



Science Arts & Métiers (SAM)

is an open access repository that collects the work of Arts et Métiers ParisTech researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible.

This is an author-deposited version published in: <https://sam.ensam.eu>
Handle ID: <http://hdl.handle.net/10985/17022>

To cite this version :

Alexandre CHARPENTIER-PONCELET, Philippe LOUBET, Bertrand LARATTE, Guido SONNEMANN - Development of a conceptual framework to take the dissipation of non-energetic abiotic resources into account within Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) - 2019

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository

Administrator : archiveouverte@ensam.eu



Development of a conceptual framework to take the dissipation of non-energetic abiotic resources into account within Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)

Alexandre Charpentier Poncelet, Philippe Loubet,
Bertrand Laratte, Guido Sonnemann



CONTENT

- Context
- Research question & Method
- Dissertation approach
 - Overview
 - Key considerations
 - Methodological developments
- Conclusions & future works




CONTEXT

- Recent developments for the Natural Resources Area of Protection (AoP) in Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) (eg. Dewulf et al. (2015); Sonderegger et al. (2017))
- Existing methods for abiotic resource use have been discussed and criticized by many in recent years (eg. Swart, Alvarenga, & Dewulf (2015); Drielsma et al. (2016); Sonderegger et al. (2017))
- Safeguard subject for non-energetic abiotic resources has been defined as “*the potential to make use of the value that mineral resources, as embedded in a natural or anthropogenic stock, can hold for humans in the technosphere*” (Berger et al., 2018)
- Damage to the safeguard subject happens when abiotic resources are dispersed in a way which make them unavailable for future use or when they are rendered inaccessible in technosphere through different mechanisms
- Dissipation approach is a promising way forward to account for this damage, and should be investigated further (Zampori & Sala (2017); Berger et al. (2018))



RESEARCH QUESTION & METHOD


- **Research question:** In light of most actual knowledge, what has to be considered in a conceptual framework to account for abiotic resource dissipation in LCA?
- **Method:**




- Define abiotic resource use and Natural resource AoP
- Identify perspective for abiotic resources based on Dewulf et al. (2015)
- Critique existing methods
- Identify relevant guidance for method development
- Identify research needs for dissipation approach

- Take position on methodological choices
- Define key words and concepts
- Build conceptual framework
- Identify data collection needs / cross-sectoral complementary research


DISSIPATION APPROACH - OVERVIEW





DISSIPATION APPROACH - OVERVIEW









DISSIPATION APPROACH - OVERVIEW



DISSIPATION APPROACH - OVERVIEW



Legend

- Stock 
- Process 
- Impact 
- Dissipative flow 
- Well-managed waste flow 
- Flows towards production 

Dissipative flows to environment




DISSIPATION APPROACH – KEY CONSIDERATIONS

- Dissipation (permanent loss of mass and/or quality) over the whole life cycle
 - Dissipation based on use type/design (eg. Lost by Design, Ciacci et al. (2015))
 - Dissipation in environmental compartments
 - Dissipation in technosphere (loss of quality, dissipated in managed waste, etc.)
- Time
 - Timeframe (*how long?*)
 - Resource occupation/borrowing uses (see eg. Frischknecht, 2016)
 - Dissipation patterns over time. *Resource-level (global)?, product-level? sector-level?*
- Geographical scale
 - Recycling rates
 - Product lifetimes variations




DISSIPATION APPROACH – KEY CONSIDERATIONS

- Dissipation (permanent loss of mass and/or quality) over the whole life cycle
 - Dissipation based on use type/design (eg. Lost by Design, Ciacci et al. (2015))
 - Dissipation in environmental compartments
 - Dissipation in other material flows
 - Dissipation in managed waste
- Time
 - Timeframe (*how long?*)
 - Resource occupation/borrowing uses (see eg. Frischknecht, 2016)
 - Dissipation patterns over time. Resource-level (global)?, product-level? sector-level?
- Geographical scale
 - Recycling rates
 - Product lifetimes variations




DISSIPATION APPROACH – METHODOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS

- Two possible ways forward to integrate dissipation data in the conceptual framework



DISSIPATION APPROACH – METHODOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS

- Two possible ways forward to integrate dissipation data in the conceptual framework





CONCLUSIONS

- More definite parameters have been selected to ameliorate abiotic resource use considerations in LCA using a dissipation approach
- Dynamic MFA can serve as a basis to calculate dissipation pattern over time
- 2 possible paths forward to implement dissipation in a conceptual framework have been presented

FUTURE WORKS

- Chose resource-centric perimeter for data collection (product-level, sector-level or global) and geographical granularization for different parameters
- Determine how to integrate quality considerations
- Make methodological decision between integrating data in LCI or LCIA (CFs) or mix of both
- Determine whether reserve size and/or dissipation curve is appropriate for the selected timeframe to include in CF calculations in order to account for availability (or loss of availability)
- Develop final conceptual framework and calculate CFs based on coherent inventory modelling



REFERENCES

- Berger, M., Sonderegger, T., de Alvarenga, R., Bach, V., Cimprich, A., Frischknecht, R., ... others. (2018). Harmonizing the LCIA of mineral resource use. *EcoBalance 2018-13th Biennial International Conference on EcoBalance*, (October).
- Ciacci, L., Reck, B. K., Nassar, N. T., & Graedel, T. E. (2015). Lost by Design. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 49(16), 9443–9451. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es505515z>
- Dewulf, J., Benini, L., Mancini, L., Sala, S., Blengini, G. A., Ardente, F., ... Pennington, D. (2015). Rethinking the area of protection “natural resources” in life cycle assessment. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 49(9), 5310–5317. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b00734>
- ~~Drielsma, J., Russell-Vaccari, A. J., Drnek, T., Brady, T., Weihed, P., Mistry, M.,~~



Acknowledgements



Co-financing : Agence de l'Environnement et de la Maîtrise de l'Energie (ADEME) and French geological survey (BRGM)
Jacques Villeneuve and Stéphanie Muller (BRGM)

