

A qualitative comparative analysis of women's agency and adaptive capacity in climate change hotspots in Asia and Africa

Nitya Rao*, Arabinda Mishra, Anjal Prakash, Chandni Singh, Ayesha Qaisrani, Prathigna Poonacha, Katharine Vincent and Claire Bedelian

Nitya Rao*, Professor, School of International Development, University of East Anglia, Norwich, NR4 7TJ. UK (Corresponding author). Email: n.rao@uea.ac.uk

Arabinda Mishra, Theme Leader, Livelihoods, International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development, Kathmandu, Nepal. E-mail: Arabinda.Mishra@icimod.org

Anjal Prakash. TERI School of Advanced Studies. Hyderabad, India. Email: Anjal.prakash@terisas.ac.in

Chandni Singh. Indian Institute of Human Settlements, Bangalore, India. Email: csingh@iihs.ac.in

Ayesha Qaisrani. Sustainable Development Policy Institute. Islamabad. Pakistan. Email: ayeshaaqaisrani@gmail.com

Prathigna Poonacha. Indian Institute of Human Settlements, Bangalore, India. Email: ppoonacha@iihs.ac.in

Katharine Vincent. Kulima Integrated Development Solutions (Pty) Ltd. South Africa. Email: katharine@kulima.com

Claire Bedelian. Consultant to PRISE. Overseas Development Institute. London. Email: clairebedelian@hotmail.com

Abstract

There is growing concern about sustainable and equitable adaptation in climate change hotspots, commonly understood as locations that concentrate high climatic variability, societal vulnerability, and negative impacts on livelihood systems. Emphasizing gender within these debates highlights how demographic, socio-economic and agro-ecological contexts mediate the experiences and outcomes of climate change. Drawing on data from 25 qualitative case studies across three hotspots in Africa and Asia, analysed using Qualitative Comparative Analysis, we show how and in what ways women's agency, or the ability to make meaningful choices and strategic decisions, contributes to adaptation responses. We find that environmental stress is a key depressor of women's agency even when household structures and social norms are supportive, or legal entitlements available. These findings have implications for the effective implementation of multilateral agreements such as the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, Sendai Framework on Disaster Risk Reduction, and the Sustainable Development Goals.

Sustainable, equitable, and effective adaptation is critical in climate change hotspots, locations where climatic shifts, social structures, and livelihood sensitivity converge to exacerbate vulnerability^{1,2}. Entrenched social structures create power relations that shape women's and men's experiences of vulnerability through access to resources, divisions of work, and cultural norms around mobility and decision-making, all of which determine adaptive capacity^{6,10-21}. Involving trade-offs at every level^{12,22-24}, these contextual factors not only shape vulnerabilities but also create possibilities for adaptation²⁵.

When examining gendered vulnerability and the way in which it is manifest in unequal patriarchal systems, women's agency has emerged as key to realising adaptive capacity, but remains understudied¹¹. Drawing on feminist arguments to move beyond simplistic framings of actors in terms of active or passive, victims or perpetrators^{7,26,27}, we conceptualise agency as the ability to make meaningful choices and strategic decisions²⁸. It can take multiple forms, from bargaining and negotiation to subversion and resistance²⁹, varying across institutional sites and scales, and drawing differentially on available material or social resources²⁸. Institutions, ranging from the micro (household) and meso (community) level, to the more macro-levels of markets and states³⁰, interact and intersect with each other, often intensifying or reproducing inequalities. The rules and norms they establish, can be formal or informal, complementary or competing³¹, giving specific meaning to particular activities, resources and relationships. In the context of climate action, while some research explores the role of social capital, especially women's groups, for instance, in supporting women's agency^{32,33}, a nuanced institutional analysis, linking women's agency and its implications for adaptive capacity remains missing^{11,34}. Driven by multiple factors across these institutions, acting in combination with each other⁷, outcomes in terms of women's agency are not uniform across contexts.

Here we explore how women's agency contributes to adaptation responses in different climate hotspots. We use gender as an analytical framework, with its focus on power relations, to inform our theoretical conceptualisation of the possible individual and relational conditions that combine to strengthen or depress women's agency. The evidence draws on 25 qualitative case studies (See Figure 1, Table 1 and the Supplementary Table 1 (provided as an additional excel file) for details of cases) in three distinct agro-ecological regions: 14 in semi-arid regions, 6 in mountains and glacier fed river basins and 5 in deltas. Predominant livelihoods are agriculture, livestock pastoralism, and fishing, supplemented by wage labour, petty trade or business, and income from remittances. These areas face a range of environmental risks including droughts, floods, rainfall variability, land erosion and landslides, glacial lake outburst floods (GLOFs), heatwaves, salinity ingress, coastal erosion, cyclones, amongst others. As

climate hotspots there is recognition that risk is related not only to climatic parameters but also socially-differentiated and multi-scalar vulnerability²⁻⁹.

We use Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA)⁽³⁵⁻³⁸⁾ to assess the causation behind diversity in women's agency as an 'outcome' due to varying influences of the contextual 'conditions' in climate change hotspots. QCA allows us to generalize to some extent our findings without ignoring the multiple cases' strong dependence on local context. This contributes to recent calls to synthesize the rapidly expanding climate adaptation knowledge base which remains fragmented and highly case-based, and thus less amenable to uptake in global and national policy and practice^{40,41}. Through this analysis, we demonstrate multiple causal combinations of contextual 'conditions' which either strengthen or dampen women's agency, highlighting patterns that can suggest possible entry points for moving towards sustainable, equitable and effective adaptation.

[Insert Figure 1]

Environmental stressors negate women's agency

The QCA technique examines relations between set memberships of cases to identify combinations of causal factors (or conditions) resulting in a specific outcome³⁶⁻³⁸. In QCA terminology 'outcome' is the phenomenon to be explained and typically cases are categorized as positive or negative based on the presence or absence of outcome. 'Conditions' are the possible explanatory factors determined from theory as well as the empirics of the cases under study. Drawing on theory^{7,28,30}, the SDG 5 targets and indicators⁴⁶, and our field insights, we initially identified seven conditions to explain women's agency which was reduced to five through a process of aggregation (Supplementary Table 2). Aggregation rules – average of scores for equally important attributes, the minimum score if all attributes had to be present – were determined for the multiple attributes of the outcome and the conditions. To triangulate we relied on multiple sources of secondary data, and in a few cases checks with field-based key informants (see Table 2 for definitions of outcomes and conditions; see Methods for further details on how we operationalised the QCA method).

Supplementary Table 3 gives the descriptive statistics that provide an overview of the data set. On the outcome side (~womag or women's agency), our set of 25 cases was characterized more by its absence than presence – we therefore reframed our research question in terms of an enquiry into whether the conjunctural combination of five conditions can 'sufficiently' explain the negation of the outcome in our case studies. Further, across 98 per cent of the cases, the combined influence of stressed environmental conditions (envcon) and the interaction between mobility and women's working conditions (mobwom) explain the negation of women's agency as an outcome (see Supplementary Table 4), and hence we interpret this as a commonality across hotspots. Using the fsQCA software to the reduced form of the model ~womag = f(~matcon, ~hhcon, ~socstat) two causal pathways emerge (Figure 2, Table 3, pointing to combinations of conditions that depress women's agency.

