



Citation for published version:

Farrow, M, Lutteroth, C, Rouse, P & Bilzon, J 2019, 'Virtual-reality exergaming improves performance during high-intensity interval training', *European Journal of Sport Science*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 719-727.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2018.1542459>

DOI:

[10.1080/17461391.2018.1542459](https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2018.1542459)

Publication date:

2019

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in *European Journal of Sport Science* on 7 November 2018, available online: <http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/17461391.2018.1542459>

University of Bath

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **Virtual-reality exergaming improves performance during high-intensity interval**
2 **training**

3

4 Matthew Farrow^{1,3} Christof Lutteroth^{2,3}, Peter C. Rouse¹, James L. J. Bilzon^{1,3}

5

6 ¹ Department for Health, University of Bath, Bath UK

7 ² Department of Computer Science, University of Bath, Bath UK

8 ³ Centre for the Analysis of Motion, Entertainment Research and Applications (CAMERA),
9 University of Bath, Bath UK

10

11

12

13

14 **Corresponding author:**

15 Professor James Bilzon, Department for Health, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK

16 Email: J.Bilzon@bath.ac.uk

17 Tel: +44 (0)1225 383174

18 **Word Count:** 3887

19 **Abstract**

20 **Purpose:** To determine if: i) mean power output and enjoyment of high-intensity interval
21 training (HIIT) are enhanced by virtual-reality (VR)-exergaming (*track* mode) compared to
22 standard ergometry (*blank* mode), ii) if mean power output of HIIT can be increased by
23 allowing participants to race against their own performance (*ghost* mode) or by increasing the
24 resistance (*hard* mode), without compromising exercise enjoyment.

25 **Methods:** Sixteen participants (8 males, 8 females, $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$: $41.2 \pm 10.8 \text{ ml}^{-1} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$)
26 completed four VR-HIIT conditions in a partially-randomised cross-over study; 1a) *blank*,
27 1b) *track*, 2a) *ghost*, and 2b) *hard*. VR-HIIT sessions consisted of eight 60 s high-intensity
28 intervals at a resistance equivalent to 70% (77% for *hard*) maximum power output (P_{MAX}),
29 interspersed by 60 s recovery intervals at 12.5% P_{MAX} , at a self-selected cadence. Expired
30 gases were collected and VO_2 measured continuously. Post-exercise questionnaires were
31 administered to identify differences in indices related to intrinsic motivation, subjective
32 vitality, and future exercise intentions.

33 **Results:** Enjoyment was higher for *track* vs. *blank* (difference: 0.9; 95% CI: 0.6, 1.3) with no
34 other differences between conditions. There was no difference in mean power output for
35 *track* vs. *blank*, however it was higher for *track* vs. *ghost* (difference: 5 Watts; CI: 3, 7), and
36 *hard* vs. *ghost* (difference: 19 Watts; 95% CI: 15, 23).

37 **Conclusions:** These findings demonstrate that VR-exergaming is an effective intervention to
38 increase enjoyment during a single bout of HIIT in untrained individuals. The presence of a
39 ghost may be an effective method to increase exercise intensity of VR-HIIT.

40

41 **Key Words:** gamification; exercise intensity; intrinsic motivation, enjoyment

42 **Introduction**

43 Insufficient physical activity accounts for 6-10% of deaths from major non-communicable
44 diseases worldwide (Lee et al., 2012). A lack of time is frequently cited as the most common
45 barrier to physical activity participation (Troost, Owen, Bauman, Sallis, & Brown, 2002).
46 Consequently, interval training has received substantial attention as a time-efficient solution,
47 particularly due to reported physiological benefits and psychological responses (MacInnis &
48 Gibala, 2017; Stork, Banfield, Gibala, & Martin Ginis, 2017). High-intensity interval
49 training (HIIT) typically involves short bursts of near maximal exercise (>80% maximum
50 heart rate) interspersed with low-intensity recovery phases (Gibala, Little, MacDonald, &
51 Hawley, 2012). It is now well-established that HIIT produces beneficial effects over
52 moderate-intensity continuous training for a range of relevant health outcomes, including,
53 cardiorespiratory fitness, insulin sensitivity, and vascular function (Jelleyman et al., 2015;
54 Milanovic, Sporis, Weston, 2015; Ramos, Dalleck, Tjonna, Beetham, & Coombes, 2015).
55 Despite the greater physical demands, an acute bout of HIIT can also be perceived as more
56 enjoyable and a preferred exercise modality compared to moderate intensity continuous
57 training, making HIIT a promising solution to the physical inactivity endemic (Thum,
58 Parsons, Whittle, & Astorino, 2017).

