

Sink trap: duckweed and dye attractant reduce mosquito populations

Article

Accepted Version

Cuthbert, R. N., Coughlan, N. E., Dick, J. T. A. and Callaghan, A. (2020) Sink trap: duckweed and dye attractant reduce mosquito populations. Medical and Veterinary Entomology, 34 (1). pp. 97-104. ISSN 1365-2915 doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/mve.12417 Available at http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/87146/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mve.12417

Publisher: Wiley

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the End User Agreement.



www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 Original Article

2	Sink trap: duckweed and dye attractant reduce mosquito populations
3	Duckweed and dye cause mosquito sink
4	
5	Ross N. Cuthbert ^{*1,2} , Neil E. Coughlan ¹ , Jaimie T.A. Dick ¹ , Amanda Callaghan ²
6	¹ Institute for Global Food Security, School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University
7	Belfast, 19 Chlorine Gardens, Belfast BT9 5DL, Northern Ireland, United Kingdom
8	² Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Reading,
9	Harborne Building, Reading RG6 6AS, England, United Kingdom
10	
11	*Corresponding author: Ross N. Cuthbert (email, rcuthbert03@qub.ac.uk; telephone,
12	+44(0)28 4272 8230)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

21 Abstract

Duckweeds, such as Lemna minor Linnaeus (Alismatales:Lemnaceae), are common in 22 aquatic habitats and have been suggested to reduce larval mosquito survivorship through 23 24 mechanical and chemical effects. Further, pond dyes are increasingly used in aquatic habitats to enhance their aesthetics, but have been shown to attract mosquito oviposition. The present 25 study examines the coupled effects of *L. minor* and black pond dye on oviposition selectivity 26 27 of Culex pipiens Linnaeus (Diptera:Culicidae) mosquitoes in a series of laboratory choice tests. Then, using outdoor mesocosms, the combined influence of duckweed and pond dye on 28 29 mosquito abundances in aquatic habitats is quantified. Mosquitoes were strongly attracted to duckweed, and oviposited significantly greater numbers of egg rafts in duckweed-treated 30 water compared to untreated controls, even when the duckweed was ground. The presence of 31 32 pond dye interacted with the duckweed and further enhanced positive selectivity towards duckweed-treated water. The presence of duckweed caused significant and sustained 33 reductions in larval mosquito numbers, whilst the relative effects of dye were not evident. 34 The use of floating aquatic plants such as duckweed, combined with dye, may help reduce 35 mosquito populations through the establishment of population sinks, characterised by high 36 37 rates of oviposition coupled with high levels of larval mortality.

38 Keywords

biological control; *Culex*; disease vector; floating weed; *Lemna*; lethal effects; oviposition;
pond; population sink

41

42

44 Introduction

Habitat selection processes are fundamental to the determination of population and 45 46 community-level dynamics, and can consequently shape landscape-level patterns of biodiversity (MacArthur and Wilson, 1967; Rosenzweig, 1981; Chesson, 2000). In particular, 47 habitat choice may mitigate detrimental effects through the selective colonisation of available 48 49 habitat patches which minimise the fitness risk to reward ratio (Werner and Gilliam, 1984; Nonacs and Dill, 1990). In aquatic systems, for example, fitness rewards may be accrued 50 from high resource levels, low competitor densities and low predator abundances, thus 51 52 ensuring high individual and population fitness whilst mitigating predation risk (Kershenbaum et al. 2012; Albeny-Simões et al. 2014). Moreover, species with terrestrial 53 adult and aquatic larval life stages, such as mosquitoes, must differentiate between discrete 54 55 aquatic habitat patches in order to minimise the fitness risk to reward relationship (Kershenbaum et al. 2012; Pintar et al. 2018). However, although ovipositional responses to 56 the presence of natural enemies have been well documented for a variety of insects (e.g. 57 Chesson, 1984; Eid et al. 1992a; Åbjörnsson et al. 2002; Vonesh et al. 2009; Vonesh and 58 Blaustein, 2010; Cuthbert et al. 2018b), relatively little is known about trade-offs between 59 60 detrimental and desirable environmental features in relation to habitat selection (McPeek, 61 2004; Pintar et al. 2018; Cuthbert et al. 2019a, b).

Recently, in response to substantial environmental damage and increasing levels of pesticide resistance resulting from the use of synthetic insecticides (Naqqash et al. 2016), there has been an increasing scientific interest in the use of plants or plant extracts for insect pest control (Shaalan and Canyon, 2018; Oladipupo et al. 2019). Indeed, insecticidal properties have been identified across a range of plant species within both aquatic and terrestrial habitats, and many pesticides are based on plant allelochemicals (Shaalan et al. 2005). Accordingly, this may also represent an alternative strategy for the control of disease vector insect species, such as mosquitoes (Elango et al. 2010). Yet, ovipositional responses of
pest species may modulate the efficacy of such plants or plant compounds in the control of
mosquito populations through selective avoidance behaviours; however, these effects have
remained largely unquantified (but see Shaalan and Canyon, 2018). Indeed, if mosquitoes
avoid plants which have deleterious effects on their aquatic progeny, this could impede
population-level control efficacies.