[Insert Figure 2]

Environmental degradation is common in all the climate hotspots with increasing rainfall variability and temperature extremes, land degradation and changing land use, soil salinization, water logging, and water scarcity⁶⁵⁻⁶⁷. Given that most rural livelihoods are natural resource dependent, climate variability and environmental degradation manifest adversely on livelihoods, with second- and third-order impacts on women's agency. For instance, in case A1 (Kolar district, Karnataka, India), water scarcity is driving low agricultural returns and male out-migration. Whether as a regular livelihood strategy or in response to climate impacts, rural outmigration is highly gendered^{29,51,68,69}. Men migrate out looking for off-farm economic opportunities (A1, A7, D1, D5, H2, H5, P1, P2 and P3) or to access pastures for their livestock

and cattle (A2, A9, P5 and P3). Although remittances contribute variously to incomes (from more than 50% in D4 to negligible sums in D2 and H3), male migration leads to women engaging in a range of low paid, low productivity and often risky informal sector activities (A2, P5, H1, H3, P4). In the absence of supportive physical and social infrastructure, this can lead to additional burdens on women and families^{51,70}. Most women across cases reported reduced leisure time, with negative consequences on their wellbeing, including the health and nutrition of themselves and their households⁷³.

A 41-year-old woman from case A3 (semi-arid South India), said, “it is so difficult to get labour to work on our farms, especially during harvest season and when they do come, they demand too much money for us to pay ... I have started working more on the farm now, I do not have a choice. My husband goes for construction work in the neighbouring town, and if I do not do agricultural work the crops will die”⁷¹. In the case of P1 (D. G. Khan, Pakistan), monsoon rains and floods destroyed the cotton crop, and as a young woman noted, “Men can easily migrate for work whereas we have to stay here (at home) to take care of the family. After floods, my daily wage decreased from Rs. 200 (\$1.62) per bale of cotton to Rs. 75 (\$0.61)”. Similar testimonies emerged from the other sites.

With reduced male labour in the rural areas, feminization of agriculture was common but not always accompanied by higher women’s agency. Agricultural decision-making continued to be in men’s hands, with women remaining farm workers, or, at best, supervisors (A8, P2, P3, H5 and H2). In semi-arid Kenya (P5, A2), when men moved away with livestock, women lost control over milk for consumption and sale, and had to work harder to provide nutritious food to their children. As a 22-year-old woman with two young children in A2 (Northern Kenya) noted: “My husband is sometimes away for 4-5 days. I manage the shop, cook and look after the children. I have no help”⁷². Even in cases where women’s involvement in household budget management increased, patriarchal societal structures made this appear as a burden rather than a source of empowerment or agency (D5, P2, A1).

Next we turn to the distinctions between the two pathways. The first pathway suggests that the negation of women’s agency is an institutional outcome where, although social capital and state interventions seem to be substituting for each other, they are unable to significantly improve women’s agency. The second pathway demonstrates that, even if household conditions are positive, poor material conditions or poverty can negate women’s agency.

Social capital and state support as institutional pathways

There is a stated commitment towards affirmative legislation and action for women’s empowerment across contexts (Table 2). Yet in the hotspots under study, men dominated the state-provided aid and relief facilities during floods or droughts; women relying on their male relatives to fulfil their needs (D1, P1, A2). Further, while women may have statutory rights over land and property (A5, P4, P5), state extension and financial services, or farmers’ networks and associations, remain male dominated. This holds true for agricultural as well as pastoralist regions in Kenya, Pakistan, India and Nepal where despite constitutionally conferred powers, women often act only in line with male decisions, or at best, in consultation with men.

State intervention and social capital often substitute for each other, as illustrated in the case of Ethiopia (A9), where people seem to support each other more in the absence of state interventions, or Tajikistan (P2), where networks and associations, especially related to migrant households, support each other. In Ghana (A5), state interventions seemed to impede both the traditional cohesion within communities and women’s ability to diversify into more lucrative livelihoods. Focusing on cash crops, and providing formal extension services, typically controlled by men, they strengthened cultural norms that excluded women⁷⁴.

While community cohesion may be declining in contexts of environmental stress, donor agencies and states seek to strengthen social capital through the organisation of women's self-help groups (SHGs), providing them with financial and other livelihood support, including skill development. Common to all cases, and specifically D1 (Ghana), there is a strong argument in favour of SHGs to strengthen women's collective action and empowerment. However, despite their advantages, SHGs are often limited in number and lack the needed capacity, skills and opportunities to be effective and sustainable, especially where individual women and groups are dependent on natural resource systems for livelihood resources. Though membership to an SHG often acts positively for women's agency, it does not necessarily translate into decision-making authority outside the SHGs and within their homes (A3). This raises another important point, that women's agency in one institutional site may not necessarily transfer uncontested to another – it is contextual and socially embedded.

Amongst the cases of equifinality (multiple combinations of conditions producing the same outcome as seen in A8, D2 and D4), the case of Mali (A8) is interesting, as the peculiarity of the household structure explains the trade-off between state support and social capital. In polygamous and multi-generational households, the status of women is not homogenous but depends on their age and status. Senior women have more say in food decisions, whilst younger women provide the labour⁷⁵. Positive social capital here reflects the position of senior rather than junior women. In the case of the Ganga-Brahmaputra delta in Bangladesh (D4) state interventions in terms of planned relocation negatively impacted women's agency. Jobs available in the Export Processing Zones are preferentially accessed by men, able to commute to work, or even stay away from home for long periods. Women bear the burden of working in the fields, following a shift from fishing, in addition to managing the household and families. Poverty here contributes to enhancing their vulnerability.

Household Poverty as a Depressor Pathway

Across cultures, restrictions on women do exist, but they vary in nature, and in general, appear to be loosening in contexts of environmental stress, where household survival becomes a priority. In terms of women's mobility, more women are working outside their home, whether in factories as in peri-urban Bangalore (A6), or in petty trade and the provision of domestic services in semi-arid northern Kenya (A2). There is a wide variation in household headship across the study sites from 40 per cent of the households being female headed in Kenya (A2), to barely any in the Teesta floodplain areas of Bangladesh (H3). Household decision-making also presents mixed results across cases, often shaped by household type. In polygamous multigenerational households senior men make major decisions (A8); in multigenerational households in east Africa mothers and daughters were building mutually supportive relationships (A2)⁷², and in peri-urban Bangalore increasing incidence of nuclear households was increasing women's voices (A6), with one young woman noting, "Absolutely, the change in me happened because of my working. I did not know where to alight from the bus. Now I travel alone even changing two three buses." What is clear is that given the diversity of household types, it is hard to generalise on a particular type that could be considered more supportive of women's agency.

Despite overall positive household conditions, this pathway still results in a negation of women's agency owing to poor material conditions of the household, measured by the educational status of men and women, health conditions, food (in)security of the household (e.g. A2), ownership of productive assets (H3, A5, A8), and levels of indebtedness. In semi-arid Kenya (A2), female-headed households engage in trade in intoxicants such as *miraa* for higher earnings, to support the education of their children, but this exposes them to health risks, such as, through engaging in sexual activities with their clients. Yet, as a 35-year-old woman reported, "Despite our efforts, there is a high level of malnutrition here. We can't afford meat, we just eat rice and potatoes, but even for this, the quantity is not enough". In Mali and

Ghana, women farm on borrowed lands, which are insecure and not very fertile; they are hence hesitant to invest in such lands, reproducing a cycle of low productivity and food insecurity (A5, A8)⁷⁴. Indebtedness also determines material conditions, with levels varying across regions and cases, with high levels in semi-arid India (A1 and A6)^{71, 76}, the Teesta floodplains (H3) and the delta regions (D2 and D4), but lower in semi-arid Africa (A2 and A8).

