

Citation for published version: Stone, B, Cazzola, D, McGuigan, P, Holsgrove, T, Gill, R, Gheduzzi, S, Miles, T, Stokes, K, Trewartha, G & Preatoni, E 2014, Spinal muscle activity in simulated rugby union scrummaging is affected by different engagement conditions. in Book of Abstract of the 10th Bath Biomechanics Symposium: Current Issues and Future Opportunities in Orthopaedic Research.

Publication date: 2014

Document Version Early version, also known as pre-print

Link to publication

Publisher Rights CC BY-NC-ŠA

University of Bath

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

SPINAL MUSCLE ACTIVITY IN SIMULATED RUGBY UNION SCRUMMAGING IS **AFFECTED BY DIFFERENT ENGAGEMENT CONDITIONS** *Stone, B., *Cazzola, D., *McGuigan, M.P., #Holsgrove, T.P., #Gill, R., #Gheduzzi, S., #Miles, A.W., *Stokes, K.A.,

*Trewartha, G., *Preatoni, E. *Sport, Health & Exercise Science, Department for Health, University of Bath, UK [#]Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Bath, UK

Introduction

- Previous kinetic and kinematics studies on machine ⁽¹⁾ and live ⁽²⁾ scrummaging identified that the 'crouch-bind-set' (CBS) engagement condition led to a reduction of the biomechanical load experienced by front row players when compared to 'crouchtouch-set' (CTS)
- This reduction in spinal loading may affect the player's spinal muscle activity through the scrum

Aim

- To evaluate the effect of 3 simulated scrummage conditions (Figure 2) on erector spinae, upper trapezius and sternocleidomastoid activity:
 - Crouch-Touch-Set (players engage and bind simultaneously)
 - Crouch-Bind-Set (players pre-bind before they engage)
 - Two versus one Live condition (passive engagement)



Figure 1. STCL (sternocledomastoid), TRAP (trapezius) and ES (erector spinae) muscles activation in Pre Engagement, Engagement and Sustained Push scrum phases, across CBS, CTS and Live conditions.

References

(1) (1) Preatoni et al. (2014). BJSM [Epub ahead of print] 10.1136/bjsports-2013-092938 (2) (2) Cazzola et al. (2014). BJSM [Epub ahead of print] doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2013-092904

Pre Eng - CTS Eng - CTS S Push - CTS Pre Eng - CBS Eng - CBS S Push - CBS





Figure 2. Scrum engagements (Crouch-Bind-Set) – (Crouch-Touch-Set) - Live

Results

- (p < 0.05) during the engagement phase (Figure 1).





Discussion

- stiffening strategies.



Live

• The activity of the upper trapezius and sternocleidomastoid tended to be higher in CBS than CTS condition, significantly so

Erector Spinae activity was significantly higher in the live condition than either the CBS or CTS during the sustained push.

• The increased activity of the upper trapezius and sternocleidomastoid in the CBS condition suggest that the prebind, prior to engagement, may better prepare the cervical spine by increasing stiffness during the engagement phase, where contact forces are higher and potentially more hazardous.

Machine scrummaging does not replicate the muscular demands of the erector spinae in live scrummaging, and for this reason, we advise novice rugby union forwards to practise scrummaging in live situations to improve their lower trunk

CONTACT