The Half-life of ¹²⁹I

1

2

3 4	Eduardo García-Toraño ^{*1} , Timotheos Altzitzoglou ² , Pavel Auerbach ³ , Marie-Martine Bé ⁴ , Christophe Bobin ⁴ , Philippe Cassette ⁴ , Frédéric Chartier ⁵ , Rainer Dersch ⁶ , Marta
5	Fernández', Hélène Isnard', Karsten Kossert ⁰ , Valérie Lourenço ⁴ , Ole Nähle ⁰ , Anthony
6	Nonell ⁸ , Virginia Peyrés ¹ , Stefaan Pommé ² , Andrej Rozkov ² , Anabel Sánchez-
7	Cabezudo ¹ , Jana Sochorová ³
8	
9	¹ Laboratorio de Metrología de Radiaciones Ionizantes (CIEMAT), Avda. Complutense 40, 28040
10	Madrid, Spain
11	² European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Directorate for Nuclear Safety and Security,
12	Retieseweg 111, B-2440 Geel, Belgium
13	³ Czech Metrological Institute (CMI), Radiova 1, CZ 10200 Praha 10, Czech Republic
14	⁴ CEA-LIST, Laboratoire National Henri Becquerel (LNE-LNHB) CE-Saclay, 91191 Gif sur Yvette
15	Cedex, France
16	⁵ Den – Département de Physico-Chimie, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif sur Yvette Cedex,
17	France
18	⁶ Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Bundesallee 100, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany
19	⁷ Unidad de Espectroscopía de Masas y Apl. Geoquimicas (CIEMAT), Avda. Complutense 40, 28040
20	Madrid, Spain
21	⁸ Den – Service d'Etudes Analytiques et de Réactivité des Surfaces (SEARS), CEA, Université Paris-
22	Saclay, F-91191 Gif sur Yvette Cedex, France
23	
24	* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 91 346 6225; fax: +34 91 346 6442.
25	E-mail address: e.garciatorano@ciemat.es

26 Abstract

The radionuclide ¹²⁹I is a long-lived fission product that decays to ¹²⁹Xe by beta-particle 27 emission. It is an important tracer in geological and biological processes and is 28 considered one of the most important radionuclides to be assessed in studies of global 29 circulation. It is also one of the major contributors to radiation dose from nuclear waste 30 in a deep geological repository. Its half-life has been obtained by a combination of 31 activity and mass concentration measurements in the frame of a cooperation of 6 32 European metrology institutes. The value obtained for the half-life of 129 I is 16.14 (12) × 33 34 10⁶ a, in good agreement with recommended data but with a significant improvement in 35 the uncertainty. 36 37

Keywords ¹²⁹I; half-life; standardization; mass spectrometry; activity measurements 38

1 Introduction

2 The radionuclide ¹²⁹I, a long-lived fission product, decays to ¹²⁹Xe via two beta 3 branches, one containing 99.5% of all disintegrations to an excited level at 39.578 keV 4 in the daughter nuclide and a second with 0.5% to the ground level. The gamma 5 6 transition depopulating the excited level is converted, with a total conversion coefficient 7 α_T =12.41(13) [1]. Its decay scheme is presented in Figure 1. Small amounts of this nuclide are produced in nature, but natural levels have been altered in the past by 8 nuclear weapons testing that released large amounts into the atmosphere. At present, it 9 is mainly produced by the nuclear fission of ²³⁵U and ²³⁹Pu and released as a 10 consequence of the operation of nuclear power plants and fuel reprocessing centres [2]. 11 12 Iodine-129 is an important tracer in geological and biological processes and is 13 considered to be one of the most important radionuclides to be assessed in studies of 14 global circulation [3]. It is also one of the major contributors to the radiation dose from 15 nuclear waste in a deep geological repository. Its transmutation has been suggested as a 16 17 possible method to destroy it, as its immobilization in repositories poses difficult problems [4]. 18 19 20 FIGURE 1 21 The recommended value¹ for the half-life of ¹²⁹I is 16.1 (7) \times 10⁶ a. It was obtained by 22 Chechev and Sergeev in 2004 [1] as the weighted mean of a set of 4 results with a 23 significant dispersion (from 1.56 (6) \times 10⁷ a to 1.97 (14) \times 10⁷ a). For this reason, it was 24 selected for half-life determination in the frame of the coordinated research project 25 26 "ENV09 /Metrology for Radioactive Waste Management" of the European Metrology 27 Research Programme [5] with the aim of reducing its uncertainty.

