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#### Abstract

Ligands for retinoid X receptors (RXRs), "rexinoids", are attracting interest as candidates for therapy of type 2 diabetes, Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases. However, current screening methods for rexinoids are slow and require special apparatus or facilities. Here, we created 7-hydroxy-2-oxo-6-(3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)-2H-chromene-3-carboxylic acid (10, CU-6PMN) as a new fluorescent RXR agonist and developed a screening system of rexinoids using $\mathbf{1 0}$. Compound 10 was designed based on the fact that umbelliferone emits strong fluorescence in a hydrophilic environment, but the fluorescence intensity decreases in hydrophobic environments such as the interior of proteins. The developed assay using 10 enabled screening of rexinoids to be performed easily within a few hours by monitoring changes of fluorescence intensity with widely available fluorescence microplate readers, without the need for processes such as filtration.


## INTRODUCTION

There are 48 types of human nuclear receptors that regulate gene expression in response to binding of small-molecular ligands ${ }^{1,2}$. Among them, retinoid X receptors (RXRs) often act as heterodimers with a variety of other receptors, and ligands targeting RXR (known as rexinoids ${ }^{3}$ ) can control the activities of these RXR heterodimers. Since RXRs are activated by low concentrations of 9-cis retinoic acid (1) ${ }^{4}$ (Figure 1), $\mathbf{1}$ had been considered as an endogenous ligand, though it has not been detected in blood ${ }^{5}$. More recently, 9-cis-13,14-dihydroretinoic acid (2) (Figure 1) has been suggested to be an endogenous ligand of RXR ${ }^{6}$. Many synthetic rexinoids have also been reported. Among them, bexarotene (3) (Figure 1 ) is an activator (agonist) of RXR and is used clinically to treat cutaneous T cell lymphoma (CTCL) ${ }^{7}$. It may also be effective against diabetes ${ }^{3}$, Alzheimer's disease ${ }^{8,9}$, and Parkinson's disease ${ }^{10,11}$. The basis of
these activities is thought to be activation of RXR heterodimers with nuclear receptors such as peroxisome proliferator-responsive receptors (PPARs), liver X receptor (LXR), and nuclear receptor related 1 protein (Nurr1), which are involved in the regulation of sugar and lipid metabolism, inflammation and other processes. The ability of RXR agonists to regulate these RXR heterodimers via their action on RXR alone is known as the permissive mechanism of activation ${ }^{12}$. On the other hand, polyunsaturated fatty acids such as docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 4) (Figure 1) are natural rexinoids ${ }^{13,14}$. They are reported to improve memory and metabolic syndrome ${ }^{15}$, and foods containing them are used as functional foods. Other compounds, including various environmental pollutants, can have adverse effects on nuclear receptors, for example serving as endocrine disruptors ${ }^{16}$. Therefore, there is a great demand for a simple, low-cost assay system that can identify compounds with RXR ligand activity, not only for drug discovery, but also for studies on functional foods and endocrine disruptors.





6: $X=N H, R^{1}=N H_{2}, R^{2}=H$
7: $X=O, R^{1}=O H, R^{2}=H$
8: $X=O, R^{1}=O H, R^{2}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$




Figure 1. Chemical structures of 1-11 and molecular design strategies used here.

Existing rexinoid search technologies are summarized below and in Table 1.

1) Reporter gene assay ${ }^{4}$ : This assay evaluates not only transcriptional activation, but also cell permeability of test compounds, because it employs living cells transfected with reporter plasmid encoding a reporter gene such as luciferase under an RXR response element. However, it requires a long time (3-4 days).
2) Time-resolved fluorescence resonance energy transfer (TR-FRET) ${ }^{17}$ : This assay reveals recruitment of a co-activator peptide by test compounds within a short period. However, a special plate reader is required.
3) Binding assay using a radioisotope-labeled ligand ${ }^{18}$ : This assay can assess the binding affinity of test compounds for RXR with high sensitivity, using a small amount of RXR peptide. However, this method requires a radioactive tracer (such as $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ ), as well as bound/free ( $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{F}$ ) separation. The procedure is complicated and involves radioactivity-related safety issues.
4) Fluorescence quenching method $(\mathrm{FQM})^{19,20}$ : This method is based on the quenching of autofluorescence derived from tryptophan in the ligand-binding site (LBD) of RXR. However, the autofluorescence of tryptophan is weak and the fluorescence wavelengths (Ex/Em 280/337 nm) are outside the range of usual plate readers.

