Content may change prior to final publication in an issue of the journal. To cite the paper please use the doi provided on the Digital Library page.



Auto-generated PDF by Revise Write has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal. For this journal of the paper please use the doi provided on the Digital Library page.

IET Research Journals

Research Article



ISSN 1751-8644 doi: 0000000000 www.ietdl.org

# Co-optimizing Distribution Network Adequacy and Security by Simultaneous Utilization of Network Reconfiguration and Distributed Energy Resources

Seyed-Alireza Ahmadi<sup>1</sup>, Vahid Vahidinasab<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Mohammad Sadegh Ghazizadeh<sup>1</sup>, Kamyar Mehran<sup>3</sup>, Damian Giaouris<sup>2</sup>, Phil Taylor<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup> School of Engineering, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

<sup>3</sup> School of Electronic Engineering and Computer Science, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

\* E-mail: vahid.vahidinasab@ieee.org

Abstract: With the evolution of smart grids, penetration of distributed energy resources (DERs) in the distribution networks has become ever increasing problem. To improve network reliability, the complexity of the two important aspects of adequacy and security must be well assessed. There is a trade-off between adequacy of DERs, and the distribution network security, i.e. improving the adequacy can reduce the security. In this paper, enhancement of the distribution network adequacy and security (DNAS) is proposed. In this regard, capacity of simultaneous reconfiguration and DERs sizing are utilized to improve the adequacy and security of an active distribution networks. In the reconfiguration process, graph theory concept is adopted to implement a fast reconfiguration method. Since DERs are active, a combined bus and line security index is used to overcome security concerns of their existence. The IEEE 33-bus distribution network as a widely used standard test system in reconfiguration studies, and a practical 83-bus distribution network of Taiwan Power Company (TPC) which is a part of a real distribution network, are used to test the performance of the proposed method. The simulation results demonstrate the performance of the proposed framework.

### Nomenclature

#### Abbreviations

The abbreviations used in this paper are listed below and sorted in alphabetical order for quick reference.

ABC	Artificial	Bee	Colony

- AENS Average energy not supplied
- AI Adequacy index
- BSI Bus voltage stability index
- CAIDI Coustomer average interruption duration index
- DER Distributed energy resource
- DNAS Distribution network adequacy and security
- ENS Energy not supplied
- AENS Average Energy not supplied
- HBBO Human behavior-based optimization
- LCU Line capacity usage
- LSI Line stability index
- MAIDI Momentary average interruption duration index
- OVSI Overall voltage stability index
- SAIDI System average interruption duration index
- SAIFI System average interruption frequency index
- MAIFI Momentary average interruption frequency index

### Other Symbols

- q total number of lines in the network
- *p* total number of buses in the network
- $s_t$  Open/close status of t-th switch
- SSM Switch status matrix
- $V_s$  Voltage of the sending bus
- $V_r$  Voltage of the receiving bus
- $S_r$  Complex power at the receiving end
- $P_r$  Active load at the receiving end
- $Q_r$  Reactive load at the receiving end
- $r_{ij}$  resistance of the line between buses i and j
- $x_{ij}$  reactance of the line between buses i and j
- $\theta$  Line impedance angle
- $\delta$  Difference between voltage angles of sending and receiving bus
- $I_{ij}$  Current flow of the line between buses i and j
- Ploss Network power loss
- $Cost_{total}$  Total cost of power generation
- Cost<sub>Grid</sub> Cost of upstream centralized power plant
- $Cost_{DER_k}$  Cost of k-th DER
- $C_{Grid}$  Cost coefficient of the upstream network
- $C_{DER}$  Cost coefficient of the k-th DER
- $P_{Grid}$  Amount of power generated by the upstream network

IET Research Journals, pp. 1–9

C The Institution of Engineering and Technology 2015

1

 $P_{DER}$  Amount of power generated by the k-th DER

#### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Aims and Scope

High quality services to the end-users and continuity of electric power supply are the major targets in power network management. In this regard, assessing network reliability plays a pivotal role [1] such that the power delivery to the end-users must be continuously assessed, and decisions and preventive actions must be taken to increase the reliability indices of the network. Reliability assessment has commonly two major aspects of adequacy and security [2]. Adequacy, i.e. fulfilling the load demand of the end-users in all times, is concerned with static operating condition, rather than power systems dynamics and transient disturbances [2]. Widely-used indices for the adequacy including system average interruption duration index (SAIDI) and system average interruption frequency index (SAIFI), are used to show the network ability in providing necessary facilities to generate adequate energy with good delivery to the actual end-users' load point [2, 3]. On the other side, security deals with network responses to all kinds of disturbances so is concerned with the network dynamics and transient operating conditions such as voltage instability in the event of major generation or/and transmission outage [2]. The ever expansion of smart grid makes the reliability assessment more complex and challenging. Increasing distributed energy resources (DERs) improve the power supply adequacy due to their inexhaustible nature, but it can reduce the security as a result of power injection and power flow increase of the lines in the distribution network [4]. To achieve an acceptable and optimal reliability, the old-fashion "fit and forget" strategy can no longer be applied in the modern smart networks. The DERs output powers must be frequently changed in different time horizons and operating conditions, where the network must be continuously reconfigured, i.e. network reconfiguration, to address such changes when needed [5].

#### 1.2 Literature Survey

Network reconfiguration is represented as the action of changing topological structure of feeders by intelligently changing the status of tie and sectionalizing switches from open to close and vice versa, without altering the radial structure of the network. The reconfiguration must be done for a number of reasons including loss reduction [6, 7], voltage profile improvement [8, 9], reliability enhancement [10, 11], etc. With active DERs in the network, reconfiguration will become more complex especially if security is a concern.

A great deal of work in the literature focuses on enhancing the network reliability through network reconfiguration. In [12], for reliability enhancement, a reconfiguration technique is proposed using a novel neighborhood search algorithm. In [13], to improve reliability and reduce system losses, reconfiguration problem has been modeled in a binary structure where a binary particle swarm optimization is used to solve the problem. The reliability index used in this reference is energy not supplied (ENS). In [14] to increase the reliability and reduce the losses, the number of open lines has been considered equal to the number of tie switches to prove the radiality of the network. The paper only uses ENS as the reliability index in the formulations. In [15], Genetic Algorithm (GA) is used to optimize the reconfiguration problem where the reliability indices SAIFI and ENS are used in the problem formulations.

