

# **Journal of Plant Protection Research** ISSN 1427-4337

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# **Effects of loose kernel smut caused by** *Sporisorium cruentum* **onrhizomes of** *Sorghum halepense*

Marta Monica Astiz Gassó<sup>1\*</sup>, Marcelo Lovisolo<sup>2</sup>, Analia Perelló<sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Santa Catalina Phytotechnical Institute, Faculty of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences UNLP Calle 60 y 119 (1900) La Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- <sup>2</sup> Morphologic Botany, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences National University Lomas de Zamora. Ruta N° 4, km <sup>2</sup> (1836) Llavallol, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- <sup>3</sup> Phytopathology, CIDEFI, FCAyF-UNLP, CONICET. Calle 60 y 119 (1900) La Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina

**Vol. 57, No. 1:62-71,2017 Abstract** Received: July 13, 2016 Accepted:January 17,2017

son grass) was investigated *in vitro* and in greenhouse experiments. Smut infection in-**DOI: 10.1515/jppr-2017-0009** The effect ofloose kernel smut fungus *Sporisorium cruentum* on *Sorghum halepense* (Johnduced a decrease in the dry matter of rhizomes and aerial vegetative parts of the plants evaluated. Moreover, the diseased plants showed a lower height than controls. The infection resulted in multiple smutted buds that caused small panicles infected with the fungus. ''Corresponding address: In addition, changes were observed in the structural morphology of the host. Leaf tissue sections showed hyphae degrading chloroplasts and vascular bundles colonized by the fungus. Subsequently, cells collapsed and widespread necrosis was observed as a symptom of the disease. The pathogen did not colonize the gynoecium of Sorghum plants until the tassel was fully developed. The sporulation process of the fungus led to a total disintegration of anthers and tissues. When panicles were inspected before emergence, fungal hyphae were observed on floral primord. Histological sections of panicles showed fungal hyphae located in the parenchyma tissue and the nodal area. Infection occurred in the floral primordium before the tassel had fully developed and emerged from the flag leaf. Grains were replaced by sori surrounded by a thin membrane that usually was broken before or after the emergence of the panicle. The results, together with the significant decrease of the dry matter ofrhizomes and seeds of S. *halepense,* suggest that S. *cruentum* could be considered as a potential biocontrol agent in the integrated management of this weed.

> **Key words:** biocontrol, host-pathogen interactions, Johnson grass, Kernel smut, smut, systemic diseases

# **Introduction**

Loose kernel smut, caused by the fungus *Sporisorium cruentum (Sphacelotheca cruenta),* attacks all groups of sorghum, including Johnson grass *(Sorghum halepense),* although certain varieties in some groups are immune or highly resistant. *Sporisorium cruentum* is the smut with the least incidence as a disease in the genus Sorghum (Fischer and Holton 1953; Vánky 1985; Hirschhorn 1986; Duran 1987). Unlike plants covered with kernel smut, plants affected by loose kernel smut are stunted, have thin stalks, and heads emerging earlier than those of healthy plants. Abundant side

branches (tillers) may also develop. Occasionally, the tillers are smutted, while the primary head is not. In addition, secondary infection may occur when spores from a smutted head infect late developing heads of healthy plants, causing them to become smutted. *Sorghum halepense* is one of the ten most important perennial weed species in the world (Holm *et al.* 1977). In Argentina, it is spread over more than 7,000,000 Ha (Mitidieri 1984). The perpetuation capacity of alepo sorghum is through the seeds and sprouting of rhizomes. After 40-50 days of emergence, it is very difficult **[www.czasopisma.pan.pl](http://www.czasopisma.pan.pl) [www.journals.pan.pl](http://www.journals.pan.pl)**

**POLSKA AKADEMI\*HAUK** Marta Monica Astiz Gassò *et al.:* Effects of loose kernel smut caused by *Sporisorium cruentum* onrhizomes *of Sorghum halepense* **63**

to establish whether the aerial structure has been generated by rhizomes or by seeds. From biological, population and management points of view, there are no differences between adult plants generated by a seed or a rhizome. In the case of S. *halepense* seeds, this gives the species the possibility of being the main source of dispersion at a distance. Also they provide genetic variability that allows the adaptation of the population to different environments that affect the activity (Piper 1928; Ghersa and Satorre 1981; Satorre *et al.* 1981; Méndez Fernández *et al.* 1983; Leguizamón 2012). In Argentina, S. *halepense* can be infected by various pathogens such as S. *cruentum, Alternaría* spp., *Drechslera* spp., *Curvularia* spp., *Phyllostictaspp, Phomaspp,* and *Bipolaris sorghicola* (Hirschorn 1986; Verdejo *et al.* 1995; Acciaresi and Mònaco 1999). These pathogens affect the vegetative development of the plant but have little importance in the reproductive stage. *Sporisorium cruentum* prevents seed development, and black, small smut galls (sori) surrounded by a gray thin membrane replace normal kernels. The powdery black spores (teliospores) are spread by the wind and adhere to the surface of healthy kernels ofneigh boring plants in the same field. Postharvest the disease may persist in the soil, and infected seeds or rhizomes. Previous reports indicated that S. *cruentum* also reduces vegetative and reproductive biomass of infected plants (Millhollon 2000, Astiz Gassó *et al.* 2001). The objective of this work was to analyze the effect of S. *cruentum* on the vegetative development ofrhizomes of S. *halepense.*

# **Materials and Methods**

Our methodology for the study of the smut fungi was done, with some modification, according to Fernández *et al.* (1978), Matyac (1985), Craig and Frederiksen (1992), Kosiada (2011).

