View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk
brought to you by CORE
provided by Stirling Online Research Repository

1 # Modification of nutritional values and flavor qualities of muscle of swimming
2 # crab (*Portunus trituberculatus*): Application of a dietary lipid nutrition strategy

3

4 Ye Yuan[a], Xuexi Wang[a], Min Jin[a,*], Lefei Jiao[a], Peng Sun[a], Mónica B. Betancor[b], Douglas R.
5 Tocher[b], Qicun Zhou[a,*]

6

7 [a] Laboratory of Fish and Shellfish Nutrition, School of Marine Sciences, Ningbo University, Ningbo
8 315211, China

9 [b] Institute of Aquaculture, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA,
10 UK

11

12 ***Corresponding author:**

13 *E-mail address*: jinmin@nbu.edu.cn (M. Jin)

14 *E-mail address*: zhouqicun@nbu.edu.cn (Q. C. Zhou)

15

Accepted refereed manuscript of:
Yuan Y, Wang X, Jin M, Jiao L, Sun P, Betancor MB, Tocher DR & Zhou Q (2020) Modification of nutritional values and flavor qualities of muscle of swimming crab (Portunus trituberculatus): Application of a dietary lipid nutrition strategy. *Food Chemistry*, 308, Art. No.: 125607.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125607
© 2019, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

ABSTRACT

Lipid sources as alternatives to fish oil could alter the nutritional value and flavor quality of crab meat affecting consumer preferences. Herein, an 8-week nutritional trial was designed to investigate the effects of dietary lipid sources including fish oil (FO), krill oil (KO), palm oil, rapeseed oil, soybean oil and linseed oil on profiles of amino acids, fatty acids and volatiles in muscle of swimming crab (*Portunus trituberculatus*). Volatiles of crab muscle were characterized by headspace solid-phase microextraction and gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Results revealed that crabs fed FO and KO had significantly higher levels of protein, indispensable amino acids, eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid in muscle. Principal component analysis and hierarchical cluster analysis demonstrated that muscle volatiles of crabs fed different dietary oils exhibited significant variations. Dietary FO and KO significantly increased the relative levels of 3-methylbutanal, heptanal, benzaldehyde and nonanal in muscle, which may produce more pleasant flavors.

***Keywords*:** *Portunus trituberculatus*; Lipid source; Amino acid; Fatty acid; Volatile compound; HS-SPME; GC-MS/MS

Chemical compounds studied in this article:

3-Methylbutanal (PubChem CID: 11552); Hexanal (PubChem CID: 6184); Heptanal (PubChem CID: 8130); Benzaldehyde (PubChem CID: 240); Nonanal (PubChem CID: 31289); 1-Butanol (PubChem CID: 263); 1-Octen-3-ol (PubChem CID: 18827); 2-Heptanone (PubChem CID: 8051); (3*E*,5*E*)-Octadiene-2-one (PubChem CID: 181575); Trimethylamine (PubChem CID: 1146)

38 **1. Introduction**

39 Fish oil (FO), containing a high content of n-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-3
40 LC-PUFA), especially eicosapentaenoic (EPA, 20:5n-3) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 22:6n-3),
41 has been traditionally recognized as the most important lipid source in commercial aquafeeds
42 (Betancor et al., 2015). However, the annual global production of FO is insufficient to meet the
43 rapid growth and future demand of aquaculture as these are finite resources, which inevitably
44 results in unstable and generally increasing feed prices in the aquaculture industry (Tocher, 2015).
45 Therefore, alternative lipid sources to FO are urgently required to satisfy the long-term sustainable
46 development of aquaculture (Tocher, 2015). Vegetable oils (VOs) supply energy effectively with
47 almost no restraints concerning supply but they lack EPA and DHA, which may raise nutritional
48 issues (NRC, 2011; Turchini, Ng, & Tocher, 2010). Aside from VOs, krill oil (KO), a marine oil
49 extracted from *Euphausia superba*, not only contains abundant n-3 LC-PUFA, but also has various
50 natural antioxidants (e.g., astaxanthin and flavonoid) not present in FO that may stabilize EPA and
51 DHA against oxidative damage (Tou, Jaczynski, & Chen, 2007; Turchini et al., 2010).

52 In the past two decades, many studies have reported the impacts of different dietary lipid
53 sources on growth, physiology, metabolism, welfare and product quality of aquatic animals (NRC,
54 2011; Shu-Chien et al., 2017; Turchini et al., 2010). The quality of farmed aquatic animals greatly
55 impacts consumer preferences and purchasing behaviors, ultimately dictating the success or failure
56 of farming industries (Hardy & Lee, 2010). The quality of aquaculture products is determined by a
57 combination of nutritional value (e.g., protein, amino acid, fatty acid, vitamin and mineral contents)
58 and sensory quality (e.g., skin or fillet color, texture, flavor and odor) of the edible portion (fillet
59 from fish or meat from crab/shrimp) (Grigorakis, 2007), which are both closely related to diet
60 composition (Hardy & Lee, 2010). Dietary lipids can alter nutritional and sensory qualities

61 (especially flavor quality) as reported in fish such as *Carassius auratus gibelio* (Zhou, Han, Zhu,
62 Yang, Jin, & Xie, 2016), *Oreochromis niloticus* (Liu et al., 2019), *Sparus aurata* (Grigorakis,
63 Fountoulaki, Giogios & Alexis, 2009), *Tinca tinca* (Turchini, Moretti, Mentasti, Orban & Valfre,
64 2007), and crustaceans including *Eriocheir sinensis* (Wu, Fu, Zhuang, Wu, & Wang, 2018) and
65 *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Zhong, Zhang, Li, Huang & Wang, 2011; Zhou, Li, Liu, Chi & Yang, 2007).

66 Swimming crab (*Portunus trituberculatus*), one of the most important economic marine
67 crustacean species (Jin, Wang, Huo, Huang, Mai, & Zhou, 2015), is popular with the public and has
68 become a distinctive food in coastal areas owing to its delicious meat, rich nutrition, unique flavor
69 and accessibility, particularly in China (Sun, Ding, Lu, Yuan, Ma, & Zhou, 2017). In commercial
70 production, swimming crab are fed trash fish and low-value shellfish, leading to water pollution,
71 increased bacterial load and oxygen demand, which have negative impacts on the health and
72 nutritional value, restricting the development of farming (Craig & Helfrich, 2009). With the
73 increasing demand for safe, nutritious and high-quality crab, the swimming crab breeding and
74 production industries have faced enormous pressures (Jin et al., 2015). Recently, there have been
75 increased researches into nutritional and flavor qualities in crustaceans such as *Eriocheir sinensis*
76 (Gu, Wang, Tao & Wu, 2013; Kong et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2018; Wu, Wang, Tao,
77 & Ni, 2016; Zhuang et al., 2016), *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Mall & Schieberle, 2017), *Portunus*
78 *trituberculatus* (Song, Wang, Xu, Wang & Shi, 2018) and *Scylla serrata* (Yu & Chen, 2010).
79 However, to date, there is no information regarding the impacts of dietary lipid sources on the
80 nutritional value and flavor quality of swimming crab. The overarching aim of the present study
81 was to provide novel insight into the regulation of nutritional quality of crab meat through a
82 nutritional strategy, specifically by modifying dietary lipid source.

83 **2. Materials and Methods**

84 *2.1. Chemicals, standard compounds and reagents*

85 Hydrochloric acid (HCl, 36~38% purity, CAS 7647-01-0), methanol (CH_3OH, ≥ 99.7% purity,
86 CAS 67-56-1), petroleum ether (60-90 °C, CAS 8032-32-4), potassium hydroxide (KOH, ≥ 85.0%
87 purity, CAS 1310-58-3) and sodium chloride (NaCl, ≥ 99.5 % purity, CAS 7647-14-5) were of
88 analytical grade and purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).
89 Amino acid mixture standard solution (Type H) and ninhydrin coloring solution (including
90 ninhydrin reagent and buffer solution) were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.
91 (Osaka, Japan). 2,6-Di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT) was of extra-pure grade and provided by
92 Aladdin Reagents (Shanghai, China). HPLC-grade *n*-hexane (C_6H_{14}, ≥ 97.0% purity, CAS 110-54-3)
93 and the standard mixture of 37 fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) were purchased from Sigma (St.
94 Louis, MO, USA). The chemical standards used for identification including 3-methylbutanal,
95 hexanal, heptanal, nonanal, decanal, dodecanal, hexadecanal, benzaldehyde, benzeneacetaldehyde,
96 2-heptanone, 2-nonanone, 2-decanone, 2-undecanone, 3-methyl-1-butanol, 1-butanol, 1-octen-3-ol,
97 octanol, 3-decanol, 3-undecanol, 1-hexadecanol, 4-methylphenol, butylated hydroxytoluene and
98 2-methylpyrazine were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Shanghai, China). A C_5–C_{25} *n*-alkane
99 mixture and 2,4,6-trimethylpyridine (TMP, 99% purity, CAS 108-75-8) were also purchased from
100 Sigma-Aldrich (Shanghai, China). Ultrapure water was produced by a laboratory water purification
101 system (Hitech Master-S15, Shanghai, China).

102 *2.2. Nutritional trial design*

103 *2.2.1. Animal ethics approval*

104 All experimental procedures complied with Chinese law pertaining to research on animals. The
105 detailed experimental protocol was approved by the Ethics-Scientific Committee for Experiments
106 on Animals of Ningbo University and followed the Guidance of the Care and Usage of Laboratory
107 Animals in China.

108 *2.2.2. Experimental diets*

109 Six isonitrogenous (crude protein, approximately 450 g/kg) and isolipidic (crude lipid,
110 approximately 80 g/kg) experimental diets containing either fish oil (FO), krill oil (KO), palm oil
111 (PO), rapeseed oil (RO), soybean oil (SO) and linseed oil (LO) as lipid sources were formulated to
112 meet the nutrient requirements of swimming crab juveniles based on NRC (2011) recommendation
113 as described previously (Jin et al., 2015). The formulation and proximate composition of six
114 experimental diets are shown in Supplementary Table 1, and the fatty acid compositions (% total
115 fatty acids) are shown in Supplementary Table 2. Fishmeal, soybean protein concentrate and
116 soybean meal were used as the main protein sources, wheat flour was used as the carbohydrate
117 source, and sodium alginate was used as a natural binder. The diets were prepared followed the
118 process as described in detail previously (Jin et al., 2015). The experimental diets were sealed in
119 vacuum-packed bags and stored at -20 °C until used in the feeding trial in order to maintain good
120 quality.

121 *2.2.3. Feeding trial and experimental conditions*

122 The feeding trial was conducted in Ningbo Marine and Fishery Science and Technology
123 Innovation Base (Ningbo, China) located at N29°39′2.19″, E121°46′27.10″. Similar sized and
124 healthy swimming crab juveniles were obtained from a pond in Xiangshan crab field (Ningbo,
125 China) and were acclimated in an indoor rectangular cement pool (8.5 m × 3.0 m × 1.5 m) for 7

126 days and fed a commercial feed (Ningbo Tech-Bank Feed Co. Ltd., Ningbo, China) containing 450
127 g/kg crude protein and 80 g/kg crude lipid, respectively. A total of 270 swimming crab juveniles
128 (initial weight 5.43 ± 0.03 g) were randomly allocated to one of the six diets, then placed into 270
129 individual rectangular plastic baskets (35 cm × 30 cm × 35 cm) in a new cement pool (6.8 m × 3.8
130 m × 1.7 m). Each diet had three replicates, with each replicate consisting of 15 crabs. Fifteen plastic
131 baskets were placed in a line next to each other in the cement pool based on the methodology
132 described in detail previously (Sun et al., 2017). Each plastic basket had two compartments, one
133 section filled with sand to mimic the habitat of the swimming crab whereas the other section was
134 the feeding area. Crabs were fed the allocated experimental diet once daily at 17:00h (daily ration
135 was 6-8 % of wet weight depending upon crab weight). The crabs were weighed every 2 weeks and
136 the daily ration adjusted accordingly. Every morning, feces and uneaten feed were removed, and
137 60 % of seawater in the cement pool was exchanged daily to maintain water quality. During the
138 experimental period of 8 weeks (from July 25th to September 11th), the seawater conditions were as
139 follows: temperature 29.3 ℃, salinity 27.0 ± 1.5 g/L, pH 7.6 ± 0.3, ammonia and nitrogen lower
140 than 0.05 mg/L, and dissolved oxygen higher than 6.0 mg/L as measured by YSI Proplus (YSI,
141 Yellow Springs, OH, USA).