59 Short-term training adherence to HIIT in laboratory settings is high, and preliminary
60 evidence suggests participants are able to independently adhere to prescribed HIIT
61 programmes for at least 4-5 weeks (Jung, Bourne, Beauchamp, Robinson, & Little. 2015;
62 Vella, Taylor, & Drummer, 2017). However, given that 40-65% of individuals withdraw after
63 3-6 months of initiating a physical activity programme, concerns remain about the long-term
64 adherence, particularly in habitually inactive individuals (Annesi, 2001; Biddle & Batterham,
65 2015; Dishman & Buckworth, 1996). These concerns are supported a recent study, reporting
66 that following a HIIT intervention in overweight/obese adults, only 40% were adhering to the

67 programme 12-months later (Roy et al., 2018). In line with self-determination theory,
68 intrinsic motivation is a key regulator of long-term physical activity behaviour, underpinned
69 by feelings of enjoyment, personal accomplishment, and excitement (Teixeira, Carraca
70 Markland, Silva, & Ryan, 2012). In particular, the enjoyment of physical activity can predict
71 long-term physical activity behaviour (i.e. adherence after 6 and 12 months), to a greater
72 extent than self-efficacy, among inactive individuals (Lewis, 2016). Furthermore,
73 autonomous regulators of exercise behaviour such as enjoyment are associated with
74 subjective vitality, a positive indicator of psychological well-being (Rouse, Ntoumanis, Duda,
75 Jolly, Williams, 2011). Therefore, while exercise enjoyment an important outcome per se,
76 increasing exercise enjoyment may also promote adherence to a HIIT programme.

77 Virtual-reality (VR) - exergaming provides a potential vehicle for delivering HIIT to
78 increase exercise effort and enjoyment. VR-exergaming is defined as the integration of a
79 cycle ergometer into an immersive video game environment, with this technology becoming
80 increasingly prevalent in fitness centres and gymnasias. Previous studies have demonstrated
81 that adherence to moderate-intensity cycling in young men was higher among those using
82 integrated video game ergometers compared to standard ergometry (Rhodes, Warburton, &
83 Bredin, 2009; Warburton et al., 2007). This may be explained by the higher levels of
84 enjoyment reported in response to an acute bout of moderate-intensity cycling with the
85 addition of a video game component compared to standard ergometry (Glen, Eston,
86 Loetscher, & Partitt, 2017; Monedero, Lyons, & O'Gorman, 2015; Warburton et al., 2007).
87 Similarly, while the gamification of HIIT is perceived to be more enjoyable than low-
88 intensity walking, it is unclear if VR-HIIT can increase acute effort and/or enjoyment
89 compared to standard ergometry HIIT (Moholdt, Weie, Chorianopoulos, Wang, & Hagen,
90 2017).

91 If VR-HIIT can enhance exercise enjoyment and elicit greater physiological
92 adaptations, it may offset some of the progressive fatigue and negative affective responses
93 experienced during traditional HIIT (Frazao et al., 2016; Wood, Olive, LaValle, Thompson,
94 Greer, & Astorino, 2016). Evidence suggests that more immersive and integrated exergame
95 solutions generate greater enjoyment and higher exercise intensity during self-regulated
96 intensity cycling (Glen et al., 2017). For example, allowing individuals to visualise and
97 compete against a virtual competitor can increase exercise intensity compared to no visual
98 display, by distracting the individual away from feelings of fatigue (Glen et al., 2017; Shaw,
99 Buckley, Corballis, Lutteroth, & Wuensche, 2016; Williams, Jones, Sparks, Marchant,
100 Midgley, & McNaughton, 2015). Given the positive psychological responses to exergaming,
101 it is plausible that exercise intensity of HIIT may be substantially increased and that
102 participants will engage to meet the increased demand. Such methods may therefore be
103 effective in increasing and/or maintaining exercise intensity during HIIT.

104 The objectives of this study were to determine if: i) mean power output and
105 enjoyment of an acute bout of HIIT are enhanced by VR-exergaming (*track* mode) compared
106 to standard ergometry (*blank* mode), and ii) mean power output of HIIT can be increased by
107 allowing participants to race against their own performance (*ghost* mode) or by increasing the
108 resistance (*hard* mode), without compromising exercise enjoyment.

109

110 **Methods**

111 *Participants*

112 A total of 21 young, healthy men and women volunteered and provided written informed
113 consent to participate in this study. The inclusion criteria were: aged between 18 and 40
114 years, classified as sedentary or recreationally active as determined by the International

115 Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ), and no contraindications to vigorous exercise as
116 determined by a Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (PAR-Q) (Thomas, Reading &
117 Shephard, 1992) and a general health questionnaire. The study was approved by the
118 University of Bath's Research Ethics Approval Committee for Health (REACH) and
119 conformed to the requirements of the Declaration of Helsinki. Five participants withdrew
120 from the study before completion of all visits, due to injury (n=1), personal time constraints
121 (n=3), and an unwillingness to complete all aspects of the study (n=1). A total of 16
122 participants (8 male and 8 female) completed all study visits (Table 1).