In all the cases represented in this pathway, household poverty and environmental stress seem to combine to suppress women's agency even when favourable household norms are leading to improved participation of women in the workforce and voice in household decision-making. The everyday pressure of survival does not lead to improving the adaptive capacity of women and households or to build long-term resilience.

Contradictions

We identified three cases - H1, P4 and A7– all under pathway 1, responsible for producing 'contradictions' in our truth table (see Supplementary Table 5) and therefore requiring explanation of what is unique to the women actors, the institutional landscape, or the socio-ecological context that makes the same factor configuration produce a contradiction on the outcome side i.e. stronger women's agency. In these three cases, women's agency appears strong, related to the ability of women to make decisions and participate in economic activities unhindered by legal barriers and socio-cultural norms and rules of mobility, resource access and indeed gender divisions of labour. In Nuwakot District of Nepal (H1) establishment of a cooperative provided opportunities to some women to purchase buffaloes and gain a steady income stream from the sale of milk. Not all women benefited; deep-rooted caste inequalities led to the exclusion of low caste women, despite formal attempts to include them. In Kenya (P4) alongside household structures providing them an enabling environment to engage in productive activities, and a supportive legal framework, opportunities for such productive engagement were higher in urban than rural contexts, and for middle-class rather than poorer households. In Namibia (A7) a strong legal and constitutional framework ensures pensions, social grants and state-sponsored relief to individual households, particularly those belonging to certain minority ethnic groups such as the Dhemba. A 67 year old Dhemba woman confirmed, "When I notice that the *mahangu* will not reach the next harvest, I start to supplement household food consumption with purchased maize meal using my social grant"⁷⁷. Yet, with limited education and weak social support, women's inclusion in a range of arenas is depressed – migration and work in particular. The contradiction here is possibly arising because of state action's inability to fill up for the inadequacies in social capital and community norms.

Implications for equitable adaptation

Our approach has allowed us to analyse and present complex causality in different climate hotspots, yet with an element of comparability and replicability that is necessary to generate insights into what is required to enable equitable adaptation, in our case with a specific emphasis on women's agency. To this extent, despite requiring several iterations, forcing us to rethink our assumptions, reflect continuously on our field insights, and combine conditions that appeared to be substituting for each other, QCA provides a useful alternative to an exclusive reliance on big data, and the challenges it entails for a context-sensitive analysis.

What emerges is an acknowledgement that environmental stress can combine with other contextual conditions to act as a major depressor of women's agency, despite the existence of other enablers^{81,82}. Importantly, social institutions and relations are central in shaping women's agency, yet their role is often underplayed in discussions which view agency only as

individual choice or freedom^{29,78–80}. Even when household structures and social norms are supportive, or legal entitlements available, environmental stress contributes to intensifying exclusionary mechanisms, leading to household strategies that place increasing responsibilities and burdens on women, especially those who are young, less educated, and belonging to lower classes, or marginal castes and ethnicities. Male migration does contribute to enhanced incomes, but the degree of such support is both uncertain and irregular. Confronted with issues of everyday survival, in the absence of supportive infrastructure and services, women often work harder, in poorer conditions, and for lower wages, across the hotspots studied, with negative wellbeing outcomes, seen particularly in the neglect of their health and nutrition. In a sense, women do have voice and agency, yet this is not contributing to strengthening longer-term adaptive capacities^{68,83–85}.

The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, through its Gender Action Plan and commitments to gender-responsive adaptation as outlined in the Paris Agreement, along with the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction and the Sustainable Development Goals, requires that we have empirical insights into what builds the adaptive capacity of women and men in order to support sustainable, equitable, and effective adaptation. Our analysis suggests that some common conditions such as male migration and women's poor working conditions combine with either institutional failure, or poverty, to constrain women's agency. These barriers, if addressed in creative ways, could potentially strengthen adaptive capacities, and enable more effective adaptation. Firstly, effective social protection, such as the universal public distribution system (PDS) for cereals in India, or pensions and social grants in Namibia, can contribute to relieving immediate pressures on survival. Secondly, rather than creating competition amongst individuals and households, such universal benefits can support processes that strengthen collective action at the community level. This however cannot always be done on the 'cheap'; investments are needed to enable better and more sustainable management of resources. Women's Self Help Groups are often presented as solutions, yet they are confronted by the lack of resources, skills and capacity to help their members effectively meet the challenges they confront⁸⁶. While not discussed in depth in this paper, competitive markets are clearly not working to strengthen women's agency, rather they end up undervaluing and appropriating the labour of poor women, but equally men in contexts of migration. There appears to be a clear case for regulating labour markets to ensure decent work, whether for women or migrant men, but this is proving difficult in a globalised context.

Although there are some limitations of the post facto application of QCA technique, for instance, a low consistency score raising the issue of generalizability (see Methods), based on our theoretical insights and knowledge of the field contexts, we can say with some confidence that the emerging configurational combinations would, in a majority of cases, lead to the negation of women's agency as the outcome. There will always be exceptions, pointing to the need for further disaggregation and acknowledgement of differences amongst women, whether of caste, class or location. But this method, like the assessments conducted by IPCC or IPBES, contributes to the synthesis of case-based studies^{40,41} necessary to inform policy messages. Our analysis highlights the need to move beyond stereotypes and simplistic framings and think creatively about a range of resources, opportunities and institutions that can create an enabling environment for women, and indeed men, to exercise agency for effective, equitable, and sustainable adaptation.

Author Contributions

Nitya Rao led the theoretical conceptualisation of the key arguments, Arabinda Mishra led the analysis using QCA methodology, Anjal Prakash coordinated the process and review of literature, Chandni Singh coordinated the case writing, contributed to the literature review, and

put together the supplementary material and references; Ayesha Qaisrani and Prathigna Poonacha contributed text on the two pathways, Katharine Vincent provided implications of the cases and comments on the text and structure and Claire Bedelian provided critical comments.

Case study contributors additionally include Swati Pillai, Divya Solomon, Elaine Lawson, Rahinatu Alare, Margaret Angula, Amadou Sidibe, Laura Camfield, Pranita Udas, Nusrat Habib, Jannatul Ferdous, Chhaya Vani Namchu, Chanda G. Goodrich, Neha Khandekar, Suruchi Badhwal, Ganesh Gorti, Sudeshna Sen, Navarun Varma, Zhanna Babagaliyeva, Mamadou Dime, Mamadou Diop, Attila N. Lázár and Giorgia Prati.

Acknowledgement

This work was carried out under the Collaborative Adaptation Research Initiative in Africa and Asia (CARIAA), with financial support from the UK Government's Department for International Development (DfID) and the International Development Research Centre (IDRC), Canada. The views expressed in this work are those of the creators and do not necessarily represent those of DfID and IDRC or its Board of Governors. We would additionally like to acknowledge the financial contribution of the four CARIAA consortia and the CARIAA Small Opportunities Grant that made this collaboration possible.

Competing Interests Statement

There are no competing interests involved.