Mosquitoes are major vectors of arboviruses and a variety of parasites which have 75 caused unprecedented disease and mortality rates worldwide (Hemingway et al. 2006; Benelli 76 77 and Mehlhorn, 2016; World Health Organisation, 2018). In their terrestrial adult stage, through the use of visual, olfactory and tactile cues (Bentley and Day, 1989), mosquitoes are 78 79 frequently observed to be highly responsive to the presence of aquatic predators which 80 consume their larvae, and often display an active avoidance of oviposition within predator colonised habitats (Vonesh and Blaustein, 2010). However, the presence of plants or plant 81 compounds can also profoundly influence larval mosquito survival (e.g. Eid et al. 1992b). 82

The presence of floating aquatic plants can act as a physical barrier which 83 mechanically inhibits larval mosquito respiration and egg hatchability on the water surface 84 85 (e.g. Hobbs and Molina, 1983). Duckweed spp. (Lemnaceae) are common free-floating aquatic plants which form dense monospecific mats on surface waters. Many species of 86 duckweed are widespread due to an extensive variety of dispersal mechanisms (e.g. Coughlan 87 et al. 2015b, 2017), coupled with high levels of environmental resilience that facilitate long-88 distance movement by mobile vectors (Coughlan et al. 2015a, b, 2018). Indeed, duckweed 89 spp. have also been found to colonise container-style habitats (Cuthbert pers. obs.), where 90 91 vectorially important mosquitoes proliferate in peri-urban and urban areas (Townroe and Callaghan, 2014). Duckweed extracts have insecticidal properties which cause high rates of 92 mortality in larval mosquito populations (Eid et al. 1992b). In addition, such plants have been 93

94 reported to repel female mosquitoes from ovipositing, whilst also favouring mosquito
95 predators such as copepods (Eid et al. 1992a; Yang et al. 2005; Cuthbert et al. 2018c).
96 Accordingly, to enhance mosquito control effects, the development of measures to counteract
97 such ovipositional avoidance behaviour is vital.

Recently, commercial pond dyes have been identified as a strong oviposition 98 99 attractant for mosquitoes (Ortiz-Perea and Callaghan, 2017; but see Ortiz-Perea et al. 2018). Darkened containers may be more attractive to mosquitoes due to a perceived greater water 100 depth, larger load of organic matter for larvae to develop (Williams, 1962; Hoel et al. 2011), 101 102 or higher degree of shading than alternative habitats (Vezzani et al. 2005). Further, the use of dye has been shown to have a negative effect on the survivorship of mosquitoes to the adult 103 stage (Ortiz-Perea and Callaghan, 2017). In addition, the use of pond dyes has become 104 105 increasingly common to improve the aesthetics of ponds and lakes (see Ortiz-Perea and Callaghan, 2017). Although the application of pond dyes can reduce the growth of submerged 106 plants and algae through reductions in the penetration of visible light spectrums into water 107 108 (620-740 nm; Douglas et al. 2003), there is no evidence to suggest that their use will impede the growth of free-floating aquatic plants on the water surface. Thus, the co-application of 109 110 duckweed and pond dyes may synergise mosquito control efficacy through ovipositional attraction and greater net lethal effects, given that the presence of duckweed or pond dyes can 111 112 separately facilitate increased rates of larval mortality (Eid et al. 1992a, b; Ortiz-Perea and Callaghan, 2017). Indeed, the use of black pond dye has been shown to reverse ovipositional 113 predator avoidance behaviours by mosquitoes, which has resulted in an enhanced potential 114 for effective biological control (Cuthbert et al. 2018b). 115

The present study therefore examines the effects of duckweed and black pond dye on
oviposition selectivity behaviour and natural colonisation of aquatic habitats by wild *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes. First, using a series of laboratory ovipositional choice tests, the

119 responsiveness of gravid mosquitoes to the presence of duckweed and duckweed extract is determined. Second, whether the presence of dye further influences observed mosquito 120 oviposition behaviour in response to duckweed is examined. Finally, in an array of outdoor 121 122 mesocosms, natural colonisation by mosquitoes under factorial duckweed and dye treatments is quantified over time by monitoring larval mosquito abundances. Specifically, whether 123 mosquitoes will avoid ovipositing in the presence of duckweed or duckweed cues, given its 124 reported mechanical and larvicidal effects, and whether the presence of dye will further 125 modulate behavioural responses of mosquitoes to duckweed through enhanced attraction of 126 127 dye-treated habitats is assessed. Further, whether the presence of duckweed and dye will act in synergy to reduce wild population numbers of larval mosquitoes in aquatic habitats is 128 129 tested.

130 Materials and Methods

131 Experimental organisms

All experimental organisms were obtained on the University of Reading Whiteknights 132 campus (51°26'12.8"N 0°56'31.8"W). Gravid adult female C. pipiens were collected using 133 134 modified Reiter gravid box traps (Reiter 1987; Townroe and Callaghan 2015). The trap consists of separable upper and lower components. The upper component contains a motor, 135 fan and lead acid battery which creates an air vacuum to draw adult mosquitoes into a 136 137 collection chamber. The lower portion comprises a tray containing 3 L of bait, a hay and yeast infusion, prepared in advance by fermenting 300 g of hay with 2.5 g of fast-action dried 138 yeast in sealed 80 L outdoor containers for 7 days, stirring occasionally. The bait was then 139 140 strained and decanted into the lower tray portions of the gravid traps. Trapping occurred overnight, with fresh bait used on each sampling occasion. The following morning, adult 141 mosquitoes were transferred into 30 cm³ cages and were maintained at 25 °C (\pm 1 °C) within 142

a laboratory and under a 16 h light:8 h dark photoperiod. Each cage contained 10 % sucrosesoaked cotton for sustenance. Although *C. pipiens* comprised > 99 % of the mosquitoes
trapped, individuals of *Culiseta annulata* (Schrank) (Diptera:Culicidae) and *Anopheles plumbeus* Stephens (Diptera:Culicidae) were also collected and dispatched. Duckweed, *Lemna minor* was collected from artificial container-style aquatic habitats by trawling a 1
mm mesh net along the surface waters, before being transferred to the same laboratory, where
it was rinsed and stored in 5 L dechlorinated tap water.