28

29

Given its long half-life, the determination by following the decay rate had to be 30 discarded and its value has been obtained using the relationship: 31

32
33
$$T_{1/2} = \frac{\ln(2).N}{A}$$
 (1)

34

35 where A is the activity and N is the number of atoms in a source of a nuclide whose half-life is $T_{1/2}$. For this determination, a combination of measurements of activity and 36 mass concentrations of the same solution was carried out by the participants. Five 37 38 European Metrology laboratories (CIEMAT, CMI, JRC, LNE-LNHB, PTB) performed activity concentration measurements whereas mass spectrometry measurements were 39 40 carried out at CEA-LANIE (Laboratory of Analytical development for isotopic and elemental analysis) and CIEMAT. 41

42 43

2. Material procurement and initial measurements 44

45 The ¹²⁹I solution used for the comparison, with an approximate massic activity of 30 46 kBq·g⁻¹, was purchased by CIEMAT from CERCA-LEA. Its chemical composition was 47

¹ Unless otherwise indicated, uncertainties stated in this work are given as standard uncertainties (*k*=1)

NaI-NH₄OH-Na₂S₂O_{3.} Upon reception, the solution was tested at CIEMAT by gamma
 spectrometry to assess the purity and was found to be free of detectable radioactive

contaminants. Preliminary mass spectrometry measurements indicated a 127 L/ 129 L mass

4 ratio higher than 5.

5

6 Aliquots of the solution were dispensed into 5 mL penicillin-type vials previously 7 treated with a carrier solution of similar composition to that of the radioactive solution. Since the original solution already contained a certain (unknown) amount of ¹²⁷I, a 8 possible addition of that isotope coming from lixiviation of the vial wall cannot be a 9 10 problem for mass determination, given that it would be included in the ${}^{127}I/{}^{129}I$ measured isotopic ratio. Furthermore, no difference was found between the isotopic 11 ratio measured in a sample contained in a vial saturated with the carrier solution (CEA-12 LANIE) and another contained in a vial not treated in this way (CIEMAT). Therefore, 13 the incorporation of ¹²⁷I from the walls can be considered negligible or not detectable by 14 15 ICP-MS. 16

17 An amount of 1.5 g of the solution was dispatched to each of the laboratories

participating only in activity measurements: CMI, JRC and PTB; LNE-LNHB received 18 19 2 grams of solution, as this laboratory, through other CEA units, was also involved in mass spectrometry measurements. Given the low energy of the gamma emissions from 20 this radionuclide, homogeneity tests could not be performed either by ionization 21 22 chamber measurements or by gamma-ray spectrometry. In the latter case, differences in the structure of the vials combined with the gamma-ray attenuation would make the 23 analysis unreliable. The hypothesis of homogeneity of the material was adopted based 24 25 on the fact that all vials were treated before dispensing the material using the same procedure (vial saturation with a carrier solution) and dispensing was done within a 26 27 short period of time.

- 28
- 29 30

31

3. Massic activity measurements

32 The massic activity of the solution was determined using the methods presented in Table I. Since there was no previous comparison of massic activity for this nuclide in 33 the frame of EURAMET or the Consultative Committee for Ionizing Radiation, Section 34 35 II: Measurement of radionuclides, CCRI(II), an intercomparison was agreed; after acceptance it was registered as EURAMET.RI(II)- S6.I-129 Supplementary 36 Comparison. The technical protocol for the comparison was agreed between partners; it 37 included the recommendation of using nuclear data from the NUCLEIDE database [1]. 38 According to it, the half-life value is $T_{1/2} = 16.1$ (7) $\times 10^6$ a. This value was used by all 39 participants, excluding PTB that used its own reference with $T_{1/2} = 15.7$ (5) $\times 10^6$ a. 40 Neither the absolute value, nor the difference between both references had significant 41 influence on the activity measurements. The reference date was established as 1st July 42 2013, 0:00 UTC. Complete details about the methods used by participating laboratories 43 44 as well as detailed uncertainty budgets and inter-comparison results are presented 45 elsewhere [6].