Table 1. Existing Rexinoid Search Technologies

|  | Measurement Principle | Advantages | Disadvantages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporter gene | Evaluation of transcriptional   <br> activation ability of test <br> compounds using cells <br> overexpressing RXRs   | Transcription activation by test compounds can be measured. | A long time is required (3-4 days). |
| Time-resolved fluorescence resonance energy transfer (TRFRET) ${ }^{17}$ | FRET phenomenon between a terbium-labeled RXR and a coactivator labeled with fluorescein | Activation of RXR by test compounds can be measured in a short period. | A special plate reader is required for TR-FRET. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Binding assay } \\ & \text { using } \\ & \text { radiolabelled } \\ & \text { compound } \quad(\mathrm{ex.} \\ & \left.\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}\right)^{18} \end{aligned}$ | Based on competition of test compounds and radio-labeled compound for binding to RXRs | Highly sensitive. <br> Small amount of receptor protein is sufficient. | Bound/free ( $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{F}$ ) <br> separation is <br> necessary, the <br> procedure is <br> complicated, $\&$ <br> safety issues.  |
| Fluorescence quenching | Binding of test compound quenches the autofluorescence of | Measurement can be done just by | Usual plate readers are not |



Other reported RXR ligand screening methods include cell-based RXR assays such as a yeast reporter assay ${ }^{21}$; magnetic microbead affinity selection screening (MagMASS) to find RXR ligands in complex mixtures ${ }^{22,23}$ and virtual screening approaches for RXR ligands ${ }^{24}$. However, cell-based RXR assays require a long time (3-4 days). MagMASS requires HPLC-MS apparatus. As for virtual screening, it is always necessary to experimentally confirm the binding affinity of candidate RXR ligands.

Thus, to overcome the disadvantages of existing methods, we set out to design a fluorescent rexinoid that would be suitable for use in a simple, highly sensitive RXR binding assay. We previously reported the fluorescent RXR agonist 5 (Figure 1), which has a fluorescent carbostyril structure $\mathbf{6}$ in place of the 1,1,4,4-tetramethyltetralin structure of 3 . Compound 5 has been used in a fluorescence polarizationbased assay targeting RXRs. ${ }^{25}$ However, the RXR agonist activity of $\mathbf{5}$ was much weaker than that of $\mathbf{3}$ (the EC $\mathrm{E}_{50}$ values were $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and 20 nM , respectively), and its relatively poor binding ability to RXRs was a significant drawback. Therefore, in this study, we aimed to create a new fluorescent RXR agonist that would be suitable for highly sensitive RXR ligand screening using a standard fluorescence plate reader.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Pohl et al. reported fluorescent retinoids targeting cellular retinoid binding protein II (CRABPII) ${ }^{26,27}$. Compared to CRBPII, less space is available in the ligand-binding site of $\mathrm{RXR}^{25}$, and therefore we considered that the acidic domain of RXR agonists would have to be converted to a fluorophore.

Umbelliferone (7) is a fluorophore that can be detected with a widely available fluorescent filter set (Ex $360 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{Em} 465 \mathrm{~nm})^{28}$. Its derivative 8 with a carboxy group at the 3-position has a 7-hydroxyl group, which exists in OH form in a hydrophobic environment, but as the anion $\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)$in an aqueous environment, resulting in an increase of fluorescence intensity ${ }^{29}$. Thus, if the receptor-bound compound is displaced by a competively binding RXR ligand, an increase of the fluorescence intensity will be observed. With this in mind, we designed and synthesized 10 (Design 1), which has an umbelliferone skeleton, and its regioisomer 11 (Design 2) based on the chemical structure of the RXR agonist CD3254 (9). ${ }^{30}$ Since 9 has a cinnamic acid structure, we anticipated that this structure could be developed towards an umbelliferone structure. Compounds $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ were synthesized according to Schemes 1 and 2.

Scheme 1. ${ }^{a}$
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${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: a) conc. HCl , b) 2-bromotoluene, $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, 78 \%$ for 2 steps. c) 1 ) $n$ BuLi, B(Oi-Pr)3, THF. 2) 2 M HCl, 73\%. d) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$, AcOH, 28\%. e) MOMCl, DIPEA, DMF, 92\%. f) 4,
$\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, EtOH, toluene, $86 \%$. g) $4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 88 \%$. h) Meldrum's acid, piperidine, EtOH, 55\%.

Scheme 2. ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{MOMCl}, \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{q} . \mathrm{y}$. b) 1$) n-\mathrm{BuLi}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2$ ) $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}, 49 \%$ c) $\mathbf{1 4}$, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{DME}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 78 \%$. d) $4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl} / E t O A c, 54 \%$. e) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 39 \%$. f) Meldrum's acid, piperidine, EtOH, 46\%.

In reporter assay, $\mathbf{1 0}$ showed potent RXR activation $\left(E C_{50}=22 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ toward human $\left.\mathrm{RXR} \alpha\right)$, like $\mathbf{3}$ (Figure 2A). In binding assay using human RXR $\alpha$ ligand-binding domain (hRXR $\alpha-L B D$ ), both compounds showed concentration-dependent competition with [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ (Figure 2B). The IC 50 values were calculated from the binding data, and the inhibition constant ( Ki ) of each compound was determined using the Cheng-Prusoff equation. ${ }^{31}$ The Ki values for $\mathbf{3}$ and 10 were 150 nM and 230 nM , respectively. However, the behavior of $\mathbf{1 0}$ was different from that of $\mathbf{3}$, in that $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ was not completely displaced
from the receptor by $\mathbf{1 0}$. In addition, at the lower concentration of $\mathbf{1 0}$, the $\%$ of bound $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ was increased compared to that in the absence of $\mathbf{1 0}$ as a competitor.