#### **Plant material**

Rhizomes ofS. *halepense* plants naturally infected with loose kernel smut were collected from the Experimental Field of the Instituto Fitotécnico de Santa Catalina, Llavallol, Province of Buenos Aires, Argentina, during the summer of 2014.

The rhizomes were sectioned into small pieces, washed in water for 2 h and surface-disinfected with 30% sodium hypochlorite for 20 min. Finally, they were rinsed in sterile distilled water.

#### **Teliospore germination**

For inoculum preparation, teliospores from heads infected with loose kernels were collected and allowed to dry at room temperature for a week under laboratory conditions at  $20^{\circ}$ C ( $\pm 2^{\circ}$ C). The teliospores were passed through a fine metal sieve to separate them from plant debris. Teliospores were sown on Petri dishes with Potato Dextrose Agar 2% (PDA) at 20°C (±2°C) in darkness. After 48 h, direct microscopic observations were made, and the number of germinated teliospores per microscopic field at x 100 magnification were counted. Teliospore germination was defined by the production of either promycelia or elongated hyphae. Morpho- -cultural studies of the colonies which developed on PDA were made.

#### **Fungal inoculum**

The harvested teliospores were washed in 3% sodium hypochlorite for 3 min, suspended in sterile distilled water, plated on PDA and incubated in the dark at 25°C (±2°C) for 48-72 h. Isolated sporidial colonies were transferred to new PDA plates and incubated for an additional 48 h. A few colonies were transferred to flasks containing 2% Potato Broth (PB) and allowed to grow on a rotary shaker (CAT-S20) at 200 rpm for approximately 4-5 days (Astiz Gasso *et al.* 2001). Eiquid medium containing sporidia  $(10<sup>6</sup>-10<sup>8</sup>$  sporidia/ml) was used for inoculations following two techniques: Tl) hypodermic injection and T2) vacuum technique.

In Tl, inoculation of sorghum seedlings by means of hypodermic injection was performed. Two disinfected rhizomes per pot were sown in fifteen  $10 \times 13 \times$  $\times$  15 cm plastic pots filled with sterile sand and inoculated at the 3-4 leaf stage according to Edmunds technique (Edmunds 1963), maintained in a greenhouse at 20°C (±5°C) with 80-90% relative humidity andcycles of 16-8 h light-darkness. As a control, an equal number of plants was inoculated with the broth only. Three days later, five plants per stage were removed until the heading stage or until visible symptoms were observed. Samples were fixed in formaldehyde/acetic acid and ethyl alcohol (FAA) and storedin the laboratory. The presence of mycelium in apical buds, leaves, stalks and heads was assessed.

In T2, inoculation of rhizomes with teliospores and sporidial cultures by the vacuum technique was done. Two assays were conducted using two inoculum types: **T2i.** Immersion of rhizomes in a suspension of potato broth + teliospores ( $10^6$ spores/ml) with vacuum (0.1 MPa for 5 min) and **T2ii.** Immersion of rhizomes in potato broth + sporidia (10<sup>6</sup>sporidia/ml) with vacuum (0.1 Mpafor 5 min). As controls, rhizomes were immersed in broth only. The inoculated material was sown in plastic trays ( $10 \times 13 \times 15$  cm) containing sterile sand watered with distilled water and maintained in achamber "BINDER KBF LQC" with favorable environmental conditions for plant growth and pathogen (temperature 20°C (±5°C), relative humidity 40-60%

**[www.czasopisma.pan.pl](http://www.czasopisma.pan.pl) [www.journals.pan.pl](http://www.journals.pan.pl)**



and cycles of 16 h light  $+ 8$  h darkness). When seedlings reached the 2-3 leaf stage, 2 plants per pot were transplanted into 4 liter pots containing sterile black substrate, to avoid contamination with other microorganisms. Plants were then transferred to the greenhouse to complete their growing cycle.

A completely randomized design with three replications, and 2 seedlings/pot in 20 pots/treatment and controls were used. At the end of the growing cycle of the weed, the aerial dry matter (ADM g.pl-1) and rhizome dry matter (RDM g.pot-1.) was determined according to Ward *et al.* (1978). Data were analyzed and means were compared using the method ofleast significant differences (LSD) (p <0.05%) using SAS 6.03 (SAS, 1989).

### **Histological techniques**

Samples of leaves with symptoms of the disease and vegetative and floral buds were fixed in 4% glutaraldehyde, then sectioned in  $1 \times 1$  mm pieces with a microtome Sorvall MT 2-13 equipped with a glass blade to obtain 1-um sections, and stained in Toluidine Blue before light microscope observations (Optical Olympus CX 21) to detect the presence of pathogen hyphae.

Samples of infected stalk nodes with apical buds, and panicles and controls were fixed in FAA, embedded in paraffin wax and then sectioned longitudinally and transversely  $(10-12 \mu m)$  using a Senior Rotary microtome "Model RMT-30". Fast-green staining was selected because this method gives good differentiation between the host and fungal components of the sorus. Sections were observed with a light microscope (Bracegirdle and Miles 1975; D'Ambrogio Argueso 1986).