Table I. Participanting laboratories and techniques used for the determination of the massic

- activity of the ¹²⁹I solution
- 4 5

	Liquid Scintillation	$4\pi\gamma$ counting	Coincidence counting
	Counting	• •	
CIEMAT	CIEMAT/NIST	NaI well detector	
СМІ			$4\pi\beta$ - γ coincidence counting with proportional counter
JRC	TDCR, CIEMAT/NIST		
LNE- LNHB	TDCR		$4\pi\beta$ - γ anti-coincidence counting with TDCR
РТВ	TDCR, CIEMAT/NIST		

6

- 7
- , 8 9

3.1 Impurities

- Radionuclidic impurity assessment was done by gamma-ray spectrometry by all
 participants. The nuclide ¹²⁹I is a fission product and the potential contaminants of
 interest are all iodine isotopes. HPGe detectors of various types (n-type coaxial with Be
 window, extended-range p-type coaxial with carbon-epoxy window and planar) were
 used with good counting efficiency at low energies. No evidence of gamma-ray emitting
 contaminants was found in the measurements of any of the participating laboratories.
- Adsorption tests made by JRC reported negligible values. All participants measured the
 original solution without dilution. The pycnometer method was adopted for all source
 preparations.
- 21 22

23

24

3.2 Measurements by Liquid Scintillation Counting

Two LSC-based methods were used to determine the activity per unit mass: The
CIEMAT/NIST method [7, 8] and the Triple to Double Coincidence Ratio method
(TDCR) [9,10].

- 28
- 29 The CIEMAT/NIST efficiency tracing method was used by CIEMAT, JRC and PTB. All measurements were done using commercial counters with two photomultiplier tubes 30 (PMT). Tritium activity standards required by the method were from different origin: 31 CIEMAT used a ³H standard from NIST whereas JRC and PTB used their own 32 33 standards. All participants used samples with Ultima Gold as scintillation cocktail, and 34 some participants used in addition samples with Instagel Plus or Hisafe 3. Samples were prepared in glass vials. At JRC and PTB, about 1 mL water was added to some of the 35 samples. Low-level counting options (i.e. guard mode), when available, were 36 37 disconnected because photon emission from the source can interact with the guard detector, thus making the application of this method impossible. Typical counting 38 efficiencies for ¹²⁹I samples were higher than 94% in all counters. 39

- 1
- 2 The TDCR method was applied at JRC, PTB and LNE-LNHB. In all cases, participants
- 3 used their custom-built counters with 3 PMTs. Ultima Gold was selected as scintillation
- 4 cocktail by PTB and JRC (that also used samples with Instagel Plus). LNE-LNHB
- 5 preferred Hionic Fluor. All participants used glass vials. PTB also prepared some
- 6 samples with polyethylene (PE) vials. As for CIEMAT/NIST measurements, JRC and
- 7 PTB added about 1 mL water to some samples (0.5 mL for PTB to samples in PE vials).
- 8 Typical counting efficiencies were also high, in the order of 95%.9
- Results for each laboratory and technique are given in Table II and typical uncertainty
 budgets from both methods are presented in Table III. Additional details are given in
 ref. [6].
- 13 14