Figure 2. Transactivation of human $\mathrm{RXR} \alpha(\mathrm{hRXR} \alpha)$ by 3, 10 and 11 and binding to human $\mathrm{RXR} \alpha$ ligand-binding domain (hRXR $\alpha$-LBD) of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ in the presence of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$. (A) Dose-dependent agonistic activity curves of $\mathbf{3}$ (open circles), $\mathbf{1 0}$ (closed circles) and $\mathbf{1 1}$ (closed diamonds) toward $h R X R \alpha$ in COS-1 cells. The data are shown as relative transactivation activity with respect to $1 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{3}$, taken as 1. Data are mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{n}=3)$. (B) Dose-dependent binding of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ with $h R X R \alpha-\mathrm{LBD}$ in the presence of $\mathbf{3}$ (open circles) or $\mathbf{1 0}$ (closed circles). The data are shown as $\%$ of the value of [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ in the absence of any competitive sample. $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{hRXR} \alpha-\mathrm{LBD}$ were used at concentrations of 10 nM and 50 nM , respectively. Data are mean $\pm$ SD $(\mathrm{n}=3)$.

To reveal the reason for these incongruities, we conducted X-ray structure analysis. The crystal structure of the hRXR $\alpha$-LBD/10 (green) complex was determined at $2.65 \AA$ resolution (Figure 3, Table S1) (PDB code 6JNO). The structure turned out to be tetrameric and the coupling ratio of $\mathbf{1 0}$ to RXR $\alpha-$ LBD was predicted as 4 to 2 based on the electron density map of hRXR $\alpha-L B D / \mathbf{1 0}$ complex. In addition, this confirmed that $\mathbf{1 0}$ binds at the dimer-dimer interface, in contrast to $\mathbf{1}$ (PDB ID: 3OAP), ${ }^{32}$ and this
may be the reason why $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ was not completely displaced from the receptor by $\mathbf{1 0}$. Though ligandbinding at the dimer-dimer interface has already been reported for RXR antagonists rhein (PDB ID: 3R2A), ${ }^{33}$ K8003 (PDB ID: 5TBP), ${ }^{34}$ and K8008 (PDB ID: 4N8R) ${ }^{35}$ (Figure S1), this is the first example of an RXR agonist binding at this location. Since $\mathbf{1 0}$ has RXR agonist activity and showed concentration-dependent competition with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$, it seems likely that $\mathbf{1 0}$ also binds to the ligand-binding pocket (LBP) of the hRXR $\alpha$-LBD, like 3, as well as to the dimer-dimer interface. However, it is still not clear why a low concentration of $\mathbf{1 0}$ promoted the binding of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$. One possibility might be that binding of $\mathbf{1 0}$ to the interface induces some structural change at the LBP.


Figure 3. Structure of the complex of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with the hRXR $\alpha$-LBD. (A) Comparison of ligand-binding modes in hRXR $\alpha-L B D$. The hRXR $\alpha-L B D$ in the complexes with 10 (PDB ID: 6JNO) and $\mathbf{1}$ (PDB ID: $3 O A P)^{32}$ is shown in green and orange, respectively. The binding site of $\mathbf{1 0}$ to the $h R X R \alpha-L B D$ is located at the interface between the two domains of the hRXR $\alpha$-LBD. (B) The 2Fo-Fc electron density map (blue color) was contoured at $0.50 \sigma$. (C) Comparison of ligand-binding modes in hRXR $\alpha$-LBD.

The binding modes of rhein (PDB ID: 3R2A), ${ }^{33}$ K8003 (PDB ID: 5TBP), ${ }^{34}$ K8008 (PDB ID: 4N8R), ${ }^{35}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ are shown in cyan, magenta, yellow and green, respectively.

