Raft-forming Polysaccharides for the Treatment of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GORD): Systematic Review

Maria Yousaf, Jorabar Singh Nirwan, Alan M. Smith, Peter Timmins,

Barbara R. Conway, Muhammad Usman Ghori *

Department of Pharmacy, School of Applied Science, University of Huddersfield, UK, HD13DH

* Corresponding Author:

Dr Muhammad Usman Ghori

Email address: m.ghori@hud.ac.uk

Tel: +44 (0) 1484 473295

For submission to Applied Polymer Science

Graphical Abstract:



Highlights:

- 1- A systematic review of the use of polymers as raft-forming agents was conducted.
- 2- A large number of included studies primarily reflect the use of alginate and pectin for fabricating anti-reflux raft formulations.
- 3- Fewer studies have reported the use of pectin, xanthan gum and raw psyllium fibres (husk).
- 4- It highlighted the importance of using different active and inactive materials, controlling different formulation factors and characterisation of raft forming formulations.



Ms. Maria Yousaf Pharm.D

Master by Research Student

Ms. Maria Yousaf is currently pursuing her MRes degree in Pharmaceutical Sciences at University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield, United Kingdom. She received her Pharm.D degree from University College of Pharmacy, Punjab University, Lahore, Pakistan. Her research interests include development of novel formulations using natural polymers for the treatment of gastric disorders.



Mr. Jorabar Singh Nirwan BSc, MSc

PhD Research Student

Mr Jorabar Singh Nirwan is currently pursuing his PhD in Pharmaceutical Sciences at the University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield, United Kingdom. He also received his BSc in Pharmaceutical Sciences and MSc in Pharmaceutical and Analytical Science at the University of Huddersfield. His research interests include the development and characterisation of novel pharmaceutical formulations for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD).



Peter Timmins PhD, BPharm, FRPharms

Visiting professor in Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics.

Professor Timmins obtained his degree in pharmacy and his PhD from the University of Bradford and, after working for a brief period as a quality control pharmacist at a UK NHS hospital, joined pharmaceutical industry. He was promoted to positions of increasing responsibility and recently retired from the role of Executive Director in Drug Product Science and Technology, part of Pharmaceutical Development, at Bristol-Myers Squibb Research and Development (BMS). His group at BMS was responsible for creating, or adapting, and applying drug delivery solutions for drug candidates entering development that were significantly challenged by solubility in assuring good oral bioavailability and those candidates that need modified release technology. The team was spread across geographies, with staff in New Brunswick, New Jersey (USA) and Moreton (UK). He was also the head of the Moreton R&D site. During his industry career was an active researcher in advancing oral drug delivery technology, collaborating with universities and commercial organisations, including other pharmaceutical companies.

Retiring from his industry role has allowed him to refocus on his academic activities, contributing to the research work in the drug discovery/drug product development interface. He is active in pharmaceutical materials science, aiming to optimise the physical properties of active pharmaceutical ingredients and excipients to enable effective drug product design. He has also maintained his long-established research in drug delivery, including oral controlled release and amorphous solid dispersions and the characterisation of polymers used for that purpose. He is author or a co-author of over 100 peer reviewed publications, several books or book chapters and an inventor or co-inventor on 30 patents.



Alan M. Smith PhD

Professor of Biopolymer Science

Alan is a Professor in Biopolymer Materials. He graduated from Salford University (Biochemical Science) in 1997 before gaining his PhD from Cranfield University developing polysaccharide substitutes for gelatin in the production of pharmaceutical capsules under the supervision of Prof. Ed

Morris. Following his PhD Prof Smith worked for 2 years as a formulation scientist within the pharmaceutical industry before taking up a position as a polysaccharide chemist at Industrial Research Limited, Wellington, New Zealand. On his return to the UK Prof. Smith has worked as a research fellow at the University of Birmingham (School of Dentistry) and Aston University (School of Pharmacy) developing 3D cell scaffolds and polysaccharide based drug delivery systems. In 2008 he joined the tissue regeneration and interface laboratory within Chemical Engineering at the University of Birmingham where he continued to develop his research on biopolymers for tissue engineering and drug delivery applications before being appointed as a Senior Lecturer in Pharmaceutics at the University of Huddersfield in March 2011. He was promoted to Reader and Professor of biopolymers science in 2016 and 2018, respectively.



Barbara R. Conway PhD, BPharm, FRPharms, FHEA

Professor of Pharmaceutics and Head of Pharmacy

Barbara is Head of Pharmacy at the University of Huddersfield. She was appointed as Professor of Pharmaceutics at the University of Huddersfield in 2010. Following her first degree in Pharmacy at Queen's University, Belfast, she registered as a pharmacist in 1990 and practised full-time in community pharmacy until joining Aston University in Birmingham in 1992 to undertake a Ph.D. Her PhD research project at Aston was in the pharmaceutics and drug delivery field, focusing on microencapsulation for delivery of biopharmaceuticals. Following completion of her PhD studies in 1995, she was employed in various posts at Aston University, including lecturer and senior lecturer and was Director of the M.Pharm programme prior to moving to the University of Huddersfield in 2010 as Professor of Pharmaceutics. During this time, she also became a Fellow of the Higher Education Academy and supervised projects on the application of e-learning technologies in Pharmacy. She was also a Medici Fellow, specialising in driving forward innovation within the university sector and hold several patents in the pharmaceutical area. She has supervised over 40 Ph.D. students and on-going research programmes focus on strategies to improve skin antisepsis and delivery of antimicrobials, solubility enhancement for poorly soluble drugs, the mechanical properties of pharmaceuticals and excipients and nanodissolution. She has a number of successful collaborations with other universities, NHS and pharmaceutical industry leading to publications and development of new products.



Muhammad Usman Ghori PhD, Pharm.D, SRPharmS, PGCHE, FHEA

Senior Research Fellow and Admission Tutor

Dr Ghori was graduated with a Pharm.D (Doctor of Pharmacy) degree from B. Z. University (Pakistan) in 2009 and became a registered pharmacist (RPh) with the Punjab Pharmacy Council, Pakistan. He pursued his career as a Community Pharmacist in Pharmagen Health Care Ltd and where he accede to a managerial role in the short span of time. Dr Ghori joined the University of Huddersfield for PhD in 2010, during his PhD, he presented his findings at many national and international conferences and published his findings in high impact journals. He has successfully established the student chapter of the American Association of Pharmaceutical Sciences (AAPS) at the University of Huddersfield and served as its founding Chair (2014-15). He was also the proud recipient of the prestigious vicechancellor research student of the year award 2015. He was awarded his doctorate in January 2015 and subsequently appointed as a Post-doctoral Research Assistant in School of Applied Sciences at the University of Huddersfield, and he has successfully developed a patented AFM based nanoscale chemical imaging technique, CIDA (chemical imaging by dissolution analysis), and won the prestigious platinum award 2016 from Bruker Nano-surface, UK. He was also named among the outstanding performing staff for the year 2017-18 by the University of Huddersfield, UK. Currently, he is working as a senior research fellow and admission tutor (Pharmacy) at the University of Huddersfield. His research focused on the design, development and characterisation of new materials, instruments and technologies including 3D and 4D printing, nanoscale chemical, electrical and mechanical imagingbased techniques for drug delivery and biomedical applications.

Abstract

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GORD) is a common condition induced by unwarranted reflux of gastric and duodenal contents into the oesophagus, and insufficient clearance of refluxate from it. Pharmaceutical formulations possessing raft-forming capability offer an excellent alternative to conventional treatment options to treat uncomplicated GORD. These formulations typically contain a polymer, which performs distinctively upon contact with gastric acid and develops a foam-like structure that can float on gastric contents. This review aims to feature research articles and patents that cover this topic. After undergoing a standardised literature search following PRISMA (preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses) guidelines, we have included 38 research articles and 18 patents describing the role of raft-forming polymers in the treatment of GORD. This is a comprehensive review of formulation/testing of raft-forming polymers. As expected, the majority of the studies focused on alginate; however, fewer studies have reported the use of pectin, xanthan gum and raw psyllium fibres (husk). Moreover, it can be concluded from this comprehensive systematic review that a detailed characterisation of raft forming formulations, type and concentration of used active and inactive materials and different formulations factors are essential for the development of successful anti-reflux raft forming formulations.

Keywords:

Raft-forming agents; Gastroesophageal reflux disease; Raft formulations; Systematic review; Reflux.

1- Introduction

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GORD) is one of the most prevalent gastric disorders and is defined as a condition in which the gastric and duodenal contents reflux back into the oesophagus causing troublesome symptoms and complications such as heartburn and acid regurgitation.^{1,2} The prevalence of this condition is widespread and its associated symptoms affect individuals globally. In Western countries, the prevalence of GORD and its associated symptoms is 10-20%.^{3,4} However, in Asia, GORD prevalence has been found to be as low as 2.3%, whereas a study conducted in India estimated the prevalence of GORD to be 16 -18%, which is analogous to Western countries.^{5,6} Limited studies have been conducted on the prevalence of GORD in Africa, although a Nigerian study estimated the prevalence of GORD to be 23%.^{7,8}

GORD has proven to have a substantial burden on public health strategies as its treatment is costly and symptoms can affect the quality of life of patients. ⁹⁻¹¹ Based on endoscopic findings, GORD can be categorised into two main classes: non-erosive reflux disease (NERD) and erosive reflux disease (ERD). The former class refers to reflux without the presence of oesophageal mucosal damage, and the latter class refers to reflux with the presence of oesophageal mucosal damage (erosive oesophagitis), and can potentially lead to the development of Barrett's oesophagus.^{12,13} The pathophysiology of GORD is multifactorial, although multiple studies have concluded that reflux occurs almost entirely during episodes of transient lower oesophageal sphincter relaxation (TLOSR) ^{14,15}. Typically, reflux of gastric contents is prevented by the lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) which acts as a one-way system by allowing ingested liquids and solids into the stomach while preventing the reflux of gastric contents into the oesophagus. However, episodes of transient relaxation of the LES also occur which serve the purpose of allowing trapped air located in the proximal stomach to escape.¹⁶ The frequency of these episodes is similar in asymptomatic individuals and

individuals with GORD, although it has been found that TLOSRs are twice as likely to be associated with episodes of acid reflux in those with GORD than those without the condition.¹⁷

Factors that are associated with an increased risk of GORD link mainly to genetics, lifestyle and environment. ¹⁸ The prevalence of GORD is higher in white individuals in comparison to the black community. ¹⁹ Additionally, numerous studies have shown that there is a positive correlation between age and prevalence of GORD. ²⁰⁻²² GORD prevalence in obese individuals is also greater compared with those with a normal body mass index (BMI). ³ Moreover, smoking and the consumption of alcohol, coffee and carbonated drinks are considered as risk factors for GORD. ^{8,23} Multiple studies have also concluded that a combination of hormonal changes and physical alterations increases the vulnerability of pregnant women to GORD especially in the third trimester. ²⁴⁻²⁶

The management of GORD includes both non-pharmacological and pharmacological approaches. Non-pharmacological treatment options include lifestyle modifications including changes in diet, sleeping posture and weight reduction. ²⁷ Pharmacological interventions for the treatment of GORD can be divided into two sub-types: non-raft formulations and raft formulations. The most commonly adopted therapy for the treatment of GORD involves the use of antacids, histamine-2-receptor antagonists (H₂RAs), proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), sucralfate, prokinetic drugs and alginate-based raft formulations.²⁸ Antacids are formulated using different acid-neutralising agents (aluminium and magnesium hydroxide, calcium carbonate, sodium citrate and sodium bicarbonate). These agents are alkaline and neutralise the gastric acid production.^{30,31} Although PPI therapy is commonly available and results in a reduction of disease symptoms, it has shown to be associated with side effects such as hypocalcaemia, hypomagnesemia, *Clostridium difficile* infections and pneumonia.³² Gastric acid, which is secreted by the parietal cells present in the stomach, plays a vital role in the

digestion of proteins by activating pepsinogen, facilitating the absorption of nutrients such as folic acid, ascorbic acid, β-carotene and various minerals. It also prevents fungal or bacterial infections in the small intestine by providing an acidic hostile environment in which many ingested pathogens are unable to survive. Therefore, the presence of gastric acid is essential for normal physiological functions of the body. This insight calls for appropriate therapeutic action, which deals with unnecessary acid reflux without interfering with the natural defence and digestive system of the body. The most rational approach to manage GORD is to minimise the exposure of the oesophagus to acid reflux. Therapeutic agents, like H₂RAs and PPIs, suppress the acid production and antacids neutralise gastric acid; hence, interfering with the normal function of gastric acid. In such cases, raft-forming anti-reflux formulations can potentially be an ideal therapeutic choice, exhibiting a unique non-systemic mechanism for protection of the oesophageal mucosa. ³³⁻³⁵ Raft-forming systems contain at least one or more gel or raft-forming agents along with alkaline bicarbonates and carbonates, sometimes in combination with an acid neutraliser. ³⁶⁻³⁸ Different polymers, mainly from natural origin, can develop floating rafts. ^{39,40} Hence, this article presents results from a systematic literature search adopting the PRISMA (preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses) guidelines to review the range and polysaccharide polymers reported and evaluate their application potential.