The mature teliospores were mounted on a metal plate metallized coated gold-palladium and then observed and photographed. The observations were performed with a Philips XL 30 scanning electron microscope (SEM).

For transmission electron microscopy (TEM) studies, the samples were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer,  $pH = 7.2$  at 4<sup>o</sup>C overnight. The materials were post-fixed in  $1\%$  OsO<sub>4</sub> in the same buffer for 4 h at room temperature and then rinsed in three 20-min changes of buffer. For dehydration an acetone series was used, and Spurr's resin was used for embedding (Spurr 1969). Sectioning was done with an ultramicrotome and thin sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate (Reynolds 1963; O'Brien and McCully 1981).

#### **Inoculations by the vacuum technique**

Samples of different organs such as leaves, apical meristems, and inflorescences were observed under a light microscope in order to detect the presence of the pathogen. Trypan Blue 2% in lactophenole was used as amounting medium.

To evaluate the development of *S. cruentum* and the host-pathogen relationship with S. *halepense,* samples were taken before apical meristem stem elongation, before inflorescence emergence, and at smutted panicles at the end of the vegetative cycle.

# **Results**

## **Taxonomic identification of S.** *cruentum*

Macroscopic observations confirmed that the sori, which showed a curved columella with fragile peridium, were typical of S. *cruentum* (Fig. la). Teliospores, which were found in preparations using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and optical microscopy (Fig. lb, c) were rounded to subglobose, with an echinulate episporium, 6-8 pm in diameter and dark brown. Teliospores germinated *in vitro* and produced metabasidia (= promycelia) with 4 cells and lateral basidiospores (= sporidia). Multiplication by budding generated an abundance of new sporidia (Fig. Id). The sporidia quickly formed light-brown to ocher, yeast-like colonies with smooth edgeson PDA (Fig. le).



**Fig. 1.** Macroscopic and microscopic observations of S. *cruentum.* a – smutted panicle; b – photomicrograph of teliospores with echinulate episporium observed under electron microscope (SEM). Scale bar = 22  $\mu$ ; c – photomicrograph of teliospores observed in optical microscope (OM). Scale bar =  $14 \mu$ ; d - *in* vi*tro* germination of sporidial type of teliospores on PDA. Scale  $bar = 14 \mu$ ; e – colony developedon PDA



Marta Monica Astiz Gasso *et al.*: Effects of loose kernel smut caused by *Sporisorium cruentum* onrhizomes of *Sorghum halepense* **65**



b  $\mathbf d$ 

**Fig. 2.** Longitudinal sections of meristems of Johnson grass rhizomes. a - apical meristem and leaf primordium from uninfected control. Scale bar = 169  $\mu$ ; b – apical meristem with fungal hyphae (h) from rhizomes inoculated with S. *cruentum.* Scale  $bar = 169 \mu$ ; c – leaves of inoculated plants showing chlorotic spots (chl); d-e - cross sections of leaves: d. Leaf of inoculated plants showing absence of chloroplasts and/or degraded by the hyphae of the fungus that are located in the vascular bundles (h). Scale bar = 16  $\mu$ ; e - uninoculated with normal chloroplasts (cl) in the parenchyma of vascular bundles. Scale bar =  $20 \mu$ 

# **Inoculation with sporidia by the hypodermic syringe technique**

Plants from rhizomes at the 4 to 6 leaf stage were inoculatedwith sporidia. Three days after inoculation, samples from apical meristems and leaves of treated plants and controls were taken for the longitudinal section. Samples from healthy plants showed no pathogen infection (Fig. 2a), whereas those from infected plants showed inter- and intracellular hyphae (Fig. 2b). Between 10 to 15 days after inoculation 4 plants were recorded and hypoplastic symptoms with mild to marked chlorosis (Fig. 2c). Metaplastic symptoms with the production of anthocyanin pigments were observed. There was also a loss of leaves by vascular necrosis. Cross sections of the sheath from the vascular parenchyma showed the absence of or degraded chloroplasts (Fig. 2d). Controls showed a normal location of the chloroplasts (Fig. 2e). In plants where chlorosis was marked, there was a minor effect on growth without death. These observations were confirmed at the ultrastructural level with TEM. Healthy leaves showed normal chloroplasts in the chlorenchyma of the mesophyll and parenchyma sheath of the vascular bundles and normal development of structures in the cytoplasm, such as lipid

**Fig. 3.** Photomicrographs of Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) in S. *halepense*. a-b - ultrastructure of the mesophyll cells of the healthy leaves,  $a -$  healthy chlorenchyma cells containing chloroplasts (ch), lipid globules (Ig), mitochondria (m), rough endoplasmic reticulum (ret) and vesicles (v) in the cytoplasm. Scale bar =  $0.5 \mu$ . b - parenchymal cells of vascular bundles with normal chloroplasts. Scale bar = 1  $\mu$ . c-d - parenchymal sheath cells infected with the hyphae (h) of S. *cruentum.* Scale bars =  $2 \mu$ 