3.3 Measurements by coincidence and anti-coincidence counting

16 Coincidence and anti-coincidence counting was performed at CMI and LNE-LNHB. At CMI, the $4\pi\beta$ - γ coincidence counting method was used with a proportional counter and 17 two gamma-ray detectors. Samples were prepared on Mylar foils with thickness from 18 350 μ g·cm⁻² to 25 μ g·cm⁻² attached to metallic rings. They were covered by Al foils (2 19 20 $\mu g \cdot cm^{-2}$) on both sides and placed in a pill-box-type 4π proportional counter at atmospheric pressure in gas-flow mode using methane as counting gas. The 21 discrimination threshold was set at 0.7 keV. A non-extending dead time of 5.995(5) µs 22 was used. The gamma channel comprises two NaI crystals with 76.2 mm diameter and a 23 height of 76.2 mm each. Non-extending dead times of 6.031(5) µs and 6.113(5) µs, 24 respectively were used in the NaI detectors. In order to implement the efficiency 25 extrapolation procedure, the counting efficiency was varied by adding Al foils to the 26 27 sources. The highest counting efficiency reached in the proportional counter was 88 %. 28

The LNHB applied the $4\pi\beta$ - γ anti-coincidence counting using liquid scintillation sources prepared with Hionic Fluor. A TDCR counter based on 3 PMTs was used in the β -channel and a HPGe semiconductor detector in the γ -channel. The extrapolation method was carried out by PMT defocusing. The maximum detection efficiency in the β -channel was 94%. Dead time control was carried out by a live-time technique based on home-made modules.

35

Results and uncertainties from both laboratories are presented in Tables II and IIIrespectively.

- 38
- 39

40 **3.4** Measurements by 4π gamma counting

41

This method, also known as integral gamma counting was used only at CIEMAT. The
basis of the method has been described by Winkler and Pavlik [11]. Its application to
the standardization of some nuclides with complex decay schemes is described by
García-Toraño et al. [12], and an overview of uncertainty calculation has been discussed
by Thiam et al. [13]. The detection efficiency for this specific nuclide was close to 58%.
It was calculated by Monte Carlo simulation with the PENELOPE package [14]. Results
are given in Table II.

49 **3.5** Results of the massic activity measurements

- 1
- 2 Table II shows the results of the measurements obtained by the laboratories. Only one
- 3 final value was reported for each laboratory, in most cases obtained by combination of
- 4 two methods. Final results from each laboratory are presented in graphical form in
- 5 Figure 2.
- 6
- 7 The final value was obtained as the power-moderated mean [15] of 4 final laboratory
- 8 results, excluding the CIEMAT contribution as outlier. The reason for the discrepancy
- 9 is, for the moment, unknown. The final value is 33.10(5) kBq·g⁻¹. The stated
- 10 uncertainty corresponds to the standard deviation of the 4 results.
- 11

12 **FIGURE 2**

- 13
- 14
- 15

1 2 3 4 Table II

- Final results for the massic activity A, and its uncertainty u, as reported by the participants in the EURAMET.RI(II)- S6.I- 129 Supplementary Comparison.

г

Laboratory	A kBq∙g⁻	u(A) kBq·g ⁻¹	Method to obtain the final value	Results from each measurement technique
CIEMAT	32.14	0.11	Mean value of results obtained with two techniques. Uncertainty obtained combining both uncertainties with the expression $1/u^2 =$ $1/u_1^2 + 1/u_2^2$	32.15 (13) CIEMAT/NIST 32.13 (23) Gamma Counting
СМІ	33.10	0.57	Only one result	33.10 (57) coincidence
JRC	33.0	0.1	Mean value of results obtained with two techniques. The uncertainty obtained with a single method is kept as more realistic	33.0 (5) CIEMAT/NIST 33.0 (1) TDCR
LNE- LNHB	33.16	0.06	Result from anticoincidence counting	33.1 (11) TDCR 33.16 (6) Anticoincidence
РТВ	33.003	0.099	Weighted mean of the results obtained with two techniques.	32.90 (50) CIEMAT/NIST 33.007 (99) TDCR

5

Table III. Typical uncertainty budgets associated to each technique. Only major components are indicated. Since uncertainties declared by participants for a given technique were similar, only results from one laboratory are given. Additional details can be found in ref. [6]. 3 4