2- Methodology

2.1- Search plot, inclusion and exclusion criteria

This systematic review was performed in accordance with the PRISMA 2009 guidelines and the process of inclusion and exclusion is illustrated in Figure 1. ⁴¹ For inclusion of as many studies as possible on raft-forming agents for GORD treatment, a wide-ranging search plot for PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Scopus, Google Scholar, Google Patents and Espacenet was established. Search terms were 'raft-forming agents', 'raft-forming polymers', 'raft-forming

biopolymer', 'anti-reflux formulations', 'gastroesophageal reflux disease', 'GORD', and 'GERD'. The primary investigators (MY, JSN and MUG) screened the titles, abstracts and full texts for articles and patents reporting on (a) raft-forming agents, (b) anti-reflux formulations, (c) gastroesophageal reflux disease (GORD), and (d) characterisation methods/techniques of raft-forming anti-reflux formulations. The publication period was from 1st January 1947 to 31st July 2018, and no language restrictions were applied.

2.2- Data extraction

All the included studies were catalogued, and data were tabulated in Microsoft Excel[®] 2013. Extracted data from selected studies comprised the agent used for raft formation, a summary of aims, and conclusion in the case of research articles. The data extracted from patents included the agent used for raft formation, and a summary of claims and the invention.

2.3- Quality assessment

The quality of the included studies was assessed using the quality assessment criteria expressed in Table 1. This assessment aimed to evaluate the quality of study design and bias. The primary investigators (MY, JSN and MUG) independently assessed every included study and rated each study according to the predetermined criteria, Table 1. The final score was assigned to the studies and patents after a detailed discussion. The results of this quality assessment for research articles and patents are presented in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively.

3. Results and discussions

The search plot resulted in 11688 records, of which, 11107 were articles and 581 were patents. After the exclusion of 7326 duplicates (6895 articles and 431 patents), 4212 articles and 150 patents were screened by title and abstract, which resulted in the removal of a further 4076 articles and 102 patents. Consequently, 184 investigations (136 articles and 48 patents) were subjected to full-text screening which resulted in the exclusion of 126 (98 articles and 28

patents) and inclusion of 56 records (38 research articles and 18 patents). The main reasons for exclusion were the use of rafts for the treatment of disorders other than GORD and as a drug delivery system. Figure 2 describes the distribution of the number of research articles and patents focused on each raft-forming agent. Characteristics of the included research articles and patents are summarised in Table 4 and Table 5, respectively. Moreover, selected studies are grouped by polymer used and discussed separately in the succeeding sections.

3.1. Alginates

Alginates are natural polysaccharide polymers isolated from brown seaweed (Phacophycae) and often characterised as a dietary fiber. ⁴² The structure of alginate consists of L-guluronic acid and D-mannuronic acid residues interlinked by 1:4 glycosidic linkages, as displayed in Figure 3a. ⁴³ Many investigations have been carried out to determine the raft-forming properties of alginate and its salts. These studies revealed that, in the acidic environment of the stomach, alginate salts or alginic acid precipitate to form a low-density viscous gel. Additionally, alginates can form rafts both *in-vivo* and *in-vitro*, although, *in-vivo*, alginates form a gel within seconds after exposure to gastric acid, whereas, *in-vitro*, they begin to form a gel after a few minutes of administration. ^{36,44} One of the most well-known examples of alginate-based raft formulations is Gaviscon[®] liquid, which contains sodium alginate, calcium carbonate, and sodium bicarbonate, and develops a robust floating raft in the acidic environment of the stomach.⁴⁵ Alginates are often combined with other therapeutic classes such as antacids, H₂RAs and PPIs to increase the efficacy of raft formulations.^{46,47}

A study conducted by Malmud et al.⁴⁴ outlined the mechanism of action by which alginates reduced gastroesophageal reflux (GOR) index. Gastroesophageal scintigraphy was employed to measure the GOR index quantitatively. This technique involved oral administration of technetium-99m (Tc-99m) sulphur colloid solution, which showed that AAC reduced GOR

index from 9.9% (\pm 1.3%) to 6.5% (\pm 0.8%) (p < 0.05). No change in the lower oesophageal sphincter pressure was observed. Additionally, a dual-nuclide scintigraphy technique was used in which alginic acid was labelled with strontium-87m (Sr-87m-AAC) to investigate the AAC position in the stomach. Results found that the major portion of AAC was present in the upper half of the stomach in the form of a viscid floating raft which resulted in the improvement of GOR. ⁴⁴

The raft forming ability of alginates was also demonstrated in a study conducted by Washington et al. (1985). The authors applied the technique of pH telemetry for the measurement of *in-vivo* pH-time profiles of two antacid formulations, Asilone[®] Suspension and Gaviscon[®] liquid. Additionally, an *in-vitro* test (the Rossett and Rice test) was used to evaluate their acid neutralisation capacity, and an in-vitro in-vivo correlation (IVIVC) was attempted. In both cases, Gaviscon[®] liquid successfully formed a raft. However, initial results showed a poor IVIVC, but modification of *in-vitro* test procedures, including raft breaking strength assessment and pH time profiles, improved this correlation. ⁴⁸ In the following year, another study conducted by Washington et al. investigated the effect of incorporating aluminium hydroxide into alginate-based raft formulations. Neutralisation profiles of the stomach were obtained, and a microcomputer-controlled apparatus determined the raft breaking strength of these formulations. These tests showed that aluminium hydroxide remained trapped in the alginate and could not neutralise the gastric acid contents present below the alginate layer. Thus, it was concluded that the strength of the alginate raft was reduced with the inclusion of aluminium hydroxide and this weakened the raft barrier. ⁴⁶ In a separate study, the same authors also tested the raft strength and neutralisation profiles of alginate rafts produced by four formulations of liquid Gaviscon[®]. All four formulations displayed different raft breaking strengths and pH-time profiles even though the concentration of alginate in each formulation was the same (5% w/v). Therefore, the authors concluded that different

formulations with the same trade name might differ in functionality based on the other excipients used in the formulation. The addition of an antacid (aluminium hydroxide) into the liquid Gaviscon[®] formulations increased its capacity to neutralise gastric acid, but the strength of the raft was compromised. It was theorised that this may be due to the antacid competing for acid with the carbonate component, hence the formation of carbon dioxide bubbles required to elevate the raft is slower. This resulted in the formation of a less viscous raft, resulting in the provision of a weak reflux barrier at the opening of the oesophagus.⁴⁹

Later, Castell (1992) tested a hypothesis stating that alginic acid primarily decreased reflux when individuals were in the upright position. This evaluation included a comparison of alginic acid plus antacid with antacid alone as a control. Ten individual volunteers were randomly administered either alginic acid-antacid or only antacid immediately after a meal. The study showed that the alginic acid-antacid formulation was more effective at reducing postprandial reflux in the upright position compared with antacid alone. However, this effect was not seen in the supine position, supporting the original hypothesis of the study. 50 In the same year, the prokinetic agent cisapride was compared with Gaviscon® plus Carobel[®] (a thickening agent made from carob seed flour) in the treatment of GOR. In a randomised group study, fifty infants were given either oral cisapride or Gaviscon[®] plus Carobel[®]. Results were obtained using 24hour pH monitoring and a diary score. According to the parents of the infants, 53% of infants in the cisapride group experienced improvement of GOR symptoms. However, a significantly greater improvement, 79%, was reported in the group receiving Gaviscon[®] plus Carobel[®]. Diary scores also showed an improvement in both groups with marked improvement in the Gaviscon plus Carobel group. It was concluded that conventional therapy of GOR in infants with Gaviscon plus Carobel was a more effective treatment option.⁵¹

Hill & Wade, 1993 using creep viscometry for raft strength estimation, evaluated the raft forming properties of alginates. Their study characterised the raft-forming properties of

chewable commercial tablets comprising alginic acid and antacids (aluminium hydroxide and magnesium trisilicate). The outcomes demonstrated that increasing alginic acid levels in the formulation mainly increased the viscosity of the raft. On the other hand, increasing the concentration of antacids reduced the raft strength, with aluminium hydroxide having a significant impact. ⁵²

A study by Washington & Denton, compared liquid Gaviscon[®] with Algitec[®] (a sodium alginate and cimetidine combination). Both formulations were given to twelve healthy volunteers to evaluate the gastric acid reflux suppression. A Tc-99m labelled meal which could trigger reflux, was given to these volunteers and thirty minutes after receiving the meal, these subjects were either treated with liquid Gaviscon[®], Algitec[®] or left untreated. Reflux of acid and food was measured using a pH electrode, and gamma detector positioned 5 cm above the oesophageal sphincter junction. Both of the formulations showed significant suppression in comparison to the control group. However, intake of the formulation containing alginate without the addition of an H₂RA resulted in a greater reduction in reflux compared with the formulation with an H₂RA. ⁵³

In 1997, Johnson et al. investigated the impact of molecular weight of alginate on its raft strength and dimensions using texture analysis. Additionally, two gas-forming agents (sodium and potassium bicarbonate) and two divalent cationic salts (calcium carbonate and zinc carbonate) were included. The results showed that the volume of rafts increased when divalent cations and low molecular weight alginate salts were used, and it was concluded that alginates with a higher gulucuronic acid content and lower molecular weight develop rafts of considerably higher strength. ⁴⁵ A second report by Johnson et al. employed an image analysis technique to assess the dimensions of bubbles formed in various alginate-based raft formulations. Raft-forming formulations were prepared containing three sodium alginate samples, namely LFR 5/60, LF 120M and LF 10/40RB, representing a range of uronic acid

content (67.2%, 50.9%, and 42.4%, respectively). These formulations contained sodium and potassium bicarbonate as gas evolving agents, and calcium and zinc carbonates as divalent cations. The perimeter, area, mean diameter and sphericity of bubbles were evaluated. The results demonstrated that the LFR 5/60-based anti-reflux formulations developed the largest bubbles; however, the viscosity was comparatively low. It was concluded that lower molecular weight alginates produce bubbles with larger dimensions. ⁵⁴

A hybrid study compared the gastric residence time and distribution of Topaal Whip[®] (a novel anti-reflux agent containing 400 mg alginic acid, 60 mg aluminium hydroxide, 80 mg magnesium carbonate and 260 mg silicon dioxide per therapeutic unit of 3 g) and liquid Gaviscon^{®. 55} Both formulations were labelled with indium-113m, and gamma scintigraphy was performed to determine gastric residence measurement of the developed rafts. The authors deduced that Topaal Whip had a distinct advantage over other anti-reflux formulations as the pre-injection of the gas (carbon dioxide, CO₂) to the formulation removed the need to rely on the amount and concentration of gastric acid to form a raft. Furthermore, it was also concluded that Topaal Whip formed an effective raft over gastric contents and the residence time was longer than liquid Gaviscon[®]. ⁵⁵ In another study on Gaviscon[®], Zentilin et al. assessed acid and non-acid reflux episodes in subjects after the intake of Gaviscon[®] in fed (refluxogenic heavy meal) conditions using multichannel electrical impedance and pH-metry. In both upright and supine positions, these techniques showed that alginate-based formulations were successful in suppressing the number of acid reflux events. ⁵⁶ During the same year, Dettmar et al. investigated the effects of omeprazole pre-treatment on the formation of alginate rafts and their gastric residence time. A crossover study was conducted in twelve healthy individuals who received two alginate tablets labelled with indium-111, in the presence or absence of omeprazole pre-treatment. After alginate tablet administration, gamma scintigraphy was performed in the presence of a Tc-99m labelled meal for three hours. The study concluded that

pre-treatment with omeprazole had no significant effects on the raft-forming ability and raft persistence in the stomach of alginate tablets. ⁴⁷