The fluorescence properties of $\mathbf{1 0}$ were examined. The maximum absorbance of $\mathbf{1 0}$ in 0.1 N aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide was 396 nm , the maximum fluorescence wavelength was 453 nm , and the fluorescence quantum yield was 0.47 (Table 2, Figure S2). In addition, when the fluorescence intensity was measured with fixed excitation and fluorescence wavelengths (Ex $360 \mathrm{~nm} /$ Em 465 nm ) in several solvents with different polarity, the fluorescence intensity of $\mathbf{1 0}$ decreased significantly as the solvent polarity decreased (Figure 4B). This suggests that a decrease in the fluorescence intensity of $\mathbf{1 0}$ can be expected upon binding to RXR, due to the more hydrophobic environment, as anticipated. Thus, the binding ability of $\mathbf{1 0}$ to RXR was determined based on the change in the fluorescence intensity of $\mathbf{1 0}$ upon binding to the hRXR $\alpha$-LBD (Figure 4C). The experimental protocol is described in the supporting information. After sample mixing at room temperature, the measured intensity became stable at 2 hours, so all fluorescent measurements were made under these conditions. The fluorescence intensity of $\mathbf{1 0}$ decreased in a sigmoid manner depending on the concentration of hRXR $\alpha$-LBD (Figure 4C), and a Hill coefficient (n) of 1.9 and dissociation constant (Kd) of 233 nM were obtained (Figure 4D). A Hill coefficient of >1 indicates positive cooperativity, i.e., binding of the first ligand facilitates binding of a second ligand at another site on the dimeric receptor complex. Imai et al. reported similar results for a fluorescent ligand targeting the homodimeric estrogen receptor. ${ }^{36}$ The fact that the Hill coefficient is greater than 1 implies that binding of $\mathbf{1 0}$ to RXRs induces positive cooperativity, i.e., binding of $\mathbf{1 0}$ to RXRs induces further binding of $\mathbf{1 0}$.

Table 2. Absorption and Fluorescence Data of 10 in $0.1 \mathbf{N ~ N a O H}$ and MeOH , respectively

|  | $\varepsilon$ | $\lambda_{\mathrm{Abs}}$ | $\lambda_{\mathrm{Em}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solvent | $[\mathrm{L} /(\mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{cm})]$ | $[\mathrm{nm}]$ |  |  |
| 0.1 N NaOH | $1.78 \times 104$ | 396 | 453 | $0.468 \pm 0.003$ |
| MeOH | $6.71 \times 103$ | 352 | 453 | $0.095 \pm 0.02$ |

$$
\mathrm{n}=3 \text {, mean } \pm \mathrm{SD}
$$



Figure 4. Characterization of 10. Relative absorbance and fluorescence spectra of $\mathbf{1 0}$ in 0.1 N NaOH .
(A) Fluorescence spectra were obtained at $\mathrm{Ex} / \mathrm{Em}=396 \mathrm{~nm} / 453 \mathrm{~nm}$. (B) Relative fluorescent intensity
data of 100 nM 10 in various solvents containing 1\% DMSO (Ex/Em = $360 \mathrm{~nm} / 465 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). (C and D) Specific binding curve (C) and Hill plot (D) of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with hRXR $\alpha-L B D$. "Rf" is the concentration of free receptor. Final concentration of $\mathbf{1 0}$ was 300 nM in assay buffer containing 10 mM Hepes, 150 mM NaCl , $2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,5 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT (pH7.9). DMSO final concentration was $1 \%$. Ex/Em=360 nm/465nm. Data are mean $\pm$ SD $(n=4)$.

Next, the affinity of test compounds for RXR was determined using 10 and hRXR $\alpha$-LBD in a 384well plate. To each well (4 wells per sample), $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of hRXR $\alpha-\mathrm{LBD}$ at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (final concentration 0.5 $\mu \mathrm{M}), 5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the sample at 4 times higher concentration than the final concentration, and $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 400 nM 10 (final concentration 100 nM ) were added. The mixture was incubated for 2 hours at room temperature and the fluorescence intensity was measured. This incubation time was chosen based on evaluation of the Z'-factor at incubation times of $0.5,1,2,3$ hours for 1,10 and hRXR $\alpha$-LBD (Figure S3). The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ was calculated from the fluorescence intensity data, and the Ki of each compound was determined using the Cheng-Prusoff equation. ${ }^{31}$ The experimental protocols and data analysis methods are described in the supporting information. The Ki values are shown in Table S2. Figure 5A compares the results of radioisotope (RI) experiments using $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ and the assay using $\mathbf{1 0}$ to measure the binding ability of known rexinoids to hRXR $\alpha$-LBD (Figures S4 and S5). Because of limited availability, we used commercially available $\mathrm{hRXR} \alpha-\mathrm{LBD}$ for the RI experiment, and our prepared protein for the binding assay using compound $\mathbf{1 0}$. The correlation coefficient between Ki values determined by the two methods was about 0.7 , despite the difference in $\mathrm{hRXR} \alpha-\mathrm{LBD}$ preparation used. However, rexinoids that gave small Ki values in RI, such as NEt-3IB (Figure S4), gave Ki values one order of magnitude higher as determined in the assay using 10. The reason for this is not clear, but might be related to failure of $\mathbf{1 0}$ to completely displace $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$, observed in the RI experiments. Because polyunsaturated fatty acids are known to function as rexinoids ${ }^{13,14}$, their binding ability to RXR was also examined using the developed method (Figure 5B and Table S3). A higher concentration of DHA (4) was required for
binding to RXR, compared to bexarotene (3), while no binding of palmitic acid was observed, in accordance with previous reports. ${ }^{37}$ Interestingly, our system revealed the binding affinity of eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), which has not reported before. We also evaluated some readily available RXR antagonists using this method and confirmed the order of binding affinity (Figures S6 and S7, and Table S3). Thus, our system provides simple and sensitive methodology with readily available equipment for the initial screening of RXR ligands, though the agonistic or antagonistic activity of hits would need to be separately examined.