Laboratory	JRC	РТВ	CIEMAT	CMI	LNE	
Measurement	CIEMAT/NIST	TDCR	$4\pi - \gamma$ counting	Coincidence	Anti-coincidence	
technique				Counting	Counting	
Contribution	u(a)/a in %					
due to						
Counting	0.04	0.04	0.2	0.2	0.05	
statistics						
Weighing	0.12	0.03	0.1	0.01	0.05	
Background	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.1	
Dead time		0.03				
Resolving time	-			0.01		
Decay data	0.13	0.22	0.2			
Half-life		0.01				
Quenching	0.1					
Interpolation/	0.02		0.1	0.50	0.13	
extrapolation of						
efficiency curve						
Impurities		0.03	0.01	0.1		
Adsorption	0.04	0.05	0.01	0.1		
PMT asymmetry		0.02				
Counting time		0.01				
Ionization	0.02	0.11				
quenching and kB						
LS spectrometer	0.2					
dependence						
Calculation code	0.16					
dependence						
Shape factor	0.15					
dependence						
TDCR value		0.13				
Numerical model			0.2			
Monte Carlo			0.2			
statistics						
Escape from				1.6		
sample						
Combined	0.38	0.30	0.43	1.7	0.18	
relative						
standard						
uncertainty						

- 1
- 2
- 3 4

4. Mass concentration measurements

The number of ¹²⁹I atoms per gram of solution was determined at CEA-LANIE and

8 CIEMAT by mass spectrometric measurements combined with the isotope dilution (ID)9 technique.

10 4.1 Analytical strategy

Isotope dilution is a method of determining the quantity of chemical substances and is
regarded among the chemistry measurement methods of the highest metrological
standing. This method [16] was associated to mass spectrometric measurements in order
to obtain high accuracy on mass concentration and has been used in the past for half-life
determinations [17-19].

.120 .

The ¹²⁹I mass concentration was determined by isotope dilution using certified ¹²⁷I
 solutions according to the ID equation (2):

19

20
$$C_{129_I} = |^{129}I|_S \times C_{Sp} \times \frac{m_{Sp}}{m_S} \times \frac{M_S}{M_{Sp}} \times \frac{1}{(^{127}I)_S} \times \frac{\binom{129_I}{127_I}}{\binom{129_I}{127_I}_S - \binom{129_I}{127_I}_{Mix}}$$
 (2)

21

where *Mix*, *S* and *Sp* stand for mixture, sample and spike, respectively; m_{Sp} and m_S are the masses used to prepare the blends (in g), M_{Sp} and M_S are the respective atomic weights (in g·mol⁻¹) for the spike and the sample, $(^{127}I)_S$ is the ¹²⁷I abundance (in atom%) in the sample, and $|^{129}I|_S$ is the ¹²⁹I abundance (w%) in the sample.

The two laboratories have used two different mass spectrometers and two different spike solutions. Details are given below.

28 4.1.1 Mass spectrometry instrumentation

The main difference between the work carried out by LANIE and CIEMAT refers to the 29 instrumentation, a Multicollector Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer (MC-30 ICPMS) and a Quadrupole based Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer (Q-31 ICPMS), respectively. Regarding the operation mode this implies: (i) the mass analyzer, 32 33 a magnetic/electrostatic sector (flat-topped peaks) in the case of the MC-ICPMS equipment and a quadrupole (Gaussian peaks) in the Q-ICPMS instrument and (ii) the 34 detection system, multiple high stability Faraday cup detectors to simultaneously 35 measure several isotopes (MC-ICPMS) and single electron-multiplier detector that 36 37 measures the ions (Q-ICPMS). 38 Technical information regarding the measurements performed at LANIE by MC-

39 ICPMS is presented in a recent work [20]. The mass bias corrections related to the

- 1 enhanced extraction and transmission of the heaviest ions [21] were performed by both
- 2 laboratories applying the exponential law fractionation [22] and using tellurium as an
- 3 internal standard. The instruments used by both laboratories are presented in Table IV
- 4 together with other relevant details as the media of analysis and the ratios used for
- 5 normalization.
- 6 Table IV. Instrumentation, media of analysis and strategy for mass bias correction performed by
- 7 CIEMAT and LANIE laboratories in charge of mass spectrometric measurements.
- 8

