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#### Abstract

We propose a comprehensive approach to obtain systems of equations that discretize linear stationary or time-harmonic elliptic problems in unbounded domains. This is achieved by coupling any numerical method that fits co-chain calculus with a Trefftz method.

The framework of co-chain calculus accommodates both finite element exterior calculus and discrete exterior calculus. It encompasses methods based on volume meshes: its application is therefore confined to bounded domains.

Conversely, Trefftz methods are based on functions that solve the homogeneous equations exactly in the unbounded complement of the meshed domain, while satisfying suitable conditions at infinity. An example of a Trefftz method is the Multiple Multipole Program (MMP), which makes use of multipoles, i.e. solutions spawned by point sources with central singularities that are placed outside the domain of approximation. In our approach the degrees of freedom describing these sources can be eliminated by computing the Schur complement of the system for the coupling, therefore leading to a boundary term for co-chain calculus that takes into account the exterior problem.

As a concrete example, we specialize this general framework for the cell method, a particular variant of discrete exterior calculus, coupled with MMP to solve frequency-domain eddy-current problems. A numerical experiment shows


[^0]the effectiveness of this approach.
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## 1. Introduction

The framework of co-chain calculus [1, 2] allows for a unified treatment of a wide class of finite element and finite volume schemes, building on the foundation established by other works like [3. This framework is the generalization of 5 both Finite Element Exterior Calculus (FEEC) [4] and Discrete Exterior Calculus (DEC) [5, 6]. The degrees of freedom of the former are coefficients of an expansion in terms of piecewise polynomials built on a mesh: what one obtains is a function approximating the unknown in the chosen functional space, in the way of Finite Element Methods (FEM). Conversely, DEC operates on values of the unknown on entities of (primal and dual) meshes, something more akin to finite difference or finite volume methods.

The starting point of co-chain calculus is a linear stationary or time-harmonic elliptic boundary value problem, expressed in terms of differential forms and Hodge operators (see Section 22. In particular, we distinguish between equi15 librium equations, stated by means of the exterior derivative, and constitutive equations, involving Hodge operators. In the discrete setting, based on meshes, Hodge operators are approximated by matrices, whose construction is done differently in FEEC and DEC, as discussed in [1, Section 4]. However, the discrete matrix forms of co-chain calculus need to respect only a few algebraic requirements, independent of the details of the approximation. These are only addressed when the framework of co-chain calculus is specialized into a numerical method.

[^1]Moreover, in order to include both FEEC and DEC, the discrete formalism of co-chain calculus is based on both a primary and a secondary mesh on a This leads to numerical schemes fitting the framework of DEC, which are called generalized finite volume methods in [2], generalized finite differences in [3], and cell method in 7.

### 1.1. Cell Method

The cell method, established by [7], relies on a pair of meshes for the spatial discretization of boundary value problems: one mesh being the dual of the other. A Delaunay-Voronoi subdivision for the dual mesh is proposed in [8], whereas barycentric dual meshes are used in 9, 10, 11, 12 .

Degrees of freedom of the cell method are integrals on entities of the primal 40 and dual meshes. In the context of electromagnetics, where the cell method has long been used, examples are fluxes of the magnetic flux density on primal faces or line integrals of the magnetic field on dual edges [8]. This allows rewriting differential operators applied to fields in integral form as incidence matrices between integrals on entities of the meshes, by using Stokes' theorem [13, p. 31].

45 In this way, equilibrium equations can be enforced exactly.
Basis functions are required to interpolate fields locally in terms of these integral degrees of freedom and then approximate the material laws (constitutive equations). With the choice of Whitney basis functions, the matrices of FEEC can be recovered [7, 8. Piecewise-constant basis functions [14] are used in

50 [11, 12] to interpolate on generic mesh entities (any polyhedron is allowed [15]), thus leading to more applications than FEM. Moreover, 9] overcomes some limitations of the original cell method, namely how to take into account boundary
conditions and energetic quantities, by means of augmented dual meshes.
Starting from [9, the cell method has been coupled with the Boundary
55 Element Method (BEM) for magnetostatic [11] and eddy-current problems [12]. Both works end up with symmetric linear systems analogous to the one explored in this work (Section 3.2), which can be handled by iterative solvers. However, those systems also contain a large, dense diagonal block due to BEM, whose inverse cannot be computed explicitly [16] if one wants to reduce the size of the final coupling system by a Schur complement approach. On the other hand, when coupled with techniques based on volume meshes, Trefftz methods lead to small and, for some configurations, even diagonal blocks.

### 1.2. Trefftz Methods

Trefftz methods seek to approximate the solution of boundary value problems in (unbounded) domains by means of global basis functions that solve the homogeneous equations of the problem exactly and satisfy suitable conditions at infinity [17.

Specifically, the Multiple Multipole Program (MMP) employs multipoles, which are solutions spawned by point sources with central singularities that 70 are placed outside the domain of approximation [18. This is why MMP belongs to the class of methods of auxiliary sources. In a spherical coordinate system with shifted origin, a multipole can be factored into a radial part, which includes a singularity at the new origin (the center of the multipole) and the desired behavior at infinity, and a spherical part, formulated in terms of (vector) spherical harmonics 19.

The discrete equations of a Trefftz method arise from imposing boundary conditions on hypersurfaces, and the obtained linear combination of Trefftz basis functions gives an approximate solution in the whole domain where the equations hold. The discrete equations are obtained by collocation and generally yield an ill-conditioned overdetermined system [18]. However, Trefftz functions can be made orthogonal by a change of basis [20] or by choosing them orthogonal in the first place (see Section 4 ).

Trefftz methods have already been coupled with FEM in 21, 22, for Poisson's equation and [23] for Maxwell's equations. The coupling proposed in this alization of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann-based (DtN-based) coupling presented in [21, Section 3.2].

