

Gatekeeper mutations and intra-tumoural heterogeneity in *FGFR2*-translocated cholangiocarcinoma.

Elizabeth C. Smyth¹, Irina S. Babina², Nicholas C. Turner^{2,3*}.

¹ GI Unit, The Royal Marsden Hospital, NHS Foundation Trust, London, SW3 6JJ, United Kingdom. Elizabeth.Smyth@rmh.nhs.uk

² Molecular Oncology, Breast Cancer Now Research Centre, The Institute of Cancer Research, London, SW3 6JB, United Kingdom. Irina.Babina@icr.ac.uk

³ Breast Unit, The Royal Marsden Hospital, NHS Foundation Trust, London, SW3 6JJ, United Kingdom.

*Corresponding Author:

Nicholas C. Turner

E: Nick.Turner@icr.ac.uk

T: +44 207 153 5574

A: Molecular Oncology, Breast Cancer Now Research Centre, The Institute of Cancer Research, London, SW3 6JB, United Kingdom.

Competing interests:

Dr Nicholas Turner has received advisory board honoraria from AstraZeneca, Novartis and Servier. Dr Elizabeth Smyth and Dr Irina Babina declare no competing interests.

Summary

FGFR2 genetic translocations are frequent in cholangiocarcinoma, yet despite initial sensitivity to FGFR inhibitors in clinic, patients quickly become resistant to targeted therapies. The work published by Goyal and colleagues demonstrates that acquisition of gatekeeper mutations in *FGFR2* and intra-tumoral heterogeneity drive resistance in patients with *FGFR2*-translocated intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, which will have important implications for management of the disease in clinic.

Editorial

Oncogenic chromosomal rearrangements in the fibroblast growth factor receptors (FGFRs) present a potential therapeutic target, with translocations in *FGFR2* found most frequently in cholangiocarcinoma (1,2) and translocations in *FGFR3* prevalent in bladder and glioblastoma (2). Preclinical models of these fusion proteins have demonstrated sensitivity to FGFR inhibitors, and recent data from early phase clinical trials substantiated these findings in the clinic (3-5). However the extent of these translocations as true oncogenic drivers, and the potential mechanisms of resistance to therapy, have previously only been investigated preclinically. Goyal *et al*(6) demonstrate *FGFR2* translocations in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) are classic oncogene drivers, with response to FGFR inhibition in the clinic followed by development of common gatekeeper mutations in *FGFR2* resulting in clinical resistance.

Cholangiocarcinoma has historically been dichotomised by anatomical location into intra- and extra-hepatic subtypes. Recent developments in genomic and transcriptomic technologies have revealed fundamental molecular differences between these anatomic sub-sites, reflecting distinct aetiologies (7). Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, a disease with growing incidence for yet unknown reasons, harbours *FGFR2* translocations in up to 16% of cases (1,2). Fusion proteins generated by genetic translocations generally fuse a variety of protein fragments to the cytoplasmic tail of *FGFR2*, thereby deleting the C-terminus. The fusion partners usually contain protein-binding domains, which most likely induce constitutive dimerization and ligand-independent activation of the *FGFR* kinase domain (2). Overexpression of *FGFR* fusion proteins results in increased sensitivity to *FGFR* inhibitors *in vitro* and *in vivo* models (2). Furthermore, early phase clinical trials have demonstrated clinical activity with a number of selective *FGFR* inhibitors, including JNJ-42756493(3), AZD4547(5), and NVP-BGJ398(4). In previously treated *FGFR2*-translocated cholangiocarcinoma patients, the selective pan-*FGFR* inhibitor NVP-BGJ398 revealed an objective response rate of 22% and median duration of treatment of approximately six months (4). As progression-free survival in most second line cholangiocarcinoma studies is in the region of three months, these results are promising.

Despite these encouraging findings, *FGFR2*-translocated cholangiocarcinoma tumours appear to relatively swiftly develop acquired resistance to *FGFR* inhibitors. In this issue of *Cancer Discovery*, Goyal and colleagues report on a proposed mechanism of resistance to *FGFR* inhibition in three patients treated with NVP-BGJ398. Although the number of patients studied is small, these patients revealed

consistent acquisition of gatekeeper mutations in FGFR2 and polyclonal resistance reflective of diverse intra-tumoural genetic heterogeneity in cholangiocarcinoma.

Using molecular barcoded sequencing on progression plasma DNA and tumour, they describe the acquisition of polyclonal *FGFR2* mutations, with a gatekeeper p.V564F mutation found in all three patients. Mutations at this residue had been identified as potential gatekeeper mutation in prior preclinical studies (8,9), and this study confirms the importance of p.V564 residue in mediating resistance to targeted therapy. In total, the study identified three *FGFR2* mutations that were common (p.N549H, p.V564F, and p.E565A, all in the kinase domain of the receptor) and further mutations unique to individual patients. Using computational modelling and functional *in vitro* studies, the authors show that the mutations identified have the potential to hinder binding of NVP-BJG398 either through stabilizing the kinase in an active or inactive conformation, producing an unfavourable binding conformation, or direct steric hindrance such as that created by the commonly present p.V564F gatekeeper mutation.

Goyal *et al.* identified two other major factors contributing to resistance. Intra-tumoural genetic heterogeneity contributed substantially to acquired resistance in at least two of the three patients, although *FGFR2* p.V564F was the only selected mutation detected in plasma, in the patient with the longest duration of response. Overt geographical intra-tumoural heterogeneity was further demonstrated from multi-region sequencing of a rapid autopsy, with lesion-specific acquisition of distinct resistance mutations. The study suggests that ICC has substantial intra-tumoural

genetic heterogeneity, which presents a major challenge to targeted therapy for these cancers. Amongst the lesion-specific mutations identified, acquired genetic loss of *PTEN* was selected in multiple lesions, confirming prior preclinical observation that silencing PTEN reduced sensitivity to FGFR inhibitors in *FGFR2*-amplified cancers (10).

