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## ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND Patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and concomitant noncoronary atherosclerosis have a high risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) and death. The impact of lipid lowering by proprotein convertase subtilisin-kexin type 9 inhibition in such patients is undetermined.

OBJECTIVES This pre-specified analysis from ODYSSEY OUTCOMES (Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcomes After an Acute Coronary Syndrome During Treatment With Alirocumab) determined whether polyvascular disease influenced risks of MACEs and death and their modification by alirocumab in patients with recent ACS and dyslipidemia despite intensive statin therapy.

METHODS Patients were randomized to alirocumab or placebo 1 to 12 months after ACS. The primary MACEs endpoint was the composite of coronary heart disease death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, fatal or nonfatal ischemic stroke, or unstable angina requiring hospitalization. All-cause death was a secondary endpoint.

RESULTS Median follow-up was 2.8 years. Of 18,924 patients, 17,370 had monovascular (coronary) disease, 1,405 had polyvascular disease in 2 beds (coronary and peripheral artery or cerebrovascular), and 149 had polyvascular disease in 3 beds (coronary, peripheral artery, cerebrovascular). With placebo, the incidence of MACEs by respective vascular categories was $10.0 \%, 22.2 \%$, and $39.7 \%$. With alirocumab, the corresponding absolute risk reduction was $1.4 \%$ ( $95 \%$ confidence interval [CI]: $0.6 \%$ to $2.3 \%$ ), $1.9 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}:-2.4 \%$ to $6.2 \%$ ), and $13.0 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}:-2.0 \%$ to $28.0 \%$ ). With placebo, the incidence of death by respective vascular categories was $3.5 \%, 10.0 \%$, and $21.8 \%$; the absolute risk reduction with alirocumab was $0.4 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ : $-0.1 \%$ to $1.0 \%$ ), $1.3 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}:-1.8 \%$ to $4.3 \%$ ), and $16.2 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 5.5 \%$ to $26.8 \%$ ).

CONCLUSIONS In patients with recent ACS and dyslipidemia despite intensive statin therapy, polyvascular disease is associated with high risks of MACEs and death. The large absolute reductions in those risks with alirocumab are a potential benefit for these patients. (Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcomes After an Acute Coronary Syndrome During Treatment With Alirocumab [ODYSSEY OUTCOMES]: NCTO1663402) (J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;74:1167-76) © 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation.
This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

[^0]Listen to this manuscript's audio summary by Editor-in-Chief Dr. Valentin Fuster on JACC.org.

## ABBREVIATIONS

## AND ACRONYMS

ACS = acute coronary
syndrome
$A R R=$ absolute risk reduction
CAD = coronary artery disease
CeVD = cerebrovascular
disease
CI = confidence interval
LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol

MACE = major adverse cardiovascular event

PAD = peripheral artery disease

Patients with peripheral artery disease (PAD) or cerebrovascular disease (CeVD) have an elevated risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) and death compared with patients without these conditions, irrespective of a concurrent history of coronary artery disease (CAD) (1-3). The risk of future MACEs and death also remains high among patients with an acute coronary syndrome (ACS), despite application of evidence-based secondary prevention measures including statins and dual antiplatelet therapy (4). When PAD or CeVD is concurrent with ACS, risk may be particularly elevated,
warranting more intensive approaches to secondary prevention $(5,6)$.
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Lowering of atherogenic lipoproteins, reflected in part by reduction of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), favorably modifies the risks of MACEs and death (7). Accordingly, statin treatment is broadly recommended for patients with coronary atherosclerosis, PAD, or CeVD in the guidelines of the American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association, the American College of Cardiology and American Stroke Association, and the European Society of Cardiology and European Atherosclerosis Society (8-11).

[^1]The advent of inhibitors of proprotein convertase subtilisin-kexin type 9 (PCSK9) provided an opportunity to lower LDL-C to levels not previously achievable with statins or ezetimibe. The FOURIER (Further Cardiovascular Outcomes Research With PCSK9 Inhibition in Subjects With Elevated Risk) trial compared the PCSK9 inhibitor evolocumab with placebo in patients with established, stable atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, including CAD, PAD, or CeVD. Evolocumab reduced MACEs, but not death. Benefits were particularly pronounced among patients with PAD at entry into the trial (12).
The ODYSSEY OUTCOMES (Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcomes After an Acute Coronary Syndrome During Treatment With Alirocumab) trial showed that MACEs were reduced with the PCSK9 inhibitor alirocumab compared with placebo in 18,924 patients with recent ACS and elevated atherogenic lipoproteins despite intensive statin therapy. In addition, fewer deaths occurred among patients treated with alirocumab. The aims of this prespecified analysis of the ODYSSEY OUTCOMES trial were to determine whether the benefits of alirocumab on MACE and death were influenced by the presence of polyvascular disease, defined as concomitant PAD, CeVD, or both, and thus to identify preferred candidates for alirocumab treatment.