### 1.3. Outline of This Work

Section 2 presents co-chain calculus for a linear stationary or time-harmonic elliptic boundary value problem, while Section 2.1 illustrates its coupling with a Trefftz method. Section 2.2 replaces the equations for the exterior problem with a simpler, but equivalent expression, given some conditions on the topology of the Trefftz domain that can always be satisfied.

Correspondingly, Section 3 specializes Section 2 for the cell method applied to frequency-domain eddy-current problems, while Section 3.1 illustrates its coupling with a Trefftz method. Section 3.2 solves the exterior problem with a magnetic scalar potential, special case of the approach of Section 2.2, and gives explicit formulas for the related Trefftz functions (which are multipoles).

Finally, numerical tests based on the formulation of Section 3.2 are reported in Section 4.

## 2. Co-Chain Calculus for Elliptic Boundary Value Problems

We write $\Lambda^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for the space of differential forms of order $l, 0 \leq l \leq n$, in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ 4, p. 13, Section 2.2].

The statement of an elliptic boundary value problem is composed of two sets of equations. One is the set of equilibrium equations

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} u & =(-1)^{l} \boldsymbol{\sigma}  \tag{1a}\\
\mathrm{~d} \mathbf{j} & =\psi
\end{align*}\right.
$$

connecting the differential forms $u \in \Lambda^{l-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \Lambda^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \mathbf{j} \in \Lambda^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, $\psi \in \Lambda^{m+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for $l \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $m:=n-l$. The other set is formed by the constitutive equations

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\mathbf{j} & =\star_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma},  \tag{1b}\\
\psi & =\star_{\gamma} u .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The symbols $\star_{\alpha}$ and $\star_{\gamma}$ indicate Hodge operators, which supply linear mappings $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ : if $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is equipped with Cartesian coordinates, these metrics can be represented by Hermitian positive-definit $\rrbracket^{1}$ matrix fields.

The problem is completed by the condition at infinity [24, p. 259, Theorem 8.9]

$$
\|u(\mathbf{x})\|=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
C \log \|\mathbf{x}\|+\mathcal{O}\left(\|\mathbf{x}\|^{-1}\right), C \in \mathbb{C} & \text { if } n=2  \tag{2}\\
\mathcal{O}\left(\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2-n}\right) & \text { if } n \geq 3
\end{array} \text { for }\|\mathbf{x}\| \rightarrow \infty\right. \text { uniformly. }
$$

For the sake of simplicity, we consider a bounded domain $\Omega_{\star}$ such that, in the complement $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega_{\star}$, we have constant $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Let us also introduce $\Omega \supset \Omega_{\star}$, in whose complement $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega$ we are given a known nonzero excitation ( $l-1$ )-form $w$ such that $\left.u\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega}=v+w$, with $v, w \in \Lambda^{l-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega\right)$ and $v$ solving the homogeneous problem. The field $w$ will enter the right-hand side of the system.

Furthermore, we assume that $\gamma=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega$, which implies $\left.\psi\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega}=0$ and $\left.\mathrm{dj}\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega}=0$ from 1 ab and 1 bb .

Next, we eliminate all other variables except for $u$ in $\Omega$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} u\right)=(-1)^{l} \mathrm{~d}\left(\star_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right)=(-1)^{l} \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{j}=(-1)^{l} \psi=(-1)^{l} \star_{\gamma} u \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{l-1} \mathrm{~d}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} u\right)+\star_{\gamma} u=0 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]Multiplication with $\eta \in \Lambda^{l-1}(\Omega)$ and integration on $\Omega$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left[(-1)^{l-1} \mathrm{~d}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} u\right)+\star_{\gamma} u\right] \wedge \eta=0 \quad \forall \eta \in \Lambda^{l-1}(\Omega) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the $\wedge$-product with $\eta$ and integrating by parts [2, p. 254, (6)], we then obtain the weak formulation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} u \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta+\star_{\gamma} u \wedge \eta\right)+(-1)^{l-1} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} u\right) \wedge \mathbf{t} \eta=0 \quad \forall \eta \in \Lambda^{l-1}(\Omega) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{t}: \Lambda^{l}(\Omega) \rightarrow \Lambda^{l}(\Gamma)$ is the (tangential, Dirichlet) trace of $l$-forms for any $l \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ on $\Gamma:=\partial \Omega$.

### 2.1. Coupling with a Trefftz Method through an (l-1)-Form

We discretize Hodge operators inside $\Omega$ (approximation of constitutive equations), while we use Trefftz functions in the complement $\Omega_{T}:=\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \Omega$, i.e. functions that belong to the Trefftz space

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right):=\{ & v \in \Lambda^{l-1}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right): \quad \mathrm{d}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} v\right)=0, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}  \tag{7}\\
& v \text { satisfies the condition at infinity } 2\}\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Transmission conditions are required between $\Omega$ and $\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}$ [25, p. 107, Lemma 5.3]:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\mathbf{t}\left(\left.\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} u\right|_{\Omega}\right) & =\mathbf{t}\left(\left.\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} u\right|_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}}\right)  \tag{8a}\\
\left.\mathbf{t} u\right|_{\Omega} & =\left.\mathbf{t} u\right|_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}}
\end{align*} \quad \text { on } \Gamma .\right.
$$