123 *Experimental design*

124 Participants visited the laboratory on five separate occasions, at least 3 days apart, for
125 baseline testing (one visit) and four VR-HIIT sessions. Baseline testing consisted of an
126 assessment of peak aerobic capacity and a HIIT familiarisation session. The four VR-HIIT
127 conditions were i) *blank* mode ii) *track* mode, iii) *ghost* mode, and iv) *hard* mode. Due to
128 game design it was not possible to fully randomise the order of trials; however, the order
129 within each set of trials was randomised. The first set of trials for each participant were
130 always the *blank* and *track* modes, and the second set were always the *ghost* and *hard* modes.
131 Prior to each VR-HIIT session, participants were asked to avoid vigorous physical activity
132 (48-h prior), caffeine and alcohol (24-h prior), and food and fluids (except water) (3-h prior).
133 Compliance with these procedures was checked verbally prior to the start of each trial.

134 *Baseline testing*

135 Peak oxygen uptake capacity ($\dot{V}O_{2peak}$) was determined during a continuous incremental
136 cycling test on an electronically braked cycle ergometer (Lode, Excalibur Sport, the
137 Netherlands). The test began with a 3-minute warm-up at 50 W before a 20 W · min⁻¹
138 continuous ramp protocol, whereby participants cycled at a self-selected cadence until they

139 could no longer cycle above 50 rpm. $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ was defined as the highest 15-breath rolling
140 average achieved during the test. In all tests, two or more of the following criteria were met:
141 heart rate (HR) within 10 beats of age-predicted maximum (220-age), respiratory exchange
142 ratio ≥ 1.15 , rating of perceived exertion (RPE) ≥ 19 , and/or volitional exhaustion
143 (Thompson, Gordon, & Pescatello, 2010).

144 Following 20-minute rest, participants completed a HIIT familiarisation session consisting of
145 8 x 60 s intervals at 70% maximum power output (P_{MAX}) with 60 s recovery intervals at
146 12.5% P_{MAX} , including a one-minute warm-up and cool-down. The purpose of this session
147 was to familiarise participants with the desired exertion the HIIT protocol required and the
148 Category-Ratio 10 Scale (CR-10) scale, as this scale was not visible during the VR-HIIT
149 sessions (Borg, 1982).

150 *VR-HIIT sessions*

151 All VR-HIIT sessions were performed on the same electronically braked cycle ergometer as
152 used in baseline testing whilst wearing a commercially available head-mounted display
153 (Vive, HTC, Taiwan) connected to a PC (Intel Xeon E5 2680, USA), running the Unity game
154 engine. The game involved cycling along a straight road whilst avoiding slow-moving trucks,
155 whereby players could lean their head left or right to move laterally. A sunny scene was
156 displayed during the low-intensity phases (warm-up, recovery intervals, and cool-down) to
157 evoke a relaxed mood. A night scene was displayed during the high-intensity phases with
158 police cars with emergency flashing lights following the player, to evoke a sense of pressure
159 and urgency (Figure 1). In the case of a collision with a truck, the truck simply disappeared
160 without further consequence.

161 **[INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE]**

162 During all game modes (*blank*, *track*, *ghost*, and *hard*) a countdown timer for the current
163 phase and the current RPM were shown. At the start of the *ghost* and *hard* modes, a message
164 was displayed reading “the exergame may change the intensity of the workout to make it
165 easier or harder”. The distance behind or ahead of the ghost avatar during the exercise was
166 displayed throughout the game. On-screen prompts were displayed to alert participants that
167 each high-intensity phase was beginning and ending.

168 The *blank* mode acted as the control condition and involved a blank blue screen being
169 displayed throughout. The *track* mode was the basic game mode, with the purpose to record
170 the participant’s performance for the *ghost* and *hard* modes. During the *ghost* and *hard*
171 modes, the participant’s *track* mode performance was displayed as a separate avatar, which
172 reset to the same starting point as the participant at the start of each high-intensity phase.
173 Participants were instructed at the start of the *ghost* and *hard* sessions that the aim of the
174 game was to beat their ghost avatar during the high-intensity phases.

175 Each participant’s average RPM for high-intensity and low-intensity phases was recorded
176 during the familiarisation session and used to calculate the corresponding torque to achieve
177 70% P_{MAX} and 12.5% P_{MAX} for the *blank*, *track*, and *ghost* modes (Brown et al., 2016). Each
178 VR-HIIT consisted of a one-minute warm up, followed by eight 60 s high-intensity intervals
179 interspersed by 60 s low-intensity phases, and a one-minute cool-down. The torque of the
180 high-intensity phases in the *hard* mode was set 10% higher than in the other three conditions,
181 whilst the low-intensity torque remained unchanged. Before each *blank* and *track* condition,
182 to allow participants to self-select their desired intensity, the following message was
183 displayed:

184 “If you cycle at ___ rpm during the low-intensity phase and ___ rpm during the high-intensity
185 phase, you will match the intensity of exercise that you performed in the familiarisation

186 session. If you cycle at a higher rpm, then the intensity will be harder. If you cycle at a lower
187 rpm, then the intensity will be lower. We would like you to at least equal the intensity you
188 exercised in the familiarisation session.”