globules, rough endoplasmic reticulum, mitochondria, and vesicles (Fig. 3a, b). In contrast, infected leaves of inoculated plants showed the presence of the pathogen hyphae (Fig. 3c, d). About 18-25 days after inoculation, the reproductive stage induced the production of multiple buds (between 3 and 7 buds) originating at a common node in one of the upper nodes. This type of abnormality is typical for S. *cruentum.* Subsequently, we observed the emergence of small infected panicles or the formation of sterile panicles or phyllodes and small stems (Fig. 4a). These abnormalities were not seen in the controls. When histological sections of small stems were analyzed, bud formation, i.e. vegetative and reproductive buds protected by the formation of leaf primordia, was visible (Fig. 4b). Hyphae were observed with an optical microscope at the base of the meristem of the stem (Fig. 4c, d) and confirmed in detail with TEM (Fig. 6a, b). The longitudinal and transverse sections of stems showed that the pathogen was not present intreated plants. We also determined that the pathogen does not grow until the gynoecium is fully developed within the spikelets. Thus, the invasion of the fungus begins primarily by the gynoecium, which shows that hyphal fragments and spermogenesis occur with the formation ofteliospores. The sporulation process continues in the

**[www.czasopisma.pan.pl](http://www.czasopisma.pan.pl) [www.journals.pan.pl](http://www.journals.pan.pl)**



## **66** Journal of Plant Protection Research 57 (1), 2017



**Fig. 4.** Morphological changes produced by S. *cruentum.* a – multiple buds that cause small panicles infected with the fungus;  $b-c$  - longitudinal section of a multiple bud (mb),  $b$  - vegetative (v) and reproductive (r) buds. Scale bar =  $169 \mu$ , c - bud bottoms with the presence of fungal hyphae (h). Scale bar = 74  $\mu$ ; d - detail of intracellular branched hyphae (h). Scale bar = 8.5  $\mu$ 

anthers to produce the total disintegration of the tissues involved. The grains were replaced by 2.5 cm medium- -sized sorior surrounded by a thin gray membrane. This membrane usually breaks before or after the emergence of the panicle and brown to black teliospores are detached and a curved structure (columella) (Fig. 5a, b) formed by fungal tissues and the host can be seen with the naked eye (McTaggart *et al.* 2012). Glumes and bracts remain intact to protect the sorus. When spikelets in formation were extracted, the presence of fungal hyphae was observed at the base of the flower primordia in all five specimens analyzed. At a more advanced stage, histological sections of basal spikelets showed that hyphae were located in the conducting tissues and the parenchyma of the floral pedicel (Fig. 5c, d). This was observed in greater detail in the TEM: cells infected by fungal hyphae, cytoplasm of the infected cell containing starch granules and bottoms of spikelet cells infected by S. *cruentum* (Fig. 6a, b, c, d).

## **Inoculation with teliospores by the vacuum technique**

The development of reproductive and vegetative mycelium of S. *cruentum.* We also examined the base of 10 outbreaks in 3-4 weeks old inoculated plants. In outbreaks, only bits of hyphae in meristematic tissue



**Fig. 5.** <sup>a</sup> - Spikelets infected with smut with <sup>a</sup> sorum (s) formed by a curved columella,  $b -$  longitudinal section of gynoecium with the presence of teliospores (t),  $c-d$  – detail of a spikelet infected by the fungus (h). Scale bars = c, 50  $\mu$ ; d, 8,5  $\mu$ .



**Fig. 6.** Photomicrographs of Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) in S. *halepense,* a-b. Ultrastructure of vegetative meristem. Scale bars =  $2 \mu$ , a - cells infected by fungal hyphae (h); b - cytoplasm of the infected cell containing starch granules (a),  $c-d - bot$ toms of spikelet cells infected by S. *cruentum*. Scale bars =  $1 \mu$ 





**Fig. 7.** Shoots of Johnson grass rhizomes in Petri dishes; a – healthy control; b – rhizomes and necrotic shoots colonized by the fungus (left). Detail ofthe whitish mycelium of S. *cruentum* (right)

were inactive, while some developed shoot apices were fully colonized in relatively early stages of development. In other cases, plants showed symptoms of metaplastic type in leaves but not as marked as in the case of infection with the hypodermic syringe technique. The presence of hyphae was not determined in stems.

## **Inoculation with sporidia by the vacuum technique**

We examined 80 rootstocks inoculated with sporidia of the fungus and found a white mycelium which rapidly colonized the surface and prevented the development of seedlings. Inoculated plants showed inhibition of bud sprouting, dehydration and partial or total necrosis until death ofthe rhizome, unlike the controls, which grew normally. To check if these changes were caused by insufficient disinfection, contaminants or other causes, rhizomes inoculated with sporidia by the vacuum technique **(T2ii)** were placed in Petri dishes on paper moistened with sterile distilled water to confirm the presence of S. *cruentum* by reisolation of the fungus (Fig. 7a, b). Also, in this case, the intercellular mycelia and pathogen hyphae were found at the base of the apical meristem and the region of buds. Fusion between sporidia was not observed, but the development of intracellular hyphae was seen. Other plants showed symptoms of chlorosis in the leaves, and histological sections confirmed the presence of the hyphae of the fungus. Also, in this case, we observed the presence of aerial shoots at the nodes, multiple panicles and sterile buds induced by the pathogen, unlike the controls, which showed normal development of plants.