Figure 5. Competitive binding assay using 10. (A) Correlation of hRXR-LBD binding affinity values obtained with $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$. The Ki values obtained with $\mathbf{1 0}$ and those obtained with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ are plotted on the X and Y axes, respectively. (B) Relative fluorescence intensity curves of test compounds in the assay using 10. These data were calculated by subtraction of the fluorescence values for the hRXR $\alpha$ LBD with the test compound in the absence of $\mathbf{1 0}$ from those in the presence of $\mathbf{1 0}$. The $y$-axis is shown as the relative value to $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{3}$, taken as 1 (positive control). This assay was performed in a 384 -well plate (4 wells/sample). Final concentrations of 10 and hRXR $\alpha$-LBD were 100 nM and 500 nM , respectively. Each compound except for 3 was added at $0.20,0.39,0.78,1.56,3.13,6.25,12.5,25,50$ and $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (final concentration). Assay buffer contained 10 mM Hepes, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$, 5 mM DTT (pH 7.9). DMSO final concentration was 1\%. Ex $360 \mathrm{~nm} / E m 465 \mathrm{~nm}$. Data are mean $\pm$ SD ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ).

## CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we designed and synthesized an umbelliferone derivative $\mathbf{1 0}$ as a new fluorescent rexinoid, and applied it to develop a fluorescence-based RXR-binding assay system for screening rexinoids. This assay system is simple, sensitive, and can be performed in a few hours with widely available fluorescence microplate readers, without the need for processes such as filtration. Though there remains an issue concerning the correlation of this assay system with RI experiments, this assay is expected to be useful for simple, rapid, low-cost screening studies. Hit compounds can then be followed up by assessing transcriptional activity by means of reporter gene assay. We believe it will be useful not only for identifying RXR binders in drug discovery studies, but also for studies of functional foods and endocrine disruptors, though it should be noted that fluorescence-based assays often suffer from interference when used to screen natural products. A similar strategy may also be applicable to other receptors.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## Chemistry.

General. All solvents and chemicals were used as purchased without further purification. The progress of all reactions was monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) on 0.2 mm thick TLC plates (Merck, glass-backed, silica gel 60 F245), and spots were detected under UV light. Silica gel 60 (Kanto Chemical, particle size $0.04-0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was used for purification by flash column chromatography. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were measured on a Varian Mercury-300 ( $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 300 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrometer or a Varian VXR-400 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) spectrometer at room temperature. Deuterated chloroform and dimethyl sulfoxide $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ and DMSO-d6) were used as solvents in all routine NMR measurements. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to the respective deuterated solvent peak, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(\delta 7.26 \mathrm{ppm})$, DMSO-d6 ( $\delta 2.50 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(\delta 77 \mathrm{ppm})$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and coupling constants are given in Hz. FAB-MS spectra were measured on a JEOL JMS-700 mass spectrometer. Electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectra were measured on a Bruker MicrOTOF. Melting points were determined with a J-Science RFS-10 hot stage microscope. Elemental analysis was carried out with a Perkin Elmer 2400 Series II CHNS/O Elemental Analyzer and results were within $\pm$ $0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values. The purity of all tested compounds was $>95 \%$, as confirmed by HPLC.

Preparative HPLC. Preparative HPLC was performed using a Shimadzu liquid chromatographic system (Kyoto, Japan) consisting of an LC-10AS pump, SPD-10AV UV-vis spectrophotometric detector, CTO-10AS column oven and C-R5A Chromatopac. The samples ( 2 mL ) were injected and the chromatographic analyses were carried out on an YMC Pack ODS-AM (10 mm i.d. $\times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, YMC Co., Ltd.) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(85 / 15+0.1 \%$ formic acid, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ as the mobile phase. The flow rate was $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ and the absorbance at 260 nm was monitored. All the solvents were of analytical grade and were used directly without purification.

Analytical HPLC. Analytical HPLC was performed using a Shimadzu liquid chromatographic system (Kyoto, Japan) consisting of an LC-10AD pump, SPD-10AV UV-vis spectrophotometric detector, CTO-10AS column oven and C-R5A Chromatopac. The samples (each $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were injected onto an Inertsil ODS-3 (4.6 i.d. $\times 100 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, GL Sciences, Tokyo, Japan) fitted with a guard column of Inertsil ODS-3 (4.0 mm i.d. $\times 10 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, GL Sciences) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(85 / 15+0.1 \%$ formic acid, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as the mobile phase. The flow rate was $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ and the absorbance at 260 nm was monitored.