From now on, with a small abuse of notation, we refer to $\left.u\right|_{\Omega}$ as $u$. We also write
$\left.u\right|_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}}:=v+w$, where $v \in \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ and $w$ is the known excitation ( $l-1$ )-form.
We then plug (8a) into (6) and impose 8b) weakly with test functions in $\mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ to obtain the system for the coupling:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Seek } u \in \Lambda^{l-1}(\Omega), \quad v \in \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right): \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} u \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta+\star_{\gamma} u \wedge \eta\right)+(-1)^{l-1} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} v\right) \wedge \mathbf{t} \eta=(-1)^{l} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} w\right) \wedge \mathbf{t} \eta \\
(-1)^{l-1} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \zeta\right) \wedge \mathbf{t} u-(-1)^{l-1} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \zeta\right) \wedge \mathbf{t} v=(-1)^{l-1} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \zeta\right) \wedge \mathbf{t} w \\
\quad \forall \eta \in \Lambda^{l-1}(\Omega), \forall \zeta \in \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right) .
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose primary and secondary discretization meshes which can be unrelated [2, p. 250, Definition 2.2]. From now on, quantities related to the secondary mesh are tagged by a tilde. Then, with the discrete counterpart of the integration by parts formula used in (6), we can rewrite (9) in abstract algebraic form

$$
\begin{array}{|ccc|}
\hline\left[\left(\mathbf{D}^{l-1}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{M}_{\alpha}^{l} \mathbf{D}^{l-1}+\mathbf{M}_{\gamma}^{l-1}\right] \overrightarrow{\mathbf{u}}+(-1)^{l-1}\left(\mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}^{l-1}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{m, \Gamma}^{l-1} \mathbf{P}_{\Gamma} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}} & =(-1)^{l}\left(\mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}^{l-1}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{m, \Gamma}^{l-1} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \\
(-1)^{l-1} \mathbf{P}_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{m, \Gamma}^{l-1}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}^{l-1} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{u}}- & \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}} & =(-1)^{l-1} \mathbf{P}_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{m, \Gamma}^{l-1}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{w}}  \tag{10}\\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

using the following terms:

- The exterior-derivative matrix $\mathbf{D}^{l-1} \in\{-1,0,1\}^{N_{l}, N_{l-1}}$, with $N_{l}$ number of $l$-dimensional entities of the primary mesh, is the incidence matrix between oriented $l$ - and $(l-1)$-dimensional entities.
- Mass matrices $\mathbf{M}_{\alpha}^{l} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{l}, N_{l}}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\gamma}^{l-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{l-1}, N_{l-1}}$ need to be square, Hermitian, and positive-definit $\ell^{2}$ 2, p. 254]. They can be viewed as discrete Hodge operators.
- We use a vector notation for the coefficient vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{l-1}}$, whose entries are related to integrals of $u \in \Lambda^{l-1}(\Omega)$ over the $(l-1)$-dimensional entities of the primary mesh. These integrals are regarded as degrees of freedom.
- The trace matrix $\mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}^{l-1} \in\{0,1\}^{N_{l-1}^{\text {bin }}, N_{l-1}}$, with $N_{l-1}^{\text {bnd }}$ number of $(l-1)$ dimensional primary mesh entities $\subset \Gamma$, selects the degrees of freedom on $\Gamma$.
- The pairing matrix $\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{m, \Gamma}^{l-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{l-1}^{\text {bnd }}, \widetilde{N}_{m}^{\text {bnd }}}$ is a discrete representative of the $\wedge$-product $\int_{\Gamma} f \wedge g, f \in \Lambda^{l-1}(\Omega), g \in \Lambda^{m}(\Omega)$. Pairing matrices need to fulfill the algebraic relationship [2], p. 254]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{m}^{l}=(-1)^{l m}\left(\mathbf{K}_{l}^{m}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \Longleftrightarrow \mathbf{K}_{l}^{m}=(-1)^{l m}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{m}^{l}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]for any $l \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, m:=n-l$.

- We call $\mathbf{P}_{\Gamma} \in \mathbb{C}^{\widetilde{N}_{m}^{\text {bnd }}, N_{\mathrm{T}}}$ Dirichlet-to-Neumann (DtN) matrix, with $N_{\mathrm{T}}$ dimension of the discrete Trefftz space $\mathcal{T}_{n}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \in \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$. Comparing (9) and (10), it stands clear that the role of $\mathbf{P}_{\Gamma}$ is to connect the discrete representations of $v$ and $\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} v$ (the latter expressed only by degrees of freedom on $\Gamma$ ).
- $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\mathrm{T}}}$ is the vector of expansion coefficients of $v \in \mathcal{T}_{n}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ with respect to a basis of the discrete Trefftz space.
- $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{l-1}^{\text {bnd }}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{N}_{m}^{\text {bnd }}}$ are known vectors determined by integrals of the excitation $(l-1)$-form $w$ on $(l-1)$-entities of the primary mesh and by $\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} w$ on $m$-entities of the secondary mesh, respectively.
- $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\mathrm{T}}, N_{\mathrm{T}}}$ is the energy matrix in $\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}$, another discrete Hodge operator. This interpretation is clarified below.

We deduce an expression with the energy matrix in $\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}$ based on the discrete form of (6), which is also given in [2, p. 255, Primary elimination, (12)]. There, the left-hand side of the resulting linear system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(\mathbf{D}^{l-1}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{M}_{\alpha}^{l} \mathbf{D}^{l-1}+\mathbf{M}_{\gamma}^{l-1}+\left(\mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}^{l-1}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{M}_{\beta, \Gamma}^{l-1} \mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}^{l-1}\right] \overrightarrow{\mathbf{u}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{M}_{\beta, \Gamma}^{l-1}$ is an abstract boundary-energy term related to the $\operatorname{DtN}$ operator.
To arrive at an expression involving $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\mathrm{T}}, N_{\mathrm{T}}}$, we note that the total number of degrees of freedom of the Trefftz discretization, $N_{\mathrm{T}}$, is generally low because, under certain conditions, Trefftz methods enjoy exponential convergence [21, 26]. Thus, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}}$ can easily be inverted by Gaussian elimination, and we can write the Schur complement of 10 :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[\left(\mathbf{D}^{l-1}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{M}_{\alpha}^{l} \mathbf{D}^{l-1}+\mathbf{M}_{\gamma}^{l-1}+\left(\mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}^{l-1}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{m, \Gamma}^{l-1} \mathbf{P}_{\Gamma} \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}}^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{m, \Gamma}^{l-1}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}^{l-1}\right] \overrightarrow{\mathbf{u}}=} \\
(-1)^{l}\left(\mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}^{l-1}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{m, \Gamma}^{l-1} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\omega}}+\left(\mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}^{l-1}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{m, \Gamma}^{l-1} \mathbf{P}_{\Gamma} \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}}^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{m, \Gamma}^{l-1}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{w}} . \tag{13}
\end{array}
$$

We can now compare the left-hand side of with the generic discrete system (12), write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{\beta, \Gamma}^{l-1} \equiv \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{m, \Gamma}^{l-1} \mathbf{P}_{\Gamma} \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}}^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{m, \Gamma}^{l-1}\right)^{\mathrm{H}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and associate the boundary-energy term of 13 with the energy in $\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}$ : both matrices are discrete Hodge operators, like mass matrices $\mathbf{M}_{\alpha}^{l}, \mathbf{M}_{\gamma}^{l-1}$. More details on this association are given in the next paragraph.