	Instrument	Media of analysis	Mass bias correction	Ratio(s) used for mass bias correction [23]
CIEMAT	ICPMS Q (iCap Q, Thermo Instruments)	NH4OH 3.4M	Internal correction (tellurium)	¹²⁶ Te/ ¹³⁰ Te and ¹²⁸ Te/ ¹³⁰ Te
LANIE	MC-ICPMS (Isoprobe, GV Instrument)	Dilution of the sample in HNO ₃ %	Internal correction (tellurium)	¹²⁶ Te/ ¹³⁰ Te

10

11 **4.1.2** ¹²⁷I Spike

12 Laboratories have used independent spikes. LANIE has used a ¹²⁷I spike provided by

the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST SRM 3180). The presence of

14 other elements is indicated in the certificate and no trace of 129 I is mentioned. This was

confirmed by qualitative Q-ICPMS measurements. It was sent in five independent

16 closed vials in Na₂SO₃ and NaOH media to ensure the stability and conservation of

17 these solutions. The concentration for each vial was certified at $1.0006 (12) \text{ mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$.

18 CIEMAT has used a spike that was gravimetrically prepared from potassium iodide

19 (99.995% minimum purity, Merck Suprapur), assuming that 100% of the iodine was

¹²⁷I. The concentration of the solution used as spike was 1.5155 (25) μ g·g⁻¹. The

uncertainty of the spike was calculated taking into account all components of weighingand purity.

4.2 Results of the mass concentration measurements

24 Table V presents the values of the ¹²⁹I mass concentration obtained by both laboratories.

Each one has carried out the determinations on a set of mixtures, prepared

26 independently from the sample and spike.

1

2 Table V. Final results for the mass concentration, as reported by the participants.

Laboratory	$[^{129}I]$ atom·g ⁻¹	$u([^{129}I])$ atom·g ⁻¹	Method to obtain the final value
CIEMAT	2.450×10^{19}	$0.018 imes 10^{19}$	Mean of five sample-spike mixtures
LANIE	2.415×10^{19}	$0.017 imes10^{19}$	Mean of six sample-spike mixtures

4

5 The uncertainty was calculated in accordance with the ISO Guidelines for the

6 Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement [24]. For uncertainty propagation

7 calculations, the numerical method of Kragten [25, 26] was applied. Uncertainty

8 contributions of the major component of the ID equation evaluated by the two

9 laboratories are indicated in Table VI. The $(^{129}I/^{127}I)$ Mix and $(^{129}I/^{127}I)_S$ ratios were

10 corrected for mass bias by tellurium isotope ratios and their uncertainties have been

11 taken into account in the uncertainty budget. Figure 3 presents graphically the main

12 sources of uncertainty in the measurements of the two laboratories.

13 Table VI. Typical uncertainty budgets associated to each technique by CIEMAT and LANIE 14

Laboratory	CIEMAT	LANIE
Measurement	Isotope dilution associated to	Isotope dilution associated to MC-
technique	ICPMS Q measurements	ICPMS measurements
Uncertainty	T	
component	Uncertainty	contribution in %
$(^{127}\mathrm{I}/^{129}\mathrm{I})_\mathrm{S}$	0.22	0.02
[¹²⁷ I] _{Sp}	0.12	0.12
m_{Sp}	0.08	0.09
ms	0.1	0.08
¹²⁶ Te/ ¹³⁰ Te	0.66	0.67
¹²⁸ Te/ ¹³⁰ Te		
(¹²⁷ I/ ¹²⁹ I) _{Mix}	0.15	0.12
Combined relative	0.73	0.70
standard		
uncertainty		