References

1. de Sherbinin, A. Climate change hotspots mapping: What have we learned? *Clim. Change* **123**, 23–37 (2014).
2. De Souza, K. *et al.* Vulnerability to climate change in three hot spots in Africa and Asia: key issues for policy-relevant adaptation and resilience-building research. *Reg. Environ. Chang.* **15**, 747–753 (2015).
3. Khan, A. S. & Cundill, G. Hotspots 2.0: Toward an integrated understanding of stressors and response options. *Ambio* **48**, 639–648 (2019).
4. Tschakert, P., van Oort, B., St. Clair, A. L. & LaMadrid, A. Inequality and transformation analyses: a complementary lens for addressing vulnerability to climate change. *Clim. Dev.* **5**, 340–350 (2013).
5. Djoudi, H. *et al.* Beyond dichotomies: Gender and intersecting inequalities in climate change studies. *Ambio* **45**, 248–262 (2016).
6. Kaijser, A. & Kronsell, A. Climate change through the lens of intersectionality. *Env. Polit.* **23**, 417–433 (2014).
7. Moosa, C. S. & Tuana, N. Mapping a Research Agenda Concerning Gender and Climate Change: A Review of the Literature. *Hypatia* **29**, 677–694 (2014).
8. Denton, F. F. Climate change vulnerability, impacts, and adaptation: why does gender matter? *Clim. Chang.* **10**, 10–20 (2002).
9. Diffenbaugh, N. S. & Giorgi, F. Climate change hotspots in the CMIP5 global climate model ensemble. *Clim. Change* **114**, 813–822 (2012).
10. Iniesta-Arandia, I. *et al.* A synthesis of convergent reflections, tensions and silences in

- linking gender and global environmental change research. *Ambio* **45**, 383–393 (2016).
11. Pearse, R. Gender and climate change. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Clim. Chang.* **8**, e451 (2017).
 12. Carr, E. R. & Thompson, M. C. Gender and Climate Change Adaptation in Agrarian Settings: Current Thinking, New Directions, and Research Frontiers. *Geogr. Compass* **8**, 182–197 (2014).
 13. Pelling, M. & High, C. Understanding adaptation: What can social capital offer assessments of adaptive capacity? *Glob. Environ. Chang.* **15**, 308–319 (2005).
 14. Adger, N. W. Social capital, collective action, and adaptation to climate change. *Econ. Geogr.* **79**, 387–404 (2003).
 15. Adger, W. N. & Vincent, K. Uncertainty in adaptive capacity. *Comptes Rendus Geosci.* **337**, 399–410 (2005).
 16. Guérin, I., Kumar, S. & Agier, I. Women's empowerment: Power to act or power over other women? Lessons from Indian microfinance. *Oxford Dev. Stud.* **41**, S76–S94 (2013).
 17. Turner, M. D. Climate vulnerability as a relational concept. *Geoforum* **68**, 29–38 (2016).
 18. Alston, M. Women and adaptation. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Clim. Chang.* **4**, 351–358 (2013).
 19. Rao, N. Assets, Agency and Legitimacy: Towards a Relational Understanding of Gender Equality Policy and Practice. *World Dev.* **95**, 43–54 (2017).
 20. Rao, N. Caste, Kinship, and Life Course: Rethinking Women's Work and Agency in Rural South India. *Fem. Econ.* **20**, 78–102 (2014).
 21. Barad, K. Posthumanist performativity: Toward an understanding of how matter comes to matter. *Signs J. women Cult. Soc.* **28**, 801–831 (2003).
 22. Bhattarai, B., Beilin, R. & Ford, R. Gender, Agrobiodiversity, and Climate Change: A Study of Adaptation Practices in the Nepal Himalayas. *World Dev.* **70**, 122–132 (2015).
 23. Djoudi, H. & Brockhaus, M. Is adaptation to climate change gender neutral? Lessons from communities dependent on livestock and forests in northern Mali. *Int. For. Rev.* **13**, 123–135 (2011).
 24. Tschakert, P. & Machado, M. Gender Justice and Rights in Climate Change Adaptation: Opportunities and Pitfalls. *Ethics Soc. Welf.* **6**, 275–289 (2012).
 25. Onta, N. & Resurreccion, B. P. The Role of Gender and Caste in Climate Adaptation Strategies in Nepal Emerging Change and Persistent Inequalities in the Far-Western Region. *Mt. Res. Dev.* **31**, 351–356 (2011).
 26. Rao, N., Lawson, E. T., Raditloaneng, W. N., Solomon, D. & Angula, M. N. Gendered vulnerabilities to climate change: insights from the semi-arid regions of Africa and Asia. *Clim. Dev.* **11**, 14–26 (2019).
 27. Arora-Jonsson, S. Virtue and vulnerability: Discourses on women, gender and climate change. *Glob. Environ. Chang.* **21**, 744–751 (2011).
 28. Kabeer, N. Resources, Agency, Achievements: Reflections on the Measurement of Women's Empowerment. *Dev. Change* **30**, 435–464 (1999).

29. Rao, N. *et al.* Managing risk, changing aspirations and household dynamics: implications for wellbeing and adaptation in semi-arid Africa and India. *World Dev.* (2019).
30. Kabeer, N. From feminist insights to an analytical framework. in *Institutions, Relations and Outcomes: A framework and case studies for gender-aware planning.* (eds. Kabeer, N. & Subrahmanian, R.) 3–48 (Kali for women, 1999).
31. Sen, G. Informal institutions and gender equality. in *Informal institutions: How social norms help or hinder development* 49–72 (OECD, 2007).
32. Singh, C. Is participatory watershed development building local adaptive capacity? Findings from a case study in Rajasthan, India. *Environ. Dev.* **25**, 43–58 (2018).
33. Andersson, E. & Gabrielsson, S. 'Because of poverty, we had to come together': collective action for improved food security in rural Kenya and Uganda. *Int. J. Agric. Sustain.* **10**, 245–262 (2012).
34. Vincent, K. E., Tschakert, P., Barnett, J., Rivera-Ferre, M. G. & Woodward, A. Cross-chapter box on gender and climate change. in *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel of Climate Change* (eds. Field, C. B. *et al.*) 105–107 (Cambridge University Press, 2014).
35. Ragin, C. C. *Redesigning social inquiry: Fuzzy sets and beyond.* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2008).
36. Rihoux, B. & Ragin, C. C. *Configurational comparative methods: Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and related techniques.* (Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications, 2008).
37. Schneider, C. Q. & Wagemann, C. *Set-theoretic methods for the social sciences: A guide to qualitative comparative analysis.* (Cambridge University Press: New York, 2012).
38. Ragin, C. C. *The comparative method: moving beyond qualitative and quantitative strategies.* (University of California Press: Berkeley, 1987).
39. UN Women. *Turning promises into action: Gender equality in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.* (UN Women New York, 2018).
40. Ford, J. D. *et al.* Opinion: Big data has big potential for applications to climate change adaptation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **113**, 10729–10732 (2016).
41. Berrang-Ford, L., Pearce, T. & Ford, J. D. Systematic review approaches for climate change adaptation research. *Reg. Environ. Chang.* **15**, 755–769 (2015).
42. Rihoux, B. Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA), anno 2013: reframing the comparative method's seminal statements. *Swiss Polit. Sci. Rev.* **19**, 233–245 (2013).
43. Rihoux, B. & Lobe, B. The Case for Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA): Adding Leverage for Thick Cross-Case Comparison. in *The SAGE Handbook of Case-Based Methods* (ed. Byrne, D.) 222–242 (SAGE Publications, 2009).
44. Byrne, D. & Ragin, C. C. *The Sage handbook of case-based methods.* (Sage Publications, 2009).
45. Ragin, C. C. & Rihoux, B. Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA): State of the art and prospects. *Qual. Methods* **2**, 3 (2004).