## METHODS

Details of the study design (13) and primary efficacy and safety results have been published (14). In brief, ODYSSEY OUTCOMES was a multicenter, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial in 18,924 patients at least 40 years of age who provided written informed consent and had been hospitalized with an ACS (defined as myocardial infarction or unstable angina) 1 to 12 months before randomization. Qualifying patients had a level of LDL-C of at least $70 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ( $1.81 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ), non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol at least $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(2.59 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l})$, or apolipoprotein $B$ at least $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, measured after a minimum of 2 weeks of stable treatment with atorvastatin 40 to 80 mg daily, rosuvastatin 20 to 40 mg daily, or the maximum tolerated dose of either statin (including no statin in case of documented intolerance). Patients were randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio stratified by country to receive treatment with alirocumab 75 mg subcutaneously every 2 weeks or matching placebo.
CATEGORIES OF POLYVASCULAR DISEASE. In this analysis, 3 subgroups of patients with recent ACS were defined on the basis of the distribution of other evident vascular disease: 1) monovascular disease (CAD without known PAD or CeVD); 2) polyvascular disease in 2 vascular beds (CAD and either PAD or CeVD); and 3) polyvascular disease in 3 vascular beds

[^2]|  | Monovascular Disease | Disease in 2 | Vascular Beds | Disease in 3 Vascular Beds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAD Without PAD or CeVD ( $\mathrm{n}=17, \mathbf{3 7 0}$ ) | CAD and PAD $(n=610)$ | CAD and CeVD $(n=795)$ | CAD, PAD, and CeVD $(n=149)$ | p Value |
| Age, yrs | $58(51,65)$ | $62(56,68)$ | $62(56,69)$ | $66(60,71)$ | <0.0001 |
| Age category |  |  |  |  | <0.0001 |
| $<65$ yrs | 12,956 (74.6) | 368 (60.3) | 456 (57.4) | 60 (40.3) |  |
| 65 to <75 yrs | 3,575 (20.6) | 178 (29.2) | 252 (31.7) | 72 (48.3) |  |
| $\geq 75$ yrs | 839 (4.8) | 64 (10.5) | 87 (10.9) | 17 (11.4) |  |
| Female | 4,298 (24.7) | 163 (26.7) | 264 (33.2) | 37 (24.8) | <0.0001 |
| Region |  |  |  |  | <0.0001 |
| Western Europe | 3,852 (22.2) | 152 (24.9) | 142 (17.9) | 29 (19.5) |  |
| Eastern Europe | 4,993 (28.7) | 189 (31.0) | 215 (27.0) | 40 (26.8) |  |
| North America | 2,513 (14.5) | 134 (22.0) | 170 (21.4) | 54 (36.2) |  |
| South America | 2,413 (13.9) | 64 (10.5) | 101 (12.7) | 10 (6.7) |  |
| Asia | 2,170 (12.5) | 22 (3.6) | 92 (11.6) | 9 (6.0) |  |
| Rest of world | 1,429 (8.2) | 49 (8.0) | 75 (9.4) | 7 (4.7) |  |
| Index event |  |  |  |  | <0.0001 |
| NSTEMI | 8,300 (47.9) | 342 (56.3) | 439 (55.4) | 94 (63.1) |  |
| STEMI | 6,080 (35.1) | 195 (31.1) | 227 (28.6) | 34 (22.8) |  |
| Unstable angina | 2,963 (17.1) | 71 (11.7) | 127 (16.0) | 21 (14.1) |  |