150 *Oviposition preferences*

During July-August 2018, in the laboratory (25 ± 1 °C, 16:8 light:dark), oviposition responses of wild-caught gravid adult female *C. pipiens* to the presence of duckweed were determined using choice tests. Groups of adult mosquitoes were released into 30 cm² cages and given a choice of 200 mL arenas (9.5 cm dia.) in which to oviposit. Arenas were positioned in random corners of each cage to avoid positional effects. In all oviposition experiments, egg rafts were removed and enumerated daily from each cage, over a total of 3 days.

158 Laboratory paired choice tests

In paired choice tests (treatment/control), treatment cups contained either 5 g (30 adults cage⁻ 159 ¹, n = 6 cages), 20 g (30 adults cage⁻¹, n = 4 cages), 50 g (20 adults cage⁻¹, n = 5 cages) of 160 intact duckweed plantlets (Figure 1; Experiment 1a–c), or 5 g of ground (30 adults cage⁻¹, n161 = 4 cages; Figure 1; Experiment 1d) duckweed plantlets in dechlorinated tap water from an 162 aerated source, paired with a control treatment of dechlorinated tap water alone. The 5 g 163 ground duckweed treatment was also separately paired with a dyed control treatment (Dyofix 164 black liquid pond dye, 0.3g L^{-1} ; 20 adults cage⁻¹, n = 5 cages: Figure 1; Experiment 1e). 165 166 Ground duckweed was prepared using a pestle and mortar until it was a paste. Oviposition

167 activity indices (OAI) were calculated for each treatment pair as per Kramer and Mulla168 (1979):

169
$$OAI = (NT - NC)/(NT + NC)$$
 (1)

where OAI is a function of the number of egg rafts laid in treated water (NT) in relation to

171 controls (*NC*). The OAI range is from -1 to 1, where 0 corresponds to no preference, values

172 closer to 1 indicate increasing preference for duckweed treatments and values closer to -1

173 indicate increasing preference for control treatments (i.e. duckweed avoidance).

174 Laboratory factorial choice tests

175 In the factorial choice tests, duckweed (present/absent) and dye (present/absent) were

presented to wild gravid adult mosquitoes (50 adults cage⁻¹; n = 6 cages). Duckweed

treatments comprised 5 g of intact duckweed and dye treatments comprised 0.3 g L^{-1} black

178 liquid pond dye (Dyofix), in dechlorinated tap water from a continuously aerated source

179 (Figure 1; Experiment 2a). The factorial experiment was repeated with ground duckweed

180 plantlets (Figure 1; Experiment 2b).

181 Natural colonisation

Between August and October 2014, sixteen 40 L mesocosms (48 cm dia.) were established in 182 the experimental gardens of the University of Reading Whiteknights campus (51°26'12.8"N 183 184 0°56'31.8"W). These mesocosms consisted of artificial containers which had been dug into the ground. In a completely randomised factorial design, mesocosms were treated with 185 duckweed (present/absent) and dye (present/absent) (n = 4 per experimental group; Figure 1 186 Experiment 3). Duckweed treatments comprised total coverage of the pond surface by 187 duckweed, whilst dye treatments comprised 0.3g L⁻¹ black liquid pond dye (Dyofix). Each 188 189 pond was sampled weekly for nine weeks, using a 250 μ m mesh dipping net (6 \times 12 cm). The net was moved in four figure-of-eight sweeps on each sampling occasion from the top to 190

bottom of mesocosms (as per Ortiz-Perea et al. 2018). Larval *C. pipiens* were identified and
then enumerated on a sampling tray before reintroduction into their respective source
mesocosms.

194 Statistical analyses

For the laboratory choice tests, generalised linear mixed models (Bates et al. 2015) assuming a Poisson error distribution were used to analyse total counts of egg rafts with respect to treatment groups. Where residuals were found to be overdispersed (deviation larger than mean), a negative binomial error distribution was employed. In each experiment, 'cage' was included as a random effect to account for the blocked design.

200 A zero-inflated generalised linear mixed model (Fournier et al. 2012) assuming a 201 negative binomial distribution was used to examine the effects of duckweed and dye treatments on counts of larval mosquitoes for the outdoor natural colonisation experiment. 202 Zero-inflation was specified as a constant term across the model. Sampling period was 203 included as a covariate, with individual ponds included as a random effect to account for 204 repeated measures through time. Locally-weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOWESS; 9/10 205 206 smoother span) lines were also fitted to display the treatment effects on larval mosquito abundances over the experimental period. All statistical analyses were undertaken in R v3.4.4 207 (R Core Development Team 2018). 208

209 **Results**

210 *Oviposition preferences*

In pairwise choice tests, significantly greater numbers of egg rafts were oviposited by *C*. *pipiens* in the presence of duckweed than duckweed-free controls, irrespective of duckweed density (5 g, z = 6.66, p < 0.001; 20 g, z = 3.98, p < 0.001; 50 g, z = 5.71, p < 0.001). Where 5 g of ground duckweed was present with an undyed control, significantly more egg rafts were

oviposited in the presence of ground duckweed (z = 5.62, p < 0.001). However, when paired with a dyed control, there was no significant preference towards ground duckweed-treated water (z = 0.83, p = 0.41). These trends were further reflected in OAI values, where preference was significantly displayed towards duckweed-treated water as compared to undyed control groups (Figure 2).