189 *Physiological measures*

190 Throughout each VR-HIIT exercise bout, expired gases were collected continuously using an
191 online metabolic cart (ParvoMedics TrueOne 2400, Utah, USA), and used to calculate total
192 oxygen consumption ($\dot{V}O_2$) (Frayn, 1983). Total session energy expenditure (kcal) was
193 calculated using: $0.550 \cdot \dot{V}CO_2 + 4.471 \cdot \dot{V}O_2$ (Jeukendrup & Wallis, 2005) for high-intensity
194 exercise. When RER exceeded 1.0, energy expenditure was calculated assuming a
195 relationship of 5 kcal utilized for each 1 L of O_2 consumed (Williams et al., 2013). Peak HR
196 (Polar H10, Kempele, Finland) for each high-intensity bout was recorded and is presented as
197 a % of maximum HR achieved during the maximal exercise test ($\%HR_{MAX}$).

198 *Psychological measures*

199 Participants were asked for their RPE (CR-10) (Borg, 1982) immediately following each
200 high-intensity interval and completion of the exercise session. Immediately following
201 completion of exercise in a VR-HIIT session, participants completed a set of questionnaires
202 (see below).

203 **Intrinsic motivation** - Three subscales (Interest/Enjoyment, Effort/Importance, and
204 Competence) of the Intrinsic Motivation Inventory (IMI) (Ryan, 1982) were administered.
205 Responses were scored on a 7-point Likert scale ranging from “not at all true” (1) to “very
206 true” (7).

207 **Subjective vitality** - A five-item version of the Subjective Vitality Scale (Ryan & Frederick,
208 1997) included five statements: i) At this moment, I feel alive and vital, ii) Currently I feel so
209 alive I just want to burst, iii) At this time I have energy and spirit, iv) At this moment I feel

210 alert and awake, and v) I feel energized right now. Responses were scored on a 7-point Likert
211 scale ranging from “not at all true” (1) to “very true” (7).

212 **Exercise intentions** - Participant’s intentions to engage in the exercise just completed over
213 the next month was assessed using a 2-item measure (Jung, Bourne, & Little, 2014). The two
214 items were statements: i) I intend to engage in the type of exercise I performed today at least
215 3 times per week during the next month; and ii) I intend to engage in the type of exercise I
216 performed today at least 5 times per week during the next month. Responses were scored on a
217 7-point scale ranging from “very unlikely” (1) to “very likely” (7). Participants were told that
218 they should assume they had access to the VR-exergaming equipment when answering these
219 questions.

220 *Statistical analyses*

221 Based on the data produced by Barathi et al. (2018), it was calculated that 20 participants
222 were needed to identify a statistically significant difference in mean power output between
223 *track* and *ghost* conditions (effect size = 0.67), with a power of 0.8 and alpha set at 0.05. Due
224 to technical error for 2 participants, all $\dot{V}O_2$, energy expenditure, and %HR_{MAX} analyses were
225 performed for 14 participants. All other analyses were performed using data from all 16
226 participants. As we failed to reach our calculated a-prior sample size, a post-hoc analysis of
227 the difference in mean power output between the track and ghost conditions (effect size =
228 1.10) was performed, revealing a power of 0.99 with alpha set at 0.05. To identify sex
229 differences in post-exercise psychological measures, two-way repeated measures ANOVAs
230 (trial x sex) were performed. There were no significant interaction effects and therefore all
231 consequent analyses were performed with males and females grouped together. Due to
232 complex trial design (i.e. two randomised groups) and instructions given to participants
233 between trials, it was deemed appropriate to conduct two-way paired t-tests with Ryan-Holm

234 Bonferroni step-wise adjustments (*track vs. blank, ghost vs. track, hard vs. ghost*) for average
235 power output, energy expenditure, and all post-exercise psychological measures. Significance
236 was accepted at $P < 0.05$. Data are presented as Δ change scores with 95% CI's unless
237 otherwise stated. In addition, effect sizes (Cohen's d) are included, and interpreted as: small
238 effect = 0.20-0.49, medium effect = 0.50-0.79, and large effect ≥ 0.80 (Cohen, 1988).

239 **Results**

240 Five of the 16 participants reported that they noticed the increased resistance in the *hard*
241 condition in comparison to the *ghost* condition.

242 **[INSERT TABLE 1 HERE]**

243 On average, participant's exercised at 74 ± 3 , 74 ± 3 , 76 ± 4 , and 84 ± 5 % of P_{MAX} during the
244 *blank*, *track*, *ghost*, and *hard* conditions respectively. There was no significant difference in
245 mean power output for *track* vs. *blank* (Table 2). Mean power output was 3% higher for *ghost*
246 vs. *track* ($P < 0.01$; $d = 0.10$), and 9% higher for *hard* vs. *ghost* ($P < 0.01$; $d = 0.36$) (Table 2).
247 There was no significant difference in total energy expenditure for *track* vs. *blank* (Table 2).
248 There was a 7% higher total energy expenditure for *ghost* vs. *track* ($P = 0.04$, $d = 0.27$) (Table
249 2). Total energy expenditure was 12% higher for *hard* vs. *ghost* ($P < 0.01$, $d = 0.45$) (Table 2).