The study provides a rationale for developing third generation inhibitors that target *FGFR2_V564F* and other common mutations, to treat resistant tumours or cut-off one of the mechanisms to acquired resistance. Goyal *et al.* also profiled currently available first- and second-generation FGFR inhibitors to identify which may be more active against gatekeeper mutations. Here, the authors switched to using *TEL-FGFR3* fusions in BaF3 cell lines for inhibitor screening. Although the kinase domains of *FGFR2* and *FGFR3* are highly similar, the use of *FGFR3* as a model is a clear weakness in the manuscript. Nevertheless, the results are interesting. Polyclonal gatekeeper mutations develop in acquired resistant *TEL-FGFR3* at low doses of NVP-BGJ398, but at higher doses only V555M mutation develops (equivalent to V564 in *FGFR2*). The authors proceeded to evaluate the differential sensitivity of BaF3 cells expressing *FGFR3* resistance mutation to several other FGFR inhibitors, of which pan-FGFR inhibitor LY2874455 displayed the most activity against cells harbouring *TEL-FGFR3_V555M* mutant, and also in *FGFR2_V564F*. This finding may have direct clinical relevance in terms of treatment sequencing for cholangiocarcinoma patients in future.

The contribution of Goyal and colleagues to the field of *FGFR2*-translocated cholangiocarcinoma is important. Although the manuscript is limited by small sample size, shared gatekeeper mutations were characterized. Identification of similar gatekeeper mutations in *EGFR* and *ALK*-positive lung cancer has led to development of superior second and third generation EGFR and ALK tyrosine kinase inhibitors and improved survival for non-small cell lung cancer patients. It will be important to extend these observations into more patients, and into other pan-FGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Identification of gatekeeper mutations confirms beyond doubt that cholangiocarcinomas are addicted to translocated *FGFR2*, emphasising the pressing clinical need to move inhibitors forward to pivotal studies. A more fundamental question that the current study raises is the utility of post-progression biopsies to evaluate mechanisms of resistance in the face of gross tumour heterogeneity. As the sensitivity of circulating DNA sequencing increases, this tool may become the primary method through which the genomic evolution of tumours is evaluated, as the limitations imposed by the spatial boundaries of biopsies may needlessly limit our capacity to fully comprehend the complexity of heterogeneous tumours.

References

1. Arai Y, Totoki Y, Hosoda F, Shiota T, Hama N, Nakamura H, *et al.* Fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 tyrosine kinase fusions define a unique molecular subtype of cholangiocarcinoma. *Hepatology* **2014**;59(4):1427-34 doi 10.1002/hep.26890.
2. Wu Y-M, Su F, Kalyana-Sundaram S, Khazanov N, Ateeq B, Cao X, *et al.* Identification of Targetable FGFR Gene Fusions in Diverse Cancers. *Cancer Discovery* **2013**;3(6):636-47 doi 10.1158/2159-8290.cd-13-0050.
3. Taberero J, Bahleda R, Dienstmann R, Infante JR, Mita A, Italiano A, *et al.* Phase I Dose-Escalation Study of JNJ-42756493, an Oral Pan-Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor Inhibitor, in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors. *J Clin Oncol* **2015**;33(30):3401-8 doi 10.1200/jco.2014.60.7341.
4. Javle M, Shroff R, Zhu A, Sadeghi S, Choo S, Borad M, *et al.* A phase 2 study of BGJ398 in patients (pts) with advanced or metastatic FGFR-altered cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) who failed or are intolerant to platinum-based chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* **2016**;34(suppl 4S; abstr 335).
5. Andre F, Ranson M, Dean E, Varga A, van der Noll R, Stockman PK, *et al.* Abstract LB-145: Results of a phase I study of AZD4547, an inhibitor of fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR), in patients with advanced solid tumors. *Cancer Research* **2013**;73(8 Supplement):LB-145-LB- doi 10.1158/1538-7445.am2013-lb-145.
6. Goyal L, Saha SK, Liu LY, Siravegna G, Leshchiner I, Ahronian LG, *et al.* Polyclonal Secondary FGFR2 Mutations Drive Acquired Resistance to FGFR Inhibition in Patients with FGFR2 Fusion-Positive Cholangiocarcinoma. *Cancer Discov* **2016** doi 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-16-1000.
7. Banales JM, Cardinale V, Carpino G, Marzioni M, Andersen JB, Invernizzi P, *et al.* Expert consensus document: Cholangiocarcinoma: current knowledge and future perspectives consensus statement from the European Network for the Study of Cholangiocarcinoma (ENS-CCA). *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* **2016**;13(5):261-80 doi 10.1038/nrgastro.2016.51.
8. Blencke S, Zech B, Engkvist O, Greff Z, Orfi L, Horvath Z, *et al.* Characterization of a conserved structural determinant controlling protein kinase sensitivity to selective inhibitors. *Chemistry & biology* **2004**;11(5):691-701 doi 10.1016/j.chembiol.2004.02.029.
9. Byron SA, Chen H, Wortmann A, Loch D, Gartside MG, Dehkhoda F, *et al.* The N550K/H mutations in FGFR2 confer differential resistance to PD173074, dovitinib, and ponatinib ATP-competitive inhibitors. *Neoplasia* **2013**;15(8):975-88.
10. Pearson A, Smyth E, Babina IS, Herrera-Abreu MT, Tarazona N, Peckitt C, *et al.* High-Level Clonal FGFR Amplification and Response to FGFR Inhibition in a Translational Clinical Trial. *Cancer Discov* **2016** doi 10.1158/2159-8290.cd-15-1246.