In [16], by considering network reconfiguration in the expansion planning problem an aggregated model is proposed and particle swarm optimization is used to solve the problem. In [17], enhanced GA is used to optimize a function with single loop formation strategy that provides only radial topologies. In their proposed approach, reliability and power loss are improved where the indices SAIDI and ENS are used to validate the problem formulation. In [18–22], power loss and reliability indices such as SAIFI, SAIDI, and ENS are considered as the power quality indicators and improved through network reconfiguration procedure. In [23], the problem of distribution network reconfiguration is modeled using a mixed integer linear programming approach to reduce power losses and improve network reliability.

In [24], for the network reconfiguration, a binary firefly algorithm is implemented to find the location of switches needed to be open. SAIFI, and momentary average interruption duration index (MAIDI) indices are used for the reliability evaluation. A further study is also proposed to investigate the effect of DER presence in the problem. In [25], by considering DERs an objective function is presented to enhance the reliability by minimizing the expected customer interruption costs and the power losses. To solve the presented multiobjective problem, modified artificial bee colony (ABC) optimization technique is used. Similarly, in [26–28], new frameworks for network reconfiguration of distribution network with integrated DERs are proposed to reduce power losses and improve reliability indices. In Table 1, a taxonomy of these studies are demonstrated.

#### 1.3 Contributions

In all the studies mentioned in the previous section, only adequacy aspect of reliability is considered in the formulations. However, presence of DERs (and resulting power injection) and power flow increase of the lines in the distribution network can reduce security. Moreover, to establish a reliable and secure active network where DERs are generating power, their output power must be optimally determined. Therefore, in this paper, to consider the distribution network adequacy and security (DNAS) enhancement and loss reduction, DERs sizing are carried out simultaneously with the network reconfiguration. Basic concepts of graph theory is adopted for the suggested reconfiguration method. To address security concerns of DERs in the distribution network, a combined line and bus security index is then utilized. The indices SAIFI, SAIDI, and ENS are used to assess the adequacy aspect. To solve the overall objective function, a powerful new optimization tool, human behavior-based optimization (HBBO) [29], is employed. The main contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows:

• Reconfiguration and DERs sizing are carried out in tandem via an optimization problem to improve DNAS, a reliability enhancement from both aspects of adequacy and security,

• Graph theory is used for the design of the suggested reconfiguration method.

• A combined bus and line security index is used to overcome security concerns of DERs existence in the distribution network,

• A new optimization technique, HBBO, is used for solving the optimization problem.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: the problem formulation consists of reconfiguration method, reliability indices of adequacy and security, DERs presence consideration and overall objective function will be described in Section 2. In Section 3, HBBO will be described and in Section 4, test results and discussions will be given. Finally, in Section 5, the paper will be concluded.

### 2 Problem formulation

The aim of this section is to describe the problem formulation and the objective function. The objective function will be eventually used in the optimization problem.

### 2.1 Reconfiguration Method

To perform reconfiguration, the status of sectionalizing and tie switches must be intelligently changed. The most important criteria to consider is maintaining the radial structure of the distribution network. In some researches, reconfiguration has been performed in a binary model and optimization methods opt the best structure through  $2^n$  network configuration in a system with n switches [30, 31]. This method costs a great deal of time burden and likely

*IET Research Journals,* pp. 1–9 © The Institution of Engineering and Technology 2015

Table 1	Researches	conducted c	n reliability	improvement	of distribution	net-
works the	ough reconfig	uration				

	DER	R Reliability Aspects		Test
Ref.	Consideration	Adequacy	Security	Systems
[10]	NO	SAIFI-SAIDI-AENS	NO	33-bus
[11]	NO	SAIFI-SAIDI-AENS	NO	69-bus
[12]	NO	SAIFI-SAIDI-AENS	NO	33-bus
13	NO	ENS	NO	33-bus, 123-bus
[14]	NO	ENS	NO	69-bus
15	NO	ENS	NO	33-bus, 69-bus
17	NO	EENS-SAIFI-SAIDI	NO	33-bus, 69-bus
18	NO	SAIFI-SAIDI-ENS	NO	17-bus, 33-bus
19	NO	SAIFI-SAIDI-ENS	NO	43-bus, 136-bus
1201	NO	SAIFI-SAIDI-EENS	NO	33-bus, 69-bus
[21]	NO	ENS	NO	33-bus, 70-bus
i22i	NO	SAIFI-SAIDI-ENS	NO	33-bus, 69-bus
i23i	NO	SAIFI-SAIDI-AENS	NO	33-bus, 83-bus
[24]	YES	SAIFI-MAIFI	NO	47-bus
1251	YES	SAIFI-SAIDI	NO	69-bus
261	YES	SAIFI-EENS	NO	44-bus
[27]	YES	SAIFI-AENS	NO	32-bus
1281	YES	SAIFI-AENS	NO	33-bus, 69-bus
This Paper	YES	SAIFI-SAIDI-AENS	YES	33-bus, 83-bus



Fig. 1: Example of a simple network for analyzing the radial structure.

to trap in the local optimal solution. In the reconfiguration problem suggested here, graph theory is used to solve the said problems. Let each network configuration be a graph in which the network lines are the edges and the buses are the nodes of this graph. Since all the network configurations obtained from reconfiguration procedure must maintain its radial structure, and also all the buses must be supplied, it is concluded that (using the definition of tree in graph theory [32]), the graph of the reconfigured network must be a tree. Therefore, the following conditions must be met in each network structure post reconfiguration: 1. If in a network, there was p buses and q lines, the following condition must be met:

$$q = p - 1 \tag{1}$$

2. No loop should exist in the network configuration. If open/close status of the switches and consequently the status of all lines be known and available, the status matrix of switches is defined as:

$$SSM = [s_1, s_2, ..., s_n]$$
 (2)

For open switches s = 0 and for close switches s = 1. With the same approach, all system loops can be defined. For example, consider network configuration of Fig. 1, status matrix of switches in each loop (without basic loop) is described as:

$$L_1 = [s_1, s_3, s_5, s_6, s_4, s_2] \tag{3}$$

$$L_2 = [s_5, s_7, s_{10}, s_8] \tag{4}$$

$$L_3 = [s_6, s_8, s_{11}, s_9] \tag{5}$$

$$L_{1,2} = (L_1 \cup L_2) - (L_1 \cap L_2) \tag{6}$$

$$L_{1,3} = (L_1 \cup L_3) - (L_1 \cap L_3) \tag{7}$$

IET Research Journals, pp. 1–9

O The Institution of Engineering and Technology 2015

$$L_{2,3} = (L_2 \cup L_3) - (L_2 \cap L_3) \tag{8}$$

Now for satisfying the conditions in (1) and (2), in each loop one switch must be open and no common open switches between two or more loops must exist. Therefore, the system in Fig. 1 is radial when the following condition is met:

$$sum \left\{ prod \left( L_1 \right), prod \left( L_2 \right) prod \left( L_3 \right), \ldots \right\} = 0 \tag{9}$$

The above method for proving the radiality of the network is applicable in all networks, which cal also provide simplicity and less computational burden for the reconfiguration algorithm. The optimization algorithm will select only one switch from each loop and if the condition in (9) met, it considers this network configuration feasible and continues the process. The algorithm selects new set of open switches from each loop and continues the process until it reaches the global optima.