220 In the factorial oviposition experiment, in the presence of intact duckweed, significantly greater numbers of egg rafts were oviposited with duckweed (z = 4.47, p < 100221 0.001) and dye (z = 3.35, p < 0.001) overall (Figure 3a). However, there was no significant 222 223 'duckweed \times dye' interaction effect here (z = 1.26, p = 0.21), although considerably more egg rafts were oviposited under both treatments combined. Similarly, significantly greater 224 numbers of egg rafts were oviposited with ground duckweed (z = 5.14, p < 0.001) and dye (z225 226 = 4.70, p < 0.001) overall (Figure 3b). There was a significant 'duckweed × dye' interaction (z = 2.27, p = 0.02), reflecting a strong synergistic effect by the two treatments for mosquito 227 oviposition attraction here. 228

229 Natural colonisation

On average, $0.50 \pm 0.17 (\pm SE)$ larval mosquitoes were found in duckweed-treated

231 mesocosms, whilst a mean of 8.31 ± 1.91 (\pm SE) were found in duckweed-free water (Figure

232 3). Overall, significantly fewer larval C. pipiens were found in duckweed-treated water (z =

4.95, p < 0.001). There was no significant effect of dye on larval mosquito abundances (z =

1.22, p = 0.22). Additionally, there was no significant 'dye × duckweed' interaction (z = 0.52,

- p = 0.60), and therefore the effects of duckweed in reducing mosquito abundances were
- consistent across levels of the dye treatment. Further, larval mosquito numbers did not differ
- significantly over the observation period (z = 0.81, p = 0.42; Figure 4).

238 Discussion

239 Ovipositional habitat selectivity by adult mosquitoes is strongly linked to gradients of fitness risk and reward (Pintar et al. 2018). In recent years, as both insecticide resistance and 240 environmental degradation continue to increase worldwide, alongside an escalated prevalence 241 of mosquito-borne disease, interest in natural biological and environmental measures to 242 control mosquito populations has grown (Cameron and Lorenz, 2013; Shaalan and Canyon, 243 2018). However, more conclusive evidence is urgently required as to the implications of 244 245 plant-mosquito interactions in a vector control context (Stone et al. 2018). In particular, duckweed-treated waters have been shown to reduce larval mosquito survivorship through 246 247 mechanical and chemical effects (Hobbs and Molina, 1983; Eid et al. 1992a, b). However, ovipositional responses of adults to duckweed remain poorly understood. 248

The present study has demonstrated that, contrary to previous reports on the same 249 250 species complex (e.g. O'Meara et al. 1989; Eid et al. 1992a; Yang et al. 2005), ovipositing mosquitoes exhibit preferential selection of L. minor colonised habitats, a common duckweed 251 species, in comparison to duckweed-free water. Furthermore, our results indicate that pond 252 dyes have the capacity to interact with the presence of duckweed to synergistically enhance 253 attractiveness to duckweed-treated habitats, likely by darkening water between leaves. 254 255 However, although duckweed was observed to be a significant attractant, results from our 256 outdoor mesocosms empirically demonstrate that L. minor duckweed alone can profoundly 257 decreases the natural population numbers of mosquitoes, whilst the effects of pond dye were 258 undiscernible. Accordingly, the use of duckweed such as L. minor may facilitate a population sink for mosquitoes, characterised by high levels of oviposition coupled with reductions in 259 larval abundances. Importantly, although the presence of black pond dye is likely to reduce 260 261 the growth rate of submerged aquatic plants, it is unlikely to affect floating duckweeds.

Previous research has demonstrated the density-dependent nature of mosquito
ovipositional deterrence by predator cues (Silberbush and Blaustein, 2011). Through the use

264 of different densities of duckweed, the present study did not find any evidence for densityspecific modulations in ovipositional preferences towards duckweed-treated water. 265 Mosquitoes demonstrate strong and sustained preference for duckweed-treated water, even up 266 267 to high density surface coverage which could act as a mechanical barrier for larval mosquito respiration or egg hatching (Baz, 2017). Although not examined here, it is possible that 268 greater ovipositional preferences could exist for higher rather than lower densities of 269 270 duckweed, in scenarios where a choice between different densities is available. Our results contrast to other experimentations, which have demonstrated a lack of oviposition in water 271 272 covered by duckweed by mosquitoes within the C. pipiens complex (O'Meara et al. 1989; Eid et al. 1992a; Yang et al. 2005). Although these studies were conducted in a different 273 274 geographical area from the present study, it is unlikely that different coevolutionary histories 275 caused the variations in results found in the present study, given the high abundance and wide 276 distribution of both duckweed and C. pipiens across Great Britain and Ireland (Coughlan et al. 2015b; Townroe and Callaghan, 2015). As oviposition attraction was sustained in the 277 278 presence of ground duckweed, it is probable that attraction is largely driven by chemical cues, which can have larvicidal effects via water-borne synomones (i.e. allelochemicals which 279 280 evoke a response in mosquitoes) (Angerilli and Beirne, 1974; Eid et al. 1992a, b), as oppose to the visual presence of intact duckweed plantlets. Further, given a lack of significant 281 282 difference between paired duckweed and dye treatments, the attraction of gravid mosquitoes 283 to duckweed may be deemed similar in strength to the attractiveness of black pond dye previously demonstrated, although the drivers of this attraction between treatments likely 284 differ (Ortiz-Perea and Callaghan, 2017). 285