46. Grown, C., Gupta, G. R., Kes, A. & du millénaire, P. O. *Taking action: achieving gender equality and empowering women*. (Earthscan London, 2005).
47. Sen, A. K. *Development as freedom*. (Anchor Books, 1999).
48. Ghosh, J. *Migration and Gender Empowerment: Recent Trends and Emerging Issues. Human Development Research Paper* (2009).
49. Bhatta, G. D., Aggarwal, P. K., Poudel, S. & Belgrave, D. A. Climate-induced Migration in South Asia: Migration Decisions and the Gender Dimensions of Adverse Climatic Events. *J. Rural Community Dev.* **10**, 1–23 (2015).
50. Cohen, M. G. Using Information about Gender and Climate Change to Inform Green Economic Policies. in *Climate Change and Gender in Rich Countries* (ed. Cohen, M. J.) 297–314 (Routledge, 2017).
51. Singh, C. Migration as a driver of changing household structures: implications for local livelihoods and adaptation. *Migr. Dev.* **00**, 1–19 (2019).
52. David, R., Niang, O. K., Myers, M., Ruthven, O. & Yabre, P. *Changing places? Women, resource management and migration in the Sahel: case studies from Senegal, Burkina Faso, Mali and Sudan*. (SOS Sahel, UK, 1995).
53. Kothari, U. Staying put and staying poor? *J. Int. Dev.* **15**, 645–657 (2003).
54. Schneider, C. Q. & Wagemann, C. Reducing complexity in Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA): Remote and proximate factors and the consolidation of democracy. *Eur. J. Polit. Res.* **45**, 751–786 (2006).
55. Nightingale, A. J. G. A socionature approach to adaptation: Political transition, intersectionality, and climate change programmes in Nepal. in *Climate Change Adaptation and Development: Transforming Paradigms and Practices* (eds. Inderberg, T. H., Eriksen, S., O'Brien, K. & Sygna, L.) 219–234 (Routledge: Oxford, 2015).
56. Agarwal, B. Gender, Environment, and Poverty Interlinks: Regional Variations and Temporal Shifts in Rural India, 1979–91. *World Dev.* **25**, 23–52 (1997).
57. Nagoda, S. & Nightingale, A. J. Participation and power in climate change adaptation policies: Vulnerability in food security programs in Nepal. *World Dev.* **100**, 85–93 (2017).
58. OECD. *Social Institutions and Gender Index: Synthesis Report*. (2014).
59. Chant, S. Female household headship as an asset? Interrogating the intersections of urbanisation, gender, and domestic transformations. in *Gender, Asset Accumulation and Just Cities* (ed. Moser, C. O. N.) 33–51 (Routledge, 2015).
60. Goulden, M., Naess, L. O., Vincent, K. & Adger, W. N. Accessing diversification, networks and traditional resource management as adaptations to climate extremes. in *Adapting to climate change: Thresholds, values, governance* (eds. Adger, W. N., Lorenzoni, I. & O'Brien, K.) 448–464 (Cambridge University Press, 2009).
61. National Commission for Enterprises in the Unorganised Sector (NCEUS). *Conditions of Work and Promotion of Livelihood in the Unorganised Sector*. (2007).
62. Basurto, X. & Speer, J. Structuring the calibration of qualitative data as sets for qualitative comparative analysis (QCA). *Field methods* **24**, 155–174 (2012).
63. Karlekar, M. Gender dimensions in labour migration: an overview. in *Women and seasonal labour migration* (ed. Sandbergen, S.) 23–78 (Sage New Delhi, 1995).

64. Rubinson, C. Contradictions in fsQCA. *Qual. Quant.* **47**, 2847–2867 (2013).
65. Ford, J. D. *et al.* The status of climate change adaptation in Africa and Asia. *Reg. Environ. Chang.* **15**, 801–814 (2015).
66. Kilroy, G. A review of the biophysical impacts of climate change in three hotspot regions in Africa and Asia. *Reg. Environ. Chang.* **15**, 771–782 (2015).
67. Tucker, J. *et al.* Social vulnerability in three high-poverty climate change hot spots: What does the climate change literature tell us? *Reg. Environ. Chang.* **15**, 783–800 (2015).
68. Resurrección, B. P. *et al.* In the Shadows of the Himalayan Mountains: Persistent Gender and Social Exclusion in Development. in *The Hindu Kush Himalaya Assessment* 491–516 (Springer, 2019).
69. Gioli, G. & Milan, A. Gender, migration and (global) environmental change. in *Routledge Handbook of Environmental Displacement and Migration* **135**, 135–150 (ROUTLEDGE in association with GSE Research, 2018).
70. Singh, C., Rahman, A., Srinivas, A. & Bazaz, A. Risks and responses in rural India: Implications for local climate change adaptation action. *Clim. Risk Manag.* **21**, 52–68 (2018).
71. Solomon, D. & Rao, N. Wells and Wellbeing: gender dimensions of groundwater dependence in South India. *Econ. Polit. Wkly.* **53**, 38–45 (2018).
72. Rao, N. From abandonment to autonomy: Gendered strategies for coping with climate change, Isiolo County, Kenya. *Geoforum* **102**, 27–37 (2019).
73. Rao, N., Gazdar, H., Chanchani, D. & Ibrahim, M. Women's agricultural work and nutrition in South Asia: From pathways to a cross-disciplinary, grounded analytical framework. *Food Policy* **82**, 50–62 (2019).
74. Lawson, E. T., Alare, R. S., Salifu, A. R. Z. & Thompson-Hall, M. Dealing with climate change in semi-arid Ghana: understanding intersectional perceptions and adaptation strategies of women farmers. *GeoJournal* (2019). doi:10.1007/s10708-019-09974-4
75. Rivers III, L. *et al.* Mental models of food security in rural Mali. *Environ. Syst. Decis.* **38**, 33–51 (2018).
76. Singh, C. Of borewells and bicycles: the gendered nature of water access and its implications on local adaptive capacity. in *En-gendering Climate Change: Learnings from South Asia*. Routledge (eds. Hans, A., Rao, N., Prakash, A. & Patel, A.) (Routledge, 2019).
77. Angula, M. A gendered and intersectional analysis for understanding vulnerability to the changing climate within socially diverse communities in semi-arid regions, North-Central Namibia. (University of Cape Town, South Africa, 2019).
78. Goodrich, C. G., Udas, P. B. & Larrington-Spencer, H. Conceptualizing gendered vulnerability to climate change in the Hindu Kush Himalaya: Contextual conditions and drivers of change. *Environ. Dev.* **31**, 9–18 (2019).
79. Goodrich, C. G., Prakash, A. & Udas, P. B. Gendered vulnerability and adaptation in Hindu-Kush Himalayas: Research insights. *Environ. Dev.* **31**, 1–8 (2019).
80. Ahmed, A., Lawson, E. T., Mensah, A., Gordon, C. & Padgham, J. Adaptation to climate change or non-climatic stressors in semi-arid regions? Evidence of gender differentiation in three agrarian districts of Ghana. *Environ. Dev.* **20**, 45–58 (2016).