The efficacy of different alginate-based anti-reflux products was evaluated by measuring multiple properties including cohesion, buoyancy, voluminous, resistance and durability of action of these anti-reflux formulations (Algicon[®], Gastrocote[®], Gaviscon Advance[®], Gaviscon Liquid[®], Gaviscon Liquid Antacid[®], Gaviscon Extra Strength[®], Mylanta Heartburn Relief[®], Peptac Liquid[®], and Rennie Duo[®]). ⁵⁷ This study demonstrated the *in-vitro* effectiveness of developed rafts using the theoretical acid neutralising capacity (ANC) of each product. The formulations with high ANC and no calcium ion source effectively had lower raft strength, volume and weight. ⁵⁷ Giannini et al. performed an open-labelled, prospective, randomised, parallel group clinical trial. The patients, who were experiencing GORD symptoms at least three days a week before commencement, received a single dose of sodium alginate (Gaviscon[®] Advance) and an antacid (magaldrate anhydrous oral suspension (Riopan[®] gel)), at the onset of symptoms during a 3-day run-in period. The study concluded that the alginate-based formulation was efficient at relieving the reflux symptoms and displayed a propensity towards a more extended duration of action and effectiveness compared with magaldrate. ⁵⁸ Similarly, Strugala et al. examined the effectiveness of an alginate-based formulation (Gaviscon[®] Advance) in protecting the oesophagus from pepsin and bile acids using an *in-vitro* Franz cell model. The results suggested that the formulation could remove pepsin and bile acids from the refluxate, as well as affect the enzymatic activity of pepsin, thus preventing damage to the oesophagus. ⁵⁹ Although these studies display the effectiveness of Gaviscon[®] in relieving GORD symptoms, there are multiple different formulations of Gaviscon[®]. Hence, Hampson et al. investigated the *in-vitro* efficacy of two raft-forming formulations: Gaviscon[®] Liquid (GL) and Gaviscon[®] Double Action Liquid (GDAL). A texture analyser was used for the determination of raft strength and resilience. *In-vivo* efficacy of the developed rafts was measured by comparing the gastric retention of alginate rafts using gamma scintigraphy with the two liquid dosage forms radiolabelled with indium-111 and a test meal radiolabelled with technetium-99m (Tc-99m). The results indicated that the GDAL formulation had greater raft strength compared with GL in both *in-vivo* and *in-vitro* environments. ⁶⁰

Although GORD has been found to be highly prevalent in infants and children, a limited number of studies have been conducted to assess the efficacy of raft-forming agents in the treatment of the condition in infants. ⁶¹ Atasay et al., (2010) evaluated the efficacy of sodium alginate in the treatment of GORD in pre-term infants. In a randomised controlled trial, 1 ml/kg Gaviscon[®] liquid was administered four times a day after every two days to preterm infants. The reflux events were recorded using 24-hour pH monitoring. The results indicated an improvement in 83% of GORD suffering infants. This study demonstrated that sodium alginate is safe and effective for GORD treatment in preterm infants. ⁶² Similarly, Corvaglia et al. conducted a clinical trial study to determine the effect of sodium alginate in preterm new-borns suffering from GOR using pH and impedance monitoring techniques and observed a reduction in the number of acid reflux episodes.⁶³ In a second clinical trial, Corvaglia et al. studied the potential of sodium alginate to reduce the intensity of GOR-related apnoea of prematurity (AOP) in 28 preterm infants using multichannel impedance, pH monitoring, and polysomnography. The results of this investigation revealed a marked reduction in acid reflux; however, the GOR related AOP remained unaffected by administration of sodium alginate.⁶⁴

Kwiatek et al. (2011), evaluated the ability of the alginate reflux formulation GDAL to neutralise gastric acid and acid pocket displacement. pH monitoring, manometry and fluoroscopy was conducted in each subject three times in different conditions; (a) fasted, (b) 20 minutes after the consumption of a meal, and (c) 20 minutes later after the consumption of oral dose (20 ml) of GDAL. The results showed that the formulation produced an alginate-

antacid raft. This raft was formed in a suitable position and relieved GORD symptoms in 8/10 subjects. ⁶⁵ Pouchain et al. compared an alginate-based formulation (Gaviscon[®]) with omeprazole in clinical settings. A 14-day multicentre randomised double-blind, double-dummy non-inferiority trial of Gaviscon[®] and omeprazole was carried out in patients experiencing GORD symptoms 2-6 days per week. The results of this study indicated that there was no significant difference in the performance of both these formulations in relieving GORD symptoms. Therefore, it was concluded that Gaviscon[®] is an effective alternative treatment for moderate GORD in primary care. ⁶⁶

The efficacy of an alginate-antacid (Gaviscon[®] Advance (GA)) and a non-raft-forming antacid in the suppression of gastric reflux were compared in a study using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and pH impedance monitoring. The results of this study showed that a mass of GA was formed at the oesophagogastric junction (OGJ), which demonstrated reduction in reflux events more efficiently in GORD patients. This investigation concluded that GA was more capable of reducing reflux events compared with the non-raft-forming formulation. ⁶⁷ Another study compared the effectiveness and safety of a sodium alginate suspension with omeprazole in patients suffering from non-erosive reflux disease (NERD). In a randomised clinical trial, a sodium alginate suspension was administered three times a day, whereas omeprazole was given once daily. The results were obtained by means of a patient diary or a questionnaire completed before and after the trial. The results of the trial showed no significant statistical difference between sodium alginate suspension and omeprazole. Therefore, it was concluded that sodium alginate suspension is not inferior to omeprazole in the treatment of NERD in patients. ⁶⁸

A study by Ruigh et al. compared the efficacy of Gaviscon[®] Double Action (GDA) with Antacid Liquid Supreme[®], an antacid preparation containing magnesium hydroxide, in the suppression of postprandial acid reflux events in GORD patients. Fourteen patients were involved in a randomised clinical trial to assess liquid movement in the oesophagus and its motility and using impedance–pH monitoring and manometry tests, respectively. The parameters measured included acid exposure to the oesophagus, number of reflux events, intensity of reflux, reflux mechanism and symptoms. Both formulations resulted in a similarity number and spatial distribution of reflux events but GDA was more effective than antacid in minimising the exposure the oesophagus to acid. ⁶⁹ A further study, the role of GDA in the reduction of heartburn, acid regurgitation, and dyspepsia in patients experiencing mild to moderate GORD was assessed. Patients involved in this study received GDA or a placebo drug in a randomised manner for seven days. The endpoint of this trial compared the change in the condition of patients who received either GDA or placebo, using the Reflux Disease Questionnaire (RDQ). Scores from these questionnaires showed that GDA was statistically superior in suppressing GORD symptoms and dyspepsia; however, the occurrence of adverse events (AEs) in both formulations was the same. This investigation concluded that GDA was superior to the placebo drug in treating symptoms of GORD in patients with mild to moderate GORD. ³³

The effectiveness of an alginate-based formulation (GA) in patients experiencing reflux symptoms who were also taking a once-daily dose of a PPI was evaluated. 133 patients were involved in this multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Outcomes were measured by comparing the scores received in the Heartburn Reflux Dyspepsia Questionnaire (HRDQ). GA and a placebo drug were given to patients in addition to PPI therapy. The results showed that the change in HRDQ scores in patients receiving GA was significantly higher than those administered the placebo. The number of reflux events at night also decreased remarkably with GA treatment. This study concluded that inclusion of GA in the treatment regime of patients receiving PPI therapy had further reduced the burden of reflux symptoms.⁷⁰ Alecci et al. also assessed the effectiveness and safety profile of a formulation containing sodium

alginate and sodium bicarbonate named Mucosave[®] on GORD symptoms. 118 patients experiencing mild to moderate GORD were involved in a randomised trial. These patients were treated with Mucosave[®] and a placebo drug for two months. These individuals completed questionnaires before and after this two-month trial and statistical results of the scores revealed that Mucosave[®] significantly improved GORD symptoms in patients compared with the placebo drug. It was concluded that Mucosave[®] is safe and effective for the treatment of mild to moderate symptoms of GORD.⁷¹

A clinical trial by Yuan et al. involved the examination of GDA tablets and its efficiency on oesophageal pH after taking a meal in 44 GORD patients. These patients were randomly administered GDA or placebo drug after ingesting a reflux inducing meal, and the changes in pH were monitored. The percentage of the extent of which the pH increased above 4.0 was measured. Data from all the patients were accumulated, and the results showed significant benefits of GDA statistically. It was concluded that GDA is safe and effective for the treatment of GORD.⁷²

Gaviscon[®] Double Action Mint Liquid, alginate-antacid) was compared with a once-daily dose of PPI treatment for suppression of reflux symptoms was studied. Two randomised trials were conducted which involved patients taking a standard dose of PPI. Gaviscon[®] or a placebo drug (composed of water, maltitol, xanthan gum, methyl/propyl parabens, titanium dioxide, peppermint and sodium hydroxide to match the appearance, smell, taste, viscosity and pH of Gaviscon[®] Double Action Mint Liquid) was added to the treatment regime and the outcomes were measured in these individuals. The results showed a significant level of suppression of reflux events in patients receiving the Gaviscon[®] formulation compared with the placebo. The study concluded that the placebo produced no response in patients taking PPI treatment whereas Gaviscon[®] reduced reflux episodes when given in combination with PPIs. ⁷³ In a recent study by Hanif et al., the raft forming ability of a formulation containing alginates and pectin was determined, Box Behnken design (BBD) was used to determine the response surface design. The dosage form developed for this formulation was a tablet. Characterisation of granules used to form these tablets was conducted by determining their angle of repose, bulk density, and tap density. Additionally, other physical tests were performed such as tablet thickness test, friability test, and tablet hardness test. The raft strength, volume, weight, resilience, thickness, and acid neutralizing capacity were also assessed. Floating lag time and a total floating duration of rafts were evaluated using a modified USP type II dissolution apparatus. Results showed that the formulation had a significant potential to be considered as a raft forming formulation for the treatment of GORD.⁷⁴

The chemical characteristics of alginate rafts for good raft performance were measured to explain how the physicochemical properties of the material used in formulations have an impact on its raft-forming capability. The formulations investigated were: GDA, Gaviscon[®] Original, Peptac[®] Liquid, Algycon[®] tablets, Maalox[®] RefluRapid liquid suspension, Mylan[®] Liquid Suspension, and Rennie Duo[®] liquid suspension. This study included an analysis of alginate content by HPLC, determination of the extent of neutralisation, and the acid neutralisation profile within the rafts. Also, the effect of raft structure on acid neutralisation was also determined. Results of these analyses concluded that GDA was superior to all other competitor formulations. Moreover, GDA resulted in high porosity allowing neutralisation of gastric acid to last for longer durations. ⁷⁵

In an early invention, Withington demonstrated that a pharmaceutical liquid formulation can suppress gastric reflux. The liquid composition consisted of an aqueous medium containing 4.0-6.0% w/v of low viscosity grade sodium alginate, 2.0-3.5% w/v sodium bicarbonate, 1.2-2.0% w/v calcium carbonate and 0.6-1.2% w/v sodium salt of an acrylic polymer cross-linked with 1% allyl-sucrose. Measurement of viscosity showed that the viscosity was within the range of 3-60 centipoise. The claims included the development of a composition for the

treatment of GORD, which comprised a low viscosity grade sodium alginate, 0.16-2.60 parts by weight of sodium bicarbonate and 0.10-1.04 parts by weight of calcium carbonate. These ingredients were present according to the weight of sodium alginate. ⁷⁶ In another patent, issued in 1988, Luber et al. invented a method for the preparation of a viscosity-stable antacid formulation consisting of alginic acid and antacid. The formulated product was a stable preparation, whose viscosity was stable even at high temperatures. The claims of this invention also included setting up a method for the preparation of an aqueous antacid composition that was stable even with variations in viscosities. It comprised an alginic acid salt and antacid, which affected the viscosity of this composition. Different temperatures and times were also applied to the recovery of the stable product in a form that could help in the formation of a viscosity stable antacid preparation. ⁷⁷