## 2.2 Reliability Assessment

As previously mentioned, adequacy deals with satisfying load demand of end-users in all times and is concerned with static operating condition where security deals with the network responses to all disturbances and is concerned with the dynamics or transient operating condition of the system, e.g. major generation and/or transmission outage causing voltage instability. In Section 1, we pointed out that the previous work in the reliability enhancement of the network using reconfiguration [10–17, 24], only focus on the adequacy aspect of reliability. Here we consider both aspects of DNAS to achieve a comprehensive reliability improvement.

2.2.1 *Adequacy:* Adequacy is commonly evaluated with four most popular indices namely SAIFI, SAIDI, CAIDI, and AENS. Their definitions are summarized below [33].

SAIFI represents the amount of interruption that an average enduser faces during a specific time. SAIFI is formulated as [2]:

$$SAIFI = \frac{\text{number of end-user interruptions}}{\text{total number of end-user served}}$$
(10)

SAIDI represents the time duration of the interruptions that the end-user faces. SAIDI is formulated as [2]:

$$SAIDI = \frac{\text{sum of end-user interruption durations}}{\text{total number of end-users}}$$
(11)

Note that the above adequacy indices do not provide any information about the *not supplied energy of the end-users* during an incident and only concentrate on the interruptions experienced by them. Therefore, in the reliability assessment of the problem formulation, AENS index is modeled as [2]:

$$AENS = \frac{\text{energy not served during interruptions}}{\text{total number of end-user served}}$$
(12)

To improve adequacy, the indices must be minimized. In this paper as DERs exists, both SAIFI and AENS indices are minimized in the optimization procedure by minimizing the following adequacy index of reliability (AI):

$$AI = c_1 \times SAIFI + c_2 \times AENS \tag{13}$$

# where, $c_1$ and $c_2$ are the importance factors and valued at 0.5 in this study.

2.2.2 Security: Voltage security (especially voltage stability) is the most important factor of the network security that can limit available power sending capability [34]. To include voltage stability in the problem formulation, a combined index for both bus and line stability is used. The combined index is written just after the expression of each of bus voltage stability index (BSI) and line stability index (LSI). For bus voltage stability, the approach presented



Fig. 2: Electric equivalent of a radial distribution feeder.

in [35] is used to identify the weakest voltage of buses in the network. Consider the electric equivalent of a radial distribution feeder, depicted in Fig. 2. In this equivalent network, based on the load flow technique presented in [36] for radial distribution networks, the following equations can be written:

$$I_{ij} = \frac{V_s - V_r}{r_{ij} + jx_{ij}} \tag{14}$$

$$I_{ij} = \frac{P_r - jQ_r}{V_r^*} \tag{15}$$

where,  $I_{ij}$  is the current flowing through the line between buses i and j. Also,  $V_s$  and  $V_r$  are the sending and receiving bus voltages, respectively. Moreover,  $P_r$  and  $Q_r$  are active and reactive loads at receiving end, and also  $r_{ij}$  and  $x_{ij}$  are the resistance and reactance of the line between buses i and j. Using (14) and (15), after some calculations (see Appendix), the following equation is obtained:

$$|V_r|^4 - B|V_r|^2 + C = 0 (16)$$

where,

$$B = |V_s|^2 - 2(P_r r_{ij} - Q_r x_{ij})$$
(17)

$$C = (P_r^2 + Q_r^2)(r_{ij}^2 + x_{ij}^2)$$
(18)

From (16), it can be concluded that for a feasible load flow solution in the radial distribution network the following criteria must be met:

$$B^2 - 4C \ge 0 \tag{19}$$

Based on the above equation, BSI for *i*-th bus is written as follows:

$$BSI_{i} = |V_{s}|^{4} - 4 \times (P_{r}x_{ij} - Q_{r}r_{ij})^{2} - 4 \times |V_{s}|^{2} \times (P_{r}r_{ij} + Q_{r}x_{ij})^{2}$$
(20)

The best condition for the stable network operation achieves when the BSI values become closer to one. The value of less than zero for BSI, means instability in network operating condition. In the proposed security improvement method, the value of BSI is calculated for each bus and, the bus with the lowest BSI value will be then put in the first part of the final voltage stability index.

For LSI, the approach presented in [37] is used to identify the weakest line in the network which is more likely to threat system stability when load increases. In this way, power flow equations for  $\pi$  model representation of the line is utilized and the following equation for complex power at receiving end is obtained:

$$S_r = \frac{|V_s||V_r|}{|Z|} \angle (\theta - \delta) - \frac{V_r^2}{|Z|} \angle \theta$$
(21)

where, |Z| and  $\theta$  are the line impedance amplitude and angle respectively.  $S_r$  is the complex power of receiving end.  $\delta$  is the difference between sending and receiving bus voltage angles. By separating the real and reactive powers from (21), the following equations can be written:

$$P_r = \frac{|V_s||V_r|}{|Z|}\cos\left(\theta - \delta\right) - \frac{V_r^2}{|Z|}\cos\theta$$
(22)

$$Q_r = \frac{|V_s||V_r|}{|Z|}\sin\left(\theta - \delta\right) - \frac{V_r^2}{|Z|}\sin\theta$$
(23)

using (23), the voltage at the receiving end can be calculated as follows:

$$V_r = \frac{V_s \sin(\theta - \delta) \pm \sqrt{[V_s \sin(\theta - \delta)]^2 - 4Q_r x_{ij}}}{2\sin\theta}$$
(24)

To have real values for  $V_r$  in (24), the following criteria must be met:

$$\left[V_s \sin(\theta - \delta)\right]^2 \ge 4Q_r x_{ij} \tag{25}$$

<mark>or:</mark>

$$\frac{4Q_r x_{ij}}{\left[V_s \sin(\theta - \delta)\right]^2} \le 1 \tag{26}$$

Based on (26), LSI for the line between buses *i* and *j* can be written as follows:

$$LSI_{ij} = \left(4 \times x_{ij} \times Q_r\right) / \left(V_s sin\left(\theta - \delta\right)\right)^2$$
(27)

LSI models the effect of line stability on voltage stability. Based on the mentioned BSI and LSI, voltage collapse can be accurately predicted. Until LSI value becomes lower than one, the system is stable. Values of one or higher for the LSI means an unstable network. It is evident that BSI and LSI are the opposite of each other i.e. upper value for BSI is more desirable while the lower value for LSI is better. In this paper, these two indices are combined and overall voltage stability index (OVSI) is obtained as follows:

$$OVSI = c_3 \times max \{LSI\} + c_4/min \{BSI\}$$
(28)

where,  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  are the weighting factors and valued at 0.5 in this study. In order to improve the security aspect of reliability, OVSI must be minimized.