| Time from index event to randomization, months | 2.6 (1.7, 4.3) | 3.0 (1.8, 5.4) | 2.7 (1.7, 4.8) | 3.0 (2.1, 3.9) | 0.0003 |
| Lipid-lowering therapy at randomization |  |  |  |  | <0.0001 |
| High-dose atorvastatin or rosuvastatin | 15,486 (89.2) | 525 (86.1) | 679 (85.4) | 121 (81.2) |  |
| Other LLT | 1,734 (10.0) | 75 (12.3) | 102 (12.8) | 24 (16.1) |  |
| No LLT | 150 (0.9) | 10 (1.6) | 14 (1.8) | 4 (2.7) |  |
| LDL-C, mg/dl | $86(73,103)$ | $91(76,108)$ | $90(75,109)$ | $95(80,115)$ | <0.0001 |
| LDL-C $\geq 100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | 5,060 (29.1) | 218 (35.7) | 290 (36.5) | 61 (40.9) | <0.0001 |
| HDL-C, mg/dl | $42(36,50)$ | $42(36,50)$ | $43(36,51)$ | $43(37,51)$ | NS |
| Non-HDL-C, mg/dl | $114(99,136)$ | $121(105,143)$ | $120(103,144)$ | $124(108,143)$ | <0.0001 |
| Triglycerides, mg/dl | $128(94,181)$ | $134(99,187)$ | $136(98,190)$ | $135(94,182)$ | 0.002 |
| Apolipoprotein B, mg/dl | $79(69,93)$ | $83(72,96)$ | $83(71,96)$ | $82(75,95)$ | <0.0001 |
| Lipoprotein(a), mg/dl | 20.8 (6.6, 59.4) | 25.5 (7.5, 68.1) | 23.0 (7.1, 61.7) | 29.4 (9.4, 74.5) | 0.004 |
| C-reactive protein, mg/dl | 0.16 (0.08, 3.73) | 0.26 (0.11, 0.55) | 0.22 (0.10, 0.48) | 0.21 (0.11, 0.49) | <0.0001 |
| Body mass index, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 27.9 (25.2, 31.1) | 27.7 (24.9, 31.0) | 28.1 (25.4, 31.5) | 27.7 (24.5, 30.7) | NS |
| Hemoglobin $\mathrm{A}_{1 \mathrm{c}}$, \% | 5.8 (5.5, 6.3) | 6.0 (5.6, 6.7) | 6.1 (5.7, 7.0) | 6.0 (5.7, 6.7) | <0.0001 |
| eGFR, ml/min $/ 1.73 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 78.5 (68.1, 90.4) | 74.1 (61.6, 86.7) | 72.9 (59.5, 85.8) | 67.0 (52.2, 84.4) | <0.0001 |
| eGFR < $60 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} / 1.73 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 2,139 (12.3) | 135 (22.1) | 206 (25.9) | 59 (39.6) | <0.0001 |
| Diabetes status |  |  |  |  | <0.0001 |
| Diabetes | 4,805 (27.7) | 225 (36.9) | 349 (43.9) | 65 (43.6) |  |
| Pre-diabetes | 7,630 (43.9) | 260 (42.6) | 299 (37.6) | 57 (38.3) |  |
| Normoglycemia | 4,935 (28.4) | 125 (20.5) | 147 (18.5) | 27 (18.1) |  |
| Smoking status |  |  |  |  | <0.0001 |
| Current | 4,181 (24.1) | 189 (31.0) | 147 (18.5) | 43 (28.9) |  |
| Former | 7,095 (40.8) | 302 (49.5) | 335 (42.1) | 79 (53.0) |  |
| Never | 6,093 (35.1) | 119 (19.5) | 313 (39.4) | 27 (18.1) |  |
| Medical history prior to index event |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hypertension | 10,930 (62.9) | 489 (80.2) | 694 (87.3) | 136 (91.3) | <0.0001 |
| Myocardial infarction | 3,147 (18.1) | 204 (33.4) | 226 (28.4) | 62 (41.6) | <0.0001 |
| Stroke | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 526 (66.2) | 85 (57.0) | <0.0001 |
| Malignant disease | 458 (2.6) | 28 (4.6) | 34 (4.3) | 12 (8.1) | <0.0001 |
| COPD | 613 (3.5) | 64 (10.5) | 46 (5.8) | 23 (15.4) | <0.0001 |
| CABG | 826 (4.8) | 82 (13.4) | 91 (11.4) | 48 (32.2) | <0.0001 |
| PAD | 0 (0.0) | 610 (100.0) | 0 (0.0) | 149 (100.0) | <0.0001 |
| CeVD | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 795 (100.0) | 149 (100.0) | <0.0001 |