#### **Aerial dry matter (ADM)**

ADM showed no significant differences between the inoculation treatment with teliospores by the vacuum technique **T2i** (0.16 g.<sup>p11</sup>) and withsporidia **T2ii**  $(0.17 \text{ g.}^{\text{pF1}})$ , but there were highly significant differences ( $p \le 0.05$ ) when compared with the controls (0.52 g.<sup>pl-1</sup>) (Fig. 8). The plants showed both a shortening of the

internodes and a decrease in height compared to the control. An early flowering in plants that had smut as opposed to controls was also observed.



Fig. 8. Dry mass of aerial parts (ADM) of Johnson grass plants at the end of the crop cycle:  $TO -$  control treatment;  $T2i -$  rhizome immersion in liquid culture suspension + teliospores; T2ii - rhizome immersion in liquid media + sporidia



Fig. 9. Dry mass of rhizomes (RDM) of Johnson grass plants at the end of the crop cycle: T0 - control treatment; T2i - rhizome immersion in liquid culture suspension + teliospores; T2ii - rhizome immersion in liquid media + sporidia



### **Rhizome dry matter (RDM)**

RDM showed a behavior similar to that of ADM with **T2i** and **T2ii** treatments. The production of rhizomes obtained was similar to **T2i** (19.60  $g$ . $p+1$ ) and **T2ii** (22.10 g.<sup>pl-1</sup>). A significant reduction ( $p \le 0.05$ ) of the weight of the rhizomes with respect the controls was found (39.2  $g.P =$ ) (Fig. 9).

# **Discussion**

Several factors associated with infection of the rhizomes ofJohnson grass (S. *halepense)* seedlings were found during our experiments. Inoculations with the hypodermic syringe method had a more drastic effect than the vacuum technique since we found a higher number of plants with leaves with chlorotic spots and more anthocyanin pigments (Hanna 1929). In infected leaves high amounts of anthocyanins were present as a result of the accumulation of this pigment in the cells of the epidermis. Results showed the presence of mycelium of the fungus in the parenchymal tissue and in the nodal area. The scattered distribution of the myceliumwas probably due to the inoculation method used, as the sporidia were forced to penetrate into the plant tissue. The mycelium does not usually invade the apical meristem or vascular tissue of the shoots. Other researchers have reported the presence of chlorotic spots containing branched hyphae that developed and emerged on the leaves of maize and sorghum seedlings inoculated with S. *reilianum.* They also have found a correlation between field and greenhouse experiments which indicated that the hypodermic syringe method of inoculation is useful for the evaluation of different genotypes resistant or susceptible to smut. Here, we determined that the method was efficient in replacing field testing in screening assays (Wilson and Frederiksen 1970; Matyac 1985; Matyac and Kommedaha 1985; Craig and Fredenksen 1992; Snetselaar and Mims 1994). According to our observations of the cross sections of leaves, the hyphae of the fungus degraded chloroplasts and invaded vascular bundles. Subsequently, cells collapsed and widespread necrosis was observed. In several cases, leaves withered, but the plant continued its development to complete its cycle, and showed the characteristic symptoms when the smut panicle emerged. Snetselaar and Mims (1994), Martinez *et al.* (1999) and Martinez *et al.* (2002) observed that after inoculation of S. *reilianum,* young plants were slightly chlorotic and contained scattered hyphae. The latter developed in the epidermal cells and vascular parenchyma, but not in the intercellular spaces.

Our results suggest the aggressiveness of S. *cruentum* because multiple buds which in turn developed

into vegetative and reproductive buds enclosed in leaves were frequently observed. Similar morphological alterations such as the formation of convoluted whips emerging from lateral buds from the stems, producing deformation, have been reported in *Ustilago scitaminea* Sydow (sugarcane smut) in stems, leaves and inflorescences (Sharma 1956; Byther and Steiner 1974). Other studies also described the formation of single or multiple inflorescences and the formation of multiple whips emerging from the same point at the apex of the stem due to the smut infection. In addition, a reduction in plant growth and a shortening of internodes were reported (Nasr 1976; Astiz Gasso 1988). One hypothesis to explain these changes could be the excessive production of hormones that stimulate stem elongation, shortening of internodes, formation of phyllodes and induction of changes in vegetative to reproductive primordia anticipating flowering. This stage of the plant is essential for the fungus to infect inflorescences and quickly to produce fragmentation of the mycelium and sporogenesis. While studying the involvement of gibberellins in S. *reilianum,* Matheussen *et al.* (1990) observed that the fungus produced these hormones on the first day of infection, and also when the fungus was cultured in vitro. Other studies on S. *reilianum* infected plants showed that changes in the inflorescence and the branching of maize also led to an increase in the auxin content of the inflorescence as well as an accumulation of reactive oxygen species (Ghareeb *et al.* 2011). In the case of *S. cruentum* further research is needed to confirm if the fungus produces these hormones to induce the abnormalities showed in this assay.

The longitudinal sections of the vegetative apex analyzed in the present work showed, in some cases, the presence of broken hyphae of the fungus, but not the fusion of sporidia. Similar results have been recorded in artificial inoculations of S. *reilianum* in S. *bicolor* (Wilson and Frederiksen 1970; Osorio and Frederiksen 1998) and S. *sorghi* (Moharam *et al.* 2012). Alternatively, intracellular branched hyphae below the dormant vegetative apex was observed previously to the differentiation of floral primord and the beginning of the mycelium colonization to the spikelets.