#### 2.3 Network Power Loss

Network power loss for each configuration is typically calculated by the equation [6]:

$$P_{loss} = \sum r_{ij} \times I_{ij}^2 \tag{29}$$

In the problem, minimization of power loss is another objective. Forward-backward sweep load flow is used in this paper to perform load flow analysis needed in the problem formulation. Forwardbackward sweep load flow is suitable for load flow calculation in the distribution networks [38].

### 2.4 Cost of Power Generation

Minimizing the total cost of power generation or reducing the operation cost, is an important goal of the distribution system management. Let the cost of k-th DER be equal to  $Cost_{DER_k}$  and the

*IET Research Journals*, pp. 1–9 © The Institution of Engineering and Technology 2015

4

cost of upstream centralized power plants be equal to  $Cost_{Grid}$ , then the total power generation costs is calculated as:

$$Cost_{total} = Cost_{Grid} + \sum_{k=1}^{N_{DER}} Cost_{DER_k}$$
(30)

where NDER is the number of DERs and:

$$Cost_{Grid} = C_{Grid} \times P_{Grid} \tag{31}$$

$$Cost_{DER_k} = C_{DER_k} \times P_{DER_k} \tag{32}$$

where  $C_{Grid}$  and  $C_{DER_k}$  are the cost coefficients of network and k-th DER respectively.  $P_{Grid}$  and  $P_{DER_k}$  are the amount of power taken from the upstream network and k-th DER, respectively.

#### 2.5 Overall Objective Function of the Problem

Objective function of the problem is to find suitable status of switches and sizes of DERs that can improve network reliability and reduce power losses. According to the reconfiguration method discussed in Subsection 2.1, only one open switch in each loop must be optimally selected where the optimal sizes of DERs must be also found. As a result, the said problem becomes an optimization problem in which the decision variable matrix is:

$$X = [OS_1, OS_2, ..., OS_i, PG_1, PG_2, ..., PG_j]$$
(33)

where  $OS_i$  is the selected switch in loop *i* that should be opened to perform reconfiguration process and  $PG_j$  is the size of *j*-th DER power generation. To improve system reliability, in Subsection II.B, DNAS aspects were discussed and their indices were presented. For improving network reliability OVSI value must be minimized and for reducing power loss and operation cost, values of  $P_{loss}$  and  $Cost_{total}$  must be minimized. As the values of OVSI,  $P_{loss}$  and  $Cost_{total}$  depend on the network configuration and DERs sizes, they can be expressed as a function of X, i.e. OVSI(X),  $P_{loss}(X)$ , and  $Cost_{total}(X)$ . The overall objective function of the problem is then described as:

$$OF = (w_1 \times AI(X)) + (w_2 \times OVSI(X)) + (w_3 \times P_{loss}(X)) + (w_4 \times Cost_{total}(X))$$
(34)

where  $w_1, w_2, w_3$ , and  $w_4$  are the weighting factors of the objective functions. Minimizing the overall objective function is an optimization problem. In this paper, a new powerful optimization algorithm, human behavior-based optimization (HBBO) [29] method is used which will be described in the subsequent section.

### 3 Human behavior-based optimization

HBBO is a novel meta-heuristic optimization method aiming at artificially simulating the behavior of a human in the pursuit of his goals [29]. Meta-heuristic algorithms are a kind of random algorithm used to find optimal value of a function. Optimization methods and algorithms are categorized into two groups of exact algorithms and approximate algorithms. Exact algorithms are capable of finding the global optimal solution, but they are not efficient enough for difficult optimization problems and their execution time expands exponentially with the dimensions of the problems.

Approximate algorithms are capable of finding good (near optimal) solutions at short time for complex optimization problems. Approximate algorithms are also classified into two categories: heuristic algorithms, and meta-heuristic algorithms. The two main problems of heuristic algorithms, poor performance for escaping from local optima, and fast converging to these points. Metaheuristic algorithms are presented to solve these problems. In fact, meta-heuristic algorithms are one of a kind of approximate optimization algorithms that have solutions to escape from the local

*IET Research Journals*, pp. 1–9 © The Institution of Engineering and Technology 2015 optima and can be applied to a wide range of issues. Various types of this algorithm have been developed in the last decades, and HBBO seems suitable for power system problems which has been recently introduced.

Learning via education, correcting behavior via consulting and also the probability of changing the way of thinking are some major human behaviors which HBBO implements [29]. This optimization method consists of five steps:

### Step 1 - Initialization:

In this step, initial individuals that are problem decision variables matrix are created and randomly spread among the fields. For our problem, an individual is just the matrix of decision variables (X).

$$Individual = [OS_1, OS_2, ..., OS_i, PG_1, PG_2, ..., PG_j]$$
 (35)

#### Step 2 - Education:

Simulation of improvement through education is the goal of this step. In this way, the best individual of each field, or an expert individual, is found and other individuals of the field will try to learn from them by moving toward them. This movement is not a direct move, and the individual finds a place around the expert from  $r_{min}$  to  $r_{max}$ . To perform this step, a spherical coordinate system for N-dimensional Euclidean space is implemented.

#### Step 3 - Consultation:

Finding random advisors by all the individuals and consulting with them is the core to the consultation. To briefly explain the consultation procedure, an advisor randomly selects some decision variables from the individual variable matrix and change them to what he thinks is better. In advanced mode of HBBO, after changing variables, the objective function of this new set of variables will be calculated and in case of achieving better value the consultation will be effective and the variables will be updated.

#### Step 4 - Field Changing Probability:

In this step, using a rank probability method, in some fields an individual's field may be changed to model the changes that people may experience during their lives.

#### Step 5 - Finalization:

As the variables matrix of the individuals changes during each iteration of the algorithm, in this step, individuals' fitness function will be updated. In addition, if the stopping conditions met, the algorithm will be stopped.

The reconfiguration model proposed in this paper is a nonlinear formulation and therefore a meta-heuristic optimization technique is selected to solve it. This kind of optimization techniques do not guarantee to obtain the global optima and may trapped in a local one, but having the advantage of formulating the complete detail in a nonlinear model. It is also possible to linearize the model and neglect some features in it, and then solve it with a mathematical approach to guarantee reaching the global optima. In this way, some simplifications are made in the formulation which decrease the accuracy of the obtained result. There is always a controversy in choosing between these two approaches but for the large models where linearization causes the significant error, using meta-heuristic approach is selected here to conduct the optimization task.