Continued on the next page

| TABLE 1 Continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Monovascular Disease | Disease in | scular Beds | Disease in 3 Vascular Beds |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAD Without PAD } \\ & \text { or CeVD } \\ & (n=17,370) \end{aligned}$ | CAD and PAD $(\mathrm{n}=610)$ | CAD and CeVD $(\mathrm{n}=795)$ | CAD, PAD, and CeVD $(n=149)$ | p Value |
| Revascularization for index event | 12,596 (72.5) | 436 (71.5) | 540 (67.9) | 105 (70.5) | 0.04 |
| Medications |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aspirin | 16,647 (95.8) | 564 (92.5) | 737 (92.7) | 138 (92.6) | $<0.0001$ |
| P2Y ${ }_{12}$ antagonist | 15,223 (87.6) | 525 (86.1) | 664 (83.5) | 129 (86.6) | 0.005 |
| ACE inhibitor/ARB | 13,444 (77.4) | 494 (81.0) | 655 (82.4) | 123 (82.6) | 0.0008 |
| Beta-blocker | 14,687 (84.6) | 507 (83.1) | 672 (84.5) | 124 (83.2) | NS |
| Ezetimibe | 473 (2.7) | 38 (6.2) | 30 (3.8) | 13 (8.7) | $<0.0001$ |
| Treatment variables among alirocumab-treated patients | $8,683$ | $302$ | $406$ | 71 |  |
| \% switched to placebo | 691 (8.0) | 12 (4.0) | 25 (6.2) | 2 (2.8) | 0.01 |
| Values are median (quartile 1, quartile 3), $n$ (\%), or $n$. The $p$ values reflect the statistical comparison among the 4 vascular disease subgroups (CAD without PAD or CeVD; CAD and PAD; CAD and CeVD; CAD PAD, and CeVD). <br> $\mathrm{ACE}=$ angiotensin-converting enzyme; $\mathrm{ARB}=$ angiotensin receptor blocker; $\mathrm{CABG}=$ coronary artery bypass graft; $\mathrm{CAD}=$ coronary artery disease; CeVD $=$ cerebrovascular disease; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LLT = lipid-lowering therapy; NS = not significant ( $p>0.05$ ); NSTEMI $=$ non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; PAD $=$ peripheral artery disease; STEMI = ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. |  |  |  |  |  |

(CAD with both PAD and CeVD). Two additional sensitivity analyses were performed. The first considered 2 vascular disease categories: 1) monovascular disease (CAD without known PAD or CeVD); and 2) polyvascular disease (CAD with any combination of PAD or CeVD). The second analysis considered 4 subgroups of patients with ACS: 1) those with monovascular disease, as defined earlier; 2) all patients with PAD, with or without concurrent CeVD; 3) all patients with CeVD, with or without concurrent PAD; and 4) patients with disease in all 3 vascular beds, as defined earlier. PAD included arterial disease of the extremities or abdominal aortic aneurysm. CeVD was defined as a history of carotid endarterectomy, carotid stenting, prior stroke, or transient ischemic attack.

ENDPOINTS. The primary MACE endpoint was a composite of coronary heart disease death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, fatal or nonfatal ischemic stroke, or unstable angina requiring hospitalization. All-cause death was a secondary endpoint.
statistical considerations. Analyses of clinical outcomes and LDL-C levels were performed according to the intention-to-treat principle, including all patients, events, and measurements from randomization to the common study end date (November 11, 2017). Hazard ratios and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated using a Cox proportional hazards model, stratified by geographic region; $p$ values were determined using stratified log-rank tests. Endpoint rates were based on observed incidences. Alirocumab treatment effect
heterogeneity by categories of polyvascular disease was assessed by Cox models with interaction terms for relative risk reduction and Gail-Simon tests for absolute risk reduction (ARR). Analyses were performed in SAS software version 9.4 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York).