In our study, intracellular branched hyphae of the fungus below the vegetative apex observed in the dormant stage until the differentiation of floral primordia occurred and the mycelium began to move to the spikelets of the inflorescence. These were infected at ground level prior to stem elongation.

Regarding the histopathological techniques used in this study, it is important to point out that previously they were successfully used in studies of smuts affecting other plant species. In the work of Millhollon (2000), for example, histological nodal segments of seedlings of S. *halepense* were used to confirm the  $\mathbb{W}\mathbb{W}\mathbb{W}.$ czasopisma.pan.pl  $\mathbb{P}AN$  [www.journals.pan.pl](http://www.journals.pan.pl)

)

effectiveness of field inoculations with suspensions of teliospores and sporidia of S. *cruentum.* Likewise, Sinha *et al.* (1982) used histology staining with trypan blue in lactophenol to detect the presence of the mycelia of *U. scitaminea* from nodes, which were confirmed in the basal part of apical meristem.

Our results showed that fungal infection occurs in the floral primordium and not when the tassel is fully differentiated and emerged from the flag leaf. In relation to the infection of spikelets of the panicle, we also noted that the latter must be fully formed, because there is a dependence on nutritional compounds. Such elements are apparently provided by the gynoecium, stamens and flower stigma to induce fragmentation of hyphae followed by sporulation and maturation of teliospores. Since we found no other reports in S. *cruentum,* we consider it important to undertake further studies to elucidate this process.

According to our results, it is important to consider the effect of the pathogen on the rhizomes' dry matter, as shown by the below-ground biomass, which decreased at a time when the weed recorded an increase in the production of rhizomes at the end of the crop cycle. In this sense, Williams and Ingber (1977) demonstrated that in the absence of competition and under non-limiting water and nutritional conditions, S. halepense allocated 27% of the dry matter to the rhizomes and only 4% to the production of seeds. These researchers stated that in the presence of intraspecific competition, weeds retard the formation of rhizomes. Smith and Holt (1997) established that the use of additional weed control and biological control are not antagonistic, but rather, that there are synergistic effects (where the combined effects are more than additive) that depend on the reproductive rate of weeds and the density at which they grow. In the present work, we also noted that the ADM was reduced because the diseased plants had lower height than controls. Reports made by Luttrell *et al.* (1964) and Millhollon (2000) on the species mentioned differences in growth of plants treated with smut.

In this work, a high level of fungal infection was obtained using the different inoculation methods tested and under the environmental conditions under which the experiments were performed. In addition, changes in the structural morphology of the host were found similar to those reported in previous research conducted in S. *reilianum* (Craig and Fredenksen 1992; Martinez *et al.* 1999). Similarly, it was confirmed that S. *cruentum* produced structural morphological changes in the host.

As in the rest of Poaceae, the true stem of S. *halepense* is compressed in a basal plate with nodes and internodes compressed that elongate at the reproductive stage (Maddaloni and Ferrari 2001). Due to this form of plant growth, we can say that, according to the observations made, the pathogen located in the base of the buds migrates to the inflorescence producing the disease.

Regarding the management of this weed, the most effective method to control Johnson grassis is to cause a decrease in the production of rhizomes, as rhizomes have an important role in the reproductive dynamics ofthis weed (Williams and Ingber 1977). As our results showed, a marked reduction of rhizomes (RDM) has a potential role for S. *cruentum* as biocontrol agent.

As part of our research for integrated weed control, we studied the possible use of fungi as potential biocontrol agents of the Ustilaginales group. The use of *Ustilago* spp. to control *Paspalum* on switch grass (Arevalo *et al.* 2000) and *U. bullata* on cheat grass was effective due to the reduction in seed production because it was replaced by teliospores mass demonstrating its potential as a biocontrol agent. Moreover, in these studies the fungal optimum environmental conditions to cause infection in plants were established (Meyer *et al.* 2001; Boguena 2003, Boguena *et al.* 2007). Therefore, the feasibility of biological control using *S. cruentum* could be reliably established within an integrated framework of alternatives.

Moreover, it is necessary to establish the possible interactions between the implementation of biological control with other management alternatives in Johnson grass, such as the use of herbicides at reduced doses and the use of the competitive ability of crops to compete with weeds. Further experiments should be carried out to elucidate the effect of the pathogen in weeds under field conditions, given the high efficiency of S. *cruentum* to produce infections in S. *halepense.* This fungus could be used as a biocontrol agent in areas where the weed grows and limits agricultural production, by improving crop fields and promoting a sustainable agriculture.

#### **Acknowledgments**

The authors wish to thank the members of the "Santa Catalina" Phytotechnical Institute, Faculty of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences UNLP for their collaboration in this research. This work was financed by UNLP.