81. Fröhlich, C. & Gioli, G. Gender, conflict, and global environmental change. *Peace Rev.* **27**, 137–146 (2015).
82. Skogen, K., Helland, H. & Kaltenborn, B. Concern about climate change, biodiversity loss, habitat degradation and landscape change: Embedded in different packages of environmental concern? *J. Nat. Conserv.* **44**, 12–20 (2018).
83. Jost, C. *et al.* Understanding gender dimensions of agriculture and climate change in smallholder farming communities. *Clim. Dev.* **5529**, 1–12 (2015).
84. Otto, I. M. *et al.* Social vulnerability to climate change: a review of concepts and evidence. *Reg. Environ. Chang.* **17**, 1651–1662 (2017).
85. Cramer, L., Förch, W., Mutie, I. & Thornton, P. K. Connecting Women, Connecting Men: How Communities and Organizations Interact to Strengthen Adaptive Capacity and Food Security in the Face of Climate Change. *Gend. Technol. Dev.* **20**, 169–199 (2016).
86. Ghosh, S. K., Banerjee, S. & Naaz, F. Women in Indian Bengal Delta: Adapting to climate change induced migration. *Econ. Polit. Wkly.* **53**, 63–69 (2018).

Table 1: Description of the 25 case studies

Code	Country	Livelihood	Risks	Socio-cultural context
A1	India	Agriculture, livestock rearing, increasingly construction labour	Drought, water scarcity, poor credit access	Social stratification based on caste, religion (Muslim, Hindu)
A2	Kenya	Pastoralism, farming, petty trade, wage labour	Drought, water scarcity, poor credit access	Borana ethnic group, traditionally pastoralist
A3	India	Agriculture, wage labour	Drought, dry spells, water scarcity, price fluctuations	Social differentiation based on farmer categories and castes
A4	India	Non-Timber Forest Products (NTFPs), agriculture	Irregular rainfall, market fluctuations	Social differentiation based on caste stratification within the tribals (locally called <i>kullam</i>)
A5	Ghana	Farming (crop and livestock)	Drought, floods, erratic rainfall, poor access to markets	Mostly peasant farmers, of <i>Dagaaba</i> ethnic group
A6	India	Transitioning from agriculture to construction labourers and other livelihoods such as petrol pump workers. Shifts within agriculture from rainfed to irrigated, and subsistence to cash crops.	Unplanned urbanisation, water scarcity, lack to basic services	Primarily rural population where rapid urbanisation is changing village boundaries and institutions (often shifts from Gram Panchayat to ULB).
A7	Namibia	Subsistence farming (Crop and livestock),	Drought, variable rainfall, Flood	Socially differentiated by gender, ethnicity and income. Rural farmers with a high population above 50 years.
A8	Mali	Agriculture, livestock rearing, fishing, trade, handcraft. Agriculture makes up 45% of GDP.	Uneven rainfall, land degradation, population growth and pressure and conflicts over natural resources	Gender division of tasks embedded in intra-household dynamics.
A9	Ethiopia	Predominantly pastoralist and informal labour, some rain-fed agro-pastoralism	Water scarcity, invasive species, livestock death	All Afar ethnicity
H1	Nepal	Farm based livelihood in rainfed situation	Water scarcity, increase incidence of disease in cattle farming	Mix caste groups affected by 2015 earthquake in Nepal
H2	Pakistan	Gender based livelihood activities in flood affected areas and their role in resilience to different climatic shocks	Land erosion and landslides, GLOF, floods, increasing rainfall variability, market access, rising temperature	Resilience of small land holder community especially women
H3	Bangladesh	Agriculture, agriculture-based labourer	River bank erosion, flood, drought, higher poverty rate, no energy, bad road communication	Poor farm based Muslim community
H4	India	Integrated farming practices in water scarce situation	Water scarcity, increasing landslides.	Mixed caste, ethnic and religious groups
H5	India	Agriculture, Public and Private service, Remittance	Uncertainty of rainfall pattern, floods	Mixed caste groups
H6	India	Agro-pastoralism	Uncertainty in snowfall and changes in snow cover	Dokpa tribe-traditional livelihood system organized by customary institution named <i>dzumsa</i>
P1	Pakistan	Agriculture, daily wage (non-farm)	Floods, water scarcity, drought, heat waves	Patriarchy, male out-migration, poverty rates, rural urban disparities
P2	Tajikistan	Agriculture, labour migration for various livelihood activities	Water stress, salinity, flooding, droughts	Poverty rates, vulnerability to climate change, receipt of remittances
P3	Senegal	Agriculture, migration	Droughts, extreme heat, wind	Socioeconomic disparities, receipt of remittances, garden farming, patriarchal culture

P4	Senegal	SMEs	Droughts, extreme heat, wind	Poverty, low technical and financial capacity, poor access to credit, scarcity of raw materials, lack of real incentive
P5	Kenya	Livestock and pastoralism	Droughts, water scarcity, floods extreme heat	Land tenure system, privatization,
D1	Ghana	Small business, crop farming, fishing	Coastal erosion, flooding, drought, salinization, siltation	Sending and receiving areas for migrants, with predominantly rural-urban flows
D2	India	Construction, crop farming, other salaried employment, trading, small businesses	Monsoon flooding, tropical cyclones and erosion	Net-outmigration
D3	India	Crop farming, small businesses, trading, construction	Cyclones, floods, waterlogging	Sending and receiving areas for migrants, with predominantly rural-urban flows
D4	Bangladesh	Crop farming, fishing, small businesses, salaried employment	Fluvio-tidal floods, tropical cyclones and storm surges, river bank erosion, salinity intrusion, arsenic contamination of shallow aquifers.	Significant poverty, as well as severe development and urbanisation pressure with the rapid expansion of the major cities (predominantly rural-urban migration flows)
D5	India	Small-scale rainfed agriculture (crops and livestock)	Severe coastal erosion from sea level rise and strong wind and tidal movements, salinisation of agricultural land, inundation during storm surges	High levels of poverty and inequality; and migration driven by environmental (including climate) stresses

Table 2: QCA Outcome, Conditions and Attributes

Outcome/Conditions	Definition in terms of attributes	References
Women's agency	This outcome is characterised by both material and social dimensions, reflecting over productive assets and income, alongside decision-making voice in the household, in community institutions and in conflict resolution mechanisms.	27, 28, 29, 30, 31
Material conditions (matcon)	Multiple attributes – education, health status, food security, indebtedness and the access to and ownership of assets – related to Sen's (1999) basic human capabilities capture dimensions of material poverty, livelihood resources and wellbeing freedoms at an individual level.	47
Mobility and migration (mobcon)	We specifically explore the implications of male absence from the home, as well as receipt of remittances by women, on enhancing their agency.	48, 49, 51, 52, 53
Climate change and environmental stress (~envcon)	For this condition we mainly considered how women in our case study locations experienced environmental stress in their everyday lives, in particular the incidence of extreme events and impact of natural resource degradation (available literature largely shows these impacts to be negative).	55, 56, 57
Household structures (hhcon)	This includes headship, whether the household is nuclear, joint or extended and the spaces this offers women, and cultural norms around mobility, and the reporting of violence.	29, 58, 59
Social capital (socap)	Refers to community level social capital and explores the membership in local institutions and networks, and the social relations that facilitate trust and reciprocity.	60
State intervention (statin)	Focuses on the enabling environment for gender equality and women's agency created by the State, and includes coverage by social protection programmes, the existence of a supportive legal framework, and access to information and basic services.	19
Women's work and labour (womwcon)	This condition is about women's engagement with labour markets, their working conditions in terms of time and effort spent, the nature	20, 61

	of jobs, and the ability to set up independent enterprises with remunerative returns.	
'socap' OR 'statin' = socstat	In line with Sen's (2007) ³¹ discussion of the relationships between formal and informal institutions, in the case of the hotspots studied, where formal channels/instruments of 'state interventions' are absent or insignificant in scale, 'social capital' steps in to substitute for this absence. To capture this relationship, we aggregate (using the OR operator) the membership scores of 'social capital' and 'state intervention' to generate a new condition.	31
'mobcon' OR 'womwcon' = 'mobwom'	Women often end up taking on additional work burdens, including traditionally male work, as their men migrate out in search of better and more secure work. Their heavy and often poor working conditions cancels out the benefits of male migration and remittances, if any.	23, 63