# 4 Simulation results and discussion

Comprehensive test studies are carried out in this section to validate the proposed approach using two practical networks, i.e. the IEEE 33-bus network and 83-bus network of TPC.

5

# Auto-generated PDF by Reviewrticle has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal bure that in the president & Distribution Content may change prior to final publication in an issue of the journal. To cite the paper please use the doi provided on the Digital Library page.

The process of solving an optimization problem has three stages, each of which has different steps. In each step, some activities must be done to complete that step. First step is a preparation in which we need to get a clear understanding of the problem of interest, and set the design objectives of the optimization algorithm. The next step is the selection strategy, and the definition of performance measurement criteria. In this step, the problem objective function must be defined. The final stage is the implementation of the optimization algorithm according to the designed objective function in the previous step.

The procedure of HHBO algorithm implementation for the reconfiguration problem in this study is described as follows:

Algorithm 1: HHBO for Reconfiguration problem
Result: Finding open switches and DERs' output powers
Data: Network loops, maximum output power of DERs, and
HBBO parameters
Initialization:
1) Create individuals and randomly spread them among the
fields.
2) Find expert individual of each field
while Stopping criteria are not met do
for All individuals do
<b>Education step:</b> Move toward the expert individual
Consultation step:
1) Find a random advisor from the whole society
2) Change randomly selected variables of the
individual by same value of the advisor's variable
3) Check the following criteria and do the
corresponding action:
if New set of variables has better OF value then
Change the individual's variable matrix
else
Keep the individual's variable matrix to its
previous value
end
end
for All Fields do
Field changing step:
1) Calculate the field changing probability (P)
2) Generate a random number and check the following
criteria and do the corresponding action:
if Random number <= P then
Select one random individual and change its field
else
Do notning
end
end
for All individuals do
and
ellu and
cnu

To show the advantage of using HBBO algorithm in our study, a comparison with GA is carried out. For GA, Matlab optimization toolbox with adaptive feasible mutation and scattered crossover is used. The population and generations size are set to 150, and 30 respectively. Other control parameters of GA are set to be the same as default values of the toolbox. Parameters of HBBO are given in Table 2.

Table 2 HBBO parameters

Parameter	Value
Population size	150
Field size	30
$k_1$	0
$k_2$	2.5
σ	0.2
Optimization mode	advance

#### IEEE 33-bus radial distribution network 4.1

The IEEE 33-bus network with 12.66 kV voltage level [39] is selected to conduct the first part of test studies. The line and load data of the candidate test network is found in [39]. It is assumed that all lines have sectionalizing switches, so there are 32 sectionalizing switches, and 5 tie switches in the network. This network with the location of its DERs is shown in Fig. 3. To test the proposed algorithm in different conditions, the three following scenarios are considered:

Scenario 1: Standard network without performing network reconfiguration.

Scenario 2: Performing the proposed reconfiguration approach for the test system without utilizing DERs.

Scenario 3: Similar to scenario 2, but with utilizing DERs in the network

For reliability assessment, failure rates of the lines are calculated based on their impedance value. In this way, the line with the maximum impedance value has the maximum failure rate of 0.4 (failure/year) and the line with the minimum impedance value has the minimum failure rate of 0.1 (failure/year). For other lines, failure rates are calculated proportionally based on these minimum and maximum rates. Repair time for all lines is supposed to be 8 hours. Other network components such as DERs, transformers, circuit breakers, etc. are assumed to be fully reliable. End-user data are also given in Table 3.

Table 3 End-user data of IEEE 33-bus network

	abol adda of IEEE oo o		
Bus Number	Number of End-Users	Bus Number	Number of End-Users
1	450	17	350
2	350	18	400
3	550	19	300
4	300	20	400
5	300	21	500
6	950	22	400
7	950	23	1450
8	500	24	1250
9	500	25	250
10	350	26	450
11	250	27	250
12	350	28	550
13	500	29	850
14	250	30	750
15	400	31	1000
16	250	32	250



Fig. 3: IEEE 33-bus network with DERs locations.

To use the reconfiguration method expressed in Subsection 2.1, the network loops must be specified. At first, it is assumed that all switches are closed. After the optimization algorithm procedure starts, one switch from each loop is selected by considering the criteria discussed in Subsection 2.1, mainly by satisfying the criteria of equation (9) which guarantees the radiality of the network. As seen in Fig. 3, IEEE 33-bus system has five loops. Optimization algorithms are to find one independent switch from each loop in which the overall objective function (20) becomes minimum. By applying HBBO and GA, the results are obtained. Open switches number that represent the configuration of the network, reliability indices of

> IET Research Journals, pp. 1-9 © The Institution of Engineering and Technology 2015

	Scenario 1	Scenario 2		Scenario 3	
		НВВО	GA	НВВО	GA
Open switches	33-34-35-36-37	7-9-14-32-37	7-11-14-32-37	7-9-14-36-28	7-9-14-32-28
SAIFI (failure/year)	1.2672	1.1404	1.1449	1.0469	1.0605
SAIDI (hour)	10.1372	9.1229	9.1588	8.3753	8.4839
AENS	1.9728	1.9690	1.9737	1.8050	1.8311
OVSI	0.6305	0.65515	0.65515	0.61211	0.60905
LSI	0.0208	0.02118	0.02118	0.02066	0.02065
BSI	0.8063	0.7757	0.7757	0.83086	0.8351
Power loss (kW)	202.67	139.55	141.21	86.87	91.89
Voltage of the weakest bus(%)	90.38	93.78	93.78	95.44	95.52
LCU of the weakest line	1	0.9827	0.9827	0.7835	0.8225

 Table 4
 Results of the conducted study on IEEE 33-bus distribution network

SAIFI, SAIDI, and AENS, and also OVSI, LSI, BSI, weakest bus voltage, and line capacity usage (LCU) ratio of the weakest line, which has the highest current, for the obtained configuration, to the normal operation configuration, and finally total network power loss are tabulated in Table 4.

For scenarios 3, where DERs are generating power in the network, output power generation of DERs obtained from optimization algorithms and their maximum sizes are given in Table 5. The execution times of HBBO and GA algorithms for this scenario are 18.12 and 19.46 seconds. The evolutionary progresses of objective function for GA and HBBO are depicted in Fig. 4. For all scenarios, the voltage profiles of the selected network using HBBO method are shown in Fig. 5.