Remark. The system (9) can also be derived by finding a stationary point of the functional

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}(u, v):= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} u \wedge \mathrm{~d} u+\star_{\gamma} u \wedge u\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}} \star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d}(v+w) \wedge \mathrm{d}(v+w)+ \\
& (-1)^{l-1} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t}\left[\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d}(v+w)\right] \wedge \mathbf{t} u \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

with $u \in \Lambda^{l-1}(\Omega)$ and $v, w \in \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$, where $w$ is the known excitation $(l-1)$ form.

The first integral in 15 expresses the energy of (4) in $\Omega$, the second the energy in $\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}$ (given $\gamma=0$ in $\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}$ ). From the conditions for a stationary point of $\mathcal{L}$, we obtain the coupled problem in variational form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Seek } u \in \Lambda^{l-1}(\Omega), \quad v \in \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right): \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} u \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta+\star_{\gamma} u \wedge \eta\right)+(-1)^{l-1} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} v\right) \wedge \mathbf{t} \eta=(-1)^{l} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} w\right) \wedge \mathbf{t} \eta, \\
(-1)^{l-1} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \zeta\right) \wedge \mathbf{t} u+\quad \int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}} \star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \zeta \wedge \mathrm{~d} v \quad=\int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}} \star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \zeta \wedge \mathrm{~d} w \\
\quad \forall \eta \in \Lambda^{l-1}(\Omega), \forall \zeta \in \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

The same expression as $(9)$ is obtained by noticing that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}} \star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \zeta \wedge \mathrm{~d} v=-(-1)^{l-1} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \zeta\right) \wedge \mathbf{t} v  \tag{17a}\\
& \int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}} \star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \zeta \wedge \mathrm{~d} w=-(-1)^{l-1} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \zeta\right) \wedge \mathbf{t} w \tag{17b}
\end{align*}
$$

which hold by integration by parts because of $v, w \in \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$. Note that 17a shows that $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}}$, which is its discrete representation, is a Hermitian positivea hybrid system for $u \in \Lambda^{l-1}(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \Lambda^{m}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ (with an abuse of notation) and introduce a potential form $\pi \in \Lambda^{m-1}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$, which replaces the unknown $v \in \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \in \Lambda^{l-1}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ in the exterior problem ${ }^{3}$.

Based on 1a and 1bl, we can write that $\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} v=(-1)^{l} \mathbf{j}$ in $\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}$. 16 can therefore be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Seek } u \in \Lambda^{l-1}(\Omega), \quad \mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right): \\
& \begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} u \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta+\star_{\gamma} u\right. & \wedge \eta)-\int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t} \mathbf{j} \wedge \mathbf{t} \eta=(-1)^{l} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} w\right) \wedge \mathbf{t} \eta \\
-\int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t} \iota & \wedge \mathbf{t} u+\int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}} \boldsymbol{\iota} \wedge \star_{\alpha^{-1}} \mathbf{j}=(-1)^{l} \int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}} \iota
\end{aligned} \mathrm{~d} w \\
& \forall \eta \in \Lambda^{l-1}(\Omega), \forall \iota \in \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{j}, \iota$ belong to the same Trefftz space $\sqrt[7]{7}$, after applying the transformation $v \rightarrow(-1)^{l} \star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} v$ for functions $v \in \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$.

Let us now take $\pi, \tau \in \Lambda^{m-1}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ such that, in $\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{j}=\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \pi$ and $\boldsymbol{\iota}=$ $\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \tau$. This means that the new Trefftz space of functions that solve the exterior problem exactly is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right):=\{ & v \in \Lambda^{m-1}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right): \quad \mathrm{d}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} v\right)=0, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}  \tag{19}\\
& v \text { satisfies the condition at infinity } 2\}
\end{align*}
$$

[^4]where $(m-1)$-forms are used instead of $(l-1)$-forms as in 7 ).
System (18) then becomes
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Seek } u \in \Lambda^{l-1}(\Omega), \quad \pi \in \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right): \\
& \begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} u\right. & \left.\wedge \mathrm{d} \eta+\star_{\gamma} u \wedge \eta\right)-\int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \pi\right) \wedge \mathbf{t} \eta=(-1)^{l} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} w\right) \wedge \mathbf{t} \eta \\
& -\int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{t}\left(\star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \wedge \mathbf{t} u+\int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}} \star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \tau \wedge \mathrm{~d} \pi=(-1)^{l} \int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}} \star_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \tau \wedge \mathrm{~d} w \\
\forall \eta & \in \Lambda^{l-1}(\Omega), \forall \tau \in \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where we can replace the integrals in $\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}$ with integrals on $\Gamma$, similarly to 17 .

## 3. Cell Method for Eddy-Current Problems

We specialize the problem of Section 2 for a frequency-domain eddy-current problem in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, which amounts to the case $l=2$ and $m=1$. The electromagnetic fields involved - expressed with the customary notations - are the magnetic vector potential $\mathbf{A}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{3}$, the magnetic flux density $\mathbf{B}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{3}$, the magnetic field $\mathbf{H}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{3}$, and the current density $\mathbf{j}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{3}$. They correspond to the differential forms $u \in \Lambda^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, $\mathbf{j} \in \Lambda^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, $\psi \in \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ of Section 2 .