The present study corroborates with Ortiz-Perea and Callaghan (2017), with black pond dye significantly enhancing the attractiveness of aquatic habitats to gravid adult mosquitoes, which are often reliant on visual cues in habitat selection (e.g. Collins and

289 Blackwell, 2000). Perceived coloration is known to significantly affect ovipositional preferences of container-breeding mosquitoes (e.g. Beehler and DeFoliart, 1990; Beehler et 290 al. 1993; Li et al. 2009; Oliva et al. 2014;), while pond dye effects have been only recently 291 292 assessed (Ortiz-Perea and Callaghan, 2017; Cuthbert et al. 2019b). Given such strong evidence for colour-based attraction in mosquitoes, it is likely that the attraction shown in the 293 present study is based on the black water coloration itself, rather than effects of specific dye 294 295 ingredients. Further, dyes from the same manufacturer, but of different colours, have been shown to have no effects of oviposition (see Ortiz-Perea et al. 2018). Therefore, it is unlikely 296 297 that there are specific organic compounds within pond dyes which further alter selectivity.

In the present study, the use of dye strongly interacted with the presence of duckweed, 298 further enhancing oviposition selectivity in duckweed-treated waters. Whilst dye likely 299 300 facilitates attraction through the darkening of water and the creation of greater perceived nutrient loads (Williams, 1962; Hoel et al. 2011), it is likely that duckweed emits additional 301 attractive cues, given the particularly profound selectivity towards ground duckweed 302 treatments evidenced here. Thus, the use of pond dye and duckweed in synergy may further 303 aid the control of mosquito populations by facilitating higher rates of oviposition in risky 304 305 habitats. Indeed, both pond dyes and duckweed have been shown to reduce mosquito larval 306 survivorship (Eid et al. 1992a; Ortiz-Perea and Callaghan, 2017), and dye has been shown to 307 not affect interaction strengths between native predators and larval mosquitoes (Cuthbert et 308 al. 2018a). In particular, duckweed has been shown to have larvicidal effects on mosquitoes (e.g. Eid et al. 1992a), and therefore it likely was the main driver of larval abundance 309 310 reductions in the outdoor colonisation experiment. This combination is attractive from a 311 biological control perspective, as it may draw mosquitoes away from low-risk sites and 312 towards those which are potentially lethal to progeny.

Despite the high oviposition attractiveness of duckweed observed in the laboratory, 313 abundances of larval mosquitoes in outdoor mesocosms treated with duckweed were 314 significantly and consistently reduced compared to duckweed-free treatments over the entire 315 316 experimental period. Where duckweed was absent, dye trended towards reducing larval mosquito abundances, corroborating with Ortiz-Perea and Callaghan (2017); however this 317 effect was small when paralleled with the substantial main effects of L. minor. It is likely that 318 319 the ovipositional preference towards duckweed demonstrated in the laboratory persisted within the outdoor mesocosms, given that wild-caught mosquitoes were used in all 320 321 oviposition choice tests. Although, egg rafts were not quantified in the colonisation experiment. Nevertheless, reductions in larval mosquito abundances within the outdoor 322 mesocosms may have resulted from toxicities associated with duckweed chemicals (Eid et al. 323 324 1992a, b), or mechanical effects which inhibit respiration by larvae and the hatching of egg 325 via desiccation (Baz, 2017). Moreover, Eid et al. (1992b) report non-lethal effects such as larval malformations in mosquitoes associated with duckweed. Additional environmental 326 context-dependencies as to the impacts of duckweed necessitate further examination, 327 alongside assessments of effects at different times of the year when mosquito populations 328 329 peak (see Ewing et al. 2019).

330 In conclusion, the present study shows that L. minor, a common duckweed species, 331 impacts on the behaviour and survival of mosquitoes. These effects can be further modulated 332 by the presence of pond dyes. Our results imply that duckweeds are lethal to mosquitoes through either chemical or mechanical mechanisms, and may be applied for mosquito control 333 in both temperate and tropical regions. Indeed, novel pond dyes have also demonstrated 334 335 toxicities to larval mosquitoes over longer exposure times (Ortiz-Perea and Callaghan, 2017; 336 Ortiz-Perea et al. 2018). The present study suggests that both duckweeds and dye could be used to improve the biological control of mosquitoes through the formation of mosquito 337

338 population sinks that are characterised by high levels of oviposition and mortality. However,

further work is required to elucidate the influence of duckweed spp. and pond dyes on

population dynamics and community interactions within aquatic ecosystems. Equally, there isa need to refine the specific drivers of mosquito larval mortality when exposed to duckweeds

342 and pond dyes.

343 Acknowledgements

This study was funded through a Department for the Economy, Northern Ireland studentship.