Davin developed a pharmaceutical suspension for the treatment of GORD, in which alginic acid was used as a raft-forming agent to serve as a physical barrier against regurgitation. Other ingredients used to prepare this suspension were aluminium hydroxide, magnesium carbonate and hydrated silica, and either xanthan gum, magnesium alginate or glycerol was used as a thickening agent. ⁷⁸ A formulation comprised of ranitidine (1.25-10%), alginic acid (5-35%) and carbonate or bicarbonate (2-15%) had successfully established its treatment for GORD. ⁷⁹ Similarly, an invention by Sims & Slivka claimed the application of a pharmaceutical preparation using alginates for the relief of indigestion, heartburn and GIT disorders. This formulation consisted of an H₂ antagonist (Famotidine), alginates and simethicone. ⁸⁰ A patent by Mitra in 1998 included an invention for the treatment of gastrointestinal disorders. This composition included a PPI and an antacid rafting agent (aluminium hydroxide, magnesium carbonate, and alginic acid) in a potent therapeutic amount.⁸¹ Similarly, Douglas et al. also used alginic acid as a raft-forming agent. Claims of this patent included the formation of a defensive

and protective layer on top of the gastric contents which precedes the stomach contents into the oesophagus, thus protecting the mucosa from further irritation. ⁸²

Field et al. invented an aqueous pourable liquid formulation for the treatment of GORD, reflux oesophagitis, gastritis, dyspepsia or peptic ulcer. This invention described the development of an aqueous pharmaceutical formulation comprised of at least 8% sodium alginate, potassium bicarbonate, carbomer, and calcium carbonate in variable amounts. Other components including sodium hydroxide, ethyl parahydroxybenzoate and any one of sodium butyl parahydroxybenzote or sodium saccharin were also present in the formulation. ⁸³ Alginic acid was also used by Dettmar et al. for a composition to treat conditions caused by or associated with gastric reflux. These alginates were either sodium, potassium or magnesium salts, present along with a source of carbon dioxide, and a divalent or trivalent cation. ³⁹ Eccleston & Peterson also used alginic acid for the development of a formulation capable of forming a gastric raft to treat GORD. Components of this preparation comprised alginic acid, pectin and a component that produced gas after encountering gastric acid. At low pH, a strong gel was formed by the interaction of alginates, pectin and a gas generating agent selected from either sodium or potassium salt. An active ingredient, such as an antacid, was also added to trigger the neutralisation of gastric acid. ⁸⁴

Ghisalberti et al., effectively invented a pharmaceutical formulation to treat GORD symptoms and dyspepsia in humans and other mammals. The composition comprised a combination of low and high molecular weight alginates and D-limonene (the most common terpene in nature found in several citrus oils and considered a natural remedy for acid reflux) in a micro dispersed form. It was also claimed that improvement of this combination could be achieved with the addition of an antacid. ⁸⁵

The development of a novel pharmaceutical fluid for the treatment of GORD was achieved by Hoon et al. This composition had the ability to form a floating gel with high physical strength. Alginic acid was used an active ingredient for the formation of raft to treat GORD. This liquid composition thus consisted of alginic acid, alkali metal bicarbonate or carbonate and a gel strength-enhancing agent. The gel strength-enhancing agent for this formulation was selected from either xanthan gum, guar gum, gum arabic or pectin. ⁸⁶ In 2013, Kim et al. invented a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of GORD. This pharmaceutical product was developed to provide a low-viscosity composition for oral administration for the treatment of GORD. Furthermore, the composition was designed to be easily administered, have high storage stability, and not require large amounts of synthetic preservatives. This invention detailed the formation of a stable gel, which could float on top of the stomach and thus, provide effective treatment for GORD. The claims for this study included a composition consisting of alginic acid or alginate and a gel strength enhancer. The polysaccharides used for the composition were either xanthan gum, guar gum, gum arabic, maltodextrin or pectin. The gel strength enhancer used was either an enteric polymer or a polysaccharide. The potential enteric hydroxypropylmethylcellulose polymers purified shellac, phthalate, were hydroxypropylmethylcellulose succinate, methacrylate polymer, acrylate copolymer or acrylic acid. ⁸⁷ Vasilevich et al. invented an anti-reflux raft formulation for the treatment of GORD. For raft formation, a raft-forming agent and antacid, sodium alginate, calcium carbonate, and hydroxyapatite were included in the formulation. A magnesium containing compound was selected from any one of magnesium hydroxide, magnesium carbonate or magnesium oxide preparations. The invention included the development of a pharmaceutical preparation for treating reflux symptoms and contained different mass ratios of 26.9-53.0% of sodium alginate, 7.4-18.5% of potassium bicarbonate, 20.4-40.3% of calcium carbonate, 3.1-23.5% of compounds containing magnesium and 2.0-14.2% of hydroxyapatite.⁸⁸ Madaro invented an

oral formulation for the treatment of GORD. This composition consisted of alginic acid, carbomer, tamarind extract and calcium carbonate or potassium hydrogen carbonate. The amount of alginic acid included in the most preferable formulation was 50-500 mg and had a viscosity below 2000 mPas when 10% of it is included in water. The prepared composition was able to form an effective barrier on top of stomach contents for the protection of mucosa of stomach and oesophagus. Furthermore, this preparation could be formulated as either a tablet or suspension.⁸⁹

It has been discerned from this systematic review process that the raft characterisation (e.g. raft strength, resilience, volume and bouyancy) plays an important role in the devlopment of successful alginate antireflux formulations. Moreover, these properties depends on different factors, for example, (a) type of alginate (different mannuronic (M) and guluronic acid (G) residues ratio, M/G) (b) different formulation factors (e.g. viscosity and pH) and (c) concentration of active and inactive additives. Additionaly, it can be concluded from this review that the above mentioned factors are imprative to develop fomrulations capable of developing stronger and coherent rafts that has the ability to reduce the stimulated gastric reflux.

3.2. Pectin

Pectin is a complex polysaccharide extracted commercially from citrus peels and apple pomace. ⁹⁰ The structure of pectin is displayed in Figure 3b and consists of α -1, 4-linked D-galacturonic acid, which is partly methyl esterified. Additionally, the side chain contains various neutral sugars, such as rhamnose, arabinose, and galactose. ⁹¹ 'Smooth segments' in the structure consist of 6-methylated, and 2- and/or 3- acetylated poly- α -(1->4)-D-galacturonic acid residues. In addition, 'hairy segments' are also present known as non-gelling areas of alternating α -(1->2)-L-rhamnosyl- α -(1->4)-D-galacturonosyl sections containing branch-

points with neutral side chains (1-20 residues) of mainly α -L-arabinofuranose and α -D-galactopyranose (rhamnogalacturonan I).⁹¹ Based on the degree of esterification, pectin can be classified into two main groups: the first group is low-methoxy pectin which requires calcium to form a gel. The second group is high-ester pectin which is capable of forming gels in aqueous systems with a high content of soluble solids and low pH.⁹² Both types can be used as raft-forming agents for anti-reflux formulations.⁴⁰

Several articles have reported and successfully used pectin as a raft-forming anti-reflux agent. In 1988, Washington et al. conducted clinical trials in which a radiolabelled meal was administered to six healthy individuals. The purpose of this trial was to analyse the gastric distribution and gastric residence time of a pectin-based raft formulation, named FF5005 (mixture of pectin and casein), using gamma scintigraphy. It was revealed that the formulation showed *in-vivo* behavior similar to that of alginate containing anti-reflux formulations. ⁹³ Havelund & Aalykke in 1997 investigated a pectin-based raft-forming formulation, Aflurax[®], for its ability to reduce oesophageal acid exposure and its efficacy in maintaining GORD treatment outcomes. Acid exposure during erosive oesophagitis was determined in 14 patients. Additionally, 88 patients were treated with omeprazole to heal erosive oesophagitis. Further, two tablets of Aflurax[®] or placebo were administered four times daily to maintain the efficiency, and the pH was monitored every 12 hours. Aflurax[®] significantly delayed recurrence of moderate to severe heartburn and erosive oesophagitis. However, acid exposure was not significantly reduced in pH-metry studies. ⁹⁴ Another clinical trial study investigated the effect of a Aflurax[®] on heartburn in patients experiencing reflux symptoms after having a heavy meal. ⁹⁵ Two tablets of Aflurax[®] or placebo drugs were given to patients four times a day and revealed the potential of Aflurax[®] for use in the treatment of GORD. ⁹⁵ Another study also assessed the raft forming characteristics of Aflurax[®], in comparison to a placebo containing the same active drug but without pectin. ⁹⁶ In a randomised trial using a modified Rossett and Rice test, the pH of the raft remained intact above pH 3 for 130 minutes, but there was no change in the pH of the acid phase. The results also concluded that the raft formed by Aflurax[®] had significant anti-reflux properties by reducing the amount of food and concentration of acid in the oesophagus. ⁹⁶ However, a study using esomeprazole (20mg) had shown superior results in comparison to pectin based anti-reflux formulations. ⁹⁷

Three patents utilised pectin as a raft-forming agent for anti-reflux raft formulations. A prominent feature of the formulation invented by Foldager was that it could float on top of stomach contents. Claims for this invention described a composition containing 1-50% by weight of low methoxylated pectin, 1-30% by weight of neutralising agents such as magnesium subcarbonate or potassium bicarbonate, a buffering agent, and caseinates from a group of sodium, potassium or ammonium caseinates. This formulation was invented for the treatment of GORD or the alleviation of upper GIT disorders. ⁹⁸

An anti-reflux formulation that consisted of low methoxylated pectin as a raft-forming agent and an antacid was formulated with the inclusion of carbonate, bicarbonate or subcarbonate as gas-forming agents. The antacid or acid neutralising agent could be an alkali metal or alkaline earth metal salt of sodium, potassium, magnesium, calcium, aluminium or ammonium. This product also contained a buffer substance, preferably casein or milk powder, which had the ability to become entrapped in the gel structure formed by pectin, thereby providing a prolonged period of acid buffering effect. ⁹⁹

Another invention on pectin-raft was formulated and was capable of treating GORD.⁸⁴ Components of this raft-forming preparation were alginic acid, pectin and a material capable of producing gas after coming into contact with gastric acid. The invented combination product claims the formation of strong gels at low pHs. The gel is formed by the interaction of alginates, pectin and a gas generating agent selected from either sodium or potassium salt. An active ingredient, such as an antacid, was added in order to trigger the neutralisation of gastric acid. The formulation was stated as being suitable for oral administration in the form of tablets, capsules or powder sachets.⁸⁴

3.3. Xanthan Gum

Xanthan gum is produced by the pathogenic bacterium, *Xanthomonas campestris* by aerobic fermentation and is widely used as a stabiliser for an extensive variety of suspensions, emulsions, and foams. ^{100,101} Xanthan is made up of cytoplasmic sugar nucleotides, acetyl CoA, and phosphoenolpyruvate. ¹⁰² The structure of xanthan gum is displayed in Figure 3c and consists of repeated pentasaccharide units formed by two glucose units, two mannose, and one glucuronic acid unit, in the molar ratio 2.8:2.0:2.0. ¹⁰³ The bio-adhesive and protective properties of xanthan gum in the oesophageal mucosa have proven to be beneficial for GORD treatment. ³⁹

One patent claiming raft-forming characteristics of xanthan gum has been included in this review. A composition containing antacid, which could form a gel-like floating layer in aqueous acid was described. This antacid composition consisted of a suitable amount of xanthan gum to form a stable raft while allowing the suspension to remain pourable (approximately 0.01 wt.% to 4 wt.%), as well as hexitol-stabilised aluminium hydroxide in a sufficient amount to neutralise stomach acid (approximately 0.05 wt.% to 6.0 wt.%). A gas-forming agent was also present which generated non-toxic gas when it was exposed to aqueous acid, causing the gelatinous mass to float.¹⁰⁴

3.4. Isapghula husk/ fibers

Isapghula (*Plantago ovata*) husk is a natural fibrous polysaccharide well-known for its laxative properties. Dispersion of isapgol husk in water forms a swollen gel-like mass in an acidic environment.¹⁰⁵ There is only a single study conducted to date on the use of raw isapghula

husk/fibers as a raft-forming agent. A raft-forming antacid suspension, prepared with the use of isapgol was described, and its neutralisation profile was evaluated. Isapghula was used as a raft-forming agent together with sodium bicarbonate, and aluminium hydroxide and formulations were tested for their acid neutralisation properties and raft strength. The authors concluded that raw isapgol husk had the potential to be used for the formation of a raft-forming antacid suspension, although extensive clinical trials need to be conducted. ¹⁰⁶

4- Conclusions

This comprehensive systematic review has identified four polysaccharide polymers currently being used or with the ability to be used to develop raft-forming formulations for the treatment of GORD, with alginates being by far the most widely studied. A significant number of studies and patents dating back to 1979 have led to alginates being established as the leading raftforming agent used in raft-forming anti-reflux formulations today. However, considerable variations in the efficacy of alginate-based raft-forming formulations have been reported and may be due to multiple factors including the type of alginate material used, formulation of the alginate-based anti-reflux product, the addition of excipients and active additives-comedication. Other polymers identified in this review are pectin, xanthan gum and isapphula husk/fibers. Although these demonstrated raft-forming abilities and displayed potential to be used as raft-forming agents in anti-reflux formulations, their current use is considerably limited as they are not widely studied specifically for GORD. Moreover, it can be concluded from this comprehensive systematic review that a thorough assessment of raft-forming formulations (e.g. raft strength, resilience, volume and buoyancy), type and concentration of used active and inactive materials and different formulations factors (e.g. viscosity and pH) are essential for the development of successful anti-reflux raft forming formulations.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support (Proof of Concept Innovation Fund) provided by the University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield, UK. Maria Yousef would like to thanks University of Huddersfield, UK for funding her MRes studentship.