15

16

As can be seen in Table VI, the main sources of uncertainty in the CIEMAT 17 18 measurements come from the concentration of the spike solution and the measured isotope ratio of sample and mixtures. The uncertainty of the absolute ¹²⁶Te/¹³⁰Te and 19 ¹²⁸Te/¹³⁰Te isotope ratios used for mass bias correction has already been considered in 20 the calculation of the ¹²⁷I/¹²⁹I ratios involved in the equation. Note that the weighing of 21 sample and spike has a very small contribution. The major source of uncertainty for 22 23 CIEMAT and LANIE comes from the ¹²⁶Te/¹³⁰Te and ¹²⁸Te/¹³⁰Te IUPAC ratios [23] 24 used for instrumental mass bias correction. The IUPAC uncertainty associated with this 25 representative tellurium isotopic composition includes the overall range of variations that are likely to be encountered in both natural materials and chemicals. Since this 26 27 component dominates the uncertainty budgets from the two mass determinations, the

final relative uncertainty of the whole process was conservatively estimated as equal to 1

that of CIEMAT (0.73 %). 2

3

4 FIGURE 3

The final value of the mass concentration was obtained as the average of values 5 6 obtained by CEA and CIEMAT which is:

2.432 (18)×10¹⁹ atoms \cdot g⁻¹. 7

8

The half-life of ¹²⁹I 9 5.

10 11

As indicated in Section 1, the half-life of ¹²⁹I is obtained from Eq. 1, using the values 12 determined in this work. 13

14
15
$$T_{1/2}$$
 (¹²⁹I) = 16.14 (12) × 10⁶ a

16 17

Its relative standard uncertainty was evaluated using the expression: 18

19

20
$$u_r\left(T_{\frac{1}{2}}\right) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{u_A}{A}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{u_N}{N}\right)^2} = 7.\times 10^{-3}$$

21

22 from which the mass determination is the major component.

The result is in good agreement with the evaluated value of 16.1 (7) \times 10⁶ a [1], but 23 carrying an uncertainty that is more than 5 times lower. 24

25 Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the EMRP joint research "Metrology for Radioactive 26

Waste Management" (MetroRWM) of the European Metrology Research Programme 27

which has received funding from the European Union on the basis of Decision No 28

29 912/2009/EC.

References

3	1.	Chechev, V.P., Sergeev, V.O., 2004. in "Table of Radionuclides (Vol.1 – A=1 to 150),
4		Monographie BIPM-5", Bureau International des Poids et Mesures, Paris, 243–248.
5	2.	Osterc., A., Stibilj, V., 2011 In: Sing, N. (ed) Radioisotopes - Applications in Physical
6		Sciences. In Tech, Rijeka, Croatia.
7	3.	Muramatsu, Y., Yoshida, S., Fehn, U., Amachi, S., Ohmomo, Y., 2004. Studies with
8		natural and anthropogenic iodine isotopes: iodine distribution and cycling in the global
9		environment. J. Environ. Radioact. 74, 221-232.
10	4.	Rawlins, J.A., 1992. Partitioning and transmutation of long-lived fission products. In:
11		Proceedings International High-Level Radioactive Waste Management Conference. Las
12		Vegas, USA.
13	5.	ENV09, Metrology for Radioactive Waste Management, 2013. http://www.radwaste-
14		<u>emrp.eu/</u>
15	6.	García-Toraño, E., Altzitzoglou, T., Auerbach, P., Bé, M-M., Lourenço, V., Bobin, C.,
16		Cassette, P., Dersch, R., Kossert, K., Nähle, O., Peyrés, V., Pommé, S., Rozkov, A.,
17		Sanchez-Cabezudo, A., Sochorova, J., 2015. Results of the EURAMET.RI(II)-S6.I-129
18		supplementary comparison, Metrologia 52 Tech. Suppl. 06017.
19	7.	Grau Malonda, A., García-Toraño, E., 1982. Evaluation of counting efficiency in liquid
20		scintillation counting of pure beta-rayemitters. Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 33, 249-253.
21	8.	García-Toraño, E., Grau, A., Los Arcos, J.M., Martín Casallo, M.T., Rodríguez, L.,
22		1991. On the standardization of Beta-Gamma emitting nuclides by Liquid Scintillation
23		Counting, in "Liquid scintillation counting and organic scintillators", Lewis publishers
24		307-316. ISBN 0-87371-246-3.
25	9.	Cassette P., Vatin R., 1992. Experimental evaluation of TDCR models for the 3-PMT
26		liquid scintillation counter. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 312, 95-99.
27	10.	Broda R., Pochwalski K., 1992. The enhanced triple to double coincidence ratio
28		(ETDCR) method for standardization of radionuclides by liquid scintillation counting.
29		Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 312, 85-89.
30	11.	Winkler G., Pavlik A., 1983. Some Aspects of Activity Measurements with NaI(Tl)
31		Well-Type Detectors. Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 34, 547-553.
32	12.	García-Toraño, E., Roteta, M., Rodríguez Barquero, L., 2004. Standardization of Ga-67
33		by $4\pi\gamma$ (NaI) and $4\pi\beta-\gamma$ coincidence methods. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 60, 353-356.
34	13.	Thiam, C., Bobin, C., Maringer, F.J., Peyres, V, Pommé, S., 2015. Assessment of the
35		uncertainty budget associated with $4\pi\gamma$ counting. Metrologia 52, S97-S107.
36	14.	Salvat, F., Fernandez-Varea J.M., Sempau J. 2006 PENELOPE-2006 A Code System
37		for Monte Carlo Simulation of Electron and Photon Transport OECD ISBN 92-64-
38		02301-1 July 2006S.
39	15.	Pommé, S., Keightley, J., 2015. Determination of a reference value and its uncertainty
40		through a power-moderated mean. Metrologia 52, S200-S212.
41	16.	Heumann, K.G., 1992. Isotope dilution mass spectrometry of the elements. Mass
42		Spectrom. Rev., 11, 41-67.
43	17.	Chartier, F., Isnard, H., Degros, J.P., Faure, A.L., Frechou, C., 2008. Application of the
44		isotope dilution technique for 93 Zr determination in an irradiated cladding material by
45		multiple collector-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Int. J. Mass
46		Spectrom. 270. 127-133.
. •		