142 *2.3. Sample collection and preparation*

143 At the end of the feeding trial, a sample of approximately 3 g of fresh muscle from three crabs
144 (1 g per crab) per replicate was dissected, collected and mixed as one sample (n = 3 per dietary
145 treatment) in a 5 ml microfuge tube, then stored immediately at -20 ℃ prior to proximate
146 composition, amino acid and fatty acid analyses. A larger sample of approximately 9 g of fresh
147 muscle from nine crabs (1 g per crab) per replicate was pooled as one sample (n = 3 per dietary

148 treatment) and collected into a 10 ml microfuge tube and stored at -20 °C for the analysis of volatile

149 compounds. All the operations were carried out on ice.

150 *2.4. Determination of proximate composition*

151 Proximate composition of diet and crab muscle samples was determined by measuring

152 moisture, crude protein, crude lipid, and ash contents, following the procedures of the Association

153 of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC, 2016). In brief, moisture content was determined by drying

154 the samples to a constant weight at 105 °C. Crude protein content was measured by determining

155 nitrogen content ($N \times 6.25$) using the Dumas combustion method with a protein analyzer (FP-528,

156 Leco, USA). Crude lipid content was determined by petroleum ether extraction using the Soxhlet

157 method (Soxtec System HT6, Tecator, Sweden). Ash content was determined using a muffle furnace

158 at 550 °C for 8 h. The differences in the weight of samples before and after experimental processing

159 were used to calculate the moisture, crude lipid and ash contents.

160 *2.5. Identification and quantification of amino acids*

161 Amino acid profiles of muscle samples were determined using a High-speed Amino Acid

162 Analyzer (L-8900, Hitachi High-Technologies Co., Tokyo, Japan) based on the method described

163 previously with a few modifications (Unnikrishnan & Paulraj, 2010). Briefly, samples of

164 approximately 30 mg freeze-dried muscle were weighed into a 15 ml glass thread screw neck vial

165 with 18 mm screw cap containing a translucent blue silicone septa gasket (CNW, Germany). Five

166 ml HCl (6 N) was added, the tube sealed under N_2, and immersed in a sand bath at 110 °C for 24 h

167 for digestion. After cooling, the digested samples were washed into a 50 ml volumetric flask using

168 ultrapure water. One ml of this solution was transferred into a 4 ml ampoule bottle (CNW,

169 Germany), evaporated to dryness in a rotary evaporator (IKA RV10, Germany), resuspended in 1 ml

170 HCl (0.02 N) and filtered through a 0.22 μm membrane using a hydrophilic polyether sulfone (PES)
171 syringe filter (CNW, Germany) to remove any residue and impurity. Finally, 20 μl of the solution
172 was used for amino acid determination. The packed column was Hitachi ion-exchange resin 2622
173 (4.6 mm × 60 mm, particle size 5 μm) and ninhydrin coloring solution was the reactive reagent for
174 the detection of amino acids. Results were expressed as g/100 g dry matter with all determinations
175 performed in triplicate, with the coefficient of variation within 1.0 %.

176 *2.6. Identification and relative quantification of fatty acids*

177 *2.6.1. Preparation of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs)*

178 The fatty acid compositions of diets and crab muscle samples were determined according to
179 the methods described by Zuo with minor modifications after preliminary tests to ensure that all
180 fatty acids were esterified using the following procedures (Zuo, Ai, Mai, & Xu, 2013). All solvents
181 contained 0.005% (w/v) 2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT) to prevent the oxidation of PUFA.
182 Diets samples (approximately 100 mg) and muscle samples (approximately 120 mg) were thawed at
183 4 °C, then added to a 12 ml glass screwed tube with a lid containing a teflon gasket. Three ml
184 KOH-CH_3OH (1 N) was added and samples incubated in a water bath at 75 °C for 20 min. After
185 cooling, 3 ml HCl-CH_3OH (2 N) was added and the mixture incubated in a water bath at 75 °C for a
186 further 20 min. Finally, 1 ml *n*-hexane was added to the above mixture, shaken vigorously for 1 min,
187 1 ml ultrapure water added to promote layer separation, and the supernatant filtered through a
188 0.22-μm ultrafiltration membrane (Millipore, MA, USA) and collected into a clean ampoule bottle.
189 The FAMEs solution in the ampoule was reduced to dryness at 50 °C using a Termovap sample
190 concentrator (MIULAB NDK200-1N, Hangzhou, China), and the FAMEs resuspended in 500 μL
191 *n*-hexane and stored at -20 °C until analysis by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS).

192 *2.6.2. Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis*

193 FAMEs were separated and analyzed on a gas chromatograph mass spectrometer (GC-MS,
194 Agilent 7890B-5977A, Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) fitted with a fused-silica ultra-inert
195 capillary column (DB-WAX, 30 m × 250 μm i.d., film thickness 0.25 μm, Agilent J & W Scientific,
196 CA, USA), with the following temperature program and column conditions: initial temperature
197 100 °C, increasing at 10 °C/min up to 200 °C, held at 200 °C for 5 min, then 2 °C/min to 230 °C and
198 held at 230 °C for 10 min, with a final ramp from 230 to 240 at 10 °C/min. The injection
199 temperature was set at 250 °C, the interface temperature was set to 240 °C, and the ion source
200 temperature was adjusted to 230 °C. Highly pure helium (99.999 %) was used as the carrier gas
201 with a constant flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. 0.5 μL of sample was injected in a 1:20 split ratio by
202 auto-sampler. The acquisition of mass spectra data was carried out in full-scan mode (mass range
203 m/z 40-500). Fatty acids were identified using retention times of standards by comparing the mass
204 spectra with a commercially available standard library (National Institute of Standards and
205 Technology Mass Spectral Library 2011). Results were calculated using the peak area ratio and
206 presented as relative percentages of each fatty acid (% total fatty acids).

207 *2.7. Identification and relative quantification of volatile compounds*

208 *2.7.1. Extraction of volatile compounds using HS-SPME*

209 Volatile compounds of muscle samples were extracted using headspace solid-phase
210 microextraction (HS-SPME) according to the previous method with minor modifications (Silva,
211 Valente, Castro-Cunha, Bacelar, & De Pinho, 2012). Immediately before analysis, in order to
212 facilitate the release of the volatile compounds, muscle samples were thawed at 4 °C for 20 min,
213 then minced and mixed, and subjected to HS-SPME. For quantitative determination,

214 2,4,6-trimethylpyridine (TMP) was used as an internal standard. Briefly, three pooled muscle
215 samples, each consisting of nine crabs, were analysed for volatile compounds from each replicate (n
216 = 3 per dietary treatment). The mixed muscle samples (9 g) were weighed, placed into a 20 ml
217 headspace vial (CNW, Germany) with 18 mm magnetic screw cap containing a translucent blue
218 silicone septa gasket. 5 ml saturated NaCl solution, 10 μL 2,4,6-trimethylpyridine solution (100
219 ppm) and a stir bar were placed in the headspace vial, and the vial placed in a water bath at 60 °C.
220 Muscle samples were mixed for 30 min with continuous magnetic stirring at 500 rpm by a magnetic
221 stirrer (C-MAG HS7, IKA, Germany). Finally equilibrated for 5 min at 60 °C. The volatile
222 compounds were extracted from muscle samples using HS-SPME equipped with a
223 divinylbenzene/carboxen/polymethylsiloxane 50/30 μm fiber (1 cm, DVB/CAR/PDMS, gray,
224 Supelco, PA, USA) which was heated in the GC injector port at 250 °C for 45 min. The extraction
225 lasted for 30 min at 60 °C. Then the analytes desorbed at 250 °C for 2 min in the injection port of
226 the gas chromatograph.

227 *2.7.2. Gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (GC-MS/MS) analysis*

228 The separation and detection of volatile compounds was performed by gas
229 chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry GC-MS/MS (Agilent 7890B-7000C, GC-QQQ-MS,
230 Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) equipped with a Vocol fused-silica capillary column (60 m × 0.32
231 mm i.d., 0.25 μm film thickness; Supelco, PA, USA). The oven temperature program and column
232 conditions were as follows: initial temperature of 35 °C for 2 min, before increasing at 15 °C/min up
233 to 125 °C, held at 125 °C for 1 min, then increasing at 2 °C/min to 200 °C and held at 200 °C for 12
234 min. The carrier gas was 99.999 % highly pure helium, at a constant flow of 2.25 ml/min. The
235 injector temperature was set at 210 °C, and injection performed in split-less mode. The mass

236 spectrometer was operated in the electron impact (EI) mode at an ionizing voltage of 70 eV with an
237 ion source temperature of 220 °C. The acquisition and processing of mass spectra data were
238 performed in scanning mode with a mass range from m/z 45 to 500 by Agilent MassHunter
239 workstation (B.07.00, Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). Volatile compounds were identified
240 qualitatively by comparison with the retention indices (RI), the mass spectra of standard compounds
241 and NIST14.L mass spectral library (National Institute of Standards and Technology 14.L, USA)
242 with an acceptance criterion of a score match above 85 %. The RI values were calculated using the
243 carbon numbers of *n*-alkanes (Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co., USA) via the equation of Van Den
244 Dool & Kratz (1963) at the same chromatography conditions. The relative concentration (ng/g) of
245 each volatile compound was quantified by calculating the peak area ratio of each compound with
246 that of the internal standard.

247 Conc (ng/g) = Peak area ratio (compound/TMP) × 1 μg (TMP) / 9 g (crab muscle samples)

248 *2.8. Statistical analysis*

249 All the experimental analyses were performed in triplicate and the results are presented as
250 means ± SEM (n=3). All the data were first tested to confirm normal distribution and homogeneity
251 of variance. Differences between mean values were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance
252 (ANOVA), using Tukey's multiple range post hoc test using SPSS 22.0 software (Chicago, USA).
253 The results were considered to be statistically significant at $P < 0.05$. The principal component
254 analysis (PCA) of volatile compounds detected from muscle was carried out to understand the
255 communalities and discrepancies among diets formulated with different lipid sources by reducing
256 the number of dimensions without much loss of information using SIMCA-P+ software (Version
257 11.0.0.0, Umetrics AB, Malmo, Sweden). Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) was conducted to

258 analyze the relationship between the volatile compounds and different samples using Pearson
259 correlation and average clustering algorithm after log2 transformation. A heat map was also used for
260 visualizing complex data sets (volatile compounds) organized as Pearson correlation matrices.
261 Hierarchical cluster analysis and heat map visualization were performed using the online program
262 ImageGP, a free online platform for data analysis (http://www.ehbio.com/ImageGP/index.php/).

