

Detailed investigation of the role of buoy wind errors in buoyscatterometer disagreement

Michael Schlundt, Tom Farrar, Sebastien Bigorre, Al Plueddemann, and Robert Weller

Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution



Direct observations of near-surface winds are crucial for the calibration

Buoys 3 WHOI ORS buoys (uop.whoi.edu/ReferenceDataSets/) 2 SPURS (<u>https://spurs.jpl.nasa.gov/</u>) buoys



1930

FOCEANOG

OH SOOD



Conclusion

A RMS of 0.5-0.7 m/s is observed when comparing wind speeds at WHOI buoys with scatterometers. A detailed investigation of more than 18 years of wind observations at the buoys was performed. Flow distortion errors of ~5% relative wind speed difference are the main result, indicating the importance of the position of the sensor on the buoy. Generally, the flow distortion is responsible for ~30% of the total RMS. Compared to scatterometer observations, the flow distortion still can be observed. This systematic error can be removed from the data. After correction for the flow distortion, random errors remain, e.g. averaging errors from the colocation of scatterometer and buoy or a "wrong" viscosity correction.

Contact: Michael Schlundt mschlundt@whoi.edu



- Emond, M. et al. (2012) 'Flow distortion investigation of wind velocity perturbations for two ocean meteorological platforms', (WHOI-2012-02), p. 66. Available at: http://hdl.handle.net/1912/5182.
- Fairall, C. W. et al. (2003) 'Bulk parameterization of air-sea fluxes: Updates and verification for the COARE algorithm', Journal of Climate, 16(4), pp. 571–591. doi: 10.1175/1520-0442(2003)016<0571:BPOASF>2.0.CO;2.
- Lin, W. et al. (2015) 'ASCAT Wind Quality Control Near Rain', IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 53(8), pp. 4165–4177. doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2015.2392372.
- Liu, W. T., Katsaros, K. B. and Businger, J. A. (1979) 'Bulk Parameterization of Air-Sea Exchanges of Heat and Water Vapor Including the Molecular Constraints at the Interface', Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences. American Meteorological Society, 36(9), pp. 1722–1735. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1979)036<1722:BPOASE>2.0.CO;2.