NEC and JTAD are supported by the Irish Environmental Protection Agency research grant

2015-NC-MS-4. Thanks go to Matthew Mullins and Josh Spuyman for assistance with data

347 collection. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

348 **References**

- Åbjörnsson, L., Brönmark, C. and Hansson, L. 2002. The relative importance of lethal and
 non-lethal effects of fish on insect colonization of ponds. *Freshwater Biology*, 47:
 1489–1495.
- Albeny-Simões, D., Murrell, E.G., Elliot, S.L., Andrade, M.R., Lima, E., Juliano, S.A, and
 Vilela, E.F. 2014. Attracted to the enemy: *Aedes aegypti* prefers oviposition sites with
 predator-killed conspecifics. *Oecologia*, 175: 481–492.

Angerilli, N.P. and Beirne, B.P. 1974. Influence of some freshwater plants on the

development and survival of mosquito larvae in British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 52: 813–815.

Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B. and Walker, S. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models
using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67: 1–48.

- Baz, M.M. 2017. Influence of the aquatic plant, *Lemna minor* on the development and
 survival of *Culex pipiens* mosquito immature. *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences*, 10: 87–96.
- Beehler, J. and DeFoliart, G. 1990. Spatial distribution of *Aedes triseriatus* eggs in a site
 endemic for La Crosse encephalitis virus. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association*, 6: 254–257.
- Beehler, J., Millar, J. and Mulla, M. 1993. Synergism between chemical attractants and visual
 cues influencing oviposition of the mosquito, *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera:
 Culicidae). *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 19: 635–644.
- Benelli, G. and Mehlhorn, H. 2016. Declining malaria, rising of dengue and Zika virus: insights for mosquito vector control. *Parasitology Research*, 115: 1747–1754.
- Bentley, M.D. and Day, J.F. 1989. Chemical ecology and behavioural aspects of mosquito
 oviposition. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 34: 401–421.
- 373 Cameron, M.M. and Lorenz, L.M. 2013. *Biological and Environmental Control of Disease* 374 *Vectors*. CABI, Wallingford.
- Chesson, J. 1984. Effect of notonectids (Hemiptera: Notonectidae) on mosquitoes (Diptera:
 Culicidae): predation or selective oviposition? *Environmental Entomology*, 13: 531538.
- 378 Chesson, P. 2000. Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. *Annual Review of* 379 *Ecology and Systematics*, 31: 343–366.
- 380 Collins, L.E. and Blackwell, A. 2000. Colour cues for oviposition behaviour in
- 381 *Toxorhynchites moctezuma* and *Toxorhynchites mboinensis* mosquitoes. *Journal of*382 *Vector Ecology*, 25: 127–135.

383	Coughlan, N.E., Kelly, T.C., Davenport, J. and Jansen, M.A.K. 2015a. Humid microclimates
384	within the plumage of mallard ducks (Anas platyrhynchos) can potentially facilitate
385	long distance dispersal of propagules. Acta Oecologia 65-66: 17-23
386	Coughlan, N.E., Kelly, T.C. and Jansen, M.A.K. 2015b. Mallard duck (Anas platyrhynchos)-
387	mediated dispersal of Lemnaceae: a contributing factor in the spread of invasive
388	Lemna minuta? Plant Biology, 17: 108–114.
389	Coughlan, N.E., Kelly T.C. and Jansen M.A.K. 2017. "Step by step": high frequency short-
390	distance epizoochorous dispersal of aquatic macrophytes. Biological Invasions, 19,
391	625–634.
392	Coughlan, N.E., Cuthbert, R.N., Kelly, T.C. and Jansen, M.A.K. 2018. Parched plants:
393	survival and viability of invasive aquatic macrophytes following exposure to various
394	desiccation regimes. Aquatic Botany, 150: 9–15.
395	Cuthbert, R.N., Callaghan, A. and Dick, J.T.A. 2018a. Dye another day: the predatory impact
396	of cyclopoid copepods on larval mosquito Culex pipiens is unaffected by dyed
397	environments. Journal of Vector Ecology, 43: 334–336.
398	Cuthbert, R.N., Dalu, T., Mutshekwa, T. and Wasserman, R.J. 2019a. Leaf inputs from
399	invasive and native plants drive differential mosquito abundances. Science of the
400	Total Environment, 689: 652–654.
401	Cuthbert, R.N., Dick, J.T.A. and Callaghan, A. 2018b. Interspecific variation, habitat
402	complexity and ovipositional responses modulate the efficacy of cyclopoid copepods
403	in disease vector control. Biological Control, 121: 80-87.
404	Cuthbert, R.N., Dick, J.T.A., Callaghan, A. and Dickey, J.W.E. 2018c. Biological control
405	agent selection under environmental change using functional responses, abundances

406 and fecundities; the Relative Control Potential (RCP) metric. *Biological Control*, 121:
407 50–57.