263 **3. Results and discussion**

264 *3.1. Proximate composition of crab muscle*

265 The proximate composition (g/kg wet weight) of the muscle of swimming crab juveniles fed
266 different dietary lipid sources is shown in Supplementary Table 3. Moisture and ash contents of
267 juvenile swimming crab muscle were not affected by dietary lipid sources ($P > 0.05$). However,
268 crabs fed the FO and KO diets had significantly higher contents of protein in muscle than those fed
269 the other diets ($P < 0.05$). Crabs fed the SO diet had a significantly higher level of lipid in muscle
270 than those fed the other diets ($P <0.05$), with the lowest muscle lipid level found in crabs fed the
271 RO diet. In general, the proximate composition of swimming crab muscle in the present study was
272 similar to the values obtained in previous studies with the same species (Han, Wang, Hu, Li, Jiang,
273 & Wang, 2015; Jin et al., 2015). The proximate composition of the edible portion indicated the
274 nutritional quality of crustaceans as food for human consumers (Vijayavel & Balasubramanian,
275 2006). The results of the present study indicated that dietary soybean oil promoted lipid
276 accumulation in the muscle to some extent whereas dietary marine oils (FO and KO) increased the
277 muscle protein content of swimming crab. It was reported that *L. vannamei* fed a diet with 1%
278 conjugated linoleic acid (CLA, a group of geometric and positional isomers of 18:2n-6) replacing
279 fish oil significantly increased muscle lipid content (Zhong et al., 2011). Another study found tail

280 muscle of *L. vannamei* fed a diet supplemented with pollack fish oil had the highest crude protein
281 content, similar to the result in the present study (Zhou et al., 2007). However, the precise
282 mechanisms by which n-3 LC-PUFA (particularly EPA and DHA) affect the muscle protein content
283 and act on muscle protein synthesis process are not entirely clear. One possible mechanism may be
284 through the rapamycin (TOR) signaling pathway, which regulates cell growth and metabolism in
285 response to nutrients (Laplante & Sabatini, 2012). Protein synthesis and accumulation in muscle
286 requires much expenditure of energy and the mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1
287 (mTORC1), one major branch of the TOR signaling network, senses the energy status of a cell
288 through AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) which is activated under low cellular energy. When
289 AMPK is activated, many energetically demanding processes, like protein synthesis, are
290 down-regulated, while, β-oxidation of fatty acids is stimulated to produce more energy in order to
291 maintain cellular energy homeostasis (Wullschleger, Loewith, & Hall, 2006). The characteristic
292 fatty acids from different dietary lipid sources may impact the AMPK signaling pathway and alter
293 the TOR signaling pathway, which in turn might result in the change of protein anabolism. However,
294 in-depth studies are required to clarify the relationship between dietary lipid sources and muscle
295 protein content in swimming crab. Generally, the relationship between the lipid and moisture
296 contents in the muscle follows a negative correlation (Ljubojevic et al., 2013), although this was not
297 the case in the present study. This may be due to the significant change of protein content in muscle
298 among crabs fed the different feeds, which in turn may make the inverse relationship less
299 pronounced.

300 *3.2. Identification and quantification of amino acids*

301 The amino acid composition of muscle of juvenile swimming crab fed different lipid sources

302 are presented in Table 1. A total of 17 amino acids were detected in the crab muscle including 10
303 indispensable amino acids (IAA) and 7 dispensable amino acids (DAA), with high amounts of
304 glutamic acid (Glu), followed by arginine (Arg) > glycine (Gly) > aspartic acid (Asp) > proline
305 (Pro) > lysine (Lys) > alanine (Ala) > leucine (Leu). Therefore, the predominant IAA in crab muscle
306 were Arg, Lys, and Leu, and those amongst the DAA were Glu, Gly, Asp and Pro. This was
307 consistent with previous research in swimming crab where the contents of each amino acid were
308 generally similar to the levels found in the present study (Jin et al., 2015). The IAA/TAA ratio is
309 also an important reference index for evaluating the nutritional value of protein in aquatic products,
310 and it is generally agreed that the ideal IAA/TAA is approximately 0.4 in high-quality proteins
311 (WHO, FAO, UNU, 2007). In the present study, the ratio of IAA/TAA of swimming crab muscle
312 ranged from 0.46 to 0.48, which indicated that the muscle supplies high-grade protein for human
313 consumption. Additionally, it was shown that the amounts of most amino acids in muscle were
314 significantly affected by dietary lipid sources ($P < 0.05$). Crabs fed the KO diet had a significantly
315 higher content of TAA ($P < 0.05$), followed by crabs fed the FO and LO diets, with similar trends
316 observed for IAA and DAA levels. In addition, compared to crabs fed the other diets, crabs fed the
317 diet containing KO had significantly higher contents of functional amino acids (e.g., Glu, Gly and
318 Lys), which are good for human health (Wu, 2013). In conclusion, dietary FO and KO increased the
319 IAA contents of swimming crab muscle, with dietary KO supplementation leading to higher
320 contents of some functional amino acids. Functional amino acids could participate in the transport
321 of fatty acids, activate the oxidation of long-chain fatty acids, and inhibit fatty acid synthesis (Wu,
322 2013). Conversely, the metabolism of fatty acid leads to the production of many intermediates like
323 acetyl-CoA that could regulate the metabolism of amino acids (Newgard, 2012). Further
324 investigation is required to demonstrate the relationship between fatty acids and amino acids,

325 specifically in regards to the shared metabolite intermediates.

326 *3.3. Identification and relative quantification of fatty acids*

327 The fatty acid profiles (% total fatty acids) of muscle of swimming crab juveniles fed different
328 lipid sources are shown in Table 2. A total of twenty-one fatty acids were detected and identified
329 with the predominant fatty acids being palmitic acid (PA, 16:0), stearic acid (SA, 18:0), oleic acid
330 (OA, 18:1n-9), linoleic acid (LA, 18:2n-6), α-linolenic acid (ALA, 18:3n-3), EPA and DHA.
331 Significant differences were observed for most fatty acids in muscle of swimming crab fed the
332 different dietary lipid sources ($P < 0.05$). For instance, crabs fed FO and KO showed significantly
333 higher percentages of EPA, DHA, n-3 PUFA and n-3 LC-PUFA in muscle than those fed VOs ($P <$
334 0.05). In contrast, crabs fed diet PO had significantly higher percentages of PA and saturated fatty
335 acids (SFA), whereas muscle of crabs fed the RO diet had significantly higher levels of OA and
336 monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA). Crabs fed diet SO had significantly higher proportions of LA
337 and n-6 PUFA, and crabs fed diet LO had highest ALA contents compared to crabs fed the other
338 diets ($P < 0.05$). In summary, the fatty acid composition of the crab muscle clearly reflected the
339 fatty acid composition of the experimental diets and, thus, the characteristic fatty acids in each diet
340 were reflected in similarly higher proportions of these fatty acids in the crab muscle. Similar results
341 have been observed in previous studies on crustaceans fed different dietary lipid sources (Han et al.,
342 2015; Shu-Chien et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2007). Dietary supplementation with either FO or KO
343 increased the levels of the beneficial n-3 LC-PUFA, particularly EPA and DHA, while the lack of
344 these fatty acids in the muscle of crabs fed VOs reduced the health value of crab meat. It is known
345 that dietary n-3 LC-PUFA help to mitigate the effects of various diseases, and also can promote
346 ongoing health and vitality of human consumers (Larsen, Eilertsen, & Elvevoll, 2011; Tou et al.,

347 2007). In the present study, the muscle fatty acids showed that crabs fed dietary FO and KO had a
348 significantly higher ratio of n-3 LC-PUFA/n-6 PUFA in the muscle than crabs fed any of the VO.
349 Some dietary n-6 PUFA (e.g., LA, 18:2n-6) could also lead to an increase in pro-inflammatory
350 mediators through the metabolic conversion of 18:2n-6 to arachidonic acid (20:4n-6) as well as
351 oxidation of low density lipoprotein (LDL), which may lead to some adverse health effects (Larsen
352 et al., 2011). In conclusion, the high levels of n-3 LC-PUFA (mainly EPA and DHA) in the muscle
353 of swimming crab fed dietary FO and KO provides potential health benefits to human consumers of
354 crab.

355 *3.4. Volatile compounds of crab muscle*

356 *3.4.1. Identification and relative quantification of volatile compounds*

357 The identification and relative quantification (ng/g) of volatile compounds detected in muscle
358 of swimming crab are summarized in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. Forty-nine volatile compounds,
359 including 11 aldehydes, 8 ketones, 2 esters, 9 alcohols, 2 alkenes, 8 alkanes, 3 aromatics, 3 amines
360 and 3 additional compounds, dimethyl sulfide (sulfur compound), 2-methylpyrazine (pyrazine
361 compound) and 2-acetylthiazole (thiazole compound), were identified in crab muscle samples by
362 HS-SPME-GC-MS/MS, some of which were also identified in the meat of other crabs such as *E.*
363 *sinensis* (Wang et al., 2016) and *S. serrata* (Yu & Chen, 2010). The common volatile compounds
364 included 3-methylbutanal, hexanal, heptanal, benzaldehyde, nonanal, decanal, pentadecanal,
365 hexadecanal, 2,3-pentanedione, 2-heptanone, 2-nonanone, 1-octen-3-ol, 1-octanol, 3-decanol and
366 3-undecanol. In the present study, aldehydes and alcohols were the main volatile compounds
367 detected, containing approximately 500 ng/g volatile compounds detected, and up to approximately
368 800 ng/g in crabs fed diet KO. Aldehydes were known to be the dominant volatile components

369 contributing to the flavor of crab meat due to their high content and low odor thresholds (Wang et
370 al., 2016). In the present study, total aldehydes including 3-methylbutanal, hexanal, heptanal,
371 benzaldehyde and nonanal ranged from 260 ng/g volatile compounds identified in crabs fed the SO
372 diet to 666 ng/g in crabs fed the KO diet. In the present study, the relative content of nonanal was
373 the highest in muscle of crabs fed diets FO and KO (197 ng/g and 196 ng/g, respectively), and
374 significantly higher than in crabs fed any of the VO diets that ranged from 34 ng/g to 108 ng/g ($P <$
375 0.05). Nonanal has a strong flavor and imparts a meaty and grassy aroma to crab meat (Zhuang et
376 al., 2016). The relative contents of 3-methylbutanal, a compound that conferred a strong aroma of
377 green grass and vegetables (Wang et al., 2016), were also higher in the muscle of crabs fed diets FO
378 and KO (110 ng/g and 154 ng/g, respectively). Furthermore, hexanal which conferred a grassy and
379 fatty odor to the crab muscle (Zhuang et al., 2016), was present in significantly higher contents in
380 crab fed diet KO ($P < 0.05$). Another aldehyde, benzaldehyde, an aromatic compound with a bitter
381 and almond odor, was present in highest levels in crabs fed diet KO ($P < 0.05$), followed by FO-fed
382 crabs. The aforementioned aldehydes had a synergistic effect as well as a strong flavor even under
383 trace conditions, which contributed to the formation of flavors in the crab muscle (Song et al.,
384 2018).

385 Alcohols, the second largest group among the volatile compounds in crab muscle, included
386 mainly 3-methyl-1-butanol, 1-butanol, 1-octen-3-ol and 1-hexadecanol, and in total represented
387 from 214 ng/g (LO diet) to 285 ng/g (SO diet) of all volatile compounds. In contrast to aldehydes,
388 the total relative contents of alcohols in crabs fed diets containing VO, other than PO and LO, were
389 generally significantly higher than in crabs fed the marine oils ($P < 0.05$). However, 1-octen-3-ol,
390 the alcohol detected at the highest relative content in swimming crab muscle was present in muscle

391 of crabs fed the FO and KO diets at significantly higher levels than in muscle of crabs fed the other
392 diets ($P < 0.05$). This alcohol compound contributed to the grassy odor of crab meat and it was the
393 primary volatile odor-active alcohol in many aquatic animal products including clam, crab and
394 oyster (Zhuang et al., 2016). In contrast, 3-methyl-1-butanol was described as conferring a balsamic
395 aroma (Mu, Wei, Yi, Shentu, Zhang, & Mai, 2017), but no significant differences were obtained in
396 the relative content of this compound among crab fed the different diets ($P > 0.05$).