References

- Vakil, N., Van Zanten, S. V., Kahrilas, P., Dent, J. and Jones, R. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 2006, *101*(8), 1900.
- 2- Kroch, D. A. and Madanick, R. D. World J. Surg. 2017, 41(7), 1678-1684.
- 3- Dent, J., El-Serag, H., Wallander, M. A. and Johansson, S. Gut. 2005 54(5), 710-717.
- 4- Stanghellini, V. Scand. J. Gastroenterol. 1999, 231, 29-37.
- 5- Wong, W.M., Lai, K.C., Lam, K.F., Hui, W.M., Hu, W.H.C., Lam, C.L.K., Xia, H.H.X., Huang, J.Q., Chan, C.K., Lam, S.K. and Wong, B.C.Y. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2003, 18(6), pp.595-604.
- 6- Gaddam, S. and Sharma, P. Indian J Gastroentero., 2011 30(3), pp.105-107.
- 7- Segal, I. Eur J Cancer Prev, 2011, 10(3), 209-212.
- Nwokediuko, S., Ijoma, U., Obienu, O. and Agunyenwa, C. *The Internet Journal of Gastroenterology*. 2009, 8(2), 8.
- 9- Greenberger, N. J. Ann. Intern. Med. 1997, 127(9), 827-834.
- 10- Kulig, M., Nocon, M., Vieth, M., Leodolter, A., Jaspersen, D., Labenz, J., Meyer-Sabellek, W., Stolte, M., Lind, T., Malfertheiner, P. and Willich, S.N., 2004. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 2004, 57(6), pp.580-589.
- 11- Wyatt, S. B., Winters, K. P. and Dubbert, P. M. Am. J. Med. Sci. 2006, 331(4), 166-174.

- 12- Caviglia, R., Ribolsi, M., Maggiano, N., Gabbrielli, A.M., Emerenziani, S., Guarino, M.P.L., Carotti, S., Habib, F.I., Rabitti, C. and Cicala, M. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 2005, 100(3), p.543.
- 13- Nwokediuko, S. C. Gastroenterology, 2012, 2012(11).
- 14- Dodds WJ, Dent J, Hogan WJ, Helm JF, Hauser R, Patel GK and Egide MS. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1982**, *307*: 1547–52.
- 15- Dent J, Holloway RH, Toouli J and Dodds WJ. Gut. 1988; 29: 1020-8.
- 16-Lee, Y. and McColl, K. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Gastroenterol. 2013, 27(3), pp.339-351
- 17- Sifrim D, Holloway R, Silny J, Tack J, Lerut A and Janssens J. Am. J. Gastroenterol.
 2001; 96: 647–55.
- 18- Savarino, E., Zentilin, P., Tutuian, R., Pohl, D., Casa, D.D., Frazzoni, M., Cestari, R. and Savarino, V. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 2008, 103(11), p.2685.
- 19- El-Serag, H. B., Petersen, N. J., Carter, J., Graham, D. Y., Richardson, P., Genta, R. M. and Rabeneck, L. *Gastroenterology*. 2004, *126*(7), 1692-1699.
- 20-Hirakawa, K., Adachi, K., Amano, K., Katsube, T., Ishihara, S., Fukuda, R., Yamashita, Y., Shiozawa, S., Watanabe, M. and Kinoshita, Y. J. Gastroenterol. *Hepatol.* **1999**, *14*(11), pp.1083-1087.
- 21- Rosaida, M. S. and Goh, K.-L. Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2004, 16(5), 495-501.
- 22- Rajendra, S., Kutty, K. and Karim, N. Dig. Dis. Sci. 2004, 49(2), 237-242.
- 23-Buttar, N. S. and Falk, G. W. Mayo Clin. Proc. 2001, 76 (2), 226-234.
- 24- Patti, M. G. JAMA Surg. 2016, 151(1), 73-78.
- 25- Akbari, M. and Wolf, J. L. Springer. 2017, (1-32).
- 26- Ali, R. and Egan, L. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Gastroenterol. 2007 21(5), pp.793-806.
- 27-Kang, J.E. and Kang. Ther. Adv. Chronic. Dis. 2015, 6(2), 51-64.

- 28- Savarino, E., de Bortoli, N., Zentilin, P., Martinucci, I., Bruzzone, L., Furnari, M., Marchi, S. and Savarino, V. World J. Gastroenterol. 2012, 18(32), p.4371.
- 29- Hershcovici, T., Mashimo, H. and Fass, R. J. Neurogastroenterol. Motil. 2011, 23(9), 819-830.
- 30- Dean, B. B., Gano Jr, A. D., Knight, K., Ofman, J. J. and Fass, R. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2004, 2(8), 656-664.
- 31-Moayyedi, P., Santana, J., Khan, M., Preston, C. and Donnellan, C. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 2007, 2.
- 32-Galdo, J. A. Long-term consequences of chronic proton pump inhibitor use. US *Pharm.* **2013**, 38(12), 38-42.
- 33- Sun, J., Yang, C., Zhao, H., Zheng, P., Wilkinson, J., Ng, B. and Yuan, Y. Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther. 2015, 42(7), 845-854.
- 34-Sifrim, D. and Penagini, R. Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2013, 11(12), 1592-1594.
- 35-Wang, Y.K., Hsu, W.H., Wang, S.S., Lu, C.Y., Kuo, F.C., Su, Y.C., Yang, S.F., Chen, C.Y., Wu, D.C. and Kuo, C.H. *Gastroenterol. Res. Pract.* **2013**.
- 36-Mandel, K., Daggy, B., Brodie, D., Jacoby, H. Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther. 2000, 14(6), 669-690.
- 37-Shah, S., Patel, J. and Patel, N. Int. J Pharm. Tech Res. 2009, 1(3), 623-633.
- 38- Chauhan, K., Parashar, B., Kumar, H. and Arora, S. Int. J. Curr. Pharm. Res. 2012, 1(5).
- 39- Dettmar, P. W., Dickson, P. A., Hampson, F. C. and Jolliffe, I. G., 2003. U.S Patent, 6,610,667, August 26, 2003.
- 40- Kapadia, C. J. and Mane, V. B. Drug. Dev. Ind. Pharm. 2007, 33(12), 1350-1361.
- 41-Moher, D. Ann. Intern. Med. 2009, 151(4), 264.

- 42-Brownlee, I. A., Allen, A., Pearson, J. P., Dettmar, P. W., Havler, M. E., Atherton, M. R. and Onsøyen, E. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci.* Nutr. 2005, 45(6), 497-510.
- 43- Draget, K. I. and Taylor, C. Food Hydrocoll. 2011, 25(2), 251-256.
- 44- Malmud, L. S., Charkes, N. D., Littlefield, J., Reilley, J., Stern, H., Rosenberg, R. and Fisher, R. S. J. Nucl. Med. **1979**, 20(10), 1023.
- 45- Johnson, F., Craig, D., Mercer, A. and Chauhan, S. Int. J. Pharm. 1997, 159(1), 35-42.
- 46-Washington, N., Washington, C., Wilson, C. and Davis, S. Int. J. Pharm. 1986a, 28(2-3), 139-143.
- 47- Dettmar, P., Little, S. and Baxter, T. J. Int. Med. Res. 2005, 33(3), 301-308.
- 48- Washington, N., Wilson, C. and Davis, S. Int. J. Pharm. 1985, 27(2-3), 279-286.
- 49-Washington, N., Washington, C., Wilson, C. and Davis, S. Int. J. Pharm. **1986b**, 34(1-2), 105-109.
- 50- Castell, D. O., Dalton, C. B., Becker, D., Sinclair, J. and Castell, J. A. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* **1992**, *37*(4), 589-593.
- 51- Greally, P., Hampton, F., MacFadyen, U. and Simpson, H. Arch. Dis. Child. 1992. 67(5), 618-621.
- 52-Hill, E. and Wade, G. Drug. Dev. Ind. Pharm. 1993, 19(15), 1931-1937.
- 53- Washington, N. and Denton, G., J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 1995, 47(11), 879-882.
- 54- Johnson, F., Craig, D., Mercer, A. and Chauhan, S., Int. J. Pharm. 1998, 170(2), 179-185.
- 55-Washington, N., Bell, J., Lamont, G., Wilson, C. and Toselli, D. S.T.P. pharma sciences. **1998**, 8(2), 123-126.
- 56- Zentilin, P., Dulbecco, P., Savarino, E., Parodi, A., Iiritano, E., Bilardi, C., Reglioni,
 S., Vigneri, S. and Savarino, V. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2005, *21*(1), pp.29-34.

- 57- Hampson, F., Farndale, A., Strugala, V., Sykes, J., Jolliffe, I. and Dettmar, P. Int. J. Pharm. 2005, 294(1-2), 137-147.
- 58-Giannini, E.G., Zentilin, P., Dulbecco, P., Iiritano, E., Bilardi, C., Savarino, E., Mansi, C. and Savarino, V. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 2006, *51*(11), pp.1904-1909.
- 59- Strugala, V., Avis, J., Jolliffe, I. G., Johnstone, L. M. and Dettmar, P. W. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 2009, 61(8), 1021-1028.
- 60- Hampson, F. C., Jolliffe, I. G., Bakhtyari, A., Taylor, G., Sykes, J., Johnstone, L. M. and Dettmar, P. W., *Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm.* **2010**, *36*(5), 614-623.
- 61- Tighe, M., Afzal, N. A., Bevan, A., Hayen, A., Munro, A. and Beattie, R. M. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 2014.
- 62- Atasay, B., Erdeve, O., Arsan, S. and Türmen, T. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 2010, 50(11), 1267-1272.
- 63- Corvaglia, L., Aceti, A., Mariani, E., De Giorgi, M., Capretti, M. and Faldella, G., *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* **2011a**, *33*(4), 466-470.
- 64- Corvaglia, L., Spizzichino, M., Zama, D., Aceti, A., Mariani, E., Legnani, E. and Faldella, G., *Early Hum. Dev.* **2011b**, 87(12), 775-778.
- 65- Kwiatek, M. A., Roman, S., Fareeduddin, A., Pandolfino, J. E. and Kahrilas, P. J., *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* **2011**, *34*(1), 59-66.
- 66-Pouchain, D., Bigard, M.-A., Liard, F., Childs, M., Decaudin, A. and McVey, D., *BMC Gastroenterol.* **2012**, *12*(1), 18.
- 67-Sweis, R., Kaufman, E., Anggiansah, A., Wong, T., Dettmar, P., Fried, M., Schwizer, W., Avvari, R.K., Pal, A. and Fox, M., *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2013, 37(11), pp.1093-1102.
- 68- Chiu, C.T., Hsu, C.M., Wang, C.C., Chang, J.J., Sung, C.M., Lin, C.J., Chen, L.W., Su, M.Y. and Chen, T.H., *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* **2013**, *38*(9), pp.1054-1064.