#### **References**

- Acciaresi H.A., Monaco C. 1999. First report of*Bipolaris sorghicola* on Johnson grass in Argentina. Plant Disease 83 (10): 965.
- Arevalo E., Cabezas, O., Zúñiga L., Chávez M. 2000. Evaluación preliminar sobre el potencial de control del hongo *Ustilago* sp. sobre la maleza *Paspalum virgatum.* [Preliminary assessment of the potential control of the fungus *Ustilago* sp. on *weeds Paspalum virgatum].* Abstract XV Congreso Peruano de Fitopatología. Revista de Fitopatología Latinoamericana 35: 11. (in Spanish)



- Astiz Gassó M.M. 1988. Efectos del carbón *(Ustilago scitaminea* Syd) sobre *Saccharum offinarum.* [Effects of smut (*Ustilago scitaminea)* on *Saccharum offinarum].* Fitopatología 23: 37-39. (in Spanish)
- Astiz Gassó M.M., Monaco C., Acciaresi El. 2001. Efectividad de tres métodos de inoculaciones de *Sporisorium cruentum* en sorgo de alepo. [Effectiveness of three inoculations methods of*Sporisorium cruentum* ofJohnson grass]. Brazilian Phytopathogy 26: 466. (in Spanish)
- Boguena T. 2003. Epidemiology of *Ustilago bullata* Berkon *Bromus tectorum* L. and implications for biological control. Ph. D. dissertation. Brigham Young University Provo UT, 102 pp.
- Boguena T., Meyer S.E., Nelson D.L. 2007. Low temperature during infection limits *Ustilago bullata* (Ustilaginaceae, Ustilaginales) disease incidence on *Bromus tectorum* (Poaceae, Cyperales). Biocontrol Science and Technology 17: 33-52.
- Bracegirdle B., Miles P. 1975. Atlas de Estructuras Vegetales. [Atlas of Plant Structures]. Editorial Paraninfo, 125 pp. (in Spanish)
- BytherR.S., Steiner G.W. 1974. Unusual smut symptoms on sugarcane in Hawaii. Plant Disease Report 58: 401-405.
- Craig J., Fredenksen R.A. 1992. Comparison of Sorghum seedling reactions to *Sporisorium reilianum* in retation to *Sorghum* head smut resistance classes. Plant Disease 76 (3): 314-318.
- DAmbrogio Argiies A. 1986. Manual de Técnicas en Histología Vegetal. [Manual Techniques in Plant Histology]. Editorial Hemisferio Sur, 83 pp. (in Spanish)
- Duran R. 1987. Ustilaginales of México: Taxonomy, Symptomatology, Spore Germination, and Basidial Cytology. Washington State University, USA, 331 pp.
- Ghareeb H., Becker T.I., Feussner I., Schirawski J. 2011. *Sporisorium reilianum* infection changes inflorescence and branching architectures of maize. Plant Physiology 156 (4): 2037-052.
- Ghersa C.M., Satorre E.H. 1981. La dinámica de la población derizomas de sorgo de alepo en relación con los sistemas de control más frecuentes. [The population dynamics of rhizomes Johnson grass in relation to the most common control systems]. Revista Facultad de Agronomía 2: 133-138. (in Spanish)
- Edmunds L.K. 1963. Use of sporidial hypodermic infection to test sorghum for head smut resistance. Plant Disease Rep. 47: 903-913.
- Fernández J.A., Durán R., Schafer J.F. 1978. Histological aspects of dwarf bunt resistance in wheat. Phytopathology 68: 1417-1421.
- Fischer G.W., Holton T.C. 1953. Manual of the North American Smut Fungi. The Ronald Company, New York, 343 pp.
- Kosiada T. 2011. *In vitro* influence of selected fungicides on *Sphacelothe careiliana* and *Ustilago mayáis.* Journal of Plant Protection Research 51 (4): 342-348.
- Hanna W.F. 1929. Studies in the physiologic and cytology of *Ustilago zeae* and *Sporisorium reilianum.* Phythopathology 19: 415-444.
- Hirschhorn E. 1986. Las Ustilaginales de la flora Argentina. [The flora Ustilaginales Argentina]. C.I.C. ed. Comisión de Investigaciones Científicas de la Provincia de Buenos Aires, 530 pp. (in Spanish)
- Holm L.G., Plucknett D.L, Pancho J.V., Herberger J.P. 1977. The World's Worst Weeds, Distribution and Biology, p. 54-61. University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA, 609 pp.
- Leguizamón E.S. 2012. Sorgo de Alepo: *Sorghum halepense* (L.) Persoon. Bases para su manejo y control en sistemas de producción. [Sorghum of Aleppo: *Sorghum halepense* (L.) Persoon. Bases for management and production control systems.] Ed. REM-AAPRESID Rosario, Santa Fe (Argentine) Vol. II, 38 pp. (in Spanish)
- Luttrell E.S., Graigmiles J.P, Harris B.H. 1964. Effect of lose kernel smut on vegetative growth of Johnson grass and *Sorghum.* Phythopathology 54: 612.
- Maddaloni J., Ferrari L. 2001. Forrajeras y pasturas del ecosistema templado húmedo de la Argentina. [Forage and pasture humid temperate ecosystem of Argentina]. Editado por Universidad de Lomas de Zamora Argentina, 520 pp. (in Spanish)
- Martinez C., Roux C., Dargen R. 1999. Biotrophic development of *Sporisorium reilianum* f. sp. *zea* in vegetative shoot apex ofmaize. Phytopathology 89: 247-253.
- Martinez C., Roux Ch., Jaunean D.R. 2002. The biological cycle of *Sporisorium reilianum* f. sp. *zea:* an overview using microscopy. Mycologia 94 (3): 505-514.
- Matheussen A.M., Morgan P.W., Frederiksen R.A. 1990. Implication of gibberellins in head smut *(Sporisorium reilianum)* of *Sorghum bicolour.* Plant Physiolog, 96: 537-544.
- Matyac C.A. 1985. Histological development of *Sphacalote careiliana* on *Zea mayáis.* Phytopathology 75: 924-929.
- Matyac C.A., Kommedahal T. 1985. Ocurrence of clorotic spots on corn seedlings infected with *Sphacelotheca reiliana* and their use in evaluation of head smut resistance. Plant Disease 69: 251-254.
- Me Taggart A.R., Shivas R.G., Geering A.D.W., Vánky K., Scharaschkin T. 2012. Taxonomic revisión of Ustilago, Sporisorium and Macalpinomyces. Persoonia 29: 116-132.
- Méndez Fernández H., Ghersa C.M., Satorre E.H. 1983. El comportamiento de las semillas de *Sorghum halepense* (L.) Pers enrelación con la población de rizomas. [The behavior of the seeds of *Sorghum halepense* (L.) Pers, in relation to the population of rhizomes]. Revista de la Facultad de Agronomía 3: 227-231. (in Spanish)
- Meyer S.E, Nelson D.L., Clement S.E. 2001. Evidence for resistance in the *Bromus tectorum-Ustilago bullata* pathosystem: implications for control. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology 23: 19-27.
- Millhollon R. 2000. Loose kernel smut for biocontrol of *Sorghum halepense* in *Saccharum* sp. hybrids. Weed Science 48 (5): 645-652.
- Mitidieri A. 1984. Sorgo de Alepo: importancia, biología y aspectos básicos para su control. [Sorghum of Aleppo: importance, biology and basic aspects for its control]. Biokemia 4: 25-36. (in Spanish)
- Moharam M.H.A., Leclerque A., Koch E. 2012. Cultural characteristics of *Sporisorium sorghi* and detection of the pathogen in plant tissue by microcopy and polymerase chain reaction. Phytoparasitica 40 (5): 475-483.
- Nasr I.A. 1976. Association of unusual symptoms with smut of sugarcane in the Sudan. Sugarcane Pathology 15.
- O'Brien T.P., Me Cully M.E. 1981. The study of plant structure (principles and selected ethods). Melbourne: Termarcarphi Pty Ltd., Australia, 357 pp.
- Osorio J.A., Frederiksen R.A. 1998. Development of an infection assay for *Sporisorium reilianum,* the head smut pathogen on sorghum. Plant Disease 82: 1232-1236.
- Piper C.V. 1928. Cultivated grasses of secondary importance. U.S. Department of Agriculture Farmers Bulletin 1433.
- Reynolds E.S. 1963. The use of lead citrate at high pH as an electron-opaque stain in electron microscopy. Journals Cell Biology 55: 541-552.
- SAS. 1989. SAS User's guide-release 6.03. SAS Institute Inc. (Cary, USA), 123 pp.
- Satorre E.H., Ghersa C.M., Soriano A. 1981. Dinámica de la población de rizomasde sorgo de alepo. Efecto del cultivo de avena y del corte. [Population dynamics of rhizomes Johnson grass. Effect of growing oats and cutting]. Revista de la Facultad de Agronomía 2:115-123. (in Spanish)
- Sharma R.L. 1956. Morphological modifications in sugarcane plant by systemic infection of smut *(Ustilago scitaminea* Syd.). Int. Soc. Sugar Technology 9: 1134-1168.
- Sinha O.K., Singh K., Misra S.R. 1982. Stain technique for detection of smut hyphae in nodal buds of sugarcane. Plan Disease 66: 932-933.
- Smith M.C., Holt J. 1997. Analytical models of weed biocontrol with sterilizing fungi: the consequences of differences