250 **[INSERT TABLE 2 HERE]**

251 Interest/enjoyment was significantly higher ($P < 0.01$, $d = 1.67$) for *track* vs. *blank*, with no
252 other significant differences between conditions (Figure 2). Subjective vitality was
253 significantly higher for *track* vs. *blank* (difference: 0.8, 95% CI: 0.1, 1.5, $p = 0.03$, $d = 0.76$),
254 with no other significant differences between conditions. Session RPE was significantly
255 higher (difference: 0.9, 95% CI: 0.4, 1.3, $P < 0.01$; $d = 0.65$) for *hard* vs. *ghost*, with no other
256 significant differences between conditions. There were no significant differences in
257 effort/importance or exercise intentions between conditions.

258 **[INSERT FIGURE 2 HERE]**

259

260

261 **Discussion**

262

263 The primary findings of this study are that: i) an acute bout of HIIT is more enjoyable when
264 delivered through a VR-exergaming platform compared to standard ergometry; ii) when
265 participants are able to visualise and race against their previous performance, they perform
266 HIIT at a higher intensity and; iii) by increasing the mechanical ergometer resistance,
267 exercise intensity can be further increased, without compromising exercise session
268 enjoyment.

269 As reported across various cycling exergaming studies, enjoyment in the present study was
270 higher in the basic exergaming condition (*track*) compared to the control condition (*blank*),
271 demonstrating that an acute bout of HIIT can be made more enjoyable by integrating it into a
272 VR-exergaming platform (Mondero et al., 2015; Rhodes et al., 2009; Warburton et al., 2007).
273 The concomitant increase in subjective vitality observed between *blank* and *track* modes
274 demonstrates that VR-exergaming elicited feelings of excitement and energy, indicating that
275 intrinsic motivation was fostered (Ryan & Frederick, 1997). These differences did not
276 translate into any change in exercise intentions between *blank* and *track* modes, which we
277 speculate may be attributed to the novelty of the VR-equipment, with participants failing to
278 immediately accept their future access to the equipment. In contrast with a similar previous
279 study design, there were no differences in measures of exercise intensity (%HR_{MAX}, power
280 output, energy expenditure) between *blank* and *track* modes (Glen et al., 2017). This may
281 either reflect the level of immersiveness of our basic VR-exergaming mode which failed to
282 distract users from the exercise (Glen et al., 2017) or the instructions given to participants
283 prior to both *blank* and *track* modes. However, given that acute exercise enjoyment is a
284 predictor of future adherence, this finding highlights the potential of VR-exergaming as a
285 method of promoting HIIT to the general population (Lewis, 2016). Further research should

286 determine whether VR-exergaming interventions sustain enjoyment and exercise intentions
287 over time.

288 Participants worked harder during HIIT when they were able to visualise and race against
289 their previous performance, as evidenced by a ~3% increase in mean power output between
290 the *ghost* and *track* modes. This is in contrast with findings from competitive male cyclists,
291 who performed no faster during a 16.1 km time-trial when shown a visual display of
292 themselves compared to no visual display (Williams et al., 2015). This likely reflects the
293 difference in the training status of participants, with trained cyclists able to pace themselves,
294 whereas non-cyclists aren't aware of pacing strategies and therefore VR provides a
295 distraction from perceptions or sensations of fatigue (Glen et al., 2017). This increase in
296 intensity between the *ghost* and *track* modes may have substantial applications to the delivery
297 of HIIT programmes in the real-world, providing a self-adjustment tool for increases in
298 maximum power output, and motivating individuals to exercise at a sufficient intensity
299 (Weston, Taylor, Batterham, & Hopkins, 2014). Importantly, this increase was achieved
300 without any adverse psychological responses (i.e. no difference in perceived exertion,
301 enjoyment, or subjective vitality for *track* vs. *ghost*). Instead, an increase in competence was
302 observed, likely due to the participant's being able to meet the challenge of out-performing
303 their previous performance, indicating this may also be a feasible method to foster intrinsic
304 motivation during HIIT (Teixeira et al., 2012).

305 A novel finding of this study is that participants exercised at a substantially higher intensity
306 (~9% increase in mean power output between *ghost* and *hard* modes) during VR-HIIT when
307 the mechanical resistance of the ergometer was increased by 10%. This mimics findings from
308 moderately trained males, who performed a 2000-m time-trial faster when able to visualise
309 their best familiarisation performance, although they were told this was another individual
310 (Corbett, Barwood, Ouzounoglou, Thelwell, & Dicks, 2012). We believe the response

311 achieved in the present study may be attributed to the ‘feedforward’ concept, whereby the
312 participant identifies with the ghost avatar as a ‘self-model’ performing at a level they have
313 yet to achieve, motivating them to meet the increased challenge (Basso & Belardinelli, 2006).
314 The nature of HIIT (i.e. short bursts of intense exercise) is likely to aid this effect, as
315 participants can realistically meet the demands of the challenge during the initial high-
316 intensity phases, ensuring competence is maintained, and enhancing motivation for the more
317 challenging phases ahead, as fatigue develops. Despite an increase in perceived exertion,
318 there were no changes in psychological variables (e.g. intrinsic motivation, subjective
319 vitality), suggesting that although participant’s recognised that they were working harder, it
320 may be a viable method to increase the intensity of HIIT whilst maintaining exercise
321 enjoyment.