## RESULTS

Of 18,924 randomized patients, 9,462 were assigned to the alirocumab group and 9,462 to the placebo group, with a median (quartile 1, quartile 3) follow-up of 2.8 years ( $2.3,3.4$ years). At baseline, 17,370 patients had monovascular disease (91.8\%), 1,405 patients had polyvascular disease in 2 vascular beds (7.4\%; 3.2\% PAD and $4.2 \% \mathrm{CeVD}$ ), and 149 had polyvascular disease in 3 vascular beds ( $0.8 \%$ ).
BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS. Table 1 summarizes the baseline characteristics of patients with monovascular (coronary) disease, polyvascular disease in 2 beds (split by PAD only and CeVD only), and polyvascular disease in 3 beds. Compared with patients with monovascular disease, those with CAD and PAD, CAD and CeVD, and polyvascular disease in 3 beds were older (median ages $58,62,62$, and 66 years; $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ); patients with CAD and PAD or CAD and CeVD were more likely to be female ( $26.7 \%$ and $33.2 \%$, respectively) than those with monovascular disease ( $24.7 \%$; $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ). Of all patients with CeVD, 526 ( $66.2 \%$ ) had a history of stroke. Patients with polyvascular disease in 3 beds had more comorbidities, including a history of hypertension, myocardial infarction, and coronary artery bypass

## CENTRAL ILLUSTRATION Alirocumab and Vascular Disease: Primary Major Adverse Cardiovascular Event Endpoint
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Kaplan-Meier curves for primary major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE) endpoint in patients with arterial disease in, respectively, 1 (coronary artery disease [CAD] and no peripheral artery disease [PAD] or cerebrovascular disease [CeVD]), 2 (CAD and PAD or CeVD), or 3 (CAD and PAD and CeVD) vascular beds. ARR = absolute risk reduction; $\mathrm{Cl}=$ confidence interval.
grafting, compared with patients with monovascular disease (all $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ). Furthermore, patients with polyvascular disease in 3 beds versus patients with monovascular disease had a higher prevalence of diabetes ( $43.6 \%$ vs. $27.7 \%$; $p<0.0001$ ) and were more likely to be current or former smokers (81.9\% vs. $64.9 \%$; p 0.0001 ). More patients with polyvascular disease in 3 beds versus patients with monovascular disease had an estimated glomerular filtration rate of $<60 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} / 1.73 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ( $39.6 \%$ vs. 12.3\%) with median estimated glomerular filtration rates of $78.5,74.1,72.9$, and $67.0 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} / 1.73 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in patients with monovascular disease, CAD and PAD, CAD and CeVD, and polyvascular disease in 3 beds, respectively ( $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ).
LDL-C LOWERING. At baseline, median LDL-C (quartile 1, quartile 3) was higher in patients with polyvascular disease, with values of $86 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ (73, $103 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) in patients with monovascular
disease, $91 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(76,108 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ in CAD and PAD, $90 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(75,109 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) in CAD and CeVD, and $95 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(80,115 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ in polyvascular disease in 3 beds ( $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ). In the placebo group, LDL-C at 4 months was $87 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(72,106 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ in patients with monovascular disease, $90 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(73,108 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ in only PAD, $90 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(73,115 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) in CeVD only, and $93 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(78,118 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ in polyvascular disease in 3 beds. In patients treated with alirocumab, LDL-C at 4 months was $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(20,47 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$, 34 ( 23 , $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}), 34 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(21,52 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$, and $31 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(20$, $42 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) in the same 4 vascular disease categories.

PRIMARY MACE ENDPOINT AND ALL-CAUSE DEATH. Overall in the ODYSSEY OUTCOMES trial, the incidence of MACE in the placebo and alirocumab groups was $11.1 \%$ and $9.5 \%$, respectively, with a corresponding ARR of $1.6 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: $0.7 \%$ to $2.4 \%$; $\mathrm{p}=0.0003$ ) (14). The Central Illustration and Online Figure 1 show that this overall efficacy reflects a

TABLE 2 Primary MACE Endpoint and All-Cause Death by History of PAD or CeVD Category