Marta Monica Astiz Gassó *et al.*: Effects of loose kernel smut caused by *Sporisorium cruentum* onrhizomes of *Sorghum halepense*  $71$ 

in weed and pathogen life-histories. Plant Pathology 46: 306-319.

- Snetselaar K.M., Mims Ch.W. 1994. Light and electron microscopy of *Ustilago maydis* hyphae in maize. Mycological Research 98 (3): 347-355.
- Spurr A.R. 1969. A low-viscosity epoxy resin embedding medium for electron microscopy. Journal Ultrastruct Research 26(1-2): 31-43.
- Vánky K. 1985. Carpathian Ustilaginales. Usppsala, Almqvist & Wiksell International Stockholm, New York, 309 pp.
- Verdejo J., Della Penna A., Madia M. 1995. Agentes fúngicos identificados en plantas de malezas. [Fungal agents identi-

fied in weel plants]. Proceedings of XII Congreso Latinoamericano de Malezas. Uruguay, 113-115. (in Spanish)

- Ward K.J., Klepper B., Rickmann R.P., Allmaras R.R. 1978. Quantitative estimation of living wheat-root lengths in soil cores. Agronomy Journal 70: 675-677.
- Williams R.D., Igber B.F. 1977. The effect of intraspecific competition on the growth and development of Johnson grass under greenhouse conditions. Weed Science 25 (4): 477-481.
- Wilson J.M, Frederiksen R.A. 1970. Histopatology of resistance in the *Sorghum bicolor-Sphacelotheca reiliana* interaction. Phytopathology 60: 828-932.