397 Ketones were the third largest group of volatiles representing approximately 150 ng/g volatile
398 compounds, and mainly included 2,3-pentanedione, 2-heptanone, 2,3-octanedione, 2-nonanone and
399 (3*E*,5*E*)-octadiene-2-one. The relative content of (3*E*,5*E*)-octadiene-2-one was significantly higher
400 ($P < 0.05$) in crab fed diet FO, and this could confer a milky and candy odor to the crab meat (Gu et
401 al., 2013). Sulfur- and nitrogen-containing compounds were considered as vital odor-active
402 components (Zhuang et al., 2016). In the present study, two sulfur-containing compounds (dimethyl
403 sulfide and 2-acetylthiazole) and four nitrogen-containing compounds (trimethylamine, octodrine,
404 amphetamine and 2-methylpyrazine) were detected in crab muscle. Trimethylamine conferred a
405 typical odor of fish and amines in many aquatic products (Zhuang et al., 2016), and high levels of
406 trimethylamine in seafood conferred a strong fish flavor, unpopular with the public, whereas low
407 levels of trimethylamine produced a more pleasant crustacean-like odor (Wang et al., 2016).
408 Interestingly, the relative content of trimethylamine was lowest in crabs fed diets FO and KO. In
409 conclusion, swimming crab fed diets FO and KO had higher relative levels of volatiles promoting
410 the green grass, sweet and fatty odors and lower relative levels of the fishy odor, which may be
411 suited to the tastes of the general public.

412 *3.4.2. Principal component analysis (PCA) of volatile compounds*

413 Principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to provide an overall picture of the
414 distribution of the 49 volatile compounds in muscle of swimming crab fed the different dietary lipid
415 sources (Figure 1A and 1B). PCA is an unsupervised technique for classifying sample groups based
416 on the inherent similarity or dissimilarity of their chemical information without prior knowledge of
417 sample classes. The first two principal components (PCs) accounted for 54.86 % of the variation
418 (Figure 1A; 41.38 % and 13.48 % of the total variance, respectively). The profiles of the volatile
419 compounds were grouped into three clusters: cluster 1 (FO and KO groups), cluster 2 (PO and RO
420 groups), and cluster 3 (SO and LO groups), which indicated that the volatile compounds in muscle
421 of crabs fed FO and KO diets have much more similarity, while those fed VO diets showed more
422 differences to the marine oil diets. As observed in Figure 1A, the three clusters were clearly
423 separated, which meant that the volatile compounds of muscle of crab fed the different lipid sources
424 could be distinctly distinguished. The PCA loading plot revealed the compounds responsible for the
425 separation between samples (Figure 1B). Thus, 2-heptanone, hexanal, heptanal, benzaldehyde,
426 3-methylbutanal, 2,3-pentanedione, (3*E*,5*E*)-octadiene-2-one, nonanal, 1-octen-3-ol,
427 3-methyl-1-butanol and 2,3-octanedione were on the right side of PC1. These volatile compounds
428 were correlated with the muscle samples from crabs fed the marine oil diets, FO and KO. Butylated
429 hydroxytoluene, 2-acetylthiazole, 1-butanol, 1-octanol, amphetamine and octodrine were highly
430 correlated with the muscle samples from crabs fed diets PO and RO.

431 *3.4.3. Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) of volatile compounds*

432 Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) was performed and shown in Figure 2 via heat map
433 visualization and, based on the dendrogram, the diet groups could also be grouped into three
434 clusters, reflecting the information shown in the PCA diagram (Figure 1A). Combining the

435 information in Figures 1A and 2, it was shown that the distance between Clusters 1 and 2 was
436 shorter than the distance between Clusters 1 and 3. The volatile compounds detected in crab muscle
437 were themselves grouped into two main clusters (Figure 2). Cluster I showed that some volatiles
438 such as nonanal, 3-methylbutanal, 2,3-pentanedione, 1-octen-3-ol, heptanal, hexanal, benzaldehyde
439 and (3*E*,5*E*)-octadiene-2-one were higher in crabs fed diets FO and KO. On the other hand, Cluster
440 II was mainly divided into two subgroups. Subgroup I of Cluster II included volatiles present at
441 high concentrations in muscle of crabs fed diets SO and LO such as decanal, dodecanal, undecane,
442 tridecane and nonadecane. Whereas subgroup II of Cluster II included volatile compounds found at
443 high concentrations in muscle of crabs fed diets PO and RO, including 1-butanol, 1-octanol,
444 octodrine and amphetamine.

445

446 **4. Conclusions**

447 In conclusion, the results of the present study showed that feeding swimming crab with diets
448 supplemented with marine oils, fish and krill oil, increased the protein and IAA contents of crab
449 muscle. Furthermore, feeding swimming crab with diets containing krill oil may lead to a higher
450 muscle contents of functional amino acids such as glutamic acid, glycine and lysine. The FO and
451 KO diets also contributed to higher relative contents of n-3 LC-PUFA, particularly EPA and DHA,
452 in the crab muscle, which enhanced their nutritional value from a health of human consumers point
453 of view. In addition, as indicated by the analysis of volatile compounds, the muscle of swimming
454 crab fed diets FO and KO may have a more pleasant flavor than those fed VO diets. These findings
455 not only showed how dietary manipulation can contribute towards the nutritional values and flavor
456 qualities of swimming crab, but also provided scientific evidence and novel insight into the

457 modulation of nutritional quality through a dietary strategy.

458

459 **Acknowledgements**

460 This research was supported by National Key R & D Program of China (2018YFD0900400),
461 China Agriculture Research System-48 (CARS-48), Nature Science Foundation of Zhejiang
462 Province (LY17C190002), Key Research Program of Zhejiang Province of China (2018C02037),
463 Zhejiang Aquaculture Nutrition & Feed Technology Service Team (ZJANFTST2017-2) and Major
464 Agriculture Program of Ningbo (2017C110007). This research was also sponsored by the K. C.
465 Wong Magna Fund in Ningbo University. The authors are grateful to M. Liu (Ningbo Institute of
466 Materials Technology and Engineering, Ningbo, China) and C. D. Zhang (School of Marine
467 Sciences, Ningbo University, Ningbo, China) for their constructive comments and valuable help
468 during the analyses of fatty acids and volatile compounds.

469

470 **Declaration of interest**

471 All the authors declare that they have no conflict of interest that could have appeared to
472 influence the work reported in this paper.

473

474 **Appendix A. Supplementary data**

475 Supplementary Table 1. Formulation and proximate composition of experimental diets.

476 Supplementary Table 2. Fatty acid composition (% total fatty acids) of the experimental diets.

477 Supplementary Table 3. Proximate composition (% wet weight) in muscle of juvenile
478 swimming crab fed different dietary lipid sources (n=3).
479

480 **References**

481 AOAC (2016). Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. In W. Horwitz, & G. Latimer
482 (Eds.). *Official methods of analysis of AOAC international* (20th ed.). Gaithersburg, MD:
483 AOAC International.
484 Betancor, M. B., Sprague, M., Sayanova, O., Usher, S., Campbell, P. J., Napier, J. A., Caballero, M.
485 J., & Tocher, D. R. (2015). Evaluation of a high-EPA oil from transgenic *Camelina sativa* in
486 feeds for Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.): Effects on tissue fatty acid composition, histology
487 and gene expression. *Aquaculture*, *444*, 1–12.
488 Craig, S., & Helfrich, L. A. (2009). Understanding fish nutrition, feeds, and feeding. College of
489 Agriculture and Life Sciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Virginia.
490 Grigorakis, K. (2007). Compositional and organoleptic quality of farmed and wild gilthead sea
491 bream (*Sparus aurata*) and sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) and factors affecting it: A review.
492 *Aquaculture*, *272*, 55–75.
493 Grigorakis, K., Fountoulaki, E., Giogios, I., & Alexis, M. N. (2009). Volatile compounds and
494 organoleptic qualities of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) fed commercial diets containing
495 different lipid sources. *Aquaculture*, *290*, 116–121.
496 Gu, S. Q., Wang, X. C., Tao, N. P., & Wu, N. (2013). Characterization of volatile compounds in
497 different edible parts of steamed Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*). *Food Research*
498 *International*, *54*, 81–92.

499 Han, T., Wang, J. T., Hu, S. X., Li, X. Y., Jiang, Y. D., & Wang, C. L. (2015). Effects of different
500 dietary lipid sources on growth performance and tissue fatty acid composition of juvenile
501 swimming crab *Portunus trituberculatus*. *Chinese journal of oceanology and limnology*, *33*,
502 957–965.
503 Hardy, R. W., & Lee, C. S. (2010). Aquaculture feed and seafood quality. *Bulletin of Fisheries*
504 *Research and Development Agency*, *31*, 43–50.
505 Jin, M., Wang, M. Q., Huo, Y. W., Huang, W. W., Mai, K. S., & Zhou, Q. C. (2015). Dietary lysine
506 requirement of juvenile swimming crab, *Portunus trituberculatus*. *Aquaculture*, *448*, 1–7.
507 Kong, L., Cai, C. F., Ye, Y. T., Chen, D. X., Wu, P., Li, E. C., Chen, L. Q., & Song, L. (2012).
508 Comparison of non-volatile compounds and sensory characteristics of Chinese mitten crabs
509 (*Eriocheir sinensis*) reared in lakes and ponds: potential environmental factors. *Aquaculture*,
510 *364*, 96–102.
511 Laplante, M., & Sabatini, D. M. (2012). mTOR signaling in growth control and disease. *Cell*, *149*,
512 274–293.
513 Larsen, R., Eilertsen, K. E., & Elvevoll, E. O. (2011). Health benefits of marine foods and
514 ingredients. *Biotechnology advances*, *29*, 508–518.
515 Liu, Y., Jiao, J. G., Gao, S., Ning, L. J., Limbu, S. M., Qiao, F., Chen, L. Q., Zhang, M. L., & Du, Z.
516 Y. (2019). Dietary oils modify lipid molecules and nutritional value of fillet in Nile tilapia: A
517 deep lipidomics analysis. *Food Chemistry*, *277*, 515–523.
518 Ljubojevic, D., Trbovic, D., Lujic, J., Bjelic-Cabrilo, O., Kostic, D., Novakov, N., & Cirkovic, M.,
519 (2013). Fatty acid composition of fishes from inland waters. *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural*
520 *Science*, *19*, 62–71.
521 Mall, V., & Schieberle, P. (2017). Evaluation of key aroma compounds in processed prawns

522 (whiteleg shrimp) by quantitation and aroma recombination experiments. *Journal of*
523 *Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *65*, 2776–2783.
524 Mu, H., Wei, Z. H., Yi, L. N., Shentu, J. K., Zhang, W. B., & Mai, K. S. (2017). Effects of low
525 dietary fish meal on the volatile compounds in muscle of large yellow croaker *Larimichthys*
526 *crocea*. *Aquaculture Research*, *48*, 5179–5191.
527 National Research Council (NRC) (2011). *Nutrient Requirements of Fish and Shrimp*. National
528 Academies Press, Washington, DC.
529 Newgard, C. B. (2012). Interplay between lipids and branched-chain amino acids in development of
530 insulin resistance. *Cell Metabolism*, *15*, 606–614.
531 Shu-Chien, A. C., Han, W. Y., Carter, C. G., Fitzgibbon, Q. P., Simon, C. J., Kuah, M. K., Battaglene,
532 S. C., Codabaccus, B. M., & Ventura, T. (2017). Effect of dietary lipid source on expression of
533 lipid metabolism genes and tissue lipid profile in juvenile spiny lobster *Sagmariasus verreauxi*.
534 *Aquaculture*, *479*, 342–351.
535 Silva, J. M. G., Valente, L. M. P., Castro-Cunha, M., Bacelar, M., & De Pinho, P. G. (2012). Impact
536 of dietary plant protein levels on the volatile composition of Senegalese sole (*Solea*
537 *senegalensis* Kaup, 1858) muscle. *Food chemistry*, *131*, 596–602.
538 Song, J., Wang, H., Wu, X. G., Wang, X. C., & Shi, W. Z. (2018). The flavor of gonad and meat of
539 female *Portunus trituberculatus* cultured in indoor and outdoor. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*,
540 *e12743*.
541 Sun, P., Ding, L. Y., Lu, Y., Yuan, Y., Ma, H. N., & Zhou, Q. C. (2017). Effect of dietary soybean
542 lecithin and cholesterol on growth, antioxidant status and fatty acid composition of juvenile
543 swimming crab, *Portunus trituberculatus*. *Israeli Journal of Aquaculture–Bamidgeh*, *69*.
544 Tocher, D. R. (2015). Omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and aquaculture in

545 perspective. *Aquaculture*, *449*, 94–107.