- 69-Ruigh, A., Roman, S., Chen, J., Pandolfino, J. E. and Kahrilas, P. J., *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* **2014**. 40(5), 531-537.
- 70- Reimer, C., Lødrup, A., Smith, G., Wilkinson, J. and Bytzer, P., *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* **2016**, *43*(8), 899-909
- 71- Alecci, U., Bonina, F., Bonina, A., Rizza, L., Inferrera, S., Mannucci, C. and Calapai,G., *Evid. Based Complement. Alternat. Med.* 2016.
- 72- Yuan, Y. Z., Fang, J. Y., Zou, D. W., Levinson, N., Jenner, B. and Wilkinson, J., *J. Dig. Dis.* **2016**, *17*(11), 725-734.
- 73-Coyle, C., Crawford, G., Wilkinson, J., Thomas, S. and Bytzer, P., *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* **2017**. *45*(12), 1524-1533.
- 74-Hanif, M. and Abbas, G., Adv. Polym. Technol. 2018, 37(5), 1496-1506.
- 75- Dettmar, P. W., Gil-Gonzalez, D., Fisher, J., Flint, L., Rainforth, D., Moreno-Herrera,A. and Potts, M., *Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm.* 2018, 44(1), 30-39.
- 76-Withington, R. U.S Patent, 4,140,760, February 20, 1979.
- 77-Luber, J., Feld, K. M., Harwood, R. J. and Grim, W. M. U.S Patent, 4,744,986, May 17, **1988**.
- 78-Davin, H. and Dubois, J., 1995. European Patent Office 10,506,563, March 26, 1992.
- 79-Quirk, C., Jackson, D.A. and Cameron, J.M. U.S. Patent 5,456,918, October 10, 1995.
- 80- Sims, R. and Slivka, W. World Intellectual Property Organisation, WO 9,501,780, January 19, 1995.
- 81- Mitra, S., World Intellectual Property Organization, WO 9,823,272, June 4, 1998.
- 82-Douglas, B., Duncan, C., McKenzie, Q., Gordon J.P., Chadwick, H.F., Frederick, F.P., Bailey, O.E., Limit, K.S. and Dettomer, P.W. World Intellectual Property Organization, WO1998048814, March 15, 2001.

- 83-Field, P. U.S. Patent, 5,681,827, October 28, 1997.
- 84- Eccleston, G. and Paterson, R., U.S. Patent 2005/0063980, March 24, 2005.
- 85-Ghisalberti, C., World Intellectual Property Organisation, WO2010092468, August19, 2010.
- 86-Hoon, K.J., Jinsungkyu, Kang, Bo-gyun, K. and Hye-ju, L. World International Property Organisation, WO 2012128520, September 27, 2012.
- 87- Kim, S.H., Son, M.H., Jang, S.W., Jun, J.H., Do, E.S., Kim, J.S., Ryu, D.S. and Ku,
 W.S, 2013. World Intellectual Property Organisation, WO 2013187720, December 19, 2013.
- 88-Vasilevich, M., Timopheevich, P., Iosiphovich, D., Alekdsndrovna, K. and Alexeevna, I. European Patent Specification, EP 2,806,880, January 24, **2013**.
- 89-Madaro, E., Dominoni, M., Marcelloni, L. and Costa, A. European Patent Specification, EP 3,184,115, December 19, 2016.
- 90-Wang, Y.K., Hsu, W.H., Wang, S.S., Lu, C.Y., Kuo, F.C., Su, Y.C., Yang, S.F., Chen, C.Y., Wu, D.C. and Kuo, C.H., *Gastroenterol. Res. Pract.* 2013.
- 91-Mohnen, D., Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 2008, 11(3), 266-277.
- 92-Rinaudo, M., Pectins and Pectinases. 1996, 14, 21.
- 93-Washington, N., Wilson, C. and Greaves, J., Danneskiold-Samsøe, P., Scand. J. Gastroenterol. **1988**, 23(8), 920-924.
- 94- Havelund, T. and Aalykke, C., Scand. J. Gastroenterol. 1997a, 32(8), 773-777.
- 95-Havelund, T., Aalykke, C. and Rasmussen, L., *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **1997b**, 9(5), 509-514.
- 96- Waterhouse, E. T., Washington, C. and Washington, N., Int. J. Pharm. 2000, 209(1-2), 79-85
- 97-Farup, P. G., Heibert, M. and Høeg, V., BMC Gastroenterol. 2009, 9(1), 3.

- 98-Foldager, J., Toftkjor, H. and Kjorn, K., U.S. Patent 5,068,109, November 16, 1991,
- 99- Foldager, J., Toftkjaer, H. and Kj, R.K., Patent Direktoratet, DK169122, August 22, **1994**.
- 100- Sanderson, G. R., Br. Polym. J. 1981, 13(2), 71-75.
- 101- Becker, A., Katzen, F., Pühler, A., Ielpi, L., 1998. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **1998**, *50*(2), 145-152.
- 102- Ielpi, L., Couso, R. and Dankert, M., J. Bacteriol. 1993, 175(9), 2490-2500.
- 103- Garcia-Ochoa, F., Santos, V., Casas, J. and Gomez, E., *Biotechnol. Adv.* 2000, 18(7), 549-579.
- 104- Brooks, W. and Gateshead., U.S. Patent 5,360,793, November 1, **1994**.
- 105- Fischer, M. H., Yu, N., Gray, G. R., Ralph, J., Anderson, L. and Marlett, J. A., *Carbohydr. Res.* 2004, 339(11), 2009-2017
- Mandlekar, S. V., Marathe, S. S. and Devarajan, P. V., Int. J. Pharm. 1997, 148(1), 117-121.



Figure 1, Flow chart illustrating the literature search according to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines.



Figure 2, Bar chart indicating the number of articles and patents included in this systematic review



Figure 3, Chemical structure of (a) alginate (*G is guluronic acid unit and M is mannuronic acid unit*), (b) pectin and (c) xanthan gum (*Me* = - *CH3*)

Table 1, Criteria used for the quality assessment of studies included in this systematic review.

Quality rank	Description
***	The selected studies in the report were considered unbiased and were included with full confidence considering the described method, results, discussion and conclusions.
**	The selected studies in the report were considered unbiased and were included with a reasonable confidence considering the described method, results, discussion and conclusions.
*	The selected studies in the report were considered unbiased and were included with some minor issues considering the described method, results, discussion and conclusions.

Reference	Quality	Reference	Quality
44	***	67	***
45	**	66	***
47	***	93	***
50	***	62	***
51	***	53	***
52	***	49	***
54	***	55	***
57	**	69	***
58	***	59	**
60	***	70	***
63	***	106	**
64	***	33	***
65	**	96	***
68	**	48	***
71	***	72	***
73	***	56	***
74	**	46	***
75	***		
94	***		
95	***		

 Table 2, Summary of quality assessment of articles included in the systematic review.

Reference	Quality	Reference	Quality
39	***	86	***
76	***	87	***
77	***	88	***
78	***	89	***
79	***	98	***
80	***	99	***
81	***	104	***
82	***		
83	***		
84	***		
85	***		

Table 3, Summary of quality assessment of articles included in the systematic review

Study design	Raft forming	Aim(s)	Conclusion (s)	Reference
	polymer			
Randomised	Alginate	To determine the effectiveness	Data was accumulated after	33
double-blind trial		and safety profile of GDA in the	collecting questionnaires from	
		reduction of heartburn and acid	patients who were given GDA or	
		regurgitation in patients	placebo drug before and after a	
		experiencing mild to moderate	randomised clinical trial. Statistical	
		GORD.	results concluded that GDA was	
			effective and had a suitable safety	
			profile in treating GORD patients.	
Physicochemical	Alginate	Study of the mechanism by	Gamma scintigraphy demonstrated	44
characterisation		which alginic acid compound	that AAC formed a viscid barrier on	
		(AAC) reduced	top of stomach acid, which helps in	
		gastroesophageal reflux (GOR)	the relief of GORD symptoms.	
		index.		
Formulation	Alginate	Evaluation of the effects of	Texture analyser was used to	45
based study		alginate molecular structure on	measure raft breaking strength. The	
		raft strength and its dimensions.	results concluded that stronger rafts	
			were formed when the molecular	
			weight of alginate is low and	
			guluronic acid content is high.	

 Table 4, Summarised characteristics of included research articles

Formulation	Alginate	Inspection of gastric pH changes	The outcomes concluded that	46
based study		and the strength of raft formed	efficacy of GORD treatment and	
		after inclusion of aluminium	raft strength decreased. Aluminium	
		hydroxide in an alginate based	hydroxide remained trapped in the	
		antacid raft formulation.	raft.	
Balanced, cross	Alginate	Evaluation of gastric residence	Gamma scintigraphy was carried	47
over study		time and extent of suppression of	out in patients who were given	
		acidity by alginate rafts in	alginate tablets, either in the	
		patients who were pre-treated	presence or absence of omeprazole	
		with omeprazole.	pre-treatment. Results obtained	
			after this trial showed pre-treatment	
			with omeprazole had no effect on	
			the raft forming ability of alginate.	
Physicochemical	Alginate	Using pH telemetry,	The results of this experiment were	48
characterisation		measurement of the in-vivo pH-	compared with Rossett and Rice	
study		time profile of two antacid	(1954) test. This test gave poor	
		formulations, Asilone [®]	results in in-vivo. Although, in-vitro	
		suspension and Gaviscon®	test was improved to set its	
		liquid, was conducted.	comparison parameter with the in-	
			vivo test for both selected anti-	
			reflux formulations	

Physicochemical	Alginate	Analysis of four different	The Rossett and Rice (1954) test 49
characterisation		globally accepted formulations	was conducted. It was concluded
study		of GA for examination of their	that all of the formulations had
		raft strengths and pH-time	different capacities to neutralise
		profiles.	gastric acid and different raft
			breaking strengths. Although,
			addition of antacid into GA had
			reduced the neutralisation
			capability and raft breaking strength
			of the formulation.
Randomised	Alginate	To test the hypothesis that	of the formulation.
Randomised	Alginate	To test the hypothesis that	of the formulation. Administration of a combination of 50
Randomised clinical trial	Alginate	To test the hypothesis that alginic acid relieves reflux	of the formulation. Administration of a combination of 50 alginic acid and antacid, given after
Randomised clinical trial	Alginate	To test the hypothesis that alginic acid relieves reflux symptoms in the upright position	of the formulation. Administration of a combination of 50 alginic acid and antacid, given after a heavy meal, relieved symptoms in
Randomised clinical trial	Alginate	To test the hypothesis that alginic acid relieves reflux symptoms in the upright position more efficiently than in supine	of the formulation. Administration of a combination of 50 alginic acid and antacid, given after a heavy meal, relieved symptoms in GORD patients more efficiently in
Randomised clinical trial	Alginate	To test the hypothesis that alginic acid relieves reflux symptoms in the upright position more efficiently than in supine position.	of the formulation. Administration of a combination of 50 alginic acid and antacid, given after a heavy meal, relieved symptoms in GORD patients more efficiently in the upright position compared with

Randomised	Alginate	In a randomised clinical trial, the	The data showed that cisapride was 51	
clinical trial		efficacy of cisapride and	not as effective at treating GORD	
		Gaviscon plus Carobel was	compared with Gaviscon plus	
		investigated	Carobel administration.	
Physicochemical	Alginate	Evaluation of the applicability of	Curves obtained as a result of creep 52	
characterisation		the creep viscometry method for	viscometry displayed raft strength	
study		categorisation of features such	of these formulations. These	
		as, raft strength of alginate-	parameters showed that creep	
		antacid tablets.	viscometry could be used for	
			evaluation of different properties of	
			rafts or other gel forming	
			formulations.	
Randomised	Alginate	Comparison of an alginate based	Radio-labelled meals were given to 53	
single-blind cross-		conventional anti-reflux	patients along with either Gaviscon	
over study		formulation (Gaviscon) with a	or Algitec. A pH electrode and	
		combination of alginate and	gamma detector were fitted into the	
		antacid (Algitec).	oesophagus to determine any	
			changes by either drug. Outcomes	
			showed that Gaviscon was	
			significantly more involved in the	

reduction of reflux symptoms compared with Algitec.