322

323 **Limitations**

324 Firstly, due to the game design it was not possible to fully randomise the order of the trials,
325 and therefore a familiarisation effect may be present, particularly when comparing *track* vs.
326 *ghost*. Secondly, it could be argued that psychological outcomes (e.g. enjoyment) of the
327 control condition (i.e. *blank* mode) may be lower compared to traditional HIIT (i.e. without a
328 VR-headset). We used a blank VR condition to counteract any negative side-effects
329 associated with first-time VR-headset use. Thirdly, the sample group consisted largely of
330 recreationally active individuals with moderate fitness levels, and therefore the effectiveness
331 of such methods in habitually inactive individuals is unclear. Finally, this was an acute study,
332 which assessed acute response to a single exercise session. Whether these responses have any
333 meaningful impact on exercise adherence remains to be determined.

334

335 **Conclusion**

336 VR-exergaming increases the enjoyment of a single bout of HIIT and may be an effective
337 tool to engage the general population with HIIT as an exercise training mode. By allowing
338 individuals to visualise their previous performance, it is possible to increase the exercise
339 stress of HIIT. This also appears to motivate participants to overcome an increase in
340 mechanical resistance and work significantly harder, without negatively influencing
341 enjoyment.

342 **Acknowledgements**

343 We would like to thank Soumya Barathi, Alex Whaley, and Dr Daniel J. Finnegan for their
344 contributions to the design and programming of the game.

345 **Disclosure**

346 The author's declare no conflict of interest.

347 **Funding**

348 This work was supported by the Engineering & Physical Sciences Research Council
349 (EPSRC) under Grant Number EP/M023281/1.

350 **References**

351 Annesi, J. J. (2001). Effects of music, television, and a combination entertainment
352 system on distraction, exercise adherence, and physical output in adults. *Canadian Journal of*
353 *Behavioural Science*, 33(3),193-202.

354 Barathi, C. S., Finnegan, D. J., Farrow, M., Whaley, A., Heath, P., Buckley, J.,
355 Dowrick, P. W., Wünsche, C. B., Bilzon, L. J. J., O'Neill, E., & Lutteroth, C. (2018).
356 Interactive feedforward for improving performance and maintaining intrinsic motivation for
357 VR exergaming. *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing*
358 *Systems*. doi: 10.1145/3173574.3173982

359 Basso, D., & Belardinelli, M. O. (2006). The role of the feedforward paradigm in
360 cognitive psychology. *International Quarterly of Cognitive Science*, 7(2),73-88.

361 Biddle, S. J. H., & Batterham, A. M. (2015). High-intensity interval exercise training
362 for public health: a big HIT or shall we HIT it on the head? *International Journal of*
363 *Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 12, 95. doi: 10.1186/s12966-015-0254-9.

364 Borg, A. V. G. (1982). Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. *Medicine &*
365 *Science in Sports & Exercise*, 14, 377-81.

366 Brown, D. M. Y., Teseo, A. J., & Bray, S. R. (2016). Effects of autonomous
367 motivational priming on motivation and affective responses towards high-intensity interval
368 training. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 34, 1491-99.

369 Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences*. Hoboken:
370 Taylor and Francis.

371 Corbett, J. J., Barwood, J. M., Ouzounoglou, J.A., Thelwell, J. R., & Dicks, J. M.
372 (2012). Influence of Competition on Performance and Pacing during Cycling Exercise.
373 *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 44, 509-15.

374 Dishman, R. K., & Buckworth, J. (1996). Increasing physical activity: A quantitative
375 synthesis. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 28, 706-19.

376 Frayn, K. N. (1983). Calculation of substrate oxidation rates in vivo from gaseous
377 exchange. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 121, 628-34.

378 Frazao, D. T., de Farias, L. F., Dantas, T. C. B., Krinski, K., Elsangedy, H. M.,
379 Prestes, J., Hardcastle, S. J., & Costa, E. C. (2016). Feeling of Pleasure to High-Intensity
380 Interval Exercise Is Dependent of the Number of Work Bouts and Physical Activity Status.
381 *Plos One*, 11(3). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0152752.

382 Gibala, M. J., Little, J. P., MacDonald, M. J., & Hawley J. A. (2012). Physiological
383 adaptations to low-volume, high-intensity interval training in health and disease. *Journal of*
384 *Physiology*, 590, 1077-84.

385 Glen, K., Eston, R., Loetscher, T., & Partitt, G. (2017). Exergaming: Feels good
386 despite working harder. *Plos One*, 12(10). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0186526.

387 Jelleyman, C., Yates, T., Donovan, G., Gray, L. J., King, J. A., Khunti, K., & Davis,
388 M. J. (2015). The effects of high- intensity interval training on glucose regulation and insulin
389 resistance: A meta- analysis. *Obesity Reviews*, 16(11), 942-61.