546 Tou, J. C., Jaczynski, J., & Chen, Y. C. (2007). Krill for human consumption: nutritional value and
547 potential health benefts. *Nutrition Reviews*, *65*, 63–77.

548 Turchini, G. M., Moretti, V. M., Mentasti, T., Orban, E., & Valfre, F. (2007). Effects of dietary lipid
549 source on fillet chemical composition, flavour volatile compounds and sensory characteristics
550 in the freshwater fish tench (*Tinca tinca* L.). *Food Chemistry*, *102*, 1144–1155.

551 Turchini, G. M., Ng, W. K., & Tocher, D. R. (2010). *Fish oil replacement and alternative lipid*
552 *sources in aquaculture feeds*. Taylor & Francis Group, CRC Press, Boca Raton.

553 Unnikrishnan, U., & Paulraj, R. (2010). Dietary protein requirement of giant mud crab *Scylla*
554 *serrata* juveniles fed iso-energetic formulated diets having graded protein levels. *Aquaculture*
555 *Research*, *41*, 278–294.

556 Van Den Dool, H., & Kratz, P. D. (1963). A generalization of the retention index system including
557 linear temperature programmed gas-liquid partition chromatography. *Journal of*
558 *Chromatography A*, *11*, 463–471.

559 Vijayavel, K., & Balasubramanian, M. P. (2006). Fluctuations of biochemical constituents and
560 marker enzymes as a consequence of naphthalene toxicity in the edible estuarine crab *Scylla*
561 *serrata*. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, *63*, 141–147.

562 Wang, S., He, Y., Wang, Y. Y., Tao, N. P., Wu, X. G., Wang, X. C., Qiu, W. Q., & Ma, M. J. (2016).
563 Comparison of flavour qualities of three sourced *Eriocheir sinensis*. *Food Chemistry*, *200*,
564 24–31.

565 Wu, G. Y. (2013). Functional amino acids in nutrition and health. *Amino Acids*, *45*, 407–411.

566 Wullschleger, S., Loewith, R., & Hall, M. N. (2006). TOR signaling in growth and metabolism. *Cell*,
567 *124*, 471–484.

568 Wu, N., Fu, X. Y., Zhuang, K. J., Wu, X. G., & Wang, X. C. (2018). Effects of dietary replacement
569 of fish oil by vegetable oil on proximate composition and odor profile of hepatopancreas and
570 gonad of Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*). *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, *e12646*.
571 Wu, N., Wang, X. C., Tao, N. P., & Ni, Y. Q. (2016). Odor profiles of hepatopancreas and gonad of
572 *Eriocheir sinensis* by sensory analysis, electronic nose, and GC-MS analysis. *Fisheries*
573 *Science*, *82*, 537–547.
574 Yu, H. Z., & Chen, S. S. (2010). Identification of characteristic aroma-active compounds in steamed
575 mangrove crab (*Scylla serrata*). *Food Research International*, *43*, 2081–2086.
576 Zhong, W. J., Zhang, S. P., Li, J. F., Huang, W. P., & Wang, A. L. (2011). Effects of dietary
577 replacement of fish oil by conjugated linoleic acid on some meat quality traits of Pacific white
578 shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Food Chemistry*, *127*, 1739–1743.
579 Zhou, L. X., Han, D., Zhu, X. M., Yang, Y. X., Jin, J. Y., & Xie, S. Q. (2016). Effects of total
580 replacement of fish oil by pork lard or rapeseed oil and recovery by a fish oil finishing diet on
581 growth, health and fish quality of gibel carp (*Carassius auratus gibelio*). *Aquaculture Research*,
582 *47*, 2961–2975.
583 Zhou, Q. C., Li, C. C., Liu, C. W., Chi, S. Y., & Yang, Q. H. (2007). Effects of dietary lipid sources
584 on growth and fatty acid composition of juvenile shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture*
585 *Nutrition*, *13*, 222–229.
586 Zhuang, K. J., Wu, N., Wang, X. C., Wu, X. G., Wang, S., Long, X. W., & Wei, X. (2016). Effects of
587 3 feeding modes on the volatile and nonvolatile compounds in the edible tissues of female
588 Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*). *Journal of food science*, *81*, S968–S981.
589 Zuo, R. T., Ai, Q. H., Mai, K. S., & Xu, W. (2013). Effects of conjugated linoleic acid on growth,
590 non-specific immunity, antioxidant capacity, lipid deposition and related gene expression in

591 juvenile large yellow croaker (*Larmichthys crocea*) fed soyabean oilbased diets. *British*
592 *Journal of Nutrition*, *110*, 1220–1232.
593

594 **Table legends**

595 **Table 1.** Amino acid composition (g/100g dry matter) of muscle of juvenile swimming crab

596 (*Portunus trituberculatus*) fed different dietary lipid sources.

597 **Table 2.** Fatty acid composition (% total fatty acids) of muscle of juvenile swimming crab

598 (*Portunus trituberculatus*) fed different dietary lipid sources.

599 **Table 3.** Volatile compounds identified in muscle of juvenile swimming crab fed different dietary

600 lipid sources.

601 **Table 4.** Relative concentration (ng/g) of volatile compounds in muscle of juvenile swimming crab

602 fed different dietary lipid sources.

603

604 **Figure legends**

605 **Fig 1.** Principal component analysis (PCA) score plot (A) and loading plot (B) based on volatile
606 compound compositions of juvenile swimming crab muscle fed different dietary lipid sources.

607 **Fig 2.** Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) and heat map visualization of samples and volatile
608 compounds of muscle of juvenile swimming crab fed different dietary lipid sources. The color box
609 for each compound in the heatmap indicates the abundance of the compound and represent the
610 fold-change according to the scale on the right: red for higher levels; green for lower levels. The
611 scale in the color bar is logarithm to base 2 of the ratio of the respective abundances to the average
612 abundance of the compounds in the six treatments. Color spots before the compound names
613 indicates the chemical family of each compound: red, aldehydes; yellow, ketone; blue, ester; green,
614 alcohol; purple, alkene; orange, alkane; grey, aromatic; dark blue, amine; black, other.

615

616

617 **Table 1.** Amino acid composition (g/100g dry matter) of muscle of juvenile swimming crab (*Portunus trituberculatus*) fed different dietary lipid

618 sources

Amino acid	Dietary lipid sources					
	FO	KO	PO	RO	SO	LO
Tyrosine	1.94 ± 0.03	1.93 ± 0.06	1.96 ± 0.05	1.97 ± 0.04	1.98 ± 0.03	1.93 ± 0.04
Lysine	5.05 ± 0.05[b]	5.06 ± 0.09[b]	4.33 ± 0.08[a]	4.39 ± 0.06[a]	4.36 ± 0.10[a]	4.43 ± 0.06[a]
Valine	2.35 ± 0.07[a]	2.37 ± 0.08[a]	2.77 ± 0.05[b]	2.74 ± 0.13[b]	2.32 ± 0.05[a]	2.24 ± 0.05[a]
Methionine	0.99 ± 0.02[a]	1.11 ± 0.04[ab]	1.23 ± 0.07[b]	1.39 ± 0.12[c]	1.08 ± 0.02[ab]	1.10 ± 0.03[ab]
Leucine	4.08 ± 0.06[a]	4.14 ± 0.05[a]	4.30 ± 0.06[ab]	4.48 ± 0.11[b]	4.58 ± 0.21[b]	4.05 ± 0.05[a]
Isoleucine	2.24 ± 0.02	2.10 ± 0.08	2.16 ± 0.04	2.24 ± 0.03	2.25 ± 0.05	2.09 ± 0.09
Phenylalanine	2.21 ± 0.04[a]	2.24 ± 0.05[a]	2.35 ± 0.08[ab]	2.49 ± 0.10[b]	2.24 ± 0.05[a]	2.16 ± 0.06[a]
Histidine	1.25 ± 0.04	1.33 ± 0.09	1.20 ± 0.03	1.25 ± 0.03	1.21 ± 0.03	1.35 ± 0.07
Arginine	6.30 ± 0.22[b]	6.35 ± 0.15[b]	6.12 ± 0.05[b]	5.63 ± 0.08[a]	6.30 ± 0.10[b]	6.84 ± 0.08[c]
Threonine	2.40 ± 0.07[b]	2.44 ± 0.06[b]	2.34 ± 0.06[ab]	2.14 ± 0.11[a]	2.36 ± 0.04[ab]	2.32 ± 0.04[ab]
IAA [1]	28.93 ± 0.13[b]	29.08 ± 0.04[b]	28.76 ± 0.13[a]	28.74 ± 0.03[a]	28.67 ± 0.07[a]	28.71 ± 0.10[a]
Alanine	4.61 ± 0.12[b]	4.62 ± 0.06[b]	4.10 ± 0.07[a]	4.52 ± 0.13[ab]	4.42 ± 0.08[ab]	4.42 ± 0.05[ab]
Glycine	5.59 ± 0.09[b]	5.91 ± 0.05[c]	5.42 ± 0.18[b]	4.73 ± 0.10[a]	5.51 ± 0.09[b]	5.32 ± 0.13[b]
Serine	2.19 ± 0.03	2.22 ± 0.07	2.09 ± 0.07	2.13 ± 0.04	2.14 ± 0.07	2.17 ± 0.04

Proline	5.44 ± 0.12^{b}	5.34 ± 0.10^{b}	4.93 ± 0.10^{a}	5.15 ± 0.05^{ab}	4.88 ± 0.07^{a}	5.63 ± 0.04^{c}
Glutamic acid	9.58 ± 0.19^{a}	10.60 ± 0.08^{b}	9.83 ± 0.06^{a}	9.60 ± 0.06^{a}	9.61 ± 0.12^{a}	9.77 ± 0.11^{a}
Aspartic acid	5.37 ± 0.08^{ab}	5.62 ± 0.07^{b}	5.00 ± 0.01^{a}	5.17 ± 0.03^{a}	5.35 ± 0.04^{ab}	5.39 ± 0.08^{ab}
Cysteine	0.48 ± 0.02	0.48 ± 0.04	0.54 ± 0.04	0.46 ± 0.02	0.54 ± 0.04	0.48 ± 0.02
DAA [2]	33.25 ± 0.12^{b}	34.79 ± 0.14^{c}	31.91 ± 0.13^{a}	31.76 ± 0.10^{a}	32.45 ± 0.24^{a}	33.19 ± 0.16^{b}
TAA [3]	62.20 ± 0.12^{c}	63.88 ± 0.17^{d}	60.66 ± 0.13^{a}	60.50 ± 0.08^{a}	61.12 ± 0.23^{b}	61.90 ± 0.24^{c}
IAA/TAA [4]	0.47 ± 0.00	0.46 ± 0.00	0.47 ± 0.00	0.48 ± 0.00	0.47 ± 0.00	0.46 ± 0.00

Data are presented as means ± SEM (n = 3). Values in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$). FO, fish oil; KO, krill oil; PO, palm oil; RO, rapeseed oil; SO, soybean oil; LO, linseed oil.