Physicochemical	Alginate	Image analysis was used to	Perimeters, areas and mean	54
characterisation		examine dimensions of bubbles	diameters were measured, and	
study		formed in alginate rafts along	results of these studies showed that	
		with assessing other features of	there is a relation between bubbles	
		these alginate rafts.	formed in alginate rafts and their	
			viscosity.	
Unblind two way	Alginate	Comparison of Topaal Whip	Gamma scintigraphy was used to	55
crossover study		with Liquid Gaviscon.	measure gastric distribution and	
		Evaluation studies included	gastric residence time of these	
		assessing the gastric distribution	formulations. Results showed that	
		and gastric residence time of	Topaal Whip was very effective as	
		these formulations.	an anti-reflux formulation.	
Cross-sectional	Alginates	Assessment of acid and non-acid	It was concluded by the findings	56
study to study		reflux into the oesophagus	that Gaviscon Advance reduces	
physicochemical		before and after administration	reflux events and decreases the	
characterisation		of GA.	reflux of gastric contents in the	
			oesophagus.	

Physicochemical	Alginates	Estimation of diffe	erent liquid	The experimental results showed	57
characterisation		products in raft for	ormation by	that, products had a higher acid	
study		evaluation of proper	ties like raft	neutralising capacity (ANC) and	
		strength,	coherence,	free of calcium ions acted as weaker	
		voluminosity and bu	ioyancy.	rafts. These formulations appeared	
				as precipitates instead of forming	
				gels.	
				Liquids with a high ANC along	
				with calcium ions formed rafts	
				having average strength and	
				volume.	
				Products which had low ANC	
				resulted in formation of strong rafts	
				having medium or large weight.	
				Liquids having low ANC and a	
				calcium ion formed the strongest	
				rafts.	

Randomised	Alginates	Comparison of the effectiveness Results of a randomised clinical
clinical trial		of sodium alginate with trial showed that, sodium alginate
		magaldrate anhydrous (antacid) had longer duration of action and
		in relieving reflux symptoms in extent to which it relieved reflux
		GORD patients.

			antacid.
Physicochemical	Alginates	Investigation of the impact of	In-vitro methods along with a 59
characterisation		GA in protecting the oesophagus	calorimetric technique were
study		from the hazardous effects of	employed to examine the effects of
		pepsin and other bile acids.	GA in protecting the oesophagus
			from gastric acid. Results showed
			that GA had a significant role in
			controlling reflux symptoms and
			protecting oesophagus from
			damage caused by gastric juices.
Physicochemical	Alginates	Comparison of the effectiveness	Raft strength and resilience of rafts 60
characterisation		of alginate suspension and	was measured using a texture
study		alginate-antacid suspension, in-	analyser. Results of these tests
		vivo and in-vitro.	showed that the formulation
			containing alginate-antacid had
			significant dominance on alginate
			rafts, both in-vivo and in-vitro.

symptoms when compared to

A pilot crossover	Alginate	Assessment of the potency of	Clinical trial in preterm infants and 62
Study		sodium alginate in relieving	evaluation of results via pH-metry
		GORD in preterm infants.	showed that a significant
			percentage of infants reduced
			GORD symptoms after
			administration of sodium alginate.
Randomised	Alginate	Estimation of the effect of	Sodium alginate decreased acid 63
clinical trial		sodium alginate in new-borns	reflux in new-borns whereas no
		suffering from GORD.	effect on non-acid reflux was
			witnessed.
Randomised	Alginate	Evaluation of the effectiveness	Multichannel impedance, 64
controlled trial		of sodium alginate, in reducing	polysomnography and pH-metry in
		the intensity of GORD related	selected GORD patients showed
		apnoea.	that reflux symptoms were reduced
			with sodium alginate
			administration

Cross-sectional	Alginate	To examine the capability of an	In a randomised clinical trial,	65
study		alginate-antacid formulation	patients were given GDAL 20	
		named Gaviscon Double Action	minutes after their meal. pH	
		Liquid (GDAL), in acid	monitoring, manometry and	
		neutralisation and acid pocket	fluoroscopy studies were	
		displacement.	performed. Results of this	
			experiment showed that post	
			prandial administration of GDAL	
			eliminated or displaced the acid	
			pocket in GORD patients.	
Randomised	Alginate	Comparison of short term	Statistical analysis of the clinical	66
clinical trial		efficacy of Gaviscon with	trial data showed that Gaviscon had	
		omeprazole in treatment of	similar results to omeprazole,	
		GORD symptoms in a clinical	achieving relief of heartburn for a	
		setup.	duration of 24 hours. Hence, it	
			could be considered as an efficient	
			treatment for GORD symptoms.	
Randomised	Alginate	Evaluation of the efficiency of	Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)	67
controlled double-		two formulations in which one is	and pH monitoring was carried out.	
blind study		an alginate-based raft	Alginate based formulations of GA	
		formulation (GA) and the other	significantly reduced reflux	

		is a non-raft-forming anti-reflux	symptoms by forming a barrier on	
		formulation in the treatment of	top of the stomach contents and	
		GORD.	proved to be better than the non-	
			raft-forming antacid formulation.	
Randomised	Alginate	To determine the effectiveness	After a randomised trial and	68
clinical trial		and safety of sodium alginate	statistical evaluation of data, it was	
		suspension and omeprazole was	concluded that both omeprazole and	
		compared in non-erosive reflux	sodium alginate suspension are	
		disease (NERD) patients.	equally effective at treating NERD.	
Double-blinded	Alginate	Comparison of the effectiveness	Manometry and pH monitoring was	69
Randomised		of two formulations in which one	carried out in patients who received	
clinical trial		was Gaviscon Double Action	two formulations. It was concluded	
		(GDA) and the other was an	that GDA was significantly more	
		antacid, in controlling	effective at controlling reflux	
		postprandial acid reflux	symptoms that occur after	
		symptoms in GORD patients.	consumption of a heavy meal.	
Randomised	Alginate	Assessment of addition of an	Patients were included in a	70
placebo-		alginate based formulation,	randomised trial and these patients	
controlled clinical		named Gaviscon Advance (GA)	received either a placebo or GA	
trial		in the treatment regime of	formulation. Results of this data	
		patients who are already taking	showed that addition of GA in these	

		PPIs for suppression of reflux symptoms.	patients taking PPI therapy further decreased the frequency of GORD symptoms in these patients.	
Double-blinded randomized- controlled study	Alginate	Testing the safety and efficiency of a pharmaceutical formulation, Mucosave [®] , on symptoms of gastric reflux [.] This formulation includes sodium alginate and sodium bicarbonate.	Administration of Mucosave® for 71 two months in GORD patients who completed mquestionnaires to investigate the effect of this formulation. Evaluation of their statistical data showed that Mucosave® significantly benefited GORD patients.	
Randomised clinical trial	Alginate	To analyse post-prandial effects of Gaviscon tablets on pH of the oesophagus in Chinese GORD patients.	Findings gathered data suggested 72 that Gaviscon Double Action tablets were suitable for the treatment of GORD symptoms.	
Randomised clinical trials	Alginate	Assessment of the effects of addition of Gaviscon to PPI therapy for the reduction of reflux symptoms.	The outcomes showed that no 73 change in the condition of patients receiving placebo drug was seen. Whereas, Gaviscon significantly	

suppressed reflux episodes when given in combination with PPI drug.

Physicochemical	Alginates	To formulate and investigate the	Raft strength, raft volume, raft 74
characterisation		effectiveness of an alginate and	weight, raft resilience, raft
study	Pectin	pectin based raft formulation by	thickness and acid neutralising
		using examining different	capacity of the raft composition
		aspects of this formulation.	concluded that the developed
			formulation had a significant
			capacity to be used as a treatment
			for GORD.
Physicochemical	Alginate	To estimate the chemical	Alginate contents, extent of acid 75
characterisation		characteristics of alginate rafts	neutralisation, and acid
study		for good raft performance and to	neutralisation profile was examined
		study how a formulation leaves	with the use of high-performance
		an impact on its chemical	liquid chromatography (HPLC).
		features.	Analysis of the effect of raft
			structure on the acid neutralisation
			profiles was also done. Obtained
			results showed that GDA was
			superior to all other competitor
			formulations.

Physicochemical	Pectin	Assessment of gastric	Results of gamma-scintigraphy 93
characterisation		distribution and gastric residence	showed that the pectin based anti-
study		time of an anti-reflux	reflux formulation was effective at
		formulation containing pectin as	reducing reflux symptoms.
		a raft-forming agent.	Moreover, properties of this
			formulation were similar to that of
			alginate based raft formulation.
Double blind	Pectin	Inspection of the capability of a	Results of 12-hr pH monitoring in 94
randomized		pectin-based raft-forming anti-	patients receiving Aflurax [®] or
placebo-		reflux formulation named	placebo drug were evaluated. It was
controlled clinical		Aflurax [®] , in reducing reflux	concluded that Aflurax [®] efficiently
trials		episodes. In addition, the extent	maintained treatment outcomes in
		to which this formulation	patients experiencing moderate to
		maintains GORD treatment was	severe GORD.
		also quantified.	
Double-blind	Pectin	Observation of effects of a pectin	After a statistical evaluation of the 95
randomized		based anti-reflux raft	outcomes of the randomised trial
clinical trials		formulation, Aflurax [®] , in	with Aflurax®, reduced symptoms
		patients experiencing moderate	of heartburn in patients who
		to severe heartburn after	experienced severe GORD
		consuming a heavy meal.	

symptoms immediately after having a high fat meal was perceived.

In-vivo and in-	Pectin	Examination of two	Rossett and Rice test was 96
<i>vitro</i> study		formulations used to suppress	performed for these two
		reflux symptoms. One	formulations. Results concluded
		formulation (Aflurax) contained	that, in <i>in-vitro</i> conditions, Aflurax
		pectin whereas the other did not.	had a greater raft strength.
Randomised	Pectin	Comparison of effects of pectin	Results of these outcome measures 97
controlled trial		based raft formulations with	concluded that esomeprazole was
		esomeprazole in patients	more effective at relieving reflux
		experiencing mild to moderate	symptoms in GORD patients.
		CORR	
		GORD.	
Physicochemical	Isapgol	GORD. Description of an anti-reflux raft	By using the Rossett and Rice 106
Physicochemical characterisation	Isapgol	GORD. Description of an anti-reflux raft formulation was provided with	By using the Rossett and Rice 106 method, <i>in-vitro</i> and <i>in-vivo</i>
Physicochemical characterisation	Isapgol	GORD. Description of an anti-reflux raft formulation was provided with the use of isapgol husk as a raft	By using the Rossett and Rice 106 method, <i>in-vitro</i> and <i>in-vivo</i> examination of the anti-reflux
Physicochemical characterisation	Isapgol	GORD. Description of an anti-reflux raft formulation was provided with the use of isapgol husk as a raft forming agent and pH-time	By using the Rossett and Rice 106 method, <i>in-vitro</i> and <i>in-vivo</i> examination of the anti-reflux formulation using isapgol husk as
Physicochemical characterisation	Isapgol	GORD. Description of an anti-reflux raft formulation was provided with the use of isapgol husk as a raft forming agent and pH-time profile of these formulations was	By using the Rossett and Rice 106 method, <i>in-vitro</i> and <i>in-vivo</i> examination of the anti-reflux formulation using isapgol husk as raft forming agent was carried out.
Physicochemical characterisation	Isapgol	GORD. Description of an anti-reflux raft formulation was provided with the use of isapgol husk as a raft forming agent and pH-time profile of these formulations was evaluated.	By using the Rossett and Rice 106 method, <i>in-vitro</i> and <i>in-vivo</i> examination of the anti-reflux formulation using isapgol husk as raft forming agent was carried out. Results and comparison of these
Physicochemical characterisation	Isapgol	GORD. Description of an anti-reflux raft formulation was provided with the use of isapgol husk as a raft forming agent and pH-time profile of these formulations was evaluated.	By using the Rossett and Rice 106 method, <i>in-vitro</i> and <i>in-vivo</i> examination of the anti-reflux formulation using isapgol husk as raft forming agent was carried out. Results and comparison of these anti-reflux formulation with
Physicochemical characterisation	Isapgol	GORD. Description of an anti-reflux raft formulation was provided with the use of isapgol husk as a raft forming agent and pH-time profile of these formulations was evaluated.	By using the Rossett and Rice 106 method, <i>in-vitro</i> and <i>in-vivo</i> examination of the anti-reflux formulation using isapgol husk as raft forming agent was carried out. Results and comparison of these anti-reflux formulation with standard formulation of alginate-
Physicochemical characterisation	Isapgol	GORD. Description of an anti-reflux raft formulation was provided with the use of isapgol husk as a raft forming agent and pH-time profile of these formulations was evaluated.	By using the Rossett and Rice 106 method, <i>in-vitro</i> and <i>in-vivo</i> examination of the anti-reflux formulation using isapgol husk as raft forming agent was carried out. Results and comparison of these anti-reflux formulation with standard formulation of alginate- antacid showed that isapgol is a

successful candidate to use as a raft forming agent for suppression of reflux symptoms

Patent number Number of family Raft forming		Summary of claim(s)	Summary of invention(s)	Reference	
	patents	polymer			
DE60023873	WO2000067799	Alginate	Claims included formation of a	A pharmaceutical composition	39
	GB9910212		preparation that comprised	containing alginate, xanthan gum and	
	ES2251997		alginates, xanthan gum and	carrageenan in certain proportions for	
	CA2371031		carrageenan gum for the	the treatment of irritation in the	
	CN1173743		treatment of lesions, irritation of	oesophagus, throat, stomach or other	
	JP2002544176		the oesophagus and other	reflux symptoms.	
	EP1614431		troublesome reflux symptoms.		
	US6610667		An active ingredient could also		
			be incorporated from any of the		
			group of acid neutralising agents,		
			anti-ulcer disease, anti-nausea		
			agents etc.		
			The compositions claimed for		
			such formulation consisted of		
			0.1-8 parts by weight of alginate,		
			0.001-3.0 parts by weight of gum		
			selected from a galactomannan.		