1	18. Jörg G., Amelin Y., Kossert K., Gostomski C.L., 2012. Precise and direct determination
2	of the half-life of ⁴¹ Ca Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 88, 51-65.
3	19. Bé, M-M. et al., 2015. Determination of the ¹⁵¹ Sm half-life, Radiochim. Acta 103(9)
4	619-626.
5	20. Isnard H., Nonell A., Marie M. Chartier F., 2016. Accurate measurements of ¹²⁹ I
6	concentration by isotope dilution using MC-ICPMS for half-life determination.
7	Radiochimica Acta 104 (2) 131-139.
8	21. Niu H., Houk S., 1996. Fundamental aspects of ion extraction in inductively coupled
9	plasma mass spectrometry. Spectrochimica Acta B51, 779-815.
10	22. Russell W.A., Papanastassiou D.A., Tombrello T.A., 1978. Ca isotope fractionation on
11	the earth and other solar system materials Geochim. Cosmoch. Acta, 42, 1075-1090.
12	23. Berglund, M., Wieser, M.E., 2011. Isotopic compositions of the elements 2009 (IUPAC
13	Technical Report). Pure Applied Chemistry, 83, 397.
14	24. JCGM, 2008. Evaluation of measurement data – Guide to the expression of uncertainty
15	in measurement, JCGM 100:2008, Sèvres, France.
16	25. Kragten, J., 1994. Calculating standard deviations and confidence intervals with a
17	universally applicable spreadsheet technique. Analyst 119:2161–2165.
18	26. Kragten J., 1995. A standard scheme for calculating numerically standard deviations
19	and confidence intervals. Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst. 28, 89-97.



4 Figure 1. Simplified decay scheme of ¹²⁹I. Data have been taken from [1].





3 Figure 2

- 4 Final laboratory results for the massic activity of aliquots of the same ¹²⁹I solution.
- 5 The horizontal line corresponds to the value of the power-moderated mean of the 4
- 6 **consistent values.**



- **3** Figure 3: Relative contribution of the major sources of uncertainty in the
- 4 determination of the ¹²⁹I concentration at LANIE (upper) and CIEMAT.