## 7-Hydroxy-2-oxo-6-(3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)-2H-chromene-3-

carboxylic acid (10, CU-6PMN). To a solution of 20 ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and Meldrum's acid ( 17 mg , 0.12 mmol ) in ethanol ( 1 mL ) was added piperidine ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 h , then poured into water ( 30 mL ), acidified with sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ aq and extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was washed with water $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 50 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated in a rotary evaporator. The residue was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ to yield 27 mg of $\mathbf{1 0}$ as a yellow particulate solid (55\%). Mp: 229.6-230.1 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (300 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta: 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 165.07,163.81,161.22,156.61$, 151.86, 147.10, 144.34, 134.33, 132.46, 130.14, 129.57, 129.03, 128.88, 112.70, 111.17, 103.66, 51.30, 45.42, 35.29, 35.26, 34.62, 34.46, 32.30, 32.17, 19.78. FAB-MS m/z: 407 [M + H] ${ }^{+}$. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{-}$m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ 405.1707; Found 405.1704. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot 1 / 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 73.06$; H, 6.50. Found: C, 72.69; H, 6.13. Analytical HPLC: $9.8 \mathrm{~min} ;>95 \%$ purity.

## 7-Hydroxy-2-oxo-8-(3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)-2H-chromene-3-

carboxylic acid (11). To a solution of $26(18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.053 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Meldrum's acid ( $8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.053$ mmol ) in ethanol ( 1 mL ) was added piperidine $(50 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 h , then poured into water ( 30 mL ). The mixture was acidified with 2 M HCl aq and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times$ $50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with water $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 50 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$,
and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated in a rotary evaporator. The residue was chromatographed $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{EtOAc} / n$-hexane $=1 / 3, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield 20 mg of a yellow solid, which was further purified by preparative HPLC $\left(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=85 / 15+0.1 \%\right.$ formic acid, $\left.\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)$. The eluate was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford 10 mg of $\mathbf{1 1}$ as a pale-yellow solid (46\%). Mp: 221.7-223.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 164.67$, 163.34, 160.63, 155.83, 151.80, 146.97, 144.00, 134.72, 131.23, 129.55, 128.54, 124.10, 116.64, 114.99, $112.47,110.44,34.92,34.83,34.23,34.05,32.02,31.81,31.76,31.70,19.31$. FAB-MS m/z: $407[M+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI') m/z: $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ 405.1707; Found 405.1704. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot 3 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 69.26 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.76$. Found: C, 69.65 ; H, 6.79. Analytical HPLC: $8.8 \mathrm{~min} ;>97 \%$ purity.

6-Bromo-1,1,4,4,7-pentamethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene (14). This compound was prepared according to references 38 and 39. 2,5-Dimethyl-2,5-hexanediol (12, $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 13.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in conc. $\mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 4 h . The mixture was filtered. The filtrate was washed with water and dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic solution was washed with water ( 50 mL ). The resulting solution was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated using a rotary evaporator to afford 13. Then $\mathbf{1 3}$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ again, and 2-bromotoluene (3.3 $\mathrm{mL}, 27 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the solution. $\mathrm{Next}, \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(170 \mathrm{mg})$ was slowly added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar . The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 h , and then diluted with $n$-hexane ( 150 mL ). The organic layer was collected, washed with water $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 50 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated in a rotary evaporator, and the residue was recrystallized from methanol to yield 3.0 g of $\mathbf{1 4}$ as yellow needles ( $78 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 144.60,144.06$, 134.57, 130.14, 128.89, 122.12, 34.91, 34.87, 34.00, 33.91, 31.76, 31.72, 22.53.
(3,5,5,8,8-Pentamethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)boronic acid (15). This compound was prepared according to reference 39. A solution of 1.6 M n -BuLi in $n$-hexane ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was
slowly added to a solution of $\mathbf{1 4}(560 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 6 m ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an Ar atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min and then a solution of triisopropyl borate $(1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 6$ mmol ) in THF ( 0.5 mL ) was added to it. Stirring was continued at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was poured into 2 M HCl aq ( 10 mL ) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and diluted with EtOAc ( 150 mL ). The organic layer was collected, washed with water $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and finally with brine ( 50 mL ). The resulting solution was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated using a rotary evaporator. The residue was chromatographed using ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{n}$-hexane $=1 / 5$, v/v) to yield 360 mg of 15 as a yellow oil (73\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), 1.72 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 149.42,142.89,141.49$, 136.34, 128.59, 35.06, 35.03, 34.37, 33.82, 31.87, 31.56, 22.70.

5-Bromo-2,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde (17). This compound was prepared according to reference 40. To a solution of 2,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde ( $16,3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetic acid ( 24 mL ) was slowly added bromine ( $1.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 h , then poured into water ( 35 mL ), and the whole was filtered. The crude product was chromatographed $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{EtOAc} / n$-hexane $=1 / 4, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and recrystallized from EtOAc/n-hexane to yield 1.46 g of $\mathbf{1 7}$ as pale brown needles (28\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 11.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.70(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63$ (s, 1H), $6.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

5-Bromo-2,4-bis(methoxymethoxy)benzaldehyde (18). DIPEA ( $520 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and chloromethyl methyl ether ( $324 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a solution of $17(220 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 3 m ) at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an Ar atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 27 h , then poured into sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ aq ( 60 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was collected, washed with water ( $2 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and brine $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated in a rotary evaporator. The residue was chromatographed $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} / n\right.$-hexane $\left.=1 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)$ to yield 280 mg of 18 as a white solid (92\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 10.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 187.01,160.24$, 159.10, 132.36, 120.61, 105.39, 101.92, 94.75, 56.59, 56.52.