**Fig. 4**: Evolutionary progress of objective function values for GA and HBBO.

Maximum		Scenario 3	
DER Locations	Possible Generation	HBBO	GA
DER on bus 6	500	183.20	32.84
DER on bus 12	300	211.55	101.18
DER on bus 16	300	183.54	271.45
DER on bus 31	500	479.26	417.98

From data given in Table III, by comparing scenario 1 with scenario 2, it can be seen that reconfiguration helps improving network reliability and reducing power losses. In addition, by considering the security aspect in reliability, minimum voltage of the network buses is increased without threatening security indices of OVSI, LSI, and BSI. This means more voltage security.

In scenario 3, the DERs are present actively and their generation sizes are optimally selected by HBBO and GA, a trade-off between security and power generation of DERs takes place. By looking at Tables 4, and 5, it is observed that the DERs are producing power and the power loss of the system reduces and voltage improves while the LCU ratio of weakest line using HBBO is 0.7835 and also security indices of OVSI, LSI, and BSI are in a good range even better than





Fig. 5: Voltage profile of network in all scenarios.

the base case. The line with LSI value near one or BSI value near zero is considered as the critical line. This value for LCU ratio shows that all of the lines, even the weakest line which has the maximum current, is in their allowed range. This proves that by taking into account the security aspect in the problem formulations as a factor of reliability helps the network to benefit from the reconfiguration tool for using the capacity of DERs.

The minimum voltage of network (see Table 4) demonstrate that security consideration can also help voltage improvement. Looking at Tables 4, and 5, with taking the security into account (in the formulations) helps to securely utilize DERs in the network. Comparison of HBBO and GA results in scenario 3, which is more complex than the other scenarios, indicates that HBBO algorithm outperforms GA in hard optimization problems and is especially suitable for our problem. Voltage profiles for all scenarios are shown in Fig. 5, and as seen, in scenario 3, the best performance can be achieved where the voltage profile of the system improves significantly.



Fig. 6: 83-bus network of TPC with DERs locations.

#### 83-bus distribution network of TPC 4.2

To evaluate the performance of the proposed method for larger practical distribution networks, other studies are carried out on 83-bus distribution network of TPC, depicted in Fig. 6 [40]. This network is a 11.4 kV system with 11 feeders. The line and load data could be found in [40]. Similar to the previous test network, it is assumed that all lines have sectionalizing switches, so there are 83 sectionalizing switches, and 13 tie switches in the network. Totally, 10 DERs on the buses 5, 7, 12, 20, 28, 39, 53, 60, 76, and 83 with maximum power capacity of 500 kW are considered in this network. For failure rates of the lines, similar approach of the previous test network is used. End-user data are given in Table 6. Other parameters are same as the previous test network.

Table 6 End-user data of 83-bus network of TPC

Bus Number	Number of End-Users	Bus Number	Number of End-Users	Bus Number	Number of End-Users
1	0	29	100	57	15
2	50	30	0	58	200
3	350	31	400	59	0
4	300	32	100	60	10
5	400	33	100	61	10
6	800	34	500	62	100
7	600	35	150	63	250
8	450	36	200	64	250
9	450	37	50	65	0
10	450	38	50	66	25
11	0	39	50	67	0
12	300	40	50	68	200
13	350	41	250	69	0
14	350	42	200	70	0
15	0	43	0	71	700
16	150	44	15	72	200
17	250	45	300	73	0
18	350	46	100	74	0
19	600	47	0	75	200
20	450	48	0	76	100
21	300	49	0	77	0
22	100	50	50	78	100
23	100	51	100	79	100
24	100	52	250	80	50
25	25	53	250	81	250
26	25	54	250	82	50
27	25	55	200	83	500
28	700	56	0		

Results of applying HBBO to the reconfiguration problem of 83bus TPC network, are given in Tables 7 and 8. Similar to the previous studies, by comparing scenarios 1 and 2, we can see by using the proposed framework which includes the security aspect in the reliability formulations, not only the security indices i.e. OVSI, LSI, and BSI are not violated but also the minimum voltage of the network is improved. Besides, in scenario 3 where the DERs are actively generate power in the network, by simultaneously performing network reconfiguration and DERs sizing, the best condition is achieved where the power loss is minimized, voltage of the weakest bus and network reliability from both aspects of adequacy and security is improved. Also we can see the conditions for securely utilizing DERs in the network becomes provided by considering security aspect in the formulation of reliability improvement (see Tables 7 and 8). All in all, the conducted studies on 83-bus network of TPC, prove the applicability of the proposed method for large-scale distribution networks.

Normally in the power system operation and planning studies, there are some parameters that are based on the forecast values[41] and therefore are uncertain. The customers and substation loads are a sample of these uncertain parameters. Although, in this work it is supposed that the load values are fixed, but to tackle the load uncertainties, the worst-case scenario for the load demand is considered. The results obtained for the network configuration becomes more secure but lower optimal. Therefore, in our future work load and other influencing uncertainties will be considered by a proper stochastic approach[42-44].

# Table 7 Results of the conducted study on 83-bus network of TPC

	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3
Open switches	84-85-86-	7-13-33-	7-13-14-
	87-88-89-	37-42-62-	34-38-40-
	90-91-92-	82-84-86-	63-71-83-
	93-94-95-	87-89-90-	84-86-90-
	96	92	92
SAIFI (failure/year)	1.1588	0.9798	0.82457
SAIDI (hour)	9.2707	7.8385	6.5966
AENS	12.4824	12.7322	10.0162
OVSI	0.67731	0.62531	0.60497
LSI	0.017974	0.017297	0.017374
BSI	0.74814	0.81081	0.83853
Power loss (kW)	531.994	478.332	416.5861
Voltage of the weakest bus (%)	92.85	94.88	95.63
LCU of the weakest line	1	0.9043	0.8653

Fable 8         Optimal sizes of DERs in 83-bus network of TPC (KW)						
DER Location	Power Generation	DER Location	Power Generation			
DER on bus 5 DER on bus 7 DER on bus 12 DER on bus 20 DER on bus 28	315.35 74.67 492.03 307.66 495.25	DER on bus 39 DER on bus 53 DER on bus 60 DER on bus 76 DER on bus 83	482.76 500 500 489.39 498.31			

#### 5 Conclusions

In a distribution network with DERs present, a comprehensive reliability assessment must be carried out on the two aspects of adequacy and security. However, achieving the best reliability is difficult since the presence of DERs can improve adequacy but it may also jeopardize the security of the network. We propose a simultaneous reconfiguration and DERs sizing framework to improve both DNAS aspects of the reliability problem. The suggested reconfiguration routine is based on graph theory. To tackle security concerns of DERs existence in the network, a combined bus and line security index is further used. Problem is formulated in an objective function and the powerful optimization method, i.e. HBBO, is used to optimize the presented objective function. Obtained results validates our claims that the reliability and power losses is largely improved using the proposed approach. In our future works, we will demonstrate the feasibility of applying the proposed method for distribution systems with secondary networks, and with different sources of uncertainties and unbalancing condition.