[1] IAA: indispensable amino acids.

[2] DAA: dispensable amino acids.

[3] TAA: total amino acids.

[4] IAA/TAA: the ratio of indispensable amino acids to total amino acids.

626 **Table 2.** Fatty acid composition (% total fatty acids) of muscle of juvenile swimming crab (*Portunus trituberculatus*) fed different dietary lipid sources.

Fatty acid	Dietary lipid sources					
	FO	KO	PO	RO	SO	LO
14:0	1.67 ± 0.07[a]	1.85 ± 0.03[a]	3.57 ± 0.05[b]	1.60 ± 0.02[a]	1.57 ± 0.02[a]	1.66 ± 0.07[a]
16:0	19.80 ± 0.55[b]	18.91 ± 0.35[ab]	20.67 ± 0.14[c]	18.64 ± 0.30[ab]	17.74 ± 0.52[a]	17.34 ± 0.81[a]
18:0	14.12 ± 0.16[ab]	14.30 ± 0.45[ab]	16.30 ± 0.24[b]	13.40 ± 0.52[a]	13.55 ± 0.70[a]	14.85 ± 0.33[ab]
20:0	0.66 ± 0.01[b]	0.63 ± 0.01[a]	0.68 ± 0.00[b]	0.67 ± 0.01[b]	0.69 ± 0.02[b]	0.67 ± 0.01[b]
22:0	0.57 ± 0.02	0.53 ± 0.02	0.53 ± 0.01	0.56 ± 0.01	0.55 ± 0.02	0.56 ± 0.02
24:0	0.41 ± 0.02	0.40 ± 0.03	0.40 ± 0.02	0.42 ± 0.01	0.40 ± 0.01	0.39 ± 0.01
SFA [1]	37.23 ± 0.65[b]	36.62 ± 0.72[b]	42.15 ± 0.33[c]	35.29 ± 0.79[a]	34.50 ± 0.59[a]	35.47 ± 0.77[a]
16:1n-7	1.13 ± 0.15[c]	1.04 ± 0.04[c]	0.90 ± 0.04[b]	0.62 ± 0.03[a]	0.73 ± 0.03[ab]	0.71 ± 0.01[ab]
18:1n-9	17.33 ± 0.47[a]	17.79 ± 0.48[a]	17.23 ± 0.29[a]	22.83 ± 0.70[b]	17.05 ± 0.57[a]	16.52 ± 0.32[a]
20:1n-9	1.49 ± 0.03[b]	1.41 ± 0.02[ab]	1.51 ± 0.03[b]	1.48 ± 0.04[b]	1.32 ± 0.02[a]	1.36 ± 0.03[a]
22:1n-9	0.13 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.00
MUFA [2]	20.08 ± 0.33[a]	20.34 ± 0.48[a]	19.74 ± 0.27[a]	25.07 ± 0.41[b]	19.19 ± 0.31[a]	18.69 ± 0.31[a]
18:2n-6	16.72 ± 0.36[a]	18.25 ± 0.18[b]	17.83 ± 0.27[ab]	18.61 ± 0.32[b]	22.64 ± 0.09[c]	19.55 ± 0.42[b]
20:2n-6	1.65 ± 0.06[a]	2.19 ± 0.20[b]	1.75 ± 0.14[a]	2.51 ± 0.11[b]	2.79 ± 0.21[b]	2.58 ± 0.18[b]
20:3n-6	0.05 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.01	0.05 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.01	0.05 ± 0.01
20:4n-6	1.63 ± 0.09[a]	2.25 ± 0.17[b]	1.80 ± 0.13[a]	2.54 ± 0.14[b]	2.95 ± 0.14[b]	2.66 ± 0.14[b]

22:5n-6	0.16 ± 0.02	0.13 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.02
n-6 PUFA [3]	20.21 ± 0.44^{a}	22.88 ± 0.18^{ab}	21.59 ± 0.18^{ab}	23.85 ± 0.21^{b}	28.57 ± 0.08^{c}	24.98 ± 0.57^{b}
18:3n-3	0.92 ± 0.06^{a}	1.20 ± 0.06^{a}	1.10 ± 0.02^{a}	1.38 ± 0.09^{a}	1.32 ± 0.11^{a}	4.51 ± 0.33^{b}
18:4n-3	0.52 ± 0.01	0.49 ± 0.01	0.47 ± 0.02	0.50 ± 0.02	0.51 ± 0.03	0.48 ± 0.03
20:3n-3	0.36 ± 0.02^{a}	0.36 ± 0.01^{a}	0.35 ± 0.01^{a}	0.36 ± 0.02^{a}	0.41 ± 0.03^{a}	0.75 ± 0.04^{b}
20:5n-3	8.33 ± 0.05^{b}	7.96 ± 0.09^{b}	5.45 ± 0.08^{a}	5.56 ± 0.24^{a}	5.74 ± 0.12^{a}	5.87 ± 0.32^{a}
22:5n-3	0.52 ± 0.06	0.57 ± 0.04	0.54 ± 0.02	0.55 ± 0.05	0.54 ± 0.05	0.53 ± 0.05
22:6n-3	8.78 ± 0.25^{b}	8.98 ± 0.15^{b}	5.47 ± 0.14^{a}	5.38 ± 0.22^{a}	5.64 ± 0.13^{a}	5.74 ± 0.23^{a}
n-3 PUFA [4]	19.43 ± 0.27^{c}	19.56 ± 0.34^{c}	13.38 ± 0.13^{a}	13.73 ± 0.49^{a}	14.16 ± 0.24^{a}	17.88 ± 0.20^{b}
n-3 LC-PUFA [5]	17.99 ± 0.25^{b}	17.87 ± 0.38^{b}	11.81 ± 0.12^{a}	11.85 ± 0.55^{a}	11.79 ± 0.27^{a}	12.89 ± 0.39^{a}
n-3 PUFA/n-6 PUFA [6]	0.96 ± 0.02^{d}	0.85 ± 0.02^{c}	0.62 ± 0.01^{ab}	0.58 ± 0.03^{ab}	0.50 ± 0.01^{a}	0.72 ± 0.01^{b}

Data are presented as means ± SEM (n = 3). Values in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$). Some fatty acids, found in only trace amounts or not detected, such as 8:0, 12:0, 13:0, 15:0, 14:1n-7, 18:3n-6 and 20:5n-6 were not listed in Table. 2. FO, fish oil; KO, krill oil; PO, palm oil; RO, rapeseed oil; SO, soybean oil; LO, linseed oil.

[1] SFA: saturated fatty acids.

[2] MUFA: mono-unsaturated fatty acids.

[3] n-6 PUFA: n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids.

[4] n-3 PUFA: n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids.

[5] n-3 LC-PUFA: n-3 long chain poly-unsaturated fatty acid.

[6] n-3 PUFA/n-6 PUFA: the ratio of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids to n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids.

636 **Table 3.** Volatile compounds identified in muscle of juvenile swimming crab fed different dietary lipid sources.

Volatile compound	RI [1]	Identification [2]
Aldehydes (11)		
3-Methylbutanal	655	MS, S, RI
Hexanal	802	MS, S, RI
Heptanal	903	MS, S, RI
Benzaldehyde	962	MS, S, RI
Benzeneacetaldehyde	1048	MS, S, RI
Nonanal	1106	MS, S, RI
Decanal	1207	MS, S, RI
Dodecanal	1403	MS, S, RI
Tetradecanal	1604	MS, RI
Pentadecanal	1702	MS, RI
Hexadecanal	1821	MS, S, RI
Ketones (8)		
2,3-Pentanedione	696	MS, RI
2-Heptanone	887	MS, S, RI
2,3-Octanedione	985	MS, RI
2-Nonanone	1094	MS, S, RI

(3*E*,5*E*)-Octadiene-2-one	1097	MS, RI
2-Decanone	1196	MS, S, RI
2-Undecanone	1298	MS, S, RI
6,10-Dimethyl-(5E,9)-Undecadien-2-one	1460	MS, RI
Esters (2)		
Acetic acid butyl ester	820	MS, RI
Dibutyl phthalate	1453	MS, RI
Alcohols (9)		
3-Methyl-1-butanol	730	MS, S, RI
1-Butanol	870	MS, S, RI
1-Octen-3-ol	977	MS, S, RI
2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	1030	MS, RI
1-Octanol	1062	MS, S, RI
3-Decanol	1198	MS, S, RI
3-Undecanol	1297	MS, S, RI
1-Hexadecanol	1489	MS, S, RI
2-Hexyl-decan-1-ol	1501	MS, RI
Alkenes (2)		
1,3-Cyclooctadiene	1075	MS, RI

(7Z)-Hexadecene	1473	MS, RI
Alkanes (8)		
Undecane	1100	MS, S, RI
Pentylcyclohexane	1134	MS, S, RI
Dodecane	1200	MS, S, RI
Tridecane	1300	MS, S, RI
Tetradecane	1400	MS, S, RI
Octadecane	1800	MS, S, RI
Nonadecane	1900	MS, S, RI
Pentacosane	2500	MS, S, RI
Aromatics (3)		
4-Methylphenol	1070	MS, S, RI
2-Methyl-naphthalene	1288	MS, RI
Butylated hydroxytoluene	1510	MS, S, RI
Amines (3)		
Trimethylamine	566	MS, RI
Octodrine	1921	MS, RI
Amphetamine	1120	MS, RI
Other (3)		

Dimethyl sulfide	520	MS, RI
2-Methylpyrazine	803	MS, S, RI
2-Acetylthiazole	1028	MS, RI

637 [1] RI = retention indices calculated.

638 [2] Identification based on RI (retention indices), S (standard) and MS (mass spectrometry). MS, mass spectrum comparison using NIST14.L mass

639 spectral libraries (https://www.sisweb.com/manuals/nist.htm).

640

641 **Table 4.** Relative concentration (ng/g) of volatile compounds in muscle of juvenile swimming crab fed different dietary lipid sources.