390 Jeukendrup, A. E., & Wallis, G. A. (2005). Measurement of substrate oxidation
391 during exercise by means of gas exchange measurements. *International Journal of Sports*
392 *Medicine*, 26, S28-S37.

393 Jung, M. E., Bourne, J. E., Beauchamp, M. R., Robinson, E., & Little, J. P. (2015).
394 High-Intensity Interval Training as an Efficacious Alternative to Moderate-Intensity
395 Continuous Training for Adults with Prediabetes. *Journal of Diabetes Research*. doi:
396 10.1155/2015/191595.

397 Jung, M. E., Bourne, J. E., & Little, J. P. (2014).Where Does HIT Fit? An
398 Examination of the Affective Response to High-Intensity Intervals in Comparison to

399 Continuous Moderate- and Continuous Vigorous-Intensity Exercise in the Exercise Intensity-
400 Affect Continuum. *Plos One*, 9(12). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0114541.

401 Lee, I. M., Shiroma, E. J., Lobelo, F., Puska, P., Blair, S. N., & Katzmarzyk, P. T.
402 (2012). Effect of physical inactivity on major non-communicable diseases worldwide: an
403 analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy. *Lancet*, 380(9838), 219-29.

404 Lewis, B. A. (2016). Self- efficacy versus perceived enjoyment as predictors of
405 physical activity behaviour. *Psychology & Health*, 31(4):456-70.

406 Macinnis, M. J., & Gibala, M. J. (2017). Physiological adaptations to interval training
407 and the role of exercise intensity. *Journal of Physiology*, 595, 2915-30.

408 Milanovic, Z., Sporis, G., & Weston, M. (2015). Effectiveness of High-Intensity
409 Interval Training (HIT) and Continuous Endurance Training for VO2max Improvements: A
410 Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Controlled Trials. *Sports Medicine*, 45, 1469-81.

411 Moholdt, T., Weie, S., Chorianopoulos, K., Wang, A. I., & Hagen, K. (2017).
412 Exergaming can be an innovative way of enjoyable high-intensity interval training. *BMJ*
413 *Open Sport & Exercise Medicine*, 3(1). doi: 10.1136/bmjsem-2017-000258.

414 Monedero. J., Lyons, E. J., & O'Gorman, D. J. (2015). Interactive Video Game
415 Cycling Leads to Higher Energy Expenditure and Is More Enjoyable than Conventional
416 Exercise in Adults. *Plos One*, 10(3).doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0118470.

417 Ramos, J. S., Dalleck, L. C., Tjonna, A. E., Beetham, K. S., & Coombes, J. S. (2015).
418 The Impact of High-Intensity Interval Training Versus Moderate-Intensity Continuous
419 Training on Vascular Function: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Medicine*,
420 45(5), 679-92.

421 Rhodes, R. E., Warburton, D. E. R., & Bredin, S. S. D. (2009). Predicting the effect of
422 interactive video bikes on exercise adherence: An efficacy trial. *Psychology Health &*
423 *Medicine*, 14(6), 631-40.

424 Rouse, P. C., Ntoumanis, N., Duda, J. L., Jolly, K., & Williams, G. C. (2011). In the
425 beginning: Role of autonomy support on the motivation, mental health and intentions of
426 participants entering an exercise referral scheme. *Psychology & Health, 26*(6), 729-49.

427 Roy, M., Williams, S. M., Brown, R.C., Meredith-Jones, K. A., Osborne, H., Jope,
428 M., & Taylor, R. W. (2018). HIIT in the Real World: Outcomes from a 12-month
429 intervention in overweight adults.. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. doi:
430 10.1249/MSS.0000000000001642

431 Ryan, R.M. (1982). Control and information in the intrapersonal sphere – an
432 extension of cognitive evaluation theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*.
433 *43*(3), 450-61.

434 Ryan, R. M, & Frederick, C. (1997). On energy, personality, and health: Subjective
435 vitality as a dynamic reflection of well-being. *Journal of Personality, 65*(3):529-65.

436 Shaw, L. A., Buckley, J., Corballis, P. M., Lutteroth, C., & Wuensche, B. C. (2016).
437 Competition and cooperation with virtual players in an exergame. *PeerJ Computer Science*,
438 *2*, e92. doi: 10.7717/peerj-cs.92.

439 Stork, M. J., Banfield, L. E., Gibala, M. J., & Martin Ginis, K. A. (2017). A scoping
440 review of the psychological responses to interval exercise: is interval exercise a viable
441 alternative to traditional exercise? *Health Psychology Review, 11*(4), 324-44.

442 Teixeira, P. J., Carraca, E. V., Markland, D., Silva, M. N., & Ryan, R. M. (2012).
443 Exercise, physical activity, and self-determination theory: A systematic review. *International*
444 *Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 9*, 30. doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-9-78.

445 Thomas, S., Reading, J., & Shephard, R. J. (1992). REVISION OF THE PHYSICAL-
446 ACTIVITY READINESS QUESTIONNAIRE (PAR-Q). *Canadian Journal of Sport*
447 *Sciences, 17*(4):338-45.