#### 6 Acknowledgment

This work was supported in part by the InteGRIDy project. The inteGRIDy project has received funding from the European Union's H2020 research and innovation programme under the grant agreement No. 731268.

#### 7 References

- H. Arasteh, V. Vahidinasab, M. S. Sepasian, and J. Aghaei, "Stochastic system 1 of systems architecture for adaptive expansion of smart distribution grids," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 377–389, 2019.
- R. N. Allan *et al.*, *Reliability evaluation of power systems*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013. 2
- S. M. Hashemi, V. Vahidinasab, M. S. Ghazizadeh, and J. Aghaei, "Valuing consumer participation in security enhancement of microgrids," *IET Generation*,
- *Transmission & Distribution*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 595–602, 2018. A. Heidari, V. G. Agelidis, M. Kia, J. Pou, J. Aghaei, M. Shafie-Khah, and J. P. S. Catalão, "Reliability optimization of automated distribution networks with T. S. Catalao, Reinability optimization of automated distribution fetworks with probability customer interruption cost model in the presence of dg units," *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 305–315, Jan 2017.
   T. Niknam, A. Kavousifard, and J. Aghaei, "Scenario-based multiobjective distribution feeder reconfiguration considering wind power using adaptive modified
- particle swarm optimisation," IET Renewable Power Generation, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 236-247, 2012.
- R. S. Rao, K. Ravindra, K. Satish, and S. V. L. Narasimham, "Power loss minimization in distribution system using network reconfiguration in the presence of distributed generation," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 317-325, Feb 2013.

IET Research Journals, pp. 1-9 © The Institution of Engineering and Technology 2015

- F. V. Gomes, S. Carneiro, J. L. R. Pereira, M. P. Vinagre, P. A. N. Garcia, and L. R. De Araujo, "A new distribution system reconfiguration approach using op-7 timum power flow and sensitivity analysis for loss reduction," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 1616–1623, Nov 2006. Y.-T. Hsiao and C.-Y. Chien, "Multiobjective optimal feeder reconfiguration," *IEE*
- Proceedings-Generation, Transmission and Distribution, vol. 148, no. 4, pp. 333-336, 2001.
- 9 Y. Liu, J. Li, and L. Wu, "Coordinated optimal network reconfiguration and voltage regulator/der control for unbalanced distribution systems," IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, pp. 1-1, 2018.
- 10 A. Kavousi-Fard, T. Niknam, M.-R. Akbari-Zadeh, and B. Dehghan, "Stochastic framework for reliability enhancement using optimal feeder reconfiguration
- Journal of Systems Engineering and Electronics, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 901–910, 2014. A. Kavousi-Fard and T. Niknam, "Optimal distribution feeder reconfiguration for reliability improvement considering uncertainty," *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 1344–1353, 2014.
- P. Zhang, W. Li, and S. Wang, "Reliability-oriented distribution network reconfigu-ration considering uncertainties of data by interval analysis," *International Journal* 12
- of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 138–144, 2012. B. Amanulla, S. Chakrabarti, and S. Singh, "Reconfiguration of power distribu-tion systems considering reliability and power loss," *IEEE transactions on power* 13
- *delivery*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 918–926, 2012. R. Vitorino, H. Jorge, and L. Neves, "Loss and reliability optimization for power 14 distribution system operation," Electric Power Systems Research, vol. 96, pp. 177-184, 2013.
- N. Gupta, A. Swarnkar, and K. Niazi, "Distribution network reconfiguration for 15
- N. Gupfa, A. Swarnkar, and K. Niazi, "Distribution network reconfiguration for power quality and reliability improvement using genetic algorithms," *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 54, pp. 664–671, 2014.
  H. Arasteh, M. S. Sepasian, and V. Vahidinasab, "An aggregated model for co-ordinated planning and reconfiguration of electric distribution networks," *Energy*, vol. 94, pp. 786–798, 2016.
  D.-L. Duan, X.-D. Ling, X.-Y. Wu, and B. Zhong, "Reconfiguration of distribu-tion network for loss reduction and reliability improvement based on an enhanced genetic algorithm". *International Journal of Electrical Bourger Engage Systems*, Systems 16
- 17 genetic algorithm," *International Journal of Electrical Power Energy Systems*, vol. 64, pp. 88 – 95, 2015. J. E. Mendoza, M. E. Lopez, C. A. C. Coello, and E. A. Lopez, "Microgenetic
- 18 multiobjective reconfiguration algorithm considering power losses and reliability indices for medium voltage distribution network," *IET Generation, Transmission Distribution*, vol. 3, no. 9, pp. 825–840, Sep. 2009. J. C. López, M. Lavorato, and M. J. Rider, "Optimal reconfiguration of electrical
- 19 distribution systems considering reliability indices improvement," *International Journal of Electrical Power Energy Systems*, vol. 78, pp. 837–845, 2016. D.-L. Duan, X.-D. Ling, X.-Y. Wu, and B. Zhong, "Reconfiguration of distribution network for loss reduction and reliability improvement based on an enhanced
- 20 genetic algorithm," *International Journal of Electrical Power Energy Systems*, vol. 64, pp. 88–95, 2015. M. R. Narimani, A. A. Vahed, R. Azizipanah-Abarghooee, and M. Javidsharifi,
- 21 "Enhanced gravitational search algorithm for multi-objective distribution feeder Ternamiced glavitational scale algorithm for matter objective distribution record reconfiguration considering reliability, loss and operational cost," *IET Generation, Transmission Distribution*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 55–69, Jan 2014.
   N. Gupta, A. Swarnkar, and K. Niazi, "Distribution network reconfiguration for
- 22
- N. Oppla, A. Swanka, and K. Nazi, Distribution network recomputation for power quality and reliability improvement using genetic algorithms," *International Journal of Electrical Power Energy Systems*, vol. 54, pp. 664–671, 2014.
  N. G. Paterakis, A. Mazza, S. F. Santos, O. Erdinç, G. Chicco, A. G. Bakirtzis, and J. P. S. Catalão, "Multi-objective reconfiguration of radial distribution systems using reliability indices," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 1049–1062. March 2016. 1048-1062, March 2016.
- H. Shareef, A. Ibrahim, N. Salman, A. Mohamed, and W. L. Ai, "Power quality 24 and reliability enhancement in distribution systems via optimum network recon-figuration by using quantum firefly algorithm," *International Journal of Electrical* wer Energy Systems, vol. 58, pp. 160 - 169, 2014.
- M. Zare, R. Azizipanah-Abarghooee, R. Hooshmand, and M. Malekpour, "Optimal 25 reconfiguration of distribution systems by considering switch and wind turbine placements to enhance reliability and efficiency," *IET Generation, Transmission Distribution*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 1271–1284, 2018.
- D. Bernardon, A. Mello, L. Pfitscher, L. Canha, A. Abaide, and A. Ferreira, "Real-26 De Demardon, A. Forthal, E. Finscher, E. Calma, A. Fordate, and A. Forthal, Real-time reconfiguration of distribution network with distributed generation," *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 107, pp. 59 – 67, 2014.
   A. Kavousi-Fard and T. Niknam, "Multi-objective stochastic distribution feeder
- 27 reconfiguration from the reliability point of view," Energy, vol. 64, pp. 342 - 354, 2014.
- 28 A. Kavousi-Fard, T. Niknam, and M. H. Khooban, "Intelligent stochastic framework to solve the reconfiguration problem from the reliability view," IET Science, Measurement Technology, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 245–259, Sep. 2014.
- S.-A. Ahmadi, "Human behavior-based optimization: a novel metaheuristic ap-29 proach to solve complex optimization problems," *Neural Computing and Applica-tions*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 233–244, 2017. H. B. A. Merlin, "search for a minimal-loss operating spanning tree configuration
- 30 in an urban power distribution system," 1975.
- A. Fathy, M. El-Arini, and O. El-Baksawy, "An efficient methodology for optimal reconfiguration of electric distribution network considering reliability indices 31 via binary particle swarm gravity search algorithm," *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 2843–2858, Nov 2018. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-017-2877-z
- J. L. Gross and J. Yellen, *Handbook of graph theory*. CRC press, 2004. C. A. Warren, R. Ammon, and G. Welch, "A survey of distribution reliability measurement practices in the us," *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 250–257, 1999. M. Aman, G. Jasmon, A. Bakar, and H. Mokhlis, "A new approach for optimum dg
- 34 placement and sizing based on voltage stability maximization and minimization of power losses," Energy Conversion and Management, vol. 70, pp. 202 - 210, 2013.

