.org](http://www.unece.org)
- Wang, R.M., Zheng, S.R. & Zheng, Y. G. (2011). *Polymer Matrix Composites and Technology*. Elsevier Science.
- Wang, T. & Li, Y. (2015). Design and analysis of automotive carbon fiber composite bumper beam based on finite element analysis. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 7(6).
- Yusoff, M., Salit, M.S., Ismail, N. & Wirawan, R. (2010). Mechanical properties of short random oil palm fibre reinforced epoxy composites. *Sains Malaysiana*, 39(1): 87–92.