448 Thompson, W. R., Gordon, N. F., & Pescatello, L. S. (2010). *ACSM's guidelines for*
449 *exercise testing and prescription*. London: Walters Kluwer/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

450 Thum, J. S., Parsons, G., Whittle, T., & Astorino, T. A. (2017). High-Intensity
451 Interval Training Elicits Higher Enjoyment than Moderate Intensity Continuous Exercise.
452 *Plos One*, *12*(1). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0166299.

453 Trost, S. G., Owen, N., Bauman, A. E., Sallis, J. F., & Brown, W. (2002). Correlates
454 of adults' participation in physical activity: review and update. *Medicine and Science in*
455 *Sports and Exercise*, *34*, 1996-2001.

456 Vella, C. A., Taylor, K., & Drummer, D. (2017). High- intensity interval and
457 moderate- intensity continuous training elicit similar enjoyment and adherence levels in
458 overweight and obese adults. *European Journal of Sport Science*, *17*, 1203-11.

459 Warburton, D. E. R., Bredin, S. S. D., Horita, L. T. L., Zbogar, D., Scott, J. M., Esch,
460 B. T., & Rhodes, R. E. (2007). The health benefits of interactive video game exercise.
461 *Applied Physiology Nutrition and Metabolism*, *32*, 655-63.

462 Weston, M., Taylor, K. L., Batterham, A. M., & Hopkins, W. G. (2014). Effects of
463 Low-Volume High-Intensity Interval Training (HIT) on Fitness in Adults: A Meta-Analysis
464 of Controlled and Non-Controlled Trials. *Sports Medicine*, *44*(7):1005-17.

465 Williams, E. L., Jones, H. S., Sparks, A. S., Marchant, D. C., Midgley, A. W., & Mc
466 Naughton, L. R. (2015). Competitor presence reduces internal attentional focus and improves
467 16.1km cycling time trial performance. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, *18*(4).

468 Williams, C. B., Zelt, J. G. E., Castellani, L. N., Little, J. P., Jung, M. E., Wright, D.
469 C., Tshakovsky, M. E., & Gurd, B. J. (2013). Changes in mechanisms proposed to mediate
470 fat loss following an acute bout of high-intensity interval and endurance exercise. *Applied*
471 *Physiology Nutrition and Metabolism*, *38*(12):1236-44.

472 Wood, K. M., Olive, B., LaValle, K., Thompson, H., Greer, K., & Astorino, T. A.
473 (2016). Dissimilar psychological and perceptual responses between sprint interval training
474 and high-intensity interval training. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*,
475 30(1):244-50.
476

477 **Figure Legend**

478 **Figure 1:** (Left to right) i) Low-intensity phases whilst avoiding trucks. ii) High-intensity
479 phases against a ghost avatar.

480 **Figure 2.** Perceived enjoyment following the four VR-HIIT sessions.

481

482 **Table 1.** Participant characteristics

	Men (n=8)	Women (n=8)	All (n=16)
Age (y)	22 ± 2	21 ± 2	22 ± 4
Height (m)	1.78 ± 0.05	1.67 ± 0.05	1.73 ± 0.09
Body mass (kg)	67.7 ± 7.0	61.8 ± 6.5	66.6 ± 11.5
BMI (kg · m⁻²)	21.5 ± 2.0	22.3 ± 2.7	22.1 ± 2.5
Gaming hours (hours · week⁻¹)	3.6 ± 3.8	2.0 ± 2.6	2.8 ± 3.2
$\dot{V}O_2$peak (ml · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹)	48.2 ± 10.8	34.2 ± 7.4	41.2 ± 10.8
P_{MAX} (Watt)	274 ± 57	198 ± 46	237 ± 57

483 Data presented as mean ± SD.

484 BMI; body mass index, $\dot{V}O_2$ peak; peak oxygen uptake, P_{MAX}; maximum power output

485 **Table 2.** VR-HIIT session intensity metrics

	<i>Blank</i>	<i>Track</i>	<i>Ghost</i>	<i>Hard</i>
Mean PO (Watt)	173 (149, 198)	176 (149, 202)	181 (154, 208) [#]	199 (169, 229)*
Total $\dot{V}O_2$ (L)	48.2 (40.7, 55.7)	49.6 (41.8, 57.3)	53.0 (44.6, 61.4)	60.6 (50.6, 70.5)*
Total EE (kcal)	239 (203, 276)	246 (208, 284)	265 (223, 306) #	300 (251, 349)*
Mean %HR_{MAX}	88 (84, 91)	88 (85, 92)	88 (85, 92)	90 (87, 93)*

486 Data are means and 95% CIs.

487 [#] significantly different (*track* vs. *ghost*, $P < 0.05$). * significantly different (*ghost* vs. *hard*, P
 488 < 0.05). Mean PO; mean power output. Total $\dot{V}O_2$; total oxygen consumption. Total EE; total
 489 energy expenditure. Mean %HR_{max}; mean percentage of maximum heart rate.

490

491

492