IET Research Journals, pp. 1-9

© The Institution of Engineering and Technology 2015

- M. Chakravorty and D. Das, "Voltage stability analysis of radial distribution net-35 works," International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, vol. 23, no. 2 pp. 129–135, 2001. D. Das, D. Kothari, and A. Kalam, "Simple and efficient method for load flow
- 36
- D. Das, D. Rotnari, and A. Karam, "Simple and encient method for load now solution of radial distribution networks," *International Journal of Electrical Power Energy Systems*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 335 346, 1995.
   M. Moghavvemi and M. Faruque, "Power system security and voltage collapse: a line outage based indicator for prediction," *International Journal of Electrical Power Energy Systems*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 455 461, 1999. 37
- M. Abdel-Akher, "Voltage stability analysis of unbalanced distribution systems us-38 ing backward/forward sweep load-flow analysis method with secant predictor," *IET Generation, Transmission Distribution*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 309–317, March 2013. E. Kianmehr, S. Nikkhah, V. Vahidinasab, D. Giaouris, and P. Taylor, "A resilience-
- 39 based architecture for joint distributed energy resources allocation and hourly network reconfiguration," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 2019. Ji-Pyng Chiou, Chung-Fu Chang, and Ching-Tzong Su, "Variable scaling hybrid
- 40 differential evolution for solving network reconfiguration of distribution systems, *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 668–674, May 2005.
- V. Vahidinasab and S. Jadid, "Bayesian neural network model to predict day-ahead electricity prices," European Transactions on Electrical Power, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 231-246, 2010.
- 42 A. Baharvandi, J. Aghaei, A. Nikoobakht, T. Niknam, V. Vahidinasab, D. Giaouris, and P. Taylor, "Linearized hybrid stochastic/robust scheduling of active distribution
- networks encompassing pvs," *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 2019. H. Nezamabadi and V. Vahidinasab, "Market bidding strategy of the micro-43
- H. Nezamabadi and V. Vahidinasab, "Market bidding strategy of the micro-grids considering demand response and energy storage potential flexibilities," *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*, vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 1346–1357, 2019.
   B. Jeddi, V. Vahidinasab, P. Ramezanpour, J. Aghaei, M. Shafie-khah, and J. P. Catalão, "Robust optimization framework for dynamic distributed energy re-sources planning in distribution networks," International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, vol. 110, pp. 419–433, 2019.

#### 8 Appendix

In order to obtain (16) from (15) and (14) the following steps are required:

$$I_{ij} = \frac{V_s - V_r}{r_{ij} + jx_{ij}} \tag{8.1}$$

$$I_{ij} = \frac{P_r - jQ_r}{V^*} \tag{8.2}$$

$$\frac{|V_s| \angle \delta_s - |V_r| \angle \delta_r}{r_{ij} + jx_{ij}} = \frac{P_r - jQ_r}{|V_r| \angle -\delta_r}$$
(8.3)

$$V_{s}||V_{r}| \angle (\delta_{s} - \delta_{r}) - |V_{r}|^{2} = (P_{r} - jQ_{r})(r_{ij} + jx_{ij})$$
(8.4)

 $(|V_s||V_r|\cos(\delta_s - \delta_r) - |V_r|^2) + j(|V_s||V_r|\sin(\delta_s - \delta_r))$ 

$$= (P_r r_{ij} + Q_r x_{ij}) + j(P_r x_{ij} - Q_r r_{ij})$$
(8.5)

Real and imaginary parts in the both sides of the above equation can be separately equal to each other. Therefore, for real parts:

$$|V_{s}||V_{r}|\cos(\delta_{s} - \delta_{r}) = |V_{r}|^{2} + P_{r}r_{ij} + Q_{r}x_{ij}$$
(8.6)

For imaginary parts

$$V_s||V_r|\sin\left(\delta_s - \delta_r\right) = P_r x_{ij} - Q_r r_{ij} \tag{8.7}$$

By adding the squares of (8.6) and (8.7), and rearranging, the following equation is obtained:

$$\frac{|V_r|^4 - |V_s|^2 |V_r|^2 + 2|V_r|^2 (P_r r_{ij} - Q_r x_{ij})}{+ (P_r^2 + Q_r^2)(r_{ij}^2 + x_{ij}^2)}$$
(8.8)

The above equation is given in (16)-(18)