408	Cuthbert, R.N., Ortiz-Perea, N., Dick, J.T.A. and Callaghan, A. 2019b. Elusive enemies:
409	Consumptive and ovipositional effects on mosquitoes by predatory midge larvae are
410	enhanced in dyed environments. Biological Control, 132: 116-121.
411	Douglas, S.E., Raven, J.A. and Larkum, A.W. 2003. The algae and their general
412	characteristics. In: Larkum, A.W.D., Douglas, S.E. and Raven, J.A. (Eds.) Advances
413	in photosynthesis and respiration. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 1–10.
414	Eid, M.A.A., Kandil, M.A.E., Moursy, E.B. and Sayed, G.E.M. 1992a. Effect of the duck-
415	weed, Lemna minor vegetations on the mosquito, Culex pipiens pipiens. International
416	Journal of Tropical Insect Science, 13: 357–361.
417	Eid, M.A.A., Kandil, M.A.E., Moursy, E.B. and Sayed, G.E.M. 1992b. Bioassays of duck
418	weed vegetation extracts. International Journal of Tropical Insect Science, 13: 741-
419	748.
420	Elango, G., Abdul Rahuman, A., Bagavan, A., Kamaraj, C., Abduz Zahir, A., Rajakumar, G.,
421	Marimuthu, S. and Santhoshkumar, T. 2010. Studies on effects of indigenous plant
422	extracts on malarial vector, Anopheles subpictus Grassi (Diptera: Culicidae). Tropical
423	<i>Biomedicine</i> , 27: 143–154.
424	Ewing, D., Purse, B.V., Cobbold, C.A., Schäfer, S.M. and White, S.M. 2019. Uncovering
425	mechanisms behind mosquitoseasonality by integrating mathematical models and
426	daily empirical population data: Culex pipiens in the UK. Parasites and Vectors, 12:

427

74.

428	Fournier, D.A., Skaug, H.J., Ancheta, J., Ianelli, J., Magnusson, A., Maunder, M.N., Nielsen,
429	A. and Sibert, J. 2012. ADModel Builder: using automatic differentiation for
430	statistical inference of highly parameterized complex nonlinear models. Optimization
431	Methods and Software, 27: 233–249.
432	Hemingway, J., Beaty, B.J., Rowland, M., Scott, T.W. and Sharp, B.L. 2006. The Innovative
433	Vector Control Consortium: improved control of mosquito-borne diseases. Trends in
434	<i>Parasitology</i> , 22: 308–312.
435	Hobbs, J.H. and Molina, P.A. 1983. The influence of the aquatic fern Salvinia auriculata on
436	the breeding of Anopheles albimanus in coastal Guatemala. Mosquito News, 43: 456-
437	457.
438	Hoel, D.F., Obenauer, P.J., Clark, M., Smith, R., Hughes, T.H., Larson, R.T., Diclaro, J.W.
439	and Allan, S.A. 2011. Efficacy of ovitrap colors and patterns for attracting Aedes
440	albopictus at suburban field sites in North-Central Florida. Journal of the American
441	Mosquito Control Association, 27: 245–251.
442	Kershenbaum, A., Spencer, M., Blaustein, L. and Cohen, J.E. 2012. Modelling evolutionarily
443	stable strategies in oviposition site selection, with varying risks of predation and
444	intraspecific competition. Evolutionary Ecology, 26: 955–974.
445	Kramer, W.L. and Mulla, M.S. 1979. Oviposition attractants and repellents of mosquitoes:
446	oviposition responses of Culex mosquitoes to organic infusions. Environmental
447	Entomology, 8: 1111–1117.
448	Li, J., Deng, T., Li, H., Chen, L. and Mo, J. 2009. Effects of water color and chemical com-
449	pounds on the oviposition behavior of gravid Culex pipiens pallens females under
450	laboratory conditions. Journal of Agricultural and Urban Entomology, 26: 23-30.

- 451 MacArthur, R.H. and Wilson, E.O. 1967. *The theory of island biogeography*. Princeton
 452 University Press, Princeton.
- McPeek, M.A. 2004. The growth/predation risk trade-off: So what is the mechanism? *The American Naturalist*, 163: E88–E111.
- Naqqash, M.N., Gökçe, A., Bakhsh, A. and Salim, M. 2016. Insecticide resistance and its
 molecular basis in urban insect pests. *Parasitology Research*, 115: 1363-1373.
- 457 Nonacs, P. and Dill, L.M. 1990. Mortality risk vs. food quality trade-offs in a common
 458 currency: ant patch preferences. *Ecology*, 71: 1886–1892.
- O'Meara, G.F., Vose, F.E. and Carlson D.B. 1989. Environmental factors influencing
 oviposition by *Culex* (Culex) (Diptera: Culicidae) in two types of traps. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 26: 528–534.
- 462 Oladipupo, S.O., Callaghan, A., Holloway, G.J. and Gbaye, O. 2019. Variation in the
 463 susceptibility of Anopheles gambiae to botanicals across a metropolitan region of
 464 Nigeria. *PLoS One*, 14: e0210440.
- Oliva, L., Correia, J. and Albuquerque, C. 2014. How mosquito age and the type and color of
 oviposition sites modify skip-oviposition behavior in *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera:
 Culicidae)? *Journal of Insect Behavior*, 27: 81–91.
- 468 Ortiz-Perea, N. and Callaghan, A. 2017. Pond dyes are *Culex* mosquito oviposition
 469 attractants. *PeerJ*, 5: e3361.
- Ortiz-Perea, N., Gander, R., Abbey, O. and Callaghan, A. 2018. The effect of pond dyes on
 oviposition and survival in wild UK *Culex* mosquitoes. *PLoS One*, 13: e0193847.