Volatile compound	Dietary lipid sources					
	FO	KO	PO	RO	SO	LO
Aldehydes (11)						
3-Methylbutanal	110.47 ± 10.42[c]	153.71 ± 6.66[d]	59.29 ± 4.59[b]	40.75 ± 4.42[a]	32.07 ± 4.09[a]	37.95 ± 2.17[a]
Hexanal	49.76 ± 1.75[a]	82.19 ± 7.12[b]	33.45 ± 5.75[a]	31.05 ± 2.59[a]	40.29 ± 1.96[a]	36.21 ± 2.44[a]
Heptanal	81.95 ± 1.80[b]	93.88 ± 6.62[b]	37.36 ± 3.16[a]	35.42 ± 1.73[a]	45.64 ± 4.39[a]	43.10 ± 4.12[a]
Benzaldehyde	62.32 ± 5.80[b]	81.18 ± 9.19[c]	31.43 ± 3.56[a]	30.36 ± 4.12[a]	34.94 ± 5.82[a]	37.27 ± 3.51[a]
Benzeneacetaldehyde	20.01 ± 2.89[b]	14.18 ± 2.06[ab]	10.63 ± 1.40[a]	13.69 ± 3.85[ab]	26.33 ± 2.99[c]	28.64 ± 2.13[c]
Nonanal	197.38 ± 22.08[c]	196.36 ± 11.73[c]	107.82 ± 6.04[b]	72.97 ± 9.63[ab]	36.54 ± 4.84[a]	34.13 ± 3.92[a]
Decanal	12.51 ± 3.58[a]	12.12 ± 2.62[a]	17.79 ± 2.40[ab]	11.52 ± 1.86[a]	23.51 ± 2.70[b]	22.23 ± 1.73[b]
Dodecanal	12.75 ± 4.49[a]	10.37 ± 1.04[a]	9.84 ± 1.50[a]	13.74 ± 1.44[a]	19.09 ± 3.06[ab]	27.18 ± 3.09[b]
Tetradecanal	7.92 ± 1.78[b]	8.71 ± 0.58[b]	10.76 ± 1.35[b]	2.50 ± 0.88[a]	7.92 ± 1.34[b]	18.29 ± 3.01[c]
Pentadecanal	6.20 ± 2.80[a]	7.40 ± 1.02[a]	6.75 ± 1.23[a]	4.14 ± 1.08[a]	9.51 ± 1.46[a]	19.83 ± 2.52[b]
Hexadecanal	3.82 ± 1.27[a]	6.07 ± 1.10[a]	5.82 ± 0.35[a]	4.36 ± 1.25[a]	8.37 ± 1.44[a]	20.67 ± 2.83[b]
Total	565.07 ± 10.77[c]	666.16 ± 17.06[d]	330.92 ± 6.51[b]	260.50 ± 11.07[a]	284.21 ± 8.85[ab]	325.49 ± 4.08[b]
Ketones (8)						

2,3-Pentanedione	54.53 ± 7.84^{b}	98.61 ± 10.91^{c}	43.85 ± 2.66^{ab}	35.27 ± 7.88^{ab}	20.08 ± 2.79^{a}	27.37 ± 1.90^{ab}
2-Heptanone	23.58 ± 5.69^{ab}	28.44 ± 5.64^{b}	11.07 ± 2.11^{a}	17.91 ± 3.73^{ab}	21.96 ± 3.97^{ab}	23.51 ± 2.72^{ab}
2,3-Octanedione	35.47 ± 9.33	34.71 ± 10.85	20.48 ± 4.34	32.99 ± 3.16	30.42 ± 4.74	26.47 ± 3.15
2-Nonanone	18.24 ± 3.27^{b}	10.91 ± 1.61^{ab}	6.91 ± 2.27^{a}	11.32 ± 1.20^{ab}	17.78 ± 2.59^{b}	14.37 ± 1.58^{ab}
(3*E*,5*E*)-Octadiene-2-one	52.73 ± 4.95^{c}	30.76 ± 4.95^{b}	22.87 ± 5.68^{ab}	17.42 ± 2.48^{a}	16.11 ± 2.67^{a}	18.00 ± 4.04^{a}
2-Decanone	5.01 ± 1.69^{a}	6.40 ± 1.84^{a}	11.93 ± 1.91^{b}	9.26 ± 1.36^{b}	16.90 ± 2.06^{c}	15.56 ± 2.94^{c}
2-Undecanone	6.41 ± 1.39^{ab}	4.89 ± 0.45^{a}	6.85 ± 2.75^{ab}	8.99 ± 1.73^{ab}	12.73 ± 2.56^{b}	12.16 ± 2.92^{b}
6,10-Dimethyl-(5E,9)-Undecadien-2-one	7.98 ± 1.32^{a}	9.45 ± 1.23^{a}	7.48 ± 2.02^{a}	9.02 ± 0.45^{a}	14.57 ± 2.03^{b}	8.11 ± 2.33^{a}
Total	203.95 ± 14.08^{b}	224.18 ± 10.19^{b}	131.45 ± 2.31^{a}	142.18 ± 10.55^{a}	150.54 ± 6.25^{a}	145.56 ± 8.98^{a}
Esters (2)						
Acetic acid butyl ester	17.81 ± 4.19^{a}	14.97 ± 1.61^{a}	17.43 ± 3.78^{a}	18.47 ± 2.41^{a}	28.74 ± 2.25^{b}	22.17 ± 3.66^{ab}
Dibutyl phthalate	9.50 ± 2.15^{ab}	4.35 ± 0.74^{a}	10.60 ± 1.65^{ab}	14.75 ± 3.77^{b}	27.11 ± 2.65^{c}	28.82 ± 2.54^{c}
Total	27.30 ± 5.85^{b}	19.33 ± 0.89^{a}	28.02 ± 5.02^{b}	33.21 ± 4.90^{b}	55.85 ± 2.08^{c}	50.99 ± 6.20^{c}
Alcohols (9)						
3-Methyl-1-butanol	31.84 ± 3.06	45.01 ± 4.10	39.11 ± 5.63	31.94 ± 6.57	35.95 ± 7.00	28.70 ± 3.67
1-Butanol	22.05 ± 3.18^{a}	20.63 ± 1.22^{a}	32.52 ±5.70^{b}	32.20 ± 4.33^{b}	27.90 ± 2.36^{ab}	25.18 ± 1.85^{ab}
1-Octen-3-ol	94.49 ± 8.02^{c}	95.60 ± 10.35^{c}	57.84 ± 5.33^{ab}	68.10 ± 6.84^{b}	50.05 ± 2.33^{ab}	32.07± 4.08^{a}
2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	4.07 ± 0.65^{a}	1.00 ± 0.26^{a}	6.60 ± 2.31^{a}	16.25 ± 1.61^{b}	31.79 ± 2.95^{c}	17.61 ± 1.82^{b}
1-Octanol	19.44 ± 7.16^{a}	18.81 ± 4.42^{a}	27.83 ± 3.99^{ab}	34.50 ± 2.94^{b}	30.84 ± 3.60^{ab}	24.91 ± 1.60^{ab}

3-Decanol	17.06 ± 7.00^{ab}	9.24 ± 0.85^{a}	8.93 ± 1.18^{a}	17.39 ± 5.40^{ab}	29.54 ± 3.62^{b}	17.51 ± 1.05^{ab}
3-Undecanol	6.22 ± 1.95^{ab}	1.55 ± 0.30^{a}	7.59 ± 1.73^{ab}	9.89 ± 0.88^{b}	19.66 ± 4.34^{c}	19.11 ± 1.06^{c}
1-Hexadecanol	46.27 ± 5.39^{b}	33.63 ± 3.82^{ab}	39.10 ± 2.89^{ab}	44.84 ± 3.71^{b}	38.99 ± 2.64^{ab}	24.23 ± 1.98^{a}
2-Hexyl-decan-1-ol	1.86 ± 0.67^{a}	5.44 ± 2.15^{ab}	5.57 ± 1.67^{ab}	8.72 ± 0.62^{b}	20.11 ± 5.18^{c}	24.32 ± 2.11^{c}
Total	243.31 ± 31.73^{ab}	230.90 ± 13.29^{a}	225.09 ± 6.95^{a}	263.82 ± 3.45^{ab}	284.83 ± 12.62^{b}	213.63 ± 2.64^{a}
Alkenes (2)						
1,3-Cyclooctadiene	8.47 ± 3.93^{a}	12.29 ± 2.70^{ab}	10.53 ± 3.34^{ab}	10.00 ± 0.60^{ab}	13.94 ± 2.58^{ab}	18.59 ± 1.71^{b}
(7Z)-Hexadecene	4.26 ± 1.66^{a}	7.32 ± 1.13^{ab}	10.91 ± 4.13^{b}	7.11 ± 1.52^{ab}	12.92 ± 2.43^{b}	21.27 ± 1.58^{c}
Total	12.73 ± 5.55^{a}	19.61 ± 3.80^{ab}	21.44 ± 5.21^{ab}	17.11 ± 1.51^{ab}	26.86 ± 4.07^{b}	39.86 ± 3.28^{c}
Alkanes (8)						
Undecane	2.66 ± 0.73^{a}	5.32 ± 1.64^{b}	4.43 ± 0.79^{b}	13.68 ± 2.77^{bc}	15.55 ± 2.59^{c}	19.42 ± 2.32^{c}
Pentylcyclohexane	3.46 ± 1.53^{a}	3.01 ± 0.67^{a}	5.42 ± 1.78^{ab}	6.36 ± 1.16^{ab}	8.93 ± 2.52^{b}	19.38 ± 1.32^{c}
Dodecane	5.06 ± 2.29^{a}	7.61 ± 1.23^{ab}	9.23 ± 2.72^{ab}	3.94 ± 1.51^{a}	9.80 ± 1.38^{ab}	15.18 ± 2.41^{b}
Tridecane	3.59 ± 1.20^{a}	4.43 ± 0.79^{a}	5.72 ± 1.10^{a}	7.32 ± 0.37^{a}	12.96 ± 1.98^{b}	13.33 ± 2.56^{b}
Tetradecane	3.82 ± 1.00^{a}	2.72 ± 0.23^{a}	6.18 ± 1.22^{ab}	9.16 ± 1.47^{b}	7.86 ± 2.19^{ab}	10.95 ± 1.87^{b}
Octadecane	3.91 ± 0.71^{a}	4.18 ± 0.60^{a}	8.12 ± 1.04^{ab}	9.33 ± 1.06^{b}	8.22 ± 2.28^{ab}	10.22 ± 1.37^{b}
Nonadecane	6.32 ± 1.49^{a}	7.12 ± 1.61^{a}	9.56 ± 1.51^{a}	6.18 ± 1.65^{a}	16.17 ± 2.40^{b}	15.92 ± 2.47^{b}
Pentacosane	6.78 ± 1.22^{a}	6.83 ± 1.96^{a}	9.26 ± 1.50^{ab}	12.12 ± 1.36^{ab}	16.99 ± 2.84^{b}	13.30 ± 2.40^{ab}
Total	35.59 ± 6.73^{a}	41.22 ± 3.96^{a}	57.90 ± 6.31^{b}	68.09 ± 5.32^{b}	96.48 ± 14.60^{c}	117.68 ± 6.72^{d}