Table 3: fsQCA results of the model

Combinations of conditions	Raw coverage	Consistency	Cases with greater than 0.5 membership
socstat	0.96	0.69	P4 (1,0), P3 (1,1), P2 (1,0.69), D5 (1,0.94), D3 (1, 0.25) D4 (0.75,0.44), D2 (1,0.44), D1 (1,0.5), P5 (0.88,0.69), P1 (0.88,0.87), H6 (0.88,0.81), H5 (1,0.87), H4 (1,0.75), H2 (1,0.87), H1 (1,0.5), A9 (0.88,0.81), A8 (1,0.25), A7 (0.88,0.19), A5 (0.88,0.94), A3 (0.75,0.75), A1 (0.75,0.56), A6 (0.69, 0.56)
hhcon* ~matcon	0.56	0.86	A1 (0.88,0.56), H3 (0.75,1), A6 (0.67,0.56), D2 (0.58,0.44), D4 (0.58,0.44), A2 (0.54,0.56), A8 (0.54,0.25)

Solution coverage: 0.98; solution consistency: 0.69

~womag = f(~hhcon, ~matcon, ~socstat) - frequency cutoff: 1; consistency cutoff: 0.9

Methods

Qualitative Comparative Analysis

It is established from relevant literature that women's agency ('womag') results from multiple factors depending on the socio-economic, cultural, political and environmental context. The causal links are likely to be complex and varying as the context changes from case to case. Among the available methodologies for small-n, case-based comparative research, Ragin's (1987)³⁸ Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) was attractive to us because of the possibilities it offered to efficiently address the concerns of complex causality and high context dependence of data. QCA is sensitive to case specific complexity, while at the same time giving opportunity for limited generalization^{37,38}. Since Ragin's seminal publication advocating the usefulness of QCA in social science research, application of QCA as an analytical technique has advanced to many disciplinary fields but not in any gender-relevant study till date to the best of our knowledge.

While QCA is both a research approach and an analytical technique^{38,42}, this study used the QCA as technique to analyze a 'given' set of 25 case studies drawn from larger research projects with their own objectives and methodologies that often varied from one project to another (see Supplementary Table 1 provided as an additional excel file). Data collected for all the case studies conformed to the ethical guidelines and approvals of the respective institutions, both Universities and research organizations, leading the data collection in those sites. Participants provided informed consent in all cases.

Most of the data for the case studies were already generated from primary field research at the time QCA was chosen to be applied; this naturally put constraints on the research design in terms of the framing of hypothesis and formulation of causal model. We however felt that it still offered advantages in helping us synthesize the concerns of complex causality and high context dependence of data in a systematic way. This is particularly useful when examining dynamics in social-ecological systems, as often while changes in environmental systems are modelled, how changes in social systems feedback is not done as much. We hence sought to overcome the limitation by exhaustively using the empirical case data – both quantitative and qualitative – to not only discuss the QCA results but also to identify – using well validated theoretical frameworks – critical cross-case patterns that the technique failed to capture.

QCA addresses complex causality by proposing the concept of 'multiple conjunctural causation'. This implies that the outcome is most often the result of conditions acting in combination, that there can be multiple combinations of conditions producing the same outcome ('equifinality'), and that a given individual condition can have varying impacts on the outcome depending on how it combines with other conditions relevant to the context^{37,43}. Our definition of QCA outcome and causal conditions (see Table 2), was guided by a theoretically informed conceptual framework, which while capturing the interplay of individual and relational factors, across institutional scales and the environmental, livelihood and social dimensions of sustainability, highlights the complex relationships and trade-offs that women (and men) encounter across contexts and over time. First, field observations and interviews revealed the importance of material conditions ('matcon') for survival, with people across sites often risking their lives to ensure food security and resource control, apart from fulfilling their educational aspirations. Second, mobility and migration ('mobcon') visible across climate hotspots is itself a complex phenomenon, with variations in outcomes dependent on the type of migration, its duration, location and so on^{52,53}. Third, given the location of the case studies, it was important to consider the impacts of environmental stress ('~envcon') on women's agency. Occurring at a macro-level, this condition might be seen as more remote and less causally proximate than the others⁵⁴, however, in our definition, we have mainly considered how women experience environmental stress in their everyday lives. The next four conditions focus on institutions – the household, the community, the state and markets – as central to shaping women's

agency³⁰. Socio-cultural norms and practices across these institutions interact with and influence each other and the final outcome, as captured in the OECD's construction of its Social Institutions and Gender Index^{29,58}. As our focus is on how these institutions relate to women's agency, we do not differentiate between them as being more causally proximate or remote. Moreover, when from a preliminary analysis of the contextual information across cases some of the conditions were found to be substituting for each other in influencing the outcome, we aggregated (using the OR operator) the membership scores of the substitutes to generate new conditions such as 'socstat' and 'mobwom' (see Table 2). The initial seven conditions were thus brought down to five conditions following the above aggregations.

Supplementary Table 3 gives the descriptive statistics that provide an overview of the data matrix presented in supplementary Table 6 with our 25 case studies as rows and the five causal conditions along with the outcome as columns. On the outcome side, only one case (P4) scored 1.0 that puts it fully in the outcome set. Three cases (A7, A8, D3) scored 0.75 or more that makes them more in than out set members, four cases (H1, D1, D2, D4) scored 0.5 or slightly higher values to put them in the category of threshold cases, and the rest of the cases were having outcome scores less than 0.5. Hence, we reframed our research question in terms of an enquiry into whether the conjunctural combination of five conditions, including environmental stress (~envcon), material poverty (~matcon), household structures (~hhcon), the presence/absence of either social capital or state support (socstat), and the nature of either migration, mainly male, or women's labour market experiences (mobwom), can 'sufficiently' explain the negation of the outcome (~womag) in our case studies.