|  | Alirocumab | Placebo | HR* ${ }^{\text {( } 95 \% ~ C I) ~}$ | HR Interaction p Value* | ARR (95\% CI) | ARR Interaction p Value* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary composite |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monovascular disease <br> (CAD without PAD or CeVD) | 740/8,683 (8.5) | 866/8,687 (10.0) | 0.85 (0.77 to 0.93) |  | 1.4 (0.6 to 2.3) |  |
| Disease in 2 vascular beds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAD and PAD | 69/302 (22.8) | 73/308 (23.7) | 0.93 (0.67 to 1.30) | 0.40 | 0.9 (-5.9 to 7.6) | 0.0006 |
| CAD and CeVD | 75/406 (18.5) | 82/389 (21.1) | 0.87 (0.63 to 1.19) |  | 2.6 (-2.9 to 8.2) |  |
| Disease in 3 vascular beds (CAD, PAD, and CeVD) | 19/71 (26.8) | 31/78 (39.7) | 0.64 (0.35 to 1.12) |  | 13.0 (-2.0 to 28.0) |  |
| All patients | 903/9,462 (9.5) | 1,052/9,462 (11.1) | 0.85 (0.78 to 0.93) |  | 1.6 (0.7 to 2.4) |  |
| All-cause death |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monovascular disease <br> (CAD without PAD or CeVD) | 268/8,683 (3.1) | 305/8,687 (3.5) | 0.88 (0.75 to 1.04) |  | 0.4 (-0.1 to 1.0) |  |
| Disease in 2 vascular beds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAD and PAD | 28/302 (9.3) | 27/308 (8.8) | 1.03 (0.60 to 1.75) | 0.06 | -0.5 (-5.1 to 4.0) | 0.002 |
| CAD and CeVD | 34/406 (8.4) | 43/389 (11.1) | 0.68 (0.44 to 1.08) |  | 2.7 (1.4 to 6.8) |  |
| Disease in 3 vascular beds (CAD, PAD, and CeVD) | 4/71 (5.6) | 17/78 (21.8) | 0.23 (0.08 to 0.68) |  | 16.2 (5.5 to 26.8) |  |
| All patients | 334/9,462 (3.5) | 392/9,462 (4.1) | 0.85 (0.77 to 0.98) |  | 0.6 (0.1 to 1.2) |  |

Values are $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ (\%) unless otherwise indicated. *HRs reflect stratification by geographic region in models with interaction between treatment and the 3 disease bed subgroups (monovascular disease, disease in 2 beds, and disease in 3 beds).
$A R R=$ absolute risk reduction; CAD = coronary artery disease; $\mathrm{Cl}=$ confidence interval; $\mathrm{HR}=$ hazard ratio; MACE = major adverse cardiovascular event; other abbreviations as in Table 1 .
gradient of absolute risk and ARR according to the number of diseased vascular beds. For patients in the placebo group with 1, 2, or 3 diseased vascular beds, the incidence of MACEs was $10.0 \%, 22.2 \%$, and $39.7 \%$, respectively. The corresponding ARR with alirocumab was $1.4 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: $0.6 \%$ to $2.3 \%$ ), $1.9 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: $-2.4 \%$
to $6.2 \%$ ), and $13.0 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: $-2.0 \%$ to $28.0 \%$ ), with an interaction $\mathrm{p}=0.0006$.

For all-cause death in ODYSSEY OUTCOMES, the overall incidence of death in the placebo and alirocumab groups was $4.1 \%$ and $3.5 \%$, respectively, with a corresponding ARR of 0.6\% (95\% CI: $0.2 \%$ to 1.2\%) (14).