We also make use of material parameters $\nu, \sigma: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (reluctivity and conductivity) and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ (angular frequency). Written in Euclidean vector proxies of the forms [27, Section 2.2], the equilibrium equations become

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla \times \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{B}  \tag{21a}\\
\nabla \times \mathbf{H}=\mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the constitutive equations

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H} & =\nu \mathbf{B}  \tag{21b}\\
\mathbf{j} & =-\imath \omega \sigma \mathbf{A}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\imath$ is the imaginary unit. While $\omega$ is constant, the material parameters $\nu, \sigma: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ generally vary in space. Note that $\nu$ and $-\imath \omega \sigma$ correspond to the Hodge operators $\star_{\alpha}$ and $\star_{\gamma}$ of Section 2 .

The problem is completed ${ }^{4}$ by the radiation condition [24, p. 259, Theorem 8.9]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{A}\|=\mathcal{O}\left(\|\mathbf{x}\|^{-1}\right) \quad \text { for }\|\mathbf{x}\| \rightarrow \infty \text { uniformly } \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider a domain $\Omega_{\star}$ such that, in the complement $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \Omega_{\star}$, we also have constant $\nu, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}\left(\nu=\nu_{\mathrm{T}}\right.$ and $\left.\sigma=\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$. Let us also introduce $\Omega \supset \Omega_{\star}, \Gamma:=\partial \Omega$, in whose complement $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \Omega$ there is a known nonzero source $\mathbf{A}_{0}$, corresponding to the excitation $(l-1)$-form $w$ of Section 2 , which is given by the Biot-Savart law [13, p. 180, (5.28)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}_{0}(\mathbf{x}):=\frac{\mu_{0}}{4 \pi} \int_{\Omega_{0}} \frac{\mathbf{j}_{0}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)}{\left\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\|} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{0}=4 \pi \cdot 10^{-7} \mathrm{Hm}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{j}_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is the source current with support in some bounded $\Omega_{0}$ and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_{0}=0$.

Furthermore, we assume that $\sigma=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \Omega$, which implies $\left.\mathbf{j}\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \Omega}=0$ and $\nabla \times\left.\mathbf{H}\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \Omega}=\mathbf{0}$ from 21 a and 21 b .

Next, we eliminate all other variables except for $\mathbf{A}$ in $\Omega$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times(\nu \nabla \times \mathbf{A})+\imath \omega \sigma \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{0} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the weak form of (24), we end up with a special case of (6), which we discretize following the cell methoq ${ }^{5}$ by choosing a primal mesh $\mathcal{M}$ (and corresponding barycentric dual mesh $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ ) in $\Omega$.

Borrowing the notation from [9, 11, 12, we write the weak form of (24) in discrete form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{C}^{\top} \mathbf{M}_{\nu} \mathbf{C}+\imath \omega \mathbf{M}_{\sigma}\right) \overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}}+\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\Gamma} \widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{\Gamma}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $\mathbf{C} \in\{-1,0,1\}^{N_{\text {faces }}, N_{\text {edges }}}$ is the incidence matrix from edges to faces of the primal mesh $\mathcal{M}$ in $\Omega$ (discrete curl). It corresponds to the exteriorderivative matrix $\mathbf{D}^{l-1}$ in 10 .

[^5]- $\mathbf{M}_{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text {faces }}, N_{\text {faces }}}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text {edges }}, N_{\text {edges }}}$ have entries $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\nu}\right)_{i, j}=\int_{\Omega} \nu(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{b}_{i}^{f}(\mathbf{x})$. $\mathbf{b}_{j}^{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}$ and $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\sigma}\right)_{i, j}=\int_{\Omega} \sigma(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{b}_{i}^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{b}_{j}^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}$, with $\mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{f}}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{e}} \in \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)$ piecewise-constant basis functions [14 that are linked to the intersections of, respectively, faces and edges between $\mathcal{M}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\nu}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\sigma}$ correspond to the mass matrices $\mathbf{M}_{\alpha}^{l}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\gamma}^{l-1}$.
- $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\text {edges }}}$ is the vector of line integrals of $\mathbf{A}$ on the edges of $\mathcal{M}$. It corresponds to vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{u}}$.
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\Gamma} \in\{-1,0,1\}^{\widetilde{N}_{\text {faces }}, \widetilde{N}_{\text {edges }}^{\text {bnd }}}$ is the incidence matrix from boundary edges to faces of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ (faces of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ are related to edges of $\mathcal{M}$ because of duality). It corresponds to the matrix product $(-1)^{l-1}\left(\mathbf{T}_{\Gamma}^{l-1}\right)^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{m, \Gamma}^{l-1}$.
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{\Gamma} \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{N}_{\text {edges }}^{\text {bnd }}}$ is the vector of line integrals of $\mathbf{H}$ on the boundary edges of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. It is a coupling term with the Trefftz domain.


### 3.1. Coupling with a Trefftz Method through the Magnetic Vector Potential

In the complement $\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}:=\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \Omega$ we use Trefftz functions in

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right):=\left\{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbf{c u r l}, \Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right): \nabla \times(\nabla \times \mathbf{v})=\mathbf{0}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}=0,\right.  \tag{26}\\
&\mathbf{v} \text { satisfies the radiation condition } 22\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbf{c u r l}, \Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right):=\left\{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}\left(\mathbf{c u r l}, \Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right): f \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}\left(\mathbf{c u r l}, \Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \forall f \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\right\}$.
Transmission conditions become

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\mathbf{n} \times\left(\nu \nabla \times\left.\mathbf{A}\right|_{\Omega}\right) & =\mathbf{n} \times\left(\nu_{\mathrm{T}} \nabla \times\left.\mathbf{A}\right|_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}}\right)  \tag{27a}\\
\mathbf{n} \times\left.\mathbf{A}\right|_{\Omega} & =\mathbf{n} \times\left.\mathbf{A}\right|_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}}
\end{align*} \quad \text { on } \Gamma,\right.
$$

where $\mathbf{n}$ is the normal vector on $\Gamma$ pointing from $\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}$ to $\Omega$.
$\left.\mathbf{A}\right|_{\Omega}$ is discretized by the cell method and $\left.\mathbf{A}\right|_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}}=\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{A}_{0}$, where $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{T}} \in$ $\mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ and $\mathbf{A}_{0}$ is the known source 23 .