5-step QCA procedure

Our application of QCA followed a 5-step procedure. To the extent possible we tried to adopt 'best practices' in the application of the technique although there were constraints on account of the data and cases being a 'given'. Our approach to defining the outcome and identifying the conditions is already explained. To apply the QCA technique we began with the raw case data collected from primary field research and converted them into set membership scores ranging from 0 to 1 at attribute level of both outcome and conditions using pre-defined criteria (see Supplementary Table 2). We used a four-value fuzzy scale with scores 0 (fully 'out' of the set), 0.25 (more 'out' than 'in' the set), 0.75 (more 'in' than 'out' of the set), and 1 (fully 'in' the set). This scoring logic was based on the collective wisdom of the research team that between the two extremes of zero and full agency it is possible to further distinguish the presence of women's agency in either moderate or substantial degree. The fuzzy scale was applied by referring to a pre-defined set of criteria identified at the attribute level for the outcome as well as each of the conditions (see Table 2). As required in QCA, we took care to 'speak to the data'⁴³ so that the set membership scores were appropriately calibrated to correctly capture the case site context. For this purpose, we developed thresholds (or anchor points), guided by in-depth case information combined with theory-based understanding of the relevant concepts⁶². For triangulation purpose, we relied on multiple sources of secondary data, and occasional cross-checks with field-based key informants. Aggregation of the membership scores across multiple attributes of the outcome and conditions required that we identify the appropriate operators. For equally important attributes, we took an average of the scores; if all attributes had to be present for the case to be considered in the set, then we took the minimum of all the attribute scores. Guided by theory and also the case information we looked at aggregation across conditions. Thus, for instance, and as already explained in the main text (see Table 2), the two conditions 'socap' and 'stain' were combined to yield 'socstat'; similarly, 'mobcon' and 'womwcon' were aggregated as 'mobwom'.

Step 2 involved the testing for necessary conditions for which we used the XY-plot feature in the open-source fsQCA software (see Supplementary Table 4). A causal condition is identified as necessary when its set of cases contains the outcome set as a subset; alternatively, if the

outcome set contains the condition set of cases as a subset, then it points to sufficiency of the condition^{87,35}. Necessity of a condition (or combination) for an outcome does not rule out the possibility of the same condition (or combination) causing a completely different outcome, whereas sufficiency of a condition will not exclude other conditions resulting in the same outcome³⁸. In terms of XY scores, for a condition to be necessary its consistency threshold should be high (X score > 0.9) and coverage not too low (Y score > 0.5)⁸⁸. Consistency in QCA is linked to predictability, whereas coverage is akin to the notion of goodness-of-fit in econometrics. In our necessity analysis, going by theorized links with the revised outcome ('~womag') we tested for the presence of environmental stress (~envcon) and the absence (or negation) of household and material conditions (~hhcon, ~matcon); for the remaining two conditions (socstat and mobwom) we tested for both their presence and absence. Besides ~envcon, the presence of 'socstat' and 'mobwom' were identified as necessary for the negated outcome to result. Guided by our understanding of the case contexts we chose to combine '~envcon' and 'mobwom' using the AND operator and found it to be a necessary configuration with very high consistency score. Excluding this configuration resulted in the reduced form of the model ~womag = f(~matcon, ~hhcon, ~socstat) which we took to the next stage for sufficiency analysis.

In step 3 application of the fsQCA software to the data matrix produced the 'truth table', which is a summary presentation of the distribution of cases across all possible combinations of the causal conditions leading to the outcome. With three causal conditions in our model, there were a total of 8 (2³) possible causal combinations, of which 5 combinations accounted for the 14 cases with outcome set membership score exceeding 0.5. Supplementary Table 5 presents the edited truth table that contains only those causal combinations with at least one observable case as member in the outcome set (i.e. the frequency cut-off is set to 1 under the number column) and raw consistency value greater than or equal to 0.90. The combinations with no observable cases are termed logical remainders in QCA and are a result of limited diversity in the database. The fsQCA software produces 3 types of solutions – complex, parsimonious and intermediate – based on the treatment of remainders as counterfactuals. In this study we relied on the intermediate solution because, unlike the other two types of solution, it is based on theoretically informed assumptions linking the presence or absence of conditions to the outcome. Our assumption was that all the three causal conditions can be left marked "present or absent" because of theoretical ambiguity in linking these to negated women's agency.

Before generating the solution, the issue of 'contradictions' present in the truth table was resolved in step 4. Contradictions are row configurations in the truth table causally linked to opposite outcomes. We used the method provided by Rubinson (2013) to identify the anomalous observations⁶⁴. In our truth table, two rows exhibit such contradictions (rows 2 and 4, Supplementary Table 5). The combinational configuration represented by row 2, for instance, has four cases, two revealing a positive outcome and two negative. While a conservative approach would suggest removing the rows of the truth table in which such contradictions occur, or revisiting the empirical data, we chose to resolve the issue by adopting the expansive solution that involves assigning the contradictory configurations a score of 1^{38,64}. Instead of ignoring the anomalies, we use it as an opportunity to reconsider both theory and our data to explore in greater depth causal complexity.

Finally, in step 5, we evaluated the fsQCA solution in terms of the consistency and coverage scores. The evaluation would have been enhanced by a second minimization of the opposite outcome value (womag) but this was not possible because of the limited number of cases with 'womag' outcome.

Limitations

One of the CARIIA consortia working in delta regions, DECCMA, mainly used quantitative surveys for data collection. While the scoring of conditions was done to the extent possible using data for female-headed households in their sample or generating proxy indicators that could map on to the conditions, given the geographical and cultural diversity, there were clearly gaps in terms of data on context and social relations more broadly. Also missing was data from women in male-headed households. Further, while the deltas in India, Bangladesh and Ghana, the sites for research varied vastly, in terms of the survey scores, there was very little variation. In one site, the Mahanadi delta, it was interesting to find that the survey data scores did not correlate with the qualitative data from a smaller area within the same delta. In fact, when the case studies were completed and run through the QCA software, one case dropped out – the Mahanadi survey data. The qualitative Mahanadi data stayed in – immediately highlighting an inconsistency which can only be explained by the different ways in which scores were applied (to quantitative data for female-headed households, as opposed to a subjective scoring based on experience of women within all household types in the qualitative study), and the scales of data. While a limitation in this dataset, this methodological diversity also offered us an interesting learning opportunity, especially around issues of validity.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. No other article has been published from the QCA dataset, though there are articles in preparation from the larger research projects.

References

87. Ragin, C. C. Set relations in social research: Evaluating their consistency and coverage. *Polit. Anal.* **14**, 291–310 (2006).
88. Kent, R. & Olsen, W. Using fsQCA A Brief Guide and Workshop for Fuzzy-Set Qualitative Comparative Analysis. (2008).

Figure 1: Location of 25 study sites across three climate hotspots in Africa and Asia. Case locations were chosen to understand the climate change impacts on vulnerable communities through four consortia working in three distinct agro-ecological regions: (1) Semiarid regions in Africa and parts of South and Central Asia, (2) Deltas in Africa and South Asia, and (3) Glacier and snowpack dependent river basins in the Himalayas. The four consortia in the Collaborative Adaptation Research Initiative in Africa and Asia (CARIIA) are ASSAR (Adaptation at Scale in Semi-Arid Regions); PRISE (Pathways to Resilience in Semi-arid Economies); DECCMA (Deltas, vulnerability and Climate Change: Migration & Adaptation) and Hi-AWARE (Himalayan adaptation, water, and resilience research on glacier and snowpack dependent river basins for improving livelihoods).

Figure 2: Causal pathways explaining variation in case studies. Two causal pathways describe the combination of conditions explaining the variation in the cases. Cases for each pathway are listed on the right side (see Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1 (provided as an additional excel file) for case descriptions). ~envcon = climate change and environmental stress, mobwom = the aggregate of mobility and migration condition (mobcon) and women's work and labour condition (womwcon), socstat = the aggregate of social capital condition (socap) and state intervention condition (statein), hhcon = household structures, matcon = material conditions.