## 2,4-Bis(methoxymethoxy)-5-(3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-

yl)benzaldehyde (19). To a solution of $\mathbf{1 5}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $18(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 2 mL ) and ethanol ( 1 mL ) were added tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ aq $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h , then diluted with EtOAc ( 150 mL ). The organic layer was collected, washed with sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ aq ( 100 mL ), water ( 100 mL ) and brine ( 100 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated in a rotary evaporator. The residue was chromatographed $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} / n\right.$-hexane $\left.=1 / 6, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)$ to yield 180 mg of 19 as a colorless oil (86\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 10.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 188.31,160.69,160.47,143.81,141.76,134.10,133.38,131.20,128.19$, 127.41, 126.24, 119.63, 101.02, 94.80, 94.52, 56.55, 56.36, 35.09, 33.87, 33.79, 31.84, 31.81, 31.49, 22.56, 19.66, 14.10.

2,4-Dihydroxy-5-(3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)benzaldehyde (20). To a solution of $19(171 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOAc}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl} / E t O A c(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h and evaporated in a rotary evaporator. The residue was chromatographed $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} / n\right.$-hexane $\left.=1 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)$ to yield 117 mg of 20 as a yellow solid $(88 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 11.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.56(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $5.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.11(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.71(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.32(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.27(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta:$ 194.45, 163.51, 160.93, 145.77, 143.47, 135.99, 134.27, 130.69, 128.80, 128.77, 121.74, 115.19, 102.82, 60.53, 34.91, 34.05, 33.94, 31.84, 31.72, 21.02, 19.38, 14.10.

1,3-Bis(methoxymethoxy)benzene (22). This compound was prepared according to reference 41 . To a suspension of $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(920 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 10 mL ) was added a solution of resorcinol (21, $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 10 mL ). The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an Ar atmosphere for 15 min ,
then chloromethyl methyl ether ( $1.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and stirring was continued at room temperature for 27 h . The reaction mixture was poured into ice-cold water ( 50 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was collected, washed with water ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and brine ( 50 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated in a rotary evaporator to yield 1.98 g of 22 as a clear oil (q.y.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.19(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.2$ Hz ), $6.70(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 158.17$, 129.70, 109.31, 104.67, 94.12, 55.66.
(2,6-Bis(methoxymethoxy)phenyl)boronic acid (23). This compound was prepared according to reference 41 . To a solution of $22(297 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added 1.6 M n - BuLi in $n$-hexane solution ( $1.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an Ar atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h , then trimethyl borate ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and stirring was continued at room temperature for 1 h . The reaction mixture was poured into 2 M HCl aq ( 10 mL ). The whole was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The mixture was filtered, washed with water, and dried under reduced pressure to yield 177 mg of 23 as a pale-yellow solid (49\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.36(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.30(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 163.02,133.00,108.23,94.78,56.58$.

6-(2,6-Bis(methoxymethoxy)phenyl)-1,1,4,4,7-pentamethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene (24). To a solution of $13(113 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $23(145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DME ( 4 mL ) were added tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(255 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.3$ mL ). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 30 min , then poured into water ( 30 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was collected, washed with water $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 50 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated in a rotary evaporator. The residue was chromatographed $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} / n\right.$-hexane $\left.=1 / 30, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)$ to yield 124 mg of $\mathbf{2 4}$ as a white solid $(78 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.24(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.04(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
$1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 155.50,143.21,141.10,133.93,131.01$, 129.93, 128.86, 128.34, 127.09, 122.91, 109.69, 109.59, 94.93, 94.43, 55.88, 35.33, 35.26, 34.92, 34.89, 33.93, 33.82, 31.93, 31.90, 31.76, 19.55.

2-(3,5,5,8,8-Pentamethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)benzene-1,3-diol (25). To a solution of $24(108 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added $4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl} / E t O A c(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h , then poured into water ( 30 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( 30 mL ). The organic layer was collected, washed with water ( 30 mL ) and brine ( 30 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated in a rotary evaporator. The residue was chromatographed using $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} / n-\right.$ hexane $=1 / 8, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield 45 mg of 25 as a pale-yellow solid $(54 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ : $7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 153.48,146.69,144.66,144.42$, $135.90,130.16,129.63,129.53,129.35,128.91,125.92,114.76,107.13,34.95,34.89,34.19,34.07$, 31.88, 31.75, 31.70, 19.05.