We define a DtN matrix $\mathbf{P}_{\Gamma} \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{N}_{\text {edgese }}^{\text {bnd }}, N_{\mathrm{T}}}$ such that $\mathbf{P}_{\Gamma} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}}=\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{\Gamma}$, with $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\mathrm{T}}}$ vector of coefficients of Trefftz functions in the discrete space $\boldsymbol{T}_{n}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal { T }}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ of dimension $N_{\mathrm{T}}$, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{P}_{\Gamma}\right)_{i, j}:=\nu_{\mathrm{T}} \int_{\ell_{i}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{v}_{j}\right) \mathrm{d} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{s}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell_{i}, i=1, \ldots, \widetilde{N}_{\text {edges }}^{\mathrm{bnd}}$, is an edge of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ on $\Gamma, \tau$ its tangential vector, and $\mathbf{v}_{j}, j=1, \ldots, N_{\mathrm{T}}$, the Trefftz basis functions in $\mathcal{T}_{n}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$.

We then plug (27a into 25 by means of 28, impose 27b weakly by multiplying it with $\mathbf{P}_{\Gamma}^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\Gamma}^{\top}$, and obtain the final discrete system

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathbf{C}^{\top} \mathbf{M}_{\nu} \mathbf{C}+\imath \omega \mathbf{M}_{\sigma}\right) \overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}}+\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\Gamma} \mathbf{P}_{\Gamma} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}} & =\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\Gamma} \widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{0, \Gamma}  \tag{29}\\
\mathbf{P}_{\Gamma}^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\Gamma}^{\top} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}}-\quad \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}} & =\mathbf{P}_{\Gamma}^{\top} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{b}}_{0, \Gamma}
\end{align*}
$$

which is a special case of 10 . $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{0, \Gamma} \in \mathbb{R}^{\widetilde{N}_{\text {edges }}^{\text {bnd }}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{b}}_{0, \Gamma} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text {faces }}^{\text {bnd }}}$ are, respectively, the vector of line integrals of $\mathbf{H}_{0}:=\nu_{\mathrm{T}} \nabla \times \mathbf{A}_{0}$ on boundary edges of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ and the vector of fluxes of $\mathbf{B}_{0}:=\nabla \times \mathbf{A}_{0}$ on boundary faces of $\mathcal{M}$.

Thanks to the integral expression for the general case (9), $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}}$ has entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{i, j}:=\nu_{\mathrm{T}} \int_{\Gamma}\left[\mathbf{n} \times\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{v}_{i}\right)\right] \cdot \mathbf{v}_{j} \mathrm{~d} S=-\nu_{\mathrm{T}} \int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}}\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{v}_{i}\right) \cdot\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{v}_{j}\right) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the second equality holds because of the definition of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { T }}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right) 26$. Finally, the Schur complement of 29 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{C}^{\top} \mathbf{M}_{\nu} \mathbf{C}+\imath \omega \mathbf{M}_{\sigma}+\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\Gamma} \mathbf{P}_{\Gamma} \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}}^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{\Gamma}^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\Gamma}^{\top}\right) \overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}}=\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\Gamma} \widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{0, \Gamma}+\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\Gamma} \mathbf{P}_{\Gamma} \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}}^{-1} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{b}}_{0, \Gamma} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2. Coupling with a Trefftz Method through the Magnetic Scalar Potential

We can simplify the matrices in (31) by imposing $\beta_{1}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)=0$ [27, p. 252, Lemma 2.2] (compare with Section 2.2, which is always allowed because $\Gamma$ is defined by the user. Hence, we introduce a magnetic scalar potential $\phi$ in $\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}$, which corresponds to $\pi \in \Lambda^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ of Section 2.2. The constitutive equations for the exterior problem become

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H} & =\nu_{\mathrm{T}} \nabla \phi  \tag{32a}\\
\nabla^{2} \phi & =0
\end{align*} \quad \text { in } \Omega_{\mathrm{T}},\right.
$$

with the radiation condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\mathcal{O}\left(\|\mathbf{x}\|^{-1}\right) \quad \text { for }\|\mathbf{x}\| \rightarrow \infty \text { uniformly } \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Transmission conditions are

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\mathbf{n} \times\left(\nu \nabla \times\left.\mathbf{A}\right|_{\Omega}\right) & =\mathbf{n} \times\left(\left.\nu_{\mathrm{T}} \nabla \phi\right|_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}}\right)  \tag{34a}\\
\mathbf{n} \cdot\left(\nabla \times\left.\mathbf{A}\right|_{\Omega}\right) & =\mathbf{n} \cdot\left(\left.\nabla \phi\right|_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}}\right)
\end{align*} \quad \text { on } \Gamma .\right.
$$

As before, there is a known source $\phi_{0}$ in $\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}$ such that $\left.\phi\right|_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}}=\phi_{\mathrm{T}}+\phi_{0}, \phi_{\mathrm{T}} \in$ $\mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$. The Trefftz space is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{T}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right):=\{ & v \in H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}\right): \quad \nabla^{2} v=0  \tag{35}\\
& v \text { satisfies the radiation condition }(33)\} .
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, we choose the Trefftz basis functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\operatorname{lm}}\left(r_{x c}, \theta_{x c}, \varphi_{x c}\right)=r_{x c}^{-\mathrm{I}-1} Y_{\operatorname{lm}}\left(\theta_{x c}, \varphi_{x c}\right), \quad \mathrm{I}=0, \ldots, \infty, \quad \mathrm{~m}=-\mathrm{I}, \ldots, \mathrm{I}, \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which fulfill the definition of multipoles given in Section 1.2. Here, $\left(r_{x c}, \theta_{x c}, \varphi_{x c}\right)$ are spherical coordinates $(r \in[0, \infty), \theta \in[0,2 \pi), \varphi \in[0, \pi])$ of the vector $\mathbf{x}_{c}:=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{c}$, with $\mathbf{c}$ center of the multipole. $Y_{\operatorname{lm}}(\theta, \varphi)$ are spherical harmonics [13, p. 107, Section 3.5].