| TABLE 3 Safety Endpoints |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Monovascular Disease |  | Disease in 2 Vascular Beds |  |  |  | Disease in 3 Vascular Beds |  |
|  | CAD Without PAD or CeVD |  | CAD and PAD |  | CAD and CeVD |  | CAD, PAD, and CeVD |  |
|  | Alirocumab $(\mathrm{n}=8,672)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Placebo } \\ (\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{8 , 6 6 8}) \end{gathered}$ | Alirocumab $\mathbf{( n}=\mathbf{3 0 2})$ | Placebo $(n=308)$ | Alirocumab $(n=406)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Placebo } \\ (\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{3 8 9}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Alirocumab $(\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{7 1})$ | Placebo $(\mathrm{n}=78)$ |
| Any adverse event | 6,532 (75.3) | 6,619 (76.4) | 250 (82.8) | 262 (85.1) | 321 (79.1) | 328 (84.3) | 62 (87.3) | 73 (93.6) |
| Serious adverse event | 1,905 (22.0) | 2,012 (23.2) | 124 (41.1) | 142 (46.1) | 136 (33.5) | 151 (38.8) | 37 (52.1) | 45 (57.7) |
| Adverse event that led to death | 143 (1.6) | 175 (2.0) | 15 (5.0) | 15 (4.9) | 22 (5.4) | 24 (6.2) | 1 (1.4) | 8 (10.3) |
| Adverse event that led to treatment discontinuation | 298 (3.4) | 285 (3.3) | 21 (7.0) | 15 (4.9) | 19 (4.7) | 18 (4.6) | 5 (7.0) | 6 (7.7) |
| Local injection-site reaction | 339 (3.9) | 185 (2.1) | 8 (2.6) | 4 (1.3) | 9 (2.2) | 11 (2.8) | 4 (5.6) | 3 (3.8) |
| General allergic reaction | 670 (7.7) | 643 (7.4) | 31 (10.3) | 38 (12.3) | 41 (10.1) | 45 (11.6) | 6 (8.5) | 10 (12.8) |
| Diabetes worsening or diabetic complication in patients with diabetes at baseline | 444/2,369 (18.7) | 521/2,427 (21.5) | 23/99 (2.3) | 28/126 (22.2) | 29/188 (15.4) | 32/161 (19.9) | 10/32 (31.3) | 2/33 (6.1) |
| New-onset diabetes among patients without diabetes at baseline* | 595/6,303 (9.4) | 617/6,241 (9.9) | 26/203 (12.8) | 22/182 (12.1) | 24/218 (11.0) | 32/228 (14.0) | 3/39 (7.7) | 5/45 (11.1) |
| Neurocognitive disorder | 120 (1.4) | 143 (1.6) | 6 (2.0) | 10 (3.2) | 9 (2.2) | 10 (2.6) | 8 (11.3) | 4 (5.1) |
| Hepatic disorder | 450 (5.2) | 493 (5.7) | 19 (6.3) | 18 (5.8) | 24 (5.9) | 21 (5.4) | 7 (9.9) | 2 (2.6) |
| Cataracts | 99 (1.1) | 117 (1.3) | 8 (2.6) | 9 (2.9) | 10 (2.5) | 5 (1.3) | 3 (4.2) | 3 (3.8) |
| Hemorrhagic stroke, adjudicated (fatal and nonfatal) | 10 (0.1) | 13 (0.1) | 1 (0.3) | 1 (0.3) | 2 (0.5) | 3 (0.8) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Values are $\mathrm{n}(\%)$ or $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}(\%)$. *New-onset diabetes was defined according to the presence of 1 or more of the following, with confirmation of the diagnosis by blinded external review by experts in the field of diabetes: an adverse event report, a new prescription for diabetes medication, a glycated hemoglobin level of $\geq 6.5 \%$ on 2 occasions (and a baseline level of $<6.5 \%$ ), or a fasting serum glucose level of $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(7.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l})$ on 2 occasions (and a baseline level of $<126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abbreviations as in Table 1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Similar to MACEs, there was a gradient of absolute risk and ARR with alirocumab. In the placebo group, the incidence of death in patients with 1,2 , or 3 diseased vascular beds was $3.5 \%, 10.0 \%$, and $21.8 \%$, respectively. With alirocumab, the corresponding ARR was $0.4 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $-0.1 \%$ to $1.0 \%$ ), $1.3 \%$ (95\% CI: $-1.8 \%$ to $4.3 \%$ ), and $16.2 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: $5.5 \%$ to $26.8 \%$ ), with an interaction $p=0.002$.

Details of the primary MACE endpoint and allcause death are shown in Table 2, including the total number of events with corresponding hazard ratio and ARR of alirocumab versus placebo for primary endpoint and all-cause death for patients with monovascular disease, polyvascular disease in 2 or 3 beds, polyvascular disease in 2 beds (split by PAD or CeVD), and polyvascular disease in 3 beds. Online Tables 1 and 2 show these details for both sensitivity analyses (monovascular vs. polyvascular and the 4 overlapping vascular groups on the basis of PAD or CeVD).

SAFETY OUTCOMES. Overall, there were no differences in the incidence of adverse events or laboratory abnormalities between alirocumab and placebo groups, with the exception of local injection-site reactions, which occurred more often in the alirocumab group (14). Table 3 shows all safety endpoints for alirocumab versus placebo for patients with monovascular disease, polyvascular disease in 2 beds (categorized as PAD or CeVD), and polyvascular disease in 3 beds. No major differences were observed among the groups.