2,4-Dihydroxy-3-(3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)benzaldehyde (26).
$\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added to DMF $(350 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 h , and then a solution of $25(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.128 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 1.5 mL ) was added to it, and stirring was continued at room temperature for 48 h . The reaction mixture was poured into ice-cold water ( 30 mL ), adjusted to pH 3 with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ aq., and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with water ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and brine ( 50 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated in a rotary evaporator. The residue was chromatographed $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} / n\right.$-hexane $\left.=1 / 8, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)$ to yield 17 mg of 26 as a pale-yellow solid (39\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 11.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}$, 4 H ), 1.33 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 194.69,161.13,160.63$, 146.03, 143.59, 135.27, 134.89, 129.18, 128.68, 125.87, 115.72, 115.10, 108.20, 35.01, $34.95,34.14$, 32.07, 31.85, 31.73, 31.69, 19.20, 14.18.

## In vitro assays

Reporter gene assay. Luciferase reporter gene assays using COS-1 cells were performed according to reference 42. Briefly, COS-1 cells were transfected with three kinds of vectors consisting of human RXR receptor alpha, a luciferase reporter gene under the control of the appropriate RXR response element (CRBPII-tk-Luc), and secreted alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) gene as a background. Test compound solutions (DMSO concentration: 1\%) were added to a suspension of transfected cells seeded at about $2.0 \times 10^{4}$ cells/well in 96-well white plates. After incubation in a humidified atmosphere of $5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $24 \mathrm{~h}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the medium was used for analysis of SEAP activity, and the remaining cells were used for luciferase reporter gene assays with a Steady-Glo Luciferase Assay system (Promega) according to the supplier's protocol. The luciferase activities were normalized using the SEAP activities. The assays were carried out three times in triplicate.

Ligand binding assay using radioligand. Competitive binding assay using 9-cis-[11, 12- $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-retinoic acid ( $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ ) was performed as described in references 43 and 44 with some modifications. $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$, NET1151, 1.11-2.22 TBq/mmol (30-60 Ci/mmol) 7.4 MBq/mL ( $0.2 \mathrm{mCi} / \mathrm{mL}$ )) was purchased from PerkinElmer (USA). hRXR $\alpha$-LBD (NR2B1, Catalog No: 31135) was purchased from Active Motif, Inc (USA). Dextran-coated charcoal (DCC) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Assay buffer contained 20 mM Tris- HCl (pH 7.5), $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), $10 \%$ glycerol. hRXR $\alpha$-LBD, 3, and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ were diluted as required with the assay buffer described above.

Kd determination of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathbf{H}\right]$. To a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube were added $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of serial dilutions of hRXR $\alpha-\operatorname{LBD}(3,000 \mathrm{nM}$ to 3 nM , common ratio 3, 12 final concentration levels), $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $2 \%$ DMSO assay buffer or $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ bexarotene (final concentration $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), and $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 40 nM [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ (final concentration was 10 nM ). Three samples per test condition were used. The mixture was incubated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ bound to hRXR $\alpha-$ LBD was separated from free $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ by adding $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ice-cold $0.4 \%$ DCC suspension to each sample. The samples were placed on ice for 1 min and the reaction mixture was centrifuged at $12,000 \mathrm{rpm}(8,000 \times \mathrm{g})$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . Fifty microliters of each supernatant
was poured into a scintillation vial containing 3 mL scintillation cocktail and bound radioactivity was determined by liquid scintillation counting of the solution. The specific equilibrium binding constant $(\mathrm{Kd})$ was derived from the specific binding curve by fitting the data into a sigmoid equation using Excel software. The $K d$ value of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ was obtained as 37 nM . The concentration of hRXR $\alpha$-LBD was 50 nM .

IC $_{50}$ determination of test compounds using $\left[{ }^{3} \mathbf{H}\right] 1$. To a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube were added $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $100 \mathrm{nM} \mathrm{hRXR} \alpha$-LBD, $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of serial dilutions of test compounds ( $10,000 \mathrm{nM}$ to 1 nM , common ratio 3, 9 final concentration levels) in assay buffer containing 8\% DMSO, and $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $40 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ (final concentration 10 nM ). Three samples per test condition were used. The mixture was incubated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, and bound radioligand was separated from free as described above. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ was derived from the binding curve by fitting the data to a sigmoid equation using Excel software. The inhibition constant (Ki) value was calculated as described below.

Ki calculation from IC50. The inhibition constant (Ki) value of each test compound was calculated by using equation $1 .{ }^{31}$

$$
\text { Ki = IC } \mathrm{E}_{0} /(1+[\mathrm{L}] / K \mathrm{~d}) \text {-------- (1) }
$$

where $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ is the concentration of test compound that inhibits $50 \%$ of binding, [ L ] is the concentration of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{1 0}$ used, and $K d$ is the $K d$ value of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{1 0}$.
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Binding assay for RXR ligands
> General fluorescent plate reader (Ex 360/Em 465)
$>$ Within 3 hours (including sample preparation)
$>$ No Bound/Free separation