Aromatics (3)						
4-Methylphenol	13.29 ± 2.11^{ab}	7.29 ± 2.29^{a}	15.69 ± 4.70^{ab}	16.12 ± 1.61^{b}	25.35 ± 3.35^{c}	21.45 ± 2.04^{bc}
2-Methyl-naphthalene	6.33 ± 0.61^{a}	4.77 ± 1.16^{a}	25.15 ± 1.93^{c}	13.50 ± 2.67^{b}	15.53 ± 2.97^{b}	19.63 ± 0.86^{bc}
Butylated hydroxytoluene	28.33 ± 4.15^{c}	7.41 ± 0.95^{a}	26.03 ± 3.06^{c}	24.37 ± 2.55^{bc}	13.56 ± 1.70^{ab}	19.96 ± 1.36^{b}
Total	47.96 ± 5.64^{b}	19.47 ± 3.53^{a}	66.86 ± 4.65^{c}	54.00 ± 3.51^{bc}	54.44 ± 4.56^{bc}	61.04 ± 0.37^{bc}
Amines (3)						
Trimethylamine	5.55 ± 1.91^{a}	4.44 ± 0.87^{a}	6.74 ± 1.33^{ab}	9.79 ± 2.34^{ab}	7.30 ± 1.40^{ab}	11.38 ± 3.29^{b}
Octodrine	4.86 ± 2.14^{a}	4.02 ± 1.99^{a}	10.39 ± 1.81^{b}	10.05 ± 1.04^{b}	7.95 ± 1.68^{ab}	8.81 ± 1.11^{ab}
Amphetamine	6.76 ± 1.34^{a}	3.35 ± 0.99^{a}	9.55 ± 2.16^{b}	14.88 ± 0.87^{c}	10.63 ± 0.99^{b}	10.56 ± 1.92^{b}
Total	17.17 ± 5.16^{ab}	11.81 ± 3.56^{a}	26.69 ± 4.66^{bc}	34.71 ± 2.61^{c}	25.88 ± 1.47^{bc}	30.74 ± 2.08^{c}
Other (3)						
Dimethyl sulfide	18.76 ± 3.65^{b}	9.05 ± 2.85^{a}	7.50 ± 2.26^{a}	6.36 ± 2.07^{a}	15.45 ± 2.87^{ab}	13.46 ± 3.41^{ab}
2-Methylpyrazine	23.90 ± 2.36^{c}	7.63 ± 3.34^{ab}	3.91 ± 1.53^{a}	16.02 ± 2.00^{bc}	13.51 ± 3.02^{b}	17.56 ± 1.54^{bc}
2-Acetylthiazole	14.26 ± 2.00^{bc}	5.65 ± 2.09^{a}	10.21 ± 1.87^{ab}	19.01 ± 2.38^{c}	8.61 ± 1.05^{ab}	13.99 ± 3.33^{bc}
Total	56.91 ± 7.90^{b}	22.33 ± 8.17^{a}	21.63 ± 5.57^{a}	41.39 ± 6.42^{ab}	37.58 ± 5.21^{ab}	45.01 ± 6.80^{b}

642 Data are presented as means ± SEM (n = 3). Values in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

643 FO, fish oil; KO, krill oil; PO, palm oil; RO, rapeseed oil; SO, soybean oil; LO, linseed oil.



Fig 1. Principal component analysis (PCA) score plot (A) and loading plot (B) based on volatile compound compositions of juvenile swimming crab muscle fed different dietary lipid sources.



Fig 2. Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) and heat map visualization of samples and volatile compounds of muscle of juvenile swimming crab fed different dietary lipid sources. The color box for each compound in the heatmap indicates the abundance of the compound and represent the fold-change according to the scale on the right: red for higher levels; green for lower levels. The

6 scale in the color bar is logarithm to base 2 of the ratio of the respective abundances to the average
7 abundance of the compounds in the six treatments. Color spots before the compound names
8 indicates the chemical family of each compound: red, aldehydes; yellow, ketone; blue, ester; green,
9 alcohol; purple, alkene; orange, alkane; grey, aromatic; dark blue, amine; black, other.

10

11 **SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1**

12 Formulation and proximate composition of experimental diets (dry matter basis)

Ingredients (g/kg)	Dietary lipid sources					
	FO	KO	PO	RO	SO	LO
Fishmeal [1]	150.0	150.0	150.0	150.0	150.0	150.0
Soybean protein concentrate [1]	260.0	260.0	260.0	260.0	260.0	260.0
Soybean meal [1]	200.0	200.0	200.0	200.0	200.0	200.0
Krill meal [1]	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
Wheat flour [1]	235.0	235.0	235.0	235.0	235.0	235.0
Fish oil [2]	20.0					
Krill oil [2]		20.0				
Palm oil [2]			20.0			
Rapeseed oil [2]				20.0		
Soybean oil [2]					20.0	
Linseed oil [2]						20.0
Soybean lecithin [3]	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
Vitamin premix [4]	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Mineral premix [5]	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
$Ca(H_2PO_4)_2$	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Choline chloride	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Sodium alginate	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.0
Proximate composition (g/kg)						
Crude protein	464.2	467.0	466.1	465.2	466.8	467.0
Crude lipid	78.0	78.1	77.9	77.9	78.4	78.0
Moisture	125.9	129.3	126.1	120.4	127.6	129.0
Ash	95.4	96.6	95.4	96.0	95.9	95.4

13 [1] Fishmeal (dry matter, g/kg): crude protein 734.8, crude lipid 125.4; Soybean protein
14 concentrate (dry matter, g/kg): crude protein 681.2, crude lipid 4.3; Soybean meal (dry matter,
15 g/kg): crude protein 535.7, crude lipid 16.2; Krill meal (dry matter, g/kg): crude protein
16 650.3, crude lipid 65.1; Wheat flour (dry matter, g/kg): crude protein 153.2, crude lipid 7.9.
17 These ingredients were purchased from Ningbo Tech-Bank Feed Co., Ltd. (Ningbo, China).

18 [2] FO (fatty acids, % TFA): SFA 31.91, MUFA 27.63, 18:2n-6 4.15, 18:3n-3 1.13, EPA 11.21, DHA
19 11.64; KO (fatty acids, % TFA): SFA 35.29, MUFA 22.37, 18:2n-6 4.42, 18:3n-3 1.98, EPA 17.26,
20 DHA 12.64; PO (fatty acids, % TFA): 16:0, 39.48, SFA 45.75, MUFA 37.18, 18:2n-6 15.46,
21 18:3n-3 0.34; RO (fatty acids, % TFA): SFA 9.11, 18:1n-9, 57.42, 18:2n-6 20.17, 18:3n-3 8.58; SO
22 (fatty acids, % TFA): SFA 15.98, MUFA, 29.54, 18:2n-6 47.55, 18:3n-3 5.34; LO (fatty acids, %
23 TFA): SFA 12.43, MUFA, 20.68, 18:2n-6 16.47, 18:3n-3 48.81; Fish oil, krill oil, palm oil, and
24 linseed oil were purchased from Ningbo Tech-Bank Feed Co., Ltd. (Ningbo, China), Kangjing
25 Marine Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Qingdao, China), Longwei grain oil industry Co., Ltd. (Tianjin,
26 China) and Longshang farm agricultural development Co., Ltd. (Gansu, China), respectively.
27 Rapeseed oil and soybean oil both obtained from Yihai Kerry Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

28 [3] Soybean lecithin was purchased from Ningbo Tech-Bank Feed Co., Ltd. Ningbo, China. Acetone
29 insoluble $\geq$ 60%; Acid value $\leq$ 35 mg KOH/g; Ether-insoluble matter $\leq$ 1%.

30 [4] Vitamin premix supplied the diet with (g/kg premix): retinyl acetate, 2,500,000 IU; cholecalciferol,
31 500,000 IU; all-rac-a-tocopherol, 25,000 IU; menadione, 5.63; thiamine, 11.25; riboflavin, 9.5;
32 ascorbic acid, 95; pyridoxine hydrochloride, 10; cyanocobalamin, 0.02; folic acid, 2; biotin, 0.375;
33 nicotinic acid, 37.5; D-Ca pantothenate, 21.5; inositol, 80; antioxidant, 0.5; corn starch, 696.775.

34 [5] Mineral mixture (g/kg premix): $FeC_6H_5O_7$, 4.57; $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 9.43; $MnSO_4 \cdot H_2O$ (99%), 4.14;
35 $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ (99%), 6.61; $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ (99%), 238.97; KH_2PO_4, 233.2; NaH_2PO_4, 137.03;
36 $C_6H_{10}CaO_6 \cdot 5H_2O$ (98%), 34.09; $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (99%), 1.36.

37

38

39 **SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 2**

40 Fatty acid composition (% total fatty acids) of the experimental diets

Fatty acid	Dietary lipid sources					
	FO	KO	PO	RO	SO	LO
14:0	6.58	8.54	2.76	1.37	1.68	1.72
16:0	18.47	22.78	29.42	10.27	12.84	11.20
18:0	5.42	4.37	4.86	2.43	4.56	5.31
20:0	0.52	0.42	0.64	0.54	0.61	0.56
22:0	0.24	0.25	0.38	0.44	0.43	0.49
24:0	0.31	0.22	0.39	0.37	0.42	0.30
SFA [1]	31.54	36.58	38.45	15.42	20.54	19.58
16:1n-7	4.13	3.87	2.87	2.04	2.31	1.99
18:1n-9	22.44	16.44	24.16	38.45	18.51	17.02
20:1n-9	1.78	0.59	0.95	1.24	0.49	0.54
22:1n-9	0.37	0.57	0.22	0.34	0.36	0.47
MUFA [2]	28.72	21.47	28.2	42.07	21.67	20.02
18:2n-6	13.34	15.31	19.47	24.52	42.93	21.56
20:2n-6	0.84	0.50	0.27	0.22	0.32	0.42
20:3n-6	0.26	0.28	0.29	0.24	0.31	0.28
20:4n-6	0.96	0.43	0.43	0.73	0.51	0.69
22:5n-6	0.12	0.08	0.12	0.14	0.16	0.15
n-6 PUFA [3]	15.52	16.60	20.58	25.85	44.23	23.10
18:3n-3	4.39	5.84	4.65	6.47	5.80	27.73
18:4n-3	0.88	1.12	0.51	0.54	0.47	0.54
20:3n-3	0.24	0.35	0.22	0.27	0.16	0.15
20:5n-3	7.94	8.71	3.23	3.41	3.26	3.85
22:5n-3	0.42	0.35	0.18	0.16	0.13	0.24
22:6n-3	7.42	7.54	3.19	3.29	3.18	3.69
n-3 PUFA [4]	21.29	23.91	11.98	14.14	13.00	36.20
n-3 LC-PUFA [5]	16.02	16.95	6.82	7.13	6.73	7.93

n-3 PUFA/n-6 PUFA [6]	1.37	1.44	0.58	0.55	0.29	1.57

41 Some fatty acids, of which the contents are minor, trace amount or not detected, such as 8:0, 12:0,
42 13:0, 15:0, 14:1n-7, 18:3n-6 and 20:5n-6 were not listed in the Supplementary Table 2. FO, fish oil;
43 KO, krill oil; PO, palm oil; RO, rapeseed oil; SO, soybean oil; LO, linseed oil.

44 [1] SFA, saturated fatty acids.

45 [2] MUFA, mono-unsaturated fatty acids.

46 [3] n-6 PUFA, n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids.

47 [4] n-3 PUFA, n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids.

48 [5] n-3 LC-PUFA, n-3 long chain poly-unsaturated fatty acid.

49 [6] n-3 PUFA/n-6 PUFA: the ratio of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids to n-6 polyunsaturated fatty
50 acids.

51 **SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 3**

52 Proximate composition (% wet weight) in muscle of juvenile swimming crab fed different dietary lipid sources (n=3)

Parameter	Dietary lipid sources					
	FO	KO	PO	RO	SO	LO
Moisture	79.38 ± 0.30	79.30 ± 0.28	79.74 ± 0.35	79.78 ± 0.43	79.42 ± 0.33	79.51 ± 0.30
Protein	16.72 ± 0.04^{c}	16.78 ± 0.07^{c}	16.31 ± 0.05^{a}	16.33 ± 0.05^{a}	16.44 ± 0.08^{b}	16.50 ± 0.11^{b}
Lipid	0.69 ± 0.04^{b}	0.68 ± 0.02^{b}	0.64 ± 0.05^{b}	0.57 ± 0.03^{a}	0.83 ± 0.05^{c}	0.64 ± 0.00^{b}
Ash	3.21 ± 0.04	3.24 ± 0.03	3.31 ± 0.05	3.32 ± 0.04	3.31 ± 0.04	3.25 ± 0.03

53 Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (n = 3). Values in the same line with different superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$). FO, fish oil;

54 KO, krill oil; PO, palm oil; RO, rapeseed oil; SO, soybean oil; LO, linseed oil.

55

56

57