472	Pintar, M.R., Bohenek, J.R., Eveland, L.L. and Restarits Jr, W.J. 2018. Colonization across
473	gradients of risk and reward: Nutrients and predators generate species-specific
474	responses among aquatic insects. Functional Ecology, 32: 1589–1598.
475	R Core Development Team 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

- 476 R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. http://www.R-project.org/.
- 477 Reiter, P. 1987. A revised version of the CDC gravid mosquito trap. *Journal of the American*478 *Mosquito Control Association*, 3: 325–327.
- 479 Rosenzweig, M.L. 1981. A theory of habitat selection. *Ecology*, 62: 327–335.
- 480 Shaalan, E.A. and Canyon, D.V. 2018. Mosquito oviposition deterrents. *Environmental*481 *Science and Pollution Research*, 25: 10207–10217.
- Shaalan, E.A., Canyon, D., Younes, M.W.F., Abdel-Waheb, H. and Mansour, A.-H. 2005. A
 review of botanical phytochemicals with mosquitocidal potential. *Environment International*, 31: 1149–1166.
- Silberbush, A. and Blaustein, L. 2011. Mosquito females quantify risk of predation to their
 progeny when selecting an oviposition site. *Functional Ecology*, 25: 1091–1095.
- 487 Stone, C.M., Witt, A.B.R., Walsh, G.C., Foster, W.A. and Murphy, S.T. 2018. Would the
 488 control of invasive alien plants reduce malaria transmission? A review. *Parasites and*489 *Vectors*, 11: 76.
- Townroe, S. and Callaghan, A. 2014. British container breeding mosquitoes: the impact of
 urbanisation and climate change on community composition and phenology. *PLoS One* 9: e95325.

493	Townroe, S. and Callaghan, A. 2015. Morphological and fecundity traits of Culex mosquitoes
494	caught in gravid traps in urban and rural Berkshire, UK. Bulletin of Entomological
495	Research, 105: 615–620.
496	Vezzani, D., Rubio, A., Velazquez, S., Schweigmann, N. and Wieg, T. 2005. Detailed
497	assessment of microhabitat suitability for Aedes aegypti (Diptera: Culicidae) in
498	Buenos Aires, Argentina. Acta Tropica, 95: 123–131.
499	Vonesh, J. and Blaustein, L. 2010. Predator-induced shifts in mosquito oviposition site
500	selection: a meta-analysis and implications for vector control. Israel Journal of
501	Ecology and Evolution, 56: 263–279.
502	Vonesh, J.R., Kraus, J.M., Rosenberg, J.S. and Chase, J.M. 2009. Predator effects on aquatic
503	community assembly: disentangling the roles of habitat selection and post-
504	colonization processes. Oikos, 118: 1219–1229.
505	Werner, E.F. and Gilliam, J.F. 1984. The ontogenic niche and species interactions in size-
506	structured populations. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 15: 383-425.
507	World Health Organisation, 2018. Mosquito-borne diseases.
508	http://www.who.int/neglected_diseases/vector_ecology/mosquito-borne-diseases/en/
509	(accessed 12 September 2018).
510	Williams, R.E. 1962. Effect of coloring oviposition media with regard to the mosquito Aedes
511	triseriatus (Say). The Journal of Parasitology, 48: 919–925.
512	Yang, P.J., Manuchei, D. and Takekuma, C. 2007. Impact of Lemna minor Linnaeus (1753)
513	and Azolla filiculoides Lamarck (1783) on mosquito breeding in Kauai taro fields. The
514	Pan-Pacific Entomologist, 81: 159–163.
515	
	22

516 **Figure legends**

517 Figure 1. Diagrammatic illustration of three experiments used to examine the influence of

518 intact and ground duckweed *Lemna minor* on *Culex pipiens* oviposition (Experiment 1:

Paired choice tests, and Experiment 2: Factorial choice tests; 1.a-1.e and 2a - 2.b,

respectively), and larval colonisation (Experiment 3: Natural colonisation; 3.a). Shaded

521 containers represent black liquid pond dye, 0.3 g L^{-1} .

522 Figure 2. Oviposition activity index (OAI) values resulting from pairwise oviposition choice

523 tests with gravid adult female *Culex pipiens* and different treatments of *Lemna minor*

524 duckweed with pond dye. Duckweed and non-dye controls include: a), 5 g duckweed; b), 20

525 g duckweed; c), 50 g duckweed; and d), 5 g ground duckweed; while duckweed with a dyed

526 control is: e), 5 g ground duckweed. Values above indicate significance levels for each

527 treatment pair (p < 0.001, ***; p < 0.01, **; p < 0.05, *; $p \ge 0.05$, NS). Means are ± 1 SE.

528 The solid line indicates null preference, whilst values close to 1 indicate increasing

529 preference for duckweed-treated water; values closed to -1 indicate avoidance behaviour.

530 Figure 3. Mean (+ 1 SE) number of egg rafts laid under factorial treatments of *Lemna minor*

531 duckweed and pond dye by adult female *Culex pipiens* in the presence of: a) 5 g intact

532 duckweed; and b), 5 g ground duckweed.

Figure 4. Mean (± 1 SE) number of *Culex pipiens* larvae in mesocosms under different dye
treatments, in complete absence (a) and presence, i.e. complete surface coverage, (b) of *Lemna minor* duckweed over a nine week observation period. Lines are locally-weighted
scatterplot smoothing (LOWESS) with 9/10 smoother span. Note differences in *y* axes
scaling.

538











566 Figure 4.