US4140760	JPS646172	Alginate	Pharmaceutical composition for	A pharmaceutical liquid formulation 76
	BE858003		the suppression of gastric reflux	was invented which could be used for
	CA1083964		was claimed. This liquid product	the treatment of GORD. The main
	DE2738014		consisted of sodium alginate of	ingredients present in this formulation
	FR2369843		low viscosity grade, 0.16-2.6	were sodium alginate, sodium
	GB1524740		parts by weight of sodium	bicarbonate and calcium carbonate in
	NL188892		bicarbonate, 1.2-2.0 % w/v of	fixed ratios.
			calcium carbonate and 0.10-1.04	
			parts by weight of the calcium	
			carbonate. The claimed ratios of	
			sodium bicarbonate and calcium	
			carbonate were taken per part by	
			weight of sodium alginate.	

US4744986	EP0297109	Alginate	Formation of an aqueous antac	d Invention consisted of the development 77
	JPH07103039		preparation was stable at variou	as of a formulation that was viscosity
	CA1283360		viscosity conditions. Th	is stable and comprised of alginic acid and
	DE3751478		product consisted of alginic ac	d an antacid. The preparation was stable
	WO1987005217		and an antacid, main	y even at different temperature
			aluminium salt and prepare	ed variations.
			under a variety of temperature	es
			and time durations.	

FP0506563	DF69201077	Alginate	Composition of a formulation for	The formulation of a pharmaceutical	78
11 0500505	ED0506562	<i>i</i> iigiliute			10
	EP0506563		GORD contained alginic acid,	suspension consisted of 2-1% (w/v)	
	FR2674437		aluminium hydroxide,	alginic acid, 1.5-7% (w/v) sodium	
	ES2067302		magnesium carbonate, hydrated	bicarbonate and any one of xanthan	
	DK0506563		silica and xanthan gum, and	gum, magnesium alginate and glycerol	
			magnesium alginate or glycerol	for promotion of viscosity of the	
			as thickening agents.	formulation. This suspension had the	
				ability to treat GORD.	
US5456918	JPH0482832	Alginate	Composition of a formulation	The invention consisted of ranitidine,	79
	BE1002406		was claimed which had a	alginic acid and carbonate or	
	CA1327748		significant role in the treatment	bicarbonate. The composition was in	
	DE3931215		of GORD.	the form of a capsule or tablet. It was	
	FR2636532		This preparation consisted of	suitable for the treatment of GIT	
	GB2222772		1.25%-10% w/w of ranitidine,	disorders and reflux oesophagitis.	
	NL8902338		5%-35% w/w alginate		
			component and 2%-15% w/w of		
			sodium bicarbonate or potassium		
			bicarbonate. An antacid was also		
			selected from any one of,		
			aluminium hydroxide,		
			magnesium trisilicate, to be		
			added in this formulation .		

WO1995001780		Alginate	Design of a pharmaceutical composition was claimed for the treatment and relief of indigestion, heartburn and other GIT disorders. It consisted of 5- 40 mgs H ₂ antagonist (Famotidine), 200-500 mgs alginates and 20-40 mgs simethicone optionally.	Components with formulation of H ₂ - antagonist, alginates and simethicone successfully treated and gave relief to indigestion, heartburn and other GIT related disorders.	80
WO1998023272	JP2001509791	Alginate	Making of a PPI and antacid raft- forming agent resulted in the treatment of gastrointestinal disorders. This formulation consisted of alginic acid, aluminium hydroxide and magnesium carbonate	A formulation with PPI, alginic acid and an antacid resulted in the treatment of gastrointestinal disorders.	81
KR20010020438	WO1998048814 CA2288743 CN1286468 JP2001522368 EP1842544	Alginate	Formation of a protective barrier on top of gastric muscosa was claimed. This film had the ability to form a floating raft. Formation of this raft was facilitated in the	A composition was invented that contained alginates or alginic acid. This composition was applicable for the formation of a protective film on the gastric mucosa. Presence of an average	82

	US6395307		presence	of mannuron	nic and	ratio of mannuronic acid residues and	
			guluronic	e acid, which for	ormed a	guluronic acid residues was evident in	
			raft after	coming in to	contact	this formulation. This product also	
			with gas	stric acid. Th	nis film	comprised of a known concentration of	
			consisted	of 10-90% ca	arbonate	sodium carbonate and alkali metal	
			and algin	ates or 90-10%	alginic	bicarbonate.	
			acid. It al	so comprised of	f 1-10%,		
			2-10%, 2	.5-8% or ideall	ly 4-6%		
			alkali	metal bica	rbonate,		
			polyvaler	nt metal ion, pro	referably		
			calcium o	or aluminium.			
US5681827	EP0813407	Alginate	Formatio	n of a pharma	aceutical	An aqueous pharmaceutical	83
	JPH11501044		preparatio	on suggested	d the	composition was disclosed that	
	CN1123337		treatment	of reflux oesop	phagitis,	consisted of 8% sodium alginate,	
	DE69621785		gastritis,	dyspepsia and	d peptic	potassium bicarbonate and carbomer	
	ES2174054		ulcer. Th	is preparation co	onsisted	for the treatment of gastrointestinal	
	WO1996027368		of sodiu	m alginate, po	otassium	distress and reflux oesophagitis.	
	EP0813407		bicarbona	ate, carbomer	er and		
			calcium	carbonate, in c	different		
			composit	ions. It also co	omprised		
			of any on	e of sodium hyd	droxide,		
			ethyl	parahydroxybe	enzoate,		
			sodium		butyl		

			parahydroxybenzote or sodium		
			saccharin.		
WO2003037300	US20050063980	Alginate	Formation of gels caused by the	The formation of a gastric raft 84	
	EP1441694	Pectin	interaction of alginate and pectin,	composition for the treatment of GORD	
	JP2005507409		gas producing material	consisted of alginic acid, pectin and a	
			preferably sodium or potassium	gas producing material capable of	
			bicarbonate in the presence of an	producing a non-toxic gas after coming	
			antacid for effective	in to contact with aqueous acid	
			neutralisation of gastric acid was		
			claimed.		
			Composition of this formulation		
			comprised of 50-500mg and 2-20		
			% wt. alginic acid, 5-500mg and		
			2-20 wt % pectin, 50-500 mg or		
			2-20 wt. % bicarbonate of alkali		
			along with antacid.		
WO2010092468		Alginate	Use of combination of alginates	Formation of pharmaceutical 85	
			and micro dispersed d-limonene	compositions to treat and relieve	
			in the treatment of GORD.	GORD symptoms and dyspepsia in	
			Composition claimed includes	mammals including humans. The	
			250-500 mg or 300-400mg high	composition consisted of a combination	
			and low molecular weight	of low and high molecular weight	

			alginates, 100-500mg or 200-	alginates and d-limonene in micro	
			300mg d-limonene, 150-1500mg	dispersed form. The combination could	
			or 250-1000mg antacids, alkali	be further improved with addition of an	
			metal carbonate or bicarbonate or	antacid.	
			aluminium hydroxide.		
WO2012128520	KR20120108218	Alginate	Claims included preparation of a	A pharmaceutical novel liquid was	86
			formulation containing alginic	developed with the ability to form a	
			acid as an active ingredient for	floating gel with a high structural	
			treatment of GORD. Gel strength	strength that could be administered	
			enhancing agent for the	orally.	
			formulation was selected from		
			either xanthan gum, guar gum,		
			gum arabic or pectin. This liquid		
			composition thus consisted of		
			alginic acid, alkali metal		
			bicarbonate and a gel strength		
			enhancing agent.		
WO2013187720	KR101417287	Alginate	A composition with alginic acid	Formation of a pharmaceutical	87
			or alginate and a gel strength	composition for the treatment of GORD	
			enhancer was prepared. Gel	was invented with a novel liquid	
			strength enhancer was either an	composition that improved the ease of	
			enteric polymer or	administration, simplified the	

			polysaccharide. Enteric polymer	manufacturing process, enabled
			hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	of structurally superior strength
			phthalate,	enabling treatment of GORD.
			hydroxypropylmethylcellulose	
			succinate, methacrylate polymer	
			acrylate copolymer, acrylic acid	
			etc.	
			Polysaccharide used for the	
			composition was either xanthar	
			gum, guar gum, gum arabic	
			maltodextrin or pectin	
EP2806880	WO2013111077	Alginate	Claims included the invention of	Development of pharmaceutical 88
			a pharmaceutical composition	composition for performing functions
			for anti-reflux antacids	similar to anti-reflux antacid drugs.
			containing sodium alginate and	This formulation consisted of sodium
			calcium carbonate, which	alginate, calcium carbonate and
			additionally comprised of	hydroxyapatite.
			potassium bicarbonate	
			compounds having magnesium	
			and hypoxyapatite in the fixed	

ratio of their percentage mass.

EP3184115		Alginate	Claims included formation of an	Pharmaceutical composition was	89
			oral composition for the	invented to treat conditions such as,	
			treatment of GORD. This	rapid relief of GORD, inflamed tissues	
			formulation comprised tamarind	and for the prevention of the formation	
			extract, alginic acid, carbomer,	of insoluble deposits which caused	
			carbonate or hydrogen carbonate.	obstruction of the oesophagus.	
			Composition consisted of 25-900		
			mg per dosage unit of alginic		
			acid but 50-500 mg		
			concentration was most		
			preferable.		
US5068109	EP0286085	Pectin	Formation of an antacid	The inclusion of pectin in the composed	98
	JP2710375		composition comprising 1-50%	antacid displayed floating properties	
	CA1319106		by weight of low methoxylated	and reduced the symptoms of GORD or	
	DE3872560		pectin was claimed. This	for alleviation of upper GIT disorders.	
	DK179687		preparation also included 1-30%		
	ES2033977		by weight of neutralising agent		
	WO1988007862		such as magnesium subcarbonate		
			or potassium bicarbonate, and a		
			buffering agent.		

DK169122		Pectin	Formation of an antacid	Invention included development of an 99
			preparation having an acid	antacid preparation containing pectin
			neutralising agent that has the	and acid neutralising agent such as
			ability to become entrapped in	antacid. Antacid had the capability of
			the gel formed by pectin was	becoming trapped in the gel structure of
			claimed.	pectin at acidic pH. This formulation
				formed a gel or foam on contact with an
				acid and a gas-forming agent such as,
				carbonate, bicarbonate or
				subcarbonate.
US5360793	EP0626168	Xanthan Gum	This patent claimed the	Invention comprised formulation 104
	JPH06340534		development of a composition	containing antacid, which had the
	CA2123534		for the treatment of gastric	ability to form a gel-like floating layer
	DE69423812		reflux. The formulation	after coming in to contact with aqueous
	ES2145092		comprised of 0.5-6.0; wt %	acid. This antacid composition
			aluminium hydroxide, 0.1-1.8	included xanthan gum as an active
			wt% xanthan gum, 0.1-1.8 wt%	ingredient, aluminium hydroxide as an
			carbonate ions 5-50 wt%	antacid and a gas forming agent that
			earconate 10110, 550 Wt/0	undere und a gas forming agoint that

bulking	agent,	water,	generated non-toxic gas when it came
preservatives	and colorant	•	in to contact with aqueous acid