Thanks to 34a, we can rewrite the DtN matrix (28) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}_{\Gamma}:=\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\Gamma} \mathbf{R}_{\Gamma}, \quad\left(\mathbf{R}_{\Gamma}\right)_{i, j}:=\nu_{\mathrm{T}} v_{j}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\Gamma} \in\{-1,0,1\}^{N_{\text {edges }}^{\mathrm{bnd}}, N_{\text {nodes }}^{\text {bnd }}}$ is the incidence matrix from nodes to edges of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ on $\Gamma . v_{j}, j=1, \ldots, N_{\mathrm{T}}$, are the chosen multipoles 36 , while $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i}, i=1, \ldots, \widetilde{N}_{\text {nodes }}^{\mathrm{bnd}}$, are the nodes of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ on $\Gamma$ (which correspond to the centroids of the faces of $\mathcal{M}$ on $\Gamma$, using a barycentric dual mesh).

We can also rewrite the energy matrix $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}}$ in 29 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{i, j}:=-\nu_{\mathrm{T}} \int_{\Gamma}\left(\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla v_{i}\right) v_{j} \mathrm{~d} S=-\nu_{\mathrm{T}} \int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}} \nabla v_{i} \cdot \nabla v_{j} \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{x} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Numerical Results

We provide a numerical example for the eddy-current equation 24 with the radiation condition 22 and $\omega=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (magnetostatics). This problem is
solved for the magnetic vector potential $\mathbf{A}$ in $\Omega$ using the cell method and the magnetic scalar potential $\phi$ in $\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}$ using multipoles (36). The source is given by (23), where $\mathbf{j}_{0}$ is tangential to a circular loop in $\Omega_{\mathrm{T}}$ (which is $\Omega_{0}$ in 23), with $\left\|\mathbf{j}_{0}\right\|=1000 \mathrm{~A}$.

We take the same axisymmetric domain of [12, Section V.A]. $\Omega$ is then composed of

1. $\Omega_{\star}$, which is a cylinder with a cylindrical hole and $\nu=1 /\left(k \mu_{0}\right)$, with $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu_{0}=4 \pi \cdot 10^{-7} \mathrm{Hm}^{-1}$ : we simulate for $k=2$ and $k=4000$, the latter being typical of practical applications (like iron). $\Omega_{\star}$ is also surrounded by
2. an air box with $\nu=1 / \mu_{0}$.

The boundary $\Gamma$ does not therefore coincide with any material interface.
To show that the coupling is not much affected by the shape of $\Gamma$ as long as it is artificial, we consider two geometries for the air box: a cylinder and a sphere. For geometric details refer to Figure 1. The corresponding 3D meshes are shown in Figure 2.

Consequently, for each air box we consider a different configuration of multipoles:

1. Multipoles up to order 1, i.e. 36 for $\mathrm{I}=0, \mathrm{~m}=0$ and $\mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~m}=-1,0,1$, whose centers are uniformly arranged on a cylinder with radius 0.02 m and height 0.04 m (the bottom face lies on the $X Y$-plane). The number of centers is set proportional to the (rounded) logarithm of the number of intersections of entities of the mesh on $\Gamma$.
2. Multipoles up to order 4, all in the center of the spherical air box. This configuration leads to a diagonal matrix $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}}$ because of the orthogonality of spherical harmonics [13, p. 108, (3.55)].

Our code is written in MATLAB R2016b, using an iterative solver applied to the Schur complement (31). Note that we use an iterative solver not only for its computational efficiency, but also because we have to: in case $\omega=0$, the


Figure 1: Geometries of $\Omega$ and $\Omega_{0}$ for the axisymmetric example: slices parallel to the positive $X Z$-plane. The cylinder forming $\Omega_{\star}$ has radius 0.01 m and height 0.04 m (the bottom face lies on the plane $z=0$ ), while its cylindrical hole has radius 0.005 m . The circumference on the $X Y$-plane forming $\Omega_{0}$ (shown as a point in the figures) has radius 0.05 m and lies on the plane $z=0.02$.


Figure 2: Meshes of $\Omega$ for the axisymmetric example: slices parallel to the $X Z$-plane.
solution is not unique without a gauge for the magnetic vector potential in $\Omega$, which we do not impose under the cell method. However, the right-hand side of the problem is divergence-free on the discrete level (for the cell method, the discrete divergence is an incidence matrix), as it stems from the (continuous) source 23 with null divergence: hence, the solution returned by iterative solvers lies in a Krylov subspace that is also divergence-free and the Coulomb gauge

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

is implicitly imposed.
The iterative solver used is the minimum residual method (minres) with a symmetric successive over-relaxation preconditioner [28, p. 37, Section 3.3] given by $\left(\mathbf{D}_{11}+\mathbf{L}_{11}\right) \mathbf{D}_{11}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{D}_{11}+\mathbf{L}_{11}\right)^{\top}$, with $\mathbf{D}_{11}, \mathbf{L}_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text {edges }}, N_{\text {edges }}}$ diagonal and lower-triangular parts, respectively, of the top-right block (pure cell method) of 29 , i.e. $\mathbf{C}^{\top} \mathbf{M}_{\nu} \mathbf{C}$. Figure 3 shows convergence for both configurations of multipoles: the spherical air box makes the solver achieve the desired tolerance of $10^{-11}$ slightly faster, which is due to the fact that $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is diagonal. While the convergence is reached more slowly for $k=4000$ (Figure 3b), the total number of iterations is still not too different from $k=2$ (Figure 3a). This holds even though, with a more pronounced physical discontinuity, the ill-conditioning of the system gets worse because of the large difference in scale between the matrix entries inside and outside $\Omega_{\star}$.
The transpose-free quasi-minimal residual method (tfqmr) also converges with the same preconditioner, but after a few more iterations.