## DISCUSSION

In patients with recent ACS and dyslipidemia despite intensive statin therapy and high rates of guidelinedirected medical therapy, polyvascular disease is associated with high risks of MACEs and death. The large absolute reductions in both MACEs and death with alirocumab therapy are a potential benefit for this group of patients.

This analysis of ODYSSEY OUTCOMES defines easily identifiable subsets of patients with ACS with high absolute risk and marked absolute benefit of PCSK9 inhibition with alirocumab. Identification of patient subsets likely to derive large absolute benefit is important $(15,16)$.

Increasing risks of MACEs and death in patients with an increasing number of affected vascular beds have been described previously in large cohorts such as the REACH (Reduction of Atherothrombosis for Continued Health), CRUSADE (Can Rapid Risk

Stratification of Unstable Angina Patients Suppress Adverse Outcomes with Early Implementation of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Guidelines), and the American Heart Association Get With the Guidelines registries ( $2,5,17$ ), but they remain a therapeutic challenge. It is likely that the elevated cardiovascular risk associated with polyvascular disease is the result in part of clustering of risk factors known to affect prognosis, including older age and more frequent history of hypertension, diabetes, prior myocardial infarction, coronary artery bypass surgery, and chronic kidney disease, as was observed in the present analysis. Dyslipidemia, including higher levels of LDL-C and lipoprotein(a), was also more pronounced in patients with polyvascular disease than in patients with monovascular (coronary) disease (Table 1). Studies have shown that high-intensity compared with low- to moderateintensity statin therapy reduces MACEs and death in patients with polyvascular disease, including trials with ACS, but also PAD and CeVD $(7,18,19)$. Our findings reinforce and extend this concept with reduction of LDL-C to less than levels achievable with statins by using alirocumab. Although alirocumab produced a similar degree of LDL-C lowering in each vascular category, a particularly pronounced absolute reduction of MACEs and death was observed in patients with ACS and concurrent disease in other vascular beds. Similar conclusions regarding MACEs were drawn from an analysis of the FOURIER trial, using the PCSK9 inhibitor evolocumab added to statin in patients with stable, established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (12). In that analysis evolocumab reduced the risk of cardiovascularrelated events in patients with PAD. Of note, because of trial selection criteria, patients with PAD comprised $13.2 \%$ of the FOURIER cohort, compared with 3.2\% in ODYSSEY OUTCOMES (12). However, some patients in FOURIER with PAD or CeVD had monovascular disease in those territories. Conversely, because qualification for ODYSSEY OUTCOMES required ACS, all patients with PAD or CeVD had disease in at least 2 vascular beds
study limitations. a substantial fraction of the patients categorized as having monovascular (coronary) disease may have had undetected PAD or CeVD, given that they were not systematically evaluated for those conditions at baseline. However, the classification used in the present analysis is representative of daily clinical practice and decision making because patients with ACS are not routinely screened for polyvascular disease (20).

## CONCLUSIONS

The present findings indicate that patients with polyvascular disease comprise an easily identifiable subgroup of patients with recent ACS with a high absolute risk of MACEs and death. The large absolute benefit of PCSK9 inhibition with alirocumab, when added to high-intensity statin therapy, is a potential benefit for this group of patients. However, further studies are needed to guide the selection of patients with ACS for treatment with a PCSK9 inhibitor in the context of other established and evolving therapies in atherosclerosis, so that efficacy and efficiency are optimized (21-23).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS The authors thank the patients, study coordinators, and investigators who participated in this trial. Sophie Rushton-Smith (MedLink Healthcare Communications, London) provided editorial assistance in the preparation of the manuscript (limited to editing for style, referencing, and figure and table editing) and was funded by

Fondation Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr. J. Wouter Jukema, Department of Cardiology, Leiden University Medical Center, PO Box 9600, 2300 RC Leiden, the Netherlands. E-mail: j.w.jukema@lumc.nl. Twitter: @gabrielsteg.

## PERSPECTIVES

COMPETENCY IN PATIENT CARE AND PROCEDURAL
SKILLS: Patients with polyvascular disease and ACS gain considerable absolute benefit from PCSK9 inhibition with alirocumab.

TRANSLATIONAL OUTLOOK: Further studies are needed to identify other subgroups of patients with atherosclerosis who stand to gain substantial benefit from PCSK9 inhibition.
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