We test the implementation with a benchmark solution returned by COMSOL Multiphysics 5.3, which also provides the meshes. This solution is produced by $3^{\text {rd }}$-order vector FEM on a very refined mesh of a spherical air box of radius 0.6 m (20 times larger than the radius of the spherical air box of Figure 1b) centered in ( $0,0,0.02 \mathrm{~m}$ ). Dirichlet boundary conditions $(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{0})$ are imposed on the air box boundary. The time spent by COMSOL to produce this solution 0 on an ordinary computer is comparable to the time spent by our MATLAB code: up to 10 seconds in both cases.


Figure 3: Plots of relative residual norms vs. iteration numbers for minres. Relative residual norms are defined as $\left\|\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{i}\right\| /\|\mathbf{b}\|$ for the linear problem $\mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{b}$, with $i$ iteration number. The $y$-axis (relative residual norms) is in logarithmic scale.

Figures 4 and 5 for $k=2$ and Figures 6 and 7 for $k=4000$ compare the $x$ and $z$ Cartesian components of the magnetic flux density resulting from the coupling with the benchmark solution. The magnetic flux density is computed of the cell method ( $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}}$ in 25 ), by means of Whitney basis functions: it is then plotted over the segment with corners $(0.0025 \mathrm{~m}, 0,0)$ and $(0.0025 \mathrm{~m}, 0,0.04 \mathrm{~m})$, which is inside the hole of $\Omega_{\star}$. Comparing with the benchmark solution, the accuracy for the spherical air box is better than the cylindrical (especially for ${ }_{280} \quad \mathbf{B}_{z}$ ), even though the cylindrical air box is larger than the spherical (and less elements have been used for discretization: see Figure 22. This holds for both values of $k$ considered.

On the other hand, to investigate convergence we choose an example with a smooth exact solution and set $k=1$, such that the hollow cylinder $\Omega_{\star}$ is internal boundary, as the one between solid-colored regions in Figure 1 For both the cylindrical and spherical air boxes, the number of multipoles is set proportional to the logarithm of the meshwidth $h$ on $\Gamma$.

The exact solution is given by the Biot-Savart law (23). With respect to

(a) Cylindrical air box with multipoles on internal cylinder.

(b) Spherical air box with multipoles in the center.

Figure 4: Value of $\mathbf{B}_{x}$ along $[(0.0025 \mathrm{~m}, 0,0),(0.0025 \mathrm{~m}, 0,0.04 \mathrm{~m})]$ ( $s$ parametrizes the $z$ coordinate), given $\nu_{\star}=1 /\left(2 \mu_{0}\right)$.

(a) Cylindrical air box with multipoles on internal cylinder.

(b) Spherical air box with multipoles in the center.

Figure 5: Value of $\mathbf{B}_{z}$ along $[(0.0025 \mathrm{~m}, 0,0),(0.0025 \mathrm{~m}, 0,0.04 \mathrm{~m})]$ ( $s$ parametrizes the $z$ coordinate), given $\nu_{\star}=1 /\left(2 \mu_{0}\right)$.


Figure 6: Value of $\mathbf{B}_{x}$ along $[(0.0025 \mathrm{~m}, 0,0),(0.0025 \mathrm{~m}, 0,0.04 \mathrm{~m})]$ ( $s$ parametrizes the $z$ coordinate), given $\nu_{\star}=1 /\left(4000 \mu_{0}\right)$.


Figure 7: Value of $\mathbf{B}_{z}$ along $[(0.0025 \mathrm{~m}, 0,0),(0.0025 \mathrm{~m}, 0,0.04 \mathrm{~m})]$ ( $s$ parametrizes the $z$ coordinate), given $\nu_{\star}=1 /\left(4000 \mu_{0}\right)$.


Figure 8: $h$-refinement log-log plots of relative errors of $\mathbf{A}$ in $\mathbf{H}$ (curl, $\Omega$ )-seminorm.
it, we compute errors in a discrete $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{c u r l}, \Omega)$-seminorm arising from the cell method, whose degrees of freedom are line integrals of $\mathbf{A}$ on the meshes $(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}})$ : specifically, we compute $\ell^{2}$-errors between $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{b}}:=\mathbf{C} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{a}}$ and the face fluxes of $\mathbf{B}_{0}:=$ $\nabla \times \mathbf{A}_{0}$ from the Biot-Savart law (23).

Figure 8 shows the results of this $h$-refinement convergence test, presenting algebraic convergence with approximately the same rate for both the cylindrical and spherical air boxes. Note that there are more datapoints for the latter because an acceptable numerical solution is produced even with very coarse meshes of a sphere.

## 5. Conclusion

The authors are not aware of any prior work addressing the coupling of Trefftz methods with a framework for numerical schemes based on volume meshes, like co-chain calculus. We explain for the first time how to formulate a boundary term for this framework that takes into account the exterior problem. The particular case of coupling the cell method with MMP is also presented here for
the first time.
Compared to other hybrid approaches that rely on BEM, coupling with a Trefftz method enjoys several advantages [29, p. 51], namely a simpler assembly process, as there are no singular integrals, and exponential convergence when the coupling boundary is far from field singularities. This entails a small number of degrees of freedom for the Trefftz method that permits to compute the Schur complement of the final system.
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